Wellington City Proposed District Plan

Hearing Stream 5 - NOISE Chapter

Appendix B - Recommended Responses to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
New Zealand	40.5	General District wide	Amend	The NOISE chapter has no provisions for the intermittent use of aircraft for agricultural aviation	Seeks that the NOISE chapter includes provisions for the intermittent use of aircraft for agricultural	REJECT submission point. Already	No
Agricultural Aviation		Matters / Noise /		activities.	aviation activities as permitted activity.	adequately covered by agricultural	
Association		General NOISE				machinery exemptions, and general	
						provision for helicopters.	
Shailesh Kumar Patel	49.1	General District wide	Amend	Considers that current restrictions on subdivision and infill housing in the Air Noise boundary are	Seeks that council ease restrictions on subdivision and infill housing in the Air Noise Boundary so that	REJECT submission point. The Noise	No
		Matters / Noise /		against the spirit of current government housing solutions and the housing crisis. It disadvantages	these sites have the development potential available under the MDRS.	chapter seeks a balance between	
		General NOISE		house owners within the boundary who cannot add value to their property/section.		residential intensification and	
						minimising potential reverse	
						sensitvity effects in proximity to the	
						Airport (I.e., within the Inner and	
						Outer Air Noise overlays). Residential	
						and other activities remain permitted	
						throughout urban areas which may	
						also receive some aircraft noise. The	
						degree of intensification in noise	
						affected areas is managed via NOISE	
						R3. Acoustic insulation requirements	
						for new or altered habitable rooms	
						(and accompanying ventilation	
						requirements) are set out in NOISE-	
						S4, S5 and S6 are not considered a	
/vonne Weeber	340.74	General District wide	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to provide further	Amend the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter as follows:	ACCEPT in part. The Noise chapter	Yes
		Matters / Noise /		information on the airport noise issues within the introduction. A major change is occurring with the		seeks a balance between residential	
		General NOISE		development of the Outer Air Noise Overlay and it deserves being recognised in the introduction of	Some activities that generate noise are exempt from the noise rules set out in this chapter. This is	intensification and minimising	
				this chapter.	because they are not controlled by the RMA, e.g. vehicles being driven on a road, or aircraft above	potential reverse sensitvity effects in	
					1,000 feet in flight over built up areas. In addition, the Civil Aviation Act 1990 imposes certain rules	proximity to the Airport (I.e., within	
					requiring noise abatement procedures for aircraft operating in the vicinity of Wellington	the Inner and Outer Air Noise	
					International Airport. The air noise boundary overlays (inner and outer) place	overlays). Residential and other	
					development restrictions on properties affected by Wellington International Airport.	activities remain permitted	
					actelophicit restrictions on properties anceled by Weinington international Amporta	throughout urban areas which may	
						also receive some aircraft noise. The	
						degree of intensification in noise	
						affected areas is managed via NOISE	
						R3. Acoustic insulation requirements	
						for new or altered habitable rooms	
						(and accompanying ventilation	
						requirements) are set out in NOISE-	
Wellington	FS36.144	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	WIAL is not opposed to the introduction of this text in principle, however does not support the	Disallow / Seeks that part of the submission be disallowed.	ACCEPT in part, except to the extent	Yes
International Airport	1000.111		oppose	The bille opposed to the introduction of this text in principle, nowever does not support the	bisation y seeks that part of the submission be disationed.		105
				drafting as set out in this submission			
Limited		wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE		drafting as set out in this submission.		that modifications are introduced by	
	340.75	General NOISE	Amend		Amend the Introduction to the 'Noise' chanter to include a reference to the 2018 World Health	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Limited Yvonne Weeber	340.75	General NOISE General District wide	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018	Amend the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter to include a reference to the <u>2018 World Health</u>	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Although	Yes
	340.75	General NOISE General District wide Matters / Noise /	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the	Amend the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter to include a reference to the <u>2018 World Health</u> Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a	Yes
	340.75	General NOISE General District wide	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the Introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related	Yes
	340.75	General NOISE General District wide Matters / Noise /	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the Introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related research into effects of	Yes
	340.75	General NOISE General District wide Matters / Noise /	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the Introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related research into effects of environmental noise on human	Yes
	340.75	General NOISE General District wide Matters / Noise /	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the Introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related research into effects of environmental noise on human health, the Guidelines are of	Yes
	340.75	General NOISE General District wide Matters / Noise /	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the Introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REIECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related research into effects of environmental noise on human health, the Guidelines are of questionable value in setting out	Yes
	340.75	General NOISE General District wide Matters / Noise /	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the Introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REIECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related research into effects of environmental noise on human health, the Guidelines are of questionable value in setting out district plan noise performance	Yes
	340.75	General NOISE General District wide Matters / Noise /	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the Introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related research into effects of environmental noise on human health, the Guidelines are of questionable value in setting out district plan noise performance standards. Such health guidelines	Yes
	340.75	General NOISE General District wide Matters / Noise /	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the Introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related research into effects of environmental noise on human health, the Guidelines are of questionable value in setting out district plan noise performance standards. Such health guidelines may set levels below which health	Yes
	340.75	General NOISE General District wide Matters / Noise /	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the Introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related research into effects of environmental noise on human health, the Guidelines are of questionable value in setting out district plan noise performance standards. Such health guidelines may set levels below which health effects are not detected or	Yes
	340.75	General NOISE General District wide Matters / Noise /	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the Introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related research into effects of environmental noise on human health, the Guidelines are of questionable value in setting out district plan noise performance standards. Such health guidelines may set levels below which health effects are not detected or unimportant, however this does not	Yes
	340.75	General NOISE General District wide Matters / Noise /	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the Introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related research into effects of environmental noise on human health, the Guidelines are of questionable value in setting out district plan noise performance standards. Such health guidelines may set levels below which health effects are not detected or unimportant, however this does not mean that if these levels are	Yes
	340.75	General NOISE General District wide Matters / Noise /	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the Introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related research into effects of environmental noise on human health, the Guidelines are of questionable value in setting out district plan noise performance standards. Such health guidelines may set levels below which health effects are not detected or unimportant, however this does not mean that if these levels are acceeded there will be significant	Yes
fvonne Weeber		General NOISE General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE		Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and authoritative reference on the adverse effects of transportation noise on communities.	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related research into effects of environmental noise on human health, the Guidelines are of questionable value in setting out district plan noise performance standards. Such health guidelines may set levels below which health effects are not detected or unimportant, however this does not mean that if these levels are exceeded there will be significant health frects. The relevant guidance	
fvonne Weeber Wellington	340.75 5536.146	General NDISE General District wide Matters / Noise / General NDISE Part 2 / General District		Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the Introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and authoritative reference on the adverse effects of transportation noise on communities.	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related research into effects of environmental noise on human health, the Guidelines are of questionable value in setting out district plan noise performance standards. Such health guidelines may set levels below which health effects are not detected or unimportant, however this does not mean that if these levels are exceeded there will be significant health effects. The relevant guidance ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
vonne Weeber Vellington nternational Airport		General NOISE General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise /		Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the Introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and authoritative reference on the adverse effects of transportation noise on communities. WIAL opposes this amendment to extent that it is not clear of the nature or scale of changes proposed to be introduced by the submitters by including this reference with the Noise chapter of	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related research into effects of environmental noise on human health, the Guidelines are of questionable value in setting out district plan noise performance standards. Such health guidelines may set levels below which health effects are not detected or unimportant, however this does not mean that if these levels are exceeded there will be significant health effects. The relevant guidance ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	
Veolington nternational Airport mited	FS36.146	General NDISE General District wide Matters / Noise / General NDISE Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NDISE	Oppose	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the Introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and authoritative reference on the adverse effects of transportation noise on communities. WAL opposes this amendment to extent that it is not clear of the nature or scale of changes proposed to be introduced by the submitters by including this reference with the Noise chapter of the Proposed Plan.	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related research into effects of environmental noise on human health, the Guidelines are of questionable value in setting out district plan noise performance standards. Such health guidelines may set levels below which health effects are not detected or unimportant, however this does not mean that if these levels are exceeded there will be significant health effects. The relevant guidance ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Vellington International Airport imited Restaurant Brands		General NOISE General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE		Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the Introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and authoritative reference on the adverse effects of transportation noise on communities. WIAL opposes this amendment to extent that it is not clear of the nature or scale of changes proposed to be introduced by the submitters by including this reference with the Noise chapter of	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions RELECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related research into effects of environmental noise on human health, the Guidelines are of questionable value in setting out district plan noise performance standards. Such health guidelines may set levels below which health effects are not detected or unimportant, however this does not mean that if these levels are exceeded there will be significant health effects. The relevant guidance ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other ACCEPT submission point, except to the scent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other ACCEPT submission point, except to	
Veolington nternational Airport mited	FS36.146	General NOISE General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE General District wide Matters / Noise /	Oppose	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the Introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and authoritative reference on the adverse effects of transportation noise on communities. WAL opposes this amendment to extent that it is not clear of the nature or scale of changes proposed to be introduced by the submitters by including this reference with the Noise chapter of the Proposed Plan.	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related research into effects of environmental noise on human health, the Guidelines are of questionable value in setting out district plan noise performance standards. Such health guidelines may set levels below which health effects are not detected or unimportant, however this does not mean that if these levels are exceeded there will be significant health effects. The relevant guidance ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decision point, except to the extent that modifications are	Yes
Wellington nternational Airport imited Jestaurant Brands imited	F\$36.146 349.34	General NDISE General District wide Matters / Noise / General NDISE Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NDISE General District wide Matters / Noise /	Oppose Support	Considers that the introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the Introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and authoritative reference on the adverse effects of transportation noise on communities. WIAL opposes this amendment to extent that it is not clear of the nature or scale of changes proposed to be introduced by the submitters by including this reference with the Noise chapter of the Proposed Plan. Support	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region. Disallow Retain NOISE – Te Oro – Noise as notified.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related research into effects of environmental noise on human health, the Guidelines are of questionable value in setting out district plan noise performance standards. Such health guidelines may set levels below which health effects are not detected or unimportant, however this does not mean that if these levels are exceeded there will be significant health effects. The relevant guidance ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Vellington Iternational Airport imited estaurant Brands	FS36.146	General NOISE General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE General District wide Matters / Noise /	Oppose	Considers that the Introduction to the 'Noise' chapter should be amended to recognise the 2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the Introduction as these guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and authoritative reference on the adverse effects of transportation noise on communities. WAL opposes this amendment to extent that it is not clear of the nature or scale of changes proposed to be introduced by the submitters by including this reference with the Noise chapter of the Proposed Plan.	Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Although the 2018 guidelines represent a worthy summary of health-related research into effects of environmental noise on human health, the Guidelines are of questionable value in setting out district plan noise performance standards. Such health guidelines may set levels below which health effects are not detected or unimportant, however this does not mean that if these levels are exceeded there will be significant health effects. The relevant guidance ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decision point, except to the extent that modifications are	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Strathmore Park Residents Association Inc	371.1	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Amend	Considers that a programme not less than the Quieter Homes requirement be also applied to existing homes which become located within the 60dB Outer Air Noise Overlay, and further that the programme be actioned in a much more timely manner than that to date homes within the ANB. The submitters experience to date with properties inside the ANB is that the "Quieter Homes" insulation and ventilation requirement for the defined existing homes within our Suburb has now been delayed for over 20 years since the recommendation became operative & now for 10 years since the detailed proposals and (the 650) house locations were publicly notified. To date the submitter understands that just over 100 existing houses have been treated & also up to 50 or so have been purchased & demolished. Accordingly the submitter requests that a time limit be imposed to provide Quieter Homes remediation from the time that they are formally identified to be within the 60dB contour.	Seeks the addition of rule(s) to require the Quieter Homes ventilation and/or insulation are for existing homes within the 60dB Outer Air Noise Overlay. And impose a time limit to provide the Quieter Homes package in a more timely manner once they are formally identified to be within the 60dB Outer Noise Overlay.	ACCEPT IN PART this submission point. Council understands the Quieter Homes programme will be extended to cover all properties within the Outer Air Noise Overlay. However this is a matter for the Air Noise Committee and WAL, also requiring updating of the provisions of the AMMP. Regarding timeframes for the Airport to complete implementation of the Quieter Homes Programme, this is not considered a district plan matter -	Yes
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.148	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	As set out in WIAL's primary submission, it is inappropriate for the Noise chapter to duplicate aircraft noise management and mitigation measures imposed on WIAL through the recently settled Main Site and East Side Area Designations. Furthermore, the Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of the Proposed Plan review.	Disallow	this would be developed by WIAL in REJECT submission point for reasons given above row 363 and row 317 (in response to submission 406.437)	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.160	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Support	Kalinga Ora supports the request as this will enhance residential intensification outcomes and a well- functioning urban environment.	Allow	REJECT submission point for reasons given above row 10 (in response to submission 371.1)	Yes
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.99	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Support	Supports the introduction to the Noise Chapter.	Retain the introduction to the Noise Chapter.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.100	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Support	The Noise chapter is generally supported.	Retain the Noise Chapter, with amendment.	ACCEPT IN PART, REJECT AMENDMENT from submission point. See 372.102	Yes
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.284	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose in part	All rules in the Noise chapter are opposed due to the fact that the technical nature of these breaches requires technical and/or engineering assessments, and public participation by way of limited or public notification will unlikely add anything to the consideration of the effects of these breaches. Amendments sought.	Opposes all Rules in the Noise chapter and seeks amendment.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. Suggested approach is contrary to NZ Standards and establish noise management methods.	Yes
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.149	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	WIAL opposes the submission to the extent that it would provide for a more flexible land use management framework than WIAL has sought in its primary submission for noise sensitive activities to establish within the Air Noise Boundary and 606B dat Motise Boundary at Wellington International	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
New Zealand Defence Force	FS104.14		Oppose	Oppose the deletion of all rules in the Noise Chapter.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.114		Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.114	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Fanbling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	Yes
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.285	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Amend	Considers that all rules in the Noise chapter should have a notification preclusion statement (for both public and limited notification) for restricted discretionary activities. The technical nature of these breaches requires technical and/or engineering assessments, and public participation by way of limited or public notification will unlikely add anything to the consideration of the effects of these breaches. Particularly, the notification statuses for SUB-R1 generally relate to the land use activity and associated standards, and the subdivision itself is not generating additional effects that should trigger notification.	Amend all Rules in the Noise chapter to include a notification preclusion statement for activities under Restricted Discretionary as follows: <u>Notification:</u> <u>Applications under this rule are precluded from being publicly or limited notified in accordance with section 95A or section 95B of the RMA.</u>	REJECT submission point. The case for adopting a notification preclusion statement for activities under Restricted Discretionary has not been made. Notification decisions on each application is best assessed under existing RNA provisions.	Yes
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.66	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	Considers it necessary to be notified of activities that cannot comply with noise provisions when located near the rail corridor to ensure KiwiRail has the opportunity to be included in the planning assessment process. Considers the relief sought should be REJECTd because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of people of Wellington City; and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the dobiectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
New Zealand Defence Force	FS104.15	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	Oppose proposed amendment to preclude both public and limited notification for activities that would require resource consent as Restricted Discretionary Activity with respect to a rule in the Noise Chapter. Notification decisions should involve consideration of the proposal with respect to the subject site, surrounding environment, proposed effects, along with measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects, in order to reach a conclusion on the overall level of effects in terms of		the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.115	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	Yes
yman Healthcare imited	FS128.115	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	Yes
Vellington nternational Airport td	406.404	General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Amend	Supports the specific recognition afforded to aircraft activities.	Retain NOISE chapter introduction as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Guardians of the Bays nc	FS44.76	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Support	Seeks recognition of aircraft noise in the General District Wide Matters for Noise. [Inferred reference to submission 406:404]	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late Further submission accepted as per Minute B	FS139.95	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Vellington nternational Airport td	406.405	General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Amend	Considers that a new suite of policies is required to address the management of noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary and 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Add new Policy to NOISE chapter as follows: NOISE-P7 Management of Activities Sensitive to Aircraft Noise: Within the Air Noise Boundary or 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary; 1. Avoid the establishment of new noise sensitive activities within the Open Space, Natural Open. Space and General Industrial Zones; 2. Discourage the establishment of new or the intensification of existing noise sensitive activities within all other zones unless the reverse sensitivity effects on Wellington International Airport can be appropriately avoided.	REJECT submission point. The Noise chapter seeks a balance between residential intensification and minimising potential reverse sensitivity effects in proximity to the Airport (i.e., within the Inner and Outer Air Noise overlays). Residential and other activities remain permitted throughout urban areas which may also receive some aircraft noise. The degree of Intensification in noise affected areas is managed via NOSE R3. Acoustic insulation requirements for new or altered habitable rooms (and accompanying ventilation requirements) are set out in NOISE- S4, S5 and S6 are not considered a	No
Suardians of the Bays	FS44.77	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Oppose	Seeks recognition that there may need to be more policies within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are concerned however that WAL additions and amendments go to far in relationship to normal activities that occur within the zones of the Air Noise Boundary overlay. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are also concerned that WAL proposed changes are based on 'anticipating' (forward forecasting) more noise in the next 30 years within these areas with no actual factual information. Considers that WAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise policies, within the plan but not 'restrict' normal development within these areas.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbl s.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
Kāinga Ora — Homes and Communities	FS89.125	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes the new policy which is a duplication of other policies in the NOISE chapter and specific zone chapters.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
board of Airline tepresentatives of New Zealand Inc *Late urther submission coccepted as per Minute	FS139.96	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT Submisssion point as per response to submission point 406.405 above.	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.406	General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Amend	Considers that a new suite of policies is required to address the management of noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary and 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Add new Policy to NOISE chapter as follows: <u>NOISE-P8: Acoustic treatment of activities sensitive to aircraft noise</u> <u>Require, as necessary, sound insulation and/or mechanical ventilation within any new buildings or</u> <u>any additions or alterations to existing buildings that contain noise sensitive activities within the Air</u> <u>Noise Boundary or 60dB Ldn noise contour.</u>	REJECT submission point. The Noise chapter seeks a balance between residential intensification and minimising potential reverse sensitivity effects in proximity to the Airport (I.e., within the Inner and Outer Air Noise overlays). Residential and other activities remain permitted throughout urban areas which may also receive some aircraft noise. The degree of Intensification in noise affected areas is managed via NOISE R3. Acoustic insulation requirements for new or altered habitable rooms (and accompanying ventilation requirements) are set out in NOISE- 54, S5 and S6 are not considered a	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.78	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Oppose	Seeks recognition that there may need to be more policies within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are concerned however that WAL additions and amendments go to far in relationship to normal activities that occur within the zones of the Air Noise Boundary overlay. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are also concerned that WIAL proposed changes are based on 'anticipating' (forward forecasting) more noise in the next 30 years within these areas with no actual factual information. Considers that WIAL Subject the working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise policies, within the plan but not 'restrict' normal development within these areas.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbl s.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.126	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	Kåinga Ora opposes the new policy which is a duplication of other policies. It is noted that WIAL have not sought to add a definition for the 60dB Ldn noise contour although it is assumed this may be the same as the 60dB Ldn noise boundary. Kåinga Ora opposes any consequential amendments sought by WIAL which remove reference to the Inner and Outer Noise Overlays in favour of reference to the Air Noise Boundary which are clearer	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.97	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT Submisssion point as per response to submission point 406.405 above.	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.407	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Amend	Submitter expresses concern about the approach to Aircraft noise and land use effects in the NOISE chapter. Considers that the PDP is more permissive than the NZ Standard for Airport Noise Management and Land Use Planning recommendations, but less permissive than the Operative District Plan. Considers that the decision requested will recognise that aircraft noise is anticipated to increase over time, therefore the noise environment that is experienced now is not the noise environment that will be experienced, 10, 20 or 30 years into the future as aircraft operations increase within the authorised limits of the ANB and 60dB Ldn.	Seeks that all new noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary or 60dB ldn noise boundary should be subject to a resource consent requirement and WIAL being considered an affected party to any application under section 95E of the RMA.	ACCEPT in part. Affected party status is appropriate within the Inner Noise Overlay.	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.79	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Oppose	Seckirs cognition that there may need to be more policies within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are concerned however that WAL additions and amendments go to far in relationship to normal activities that occur within the zones of the Air Noise Boundary overlay. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are also concerned that WAL proposed changes are based on 'anticipating' (forward forecasting) more noise in the next 30 years within these areas with no actual factual information. Considers that WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise policies, within the plan but not 'restrict' normal development within these areas.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbl s.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.127	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes the decision sought which would result in a significant constraint to development within zones appropriately zoned for noise sensitive activities and where adverse effects can be adequately managed.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Strathmore Park Residents Association Incorporated	FS122.1	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	Considers WIAL is seeking to enforce Resource Consent rules on property owners for noise sensitive activities that are almost all community related. Also they wish their staus to be as interested party. Considers this is giving an elevated status to the polluter.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.98	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT Submisssion point as per response to submission point 406.407 above.	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.408	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Seeks that the Outer Air Noise Overlay is amemded to establish a policy framework where resource consents can be REJECTd within existing residential zones for noise sensitive activities on reverse sensitivity grounds;	REJECT submission point. The Noise chapter seeks a balance between residential intensification and minimising potential reverse sensitivity effects in proximity to the	No
						Airport (I.e., within the Inner and Outer Air Noise overlays). Residential and other activities remain permitted throughout urban areas which may also receive some aircraft noise. The	
						degree of intensification in noise affected areas is managed via NOISE R3. Acoustic insulation requirements for new or altered habitable rooms (and accompanying ventilation requirements) are set out in NOISE-	
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.80	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / General NOISE	Oppose	Seeks recognition that there may need to be more policies within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Guardians of the Bays incorporated are concerned however that WIAL additions and amendments go to far in relationship to normal activities that occur within the zones of the Air Noise Boundary overlay. Guardians of the Bays incorporated are also concerned that WIAL proposed changes are based on 'anticipating' (forward forecasting) more noise in the next 30 years within these areas with no actual factual information. Considers that WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise policies, within the plan but not 'restrict' normal development within these areas.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbl s.	S4, S5 and S6 are not considered a ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.128	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes the decision sought on the basis that adverse effects from noise can be appropriately managed and the concept of reverse sensitivity is not supported.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.99	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT Submisssion point as per response to submission point 406.405 above.	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.104	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Support in part	Supports the inclusion of noise controls, however, has proposed the standard noise control sought by KiwiRail which provides an alternative framework for developers to achieve a compliance pathway, thus providing greater flexibility. KiwiRail is also seeking vibration controls to ensure that vibration effects are appropriately addressed.	Supports the inclusion of noise controls, with amendments.	ACCEPT IN PART without amendment sought. Accept submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
Save Our Venues	445.5 445.6	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE General District wide	Not specified Amend	confronted with the possibility of closure where residential urban densification has not coincided with adequate protections within the current WCC District Plan for their intrinsic need to produce sound. Considers that protecting established and new venues in the urban mixed-use areas is crucial and would significantly contribute to a sustainable future for live music in the city. Considers that there is a reality that a new neighbour has the right to complain about the sound output of a music venue and restrict their ability to operate at a reasonable volume is an existential threat to their continued viability.	Not specified.	ACCEPT IN PART - We consider that an "Entertainment Precinct' should be existing Courtney Place High Noise Area to allow for live music as a permitted activity within the city in areas where there may be a higher concentration of activities of this nature. Introduction of an "Entertainment Precinct" or extension of the Courtney Place High Noise Area by increasing the sound insulation required within a greater area where live music venues, for example, are generally located would help mitigate REJECT IN PART as noise control	Yes
Save Our venues	445.0	General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Amend	Considers that there are significant issues with current Noise Control enforcement processes. Considers that the current methodology of measuring sound is subjective and places the onus on the complainent. Considers that a model where measuring the sound at the boundary of the property from where the sound is emanating, as well as inside the complainant's property, would enable Council to ensure that both the venue and the residential property are compliant with noise standards and acoustic mitigation standards respectively. This will allow for mediation between both parties and ensure reasonable steps can be taken to mitigate noise before the venue is restricted in its ability to operate at all. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Seeks that a standardised objective model for Noise control should be incorporated into the new District Plan.	REJECT IN PART as noise control enforcement is not as matter the plan provides for and is a matter for the Council enforcement team outside of the District Plan Review Process. The required noise level limites for noise emitted from all permitted activities within "Central" areas, inclusive of live music venues, are detailed in Table 18 - APP 4 of The Plan and measurement of noise must be in accordance with New Zealand Standards.	INU

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Guardians of the Bays	452.29	General District wide	Amend	Considers amendments are required to the introduction to provide further information on the	Amend paragraph two of the introduction as follows:	ACCEPT in part. The Noise chapter	Yes
		Matters / Noise /		airport noise issues within the introduction. A major change is occurring with the development of the	The air noise boundary overlays (inner and outer) place development restrictions on properties	seeks a balance between residential	
		General NOISE		Outer Air Noise Overlay and it deserves being recognised in the introduction of this chapter.	affected by Wellington International Airport.	intensification and minimising	
						potential reverse sensitvity effects in	
						proximity to the Airport (I.e., within	
						the Inner and Outer Air Noise	
						overlays). Residential and other	
						activities remain permitted	
						throughout urban areas which may	
						also receive some aircraft noise. The	
						degree of intensification in noise	
						affected areas is managed via NOISE	
						R3. Acoustic insulation requirements	
						for new or altered habitable rooms	
						(and accompanying ventilation	
						requirements) are set out in NOISE-	
Guardians of the Bays	452.30	General District wide	Amend	Considers amendments are required to introduction to recognise the 2018 World Health	Amend introduction to include the wording as follows:	REJECT submission point. Although	Yes
		Matters / Noise /		Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region in the introduction as these	2018 World Health Organisation Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region.	the 2018 guidelines represent a	
		General NOISE		guidelines provide up to date research on adverse effects to aircraft noise on people over an		worthy summary of health-related	
		deneraritoise		extended period. The 2018 WHO Guidelines are a widely accepted contemporary and authoritative		research into effects of	
				reference on the adverse effects of transportation noise on communities.		environmental noise on human	
				reference on the daverse energy of transportation holde on communities.		health, the Guidelines are of	
						questionable value in setting out	
						district plan noise performance	
						standards. Such health guidelines	
						may set levels below which health	
						effects are not detected or	
						unimportant, however this does not	
						mean that if these levels are	
						exceeded there will be significant	
						health effects. The relevant guidance	
		a . a / a				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Wellington	FS36.145	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	WIAL is not opposed to the introduction of this text in principle, however does not support the	Disallow / Seeks that part of the submission be disallowed.		Yes
International Airport		wide Matters / Noise /		drafting as set out in this submission.		the extent that modifications are	
Limited		General NOISE				introduced by decisions on other	
Wellington	FS36.147	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	WIAL opposes this amendment to extent that it is not clear of the nature or scale of changes	Disallow		Yes
International Airport		wide Matters / Noise /		proposed to be introduced by the submitters by including this reference with the Noise chapter of		the extent that modifications are	
Limited		General NOISE		the Proposed Plan.		introduced by decisions on other	
New Zealand	40.6	General District wide	Amend	Considers that the PDP should provide for the intermittent use of rural airstrips and helicopter	Add a new rule NOISE-R14 (General Rural Zone, Open Space Zone and Natural Open Space Zone) as	REJECT submission point. There is no	NO
Agricultural Aviation	1	Matters / Noise / New	1	landing areas by agricultural aircraft for the purposes of agricultural aviation including primary	follows:	RMA purpose served by providing in	
Association	1	NOISE	1	production and conservation purposes as a permitted activity.		any specific way for noise from	
	1		1		General Rural Zone, Open Space Zone and Natural Open Space Zone	intermittent use of rural airstrips and	
	1		1			helicopter landing areas by	
	1				Activity Status: Permitted:	agricultural aircraft for the purposes	
	1		1			of agricultural aviation including	
	1		1		Agricultural aviation noise for the purposes of agricultural aviation activities for primary production	primary production and conservation	
	1	1	1		and conservation purposes.	purposes	

