

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.13	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / RIPARIAN MARGIN	Support	Supports the definition.	Retain the definition of "riparian margin" as notified.	Accept	No
Heidi Snelson, Aman Hunt, Chia Hunt, Ela Hunt	276.16	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / General NATC	Amend	Considers that protection of the biodiversity and ecology is important. These SNA's risk encroachment upon and destruction if not specifically protected given the planned development of the site, shows an urban road being built across an area marked SNA.	Seeks absolute protection of the Significant Natural Areas present at 395 Middleton Road.	To be addressed as part ECO topic hearing	
Tawa Community Board	294.12	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / General NATC	Amend	Considers that the PDP relies on GWRC requirements which WCC officers have been unclear on, which appear to have been reduced since the above report was written, and which do not adequately take into account the impact of historical development on current and probable future stream bank erosion.	Seeks that the Proposed District Plan provides adequate setback distances from stream edge for new structures. [inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.213	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / General NATC	Oppose	Considers the Introduction is uncertain and mainly says what this chapter doesn't manage. Considers the scope of this chapter is very unclear, particularly in regard to the coastal environment. It says areas of natural character within the coastal environment are identified and managed in the Coastal Environment Chapter but needs to be explicit about whether the coastal environment is therefore excluded from this chapter. Amend to say this chapter applies outside the coastal environment and recognise that activities landward of the coastal environment may have downstream effects which are recognised in the activity focussed chapters having regard to the policy direction in this chapter and the Coastal Environment Chapter. Furthermore, the introduction mentions NES-F and NRP regulations manage vegetation removal, earthworks, natural hazards works, infrastructure and public access structures within 10 metres of natural wetlands as well as earthworks within 5 metres of surface water bodies but doesn't mention where in the Plan these are managed. As this chapter applies outside the coastal environment, we suggest NES-F and NRP regulations should be given effect to through the NATC policies to ensure integration of the policy direction across the Plan. Seek amendment accordingly.	Amend NATC - Introduction: Clarify scope of chapter and amend give effect to NES-F and NRP though the NATC policies.	Accept in part	Yes
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.138	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / General NATC	Not specified	Considers that the original submission does not specify the relief requested. Any amendments need to give effect to the relevant higher order policy instruments.	Disallow / In the absence of specific wording, disallow the submission point.	Accept in part.	No.
Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika	389.76	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / General NATC	Support in part	Supports the general direction of chapter, with amendments.	Retain Natural Character chapter with amendments.	Reject.	No.
Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika	389.77	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / General NATC	Oppose	Opposes the zoning and extent of overlays proposed over Te Motu Kairangi / Miramar Peninsula, Mount Crawford. Submitter supports the protection of areas of significant indigenous vegetation as well as landscapes that have cultural, historical, spiritual and traditional significance to Taranaki Whānui, the identification and protection of environmental overlays in previously developed areas is of concern to Taranaki Whānui. Concerns there is potential for these overlays to significantly restrict future development and opportunities for Taranaki Whānui to exercise tino rangatiratanga over their ancestral lands.	Seeks that any other relief to enable Taranaki Whānui to exercise tino rangatiratanga over their properties in Te Motu Kairangi.	Reject.	No.
Buy Back the Bay	FS79.10	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / General NATC	Oppose	Submission 389 states as a Submission Point, that "Taranaki Whānui opp oses the zoning and extent of overlays proposed over Te Motu Kairangi / Miramar Peninsula, Mount Crawford." It lists the relevant PDP Chapter as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning maps • He Rohe Ahoaho Māori Natural Open Space Zone chapter • Ngā Wāhi Tapu ki te Māori Sites a nd Areas of Significance to Māori chapter • Ngā Pūnaha Rauropi me te Kanorau Koiora Taketake Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter • Te Ahurei o Ngā Hanga Māori Natural Character chapter • Ngā Hanga Māori me Ngā Nohopae Natural Features and L andscapes chapter • Wawaetanga Subdivision chapter • Taiao Takutai Coastal Environment chapter Opposes in total Submission 389 on these points, which appears to be a wholesale rejection of planning rules in these areas.	Disallow	Accept in part.	No.