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.157	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	may need to locate anywhere within the urban and rural environment. Noise will be produced on site by operational activities such as cleaning and maintaining equipment, training activities and noise produced by emergency sirens. Training may take place anywhere between 7:00am and 10:00pm. Cleaning and maintenance will generally take place during the day; however, it can take place after a call out which can occur at any time. Generally, FRV has assessed that a fire station will be capable of meeting the standards set out in NZ5 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits), with the exemption of noise created by emergency sirens (discussed later). Some of the noise limits within residential zones in the district plan are more restrictive than those provided for in NZ5 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits). In order to ensure that fire stations and associated training activities can take place in residential areas in compliance with the District Plan, it is necessary that a new or amended rule makes an allowance for such essential activities within both urban and rural environments, within reasonable limits. It is considered that the standards within NZ5 6802:2008 (Table 3) set reasonable limits for the protection of residential anemity in accordance with NOISEP1. Notwithstanding the above, it is not possible for emergency sirens to comply with NZ5 6802:2008 (Table 3). Sirens play a crucial role in facilitating a prompt emergency response and can be the most effect means of communication in alerting volunteers who generally live and work in close proximity to fire stations. Sirens also provide assurance to the people who have made the call and the general public that help is on its way. Allowing noise associated with the operation of emergency services provides for the operational requirements of FENZ and enables it to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that provides for the ongoing health and safety of people and communities.	Add new NOISE objective: <u>NOISE-OX</u> Where the locational, functional or operational needs are such that activities of importance to the community could not otherwise meet noise and vibration standards, enable these activities by allowing a whole or partial exemption, or relaxation, from those noise standards.	REJECT Submission point. 6802:2008 provides maximum case residential noise limits as guidelines and the Standard recognises that District Plans set their own limits as justified. The noise level limits within the proposed plan have not changed from the operative plan, and unless evidence is provided to suggest that their operation has been significantly effected by these limits there is no reason to increase the permitted noise from these activities. Regarding emergency services noise, the proposed plan states in it's introduction that: The following activities are exempt from the rules and standards contained in this chapter. They are: 5. Any warning device or siren used by emergency services for civil defence or emergency purposes (and routine testing and maintenance); 6. The use of generators and mobile equipment (including vehicles) when	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.158	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	As such, FENZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated Considers that due to urban growth, population changes and commitments to response times, FENZ may need to locate anywhere within the urban and rural environment. Noise will be produced on site by operational activities such as cleaning and maintaining equipment, training activities and noise produced by emergency sirens. Training may take place anywhere between 7:00am and 10:00pm. Cleaning and maintenance will generally take place during the day; however, it can take place after a call out which can occur at any time. Generally, FENZ has assessed that a fire station will be capable of meeting the standards set out in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits), with the exemption of noise created by emergency sirens (discussed later). Some of the noise limits within residential zones in the district plan are more restrictive than those provided for in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits). In order to ensure that fire stations and associated training activities can take place in residential areas in compliance with the District Plan, it is necessary that a new or amended rule makes an allowance for such essential activities within hords nad rural environments, within reasonable limits. It is considered that the standards within NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3) set reasonable limits for the protection of residential amenity in accordance with NOISEP1. Notwithstanding the above, it is not possible for emergency response and can be the most effect means of communication in alerting volunteers who generally live and work in close proximity to fire stations. Sirens also provide assurance to the people who have made the call and the generall public that help is on its way. Allowing noise associated with the operation of emergency services provides for the operation of FNZ and enables it to meet it statutory obligations in a manner that provides for the ongoing health and safet	Add new NOISE policy: <u>NOISE-PX</u> To allow a marginal relaxation of noise standards, but not exceeding National Standards, where the noise generating activity is of importance to the safety of the community, such as the operation of emergency services.	testing and maintenance not	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.159	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	Considers that due to urban growth, population changes and commitments to response times, FENZ may need to locate anywhere within the urban and rural environment. Noise will be produced on site by operational activities such as cleaning and maintaining equipment, training activities and noise produced by emergency sirens. Training may take place anywhere between 7:00am and 10:00pm. Cleaning and maintenance will generally take place anywhere between 7:00am and 10:00pm. Cleaning and maintenance will generally take place anywhere between 7:00am and 10:00pm. Cleaning and maintenance will generally take place during the day; however, it can take place after a call out which can occur at any time. Generally, FENZ has assessed that a fire station will be capable of meeting the standards set out in NZ5 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits), with the exemption of noise created by emergency sirens (discussed later). Some of the noise limits within residential zones in the district plan are more restrictive than those provided for in NZ5 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits). In order to ensure that fire stations and associated training activities can take place in residential areas in compliance with the District Plan, it is necessary that a new or amended rule makes an allowance for such essential activities within both urban and rural environments, within reasonable limits for the protection of residential anneity in accordance with NOESEP1. Notwithstanding the above, it is not possible for emergency sirens to comply with NZ5 6802:2008 (Table 3). Sirens play a crucial role in facilitating a prompt emergency response and can be the most effect means of communication in alerting volunteers who generally live and work in close proximity to fire stations. Sirens also provide assurance to the people who have made the call and the general public that help is on its way. Allowing noise associated with the operation of emergency vervices provides for the operational requirement	NOISE-PX To allow noisy activities of limited duration and frequency which are of importance to the community, such as noise associated with the operation of emergency services and temporary military training activities, subject to appropriate controls.	REJECT as addressed in 273.157	No
New Zealand Defence Force	FS104.12	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Support	Support the intent of including a new Noise Policy on the basis that noise generating activities that are of importance to the community, such as temporary military training activities (TMTA), should be provided for in the Proposed Plan, subject to appropriate controls. However, if the submitters relief is accepted, a new definition of "activities of importance to the community" should be included in Part 1 – Introduction and General Provisions – Definitions, of the Plan, XDET also seeks to clarify that the majority of TMTA are not typically "noisy activities". Higher noise generating TMTA is limited to noise resulting from discharge of ammunition or explosives, which is subject to specific management through the District Plan. Such activities are much less likely to take place than the essential activities	Amend / Seeks that the submission be allowed in part to include the proposed new Noise Policy. If the submitter's relief is accepted, then define "Activities of Importance to the Community" in Part 1 of the Proposed Plan.	REJECT as addressed in 273.157. Redundant with Rejection of this submittal due to inclusion of points 5. and 6. in the troduction in the noise chapter.	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.160	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	Notes that due to urban growth, population changes and commitments to response times, FENZ may need to locate anywhere within the urban and rural environment. Noise will be produced on site by operational activities such as cleaning and maintaining equipment, training activities and noise produced by emergency sirens. Training may take place anywhere between 7:00am and 10:00pm. Cleaning and maintenance will generally take place during the day; however, it can take place after a call out which can occur at any time. Generally, FENZ has assessed that a fire station will be capable of meeting the standards set out in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits), with the exemption of noise created by emergency sirens. Some of the noise limits within residential zones in the district plan are more restrictive than those provided for in NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits). In order to ensure that fire stations and associated training activities can take place in residential areas in compliance with the District Plan, it is necessary that a new or amended rule makes an allowance for such essential activities within burban and rural environments. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE -03 and policy NOISE -PS, FENZ also has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens. FENZ therefore also seeks the addition of an exemption for noise activity under new rule NOISE -R16 to ensure that emergency	Add new NOISE rule: <u>NOISE -RX:</u> <u>Noise from Emergency Services Facilities and Temporary Emergency Services Training Activity (All <u>zones)</u> <u>1. Activity status: Permitted</u> <u>Where:</u> <u>a. Compliance is achieved with the following standards:</u> <u>i. NOISE-S107</u> <u>ii. NOISE-S107 <u>ii. NOISE-S107</u> <u>ii. NOISE-S107 <u>ii. NOISE-S107</u> <u>ii. NOISE-S107 <u>ii. NOISE-S107</u> <u>ii. NOISE-S107 <u>ii. NOISE-S107 <u>ii. NOISE-S107 <u>ii. NOISE-S107 <u>ii. NOISE-S107 <u>ii. NOISE-S107</u> <u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u>	REJECT as addressed in 273.157	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.161	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	As noted in the previous submission point, FENZ proposes a new standard that has a partial relaxation on some of the DP's residential noise standards to allow for the operational needs of fire stations in residential areas.	Add new NOISE standard: <u>NOISE-SX</u> <u>NOISE-SX</u> <u>NOISE-SX</u> <u>Activity within All Zones as received in other areas (All Zones) must not exceed the guideline residential upper noise limits set out at NZS 6802:2008 (Table 3 - Guideline residential upper noise limits), as identified below, unless otherwise permitted in accordance with NOISE-S1. <u>Table X - Guideline residential upper noise limits</u> <u>Davtime: 55dB Laeq (15min)</u> <u>Night-time: 45dB Laeq (15min)</u> <u>Night-time Lmax: 75dB LAFmax</u></u>	REJECT as addressed in 273.157	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Bruce Crothers	319.15	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	Considers that there should be stronger noise restrictions for aircraft and stricter limits on the number of flights unless they are electrically powered and much quieter.	Seeks stronger noise restrictions for aircrafts, including limits on the number of flights allowed.	ACCEPT IN PART Submitter requests an approach to controlling aircraft noise using restrictions on numbers of flights is contrary to the noise management approach of NS56805:1992, the Standard on which an established and reasonably successful noise management regime has been in place for many years. Use of electric aircraft has been included in the aircraft noise predictions adopted as a basis for the	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.150	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	As set out in WIAL's primary submission, it is inappropriate for the Noise chapter to duplicate aircraft noise management and mitigation measures imposed on WIAL through the recently settled Main Site and East Side Area Designations. Furthermore, the Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of the Proposed Plan review.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.409	General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Amend	[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Add new Objective to NOISE chapter as follows: NOISE-O3: Reverse sensitivity effects on Wellington International Airport Wellington International Airport is protected from reverse sensitivity effects.	REJECT submission point. There is no RMA purpose served by elevating the issue of reverse sensitivity protection of the aiport beyond that acheived by the more general wording of NOISE- O2.	No
Guardians of the Bays inc	FS44.81	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Oppose	Seeks recognition that there may need to be more policies within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are concerned however that WAL additions and amendments go to far in relationship to normal activities that occur within the zones of the Air Noise Boundary overlay. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are also concerned that WAL proposed changes are based on 'anticipating' (forward forecasting) more noise in the next 30 years within these areas with no actual factual information. Considers that WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise policies, within the plan but not 'restrict' normal development within these areas.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbl s.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.83	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise reverse sensitivity issues but are concerned the changes are too onerous on residential property owners to 'remedy and mitigate' against all Wellington Airports noise which is 'protected'. [Inferred reference to submission 406.409]	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbl s.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.85	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays incorporated recognise that there may need to be more objectives, Standards, within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. However, these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of a coustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue financial burden on the homeowners in these properties to undertake modifications and additions.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbl.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.129	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes the introduction of the proposed objective which has the potential to unnecessarily constrain urban development where adverse effects from existing noise generating activities such as the airport can be appropriately managed.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late urther submission Iccepted as per Minute	FS139.100	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT Submisssion point as per response to submission point 406.409 above.	No
Wellington nternational Airport td	406.410	General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Amend	[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Add new Objective to NOISE chapter as follows: NOISE-O4: Noise Management at Wellington International Airport The adverse effects of noise from Wellington International Airport on noise sensitive activities are appropriately remedied or mitigated.	REJECT submission point. There is no RMA purpose served by elevating the issue of noise management at Wellington International Airport beyond that acheived by the more	
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.84	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise reverse sensitivity issues but are concerned the changes are too onerous on residential property owners to 'remedy and mitigate' against all Wellington Airports noise which is 'protected'. [Inferred reference to submission 406.410]	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbl s.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.86	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more objectives, Standards, within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. However, these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue financial burden on the homeowners in these properties to undertake modifications and additions.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbl.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
loard of Airline lepresentatives of lew Zealand Inc *Late urther submission iccepted as per Minute	FS139.101	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT Submisssion point as per response to submission point 406.410 above.	No
Vellington Iternational Airport Id	406.411	General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Amend	[See paragraphs 4.65 to 4.72 of original submission for full reason] [Submitter is seeking independent expert advice on the specific ventilation requirements. NOISE-S17 is drafted based on the general structure of NOISE-S6, with the starting assumption being that the Council has undertaken technical assessments to confirm the standard is fit for purpose. Accordingly submitter reserves its position on this matter in the context of this submission]	Add new Standard to NOISE chapter as follows: NOISE-516 Acoustic Treatment – Noise Sensitive Activities within the Air Noise Boundary or 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary <u>All Zones</u> 1. Any new habitable room within the Air Noise Boundary or 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary must be designed and constructed to achieve an internal level of Ldn 40dB with doors and windows closed. The certification of an approved acoustical engineer will be accepted as evidence that the design meets the insulation standard.	REJECT submission point. There is no RMA purpose served by adopting the wording sought for new standards referring to acoustic insulation and associated ventilation of habitable room above that achieved by the integrated approach under NOISE-Sd, s and SG. Proposed amendments to NOISE-SG represent improved standards for room ventilation aimed at improving thermal comfort and living conditions while remaining protected from outdoor noise. Reject Submission Point which proposes introduces two new acoustic insulation performance Standards for aircraft noise insulation purposes only. The submitter proposes NOISE- SLG and NOISE-SL7 as new standards applying within areas the submitter describes as "within the Air Noise Boundary". This is more correctly referred to as the Inner Air Noise Boundary. Adopting this approach is boundary. Adopting this approach is considered in appropriate and not recommended due to:	No
Guardians of the Bays inc	FS44.82	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Oppose	Seeks recognition that there may need to be more policies within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are concerned however that WIAL additions and amendments go to far in relationship to normal activities that occur within the zones of the Air Noise Boundary overlay. Guardians of the Bays Incorporated are also concerned that WIAL proposed changes are based on 'anticipating' (forward forecasting) more noise in the next 30 years within these areas with no actual factual information. Considers that WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise policies, within the plan but not 'restrict' normal development within these areas.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbl s.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
iuardians of the Bays	FS44.87	wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays incorporated recognise that there may need to be more objectives, Standards, within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. However, these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue financial burden on the homeowners in these properties to undertake modifications and additions.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbl.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
āinga Ora – Homes nd Communities	FS89.130	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes the new standard which is a duplication of standards NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S5.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
oard of Airline lepresentatives of lew Zealand Inc *Late urther submission ccepted as per Minute	FS139.102	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT Submisssion point as per response to submission point 406.411 above.	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?													
Wellington International Airport	406.412	General District wide Matters / NOISE / New	Amend	[See paragraphs 4.65 to 4.72 of original submission for full reason]	Add new Standard to NOISE chapter as follows:	REJECT submission point. There is no RMA purpose served by adopting the	No													
Ltd		NOISE		is drafted based on the general structure of NOISE-S6, with the starting assumption being that the	NOISE-S17 Acoustic Treatment – Noise Sensitive Activities within the Air Noise Boundary or 60B Ldn. Noise Boundary	wording sought for new standards referring to acoustic insulation and associated ventilation of habitable														
				Council has undertaken technical assessments to confirm the standard is fit for purpose. Accordingly submitter reserves its position on this matter in the context of this submission]	<u>All Zones</u>	room above that achieved by the integrated approach under NOISE-S4,														
					1. The internal design level in NOISE-S16 must be achieved at the same time as the ventilation requirements of the New Zealand Building Code. An alternative means of ventilation must be	s5 and S6. Proposed amendments to NOISE-S6 represent improved														
					provided unless compliance with the above acoustic insulation standards can be met with ventilating windows open.	at improving thermal comfort and														
					2. Where a habitable room relies on openable windows to meet the ventilation requirements of the. New Zealand Building Code, and where these windows must remain closed to achieve compliance	living conditions while remaining protected from outdoor noise. Reject Submission Point which proposes														
					with NOISE-S4, a positive supplementary source of fresh air ducted from outside is required at the time of fit-out. For the purposes of this requirement, a bedroom is any room intended to be used for	introduces two new acoustic														
					sleeping. The supplementary source of air is to achieve a minimum of 7.5 litres per second per person; and	aircraft noise insulation purposes only. The submitter proposes NOISE-														
					 Confirmation of compliance with this standard will be required by a qualified professional. 	S16 and NOISE-S17 as new standards applying within areas the submitter describes as "within the Air Noise														
						Boundary or 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary". This is more correctly														
						referred to as the Inner Air Noise Boundary and Outer Air Noise Boundary. Adopting this approach is														
						considered in appropriate and not recommended due to:														
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.88	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE /	Oppose	within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. However, these are major changes to the plan	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	No													
		New NOISE	New NOISE	New NOISE	New NOISE	New NOISE	New NOISE	New NOISE	New NOISE	New NOISE	New NOISE	New NOISE	New NOISE	New NOISE	New NOISE	New NOISE	that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve	Boundary and 60dbl.	introduced by decisions on other submissions	
				the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue financial burden on the homeowners in these properties to undertake modifications and additions.																
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.131	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise /	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes the new standard which is a duplication of standard NOISE-S6.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	No													
Board of Airline Representatives of	FS139.103	New NOISE Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise /	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	introduced by decisions on other REJECT Submission point as per response to submission point	No													
New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute		New NOISE				406.412 above.														
3 Wellington	406.413	General District wide	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Seeks a requirement that acoustic treatment and/or mechanical ventilation for new, or additions or	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	No													
International Airport Ltd Guardians of the Bays	FS44.89	Matters / Noise / New NOISE Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more objectives, Standards,	alterations to existing buildings containing noise sensitive activities. Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden	introduced by decisions on other	No													
Inc	1311103	wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	oppose	within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. However, these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue financial burden on the homeowners in these properties to undertake modifications and additions.	on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbl.															
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.67	General District wide Matters / Noise / New	Support	Supports provisions requiring noise sensitive activities to have appropriate acoustic treatment and mechanical ventilation.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	No													
enniceu		NOISE		Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable		introduced by decisions on other submissions														
				management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling																
				Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the																
Kāinga Ora – Homes	FS89.132	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington Käinga Ora opposes the new standard would be duplication of standards NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S5.	Disallow	REJECT Submission point.	No													
and Communities		Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE		These standards already apply to alterations and additions to existing buildings.																

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.104	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.414	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Seeks that standalone reverse sensitivity requirements are added for noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary and Outer Air Noise Overlay.	REJECT submission point. Adequate reverse sensitivity protection controls under the 'restricted discretionary' provisions of NOISE R3.3 and acoustic insulation requirements for new or altered habitable rooms (and accompanying ventilation requirements) set out in NOISE-S4, S5 and S6 are considered, in combination, to the best practicable option for dealing with this issue	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.90	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more objectives, Standards, within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. However, these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue financial burden on the homeowners in these properties to undertake modifications and additions.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbl.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.133	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes the decision sought as it is unclear what the additional requirements would achieve, however, the requirements would unnecessarily constrain urban development where effects: can be appropriately mitigated.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.105	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT Submission point as per response to submission point 406.414 above.	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.415	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Seeks that military aircraft operations are given their own provisions within the NOISE chapter, where WIAL does not have control over the military aircraft operations.	REJECT Submission point. WIAL's noise expert has confirmed the contribution from military aircraft are very small compared to normal commercial air traffic using the airport. Including noise due to military aircraft movements to within the 'main' noise bucket is practical and an efficient way forward. This has already been agreed to within	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.91	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / New NOISE	Support	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated support military aircraft being subject to their own rules and standards where WIAL does not have control over military aircraft operations at Wellington International Airport.	Allow	REJECT Submission point as per response to submission point 406.415 above.	No
New Zealand Defence Force	FS104.13	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE		Amend the Noise Chapter as set out in NZDF's original submission (referenced by Council in the summary of submissions as 'NZDF Submission Point 423.20'). NZDF would be happy to undertake further discussion with WIAL on this matter.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.106	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT Submission point as per response to submission point 406.414 above.	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.105	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	Supports an alternative rule and standard framework. Seeks a new standard NOISE-SX to provide options for developers in achieving an appropriate level of amenity for residents who live within 100m of the rail corridor. KwiRail seeks that this standard be considered under the Part One Schedule 1 process of the RMA.	Add NOISE-SX as follows: [Refer to original submission for full detail of new NOISE-SX including a table detailing building type, occupancy/activity, and maximum railway noise level LAeq(1h)]. Within 100m of a Railway Corridor Indoor railway noise. 1. Any new building or alteration to an existing building that contains an activity sensitive to noise. where the building or alteration to an existing building that contains an activity sensitive to noise. where the building or alteration to an existing building that contains an activity sensitive to noise. (a) Shall be designed, constructed and maintained to achieve indoor design noise levels resulting from the railway not exceeding the maximum values in the following table; or. (b) is at least 50 metres from any railway network, and is designed so that a noise barrier completely. blocks line-of-sight from all parts of doors and windows, to all points 3.8 metres above railway tracks Indoor railway vibration 2. Any new buildings or alterations to existing buildings containing an activity sensitive to noise. (a) is designed, constructed and maintained to achieve rail vibration levels not exceeding 0.3 mm/s Yw.95 or (b) is a single-storey framed residential building with: i. a constant level floor slab on a full-surface vibration isolation bearing with natural frequency not. exceeding 10 Hz, installed in accordance with the supplier's instructions and recommendations; and ii. No rigid connections between the building and the ground. 3. A report is submitted to the council demonstrating compliance with clauses (1) to (2) above (as relevant prior to the construction or alteration of any building containing an activity sensitive to noise. In the design: (a) railway no site is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rate of 3 dB per doubling of distance up to 40 metres and 6 dB per- doubling of distance beyond 40 metres. Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed:	REJECT. See write up in Outline of Submission Themes, ref 408.105	NO
Onslow Residents Community Association	FS80.44	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Support	Supports noise and vibration requirements for developments near the railway corridor.	Allow / Seeks to incorporate changes as outlined by the submitter.	REJECT , as per Kiwirail 408.105 Response	No
Käinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.31	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / New Noise	Oppose	Kåinga Ora opposes the relief sought. Kåinga Ora considers that effects should only be mitigated by noise sensitive activities in the receiving environment following adopting of the Best Practicable Option ("BPO") to minimise and mitigate at source and in the vicinity of the corridor the off-site effects as far as possible. Restrictions on neighbouring noise sensitive activities should be no more stringent than necessary. Any such controls should be informed by evidential noise modelling.	Disallow	ACCEPT in part, as per Kiwirail 408.105 Response	No
Stride Investment Management Limited	FS107.21	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Oppose	Stride is opposed to the insertion of a new noise standard within 100m of the rail corridor. It is inappropriate to apply these requirements to such a great distance from the railway corridor, especially in the case of Johnsonville where there are no through trains or freight.	Disallow	ACCEPT, as per Kiwirail 408.105 Response	No
Investore Property Limited	FS108.21	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Oppose	Investore is opposed to the insertion of a new noise standard within 100m of the rail corridor. It is inappropriate to apply these requirements to such a great distance from the railway corridor, especially in the case of Johnsonville where there are no through trains or freight.	Disallow	ACCEPT, as per Kiwirail 408.105 Response	No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.170	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Oppose	The RVA acknowledges that acoustic insulation for noise and vibration may be appropriate in some areas located within or adjacent to high noise areas with a purpose of providing protection / amenity to residents in such areas. The RVA considers however that such requirements need to be determined on a case-by-case basis, with consideration given to the distance of noise sensitive	Disallow	ACCEPT, as per Kiwirail 408.105 Response	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.170	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Oppose	Ryman acknowledges that acoustic insulation for noise and vibration may be appropriate in some areas located within or adjacent to high noise areas with a purpose of providing protection / amenity to residents in such areas. Ryman considers however that such requirements need to be determined on a case-by-case basis, with consideration given to the distance of noise sensitive activities from	Disallow	ACCEPT, as per Kiwirail 408.105 Response	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.31	General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Amend	Considers an amendment to Rule four as Wellington Airport International Airport Limited Designation for the East Side Area does not allow take off or landing within the designation as per condition 34	Add new category of activity in NOISE-R4 as follows: <u>Activity Status: Prohibited activity</u> Where no activity for the landing and take off of helicopter will be granted within the East Side Area <u>designation</u> .	REJECT submission point. Prohobition status viewed as unecessary as this matter already covered within designation conditions. A restriction on helicopters operating within the East Side Area designation (conditon 34) already stipulates no aircraft take- off or landing can take place on land	Yes
Wellington Helicopters	FS5.2	Part 2/General District Wide Matters / Noise / New Noise	Oppose	There is already provisions in the airport noise plan to mitigate this.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.151	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / General NOISE	Oppose	As set out in WIAL's primary submission, it is inappropriate for the Noise chapter to duplicate aircraft noise management and mitigation measures imposed on WIAL through the recently settled Main Site and East Side Area Designations. Furthermore, the Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of the Proposed Plan review.		ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.92	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / New NOISE	Support	Wellington Airport International Airport Limited Designation for the East Side Area does not allow take off or landing within the designation as per condition 34.	Allow / Seeks that the submission point and addition of wording be allowed to add a new category of activity in NOISE-R4 as follows: <u>Activity Status: Prohibited activity</u> <u>Where no activity for the landing and take off of helicopter will be granted within the East Side Area</u> <u>designation</u> .	REJECT Submission point as per response to submission point 452.31 above.	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Yvonne Weeber	340.76	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- 01	Support	NOISE-O1 is supported. The management of noise generating activities such as the airport and consideration of the effects it has on peoples health and wellbeing are supported.	Retain Objective NOISE-O1 (Managing noise generation and effects) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
Vaka Kotahi	370.211	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- O1	Support	Supports the inclusion of this objective to protect the health and amenity of occupants from noise. Waka Kotahi promotes the protection of noise sensitive activities from adverse noise and effects in the existing environment	Retain NOISE-O1 (Managing noise generation and effects) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
iwiRail Holdings imited	FS72.68	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O1		Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
āinga Ora Homes and ommunities	391.286	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- O1		Objective NOISE-O1 is partially supported and an amendment is sought.	Retain Objective NOISE-O1 (Managing noise generation and effects) with amendment.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
he Retirement Villages ssociation of New ealand Incorporated	FS126.116	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O1	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	No
yman Healthcare mited	FS128.116	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O1	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSDD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	No
ommunities	391.287	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- O1	Amend	Considers that Objective NOISE-O1 should be amended to articulate the balance more clearly between providing for noise generating activities, whilst appropriately managing effects on the community. Amendments sought.	Amend Objective NOISE-O1 (Managing noise generation and effects) as follows: Amenity values and peoples' health and well-being are <u>not compromised</u> protected from adverse noise <u>generating activities</u> levels , consistent with the anticipated outcomes for the receiving environment.	REJECT submission point. The meaning of requested amended wording "health and well-being are not compromised from adverse noise generating activities" is unclear and	No
/aka Kotahi NZ ransport Agency	FS103.16	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O1	Oppose	The original wording is considered to be better for ensuring a healthy noise environment for residents.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
he Retirement Villages ssociation of New ealand Incorporated	FS126.117	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O1	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	No
tyman Healthcare imited	FS128.117	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O1	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSDD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	No
Vellington nternational Airport td	406.416	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-01	Oppose	[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason] Opposes NOISE-O1 (Managing noise generation effects).	Not specified.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late urther submission accepted as per Minute	FS139.107	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-O1	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.32	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- O1	Support	Supports the management of noise generating activities such as the airport and consideration of the effects it has on peoples health and wellbeing.	Retain NOISE-O1 (Managing noise generation and effects) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
ire and Emergency lew Zealand	273.162	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- O2	Support	Supports the objective as it seeks to protect existing and authorised noise generating activities from reverse sensitivity effects.	Retain NOISE-O2 (Reverse sensitivity) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
/vonne Weeber	340.77	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- O2	Not specified	Considers that authorised activities that generate high levels of noise should be constantly reducing their noise levels through different technology and management therefore, reducing the need to be 'protected from reverse sensitivity effects'.	Not specified	REJECT submission point. The approach of the RMA to this issue encapsulated within s.16 of the Act which requires adopting of the best pracitcable option for avoiding unreasonable noise. This may or may	Yes
Vellington nternational Airport .imited	FS36.152	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2	Oppose	Considers that reverse sensitivity is a significant issue for WIAL. While WIAL continues to explore opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities estabilishing	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
/aka Kotahi	370.212	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- O2	Support	Supports the inclusion of this objective to protect existing and authorised activities that generate high levels of noise. This will enable the continued operation of existing state highway operations.	Retain NOISE-O2 (Reverse sensitivity) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
äinga Ora Homes and ommunities	391.288	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- O2	Oppose	Objective NOISE-O2 is opposed, as noise generating activities should not compromise health and wellbeing. Deletion is sought.	Delete Objective NOISE-O2 (Reverse sensitivity) in its entirety.	REJECT submission point. It is important for the proper functioning of the PDP that 02 be retained so that existing and authorised activities that generate high levels of noise are protected from reverse sensitivity	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
KiwiRail Holdings	FS72.69	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Rejects the deletion of the objective to protect rail activities from reverse sensitivity effects.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
imited		wide Matters / Noise /		······································		the extent that modifications are	
		NOISE-O2		Considers the relief sought should be REJECTd because it a) will not promote the sustainable		introduced by decisions on other	
		10002 02		management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or	d	submissions	
				inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is		3001113310113	
				inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the			
				reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and			
				potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and cultural			
				wellbeing of people of Wellington City; and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the			
aka Kotahi NZ	FS103.17	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	It is appropriate that the plan recognise the listed noise emitters and acknowledge the contribution	Disallow		Yes
ransport Agency		wide Matters / Noise /		they make to the 4 well-beings.		the extent that modifications are	
		NOISE-02				introduced by decisions on other	
ew Zealand Defence	FS104.11	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Oppose the deletion of objective NOISE-O2. It is appropriate for the policy direction of the Proposed	Disallow / Reject submitter's relief and retain Objective NOISE-O2 as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
orce		wide Matters / Noise /		Plan to protect existing and authorised activities that generate high levels of noise from reverse		the extent that modifications are	
		NOISE-02		sensitivity effects.		introduced by decisions on other	
ne Retirement Villages	s FS126.118	Part 2 / General District	Not	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary	REJECT Submisssion point and	Yes
sociation of New		wide Matters / Noise /	specified	these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which	submission.	amendments sought. The exact	
aland Incorporated		NOISE-02		aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.		amendment sought is unclear.	
man Healthcare	FS128.118	Part 2 / General District	Not	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary	REJECT Submisssion point and	Yes
nited		wide Matters / Noise /	specified	these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which	submission.	amendments sought. The exact	
		NOISE-02	specifica	aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.		amendment sought is unclear.	
ellington	406.417		Oppose		Not exercified	REJECT submission point. It is	Yes
	400.417	General District wide	Oppose	[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason].	Not specified.		165
ternational Airport		Matters / NOISE /	l			important for the proper functioning	
d		NOISE-O2	l	Opposes NOISE-O2 (Reverse Sensitivity).		of the PDP that O2 be retained so	
						that existing and authorised activities	
						that generate high levels of noise are	
						protected from reverse sensitivity	
ard of Airline	FS139.108	General District wide	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	REJECT Submission point as per	Yes
presentatives of		Matters / NOISE /				response to submission point	
ew Zealand Inc *Late		NOISE-O2				406.417 above.	
orther submission		10002 02				400.117 00010.	
ccepted as per Minute							
cepted as per Minute	=						
20 - 1 - L - L - L	100 100	Concert District of the	Constant		Particle NORT 02 (Parameter and the base and the l	A COEPT - Instanting - state	
iwiRail Holdings	408.106	General District wide	Support	Supports the recognition of the value and function of existing rail activities, and the aim to protect	Retain NOISE-O2 (Reverse sensitivity) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
mited		Matters / Noise / NOISE	-	these activities from reverse sensitivity effects. The Wellington yard, ferry terminal, Johnsonville Line,	,	the extent that modifications are	
		02		Wairapara Line and North Island Main Trunk are existing assets which extend through Wellington		introduced by decisions on other	
ew Zealand Defence	423.13	General District wide	Support	Considers that protecting existing and authorised activities that generate high levels of noise from	Retain NOISE-O2 (Reverse sensitivity) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
orce		Matters / Noise / NOISE	-	reverse sensitivity effects, such as the Point Jerningham Saluting Battery Site and operations at the		the extent that modifications are	
		02		Rongotai Air Movement Terminal, is important and appropriate.		introduced by decisions on other	
uardians of the Bays	452.33	General District wide	Not	The submitter considers authorised activities that generate high levels of noise should be constantly	Not specified.	REJECT submission point. The	Yes
		Matters / Noise / NOISE		reducing their noise levels through different technology and management therefore, reducing the		approach of the RMA to this issue	
		02		need to be 'protected from reverse sensitivity effects'.		encapsulated within s.16 of the Act	
		02		need to be protected nonneverse sensitivity enced .		which requires adopting of the best	
						pracitcable option for avoiding	
	1		1				1
		1	1				
ellington		a . a / a				unreasonable noise. This may or may	
	FS36.153	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Considers that reverse sensitivity is a significant issue for WIAL. While WIAL continues to explore	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
	F536.153	wide Matters / Noise /	Oppose	opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport,	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	Yes
	F536.153		Oppose	opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
	F536.153	wide Matters / Noise /	Oppose	opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport,	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	Yes
	1536.153	wide Matters / Noise /	Oppose	opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
	F536.153	wide Matters / Noise /	Oppose	opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
nited	273.163	wide Matters / Noise /	Oppose Support	opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing		ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
mited re and Emergency		wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2 General District wide		opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above		ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	
nited re and Emergency		wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2		opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FENZ has a locational, functional, and operational		ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	
nited re and Emergency		wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE		opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FENZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirence, whilst also having more flexible noise		ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	
nited re and Emergency		wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE		opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FRUZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens, whilst also having more flexible noise limits in residential areas to allow for day-to-day operational activities. FRUX therefore seeks the		ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	
nited re and Emergency		wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE		opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FENZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens, whilst also having more flexible noise limits in residential areas to allow for day-to-day operational activities. FENZ therefore seeks the addition of a new rule to allow for more permissible noise activity in association with emergency		ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	
mited re and Emergency ew Zealand	273.163	wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1	Support	opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FEVZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by mergency sirens, whilst also having more flexible noise limits in residential areas to allow for day-to-day operational activities. FENZ therefore seeks the addition of a new rule to allow for more permissible noise activity in association with emergency service operations to ensure that FENZ is able to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
nited re and Emergency ew Zealand		wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 General District wide		opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FERZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens, whilst also having more flexible noise limits in residential areas to allow for day-to-day operational activities. FERX therefore seeks the addition of a new rule to allow for more permissible noise activity in association with emergency service operations to ensure that FERZ is able to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that NOISE-P1 is supported. The provision seeks to maintain amenity values of the receiving environment	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to	
mited re and Emergency ew Zealand	273.163	wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1	Support	opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FEVZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by mergency sirens, whilst also having more flexible noise limits in residential areas to allow for day-to-day operational activities. FENZ therefore seeks the addition of a new rule to allow for more permissible noise activity in association with emergency service operations to ensure that FENZ is able to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	No
mited re and Emergency ew Zealand ronne Weeber	273.163	wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1	Support	opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FERZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens, whilst also having more flexible noise limits in residential areas to allow for day-to-day operational activities. FERX therefore seeks the addition of a new rule to allow for more permissible noise activity in association with emergency service operations to ensure that FERZ is able to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that NOISE-P1 is supported. The provision seeks to maintain amenity values of the receiving environment	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to	No
ternational Airport mited re and Emergency ew Zealand vonne Weeber	273.163	wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 General District wide	Support	opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FERZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens, whilst also having more flexible noise limits in residential areas to allow for day-to-day operational activities. FERX therefore seeks the addition of a new rule to allow for more permissible noise activity in association with emergency service operations to ensure that FERZ is able to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that NOISE-P1 is supported. The provision seeks to maintain amenity values of the receiving environment	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified. Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	No
mited re and Emergency ew Zealand ronne Weeber	273.163	wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1	Support	opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FENZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by mergency sirene, whilst also having more flexible noise limits in residential areas to allow for day-to-day operational activities. FENZ therefore seeks the addition of a new rule to allow for more permissible noise activity in association with emergency service operations to ensure that FENZ is able to meet its strutory obligations in a manner that NOISE-P1 is supported. The provision seeks to maintain amenity values of the receiving environment in a way that does not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities.	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified. Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
nited re and Emergency ew Zealand ronne Weeber iinga Ora Homes and	273.163	wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 General District wide	Support Support Support in	opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FEVZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens, whilst also having more flexible noise limits in residential areas to allow for day-to-day operational activities. FEVX therefore seeks the addition of a new rule to allow for more permissible noise activity in association with emergency service operations to ensure that FENZ is able to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that NOISE-P1 is supported. The provision seeks to maintain amenity values of the receiving environment in a way that does not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities. NOISE-P1 is supported as it enables the generation of noise where appropriate, but an amendment is	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified. Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other REJECT submission point,	No
mited re and Emergency ew Zealand ronne Weeber singa Ora Homes and mmunities	273.163 340.78 391.289	wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1	Support Support Support in part	opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FEVZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by mergency sirems, whilst also having more flexible noise limits in residential areas to allow for day-to-day operational activities. FENZ therefore seeks the addition of a new rule to allow for more permissible noise activity in association with emergency service operations to ensure that FENZ is able to meet its strutory obligations in a manner that NOISE-P1 is supported. The provision seeks to maintain amenity values of the receiving environment in a way that does not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities. NOISE-P1 is supported as it enables the generation of noise where appropriate, but an amendment is sought.	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified. Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified. Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) with amendment.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other REJECT submission point, amendment sought not accepted.	No No No
mited re and Emergency ew Zealand ronne Weeber inga Ora Homes and mmunities re Retirement Villages	273.163 340.78 391.289	wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 Part 2 / General District	Support Support Support in part Not	opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FERZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens, whilst also having more flexible noise limits in residential areas to allow for day-to-day operational activities. FERX therefore seeks the addition of a new rule to allow for more permissible noise activity in association with emergency service operations to ensure that FERZ is able to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that NOISE-P1 is supported. The provision seeks to maintain amenity values of the receiving environment in a way that does not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities. NOISE-P1 is supported as it enables the generation of noise where appropriate, but an amendment is sought.	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified. Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified. Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) with amendment. Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other REJECT submission point, REJECT Submission point, and	No
nited e and Emergency w Zealand onne Weeber inga Ora Homes and mmunities ie Retirement Villages sociation of New	273.163 340.78 391.289	wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise /	Support Support Support in part	opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FIXC has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by mergency sirens, whilst also having more flexible noise limits in residential areas to allow for day-to-day operational activities. FENZ therefore seeks the addition of a new rule to allow for more permissible noise activity in association with emergency service operations to ensure that FENZ is able to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that NOISE-P1 is supported. The provision seeks to maintain amenity values of the receiving environment in a way that does not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities. NOISE-P1 is supported as it enables the generation of noise where appropriate, but an amendment is sought. The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified. Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified. Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) with amendment. Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other REJECT submission point, amendment sought not accepted. REJECT Submission point and amendments sought. The exact	No No No
nited e and Emergency w Zealand onne Weeber inga Ora Homes and mmunities ie Retirement Villages sociation of New	273.163 340.78 391.289	wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 Part 2 / General District	Support Support Support in part Not	opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FERZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens, whilst also having more flexible noise limits in residential areas to allow for day-to-day operational activities. FERX therefore seeks the addition of a new rule to allow for more permissible noise activity in association with emergency service operations to ensure that FERZ is able to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that NOISE-P1 is supported. The provision seeks to maintain amenity values of the receiving environment in a way that does not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities. NOISE-P1 is supported as it enables the generation of noise where appropriate, but an amendment is sought.	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified. Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified. Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) with amendment. Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other REJECT submission point, REJECT Submission point, and	No No No
nited e and Emergency w Zealand onne Weeber inga Ora Homes and mmunities e Retirement Villages sociation of New aland Incorporated	273.163 340.78 391.289 8 FS126.119	wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Support Support Support in part Not specified	opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FERZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens, whilst also having more flexible noise limits in residential areas to allow for day-to-day operational activities. FERX therefore seeks the addition of a new rule to allow for more permissible noise activity in association with emergency service operations to ensure that FERZ is able to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that NOISE-P1 is supported. The provision seeks to maintain amenity values of the receiving environment in a way that does not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities. NOISE-P1 is supported as it enables the generation of noise where appropriate, but an amendment is sought. The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified. Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified. Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) with amendment. Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other REJECT Submission point, amendment sought not accepted. REJECT Submission point and amendment sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	No No No
mited re and Emergency w Zealand onne Weeber iinga Ora Homes and mmunities seciation of New aland Incorporated man Healthcare	273.163 340.78 391.289	wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1 Part 2 / General District	Support Support Support in part Not specified	opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FRVZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by mergency sires, whilst also having more flexible noise limits in residential areas to allow for day-to-day operational activities. FENZ therefore seeks the addition of a new rule to allow for more permissible noise activity in association with emergency service operations to ensure that FENZ is able to meet its stutory obligations in a manner that NOISE-P1 is supported. The provision seeks to maintain amenity values of the receiving environment in a way that does not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities. NOISE-P1 is supported as it enables the generation of noise where appropriate, but an amendment is sought. The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD. Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified. Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified. Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) with amendment. Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other REJECT submission point, amendment sought not accepted. REJECT Submission point and amendment sought is unclear. REJECT Submission point and	No No No
mited re and Emergency ew Zealand ronne Weeber singa Ora Homes and	273.163 340.78 391.289 8 FS126.119	wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-O2 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1 Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Support Support Support in part Not specified	opportunities to reduce the noise generated from its activities at Wellington International Airport, this is separate management function to that of requiring adjacent land use activities establishing that give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. Supports the policy as it permits noise generation within reasonable limits. For reasons set out above for new objective NOISE-OX and policy NOISE-PX, FERZ has a locational, functional, and operational need to be exempt from noise generated by emergency sirens, whilst also having more flexible noise limits in residential areas to allow for day-to-day operational activities. FERX therefore seeks the addition of a new rule to allow for more permissible noise activity in association with emergency service operations to ensure that FERZ is able to meet its statutory obligations in a manner that NOISE-P1 is supported. The provision seeks to maintain amenity values of the receiving environment in a way that does not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities. NOISE-P1 is supported as it enables the generation of noise where appropriate, but an amendment is sought. The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified. Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified. Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) with amendment. Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other REJECT Submission point, amendment sought not accepted. REJECT Submission point and amendment sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	No No No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.290	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1	Amend	Considers that NOISE-P1 should be amended to not require amenity values to be maintained. The District Plan should recognise that amenity values change over time.	Amend NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as follows: Enable the generation of noise from activities that: 1. Maintain <u>Are compatible with</u> the <u>anticipated</u> amenity values of the receiving environment; and 2. Does not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The RMA requires all persons exercising functions and powers under it to <u>maintain</u> and enhance amenity values (s.7(c)).	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.70	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Oppose	Rejects the amendment sought as it fails to protect rail activities from reverse sensitivity effects. It is possible to maintain neighbouring amenity and enable noise generating activities with appropriate controls and KiwiRail considers that the policy, as notified, recognises this. Considers the relief sought should be REJECTd because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not anable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of people of Wellington City; and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the		ACCEPT submission point, however amendment sought not accepted.	No
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS103.18	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1		Amenity values are anticipated to change over time. As more intensive development occurs in the city, that may include rising levels of background noise (but should remain consistent with accepted levels of indoor noise).	Allow / That the submission in relation to changing over time be allowed.	REJECT Submission point as per response to submission point 391.290 above.	No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.120	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.120	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P1	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	No
Wellington International Airport I td	406.418	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P1	Support in part	Supports this policy insofar as it relates to the management of land based noise emanating from the Airport Zone.	Supports NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) and seeks amendment.	REJECT submission point. Amendment sought not accepted	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.109	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P1	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT amendment. It is unaccpetable to amend NOISE-P1 to only refer to land based noise, as requested.	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.419	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P1	Amend	Supports this policy insofar as it relates to the management of land based noise emanating from the Airport Zone.	Amend NOISE-P1 (general management of noise) as follows: NOISE-P1 General Management of noise Enable the generation of <u>land based</u> noise from activities that: (Option A).	REJECT submission point. It is unaccpetable to amend NOISE-P1 to only refer to land based noise, as requested.	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.110	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P1	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission point. It is unaccpetable to amend NOISE-P1 to only refer to land based noise, as requested.	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.420	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P1	Oppose	Supports this policy insofar as it relates to the management of land based noise emanating from the Airport Zone.	Delete NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) in its entirety. (Option B).	REJECT submission point. It is unaccpetable to delete NOISE-P1 as no suitable replacement has been rerquested by the submitter.	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.111	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P1	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission point. It is unaccpetable to delete NOISE-P1 as no suitable replacement has been rerquested by the submitter.	No
Force	423.14	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1	Support	Considers that providing for noise generating activities that maintain the amenity values of the receiving environment and do not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities is appropriate.	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified.	the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.34	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P1	Support	Supports the general management of noise to maintain amenity values of the receiving environment in a way that does not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities.	Retain NOISE-P1 (General management of noise) as notified.	the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.79	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE P2	Not specified	[No specific reason given - refer to original submission].	Not specified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Waka Kotahi	370.213	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P2	Support	Supports the proposed policy which enables construction activity subject to appropriate management of effects. Construction is an essential activity in relation to the state highway network and it is not always practicable to achieve specific noise limits, so the approach should be to focus on	Retain NOISE-P2 (Construction noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.291	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P2	Support	NOISE-P2 is generally supported.	Retain NOISE-P2 (Construction noise) as notified.		No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.121	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P2	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.121	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P2	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.421	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P2	Support	Supports NOISE-P2	Retain NOISE-P2 (Construction noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.112	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P2	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.35	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P2	Not specified	Submitter is 'neutral' on provision. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Not specified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.80	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P3	Not specified	[No specific reason given - refer to original submission].	Not specified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.214	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P3	Support	Supports the proposed policy which provides for higher noise levels to be generated within State Highway networks. This protects the continued operation of the existing state highway operations and the associated noise effects	Retain NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities		General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P3		NOISE-P3 is partially opposed, despite the support of the provision of a higher noise level to be able to be generated in the identified zones. The policy as notified reads overly ambiguous with no clear direction or outcome.	Opposes NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) and seeks amendment.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	Yes
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS103.19	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Oppose	The policy in the proposed plan (appropriately) recognises that there are places where there is a need to provide for higher noise levels due to functional or operational needs of activities in those locations.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.122	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.122	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	Yes
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.293	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P3	Amend	Considers that NOISE-P3 should be amended to clarify what direction and outcomes are sought.	Clarify NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) to more clearly specify the direction and outcomes sought from allowing higher noise levels in the identified zones.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.123	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.123	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P3	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	Yes
CentrePort Limited	402.133	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P3	Support	Support the intent of this policy.	Retain NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.422	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P3	Support	Supports this policy insofar as it relates to the management of land based noise emanating from the Airport Zone.	Retain NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.113	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P3	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.107	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P3	Support	Supports recognition that railway network activities generate higher noise levels	Retain NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
New Zealand Defence Force	423.15	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P3	Support	Considers that it is important and appropriate to allow for higher level of noises to be generated within the Airport Zone and associated airspace, in order to enable military aircraft operations associated with the operation of NZDF's Rongotai Air Movement Terminal at VMA.	Retain NOISE-P3 (Higher noise areas) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Guardians of the Bays	452.36	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P3	Not specified	Submitter is 'neutral' on provision. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Not specified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Yvonne Weeber	340.81	r3 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P4	Amend	Considers that NOISE-P4 should be amended to describe the Airport Noise Overlay with both the Inner and Outer Noise Overlay.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows: Require sound insulation and / or mechanical ventilation for new noise sensitive activities within: 6. The Air Noise Overlay (<u>Inner Air Noise Overlay and Outer Air</u> <u>Noise Overlay</u>): and 7. Identified corridors adjacent to the State Highways and railway networks. 	ACCEPT submission point. As requested by another sumitter, expanding on the term "The Air Noise Overlay" improves clarity of NOISE- P4.	
							Yes
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.154	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Support	WIAL supports this submission in principle, however notes that WIAL is proposing to replace the reference to overlays with the commonly used and understood terms "Air Noise Boundary" and "60dB Ldn Noise Boundary".	Allow / Seeks that part of submission to be allowed.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.215	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P4	Support	Support this policy with the inclusion of the identified state highway corridor mapped.	Retain NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Strathmore Park Residents Association Inc	371.2	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P4	Amend	Considers that the policy is not clear whether the Air Noise Boundary refers to both the Inner Air Noise Overlay and the Outer Air Noise Overlay.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows: Require sound insulation and / or mechanical ventilation for new noise sensitive activities within: 1. The City Centre Zone; 2. The Waterfront Zone; 3. The Centres Zones; 4. The Mixed Use Zones; 5. Outer Port Noise Overlay; 6. The Air Noise Overlay; 6. The Air Noise Overlay (<u>Inner & Outer</u>); and 7. Identified corridors adjacent to the State Highways and railway networks. Two standards of acoustic insulation are prescribed to achieve acceptable indoor acoustic amenity in habitable rooms.	ACCEPT submission point. As requested by another sumitter, expanding on the term "The Air Noise Overlay" improves clarity of NOISE- P4.	Yes
Wellington	FS36.155	Part 2 / General District	Support	WIAL supports this submission in principle, however notes that WIAL is proposing to replace the	Allow / Seeks that part of submission to be allowed.	ACCEPT submission point, except to	
International Airport Limited		wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4		reference to overlays with the commonly used and understood terms "Air Noise Boundary" and "60dB Ldn Noise Boundary".		the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.101	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P4	Support in part		Retain NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) with amendment.	ACCEPT IN PART, REJECT AMENDMENT from submission point. See 372.102	Yes
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.102	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P4	Amend	Considers that this policy should also extend to new noise sensitive activities that share a common boundary with the specified zones and overlays to ensure adequate acoustic treatment is encouraged to minimise reverse sensitive effects from existing lawfully established activities. This could be achieved by the following amendment to NOISE-P4 which would be consistent with the intent of APPA- Permitted Noise Standards which contains separate noise limits for different receiving environments.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows: Require sound insulation and / or mechanical ventilation for new noise sensitive activities within <u>, or</u> on a site which shares a common boundary with: 1. The City Centre Zone; 2. The Waterfront Zone; 3. The Centres Zones; 4. The Mixed Use Zones; 5. Outer Port Noise Overlay; 6. The Air Noise Overlay; 6. The Air Noise Overlay; 1. Identified corridors adjacent to the State Highways and railway networks. Two standards of acoustic insulation are prescribed to achieve acceptable indoor acoustic amenity in habitable rooms.	REJECT submission point. Permitted Activities within these zones must meet the noise emission level limits within adjacent sites as per the Tables in APP 4. Where the receiving site lies within amore sensitive zone, then the lower noise limit must be ahceived. This is consistent with RMA 516 responsibilities. Noise sensitive activities established within adjacent zones should not be expected to mitigate effects of noise generating activities submission activities activities activities activities activities submission activities activ	Vac

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.71	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise /	Support	Supports the amendment to ensure noise sensitive activities adjacent to the specified zones, overlays and corridors require sound insulation and/or mechanical ventilation.	Allow	REJECT Submission point as per response to submission point	
Liniceu		NOISE-P4		overlags and contracts require sound insulation and/or meenanical ventilation.		372.102 above.	
				Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable			
				management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent			
				with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling			
				Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant			
				planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future			
				generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the			
				environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington			Yes
The Retirement Villages	s FS126.13	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	The RVA opposes the relief sought in this submission as reverse sensitivity should be managed	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to	
Association of New		wide Matters / Noise /		through appropriate setback provisions rather than requiring activities contemplated in the zone to		the extent that modifications are	
Zealand Incorporated		NOISE-P4		manage the effects of activities outside the zone.		introduced by decisions on other	
a						submissions	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.13	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise /	Oppose	Ryman opposes the relief sought in this submission as reverse sensitivity should be managed through appropriate setback provisions rather than requiring activities contemplated in the zone to	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	
Linneu		NOISE-P4		manage the effects of activities outside the zone.		introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Kāinga Ora Homes and	391.294	General District wide	Oppose in	NOISE-P4 is partially opposed and an amendment is sought.	Opposes NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) and seeks amendment.	REJECT: It is considered essential P4	
Communities		Matters / Noise / NOISE	part			apply to noise sensitive activites all	
		P4				high noise and moderate noise areas.	Yes
The Retirement Villages	s FS126.124	Part 2 / General District		The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary	ACCEPT IN PART: except to the	
Association of New		wide Matters / Noise /	specified	these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which	submission.	extent that modifications are	
Zealand Incorporated		NOISE-P4		aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.		introduced by decisions on other submissions.	Vec
Ryman Healthcare	FS128.124	Part 2 / General District	Not	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary	ACCEPT IN PART: except to the	163
Limited	1 5120.124	wide Matters / Noise /	specified	these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which	submission.	extent that modifications are	
		NOISE-P4		aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.		introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Kāinga Ora Homes and	391.295	General District wide	Amend	Considers that NOISE-4 should be amended.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows:	REJECT: It is considered essential P4	
Communities		Matters / Noise / NOISE				apply to noise sensitive activites all	
		P4			Require Encourage and promote sound insulation and / or mechanical ventilation for new noise	high noise and moderate noise areas.	
					sensitive activities within:	Reject softening of this policy from	
					1. The City Centre Zone;	'require' to 'encourage and promote'. It is considered essential that thi	
					2. The Waterfront Zone;	policy require sound insulation and	
					3. The Centres Zones;	mechanical ventilation for new noise	
					4. The Mixed Use Zones;	sensitive activities locating within	
					5. Outer Port Noise Overlay;	zones and areas within whcih NOISE-	
					6. The Air Noise Overlay; and	P1 allows higher than normal levels of	
					7. Identified corridors adjacent to the State Highways and railway networks.	outdoor noise.	
					Two standards of acoustic insulation are prescribed to achieve acceptable indoor acoustic amenity in		
					habitable rooms.		Yes
Wellington	FS36.157	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	WIAL has a submission filed that seeks to introduce new policies with respect to the management of	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to	
International Airport		wide Matters / Noise /		noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary and 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary at Wellington		the extent that modifications are	
Limited		NOISE-P4		International Airport. However, in the instance that this submission is not successful, WIAL submits		introduced by decisions on other	
				that it is inappropriate for acoustic treatment to be "encouraged", rather it should be a mandatory		submissions	
KiwiRail Holdings	FS72.72	Part 2 / General District	Opposo	requirement where new activities are located within the Air Noise Boundary and 60dB Ldn Noise Rejects the softening of this policy from 'require' to 'encourage and promote' for sound insulation	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to	res
Limited	r5/2./2	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise /	Oppose	Rejects the softening of this policy from 'require' to 'encourage and promote' for sound insulation and mechanical ventilation for new noise sensitive activities. KiwiRail also rejects the deletion of rail	UISAIIUW	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	
		NOISE-P4		corridors from this policy.		introduced by decisions on other	
						submissions	
				Considers the relief sought should be REJECTd because it a) will not promote the sustainable			
				management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or			
				inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is			
				inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the			
				reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and			
				potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and cultural			Yes
Waka Kotahi NZ	FS103.20	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	It is appropriate that existing sources of noise are protected from new noise-sensitive activities	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to	
Transport Agency		wide Matters / Noise /		moving to the nuisance effect.		the extent that modifications are	
		NOISE-P4				introduced by decisions on other	Yes
The Retirement Villages	s FS126.125	Part 2 / General District	Not	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary	ACCEPT IN PART: except to the	
Association of New Zealand Incorporated		wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	specified	these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	suomission.	extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	
zealanu meorporated		NUISE-F4		angins with the purpose of the Endoling Housing Att and the NPSOD.		submissions.	Yes
		De ta / Consel District	Not	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary	ACCEPT IN PART: except to the	100
Ryman Healthcare	FS128.125	Part 2 / General District	NOL				
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.125	wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	specified	these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	submission.	extent that modifications are	

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Ministry of Education	400.81	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P4	Amend	Seeks NOISE-P4 be amended to exclude educational facilities. The submitter seeks an amendment to this proposed rule to exclude educational facilities as these facilities have their own acoustic treatment requirements specific to school uses.	Require sound insulation and / or mechanical ventilation for new noise sensitive activities (<u>excluding</u>	REJECT : Definition of 'noise sensitive activities' includes educational activities as these activities often	
Wellington	FS36.158	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Considers that education facilities comprise a noise sensitive activity as they include areas that	educational facilities) within: Disallow	require critical listening conditions and rely on oral communication. ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
International Airport Limited CentrePort Limited	402.134	wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4 General District wide		comprise critical listening environments. It is therefore inappropriate to provide a flexible framework for such activities where located within the Air Noise Boundary of 60dB Ldn at Wellington Support the intent of this policy.	Retain NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as notified.	the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
		Matters / Noise / NOISE- P4	Support			the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.423	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P4	Oppose in part	Considers that it is the buildings that contain a noise sensitive activities rather than the noise sensitive activity itself that can be acoustically treated. Amendments are therefore required to the chapeau of the policy to make this matter clear.	Opposes NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) and seeks amendment.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.93	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P4	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more information in Noise P4 and within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Considers that these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. Considers that WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue		ACCEPT IN PART submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.114	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P4	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions.	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.424	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P4	Amend	Considers that it is the buildings that contain noise sensitive activities rather than the noise sensitive activity itself that can be acoustically treated. Amendments are therefore required to the chapeau of the policy to make this matter clear. [Note that submitter has recommended replacing the definition "Air Noise Overlay", as set out earlier in the submission].	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows: Require sound insulation and / or mechanical ventilation <u>of new buildings or additions or alterations</u> to existing buildings that contain for new noise sensitive activities within: 1. The City Centre Zone; 2. The Waterfront Zone; 3. The Centres Zones; 5. Outer Port Noise Overlay; 6. The Air Noise Overlay; 6. The Air Noise Overlay; 1. Identified corridors adjacent to the State Highways and railway networks. Two standards of acoustic insulation are prescribed to achieve acceptable indoor acoustic amenity in habitable rooms.	ACCEPT IN PART: Accept the clartification that sound insulation and ventilation requirements apply to both new and altered habitable rooms within the defined lst of zones aareas and overlays. Definition of 'Air Noise Overlay' now clarified and includes both the Inner Air Noise Overlay and Outer Air Noise Overlay (see response to 340.81). Reject proposed wording at item 6. This issue now clarified.	
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.94	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P4	Oppose	Gaardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more information in Noise P4 and within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Considers that these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. Considers that WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue		REJECT Submission point as per response to submission point 406.424 above.	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.134	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes the proposed amendments.	Disallow	REJECT Submission point as per response to submission point 406.424 above.	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late Further submission accepted as per Minute B	FS139.115	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT Submission point as per response to submission point 406.424 above.	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.425	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P4	Oppose in part	Considers that it is the buildings that contain noise sensitive activities rather than the noise sensitive activity itself that can be acoustically treated. Amendments are therefore required to the chapeau of the policy to make this matter clear. [Note that submitter has recommended replacing the definition "Air Noise Overlay", as set out	Delete NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) in its entirety. (Option B).	REJECT Submission point as per response to submission point 406.424 above.	