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Buy Back the Bay	FS79.27	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / General NATC	Oppose	<p>Submission 389 states: "Taranaki Whānui's RFR [Right of First Refusal] opportunities in Te Motu Kairangi: Taranaki Whānui have a significant interest in Te Motu Kairangi which includes Mount Crawford and Watts Peninsula, these landholdings hold significant interest - culturally, socially, environmentally and commercially to Taranaki Whānui. These opportunities include the Mount Crawford Prison site as well as the 'Watts Peninsula' sites being 75.85 hectares of former Defence Land."</p> <p>Buy Back the Bays notes that the Submission does not include maps however they (Buy Back the Bays) are very concerned to see that Taranaki Whānui appears to be seeking possible commercial development of 75.85 hectares of former defence land on Watts Peninsula. This appears to be the heart of the long-promised Watts Peninsula park and a major part of the proposed national heritage park.</p> <p>Buy Back the Bays strongly oppose rezoning on Watts Peninsula to facilitate any development there that is incompatible with the park plans. More generally, Buy Back the Bays oppose Submission 389's attempt to remove the proposed public interest controls from Watts Peninsula and Mount Crawford.</p> <p>Considers that where Submission 389 states "Illustrated on Figure One below, the following zone and overlays are proposed for Taranaki Whānui's RFR properties in Te Motu Kairangi," Buy Back the Bays oppose the changes it seeks. This includes opposing Submission 389's request for "The proposed zoning over Part Lot 1 DP 4741, Section 4 SO 477035, PT LOT 1 DP 4741 - WELLINGTON PRISON, Section 1 SO 477035, Part Section 20 Watts Peninsula DIST [to be] amended from Natural Open Space Zone to: a. Medium Density Residential; and b. Special Purpose Zone – Māori Purpose Zone."</p>	Disallow	Accept in part.	No.
Buy Back the Bay	FS79.46	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / General NATC	Oppose	<p>Refers to submission 389 states: Taranaki Whānui opposes the extent of the proposed zoning of Shelly Bay Taikuru and the proposed height control limits." Buy Back the Bays opposes the submission on both points.</p> <p>Specifically, the Submission 389 for Taranaki Whānui seeks that:</p> <p>"1. The Mixed Use Zone is extended across the allotments illustrated in Figure Two below or amended to follow the extent of consented development area outlined in the approved masterplan and engineering drawings.</p> <p>2. The Height Control Area is amended to 27m being the maximum height of development consented under the Shelly Bay Masterplan resource consent."</p> <p>Buy Back the Bays opposes both parts. Buy Back the Bays note that neither part affects Taranaki Whānui's commercial or other interests. Considers that both parts only affect the tall apartment buildings planned by and for the exclusive commercial benefit of The Wellington Company, not the leasing of lower existing buildings that The Wellington Company has offered to Taranaki Whānui as its stake in the project.</p>	Disallow	Accept in part.	No.
Lance Lones	FS81.12	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / General NATC	Oppose	<p>Te Motu Kairangi is very nearly an island, and as a result of the amazing work of Predator Free Wellington, is in fact, nearly predator free, and uniquely able to support significant biodiversity. Combined with the Ridgelines and Hilltops Overlay, and the Significant Natural Areas overlay of this space, all citizens of both Wellington, and Aotearoa in general have an incredibly singular opportunity to support the development of native flora and fauna in one nearly contiguous environment, a situation which is unique within Wellington. Attests to the incredible return of many native species of birds to this area, from kererū, to flocks of piwakawaka and tūi, kārearea hunting on the hillsides and heard ruru calling in the evenings and mornings.</p> <p>To remove the Open Space zoning, Significant Natural Areas and Special Amenity Landscape overlays for a significant portion of this habitat would put these species at risk once again.</p> <p>Presents a unique opportunity to implement the Ministry for the Environment's Proposed National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity. This policy progressively refers to the concept of Te Rito o te Harakeke.</p> <p>The local community has expressed the desire to work with and develop a master plan for the Watts Peninsula, but this voice has been repeatedly denied by council. Removing the protections put in place by the proposed district plan would once again disempower the greater community with no discussion.</p> <p>[Refer to further submission for full reason]</p>	Disallow / Seeks that the current zoning and overlays as presented in the Proposed District Plan for the northern sections of Te Motu Kairangi / Miramar Peninsula be retained. In particular, that the Open Space zoning, Special Amenity Landscape, Natural Areas, and Ridgelines and Hilltops overlays are retained.	Accept in part.	No.