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.74	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Oppose	Opposes the deletion of policy direction requiring acoustic treatment and mechanical ventilation for noise sensitive activities. Considers the relief sought should be REJECTd because it a) will not promote the sustainable		REJECT Submission point as per response to submission point 406.424 above.	
				management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RNA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the			
				reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, conomic and cultural wellbeing of people of Wellington City; and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the			Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.95	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P4	Oppose			REJECT Submission point as per response to submission point 406.424 above.	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.135	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Oppose	Käinga Ora seeks that the policy is amended in accordance with their original submission noting that the policy relates to rules and standards.	Disallow	REJECT: Definition of 'Air Noise Overlay' now clarified and includes both the Inner Air Noise Overlay and Outer Air Noise Overlay.	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute	FS139.116	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT: Definition of 'Air Noise Overlay' now clarified and includes both the Inner Air Noise Overlay and Outer Air Noise Overlay.	
s will holdings Limited	408.108	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P4	Amend	The rail network is a 24 hour a day, seven day a week operation, and the frequency, length and weight of trains can change without community consultation. Noise and vibration can have an impact on the internal amenity of a building. Appropriate mitigation, installed to ensure that the health and weilbeing of those living and working near to the rail network are not adversely affected, is pivotal to ensure that undue restrictions are not placed on the operation of the rail network. Rail activities not only generate noise, but also vibration effects. KiwiRail seek amendment to require acoustic and vibration treatment for sensitive activities within identified corridors adjacent to the railway networks to ensure an appropriate level of internal amenity is achieved in buildings adjacent to the rail corridor.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows: Acoustic and vibration treatment for noise sensitive activities Require sound <u>and vibration</u> insulation and / or mechanical ventilation for new noise sensitive activities within: All residential zones 1. The City Centre Zone; 2. The Waterfront Zone; 3. The Centres Zones; 4. The Mixed Use Zones; 5. Outer Port Noise Overlay; 6. The Air Noise Overlay; 7. Two standards of acoustic insulation are prescribed to achieve acceptable indoor acoustic amenity in habitable rooms.	REJECT Submission Point. Compliance with rail vibration levels (if included by a vibration level imit, not prescribed vibration treatment/insulation requirements. If vibration is included, this should be entered into the plan seperately from alrourne noise as it is assessed differently.	Yes
Stride Investment Management Limited	FS107.22	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Oppose	Stride is opposed to imposing additional requirements in relation to rail network vibration. This is unnecessary and inappropriate.	Disallow	REJECT Submission Point as Council has a duty to mnage noise effects in all high or moderate noise areas	Yes
Investore Property Limited	FS108.22	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Oppose	Investore is opposed to imposing additional requirements in relation to rail network vibration. This is unnecessary and inappropriate.	Disallow	REJECT Submission Point as Council has a duty to mnage noise effects in all high or moderate noise areas	γρς
Guardians of the Bays	452.37	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P4	Amend	Considers an amendment appropriate to describe the Airport Noise Overlay with both the Inner and Outer Noise Overlay.	Amend NOISE-P4 (Acoustic treatment for noise sensitive activities) as follows: 6. The Air Noise Overlay [Inner Air Noise Overlay and Outer Air Noise Overlay]	REJECT: Definition of 'Air Noise Overlay' now clarified and includes both the Inner Air Noise Overlay and Outer Air Noise Overlay.	Yes
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.156	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-P4	Support	WIAL supports this submission in principle, however notes that WIAL is proposing to replace the reference to overlays with the commonly used and understood terms "Air Noise Boundary" and "60dB Ldn Noise Boundary".	Allow / Seeks that part of submission to be allowed.	REJECT: Definition of 'Air Noise Overlay' now clarified and includes both the Inner Air Noise Overlay and Outer Air Noise Overlay.	Ves
Yvonne Weeber	340.82	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P6	Amend	Considers that NOISE-P6 should be amended to describe the Airport Noise Overlay with both the Inner and Outer Noise Overlay.	Amend NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as follows: Restrict the development of noise sensitive activities within: 1. The Inner Air Noise Overlay (<u>Inner Air Noise Overlay and</u> <u>Outer Air Noise Overlay</u>); and 2. Other locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.	ACCEPT IN PART submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.216	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P6	Support	Support policy wording that restricts development of noise sensitive activities where noise and acoustic insulation standards are not met.	Retain NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as notified.	ACCEPT IN PART submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Strathmore Park	371.3	General District wide	Amend	Considers that the policy needs to refer to both the inner and outer ANB.	Amend NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as follows:	ACCEPT IN PART submission point,	
Residents Association		Matters / Noise / NOISE-				except to the extent that	
Inc		P6			Restrict the development of noise sensitive activities within:	modifications are introduced by	
					1. The Inner and Outer Air Noise Overlay; and	decisions on other submissions	
					Other locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.		Yes
Kāinga Ora Homes and	391.296	General District wide		NOISE-P6 is partially opposed and an amendment is sought.	Opposes NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) and seeks amendment.	REJECT Submission Point as Council	
Communities		Matters / Noise / NOISE-	part			has a duty to mnage noise effects in	
		P6			a teleform of the teleform to the teleform and an at the	all high or moderate noise areas	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New	FS126.126	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise /	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	ACCEPT IN PART submission point, except to the extent that	
Zealand Incorporated		NOISE-P6	specified	these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Submission.	modifications are introduced by	
zealanu meorporateu		NOISEFFO		anglis with the purpose of the Endoling Housing Act and the NF30D.		decisions on other submissions	Voc
Ryman Healthcare	FS128.126	Part 2 / General District	Not	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary	ACCEPT IN PART submission point,	105
Limited	15120.120	wide Matters / Noise /	specified	these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which	submission.	except to the extent that	
Linico		NOISE-P6	speemed	aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Submission.	modifications are introduced by	
						decisions on other submissions	Yes
Käinga Ora Homes and	391.297	General District wide	Amend	Considers that NOISE-P6 should be amended to enable noise sensitive activities within the Inner Air	Amend NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as follows:	ACCEPT IN PART submission point,	
Communities		Matters / Noise / NOISE-		Noise Overlay where appropriate ventilation and acoustic insulation can be achieved.		except to the extent that	
		P6			Restrict the development of noise sensitive activities within:	modifications are introduced by	
						decisions on other submissions	
					1. The Inner Air Noise Overlay where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met; and		
					2. Other locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.		
							Yes
The Retirement Villages	FS126.127	Part 2 / General District	Not	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary	ACCEPT IN PART submission point,	
Association of New		wide Matters / Noise /	specified	these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which	submission.	except to the extent that	
Zealand Incorporated		NOISE-P6		aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.		modifications are introduced by	
						decisions on other submissions	Yes
Ryman Healthcare	FS128.127	Part 2 / General District	Not	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary	ACCEPT IN PART submission point,	
Limited		wide Matters / Noise /	specified	these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which	submission.	except to the extent that	
		NOISE-P6		aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.		modifications are introduced by	
						decisions on other submissions	Yes
Ministry of Education	400.82	General District wide	Amend	Seeks NOISE-P6 be amended to exclude educational facilities. The submitter seeks that educational	Amend NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as follows:	REJECT: Definition of 'noise sensitive	
		Matters / Noise / NOISE-	-	facilities are excluded as the policy restricts the development of noise sensitive activities in the Inner		activities' includes educational	
		Рб		Air Overlay.	Restrict the development of noise sensitive activities (excluding educational facilities) within:	activities as these activities often	
					1. The Inner Air Noise Overlay: and	require critical listening conditions and rely on oral communication, P6	
					 The inner Air Noise Overlay; and Other locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met. 	must therefore include educational	Vec
Wellington	FS36.159	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Considers that education facilities comprise a noise sensitive activity as they include areas that	2. Other locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.	ACCEPT submission point, except to	165
International Airport	1550.155	wide Matters / Noise /	oppose	comprise critical listening environments. It is therefore inappropriate to provide a flexible framework	Disalow	the extent that modifications are	
Limited		NOISE-P6		for such activities where located within the Air Noise Boundary of 60dB Ldn at Wellington		introduced by decisions on other	Yes
CentrePort Limited	402.135	General District wide	Support	Support the intent of this policy.	Retain NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to	105
		Matters / Noise / NOISE-				the extent that modifications are	
		P6				introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Wellington	406.426	General District wide	Oppose	Considers that a new suite of policies is required to address the management of noise sensitive	Opposes NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) and seeks amendment.	ACCEPT IN PART submission point,	
International Airport		Matters / NOISE /		activities within the Air Noise Boundary and 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary.		except to the extent that	
Ltd		NOISE-P6				modifications are introduced by	
				[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]		decisions on other submissions	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	FS44.96	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more information in Noise	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden	ACCEPT IN PART submission point,	
Inc		wide Matters / NOISE /		P4 and within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Considers that these are major changes to		except to the extent that	
		NOISE-P6		the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to	Boundary and 60dbl.	modifications are introduced by	
				be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington		decisions on other submissions	
				International Airport. Considers that WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and			
				Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue			Yes
Board of Airline	FS139.117	Part 2 / General District	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT IN PART submission point,	
Representatives of		wide Matters / NOISE /				except to the extent that	
New Zealand Inc *Late		NOISE-P6				modifications are introduced by	
further submission						decisions on other submissions	
accepted as per Minute							Voc
3 Mellington	406 427	Conorel District with	Amond	Considers that a power site of policies is required to address the management of a strategic state.	Amond NOISE DC (Development restrictions on poice constitue activities) as follows:	ACCEPT IN DADT submission	162
Wellington International Airport	406.427	General District wide	Amend	Considers that a new suite of policies is required to address the management of noise sensitive	Amend NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as follows:	ACCEPT IN PART submission point, except to the extent that	
International Airport		Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P6		activities within the Air Noise Boundary and 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary.	Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities	except to the extent that modifications are introduced by	
		NUISE-FU		[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	bevelopment restrictions on noise sensitive activities	decisions on other submissions	
			l	Isee paragraphs 4.02 to 4.75 of original submission for run reason]	Restrict the development of noise sensitive activities within:	accisions on other submissions	
					incomer are development of noise sensitive beavilles within.		
					1. The Inner Air Noise Overlay; and		
			l		1. 2. Other locations Wwhere ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.		Yes
		1	1				

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.97	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P6	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more information in Noise P4 and within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. Considers that these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be acceled in a company burden by acceled using a company of the poing acquired many Mollinetone Mollinetone the Company of the poing acquired Mollinetone Moll		ACCEPT IN PART submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	
				be resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International Airport. Considers that WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue		decisions on other submissions	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late	FS139.118	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-P6	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT IN PART submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by	
urther submission accepted as per Minute						decisions on other submissions	Ver
KiwiRail Holdings .imited	408.109	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P6	Support	Supports policy which restricts development of noise sensitive activities in certain locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.	Retain NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
New Zealand Defence Force	423.16	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P6	Support	Considers that restricting the development of noise sensitive activities within the Inner Air Noise Overlay is appropriate in the management of reserve sensitivity effects on activities undertaken within the Airport Zone and associated airspace, including military aircraft operations.	Retain NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	452.38	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P6	Amend	Considers an amendment appropriate to describe the Airport Noise Overlay with both the Inner and Outer Noise Overlay.	Amend NOISE-P6 (Development restrictions on noise sensitive activities) as follows: Restrict the development of noise sensitive activities within: 1. The Inner Air Noise Overlay (Inner Air Noise Overlay and Outer Air Noise Overlay); and 2. Other locations where ventilation and acoustic insulation standards are not met.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
/vonne Weeber	340.83	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-	Not specified	[No specific reason given - refer to original submission].	Not specified.	No recommendation	Yes
Wellington nternational Airport td	406.428	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R1	Support	Supports the default activity status, subject to compliance with NOISE-S1.	Retain NOISE-R1 (Noise not otherwise provided for in this chapter) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
loard of Airline lepresentatives of Jew Zealand Inc *Late urther submission iccepted as per Minute	FS139.119	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R1	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	452.39	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- R1	Not specified	Submitter is 'neutral' on provision. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Not specified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
vonne Weeber	340.84	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain NOISE-R2 (Noise from construction, maintenance, earthworks, and demolition activities) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
Vaka Kotahi	370.217	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- R2	Support	Support permitted hours and thresholds for construction, maintenance, earthworks, and demolition works, and RD activity status where not met.	Retain NOISE-R2 (Noise from construction, maintenance, earthworks, and demolition activities) as notified.		No
Vellington hternational Airport td	406.429	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R2	Support	Supports the retention of a construction specific noise rule within the Proposed Plan.	Retain NOISE-R2 (Noise from construction, maintenance, earthworks, and demolition activities) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late urther submission Inccepted as per Minute	FS139.120	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R2	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.40	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- R2	Support	Supports NOISE-R2 (Noise from construction, maintenance, earthworks, and demolition activities).	Retain NOISE-R2 (Noise from construction, maintenance, earthworks, and demolition activities) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
hailesh Kumar Patel	49.2	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- R3	Amend	Considers that sites in the Air Noise Boundary should have the same development rights as sites outside this (i.e. be able to build in accordance with the MDRS).	Seeks that Council amends NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) to allow increased development potential in line with the MDRS, subject to noise attenuation measures described at NOISE-S4.	REJECT submission point. Under the RMA Council has an explicit duty to mamage the effects of noise	Yes
vonne Weeber	340.85	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- R3	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
etirement Villages ssociation of New ealand Incorporated	350.77	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- R3	Oppose in part	Considers the standards referred to in NOISE-R3 should be amended to integrate consideration of noise matters on a case-by-case basis for new buildings, and in alterations / additions to existing buildings.	Amend NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) by integrating consideration of noise matters on a case-by-case basis for new buildings, or in alterations/additions to an existing building.	ACCEPT IN PART submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions. Applications for discretionary consents are considered on a case by	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Stride Investment Management Limited	FS107.43	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support	Stride supports integrating consideration of individual site characteristics to activities, as this would enable a flexible approach to noise management.	Allow	ACCEPT IN PART submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions.	Yes
Investore Property Limited	FS108.43	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support	Investore supports integrating consideration of individual site characteristics to activities, as this would enable a flexible approach to noise management.	Allow	Applications for discretionary consents are considered on a case by ACCEPT IN PART submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions. Applications for discretionary	Yes
Waka Kotahi		General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE	Support in - part	NOISE-R3.1 is supported.	Retain NOISE-R3.1(Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building), subject to amendments.	consents are considered on a case by ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.219	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE R3	Amend	Considers amendments to have immediate legal effect and to require compliance with ventilation standards. In lieu of the provision having immediate legal effect, Waka Kotahi seeks that this rule be included as	Amend NOISE-R3.1 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) to require compliance with NOISE-S6 (Ventilation Requirements) and amend rule so that it has immediate legal effect.	REJECT - this is an ISPP matter which is precluded from being given immediate effect	Yes
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.75	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support	A sensitive for development to the Adedium and Vieb Doechu Zeocc Agrees that compliance with ventilation standards should be a requirement and noise provisions should have immediate legal effect. Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural welbeing of the people of Wellington	Allow	REJECT - this is an ISPP matter which is precluded from being given immediate effect	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.220	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE	Support in - part	Support the inclusion of NOISE-R3.2, with default distance from State Highway to be extended to 100m or otherwise incorporate the Waka Kotahi noise contours along state highways so that the provisions only apply as needed.	Retain NOISE-R3.2 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building), subject to amendments.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.76	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support	Seeks that noise provisions for noise sensitive activities also apply within 100m of the rail corridor as sought in our primary submission. Considers the relief sought should be allowed because It will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; le () will enable the social, economic and cultural welbeing of the people of Wellington		ACCEPT IN PART submission point, noise provisions are provided in the proposed district plan framework for within 100m of the rail corridor by NOISE-54 and NOISE-55. See other responses to Kiwrialis submission on adjustments to setback distances and the introduction of NOISE-SX for Railway noise and vibration.	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.221	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE R3	Amend	environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cutural vehicleng of the people of weimigton in relation to NOISE-R3.2 (NoISE-R3.2 (NoISE ensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building), the submitter would prefer that the noise contours are included rather than a blanket rule of 100m. As above, Waka Kotahi also support this with amendment to have immediate legal effect and condition to comply with ventilation standard. In lieu of the provision having immediate legal effect, Waka Kotahi seeks that this rule be included as a qualifying matter for development in the Medium and High-Density Zones. It is noted that the submitter would generally define distances from edge of traffic lane (as that where is the source of noise is).	Amend NOISE-R3.2 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as follows (or amend to adopt Waka Kotahi noise contours) and amend rule so that it has immediate legal effect: 2. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance with NOISE-S5 (Moderate Noise Areas) and NOISE-S6 (Ventilation Requirements) is achieved within: i. The area between 40m and 80m - 100m of a State Highway;	REJECT / ACCEPT - reject that part of the submissikon seeking immediate legal effect, but accept that part of the submission point which relates to extending the width of the "Moderate" noise corridor beside state highways	Yes
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.77	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support	Seeks that noise provisions for noise sensitive activities also apply within 100m of the rail corridor as sought in our primary submission. KiwiRail supports compliance with ventilation requirements. Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington City; and (f) is the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA.	Amend / Adopt amendment sought and include 100m from rail corridor	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Stride Investment Management Limited	FS107.30	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Oppose	Stride is opposed to extending the area where R3 applies to within 100m of a state highway and to including this rule as a qualifying matter. For the reasons given above, this is inappropriate and unnecessary.	Disallow	ACCEPT IN PART submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions. NOISE-R3.2 only recommended to apply beyond 40m from the highway where the posted vehicle speed limit	Yes
Investore Property Limited	FS108.30	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Oppose	Investore is opposed to extending the area where R3 applies to within 100m of a state highway and to including this rule as a qualifying matter. For the reasons given above, this is inappropriate and unnecessary.	Disallow		Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.222	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-	Support in part	Support NOISE-R3.3 restricted discretionary activity status where NOISE-S4 or NOISE-S5 cannot be achieved.	Retain NOISE-R3.3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building), subject to amendments.		Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.223	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- R3	Amend	Considers the wording of NOISE-R3.3b is confusing and seems to contradict with R3.1, Would interpret that this is intended to apply to those activities that do not comply with the requirements of NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S5, AND are within land subject to R3.2. This should be amended to be made more clear. The rule should also be amended to include noncompliance with the ventilation standards.	Amend NOISE-R3.3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as follows: 3. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. Compliance with the requirements of <u>NOISE-54</u> , or <u>NOISE-55</u> , or <u>NOISE-56</u> cannot be achieved; <u>and</u> b. Any the noise sensitive activity is proposed on a site within land sub ject to NOISER3.2;or c. Two residential units are proposed on a site within the Inner Air Noise Overlay; or-and- d. Four or more residential units are proposed on a site within the Outer Air Noise Overlay. Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters of assessment in <u>NOISE-54 and NOISE-55;</u> and 2. The exeiten and effect of non-compliance with any relevant standard as specified in the associated assessment criteria for the infringed standard Note: This rule does not oblige Wellington International Airport Limited (WIAL) to provide or upgrade mechanical ventilation or noise insulation in a residential unit which has already received such treatment.		Yes
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.78	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3	Support	Supports clarification on what standards apply to this provision. Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington City; and (f) is the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.224	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- R3	Amend	The submitter does not understand the intent of this rule and requests that the wording is amended to clarify that any noise sensitive activity within the areas in NOISE-R3.1a (including within 40m of the state highway) is a discretionary activity. If this is the intention, this conflicts with the permitted activity status for noise sensitive activities in these areas that comply with the stated standards.	Amend NOISE-R3.4 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as follows: 4. Activity status: Discretionary Where: a. Any noise sensitive activity is proposed on a site within land subject to NOISE-R3.1 <u>where NOISE-S4</u> and NOISE-S6 cannot be achieved; and	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Ninistry of Education	400.83	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- P3	Support	Supports NOISE-R3.1 as the submitter supports the requirement for acoustic insulation in high noise areas to reduce any reverse sensitivity effects and to enable productive classroom environments for educational facilities.		ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Ministry of Education	400.84	General District wide	Support	Supports NOISE-R3.2 as the submitter supports the requirement for acoustic insulation in high noise	Retain NOISE-R3.2 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
,		Matters / Noise / NOISE		areas to reduce any reverse sensitivity effects and to enable productive classroom environments for	existing building) as notified.	the extent that modifications are	
		R3		educational facilities.		introduced by decisions on other	
linistry of Education	400.85	General District wide	Support in	Supports NOISE-R3.3 in part.	Retain NOISE-R3.3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
		Matters / Noise / NOISE	part		existing building) with amendments.	the extent that modifications are	
		R3				introduced by decisions on other	
Ainistry of Education	400.86	General District wide	Amend	Seeks that NOISE-R3.3 be amended. The submitter seeks clarity on NOISE-R3.3.b as the submitter	Amend NOISE-R3.3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an	ACCEPT In Part Submission point is	Yes
		Matters / Noise / NOISE		considers that it currently reads that any noise sensitive activity on land subject to NOISE-R3.2 is	existing building) as follows:	more apprpriately resolvred adoting a	
		R3		automatically a restricted discretionary activity, despite compliance with the permitted activity		more prescriptive approach. See	
				standards.	3. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary	response to submission point	
						370.224 above.	
					Where:		
					a. Compliance with the requirements of NOISE-S4 or NOISE-S5 cannot be achieved;		
					b. Any noise sensitive activity that does not comply with proposed on a site within land subject to		
					NOISE-R3.2:		
linistry of Education	400.87	General District wide	Support	Supports NOISE-R3.4 as the submitter supports the management of noise sensitive activities in	Retain NOISE-R3.4 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
initiation Education	-100.07	Matters / Noise / NOISE	Support	NOISE-R3.	existing building) as proposed.	the extent that modifications are	105
		R3		NODE NS.	existing building, as proposed.	introduced by decisions on other	
Vellington	406.430	General District wide	Oppose	Opposes NOISE-R3.	Opposes NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an	REJECT Submission Point as Council	Yes
nternational Airport	400.430	Matters / NOISE /	Oppose	Opposes Noise-NS.	existing building) and seeks amendment.	has a duty to mnage noise effects in	Tes
+d		NOISE-R3		[See paragraphs 4.65 to 4.72 of original submission for full reason]	existing building/ and seeks amenument.	all high or moderate noise areas	
Guardians of the Bays	FS44.98		0		Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden		Vee
suarcians of the Bays	1544.98	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE /	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more information in Noise		ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	res
iii.	_	NOISE-R3		R3 and within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. However, these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be	on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise Boundary and 60dbl.	introduced by decisions on other	
		NUISE-RS			boundary and boubl.		
				resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International		submissions	
				Airport. WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve			
			-	the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue financial burden on the			
loard of Airline	FS139.121	Part 2 / General District	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
Representatives of		wide Matters / NOISE /				the extent that modifications are	
New Zealand Inc *Late		NOISE-R3				introduced by decisions on other	
further submission						submissions	
accepted as per Minute	•						
3							
Vellington	406.431	General District wide	Amend	Opposes NOISE-R3.	(Option A). Amend NOISE R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to		Yes
nternational Airport		Matters / NOISE /		[See paragraphs 4.65 to 4.72 of original submission for full reason]	an existing building) as follows:	proposes introduces two new	
.td		NOISE-R3			1. Activity status: Permitted Where:	acoustic insulation performance	
						Standards for aircraft noise insulation	
					b. Compliance with NOISE-S4 (High Noise Areas) is achieved within:	purposes only. The submitter	
					i. 40m of a State Highway;	proposes NOISE-S16 and NOISE-S17	
					ii. 40m of a Railway corridor;	as new standards applying within	
					iii. General Industrial Zone; -or-	areas the submitter describes as	
					iv. Inner Air Noise Overlay.	"within the Air Noise Boundary or	
						60dB Ldn Noise Boundary". This is	
					Note: Distances from a state highway or railway corridor shall be measured from the closest	more correctly referred to as the	
	1				habitable room to the closest point of a state highway or railway designation.	Inner Air Noise Boundary and Outer	
	1					Air Noise Boundary. Adopting this	
	1				2. Activity status: Permitted	approach is considered in appropriate	
	1				Where:	and not recommended due to:	
	1				a	(a) The requested aircraft noise	
	1				ix. Outer Air Noise Overlay.	terminology for describing noise	
	1					areas adopts terminology	
	1				3. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary	inconsistent with terminology already	
	1	1			Where:	adopted (see response to submission	
	1				a. Compliance with the requirements of NOISE-S4 or NOISE-S5 cannot be achieved and.	point 406.432); and;	
	1					(b) Acoustic performance standard is	
	1				 c. Two residential units are proposed on a site within the Inner Air Noise Overlay. d. Four or more-	specified using "Ldn levels of aircraft	
	1	1			residential units are proposed on a site within the Outer Air Noise Overlay.	noise measured indoors" as opposed	
	1				residential and are proposed on a site within the outer Air Noise Overlay.	to the recommended approach	
	1				 Note: This rule does not obligate Wellington International Airport Limited (WIAL) to provide or-	adopted within NOISE-S4 and NOISE-	
	1	1			upgrade mechanical ventilation or noise insulation in a residential unit which has already received	S6 whereby the outdoor-to-indoor	
	1				upgrade mechanical ventilation of noise insulation in a residential unit which has already received- such treatment.	sound isolation level is prescribed	
						and an average of the second s	
	FS44.99	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more information in Noise	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
Guardians of the Bays					and a second second states to a second state at a second	the extent that modifications are	I
Guardians of the Bays		wide Matters / NOISE /		R3 and within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. However, these are major changes to the	on normal activities in zones within the Air Noise Boundary overlay as called by the WIAL Air Noise	the extent that mounications are	
Suardians of the Bays nc		wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R3		R3 and within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. However, these are major changes to the plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be	Boundary and 60dbl.	introduced by decisions on other	
Guardians of the Bays nc							
Guardians of the Bays				plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be		introduced by decisions on other	