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.158	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / New NATC	Amend	<p>Considers that WCC needs to identify natural character ratings, at both site and area scales, in riparian margins landward of the coastal environment, as required by section 6(a) of the RMA. This work has not yet been undertaken and is necessary to managing adverse effects on natural character in riparian margins.</p>	<p>Include a new process policy as follows:</p> <p><u>Identification of natural character ratings in riparian margins landward of the coastal environment</u></p>	Reject.	No.

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.159	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / New NATC	Amend	Considers it appropriate to insert a policy in the PDP to direct this work to commence. This policy should also direct Council officers to work with resource consent applicants to determine whether a natural character assessment is required in the meantime. This will indicate to Plan users that this mapping work has not yet been undertaken, and ensure that the natural character in riparian margins is appropriately preserved and protected in the interim.	Include a new process policy as follows: <u>Until natural character ratings in riparian margins landward of the coastal environment are mapped in this Plan, an assessment may be required as to whether an activity is within an area of high or outstanding natural character. Wellington City Council officers will assist resource consent applicants in determining whether an assessment is required. The need for such an assessment will depend on the level or scale of potential effects and the sensitivity of the receiving environment. Any assessment shall be commensurate with the scale and significance of the effects that the use or development may have on the environment.</u>	Reject.	No.
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.160	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / New NATC	Amend	Considers it appropriate to identify natural character ratings of riparian margins is consistent with the approach taken by Greater Wellington in Method M24(a) of the Natural Resources Plan, to identify natural character ratings in the beds of lakes and rivers, and wetlands landward of the coastal environment.	Include a new process policy as follows: <u>Identify in the Plan natural character ratings in riparian margins landward of the coastal environment.</u>	Reject.	No.
Tyers Stream Group	221.51	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-O1	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain NATC-O1 (Natural character) as notified.	Accept in part.	No.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.214	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-O1	Support in part	Considers natural character within riparian margins should also be focussed on maintaining or enhancing the ecological functions of riparian margins to give effect to policy 43 of the RPS but also to contribute to flood management and improve water quality to give effect to the NPS-FM and provide for Te Mana o Te Wai. Considers riparian margins should be protected from stock via fencing to protect their natural character in the rural zone and to allow for protection and enhancement.	Amend NATC-O1 (Natural character): The natural characteristics and qualities that contribute to the natural character within riparian margins are preserved and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development, and the <u>ecological functions of riparian margins</u> maintained or enhanced where appropriate	Reject.	No.
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.161	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-O1	Support in part	Supports the inclusion of an objective to manage the potential effects of activities on natural character in riparian margins.	Retain provision, subject to amendments, as outlined other submission points.	Reject.	No.
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.162	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-O1	Amend	Considers it is unclear as to whether the scope of the objective relates to riparian margins both inside and outside of the coastal environment. Greater Wellington requests that amendments are made as necessary to provide clarity to plan users on which objectives apply to riparian margins in the coastal environment (CE-O1 or NATCO1). These objectives set out the outcomes sought which the remaining provides then contribute to achieving, so it should be clear where they apply. Also notes that the outcomes of NATC-O1 cannot be achieved by plan provisions, given natural character values in riparian margins landward of the coastal environment have not been identified by WCC (or mapped or scheduled in the PDP), nor is there any indication that natural character assessments will be required as part of resource consent and restoration processes, to give effect to the outcomes in which NATC-O1 seeks to achieve. Please refer to reasons and decision sought on a new process policy for riparian margin natural character mapping to commence.	Seeks to Amend NATC-O1 (Natural character) as is necessary to clarify which objective applies to riparian margins in the coastal environment, or any other amendments to the same effect.	Reject.	No.
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.163	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-O1	Amend	Considers that consistent terminology should be used across the PDP when referring to restoring and rehabilitating natural character, both within and landward of the coastal environment	Amend NATC-O1 (Natural character) to reflect the terminology recommended elsewhere in this submission, as follows: The natural characteristics and qualities that contribute to the natural character within riparian margins are preserved and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development, and <u>restored or rehabilitated</u> maintained or enhanced where appropriate.	Accept.	Yes.
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.135	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-O1	Support	Considers Wellington's natural waterways are a valuable, and seriously compromised part of the city, and need considerable work to be restored both in terms of quality and amenity. The focus on maintaining and enhancing is particularly important in this objective.	Retain NATC-O1 (Natural Character) as notified.	Accept in part.	No.