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Kāinga Ora – Homes	FS89.136	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes the proposed amendments which would require all new sensitive activities in the	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
and Communities		Wide Matters / Noise /		Air Noise Boundary areas to obtain a resource consent even where acoustic insulation and		the extent that modifications are	
		NOISE-R3		ventilation is proposed.		introduced by decisions on other	
				The requirement would result in a significant constraint to development within zones appropriately		submissions	
āinga Ora – Homes	FS89.137	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes deletion of this rule.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
nd Communities		Wide Matters / Noise /				the extent that modifications are	
		NOISE-R3				introduced by decisions on other	
oard of Airline	FS139.122	Part 2 / General District	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT Submission point as per	Yes
tepresentatives of		Wide Matters / Noise /				response to submission point REJECT	
New Zealand Inc *Late		NOISE-R3				Submission point as per response to	
urther submission						submission point 406.417 above.	
ccepted as per Minute							
Vellington	406.432	General District wide	Oppose	Opposes NOISE-R3.	Delete NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing	REJECT Submission point as per	Yes
nternational Airport		Matters / NOISE /			building) in its entirety. (Option B).	response to submission point REJECT	
td		NOISE-R3		[See paragraphs 4.65 to 4.72 of original submission for full reason]		Submission point as per response to	
						submission point 406.417 above.	
uardians of the Bays	FS44.100	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated recognise that there may need to be more information in Noise	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point be disallowed or amended in a way to stop undue burden		Yes
nc		wide Matters / NOISE /		R3 and within the plan regarding the Air Noise Boundaries. However, these are major changes to the		the extent that modifications are	
		NOISE-R3		plan that will create major hardship in the additional burden of acoustic treatments that need to be	Boundary and 60dbl.	introduced by decisions on other	1
				resolved in some way between the property owner and the noise producer Wellington International		submissions	1
				Airport. WIAL should be working with WCC, the community and Guardians of the Bays to improve			
			-	the noise objectives and standards in the plan but not put undue financial burden on the			
KiwiRail Holdings	FS72.79	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Opposes the deletion of this rule which is required to protect the rail corridor from noise sensitive	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
imited		wide Matters / Noise /		activities.		the extent that modifications are	
		NOISE-R3		e and an the all of a sub-shared by property have the here of the second s		introduced by decisions on other	1
				Considers the relief sought should be REJECTd because it a) will not promote the sustainable		submissions	
				management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or	r		
				inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is			
				inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional			
				Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the			
				reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and	1		
				potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and cultural			
Board of Airline	FS139.123	Part 2 / General District	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT Submission point as per	Yes
Representatives of		wide Matters / Noise /				response to submission point REJECT	
New Zealand Inc *Late		NOISE-R3				Submission point as per response to	
further submission						submission point 406.417 above.	
accepted as per Minute							
i SiwiRail Holdings	408.110	General District wide	Amend	Currents the indusion of poice and ultration controls requiring conveticing detice and upstilation to	Amend NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing	DEIECT Submission Daint, Submission	Vee
imited	408.110		Amend				res
imited		Matters / Noise / NOISE		be installed in new (or altered) sensitive uses within 100m of the railway corridor.	building) as follows:	point as proposed introduces the	
		R3		the second se	A Anthony Manager Description	requirement for all activities under	
				However, KiwiRail provide an alternative rule and standard framework which includes compliance	1. Activity status: Permitted	1.a. to also comply with the	
				pathways to mitigate noise impacts, including building setbacks and noise barriers. This approach is	Auto and	submissions proposed NOISE-SX.	
			1	intended to assist developers and the Council in achieving compliance without requiring expert noise	where:	Further, additional sound insulation	1
	1					norformones house of thet at a the	
				input into design. The standards seek to provide options for developers to protect future noise	a Compliance with NOISE SA (Ligh Noise Areas) and NOISE SV is achieved within	performance beyond that shown in	
				input into design. The standards seek to provide options for developers to protect future noise sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor.	a. Compliance with NOISE-S4 (High Noise Areas) and NOISE-SX is achieved within:	the PDP is not required for noise	
				sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor.	i. 40m of a State Highway;	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a	
				sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or	i. 40m of a State Highway; ii. <u>100</u> 4 0 m of a Railway corridor;	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a railway corridor. With Kiwirail's	
				sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are	I. 40m of a State Highway; ii. <u>1004</u> 0m of a Raiway corridor; iii. General Industrial Zone; or	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a railway corridor. With Kiwirail's assumed noise levels: "railway noise	
				sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living	i. 40m of a State Highway; ii. <u>100</u> 4 0 m of a Railway corridor;	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a railway corridor. With Kiwirail's assumed noise levels: <i>Trailway noise</i> <i>is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) d a</i>	
				sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential	I. 40m of a State Highway; ii. <u>1004</u> 0m of a Raiway corridor; iii. General Industrial Zone; or	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a railway corridor. With Kiwirail's assumed noise levels: "railway noise is assumed to be 70 L4aq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track,	
				sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living	I. 40m of a State Highway; II. <u>1004</u> 0m of a Kaliway corridor; III. General Industrial Zone, or IV. Inner Air Noise Overlay. 	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a railway corridor. With Kiwina'i's assumed noise levels: "railway noise is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a	
				sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential	I. 40m of a State Highway; ii. <u>1004</u> 0m of a Raiway corridor; iii. General Industrial Zone; or	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a railway corridor. With Kiwirail's assumed noise levels: "railway noise is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rate of 3 dB per doubling of distance	
				sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential	i. 40m of a State Highway; ii. 10040m of a Railway corridor; iii. General Industrial Zone; or iv. Inner Air Noise Overlay. 2. Activity status: Permitted	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a railway corridor. With Kiwiral's assumed noise levels: "railway noise is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rate of 3 dB per doubling of distance up to 40 metres and 6 dB per	
				sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential	I. 40m of a State Highway; II. <u>10040</u> m of a Kaliway corridor; III. General Industrial Zone, or IV. Inner Air Noise Overlay. 	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a railway corridor. With Kiwina'il's assumed noise levels: "railway noise is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rate of 3 dB per doubling of distance up to 40 metres and 6 dB per doubling of distance beyond 40	
				sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential	I. 40m of a State Highway; II. 10044m of a Kailway corridor; III. General Industrial Zone; or Iv. Inner Air Noise Overlay. 2. Activity status: Permitted Where:	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a railway corridor. With Kwirail's assumed noise levels: "railway noise is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rate of 3 dB per doubling of distance up to 40 metres and 6 dB per doubling of distance beyond 40 metres," The assumed external level	
				sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential	I. 40m of a State Highway; II. <u>10040</u> m of a Railway corridor; III. <u>10040</u> m of a Railway corridor; III. General Industrial Zone; or IV. Inner Air Noise Overlay. 2. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance with NOISE-S5 (Moderate Noise Areas) is achieved within:	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a raliway corridor. With Kiwiral's assumed noise levels: "railway noise is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rate of 3 dB per doubling of distance up to 40 metres and 6 dB per doubling of distance beyond 40 metres," The assumed external level at 40m from railway is approx. 65	
				sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential	I. 40m of a State Highway; II. 10044m of a Kailway corridor; III. General Industrial Zone; or IV. Inner Air Noise Overlay. Z. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance with NOISE-SS (Moderate Noise Areas) is achieved within: I. The area between 40m and 80m of a State Highway;	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a railway corridor. With Kiwirail's assumed noise levels: "railway noise is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rate of 3 dB per doubling of distance up to 40 metres and 6 dB per doubling of distance beyond 40 metres," The assumed external level at 40m from railway is approx. 65 dBA. With NOISE-S5 minimum 30 dB	
				sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential	I. 40m of a State Highway; II. 10044m of a Kailway corridor; III. General Industrial Zone; or IV. Inner Air Noise Overlay. 2. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance with NOISE-S5 (Moderate Noise Areas) is achieved within: I. The area between 40m and 80m of a State Highway; II. The area between 40m and 100m of a State Highway; II. The area between 40m and 100m of a Railway corridor;	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a raliway corridor. With Kiwirail's assumed noise levels: "railway noise is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rate of 3 dB per doubling of distance up to 40 metres and 6 dB per doubling of distance beyond 40 metres, "The assumed external level at 40m from railway is approx. 65 dBA. With NOISE-55 minimum 30 dB Dtr,2m,n,ru + Ctr insulation this gives	
				sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential	I. 40m of a State Highway; II. 10044m of a Kailway corridor; III. General Industrial Zone; or IV. Inner Air Noise Overlay. Z. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance with NOISE-SS (Moderate Noise Areas) is achieved within: I. The area between 40m and 80m of a State Highway;	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a raliway corridor. With Kiwiral's assumed noise levels: "railway noise is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rate of 3 dB per doubling of distance up to 40 metres and 6 dB per doubling of distance beyond 40 metres," The assumed external level at 40m from railway is approx. 65 dBA. With NOISE-S5 minimum 30 dB Dtr,2m,Tw + Ctr insulation this gives an internal noise level approx. of 35	
				sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential for complaints about the effects of the railway network.	I. 40m of a State Highway; II. 10044m of a Kailway corridor; III. General Industrial Zone; or IV. Inner Air Noise Overlay. Z. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance with NOISE-S5 (Moderate Noise Areas) is achieved within: I. The area between 40m and 80m of a State Highway: II. The area between 40m and 100m of a Railway corridor; III. City Centre Zone; IV	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a railway corridor. With Kiwirail's assumed noise levels: "railway noise is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rate of 3 dB per doubling of distance up to 40 metres and 6 dB per doubling of distance beyond 40 metres," The assumed external level at 40m from railway is approx. 65 dBA. With NOISE-S5 minimum 30 dB Dtr,2m,nT,w + Ctr insulation this gives an internal noise level approx. of 35 dBA, which aligns with AS NZS 2107	
	F5107.23	Part 2 / General District	Орроѕе	sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential for complaints about the effects of the railway network. Stride is opposed to the requested amendment to NOISE-R3 and any consequential amendments as	I. 40m of a State Highway; II. 10044m of a Kailway corridor; III. General Industrial Zone; or IV. Inner Air Noise Overlay. Z. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance with NOISE-S5 (Moderate Noise Areas) is achieved within: I. The area between 40m and 80m of a State Highway: II. The area between 40m and 100m of a Railway corridor; III. City Centre Zone; IV	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a raliway corridor. With Kiwirail's assumed noise levels: "railway noise is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rute of 3 dB per doubling of distance up to 40 metres and 6 dB per doubling of distance beyond 40 metres, "The assumed external level at 40m from railway is approx. 65 dBA. With NOISE-55 minimum 30 dB Dtr,2m,7t, w + Ctr insulation this gives an internal noise level approx. of 35 dBA, which aligns with AS NZS 2107 ACCEPT submission point, except to	
	F\$107.23	wide Matters / Noise /	Оррозе	sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential for complaints about the effects of the railway network. Stride is opposed to the requested amendment to NOISE-R3 and any consequential amendments as this would increase the area covered by the "high noise area" from within 40m of a railway corridor	I. 40m of a State Highway; II. 10044m of a Kailway corridor; III. General Industrial Zone; or IV. Inner Air Noise Overlay. Z. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance with NOISE-S5 (Moderate Noise Areas) is achieved within: I. The area between 40m and 80m of a State Highway: II. The area between 40m and 100m of a Railway corridor; III. City Centre Zone; IV	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a railway corridor. With Kiwirail's assumed noise levels: "railway noise is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rate of 3 dB per doubling of distance up to 40 metres and 6 dB per doubling of distance beyond 40 metres," The assumed external level at 40m from railway is approx. 65 dBA. With NOISE-S5 minimum 30 dB Dtr,2m, T,w 4 Cri insulation this gives an internal noise level approx. of 35 dBA, which aligns with AS NZS 2107 ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	
	F\$107.23		Oppose	sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential for complaints about the effects of the railway network. Stride is opposed to the requested amendment to NOISE-R3 and any consequential amendments as this would increase the area covered by the "high noise area" from within 40m of a railway corridor to within 100m. It is inappropriate to apply the more onerous requirements of the "high noise area"	I. 40m of a State Highway; II. 10044m of a Kailway corridor; III. General Industrial Zone; or IV. Inner Air Noise Overlay. Z. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance with NOISE-S5 (Moderate Noise Areas) is achieved within: I. The area between 40m and 80m of a State Highway: II. The area between 40m and 100m of a Railway corridor; III. City Centre Zone; IV	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a railway corridor. With Kwirail's assumed noise levels: "railway noise is assumed to be 70 LAcq(14) ot a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rate of 3 dB per doubling of distance up to 40 metres and 6 dB per doubling of distance beyond 40 metres, "The assumed external level at 40m from railway is approx. 65 dBA. With NOISE-55 minimum 30 dB Dtr,2m,nT,w + Ctr insulation this gives an internal noise level approx. of 35 dBA, which aligns with A5 N25 2107 ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	
	F\$107.23	wide Matters / Noise /	Oppose	sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential for complaints about the effects of the railway network. Stride is opposed to the requested amendment to NOISE-R3 and any consequential amendments as this would increase the area covered by the "high noise area" from within 40m of a railway corridor	I. 40m of a State Highway; II. 10044m of a Kailway corridor; III. General Industrial Zone; or IV. Inner Air Noise Overlay. Z. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance with NOISE-S5 (Moderate Noise Areas) is achieved within: I. The area between 40m and 80m of a State Highway: II. The area between 40m and 100m of a Railway corridor; III. City Centre Zone; IV	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a railway corridor. With Kiwirail's assumed noise levels: "railway noise is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rate of 3 dB per doubling of distance up to 40 metres and 6 dB per doubling of distance beyond 40 metres," The assumed external level at 40m from railway is approx. 65 dBA. With NOISE-S5 minimum 30 dB Dtr,2m, T,w 4 Cri insulation this gives an internal noise level approx. of 35 dBA, which aligns with AS NZS 2107 ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	
Nanagement Limited	F5107.23	wide Matters / Noise /	Орроѕе	sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential for complaints about the effects of the railway network. Stride is opposed to the requested amendment to NOISE-R3 and any consequential amendments as this would increase the area covered by the "high noise area" from within 40m of a railway corridor to within 100m. It is inappropriate to apply the more onerous requirements of the "high noise area"	I. 40m of a State Highway; II. 10040m of a State Highway; II. General Industrial Zone; or IV. Inner Air Noise Overlay. 2. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance with NOISE-S5 (Moderate Noise Areas) is achieved within: I. The area between 40m and 80m of a State Highway; II. The area between 40m and 100m of a State Highway; II. The area between 40m and 100m of a Railway corridor; III. City Centre Zone; IV Disallow	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a railway corridor. With Kiwiral's assumed noise levels: "railway noise is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rate of 3 dB per doubling of distance up to 40 metres and 6 dB per doubling of distance beyond 40 metres," The assumed external level at 40m from railway is approx. 65 dBA. With NOISE-S5 minimum 30 dB Dtr,2m,Tw + Ctr insulation this gives an internal noise level approx. of 35 dBA, which aligns with AS NZS 2107 ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	
Nanagement Limited		wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3 Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise /		sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential for complaints about the effects of the railway network. Stride is opposed to the requested amendment to NOISE-R3 and any consequential amendments as this would increase the area covered by the "high noise area" from within 40m of a railway corridor to within 100m. It is inappropriate to apply the more onerous requirements of the "high noise area" to such a great distance from the railway corridor, especially in the case of Johnsonville where there Investore is opposed to the requested amendment to NOISE-R3 and any consequential amendments as this would increase the accovered by the "high noise area" from within 40m of a railway to such a great distance from the railway corridor, especially in the case of Johnsonville where three Investore is opposed to the requested amendment to NOISE-R3 and any consequential amendments as this would increase the accovered by the "high noise area" from within 40m of a railway	I. 40m of a State Highway; II. 10040m of a State Highway; II. General Industrial Zone; or IV. Inner Air Noise Overlay. 2. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance with NOISE-S5 (Moderate Noise Areas) is achieved within: I. The area between 40m and 80m of a State Highway; II. The area between 40m and 100m of a State Highway; II. The area between 40m and 100m of a Railway corridor; III. City Centre Zone; IV Disallow	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a raliway corridor. With Kwirail's assumed noise levels: "railwayn oise is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rute of 3 dB per doubling of distance up to 40 metres and 6 dB per doubling of distance beyond 40 metres," The assumed external level at 40m from railway is approx. 65 dBA. With NOISE-S5 minimum 30 dB DTr,2m,TT, w + Ctr insulation this gives an internal noise level approx. of 35 dBA, which aligns with AS NZ5 2107 ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are intraduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
tride Investment Management Limited nvestore Property imited		wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R3 Part 2 / General District		sensitive activities from noise associated with the rail corridor. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential for complaints about the effects of the railway network. Stride is opposed to the requested amendment to NOISE-R3 and any consequential amendments as this would increase the area covered by the "high noise area" from within 40m of a railway corridor to within 100m. It is inappropriate to apply the more onerous requirements of the "high noise area" to such a great distance from the railway corridor, especially in the case of Johnsonville where there Investore is opposed to the requested amendment to NOISE-R3 and any consequential amendments as	I. 40m of a State Highway; II. 10040m of a State Highway; II. General Industrial Zone; or IV. Inner Air Noise Overlay. 2. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance with NOISE-S5 (Moderate Noise Areas) is achieved within: I. The area between 40m and 80m of a State Highway; II. The area between 40m and 100m of a State Highway; II. The area between 40m and 100m of a Railway corridor; III. City Centre Zone; IV Disallow	the PDP is not required for noise sensitive activities 40-100m of a railway corridor. With Kiwiral's assumed noise levels: "railway noise is assumed to be 70 LAeq(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rate of 3 dB per doubling of distance up to 40 metres and 6 dB per doubling of distance beyond 40 metres," The assumed external level at 40m from railway is approx. 65 dBA. With NOISE-S5 minimum 30 dB Dtr,2m,Tw + Ctr insulation this gives an internal noise level approx. of 35 dBA, which aligns with AS NZS 2107 ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
The Retirement Villages Association of New	FS126.171	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise /	Oppose	The RVA acknowledges that acoustic insulation for noise and vibration may be appropriate in some areas located within or adjacent to high noise areas with a purpose of providing protection / amenity	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	Yes
Zealand Incorporated		NOISE-R3		to residents in such areas. The RVA considers however that such requirements need to be determined on a case-by-case basis, with consideration given to the distance of noise sensitive		introduced by decisions on other submissions	
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.171	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise /	Oppose	Ryman acknowledges that acoustic insulation for noise and vibration may be appropriate in some areas located within or adjacent to high noise areas with a purpose of providing protection / amenity	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	Yes
		NOISE-R3		to residents in such areas. Ryman considers however that such requirements need to be determined on a case-by-case basis, with consideration given to the distance of noise sensitive activities from		introduced by decisions on other submissions	
ave Our Venues	445.7	General District wide	Amend	Considers that new residential developments in the immediate vicinity of existing live music venues	Amend NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing	REJECT - Reject the addition of	Yes
		Matters / Noise / NOISE R3	1	currently have a low acoustic insulation standard. This creates an issue of reverse sensitivity.	building) as follows:	Central Area to NOISE-R3 for reasons set out in the s42A report and	
				Considers that venues, otherwise compliant with DP noise guidelines, may still face enforcement action in response to noise complaints from new residents, severely restricting their ability to	1. Activity status: Permitted	statemnt of evidence. However, we recommend that NOISE-R3 be	
				operate.	Where: a. Compliance with NOISE-S4 (High Noise Areas) is achieved within:	updated to include reference to the Courtenay Place Noise Area.	
				Considers that in other high-noise locations - such as near the airport, or close to motorways - it has	i. 40m of a State Highway;		
				been amply demonstrated that it is possible to build dwellings to an acoustic standard that adequately mitigates potential noise issues when required by planning rules, and the modest cost of	ii. 40m of a Railway corridor; iii. General Industrial Zone; or		
				doing so has not been a disincentive to residential development in those areas. However, in a highly	iv. Inner Air Noise Overlay <u>:</u>		
				competitive residential market, developers have little incentive to take mitigation action above and beyond the minimum required.	 v. Within 40m of a lawfully established established live music venue; vi. Central Area. 		
Save Our Venues	445.8	General District wide	Amend	Considers that as currently proposed, NOISE-R3 does not take effect until the new plan is fully	Seeks that the WCC give immediate effect to NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or		Yes
		Matters / Noise / NOISE R3		ratified (which could take years), whereas the new permitted residential development rules would take immediate legal effect	in alterations / additions to an existing building) alongside densification provisions.	legal effect	
				Considers that this is likely to result in a rush on development, meaning that by the time the new			
Suardians of the Bays	452.41	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE	Support	Supports the NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building).	Retain NOISE-R3 (Noise sensitive activity in a new building, or in alterations / additions to an existing building) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	Yes
		R3				introduced by decisions on other	
lew Zealand gricultural Aviation	40.7	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE	Amend	Considers that the PDP should provide for the intermittent use of helicopter landing areas by agricultural aircraft for the purposes of agricultural aviation including primary production purposes	Amend NOISE-R4.2 (Helicopter landing noise) as follows:	REJECT submission point: Insufficent evidence is provided as to (a) why	Yes
ssociation		R4		and conservation purposes as a permitted activity.	2.	primacy for agricultural aviation	
					a. Compliance with the recommended limits and noise management	activities primary production and	
					provisions as set out in NZ56807:1994 Noise Management and Land Use Planning for Helicopter Landing Areas is achieved <u>: or</u>	conservation purposes compared to aviation activities underaken for	
						other purposes, and (b) why the	
					b. The activity is for the purposes of agricultural aviation activities for primary production and	propsoed word "or" is inserted	
					conservation purposes.	meaning the normal noise complaince pathway via	
						NZS6807:1994 would not be taken.	
						This means noise from agricultural	
						aviation activities would not be measured and assessed using the	
aul Van Houtte	92.2	General District wide	Amend	Considers that helicopter landing noise from commercial activity should not be permitted at the	Seeks that NOISE-R4 (Helicopter Landing Areas) is amended to so that helicopter landing noise from	REJECT submission point. Waterfront	Yes
		Matters / Noise / NOISE	-	waterfront, as this compromises amenity values and the enjoyment of pedestrians.	commercial activity is not permitted at the waterfront.	helicopter activity from the Queen's	
		R4				Wharf Outer "T" is subject to the Regional Coastal Plan, not the district	
						plan. Noise due indiviudal helicopter	
						movements may, at times, be	
						noticeable acorss wide areas acorss	
						the waterfront when experienced outdoors. However, helicopter	
						activities at the waterfront managed	
						in compliance with NZS6807:1994	
Vellington Helicopters	F65 5	Part 2/General District	Oppose	Helicopters have operated at the Queens Wharf waterfront helipad in excess of 30 years. Any	Disallow	would not Normally be considered ACCEPT submission point. Waterfront	
veilington Helicopters	F55.5	Wide Matters/ Noise/	Oppose	restriction on operation and or noise would have a severely negative affect on our business and lead		ACCEPT submission point. Waterfront helicopter activity from the Queen's	res
		NOISE-R4		to closure. Wellington Helicopters believe that the helicopter operation adds to the diversity of		Wharf Outer "T" is subject to the	
				businesses in the CBD and is also an essential asset for the Wellington Regional Emergency		Regional Coastal Plan, not the district	
				Management Office.		plan. Helicopter activities at the waterfront managed in compliance	
						with NZS6807:1994 would not	
						mormally be considered likely to	
vonne Weeber	340.86	General District wide	Amend	Considers that NOISE-R4 should be amended to have a prohibited activity status where no activity	Amend NOISE-R4 to add a 'Non-compliant' activity status for the landing and take off of helicopters	REJECT submission point. Helicopter	Yes
		Matters / Noise / NOISE	1	for the landing and take off of helicopter will be granted within the East Side Area designation.	within the East Side Area designation.	activity is subject to designation	
		K4		Wellington Airport International Airport Limited Designation for the East Side Area does not allow take off or landing within the designation as per condition 34 which states: There shall be no aircraft		conditions at the airport. A restriction on helicopters operating within the	
				engine testing, take-off or landing on land within the ESA Designation.		East Side Area designation (conditon	
				- v		34) already stipulates no aircraft take-	
						off or landing can take place on land	

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
	FS5.7	Part 2/General District Wide Matters/ Noise/ NOISE-R4	Oppose	Wellington Helicopters believe that helicopter activities should be permitted within the airport zone.	Disallow	REJECT submission point. See response re; 340.86. Original submission applies only to the ESA area. No specific restrictions on helicopter activities apply within any	Yes
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.161	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate for the Noise chapter to duplicate or introduce aircraft noise management and mitigation measures imposed on WIAL through the recently settled Main Site and East Side Area Designations. Furthermore, the Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of the Proposed Plan review.	Disallow	REJECT submission point for reasons given above row 363 and row 317 (in response to submission 406.437)	
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.78	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- R4	Oppose in part	Considers that acoustic insulation standards referred to in NOISE-R4 should be amended to allow noise matters to be considered on a case-by-case basis, with consideration given to the distance of noise sensitive activities from high noise areas.	Amend NOISE-R4 (Acoustic insulation - high noise areas) to integrate consideration of individual site characteristics/circumstances, and the distance of noise sensitive activities from high noise areas.	REJECT submission point. The district plan applies consistent insulation standards across each noise area to ensure health and amenity stanards within habitable rooms is protected on a consistent basis.	Yes
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.160	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	Oppose	WIAL has sought, via its primary submission, the inclusion of new rules within the Air Noise Boundary and 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary at Wellington International Airport. This includes acoustic insulation requirements that reflects the nature of the noise received within these aircraft noise boundaries.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Stride Investment Management Limited	FS107.44	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	Support	Stride supports integrating consideration of individual site characteristics to activities, as this would enable a flexible approach to noise management.	Allow	REJECT submission point. The district plan applies consistent insulation standards across each noise area to ensure health and amenity stanards within habitable rooms is protected	Yes
Investore Property Limited	FS108.44	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-R4	Support	Investore supports integrating consideration of individual site characteristics to activities, as this would enable a flexible approach to noise management.	Allow	REJECT submission point. The district plan applies consistent insulation standards across each noise area to ensure health and amenity stanards within habitable rooms is protected	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.433	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R4	Support	Supports the permitted activity status for helicopter operations within the Airport Zone.	Retain NOISE-R4 (Helicopter landing noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Wellington Helicopters		Part 2/General District Wide Matters/ Noise/ NOISE-R4	Support	Wellington Helicopters support that helicopter activity be allowed within the airport zone.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.124	Part 2/General District Wide Matters/ Noise/ NOISE-R4	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
New Zealand Defence Force	423.17	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- R4	Support	Considers that it is appropriate to provide for noise associated with helicopter landings in the Airport Zone as a permitted activity with no permitted activity criteria.	Retain NOISE-R4.1 (Helicopter landing noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Wellington Helicopters	FS5.3	Part 2/General District Wide Matters/ Noise/ NOISE-R4	Support	It is appropriate that helicopters be able to operate within the airport zone.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
New Zealand Defence Force	423.18	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- R4	Support	It is appropriate to provide for helicopter landings in all other zones as a permitted activity subject to compliance with the recommended limits and noise management provisions as set out in NZ56807.1994 Noise Management and Land Use Planning for Helicopter Landing Areas is achieved. This is consistent with NZDF's bespoke noise standards for TMTA provided in Attachment 3. [See original submission for attachment 3]	Retain NOISE-R4.2 (Helicopter landing noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Wellington Helicopters	FS5.4	Part 2/General District Wide Matters/ Noise/ NOISE-R4	Support	It is appropriate that helicopters be able to operate within the airport zone.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Ministry of Education	400.88	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- R5	Support	Supports NOISE-R5 as Wellington Girls College and Thorndon School are located within approximately 300m of the Wellington Stadium. The submitter supports the matter of discretion to consider noise effects on these noise sensitive activities.	Retain NOISE-R5 (Noise from Wellington Regional Stadium and the Basin Reserve) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.58	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- R6	Support	Supports NOISE-R6 noting the standard NOISE-S7 defers to the noise limits set out in APP5 – Fixed Plant Standards.	Retain NOISE-R6 (Fixed plant noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.434	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R8	Oppose	Submitter is required to undertake wildlife management activities at the Airport. Submitter seeks to ensure that its activities, while not comparable to a shooting range, are not inadvertently captured by this rule.	Opposes NOISE-R8 (Shooting range and firearm noise) and seeks amendment.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.101	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R8	Not specified	While Guardians of the Bays incorporated understands the changes being proposed by Wellington International Airport, they also suggest that all due restraint and other methods of bird removal from the airport be considered as the local community do feel as though they are in the middle of a shooting range when bird control activities take place.	Allow	REJECT submission point. Wildlife management has an important airraft safety compoenent. Council accepts all wildlife control measures should be adopted however use of firearms may, at times, be necessary for aircraft safety purposes.	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	F\$139.125	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R8	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.435	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R8	Amend	Submitter is required to undertake wildlife management activities at the Airport. Submitter seeks to ensure that its activities, while not comparable to a shooting range, are not inadvertently captured by this rule.	Amend NOISE-R8 (Shooting range and firearm noise) as follows: NOISE-R8 <u>Airport Zone</u> <u>1. Activity Status: Permitted</u> All Zones (<u>except the Airport Zone)</u> 2. Activity Status: Discretionary	ACCEPT submission point. Council accepts all wildlife control measures may need to include use of firearms at the airport for aircraft safety purposes.	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.102	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R8	Not specified	While Guardians of the Bays incorporated understands the changes being proposed by Wellington International Airport, they also suggest that all due restraint and other methods of bird removal from the airport be considered as the local community do feel as though they are in the middle of a shooting range when bird control activities take place.	(Option A). Allow	REJECT submission point. Council accepts all wildlife control measures should be adopted however use of firearms may, at times, be necessary for aircraft safety purposes.	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.126	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R8	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.436	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R8	Oppose	Submitter is required to undertake wildlife management activities at the Airport. Submitter seeks to ensure that its activities, while not comparable to a shooting range, are not inadvertently captured by this rule.	Delete NOISE-R8 (Shooting range and firearm noise) in its entirety. (Option B).	REJECT submission point. Option A has been accepted.	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.103	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R8	Not specified	While Guardians of the Bays Incorporated understands the changes being proposed by Wellington International Airport, they also suggest that all due restraint and other methods of bird removal from the airport be considered as the local community do feel as though they are in the middle of a shooting range when bird control activities take place.	Allow	REJECT submission point. Wildlife management has an important airraft safety compoenent. Council accepts all wildlife control measures should be adopted however use of firearms may, at times, be necessary for aircraft safety purposes.	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	F\$139.127	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R8	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.59	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- R9	Support	Considers that given the importance and necessity of blasting to quarry activities, Horokiwi supports the recognition of blasting associated with quarry activities (clause 2.b), and the permitted activity status.	Retain NOISE-R9 (Blasting noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
CentrePort Limited	402.136	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE R12	Support in part	Support the intent of this rule subject to amendments in relation to Table 20 which are required to comply with the methodology in NZ5 6809:1999 (Port Noise Standard).	Retain NOISE-R12 (Port noise), subject to amendments sought in relation to Table 20 in APP4 Permitted Noise Standards.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Yvonne Weeber	340.87	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE R13	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain NOISE-R13 (Airport noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.437	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R13	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Opposes NOISE-R13 (Airport noise) and seeks amendment.	REJECT submission point. While largely retianing the existing approach sees the Noise Chapter duplicate most noise related provisions found within the WIAL designation, Council needs to retain district plan tools that facilitate ease of abatement and enforcemment	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.128	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R13	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.438	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R13	Amend	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	(Option A). Amend NOISE-R13 (Airport noise) as follows: NOISE-R13 Airport Noise All Zones	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	Yes
					1. Activity status: Permitted		
					Where: a. Compliance is achieved with the following standards: i. NOISE-S1; ii. NOISE S8; iii. NOISE S9; iv. NOISE-S11; vi. NOISE-S12; vii. NOISE-S12; vii. NOISE-S15. 2		
				3. Activity status: Non-complying Where:			
					b-a. Noise from any land based activity in the Airport Zone exceeds the limits in NOIS-S14 by more than 5d8. Notification Status: An application for resource consent made in respect of this rule must be publicly notified.		
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.104	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R13	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14, S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.129	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R13	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.439	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R13	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-R13 (Airport Noise) in its entirety. (Option B).	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.105	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R13	Oppose	[See Paragraphs 4.52 U 4.7.5 of Original submission for full reason] Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise 53, 54, 55, 58, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514,515 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.130	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-R13	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	452.42	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE R13	Support	Supports NOISE-R13 (Airport noise).	Retain NOISE-R13 (Airport noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Yvonne Weeber	340.88	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S1	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain NOISE-S1 (Maximum permitted activity noise levels by zone) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.103	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S1	Support	NOISE-51 is supported, as well as its proposed limits set out in 'APP4 – Permitted Noise standards' which includes noise limits for activities contained within a single zone and separate standards for activities generated in one zone but received in another.	Retain NOISE-S1 (Maximum permitted activity noise levels by zone) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.43	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S1	Support	Supports NOISE-S1 (Maximum permitted activity noise levels by zone).	Retain NOISE-S1 (Maximum permitted activity noise levels by zone) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.89	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S2	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain NOISE-S2 (Maximum permitted noise levels by activity) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Waka Kotahi	370.225	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S2	Support	Considers that the use of NZS 6803 for construction noise is appropriate	Retain NOISE-S2 (Maximum permitted noise levels by activity) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Ministry of Education	400.89	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S2	Support	Supports NOISE-52.2 as it limits the noise effects of blasting on any nearby sensitive activity, including educational facilities.	Retain NOISE-52.2 (Maximum permitted noise levels by activity: 2. Blasting) as notified.		Yes
Guardians of the Bays	452.44	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S2	Support	Supports NOISE-S2 (Maximum permitted noise levels by activity).	Retain NOISE-S2 (Maximum permitted noise levels by activity) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Yvonne Weeber	340.90	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S3	Support in part	NOISE-53 is supported, but an amendment is sought.	Retain NOISE-S3 (Noise management plans) with amendment.	ACCEPT IN PART submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions. REJECT Amendment that refers to Quieter Homes Programme which is a designation condition and not part of	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.91	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S3	Amend	Considers that NOISE-S3 should be amended to have an additional bullet 2.g to include a timeframe to increase the speed of completion in the "Methods necessary for the Airport to complete implementation of the Quieter Homes Programme".	Amend NOISE-S3 (Noise management plans) as follows: Airport Activities 1. The Airport must at all times maintain and implement an Airport Noise Management Plan (ANMP). Any alteration or update to the ANMP is subject to certification by the Council. 2. The ANMP must include, as a minimum: g. Methods necessary for the Airport to complete implementation of the Quieter Homes Programme within an identified timeframe once the properties within the 60dbh contour have been identified;	REJECT submission point. Timeframe for the Airport to complete implementation of the Quieter	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.162	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate for the Noise chapter to duplicate or introduce aircraft noise management and mitigation measures imposed on WIAL through the recently settled Main Site and East Side Area Designations. Furthermore, the Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of the Proposed Plan review.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
CentrePort Limited	402.137	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S3	Support in part	Support the intent of this standard subject to amendments in relation to Table 20 which are required to comply with the methodology in NZS 6809:1999 (Port Noise Standard).	Retain NOISE-S3 (Noise management plans), subject to amendments sought in relation to Table 20 in APP4 Permitted Noise Standards.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.440	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S3	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S3 (Noise management plans) in it's entirety.	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.106	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S3	Oppose	Even by the moles management obligations of Wellington Ariport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14, S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	ACCEPT IN PART submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.138	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes deletion of this standard which serves the purpose to requiring WIAL to manage their noise through a management plan through Plan rules as opposed to solely through the Designation conditions.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.131	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.45	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S3	Support in part	Supports the NOISE S3 (Noise management plans) standards as proposed.	Retain NOISE-S3 (Airport activities) subject to amendments.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.46	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S3	Amend	Considers the need to amend bullet 2 g to include a timeframe to increase the speed of completion in the "Methods necessary for the Airport to complete implementation of the Quieter Homes Programme".	Amend NOISE-53 (Airport Activities) as follows: 2.8. Methods necessary for the Airport to complete implementation of the Quieter Homes Programme within an identified timeframe once the properties within the 60dbh contour have been identified	REJECT submission point. Timeframe for the Airport to complete implementation of the Quieter Homes Programme within the innder and outer Air Noise Overlay is not consiodered a district plan matter - this would be best developed by WIAL in consultation with the ANMC	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.163	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S3	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate for the Noise chapter to duplicate or introduce aircraft noise management and mitigation measures imposed on WIAL through the recently settled Main Site and East Side Area Designations. Furthermore, the Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of the Proposed Plan review.	Disallow	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington City Council	266.124	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S4	Amend	Considers the 'exemption' from insulation standards in S.4(4) (Acoustic insulation - high noise areas) and S.5(4) (Acoustic insulation - moderate noise areas) doesn't adopt the correct noise units when setting out those exemption levels and needs amending. Considers it would be counter to the National Planning Standards to use 1 hour LAeq when NZ Standards NZS609 (port) and NZS6006(traffic) specify 24 hour units for those two types of noise. Considers there are no NZ Stds	Amend NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as follows: not exceed the following noise limits at all points 1.5m above ground level, and any part of the floor levels above ground:	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
				dealing with rail noise. Kiwirail have developed guidance based on worst case 1hr rail noise, so that seems appropriate for rail.	a. Less than 55 dB LAeq (241hr) for rail noise; or b. Less than 57 dB LAeq (241hr) for road noise; or c. Less than 57 dB LAeq (241hr) for port noise.		
/vonne Weeber	340.92	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S4	Support	NOISE-S4 is supported. Supports the standard for acoustic insulation in the high noise area of the Inner Air Noise Overlay.	Retain NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Retirement Villages Association of New Realand Incorporated	350.79	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S4	Amend	Acknowledges that acoustic insulation may be appropriate in some areas located within or adjacent to high noise areas with a purpose of providing protection / amenity to residents in such areas. However, considers that such requirements need to be determined on a case-by-case basis, with consideration given to the distance of noise sensitive activities from high noise areas.	Amend NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) to integrate consideration of individual site characteristics / circumstances, and the distance of noise sensitive activities from high noise areas.	RELECT submission point. Sources of noise in high noise areas can vary in location over time. Acoustic insulation needs to protect occupants now and in the future, thus case-by- case assessment based on distance to source(s) may lead to unsustainable	Yes
tride Investment Nanagement Limited	FS107.45	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Support	Stride supports integrating consideration of individual site characteristics to activities, as this would enable a flexible approach to noise management.	Allow	REJECT submission point based om response to submission point 350.79	Yes
nvestore Property imited	FS108.45	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Support	Investore supports integrating consideration of individual site characteristics to activities, as this would enable a flexible approach to noise management.	Allow	REJECT submission point based om response to submission point 350.79	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.226	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S4	Support in part	Supports, with amendments.	Supports , with amendments.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Vaka Kotahi	370.227	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S4	Amend	Considers that for noise sensitive activities within 20m of State Highway, buildings should also be constructed to mitigate for road vibration – to avoid adverse effects to human health and property as a result of vibration in the environment. Note should be added for clarity on how to calculate state highway noise levels for the design.	Amend NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as follows: 5. For noise sensitive activities within 20m of a state highway, buildings must be designed, constructed and maintained to achieve road vibration levels not exceeding 0.3 mm/s vw,95; Note: for activities within 40m of a State Highway, the design should be based on the measured or predicted roadtraffic noise levels plus 3 dB;	REJECT submission point based on response to submission point 370.227 in outline of themes document	Yes
iwiRail Holdings imited	FS72.80	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-54	Support	Supports the inclusion of a vibration standard for noise sensitive activities however, seeks that this provision applies within 60m of the rail corridor as well as within 20m of a State Highway. Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitgate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will enable the social; economic and cultural welbeing of the people of Wellington	Amend / Adopt amendment sought and include 60m from rail corridor	REJECT submission point based on response to submission point 370.227, and response to Kiwirail submission 403.105	Yes
stride Investment Management Limited	FS107.31	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Oppose	Stride is opposed to imposing additional requirements around vibration and considers that this unfairly imposes the costs of mitigation on private landowners rather than seeking to manage these effects at the source.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
nvestore Property imited	FS108.31	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Oppose	Investore is opposed to imposing additional requirements around vibration and considers that this unfairly imposes the costs of mitigation on private landowners rather than seeking to manage these effects at the source.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.228	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S4	Oppose	[The submitter comments on the assessment criteria only in this submission point.] Considers the assessment criteria for activities that do not meet the permitted standards for NOISE- S4 to be inappropriate as it invites re-litigation of the bottom line which is that internal conditions need to be healthy to protect the amenity, wellbeing, and health of occupants. Assessment criteria should instead consider the extent of the exceedance or noncompliance, and the effects on occupants and noise generating activities as a result.	Amend the assessment criteria under NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as follows: Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed: 1. Extent of the exceedance. 2. Human health effects on occupants and their ability to achieve an acceptable level of amenity as a result of the exceedance. 3. Reverse sensitivity effects to existing noisegenerating activities. 4. Where within 100m of a state highway or railway corridor, extent of consultation with infrastructure providers who are generating the noise. 5. Background noise levels and any special character of noise from any existing activities, the nature and character of any changes to the sound received at any receiving site and the degree to which such sounds are compatible with the surrounding activities, 6. The ability to achieve acceptable outdoor acoustic amenity: 7. Any mitigation of the noise proposed, in accordance with a best practicable option approach (e.g., site layout and design, design and location of structures, buildings and equipment and the timing of operations); 8. The ability to mitigate adverse effects through the imposition of conditions such as noise attenuation; and 9. In relation to a heritage building or a contributing building within a heritage area, the extent to which it is practicable to insulate to the required standard without detracting from identified heritage values	REJECT submission point. There is a lack of evidence on which to accept amendments propsoed to assessment criteria.	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Stride Investment	FS107.32	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Stride is opposed amending the standard as requested as this would impose inappropriately onerous	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
Vanagement Limited		wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4		requirements on development and fails to provide flexibility.		the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	
nvestore Property	FS108.32	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Investore is opposed amending the standard as requested as this would impose inappropriately	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
imited		wide Matters / Noise /		onerous requirements on development and fails to provide flexibility.		the extent that modifications are	
		NOISE-S4				introduced by decisions on other	
rathmore Park	371.4	General District wide	Amend	Considers that Council has proposed that this overlay area will be determined by modelling based on	Seeks that NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation - moderate noise areas) is amended to change the means to		Yes
esidents Association		Matters / Noise / NOISE	-	the existing 65dB ANB. While the submitter has no issue with the accuracy or methods to achieve	establish the 60dB Outer Air Noise Overlay area to use actual 90 day rolling average noise as	concerned with acoustic insulation of	
c		54		this, the submitter believes that the operative 60dB boundary should be established from time to	measured at the defined 65dB ANB.	new or altered habitable rooms located within the Inner Air Noise	
				time by modelling form the actual 90 day rolling average noise being experienced at the defined 65dB ANB rather than based on the 65dB limit itself. This would allow the WIAL to more actively		Overlay. The submitter refers to	
				manage noise beyond the 65dB boundary rather than just working towards filling the available		modelling noise exposure contours	
				"noise bucket" as has been WIAL's approach to the Inner Noise Overlay. Building requirement		around the airport based on actual	
				triggers will not be imposed on a wide area of owners until the defined noise level has been		90 day Ldn aircraft noise levels.	
				established. The implementation of the Outer Noise Overlay in the above manner will, in the		While this approach may be suitable	
				submitters view, more acceptably cater for uncertainty to the future of Airline travel, climate change		implementing acoustic insulation into	
				regulations, introduction of Wide bodied jet aircraft in Wellington and move toward quieter and/or		existing buildings (such as under the	
			electric engine aircraft.		Quieter Homes programme) it is		
					essential that acoustic insulation in new or altered habitable rooms be		
					based on the aircraft noise levels		
					exoected in the long term (such as		
					those expected in year 2050). This is		
						the approach of NZS6805:1992 and	
ellington	FS36.164	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	WIAL has sought the inclusion of a new standard that applies to the acoustic treatment of buildings	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
ternational Airport		wide Matters / Noise /		containing noise sensitive activities within the aircraft noise boundaries at Wellington International		the extent that modifications are	
mited		NOISE-S4		Airport.		introduced by decisions on other	
inga Ora Homes and	391.298	General District wide	Oppose in	NOISE-S4 is opposed and a review of the different insulation requirements is sought for the inner	Opposes NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) and seeks amendments.	REJECT Submission point. There is no	Yes
ommunities S	Matters / Noise / NOISE	part	and outer air noise overlay and to understand why these levels vary from the level required by the		linkage between NOISE-S4 acoustic		
	54		Quieter Homes Programme which is part of the Airport Noise Management Plan and Designation		insulations standards and the amount of acoustic treatment		
			conditions. Clarification is also sought on the extent of the Quieter Homes Programme which appears to only cover the inner air noise overlay. Amendments may be necessary once further		provided under the Quieter Homes		
				clarification and understanding is considered.		programme. This divergence already	
						occurs under the operative plan	
						whereby new or altered habitable	
						rooms are required to be treated to	
						acheive not more than Ldn 40 dB	
						indoors whereas the Quieter Homes	
						programme has Ldn 45 dB as its	
						design target. These differecnes reflect the difficulties in acheiving	
						higher levels of acoustic insulation by	
						retro-fitting measures into existing	
uardians of the Bays	FS44.124	Part 2 / General District	Support	Seeks clarification of the Air Noise requirements and the Quieter Homes Programme.	Allow	REJECT Submission point for the	Yes
c		wide Matters / Noise /				reasons given in response to	
		NOISE-S4				submission point 391.298	
e Retirement Villages	FS126.128	Part 2 / General District	Not	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary	REJECT Submission point for the	Yes
sociation of New		wide Matters / Noise /	specified	these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which	submission.	reasons given in response to	
ealand Incorporated		NOISE-S4		aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.		submission point 391.298	
man Healthcare	FS128.128	Part 2 / General District	Not	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary	REJECT Submission point for the	Yes
mited	. 5120.120	wide Matters / Noise /	specified	these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which	submission.	reasons given in response to	
		NOISE-S4		aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.		submission point 391.298	
iinga Ora Homes and	391.299	General District wide	Amend	Considers that NOISE-S4 should be amended so that any mitigation measures and/or Quieter Homes	Amend NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) so that any mitigation measures and/or	REJECT Submission point for the	Yes
mmunities		Matters / Noise / NOISE	1	Programme applies to properties under both the inner and outer air noise overlay.	Quieter Homes Programme applies to properties under both the inner and outer air noise overlay,	reasons given in response to	
		S4			and clarify the Standard after having reviewed the different insulation requirements for the inner	submission point 391.298. Acoustic	
				The submitter seeks a review of the different insulation requirements for the inner and outer air	and outer air noise overlay between the Plan and the Quieter Homes Programme.	standards implmented under the	
				noise overlay and to understand why these levels vary from the level required by the Quieter Homes		Quieter Homes programme are not	
				Programme which is part of the Airport Noise Management Plan and Designation conditions.		mandated by the district plan but are the result of the deliberations of the	
				Clarification is also sought on the extent of the Quieter Homes Programme which appears to only		ANMP and WIAL as funder of these	
				cover the inner air noise overlay. The requirements proposed by the Plan in respect of acoustic		works.	
				insulation and ventilation are potentially onerous for landowners.			
ellington	FS36.165	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	WIAL has sought the inclusion of a new standard that applies to the acoustic treatment (including	Disallow	REJECT submission point for reasons	Yes
ternational Airport		wide Matters / Noise /		ventilation) of buildings containing noise sensitive activities within the aircraft noise boundaries at		given above (row 317) for submission	
nternational Airport imited		NOISE-S4		Wellington International Airport. As set out in WIAL's primary submission, it is inappropriate for the		406.437	
nited							
mited				Noise chapter to duplicate or introduce aircraft noise management and mitigation measures imposed on WIAL through the recently settled Main Site and East Side Area Designations.			