Tyers Stream Group	221.52	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-O2	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain NATC-O2 (Customary Harvesting) as notified.	Accept	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.215	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-O2	Support	Supports the objective.	Retain NATC-O2 (Customary Harvesting) as notified.	Accept	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.136	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-O2	Support	Considers this objective helps redress the imbalance that has existed, compromising the ability of tangata whenua to exercise customary harvesting.	Retain NATC-O2 (Customary Harvesting) as notified.	Accept	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika	389.78	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-O2	Not specified	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Not specified [please refer to original submission].	Accept	No
Tyers Stream Group	221.53	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-P1	Amend	Considers that these matters should be provided for, rather than their non-provision be avoided. In other words, matters such as good riparian management and public access to and along water bodies should be an active duty in development, not something to avoid adverse effects to. This is not achieving, for example section 6(d) of the RMA or the Council's own objective PA – 01.	Amend NATC-P1 (Appropriate use and development) as follows: Provide for <u>Protect natural character, avoid natural hazards and provide for biodiversity and public access to and along water bodies by only allowing</u> use and development within riparian margins which are: 1. P1 <u>protects</u> the natural character and integrates with the landform <u>AND</u> ; 2. P1 <u>provides</u> for planned natural hazard mitigation works where undertaken by Wellington City Council, Greater Wellington Regional Council or their nominated agents <u>AND</u> ; 3. H1 <u>has</u> a functional or operational need to be located within the riparian margin; and 4. It does not limit or prevent <u>Improves practical</u> public access to, along or adjacent to waterbodies.	Reject.	No.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.216	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-P1	Oppose in part	Considers activities within riparian margins should be provisional on meeting these policy requirements, to ensure their natural character values and ecological functions are maintained	Amend NATC-P1 (Appropriate use and development): <u>Only provide</u> for use and development within riparian margins where: 1. It protects the natural character and integrates with the landform; 2. It provides for planned natural hazard mitigation works where undertaken by Wellington City Council, Greater Wellington Regional Council or their nominated agents; 3. It has a functional or operational need to be located within the riparian margin; and 4. It does not limit or prevent public access to, along or adjacent to waterbodies; <u>and</u> 5. <u>It maintains or enhances the ecological functions of the riparian margin.</u>	Accept in part.	Yes.
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.137	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-P1	Support	Considers Wellington's natural waterways are a valuable, and seriously compromised part of the city, and need considerable work to be restored both in terms of quality and amenity. The focus on maintaining and enhancing is particularly important in this objective.	Retain NATC-P1 (appropriate use and development) as notified.	Accept in part.	No.
Tyers Stream Group	221.54	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-P2	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain NATC-P2 (Restoration and enhancement) as notified.	Accept in part.	No.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.217	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-P2	Support in part	Supports the intent of this policy and suggest amending 1. to be consistent with the definition in the plan, subject to our submission point on the definition,	Amend NATC-P2 (Restoration and enhancement): Provide for restoration and enhancement of natural character within riparian margins where appropriate including: 1. The replanting of riparian margins with indigenous <u>vegetation species</u> ; 2. The removal of pest plant and animal species; and 3. The removal of redundant buildings or structures in riparian margins.	Accept in part.	Yes.
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.138	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-P2	Support	Considers Wellington's natural waterways are a valuable, and seriously compromised part of the city, and need considerable work to be restored both in terms of quality and amenity. The focus on maintaining and enhancing is particularly important in this objective.	Retain NATC-P2 (Restoration and enhancement) as notified.	Accept in part.	No.
Tyers Stream Group	221.55	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-P3	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain NATC-P3 (Customary harvesting) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.218	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-P3	Support	Supports the policy.	Retain NATC-P3 (Customary Harvesting) as notified.	Accept.	No.
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.139	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-P3	Support	NATC-P3 is supported as it helps redress the imbalance that has existed, compromising the ability of tangata whenua to exercise customary harvesting.	Retain NATC-P3 (Customary harvesting) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika	389.79	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-P3	Not specified	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Not specified [please refer to original submission].	Reject.	No.

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Tyers Stream Group	221.56	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R1	Amend	Considers that this rule needs to be amended to meet the submitted requirements of NATC-P1.	Seeks Amendment to NATC-R1 (Activities within riparian margins) to meet the submitted requirements of NATC-P1.	Reject.	No.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.219	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R1	Support	Supports the rule.	Retain NATC-R1 (Activities within riparian margins) as notified.	Accept.	No.