Summittant Space		Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?	
Interference Name Status Base Affect Base	uardians of the Bays		Part 2 / General District	Support	Seeks clarification of the Air Noise requirements and the Quieter Homes Programme.	Allow	REJECT Submission point for the reasons given in response to	Yes	
Atacacation for the Weighting Ministry / Noise/ Repeated Incorporated Repeated Incorporated Incorporated Incorporated Incorporated Incorporated Repeated Incorporated Incorporated Incorporated Incorporated Repeated Incorporated Incorporated Incorporated Incorporated Incorporated Repeated Incorporated Inc			NOISE-S4				submission point 391.298. Acoustic standards implmented under the		
Autocation for Weig Mode Matters / Noise/ Paralel decorporate Specific decorporate							Quieter Homes programme are not		
Statistication of here statistication of here and of Affine restand knowned of a statistication of here and a							mandated by the district plan but are		
Statistication of here statistication of here and of Affine restand knowned of a statistication of here and a							the result of the deliberations of the		
Eached Incorgonated Number 1 NULLS 54 Just Number 2 Modes 54 Number 2 Modes 54	e Retirement Village	FS126.129					REJECT Submission point for the	Yes	
International Argon Status Status Support Product Argon Support Product Argon Argon <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>specified</td> <td></td> <td>submission.</td> <td>reasons given in response to</td> <td></td>				specified		submission.	reasons given in response to		
Immed Note Netters / Notes Performance Performance Notes Addition of the State Notes No	aland Incorporated		NOISE-S4		aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.		submission point 391.298. Acoustic		
Immed Note Netters / Notes Performance Performance Notes Addition of the State Notes No							standards implmented under the		
Linked wide Matter / Note: wide Matter / Note: wide Matter / Note: wide Matter / Note: Submitter / Note: Matter / Note:							Quieter Homes programme are not		
Linked wide Matter / Note: wide Matter / Note: wide Matter / Note: wide Matter / Note: Submitter / Note: Matter / Note:							mandated by the district plan but are		
Linked wide Matter / Note: wide Matter / Note: wide Matter / Note: wide Matter / Note: Submitter / Note: Matter / Note:	and the labor of	56420.420	Dest 2 / Conservation	N - 1		A second / Allowship and a state and the second state and the formula state to the second state of the sec	the result of the deliberations of the		
Notes Velocity Velocity <t< td=""><td></td><td>F5128.129</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact</td><td>Yes</td></t<>		F5128.129					REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact	Yes	
Ministry of Education Mo.00 General District wells Support	nited			specified		submission.	amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.		
Mattery / Noice	inistry of Education	400.90		Support		Patain NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as notified	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes	
Number S4 Opposes Standard in part. Mellington international Arigont (d) General District Weig Mutters, NOSE / MUSES-S4 Support Support Support Support MALE's submission for full reason] Opposes NOSE S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) and seeks amendment. Based of Arline Representative of Representative of Support Support Support WAL's submission for full reason] Allow Based of Arline Representative of Representative of Representative of Support Support Support Support Support Support Support MAL's submission for full reason] Allow Weilington Support Support <	inistry of Education	400.50		Support		netali Noise se (Acoustic insulation - nigh noise areas) as notified.	the extent that modifications are	103	
International Alignont Matters / NOSE / MOSE /			S4		activities.		introduced by decisions on other		
International Alignont Matters / NOSE / MOSE /	ellington	406 441	General District wide	Onnose in	Opposes standard in part	Opposes NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high poise areas) and seeks amendment	REJECT Submission point for the	Yes	
Add No. Sec. 54 No. Sec. 54 Sec. 9 Sec. 94.75 of original submission for full reason] Band of Alfine Representatives of Revezation for relatives of Revezatives of Rev		100.111				opposes noise as a local and an and an and a reasy and seeks an and and a	reasons given in response to	105	
Based of Alfried Representatives of Heresentatives of Heresen					[See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]		submission point 391.298. Acoustic		
Representatives of weightington international Airport turbe Matter / NOISE / Matter / NOISE / NOISE 54 Marker / NOISE / Matter / NOI							standards implmented under the		
Representatives of weightington international Airport turbe Matter / NOISE / Matter / NOISE / NOISE 54 Marker / NOISE / Matter / NOI							Quieter Homes programme are not		
Representatives of weightington international Airport turbe Matter / NOISE / Matter / NOISE / NOISE 54 Marker / NOISE / Matter / NOI							mandated by the district plan but are		
Representatives of weightington international Airport turbe Matter / NOISE / Matter / NOISE / NOISE 54 Marker / NOISE / Matter / NOI							the result of the deliberations of the		
New Zeatured inc. "Late urther submission for Muters submission NOISE-S4 NOISE-S4 Noise-S4 Amend NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as follows: Nellington international Airport at distances Ad6.442 General District wide Matters / NOISE / S4 Amend NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as follows: Vellington international Airport and international Airport had wide Matters / NOISE /	ard of Airline	FS139.132	General District wide	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT Submission point for the	Yes	
urther submission sccepted as per Minute s a<							reasons given in response to		
recepted as per Minute Image: Second Sec			NOISE-S4				submission point 391.298. Acoustic		
Vellington International Airport Id Q6.442 MoSE-54 General District wide Matters / NOSE / NOSE-54 Amend Amend See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason] Amend NOISE-54 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as follows: NOISE-54 Acoustic insulation – high noise areas within 40m of a State Highway/ Within 40m of a Railway Corridor/ Courtenay Place Noise Area, Hinternat Noise 5-54 Part 2 / General District Oppose Plan. Noise 53, 58, 50, 511, 512, 513, 514, 515 Noise-R13. Amend NOISE-54 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) NOISE-54 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) within 40m of a State Highway/ Within 40m of a Railway Corridor/ Courtenay Place Noise Area, Hinternat Insole 54.1007 Part 2 / General District Oppose Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District NOISE-54 Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan. kew Zealand Inc "Late wither submission coepted as per Minute dia Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission. Allow Vellington netmational Airport di See Paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason] Delete NOISE-54 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) in its entirety. (Option B). Vellington netmational Airport di MoISE - 54 Oppose standard in part. Part 2 Support WAIL's submission for full reason] Delete NOISE-54 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) in its entirety. (Option B).	rther submission						standards implmented under the		
International Airport td Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 NOISE - S4 Noise - S	cepted as per Minute						Quieter Homes programme are not		
International Airport Matters / NOISE / NOISE / NOISE / NOISE / NOISE - 54 Noise - 54 Acoustic insulation - high noise areas Noise - 54 Acoustic insulation - high noise areas Suardians of the Bays in comparison of th							mandated by the district plan but are		
International Airport Matters / NOISE / NOISE / NOISE / NOISE / NOISE - 54 Noise - 54 Acoustic insulation - high noise areas Noise - 54 Acoustic insulation - high noise areas Suardians of the Bays in comparison of th							the result of the deliberations of the		
International Airport Matters / NOISE / NOISE / NOISE / NOISE / NOISE - S4 Matters / NOISE / NOISE - S4 Matters / NOISE - S4 Matters / Noise / Noise / Noise - S4 Noise - S4 Acoustic insulation - high noise areas Noise - S4 Acoustic insulation - high noise areas Guardians of the Bays in Contract and the State Highway / Within 40m of a State Highway / Wit	ellington	406 442	General District wide	Amend	Opposes standard in part	Amend NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as follows:	ANMP and WIAL as funder of these REJECT submission point. See	Yes	
Ltd NOISE-54 [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason] NOISE-54 Acoustic insulation – high noise areas Guardians of the Bays [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason] NOISE-54 Acoustic insulation – high noise areas Guardians of the Bays [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason] NOISE-54 Acoustic insulation – high noise areas Guardians of the Bays [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason] NOISE-54 Acoustic insulation – high noise areas Board of Alriline Representatives of New Zealand Ine *Late further submission Spart 1 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE - 54 Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission. Allow Wellington Intermational Airpot ttd 96-443 General District wide Matters / NOISE / SPA Opposes standard in part. [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason] Delete NOISE-54 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) in its entirety. (Option B). [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason] Wellington Intermational Airpot ttd Spart 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE / NOISE / NOISE / NOISE / SPA Opposes standard in part. [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason] Delete NOISE-54 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) in its entirety. (Option B). [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason] <t< td=""><td></td><td>100.112</td><td></td><td>/ intento</td><td></td><td>America resist of prediction installation in man resist as resisted in the</td><td>response to submission point</td><td>103</td></t<>		100.112		/ intento		America resist of prediction installation in man resist as resisted in the	response to submission point	103	
Line Line <thline< th=""> Line Line</thline<>					[See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	NOISE-S4 Acoustic insulation – high noise areas	406.431 above.		
Line Line <thline< th=""> Line Line</thline<>						, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			
Image: Application Image: Application <th ap<="" image:="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Within 40m of a State Highway/ Within 40m of a Railway Corridor/ Courtenay Place Noise Area</td><td></td><td></td></th>	<td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Within 40m of a State Highway/ Within 40m of a Railway Corridor/ Courtenay Place Noise Area</td> <td></td> <td></td>						Within 40m of a State Highway/ Within 40m of a Railway Corridor/ Courtenay Place Noise Area		
Guardians of the Bays Inc F54.107 Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Oppose wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District NOISE-S4 Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan. Board of Airline Representatives of turter submission accepted as per Minute 3 FS13.133 Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission. Allow Wellington Intermational Airport turt 406.443 General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Oppose in part part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Oppose in part part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Oppose in part part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Oppose in part part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Oppose in part part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Oppose in part part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / Plan. Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14, S15 Noise-R13. Delete NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) in its entirety. (Option B). [See shat the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Oppose in part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / Plan. Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14, S15 Noise-R13. Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan. </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Inner Air Noise Overlay</td> <td></td> <td></td>						Inner Air Noise Overlay			
Guardians of the Bays Inc F54.107 Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Oppose wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District NOISE-S4 Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan. Board of Airline Representatives of turter submission accepted as per Minute 3 FS13.133 Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission. Allow Wellington Intermational Airport turt 406.443 General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Oppose in part part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Oppose in part part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Oppose in part part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Oppose in part part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Oppose in part part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Oppose in part part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / Plan. Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14, S15 Noise-R13. Delete NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) in its entirety. (Option B). [See shat the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Oppose in part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / Plan. Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14, S15 Noise-R13. Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan. </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									
Inc wide Matters / NOISE / Molec-34 Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Ine *Late Unther submission accepted as per Minute 3 Wellington International Airport Itd Suardians of the Bays Inc									
NOISE-54 NOISE-54 Molected Additione Board of Airline tepresentatives of lever zealand inc "tate unther submission oscepted as per Minute" FS139.33 PAT 2 / General District Wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-54 Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission. Allow Vellington ntermational Airport td 406.43 General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-54 Oppose in part Opposes standard in part. (See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason) Delete NOISE-54 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) in its entirety. (Option B). (See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason) Delete NOISE-54 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) in its entirety. (Option B). (See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason) Delete NOISE-54 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) in its entirety. (Option B). (See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason) Suardians of the Bays nc F544.108 PAT 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / wide Matters / NOISE / Matters / NOISE / NOISE - SA Oppose in part Solution of Weilington Airport should form part of the District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE - SA Oppose in part Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / Solution - high noise areas / Solution - high noise areas / So	ardians of the Bays	FS44.107		Oppose			ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes	
Board of Airline Representatives of wide Matters / NOISE / 3 Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / 3 Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S4 Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission. Allow Wellington International Airport International Airport International International Airport International Airpor	c				Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	considered in the plan.	the extent that modifications are		
kepresentatives of yew Zealand Inc *Late inther submission accepted as per Minute wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-54 http://wide Matters / NOISE / part http://wide Matters / NOISE / part pposes standard in part. Vellington termational Airport td 64.43 NOISE-54 General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-54 Opposes in part Opposes standard in part. pelete NOISE-54 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) in its entirety. (Option B). Suardians of the Bays nc F54.108 Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / Matters / NOISE / NOISE - 54 Oppose Seek sthat the noise areas in its entirety. (Option B).							introduced by decisions on other		
New Zealad Inc *Late urther submission Image: Sealad Inc *Late urther submission NoISE-S4 Mellington ntermational Airport td 406.43 General District wide NoISE-S4 Oppose in part NoISE-S4 Oppose in part Oppose in part Oppose in part Oppose in part Oppose in (see paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason] Delete NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) in its entirety. (Option B). (see paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason] Suardians of the Bay nc F544.108 Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / Wide Matters / NOISE / Mol See Sealawide Sci Sealawide Sc		FS139.133		Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission point. See	Yes	
further submission accepted as per Minute a a b a b <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>response to submission point</td> <td></td>							response to submission point		
Autor bolingston bolin			NOISE-S4				406.441 above.		
3 Image: Section of the sectin of the section of the section of the section of the section of t									
International Airport Ltd NOISE-54 Part Guardians of the Bays nc wide Matters / NOISE / Part 2 / General District Oppose Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Wide Matters / NOISE / Plan- Noise 53, 54, 55, 58, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514, 515 Noise-R13.	cepted as per minute								
nternational Airport t.td NOISE-54 [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason] Suardians of the Bays nc wide Matters / NOISE / See Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District wide Matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side Matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side Matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side Matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side Matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side Matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side Matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District wide Matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District the submission points be disallowed or amended a									
nternational Airport t.td NOISE-54 [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason] Suardians of the Bays nc wide Matters / NOISE / See Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District wide Matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side Matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side Matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side Matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side Matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side Matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side Matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District on side matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District wide Matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District the submission points be disallowed or amended a									
International Airport Ltd NOISE-54 Part Guardians of the Bays nc wide Matters / NOISE / Part 2 / General District Oppose Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Wide Matters / NOISE / Plan- Noise 53, 54, 55, 58, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514, 515 Noise-R13.	ellington	406 443	General District wide	Oppose in	Onnoses standard in part	Delete NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) in its entirety (Ontion B)	REJECT submission point. See	Yes	
Ltd NOISE-S4 [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason] Guardians of the Bay F544.108 Part 2 / General District Oppose Noise 5.45 (see statt the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.		400.445			opposes standard in part.	belete holde 54 (Acoustic insulation - high holse areas) in its entirety. (option b).	response to submission point	103	
Guardians of the Bays K 44.108 wide Matters / NOISE / Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District vide Matters / NOISE / Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	d			pure	[See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]		406.431 above.		
Inc wide Matters / NOISE / Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13. considered in the plan.	ardians of the Bays	FS44.108	Part 2 / General District	Oppose		Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes	
							the extent that modifications are		
NOISE-S4			NOISE-S4				introduced by decisions on other		
KiwiRail Holdings F572.81 Part 2 / General District Oppose Rejects the deletion of the acoustic insulation standard for high noise areas. This standard helps to Disallow	wiRail Holdings	FS72.81	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Rejects the deletion of the acoustic insulation standard for high noise areas. This standard helps to	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes	
imited wide Matters / Noise / achieve the objectives of the PDP relating to reverse sensitivity.							the extent that modifications are		
NOISE-54			NOISE-S4				introduced by decisions on other		
Considers the relief sought should be REJECTd because it a) will not promote the sustainable					Considers the relief sought should be REJECTd because it a) will not promote the sustainable		submissions		
management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or					management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or				
inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is									
inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional									
Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the									
reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and					reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and			1	
potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of people of Wellington City; and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the					potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and cultural				
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?		
--	----------------------	---	----------	---	---	---	-----------------		
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.134	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S4	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission point. See response to submission point 406.441 above.	Yes		
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.111	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S4	Amend	Supports an alternative rule and standard framework. Seeks consequential amendment to NOISE-S4 to remove 'Within 40m of a Railway Corridor'.	Within 40m of a State Highway Within 40m of a Railway Corridor Courtenay Place Noise Area Inner Air Noise Overlay	REJECT Submission point. See 408.110 on Kiwirail's submission on NOISE-R3.	Yes		
	452.47	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S4		Supports NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas).	Retain NOISE-S4 (Acoustic insulation – high noise areas) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes		
	452.48	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S4		Supports table ii of NOISE-S4	TABLE II - Minimum construction requirements necessary to achieve an advanced external sound insulation level of DnT,w + Ctr > 35 dB as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes		
Wellington City Council	266.125	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S5	Amend	Considers the 'exemption' from insulation standards in S.4(4) (Acoustic insulation - high noise areas) and S.5(4) (Acoustic insulation - moderate noise areas) doesn't adopt the correct noise units when setting out those exemption levels and needs amending. Considers it would be counter to the National Planning Standards to use 1 hour LAeq when NZ Standards NZ56809 (port) and NZ56806(traffic) specify 24 hour units for those two types of noise. Considers there are no NZ Stds dealing with rail noise. Kiwirail have developed guidance based on worst case 1hr rail noise, so that seems appropriate for rail.	Amend NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as follows: not exceed the following noise limits at all points 1.5m above ground level, and any part of the floor levels above ground: a. Less than 55 dB LAeq (1hr) for rail noise; or b. Less than 57 dB LAeq (24thr) for road noise; or c. Less than 57 dB LAeq (24thr) for port noise.	ACCEPT submission point. As set out within evidence of Malcolm Hunt.	Yes		
Yvonne Weeber	340.93	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S5	Support	NOISE-S5 is supported. Supports the standard for acoustic insulation in the moderate noise area for the Outer Noise Overlay.	Retain NOISE-SS (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as notified	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes		
Waka Kotahi	370.229	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S5		Support the inclusion of NOISE-S5, with default distance from State Highway to be extended to 100m or otherwise incorporate the Waka Kotahi noise contours along state highways so that the provisions only apply as needed.	Retain NOISE-SS (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) with amendment.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes		
Waka Kotahi	370.230	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S5	Amend	The submitter states to refer to comments on NOISE-R3.2 regarding the distance from the State Highway. Note should be added for clarity on how to calculate State Highway noise levels for the design. Submitter considers that there is a need to correct the noise metric for road noise to be consistent with the requirements of the National Planning Standards	Amend NOISE-SS (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as follows: 4. The requirements of (a) above do not apply where an acoustic design certificate signed by a suitably qualified acoustic engineer, confirms the level of noise incident on the most exposed part of the exterior of any habitable room can be shown, under a reasonable maximum use scenario, to not exceed the following noise limits at all points 1.5m above ground level, and any part of the floor levels above ground: a. Less than 55 dB LAeq (1h) for rail noise; or b. Less than 55 dB LAeq (1h) for road noise; or c. Less than 57 dB LAeq (1 hr) for port noise. Note: for activities within 100m of a State Highway, the design should be based on the measured or predicted roadtraffic noise levels plus 3 dB.	ACCEPT IN PART submission point. As set out within evidence of Malcolm Hunt. Submission requesting inserting the following words "Note: for activities within 100m of a State Highway, the design should be based on the measured or predicted road traffic noise levels plus 3 dB" is not accepted as there is no evidence to support the doubling of current levels of traffic noise over the life of PDP which is the amount assumed should the +3 dB value be used as a basis for calculating future levels of road traffic noise. An increase of +2 dB has been adopted as a more reasonable, but	Yes		
Waka Kotahi	370.231	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S5	Oppose	[The submitter comments on the assessment criteria only in this submission point] Considers the assessment criteria for activities that do not meet the permitted standards for NOISE- SS to be inappropriate as it invites re-litigation of the bottom line which is that internal conditions need to be healthy to protect the amenity, wellbeing, and health of occupants. Assessment criteria should instead consider the extent of the exceedance or noncompliance, and the effects on occupants and noise generating activities as a result.	Amend the assessment criteria under NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as follows: Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed: 1. Extent of the exceedance. 2. Human health effects on occupants and their ability to achieve an acceptable level of amenity as a result of the exceedance. 3. Reverse sensitivity effects to existing noise generating activities. 4. Where within 100m of a state highway or railway corridor, extent of consultation with infrastructure providers who are generating the noise. 5. Background noise levels and any special character of noise e from any existing activities, the nature and character of any changes to the sound received at any receiving site and the degree to which such sounds are compatible with the surrounding activities; 6. The ability to achieve acceptable outdoor acoustic amenity; 7. Any mitigation of the noise proposed, in accordance with a best practicable option approach (e.g. site layout and design, design and location of structures, buildings an dequipment and the timing of operations);	REJECT submission point. There is a lack of evidence on which to accept amendments proposed to assessment criteria.	Yes		
Stride Investment Management Limited	FS107.33	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Oppose	Stride is opposed amending the standard as requested as this would impose inappropriately onerous requirements on development, as noted above.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes		