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.140	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R1	Support	NATC-R1 is supported as it gives effect to the objectives and policies and is therefore supported.	Retain NATC-R1 (Activities within riparian margins) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Tyers Stream Group	221.57	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R2	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain NATC-R2 (Restoration and enhancement activities within riparian margins) as notified.	Reject.	No.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.220	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R2	Support	Supports the rule.	Retain NATC-R2 (Restoration and enhancement activities within riparian margins) as notified.	Reject.	No.
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.164	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R2	Oppose in part	Considers it is likely that not all restoration activities will restore natural character ratings.	Retain provision, subject to amendments, as outlined other submission points.	Accept in part.	Yes.
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.165	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R2	Amend	Considers that the construction of a structure (provided it is blocked off from human interference) in the coastal environment may provide roosting area for birds and thus improve the biotic values, but it may also have an impact on the abiotic and experiential values, thus may not restore the overall natural character rating of the wider character area.	Seeks to include permitted activity conditions to clarify which restoration activities are permitted.	Accept in part.	Yes.
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.141	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R2	Support	NATC-R2 is supported as it encourages efforts to restore and enhance waterways, and is strongly supported.	Retain NATC-R2 (Restoration and enhancement activities within riparian margins) as notified.	Reject.	No.
Tyers Stream Group	221.58	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R3	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain NATC-R3 (Customary harvesting within riparian margins) as notified.	Accept	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.221	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R3	Support	Supports the rule.	Retain NATC-R3 (Customary harvesting within riparian margins) as notified.	Accept	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.142	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R3	Support	NATC-R3 is supported as it helps redress the imbalance that has existed, compromising the ability of tangata whenua to exercise customary harvesting.	Retain NATC-R3 (Customary harvesting within riparian margins) as notified.	Accept	No
Tyers Stream Group	221.59	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R4	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain NATC-R4 (Construction, addition or alteration of buildings or structures for natural hazard mitigation purposes where carried out within riparian margins by a Regional or Territorial Authority, or an agent on their behalf) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.222	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R4	Support in part	Seeks a qualifier as per NATC-R1 to ensure effects are appropriately addressed.	Amend NATC-R4 (Construction, addition or alteration of buildings or structures for natural hazard mitigation purposes where carried out within riparian margins by a Regional or Territorial Authority, or an agent on their behalf): 1. Activity status: Permitted <u>Where:</u> <u>a. Compliance is achieved with the rules and standards for activities in the underlying zone</u>	Reject.	No.
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.143	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R4	Support	NATC-R4 is supported as it is necessary for practical purposes in helping safeguard life and property.	Retain NATC-R4 (Construction, addition or alteration of buildings or structures for natural hazard mitigation purposes...) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne	486.3	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R4	Amend	Considers that NATC-R4 should be amended with an additional clause that enables Zealandia operations to continue as per other areas in the plan. Considers that NATC-R4 may prevent maintenance and management work of bridges and associated infrastructure within Zealandia.	Amend NATC-R4 (Construction, addition or alteration of buildings or structures for natural hazard mitigation purposes where carried out within riparian margins by a Regional or Territorial Authority, or an agent on their behalf) to list the Karori Sanctuary Trust as an approved operator.	Reject.	No.

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Tyers Stream Group	221.60	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R5	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain NATC-R5 (Construction, addition or alteration of buildings and structures within riparian margins) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.223	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R5	Oppose	Considers construction of new buildings should be non-complying within riparian margins.	Amend NATC-R5 (Construction, addition or alteration of buildings and structures within riparian margins): 1. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary <u>Non-complying</u>	Reject.	No.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.224	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R5	Oppose	If relief for a non-complying activity status is not accepted, considers the matters of discretion should be widened to include policies from ECO chapter.	Amend NATC-R5 (Construction, addition or alteration of buildings and structures within riparian margins): 1. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters in NATC-P1, PA-P1, PA-P2 and PA-P3 <u>[add appropriate ECO policy references]</u> ; and 2. Any measures proposed to avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse effects. This rule does not apply to agricultural fences used to separate livestock from rivers and streams.	Reject.	No.
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.144	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R5	Support	NATC-R5 is supported as it gives effect to the objectives and policies and is supported.	Retain NATC-R5 (Construction, addition or alteration of buildings and structures within riparian margins) as notified.	Accept.	No.