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Investore Property Limited	FS108.33	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Oppose	Investore is opposed to amending the standard as requested as this would impose inappropriately onerous requirements on development, as noted above.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Strathmore Park Residents Association Inc	371.5	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- SS	Amend	Considers that Council has proposed that this overlay area will be determined by modelling based on the existing 65dB ANB. While the submitter has no issue with the accuracy or methods to achieve this, the submitter believes that the operative 60dB boundary should be established from time to time by modelling form the actual 90 day rolling average noise being experienced at the defined 65dB ANB rather than based on the 65dB limit itself. This would allow the WIAL to more actively manage noise beyond the 65dB boundary rather than just working towards filling the available "noise bucket" as has been WIAL's approach to the Inner Noise Overlay. Building requirement triggers will not be imposed on a wide area of owners until the defined noise level has been established. The implementation of the Outer Noise Overlay in the above manner will, in the submitters view, more acceptably cater for uncertainty to the future of Airline travel, climate change.	Seeks that NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) is amended to change the means to establish the 60dB Outer Air Noise Overlay area to use actual 90day rolling average noise as measured at the defined 65dB ANB.	REJECT - as the measurement of aircraft noise is set by the applicable NZ Standard.	Yes
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.166	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise /	Oppose	WIAL has sought the inclusion of a new standard that applies to the acoustic treatment of buildings containing noise sensitive activities within the aircraft noise boundaries at Wellington International Aircort.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.300	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- S5	Oppose in part	NOISE-S5 is opposed and a review of the different insulation requirements is sought for the inner and outer air noise overlay and to understand why these levels vary from the level required by the Quiteer Homes Programme which is part of the Airport Noise Management Plan and Designation conditions. Clarification is also sought on the extent of the Quieter Homes Programme which appears to only cover the inner air noise overlay. Amendments may be necessary once further clarification and understanding is considered.	Opposes NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) and seeks amendments.	REJECT Submission Point. NOISE-SS is a performance standard for new habitable spaces within the moderate noise area, in this case the outer air noise overlay. The Quieter Homes Programme is a means for WIAL to comply with their designation conditions for existing habitable spaces within the air noise boundary. Le the inner air noise overlay. The Quieter Homes Programme is a	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.126	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Support	Seeks clarification of the Air Noise requirements and the Quieter Homes Programme.	Allow	REJECT Submission point as per 391.300 Response.	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.130	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.130	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	Yes
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.301	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- SS	Amend	Considers that NOISE-S5 should be amended so that any mitigation measures and/or Quieter Homes Programme applies to properties under both the inner and outer air noise overlay. The submitter seeks a review of the different insulation requirements for the inner and outer air noise overlay and to understand why these levels vary from the level required by the Quieter Homes Programme which is part of the Airport Noise Management Plan and Designation conditions. Clarification is also sought on the extent of the Quieter Homes Programme which appears to only cover the inner air noise overlay. The requirements proposed by the Plan in respect of acoustic insulation and ventilation are potentially operous for landowners.	Amend NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) so that any mitigation measures and/or Quieter Homes Programme applies to properties under both the inner and outer air noise overlay, and clarify the Standard after having reviewed the different insulation requirements for the inner and outer air noise overlay between the Plan and the Quieter Homes Programme.	REJECT Submission Point as the Quieter Homes Programme is a designation condition and not subject to the Plan Change Review	Yes
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.167	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Oppose	WIAL has sought the inclusion of a new standard that applies to the acoustic treatment (including ventilation) of buildings containing noise sensitive activities within the aircraft noise boundaries at Wellington International Airport. As set out in WIAL's primary submission, it is inappropriate for the Noise chapter to duplicate or introduce aircraft noise management and mitigation measures imposed on WIAL through the recently settled Main Site and East Side Area Designations. Furthermore, the Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.127	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Support	Seeks clarification of the Air Noise requirements and the Quieter Homes Programme.	Allow	REJECT - as the Quieter Homes Programme sits outside the district plan and is subject to designation	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.131	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.131	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	Yes
Ministry of Education	400.91	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- S5	Support	Supports NOISE-S5 as the submitter supports the requirements for acoustic insulation for sensitive activities.	Retain NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
CentrePort Limited	402.138	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- S5	Support	Support the intent of this standard.	Retain NOISE-SS (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.444	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S5	Oppose in part	Opposes standard in part. [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Opposes NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) and seeks amendment.	REJECT submission point. See response to submission point 406.431 above.	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.109	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S5	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14, S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	ACCEPT In Part. See response to T submission point for reasons given above row 363 and row 317 (in response to submission 406.437)	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.135	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S5	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission point. See response to submission point 406.431 above.	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.445	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S5	Amend	Opposes standard in part. [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Amend NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as follows: NOISE-S5 Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas City Centre Zone/ Mixed Use Zone/General Industrial Zone/ Neighbourhood Centre Zone/ Local Centre Zone/ Metropolitan Centre Zone/Waterfront Zone/ The area between 40m and 100m of a railway corridor/ The area between 40m and 80m of a State Highway/ Outer Port Noise Overlay /- Outer Air Noise Overlay. (Option A).	ACCEPT IN PART See response to submission point 406.431 above.	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.110	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S5	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	ACCEPT In Part. See response to T submission point for reasons given above row 363 and row 317 (in response to submission 406.437)	Yes
Board of Airline tepresentatives of Jew Zealand Inc *Late urther submission (ccepted as per Minute	FS139.136	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S5	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT IN PART See response to submission point 406.431 above.	Yes
Wellington nternational Airport td	406.446	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S5	Oppose in part	Opposes standard in part. [See paragraph 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete Amend NOISE-SS (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) in its entirety. (Option B).	REJECT Submission point. See 408.110 on Kiwirail's submission on NOISE-R3.	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	FS44.111	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S5	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Ariport should form part of the District Plan-Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.82	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Oppose	Rejects the deletion of the acoustic insulation standard for moderate noise areas. This standard helps to achieve the objectives of the PDP relating to reverse sensitivity. Considers the relief sought should be REJECTd because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of people of Wellington City; and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the		ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.137	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S5	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT Submission point. See 408.110 on Kiwirail'S submission on NOISE-R3.	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
	408.112	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- S5	Amend	Supports an alternative rule and standard framework. Seeks consequential amendment to NOISE-SS to remove 'The area between 40m and 100m of a railway corridor'.	Amend NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as follows: City Centre Zone	REJECT Submission point. See 408.110 on Kiwirail's submission on NOISE-R3.	Yes
					Mixed Use Zone		
					General Industrial Zone		
					Neighbourhood Centre Zone		
					Local Centre Zone		
					Metropolitan Centre Zone		
					Waterfront Zone		
					The area between 40m and 100m of a railway corridor		
					The area between 40m and 80m of a State Highway		
					Outer Port Noise Overlay		
					Outer Air Noise Overlay		
Guardians of the Bays	452.49	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-	Support	Supports NOISE-S5 (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas).	Retain NOISE-SS (Acoustic insulation – moderate noise areas) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	Yes
		S5				introduced by decisions on other	
Guardians of the Bays	452.50	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-	Support	Supports table i of NOISE-S5	Retain TABLE I - Minimum construction requirements necessary to achieve a moderate external sound insulation level of DnT,w + Ctr > 30 dB as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are	Yes
vonne Weeber	340.94	S5 General District wide	Support	NOISE-S6 is supported. Supports the standard for ventilation requirements.	Retain NOISE-S6 (Ventilation requirements) as notified.	introduced by decisions on other ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
vonne weeber	540.94	Matters / Noise / NOISE-	Support	NOISE-So is supported, supports the standard for ventilation requirements.	retain NOISE-50 (Ventilation requirements) as notified.	the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	res
Waka Kotahi	370.232	General District wide	Amend	The ventilation system must be adequate to provide thermal comfort so that residents have a free	Amend NOISE-S6 (Ventilation requirements) as follows:	ACCEPT In PART. A modified	Yes
		Matters / Noise / NOISE-		choice not to open windows.	1. The minimum external to internal noise reduction levels in NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S5 must be	ventilation standard has been	
		S6			achieved at the same time as the ventilation requirements of the New Zealand Building Code. An	developed and has received a	
					alternative means of ventilation must be provided unless compliance with the above acoustic	positive review by a ventilation	
					insulation standards can be met with ventilating windows open An alternative ventilation system	expert. A submitter's proposal has	
					must be adjustable by the occupant to control the ventilation rate in increments up to a high air flow		
					setting that provides at least six air changes per hour, with relief for equivalent volumes of spill air. The system must not generate more than 35 dB LAeq(30s) when measured 1 metre away from any	simplyified ventilation system for habitable rooms where openable	
					grille or diffuser.	windows are proivided (with	
					2. Where bedrooms rely on openable windows to meet the ventilation requirements of the New	sufficient opening area to satisfy	
					Zealand Building Code, and where these windows must remain closed to achieve compliance with	clause G4 of the NZ Building Code. In	
					NOISES4 and NOISE-S5 acoustic insulation standards, a positive supplementary source of fresh air	addiiton, the requested noise limti for	
					ducted from	the ventilation system is not	
					outside an alternative ventilation system is required at the time of fitout. For the purposes of this	considered appropriate to applay at	
					requirement, a bedroom is any room intended to be used for sleeping. The supplementary source of air is to achieve a minimum of 7.5 litres per second per-	the highest fan settings. The amendments are intended to provide	
					person. An alternative ventilation system must be adjustable by the occupant to control the	a suitable indoor living environment	
					ventilation rate in increments up to a high air flow setting that provides at least six air changes per	for occupants of noise sensitive	
					hour, with relief for equivalent volumes of spill air. The system must not generate more than 35 dB	activities with the requisitite	
					LAeq(30s) when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and	ventilation being affordable for	
					3. Confirmation of compliance with this standard will be required by a qualified professional	residents.	
strathmore Park	371.6	General District wide	Amend	Considers that Council has proposed that this overlay area will be determined by modelling based on the avitting EEdB ANB. While the submitter has no incurs with the accuracy or methods to achieve	Seeks that NOISE-S6 (Acoustic insulation - moderate noise areas) is amended to change the means to		Yes
	1	Matters / Noise / NOISE- S6		the existing 65dB ANB. While the submitter has no issue with the accuracy or methods to achieve this, the submitter believes that the operative 60dB boundary should be established from time to	establish the 60dB Outer Air Noise Overlay area to use actual 90day rolling average noise as measured at the defined 65dB ANB.	has mentioned NOISE-S6 in error.	
Residents Association			1		incoduce as the defined body And.		
Residents Association							
Residents Association				time by modelling form the actual 90 day rolling average noise being experienced at the defined 65dB ANB rahten than based on the 65dB limit itself. This would allow the WIAL to more actively			
Residents Association							
Residents Association				65dB ANB rahten than based on the 65dB limit itself. This would allow the WIAL to more actively			
Residents Association Inc				65dB ANB rahten than based on the 65dB limit itself. This would allow the WIAL to more actively manage noise beyond the 65dB boundary rather than just working towards filling the available			

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Limited	F536.168		Oppose	WIAL has sought the inclusion of a new standard that applies to the acoustic treatment of buildings containing noise sensitive activities within the aircraft noise boundaries at Wellington International Airport.		REJECT Submission point. Proposed new standards NOISE-S16 and NOISE- S17 would apply within areas the submitter describes as "within the Air Noise Boundary or 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary". This is more correctly referred to as the Inner Air Noise Boundary. Adopting this approach is considered inappropriate and not recommended due to: (a) The requested aircraft noise terminology for describing noise areas adopts terminology inconsisten twith terminology already adopted (see response to submission point 406-432); and; (b) Acoustic performance standard is specified using "Ldn levels of aircraft noise measured indoors" as opposed to the recommended approach adopted within NOISE-S4 and NOISE- S6 whereby the outdoort-oindoor sound isolation level is prescribed within the district plan using methods	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.447	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- S6	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Seeks that ventilation standards in NOISE-S6 (Ventilation requirements) do not create an untenable internal living environment for occupants of noise sensitive activities and that any requisitite ventilation is affordable for residents to operate.	Accoustics – Rating of sound Accoustics – Rating of sound Accept in PART. A modified ventilation standard has been developed and has received a positive review by a ventilation expert. A submitter's proposal has been augmented to also allow for a simplyfied ventilation system for habitable rooms where openable windows are proivided (with sufficient opening area to satisfy clause G4 of the N2 Building Code. In addition, the requested noise limit for the ventilation system is not considered appropriate to applay at the highest fan settings. The amendments are intended to provide	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.116	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S6	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	REJECT submission poinmt. NOISE-S6 amendments and improvements are reasonably necessary to acheive the purpose & principlers of the RMA.	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.128	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S6	Support	Seeks that a ventilation system operation and maintenance should be affordable to the residents.	Allow	ACCEPT IN PART. A modified ventilation standard has been developed	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.138	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S6	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission poinmt. NOISE-S6 amendments and improvements are reasonably necessary to acheive the purpose & principlers of the RMA.	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
KiwiRail Holdings	408.113	General District wide	Amend	The ventilation requirements as proposed, rely on the requirements of New Zealand Building Code.	Amend NOISE-S6 (Ventilation requirements) as follows:	ACCEPT In PART. The rerquested	Yes
imited		Matters / Noise / NOISE-		KiwiRail seeks amendment to this standard to ensure habitable rooms achieve an appropriate level	1. The minimum external to internal noise reduction levels in NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S5 must be	ventilation standard has much to	
		S6		of comfort and amenity for occupants.	achieved at the same time as the following ventilation requirements.of the New Zealand Building	commend it and has received a	
					Code. An alternative means of ventilation must be provided unless compliance with the above	positive review by a ventilation	
					acoustic insulation standards can be met with ventilating windows open.	expert. The submitter's proposal has	
					2. If windows must be closed to achieve minimum external to internal noise reduction levels in NOISE	been augmented to also allow for a	
					S4 and NOISE-S5, the building is designed, constructed and maintained with a mechanical ventilation	simplyified ventilation system for	
					system that	habitable rooms where openable	
					a. For habitable rooms for a residential activity, achieves the following requirements:	windows are proivided (with	
					i. provides mechanical ventilation to satisfy clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code; and	sufficient opening area to satisfy	
					ii. is adjustable by the occupant to control the ventilation rate in increments up to a high air flow	clause G4 of the NZ Building Code. In	
					setting that provides at least 6 air changes per hour; and	addiiton, the requested noise limt for	
					iii. provides relief for equivalent volumes of spill air:	the ventilation system is not	
					iv. provides cooling and heating that is controllable by the occupant and can maintain the inside	considered appropriate to applay at	
					temperature between 18°C and 25°C; and	the highest fan settings.	
						the highest fan settings.	
					v. does not generate more than 35 dB LAeq(30s) when measured 1 metre away from any grille or		
					diffuser.		
					b. For other spaces, is as determined by a suitably qualified and experienced person.		
	1				2. Where bedrooms rely on openable windows to meet the ventilation requirements of the New		
		1	1		Zealand Building Code, and where these windows must remain closed to achieve compliance with		
					NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S5 acoustic insulation standards, a positive supplementary source of fresh air		
Vellington	FS36.169		Support	WIAL has sought the inclusion of a new standard that applies to the acoustic treatment (including	Allow	REJECT submission point. The	Yes
nternational Airport		wide Matters / Noise /		ventilation) of buildings containing noise sensitive activities within the aircraft noise boundaries at		ventilation standard required	
Limited		NOISE-S6		Wellington International Airport. WIAL therefore supports this relief to the extent that it no longer		improvements whilst remaining cost-	
				applies within the aircraft noise boundaries for Wellington International Airport.		effective.	
The Retirement Villages	FS126.172	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	The RVA acknowledges that acoustic insulation for noise and vibration may be appropriate in some	Disallow	REJECT submission point. The	Yes
Association of New		wide Matters / Noise /		areas located within or adjacent to high noise areas with a purpose of providing protection / amenity		ventilation standard required	
ealand Incorporated		NOISE-S6		to residents in such areas. The RVA considers however that such requirements need to be		improvements whilst remaining cost-	
				determined on a case-by-case basis, with consideration given to the distance of noise sensitive		effective.	
yman Healthcare	FS128.172	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Ryman acknowledges that acoustic insulation for noise and vibration may be appropriate in some	Disallow	REJECT submission point. The	Yes
imited		wide Matters / Noise /		areas located within or adjacent to high noise areas with a purpose of providing protection / amenity		ventilation standard required	
		NOISE-S6		to residents in such areas. Ryman considers however that such requirements need to be determined		improvements whilst remaining cost-	
				on a case-by-case basis, with consideration given to the distance of noise sensitive activities from		effective.	
iuardians of the Bays	452.51	General District wide	Support	Supports NOISE-S6 (Ventilation requirements).	Retain NOISE-S6 (Ventilation requirements) as notified.	REJECT submission point. The	Yes
idal dialis of the bays	452.51	Matters / Noise / NOISE-	Support	Supports Noise so (ventilation requirements).	netani Noise so (ventilation requirements) as notified.	ventilation standard required	103
						improvements whilst remaining cost-	
(240.05	30 Conoral District wide	Cuppert	[No specific reason sizes have ad desision requested refer to existent submission]	Detain MOISE S7 (Fixed Diant Major) as patified		Ne
Yvonne Weeber	340.95	General District wide	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain NOISE-S7 (Fixed Plant Noise) as notified.		No
		Matters / Noise / NOISE-				the extent that modifications are	
		57				introduced by decisions on other	
Suardians of the Bays	452.52	General District wide	Support	Supports NOISE-S7 (Fixed Plant Noise).	Retain NOISE-S7 (Fixed Plant Noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to	No
		Matters / Noise / NOISE-				the extent that modifications are	
		S7				introduced by decisions on other	
Yvonne Weeber	340.96	General District wide	Support	NOISE-S8 is supported. Supports the standard for hours of aircraft operation and the assessment	Retain NOISE-S8 (Hours of aircraft operation) with amendment.	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
		Matters / Noise / NOISE-		criteria.		the extent that modifications are	
		S8				introduced by decisions on other	
Vellington	406.448	General District wide	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in	Delete NOISE-S8 (Hours of aircraft operation) in it's entirety.	REJECT submission point. See row	Yes
nternational Airport		Matters / NOISE /		Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.		317 (sub point 406.438 for response)	
td		NOISE-S8					
				[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]			
iuardians of the Bays	FS44.112	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
nc and and or the bays		wide Matters / NOISE /	oppose	Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	considered in the plan.	the extent that modifications are	
		NOISE-S8		101 103C 00, 01, 00, 00, 010, 011, 012, 010, 014,010 Noise N10.		introduced by decisions on other	
āinga Ora – Homes	FS89.141	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Käinga Ora considers that these standards should remain to ensure sufficient control over aircraft	Disallow		Yes
	r589.141		Oppose		DISdilow		res
nd Communities		wide Matters / NOISE /		and airport noise and to provide clarity for Plan users.		the extent that modifications are	
		NOISE-S8	-			introduced by decisions on other	l
lew Zealand Defence	FS104.16		Oppose	Oppose the deletion of NOISE-S8 (Hours of aircraft operation), in particular, Clause 11, which	Disallow / Reject submitter's relief and retain NOISE-S8 as notified.		Yes
orce		wide Matters / Noise /		exempts aircraft carrying heads of state and/or senior dignitaries acting in their official capacity or		the extent that modifications are	
		NOISE-S8		other military aircraft operations from this activity standard. While this Standard is included in		introduced by decisions on other	
				Condition 27 of the Airport Main Purposes Designation – Main Site Area (Designation WIAL4), if		submissions	
				wholly contained in the designation conditions, this would not provide certainty for NZDF military			
				aircraft operations given the Minister of Defence is not the Requiring Authority for this designation.			
loard of Airline	FS139.139	Part 2 / General District	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission point. See row	Yes
Representatives of		wide Matters / Noise /				317 (sub point 406.438 for response)	
New Zealand Inc *Late		NOISE-S8				,,	
urther submission							
ccepted as per Minute							
ccepted as per winute							
		General District wide	Cupped	Activity standard NOISE-S8 sets out restrictions on the hours of aircraft operation within the Airport	Retain NOISE-S8 (Hours of aircraft operation) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to	Yes
ew Zealand Defence	423 19						
	423.19		Support				
ew Zealand Defence orce	423.19	Matters / Noise / NOISE-	Support	Zone. NZDF supports clause 11 of this standard, which exempts aircraft carrying heads of state and/or senior dignitaries acting in their official capacity or other military aircraft operations from this		the extent that modifications are	

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Guardians of the Bays	452.53	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S8	Support	Supports NOISE-S8 (Hours of aircraft operations).	Retain NOISE-58 (Hours of aircraft operations) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Yvonne Weeber	340.97	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S9	Support	NOES-29 is supported. Supports the standard for calculations and management of aircraft noise, assessment criteria and the position of a permanent noise monitoring equipment as proposed in Figure 6.	Retain NOISE-59 (Calculation and management of aircraft noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.449	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S9	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S9 (Calculation and management of aircraft noise) in it's entirety.	REJECT submission point. See row 317 (sub point 406.438 for response)	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.142	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S9	Oppose	(See paragraphs 4.62 of 4.75 of original submission for full reason) Käinga Ora considers that these standards should remain to ensure sufficient control over aircraft and airport noise and to provide clarity for Plan users.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
New Zealand Defence Force	FS104.17	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S9	Oppose	Oppose the deletion of NOISE-S9 (Calculation and management of aircraft noise) to provide certainty for NZDF military aircraft operations and amend Noise Standard NOISE-S9 as set out in NZDF's original submission (referenced by Council in the summary of submissions as 'NZDF Submission Point 423.20'). NZDF would be happy to undertake further discussion with WIAL on this matter.	Disallow / Reject submitter's relief and amend NOISE-S9 as set out in NSDFs original submission.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.140	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S9	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission point. See row 317 (sub point 406.438 for response)	Yes
New Zealand Defence Force	423.20	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S9	Amend	Considers that Activity Standard NOISE-S9.2 relates to the calculation and management of aircraft noise with Standard NOISE-S9.2 setting out the 90 day rolling average sound exposure level that all aircraft operations shall meet. The standard is drafted such that Wellington International Airport Limited (WIAL) shall be responsible for the management of all aircraft operations within the Airport Zone to meet the 90 day rolling average sound exposure level set. the standard as notified does not exempt military aircraft operations from compliance with the 90 day rolling average sound exposure level, and thus NZDF related Aircraft Operations would need to comply (although this is not explicitly stated in the wording of this standard NOISE-S9 as notified). Seeks an amendment to the activity standard NOISE-S9.2 to apply to all aircraft operations (including NZDF) as opposed to just WIAL.	Amend NOISE-S9.2 (Calculation and management of aircraft noise) as follows: 2. The Airport company (WIAL) shall ensure that all <u>AII</u> Aircraft Operations <u>shall</u> <u>be are</u> -managed so that the rolling day 90 day average 24 hour night-weighted sound exposure level does not exceed a Day/night Level (Ldn) of 65dBA outside the Air Noise Boundary shown within the District Plan Maps.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	452.54	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE	Support	NZDF would be happy to undertake further discussion with WIAL. Supports NOISE-S9 (Calculations and management of aircraft noise).	Retain NOISE-S9 (Calculations and management of aircraft noise) as notified.	REJECT submission point, see above amendment is more technically	Yes
Yvonne Weeber	340.98	S9 General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S10	Support	NOISE-S10 is supported. Supports the standard and assessment criteria for engine testing noise.	Retain NOISE-S10 (Engine testing noise) as notified.	appropriate . ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.450	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S10	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-510 (Engine testing noise) in it's entirety.	REJECT Bsubmission point. See row 317 (sub point 406.438 for response)	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.113	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S10	Oppose		Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.143	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S10	Oppose	Käinga Ora considers that these standards should remain to ensure sufficient control over aircraft and airport noise and to provide clarity for Plan users.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.141	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S10	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	See row 317 (sub point 406.438 for response)	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	452.55	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S10	Support	Supports NOISE-S10 (Engine testing noise)	Retain NOISE-S10 (Engine testing noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Wellington City Council	266.126	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S11	Amend	Considers there is a typo on "LAFmaxli>" and the correction (LAF(Max)) should link to a definition pop-up.	Amend NOISE-S11 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (Main site)) to fix typo as follows and LAF(max) should link to a definition: 1 c. All days 10pm to 7am 75 dB LAFmaxlix- LAF(max)	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Yvonne Weeber	340.99	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S11	Support	units and auxiliary power units (Main site)	Retain NOISE-S11 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (Main site)) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.451	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S11	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S11 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (Main site)) in it's entirety.	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.114	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S11	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise 53, 54, 55, 58, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514,515 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.144	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S11	Oppose	Käinga Ora considers that these standards should remain to ensure sufficient control over aircraft and airport noise and to provide clarity for Plan users.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.142	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S11	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	452.56	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S11	Support	Supports NOISE-S11 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (Main site)).	Retain NOISE-S11 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (Main site)) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Yvonne Weeber	340.100	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S12	Support	NOISE-512 is supported. Supports the standard and assessment criteria for Noise from ground power unites and auxiliary power units (East Side).	Retain NOISE-512 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (East Side)) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.452	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S12	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter.	Delete NOISE-S12 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (East Side) in it's entirety.	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.115	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S12	Oppose	[See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason] Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14, S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.145	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S12	Oppose	Kåinga Ora considers that these standards should remain to ensure sufficient control over aircraft and airport noise and to provide clarity for Plan users.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.143	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S12	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	452.57	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S12	Support	Supports NOISE-S12 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (East Side)).	Retain NOISE-512 (Noise from ground power units and auxiliary power units (East Side)) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Yvonne Weeber	340.101	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S13	Support	NOISE-513 is supported. Supports the standard for Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation.	Retain NOISE-513 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.302	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S13	Oppose in part	NOISE-513 is opposed as dwellings identified in Attachment 2 of designation WIAL5 are not provided with acoustic insulation in accordance with NOISE-54, despite being eligible for mechanical ventilation prior to construction activity in the East Precinct. Amendments may be necessary once further clarification and understanding is considered.	Opposes NOISE-S13 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation) and seeks amendment.	ACCEPT IN PART. See recommendation below for submission point 391.303	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.129	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Support	Guardians of the Bays Inc agree that dwellings identified in NOISE-S13 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation) should be eligible for mechanical ventilation prior to construction activity in the East Precinct are also provided with acoustic insulation in accordance with the	Allow	ACCEPT In PART. See recommendation below for submission point 391.303	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.132	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.132	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	Yes
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.303	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S13	Amend	Considers that NOISE-S13 should be amended so that the dwellings identified in Attachment 2 of designation WIALS which are eligible for mechanical ventilation prior to construction activity in the East Precinct are also provided with acoustic insulation in accordance with the standards identified in NOISE-S4. The Queiter Homes Programme has a lesser standard of acoustic insulation, requiring they are designed to achieve an indoor design sound Level of 45 dB Ldn or less, whereas NOISE-S4 and NOISE-S7 require acoustic insulation to achieve a minimum external to internal noise reduction for	Amend NOISE-S13 (Alirport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation) so that the dwellings identified in Attachment 2 of designation WIAL5 which are eligible for mechanical ventilation prior to construction activity in the East Precinct are also provided with acoustic insulation in accordance with the standards identified in NOISE-S4.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.170	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate for the Noise chapter to duplicate or introduce aircraft noise management and mitigation measures imposed on WIAL through the recently settled Main Site and East Side Area Designations. Furthermore, the Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of the Proposed Plan review.	Disallow	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.130		Support	Guardians of the Bays Inc agree that dwellings identified in NOISE-513 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation) should be eligible for mechanical ventilation prior to construction activity in the East Precinct are also provided with acoustic insulation in accordance with the standards identified in NOISES4.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.133	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Not specified	The RVA supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with The RVA's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.133	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S13	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the various amendments and deletions sought in this submission where these align with Ryman's primary submission and reduce restrictions on residential activities, which aligns with the purpose of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD.	Amend / Allow the submission points, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	REJECT Submisssion point and amendments sought. The exact amendment sought is unclear.	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.453	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S13	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S13 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation) in it's entirety.	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.117	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S13	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.146	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S13	Oppose	Käinga Ora considers that these standards should remain to ensure sufficient control over aircraft and airport noise and to provide clarity for Plan users.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute	FS139.144	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S13	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	452.58	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE	Support	Supports NOISE-S13 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation).	Retain NOISE-S13 (Airport East Side Precinct residential noise mitigation) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Yvonne Weeber	340.102	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S14	Support	NOISE-514 is supported. Supports the standard and assessment criteria for Land based noise in the Airport Zone.	Retain NOISE-514 (Land based noise) as notified.		Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.454	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S14	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. Furthermore, there are a range of methods available which can demonstrate where standards are infringed. It is therefore inappropriate for the statement at the end of the assessment criteria, to include the level of specificity stated. It is also not clear what status (if any) this statement has. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Opposes NOISE-S14 (Land based noise) and seeks amendment.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions. See below response to submission no.406.455	
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.118	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S14	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14, S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	REJECT submission point - See below response to submission no.406.455	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.145	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S14	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions. See below response to submission no.406.455	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.455	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S14	Amend	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. Furthermore, there are a range of methods available which can demonstrate where standards are infringed. It is therefore inappropriate for the statement at the end of the assessment criteria, to include the level of specificity stated. It is also not clear what status (if any) this statement has. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	 (Option A). Amend NOISE-S14 (Land based noise) as follows: 1. Noise emission levels from any activity within the Airport <u>Zone designations</u>, other than aircraft operations, engine testing and the operation of GPUs and APUs, when measured at any adjoining residential zone, shall not exceed the following limits: a. Monday to <u>Saturday Sunday</u> 7 and to 10pm 55 dB LAeq(15min) b. At all other times 45 dB LAeq(15min) c. All days 10pm to 7 am 75 dB LAFmax 2. In the East Side Precinct, for the purposes of calculating compliance with this limit, account shall be taken of the cumulative effect of all land based activities undertaken within the Airport <u>Zone</u>, other than aircraft operations, the operation of APUs and any engine testing. Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed: Type, intensity and duration of the noise; Mitigation or management measures; Health and safety; Effects on internal and external noise amenity for dwellings outside the Airport zone; and 6. The requirements of NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics – Construction Noise; <i>i</i> and 7. The Airport Noise Management Than. 	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
					to confirm compliance and may also be obtained from other locations		
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.119	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S14	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.139	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S14	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes any subsequent amendments sought by WIAL to remove reference to the Airport Noise Management Plan	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.147	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S14	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes the proposed amendments which would allow for higher noise levels on Sundays.	Disallow	REJECT submission point - there are no relvant guidelines that recommend setting night time type noise limits in resdiential areas for	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute	FS139.146	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S14	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
a Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.456	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S14	Oppose in part	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. Furthermore, there are a range of methods available which can demonstrate where standards are infringed. It is therefore inappropriate for the statement at the end of the assessment criteria, to include the level of specificity stated. It is also not clear what status (if any) this statement has. [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S14 (Land based noise) in its entirety. (Option B).	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.120	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S14	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan-Noise 53, 54, 55, 58, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514,515 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.148	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S14	Oppose	Käinga Ora seeks retention of NOISE-S14 as notified.	Disallow / Käinga Ora seeks retention of NOISE-S14 as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.147	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S14	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	452.59	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S14	Support	Supports NOISE-S14 (Land based noise).	Retain NOISE-S14 (Land based noise) as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Yvonne Weeber	340.103	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE S15	Not specified	[No specific reason given - refer to original submission].	Not specified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.457	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S15	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. Furthermore, many of the conditions here have already been achieved by existing development undertaken by WIAL on site and it is therefore unnecessary for those matters to be dealt with here (as well as in the Designation).	Opposes NOISE-S15 (Miramar South Precinct) and seeks amendment.	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.121	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S15	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14, S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute	FS139.148	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S15	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.458	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-515	Amend	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. Furthermore, many of the conditions here have already been achieved by existing development undertaken by WIAL on site and it is therefore unnecessary for those matters to be dealt with here (as well as in the Designation). [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	(Option A). Amend NOISE-S15 (Miramar South Precinct) as follows: A close-boarded fence (or other acoustically effective barrier) with a density of at least 10 kg/m2. And a height of two metres shall be installed around the perimeter of the site excluding site access- points. This shall be inspected regularly and maintained to ensure its continued acoustic effectiveness. S Entry / egress for trucks shall not be located opposite residential zoned areas. Trucks shall not drive along the Residential zoned parts of Miro Street, Kedah Street, or Kauri Street exceeding site access- points. This shall be left to idle on the Site and signage shall be placed in appropriate. Iocations within the Site to advise drivers of this requirement. The Airport or its agents shall actively- monitor this requirement. 2.3. Building services shall be designed such that noise levels from this source at the Site boundary are at least 10 dB lower than the limits set out in 1 above. 8. All warehouse doors shall be fast closing and shall remain closed at night-time unless in use. 4. Effects on internal and external noise amenity for dwellings outside the Miramar South Precinct; and 5. The requirements of NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics – Construction Noise, 6. The Airport Miramar South Construction Noise Management Plan; 7. The acoustic assessment repart prepared by the Airport for development of the Site; and. 8. The Airport Noise Management Plan;	REJECT submission point requesting complete deletion of all standards that cross refer to WIAL desingation conditions	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.122	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S15	Oppose	Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14, S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.140	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S15	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes any subsequent amendments sought by WIAL to remove reference to the Airport Noise Management Plan	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.149	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S15	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes the proposed amendments which would not provide sufficient control over noise from airport activities.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.149	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S15	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	complete deletion of all standards that cross refer to WIAL desingation conditions	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.459	General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S15	Oppose in part	Considers that it is inappropriate to replicate the aircraft noise management obligations inherent in Designation WIAL4 and WIAL5 in the Noise Chapter. Furthermore, many of the conditions here have already been achieved by existing development undertaken by WIAL on site and it is therefore unnecessary for those matters to be dealt with here (as well as in the Designation). [See paragraphs 4.62 to 4.75 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NOISE-S15 (Miramar South Precinct) in its entirety. (Option B).	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	Yes
Guardians of the Bays nc		Part 2 / General District wide Matters / NOISE / NOISE-S15		Seeks that the noise management obligations of Wellington Airport should form part of the District Plan- Noise S3, S4, S5, S8, S10, S11, S12, S13, S14,S15 Noise-R13.	Disallow / Seeks that the submission points be disallowed or amended as to how designations be considered in the plan.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.150	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S15	Oppose	Käinga Ora seeks retention of NOISE-S15 as notified.	Disallow / Kåinga Ora seeks retention of NOISE-S15 as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	F\$139.150	Part 2 / General District Wide Matters / Noise / NOISE-S15	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission point for reasons given above (row 317) for submission 406.437	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	452.60	General District wide Matters / Noise / NOISE- S15	Not specified	Submitter is 'neutral' on provision. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Not specified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Wellington City Council		Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Amend	Considers it necessary to amend Table 19 of Appendix 4 (Permitted Noise Standards) to increase the permitted noise levels in the Open Space Zone and Sport/Active Recreation Zones so that sports events can occur on Sundays without unnecessary noise restrictions. Considers allowing 55 dB LAeq (L5 mins) until 10pm is a pragmatic way to allow for higher daytime and evening noise levels for	Amend Table 19 by replacing it with table provided in full submission. [Refer to original submission, including detailed table attached]	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Wellington City Council	266.172	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Amend	Considers there is a type in Table 19 (no 'd' at the end of receive)	Amend the title of Table 19 in Appendice 4 - Permitted Noise Standards as follows: Noise emitted from activities within the Town Belt Zone, Natural Open Space Zone, Open Space Zone, Sport and Active Recreation Zone as received in other areas (any Residential Zone, Town Belt Zone, Natural Open Space Zone, Open Space Zone, and Sport and Active Recreation Zone) must not exceed the following limits.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Claire Nolan, James Fraser, Biddy Bunzl, Margaret Franken, Michelle Wolland, and Lee Muir	275.38	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer back to original submission]	Retain Appendix 4 Permitted Noise Standards as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Steve Dunn	288.13	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Amend	Considers that there is a cumulative effect of increased noise on the local community from emergency aircraft using the rooftop of Wellington Regional Hospital as well as ventilation.	Seeks that noise standards or measures are added to manage noise from emergency aircraft on top of Wellington Regional Hospital	ACCEPT IN PART, see MH memo (MHA Helicopter Noise Overlay For Hospital.pdf) for recommendations to address this effect, given the permitted activity status of helicopters use within the Hospital Zone.	Yes
Steve Dunn	288.14	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Amend	Considers that there is a cumulative effect of increased noise on the local community from emergency aircraft using the rooftop of Wellington Regional Hospital as well as ventilation.	Seeks that ambient noise levels are regulated and enforced [inferred around Wellington Regional Hospital] to give added protection from noise and ensure the local community is not affected.	ACCEPT IN PART, see MH memo (MHA Helicopter Noise Overlay,pdf) for recommendations to address this effect, given the permitted activity status of helicopters use within the	Yes
Woolworths New Zealand	359.94	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Amend	Considers that APP4 should be amended to provide more clarity on the terminology of zones used. It is assumed that reference to noise mitted from "the Commercial and Mixed-Use Zones" within Table 15 – APP4, Table 16 – APP4, Table 17 – APP4, Table 18 – APP4 is encompassing of all of the Centres Zones (being the City Centre, Metropolitan Centre, Local Centre and Neighbourhood Centre Zones) as well as the Commercial Zone and a Mixed-Use Zone. This clarification is sought as the PDP as notified otherwise does not include standards for the Centre Zones and proposes the creation of both a Commercial Zone and a Mixed-Use Zone within the broader umbrella of Commercial and Mixed-Use Zones. It is noted that the use of emergency generators is expressly exempt from compliance however this is limited to generators operated by emergency services or lifeline utilities, or for the continuation of radiocommunication broadcasts. It is considered that the proposed exclusion could be comfortably extended to include emergency use by supermarkets given the essential role they play as community infrastructure in crises, for example making sure there is accessing and there is the reference of the more some some some some some markets given the essential role they play as community infrastructure in crises, for example making sure there is accessing and the some some some some some some some som	Amend Appendix 4 - Permitted Noise Standards to clarify the terminology of zones used in Tables 15, 16, 17 and 18.	ACCEPT IN PART, see recommendation to panel.	Yes
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.155	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Support	APP4 is supported as it includes noise limits for activities contained within a single zone and separate standards for activities generated in one zone but received in another.	Retain Appendix 4 - Permitted Noise Standards as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
	402.211	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Amend	Alterations to Table 20 are required to comply with the methodology in NZS 6809:1999 (Port Noise Standard).	Amend Table 20 in APP4 Permitted Noise Standards as per submission. [Refer to original submission for changes sought to Table 20 in APP4].	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions. See response to Port Company submission row 315.	Yes
CentrePort Limited	402.212	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Support	Support the intent of this standard subject to the alterations to Table 20 which are required to comply with the methodology in NZS 6809:1999 (Port Noise Standard).	Amend Table 20 in APP4 Permitted Noise Standards as per submission. [Refer to original submission for changes sought to Table 20 in APP4].	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions. Table 21 is superfluous	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.548	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Oppose	Opposes APP4 Permitted Noise Standards. [See paragraphs 4.70 and 4.74 of original submission for full reason]	Delete Table 21 in APP4 in it's entirety.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions. Table 21 is superfluous	Yes
Living Streets Aotearoa	482.60	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Amend	Considers that it is unclear why the plan has two different noise levels for night time in residential receiving environments.	Clarify the LAEQ and LAFmax noise levels for night time in residential zones. [Inferred decision requested].	Clarification - the use of two noise limits shown for night time are the time averaged sound level limit (LAeq) and maximum sound level limit (LAfmax). The addition of a maximum sound level limit criteria at night-time helps to protect residents	Yes
Living Streets Aotearoa	482.61	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP4 Permitted Noise Standards	Not specified	Considers that noise levels up to 85 decibels is questionable in a public space. It is important in public spaces, even in industrial zones, to not have dangerous noise levels.	Not specified.	As this noise limit is described as an LAfmax, permitted noise levels at 85 dBA are only experienced for very short durations. Longer periods of 85 dBA noise will exceed the LAeq noise limit. 85 dBA for short durations is not considered a "dangerous" noise level unless experienced over a continuous duration of eight hours	Yes
Claire Nolan, James Fraser, Biddy Bunzl, Margaret Franken, Michelle Wolland, and Lee Muir	275.39	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP5 Fixed Plant Noise Standards	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer back to original submission]	Retain Appendix 5 Fixed Plant Noise Standards as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Claire Nolan, James Fraser, Biddy Bunzl, Margaret Franken, Michelle Wolland, and Lee Muir	275.4	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP6 Permitted Noise Standards for Temporary Activities	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer back to original submission]	Retain Appendix 6 Permitted Noise Standards for Temporary Activities as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
New Zealand Defence Force	423.45	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP6 Permitted Noise Standards for Temporary Activities	Oppose in part	Considers that NZDF has developed bespoke noise standards with respect to TMTA (refer to Attachment 3) that NZDF is seeking to be included in every district plan throughout the country, and requests these standards be included in the District Plan. The standards have been specifically developed by NZDF to manage the particular noise characteristics of TMTA (as outlined in Attachment 4). The standards provide appropriate controls to manage noise effects from TMTA (which include minimum separation distances and peak sound levels for both daytime and nighttime periods), as well as having a standard practice of informing affected landowners and occupiers of		ACCEPT in part - to the extent outlined in the evidence of Sean Syman	Yes
New Zealand Defence Force	423.46	Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP6 Permitted Noise Standards for Temporary Activities	Amend	Considers that NZDF has developed bespoke noise standards with respect to TMTA that NZDF is seeking to be included in every district plan throughout the country, and requests these standards be included in the District Plan. The standards have been specifically developed by NZDF to manage the particular noise characteristics of TMTA (as outlined in Attachment 4). The standards provide appropriate controls to manage noise effects from TMTA (which include minimum separation distances and peak sound levels for both daytime and nighttime periods), as well as having a standard practice of informing affected landowners and occupiers of properties. Based on these	ACCEPT in part - to the extent outlined in the evidence of Sean Syman	ACCEPT in part - to the extent outlined in the evidence of Sean Syman	Yes
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.7	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Oppose in part	Considers that the defined term 'retirement village' should be used for clarity [Note, the submitter incorrectly references THW-O2].	Opposes the definition of NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY and seeks amendment as follows: means any lawfully established: a. residential activity, including activity in visitor accommodation or retirement accommodation villages; b. educational activity; c. health care activity; c. health care activity; d. congregation within any place of worship; and activity at a marae.	REJECT submission in favour of deleting "retirement accomodation" entirely from the definition, as "residential activity" is inclusively defined by the National Planning Standards to be "the use of land and building(s) for people's living	Yes
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.16	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Support	The definition of Noise Sensitive Activity is supported. Service stations frequently generate noise effects, not uncommonly on a 24/7 basis, and are required to comply with permitted noise limits of the district plan or limits otherwise included as conditions in an approved land use consent. These service stations are also frequently located at the edge of centre or commercial zones or in close proximity to residential activities which may be more sensitive to noise generating activities.	Retain the Definition of 'Noise Sensitive Activity' as notified.	REJECT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Ministry of Education	400.8	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Support	Supports the inclusion of educational facilities in the definition of 'noise sensitive activities' as it aims to protect educational facilities.		REJECT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.35	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Oppose in part	Considers that the definition of noise sensitive activity does not adequately capture the range of activities typically considered to be sensitive to aircraft noise.	Opposes the definition of "NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY" and seeks amendment.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions. See response to submission point 408.9 (row 527)	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.36	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Amend	Considers that the definition of noise sensitive activity does not adequately capture the range of activities typically considered to be sensitive to aircraft noise.	Amend definition of "NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY" as follows: Noise Sensitive Activity means any lawfully established: a. residential activity, including activity in visitor accommodation or retirement accommodation; b. educational activity; c. health care <u>and hospital activities</u> activity ; 	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions. See response to submission point 408.9 (row 527)	Yes
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.9	Interpretation Subpart J Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Amend	Supports the activities listed within this definition subject to amendments to clarify certain activities.	Amend definition of NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY as follows: means any lawfully established: a. residential activity, including activity in visitor accommodation or retirement accommodation, including boarding houses, residential visitor accommodation and papakāinga; b. educational activity; c. health care activity, including hospitals; d. congregation within any place of worship; and e. activity at a marae. Or any such alternative relief to ensure that all appropriate noise sensitive activities are covered by this definition.	ACCEPT in part. Amend definition of NOSE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY as follows: means any lawfully established: a. residential activity, including activity in visitor accommodation or retirement accommodation, boarding houses and papakäinga; b. educational activity; c. health care activity, including within hospitals ; d. congregation within any place of worship; and e. activity at a marae.	Yes
Wellington International Aiport Ltd	406.27	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition	Amend	The definition of Air Noise Overlay conflates the two functions of the aircraft noise boundaries that relate to Wellington International Airport. That is: 1. the Air Noise Boundary and 60db Ldn noise boundaries are used as a trigger for specific land use management requirements; and, 2. the Air Noise Boundary is used as a compliance boundary for aircraft noise generated at Wellington International Airport. The chapeau of the definition focuses on the latter. The tarms 'Air Noise Boundary' and '60dB Ldn noise boundary' are well established and widely used throughout New Zealand with respect to the aircraft noise and land use management obligations surrounding airports. The term 'Air Noise Boundary' is also consistent with NZ56805, the Operative District Plan and WIAL's communications regarding their 'Quieter Homes' programme.	Add new definition: <u>60db Ldn Noise Boundary means the boundary shown the district plan maps,</u> the location of which is based on predicted day/night sound levels of Ldn 60dB from future airport. operations at Wellington International Airport.	ACCEPT in part, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions. Definitions need clarification, as recommended.	Ves .
Guardians of the Bays		Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition	Neutral	Tegrating uter it during the plan and the maps There is confusion between the plan and the maps and what is being described as the Air Noise Boundaries Overlay (inner air noise overlay on the maps) and the 60db idn Noise Boundary (outer air noise overlay on the maps) in the proposed District Plan	We seek clarification so everyone knows the relationship to these two zoned areas in the words of the plan and the maps. The definition of Air Noise Overlay therefore needs greater clarification to link with the actual maps and wording on the maps.	ACCEPT in part, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions. Definitions need clarification, as recommended.	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.496	Part 3 General / Part 3 General / Part 3 General	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Seeks an amendment that prohibiting noise sensitive activities within zones where such activities are not generally anticipated (i.e. the general industrial and Open Space Zones) are a prohibited activity.	REJECT Given the approach of the PDP NOISE chapter of providing adequate acoustic protection and alternative ventiliation to habitable rooms in buildings housing noise sensitive activities it is not considered necessary from an effects perspective to prohibit noise sensitive activities in	No
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.151	Part 3 / Part 3 General / Part 3 General / Part 3 General	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes this decision requested as prohibiting activities is excessive and does not consider options for well-functioning urban environments when there may be opportunities to mitigate effects.	Disallow	the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	No
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.151	Part 3 / Part 3 General / Part 3 General / Part 3 General	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission point. See response to 406.496 above	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.27	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition	Amend	The definition of Air Noise Overlay conflates the two functions of the aircraft noise boundaries that relate to Wellington International Airport. That is: 1. the Air Noise Boundary and 60db Ldn noise boundaries are used as a trigger for specific land use management requirements; and, 2. the Air Noise Boundary is used as a compliance boundary for aircraft noise generated at Wellington International Airport. The chapeau of the definition focuses on the latter. The terms 'Air Noise Boundary' and '60dB Ldn noise boundary' are well established and widely used throughout New Zealand with respect to the aircraft noise and land use management obligations surrounding airports. The term 'Air Noise Boundary' also consistent with NZ56805, the Operative Distric Plan and WAL's Communications regarding their 'Quieter Homes' programme.	Add new definition: Air Noise Boundary means the boundary shown on the district plan maps, the location of which is based on predicted day/night sound levels of Ldn 65dB from future airport operations at Wellington International Airport.	ACCEPT in part. Definitions now clarified and terminology has been refined in line with PDP terminology including the use of the term 'Air Noise Overlays'.	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Guardians of the Bays Inc	F\$44.2	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition	Not specified	Considers that there is confusion between the plan and the maps and what is being described as the Air Noise Boundaries Overlay (inner air noise overlay on the maps) and the 60db ldn Noise Boundary (outer air noise ovelay on the maps) in the proposed District Plan.	Not specified / Seeks clarification so everyone knows the relationship of these two zoned areas in the words of the plan and the maps. The definition of Air Noise Overlay therefore needs greater clarification to link with the actual maps and wording on the maps.	REJECT Given the approach of the PDP NOISE chapter of providing adequate acoustic protection and alternative ventilation to habitable rooms in buildings housing noise sensitive activities it is not considered necessary from an effects perspective to prohibit noise sensitive activities in	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.111	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New Definition	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes the introduction of the '60dB Ldn noise boundary' as an unnecessary and confusing addition which duplicates outer noise overlays. The definitions of inner and outer air noise overlay provide greater clarity and relate more clarity to mapped overlays.	Disallow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other	Yes
Strathmore Park Residents Association Incorporated	FS122.2	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition	Oppose	Considers that as an organisation representing lay public the use of the term Overlays to specify (and map) Airport Noise Zones seems a positive step forward in giving a better understanding to DP provisions. Considers the boundaries & the means to establish them is a prescrictive, and a standards based analysis & decision. Considers the rules which apply to the areas enclosed by boundaries (i.e. Overlays) is that defined by the District. Considers that an example is that the Inner Noise "Overlay" is divided into 3 zones for the purpose of residential noise mitigation and these zones have no reference in NZ standards.	Not specified / Seeks that references to Airport Noise Overlays when referring to rules and recommendations withing the formal Noise Boundaries are maintained.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.16	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT in part. Definitions now clarified and terminology has been refined in line with PDP terminology including the use of the term 'Air Noise Overlays'.	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.28	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition	Amend	The definition of Air Noise Overlay conflates the two functions of the aircraft noise boundaries that relate to Wellington International Airport. That is: 1. the Air Noise Boundary and 60db Ldn noise boundaries are used as a trigger for specific land use management requirements; and, 2. the Air Noise Boundary is used as a compliance boundary for aircraft noise generated at Wellington International Airport. The chapeau of the definition focuses on the latter. The terms 'Air Noise Boundary' and '60dB Ldn noise boundary' are well established and widely used throughout New Zealand with respect to the aircraft noise and land use management obligations surrounding airports. The term 'Air Noise Boundary' is also consistent with N256805, the Operative District Plan and Submitter's communications regarding their 'Quieter Homes' programme.	Add new definition: 60db Ldn Noise Boundary means the boundary shown the district plan maps, the location of which is based on predicted day/night sound levels of Ldn 60dB from future airport operations at Wellington International Airport.	REJECT submission point. Definitions clarified and terminology has been refined in line with PDP terminology including the use of the term 'Air Noise Overlays'.	Yes
Inc	FS44.3	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition	Not specified	Considers that there is confusion between the plan and the maps and what is being described as the Air Noise Boundaries Overlay (inner air noise overlay on the maps) and the 60db ldn Noise Boundary (outer air noise ovelay on the maps) in the proposed District Plan.	Not specified / Seeks clarification so everyone knows the relationship of these two zoned areas in the words of the plan and the maps. The definition of Air Noise Overlay therefore needs greater clarification to link with the actual maps and wording on the maps.	that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.112	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New Definition	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes the introduction of the '60dB Ldn noise boundary' as an unnecessary and confusing addition which duplicates outer noise overlays. The definitions of inner and outer air noise overlay provide greater clarity and relate more clearly to mapped overlays.	Disallow	the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Strathmore Park Residents Association Incorporated Board of Airline	FS122.3 FS139.17	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition Part 1 / Interpretation	Oppose Support	Considers that as an organisation representing lay public the use of the term Overlays to specify (and map) Airport Noise Zones seems a positive step forward in giving a better understanding to DP provisions. Considers the boundaries & the means to establish them is a prescrictive, and a standards based analysis & decision. Considers the rules which apply to the areas enclosed by boundaries (i.e. Overlays) is that defined by the District. Considers that an example is that the linner Noise "Overlay" is divided into 3 zones for the purpose of residential noise mitigation and these zones have no reference in NZ standards. Support WAIL's submission.	Not specified / Seeks that references to Airport Noise Overlays when referring to rules and recommendations withing the formal Noise Boundaries are maintained.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions REJECT submission point. Definitions	Yes
Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	F3135.17	Subpart / Definitions / New definition	заррот	Support walls submission for the reasons set out in walls submission.	ANUW	clarified and terminology has been refined in line with PDP terminology including the use of the term 'Air Noise Overlays'.	
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.29	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / AIR NOISE OVERLAY		The definition of Air Noise Overlay conflates the two functions of the aircraft noise boundaries that relate to Wellington International Airport. That is: 1. the Air Noise Boundary and 60db Ldn noise boundaries are used as a trigger for specific land use management requirements; and, 2. the Air Noise Boundary is used as a compliance boundary for aircraft noise generated at Wellington International Airport.	Delete definition of "AIR NOISE OVERLAY" in its entirety.	REJECT submission point. Definitions clarified and terminology has been refined in line with PDP terminology including the use of the term 'Air Noise Overlays'.	
Guardians of the Bays Inc		Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / AIR NOISE OVERLAY	Not specified	Air Noise Boundaries Overlay (inner air noise overlay on the maps) and the 60db ldn Noise Boundary (outer air noise ovelay on the maps) in the proposed District Plan.	Not specified / Seeks clarification so everyone knows the relationship of these two zoned areas in the words of the plan and the maps. The definition of Air Noise Overlay therefore needs greater clarification to link with the actual maps and wording on the maps.	introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.113	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / AIR NOISE OVERLAY	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes deletion of the air noise overlay definition which relates to clearly mapped overlay areas.	Disallow	the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Strathmore Park Residents Association Incorporated	FS122.4	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / AIR NOISE OVERLAY	Oppose	Considers that as an organisation representing lay public the use of the term Overlays to specify (and map) Airport Noise Zones seems a positive step forward in giving a better understanding to DP provisions. Considers the boundaries & the means to establish them is a prescrictive, and a standards based analysis & decision. Considers the rules which apply to the areas enclosed by boundaries [i.e. Overlays] is that defined by the District. Considers that an example is that the Inner Noise "Overlay" is divided into 3 cones for the purpose of residential noise mitigation and these zones have no reference in NZ standards.	recommendations withing the formal Noise Boundaries are maintained.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.18	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / AIR NOISE OVERLAY	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT submission point. Definitions clarified and terminology has been refined in line with PDP terminology including the use of the term 'Air Noise Overlays'.	Yes
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.7	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Oppose in part	Considers that the defined term 'retirement village' should be used for clarity [Note, the submitter incorrectly references THW-O2].	Opposes the definition of NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY and seeks amendment as follows: means any lawfully established: a. residential activity, including activity in visitor accommodation or retirement accommodation- villages; b. educational activity; c. health care activity; d. congregation within any place of worship; and activity at a marae.	REJECT - Amending the definition as proposed would make it too prescriptive. There is no noise- sensitive aspect of a retirement village that is not covered by the term 'residential accomodation'. See also response to submission point 408.9 (row 527)	Yes
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.16	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Support	The definition of Noise Sensitive Activity is supported. Service stations frequently generate noise effects, not uncommonly on a 24/7 basis, and are required to comply with permitted noise limits of the district plan or limits otherwise included as conditions in an approved land use consent. These service stations are also frequently located at the edge of centre or commercial zones or in close proximity to residential activities which may be more sensitive to noise generating activities.	Retain the Definition of 'Noise Sensitive Activity' as notified.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Ministry of Education	400.8	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Support	Supports the inclusion of educational facilities in the definition of 'noise sensitive activities' as it aims to protect educational facilities.	Retain the definition of [Noise Sensitive] as notified.	the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.35	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Oppose in part	Considers that the definition of noise sensitive activity does not adequately capture the range of activities typically considered to be sensitive to aircraft noise.	Opposes the definition of "NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY" and seeks amendment.	REJECT - Amending the definition as proposed would make it too prescriptive. There is no noise- sensitive aspect of a retirement village that is not covered by the term 'retirement accomodation'. See also response to submission point 408.9	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.10	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Support	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated agrees with the addition of noise sensitive activities of hospitals.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Voc
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.24	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	REJECT- Amending the definition as proposed would make it too prescriptive. There is no noise- sensitive aspect of a retirement village that is not covered by the term 'retirement accomodation'. See also response to submission point 408.9	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.36	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Amend	Considers that the definition of noise sensitive activity does not adequately capture the range of activities typically considered to be sensitive to aircraft noise. n	Amend definition of "NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY" as follows: Noise Sensitive Activity means any lawfully established: a. residential activity, including activity in visitor accommodation ; b. educational activity; c. health care <u>and hospital activities</u> activity;	ACCEPT IN PART submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.11	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Support	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated agrees with the addition of noise sensitive activities of hospitals.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Vec
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.25	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.9	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY	Amend	Supports the activities listed within this definition subject to amendments to clarify certain activities.	Amend definition of NOISE SENSITIVE ACTIVITY as follows: means any lawfully established: a. residential activity, including activity in visitor accommodation or retirement accommodation, including boarding houses, residential visitor accommodation and papakäinga; b. educational activity; c. health care activity, including hospitals; d. congregation within any place of worship; and e. activity at a marae. Or any such alternative relief to ensure that all appropriate noise sensitive activities are covered by this definition.	ACCEPT IN PART submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions. See also response to submission point 408.9 (row 527)	
CentrePort Limited	402.23	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / PORT NOISE OVERLAY	Support in part	Support 'Port Noise Overlay' in part. Port Noise at Miramar and Burnham Wharves is subject to the Port Noise Management Plan required under the Proposed Natural Resources Plan. This definition does not include reference to Port Noise controls adjoining this facility. Without it the PNRP noise rule (requiring compliance with respect to the noise control line) cannot work. The location of the Port Noise Control Line at Burnham, if reinstated, should be determined on the basis of updated	Retain definition of 'Port Noise Overlay', with amendment.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions	Yes
CentrePort Limited	402.24	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / PORT NOISE OVERLAY	Amend	Port Noise at Miramar and Burnham Wharves is subject to the Port Noise Management Plan required under the Proposed Natural Resources Plan. This definition does not include reference to Port Noise controls adjoining this facility. Without it the PNRP noise rule (requiring compliance with respect to the noise control line) cannot work. The location of the Port Noise Control Line at Burnham, if reinstated, should be determined on the basis of updated noise modelling which CentrePort currently has underway.	Seeks that the 'Port Noise Overlay' definition is amended to: - Include Port Noise Control Line at Miramar/Burnham Wharf. - Provide note that Port Noise matters for land adjoining Miramar and Burnham Wharves is subject to the provisions in the Proposed Natural Resources Plan.	ACCEPT submission point, except to the extent that modifications are introduced by decisions on other submissions. Recommend maps to include Port Noise Control Line at Miramar/Burnham Wharf located as	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.47	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / WELLINGTON AIR NOISE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (WANMC)	Oppose	Considers that it is not necessary or appropriate to duplicate the aircraft noise management obligations imposed on WIAL as the requiring authority for WIAL4 in the Operative and Proposed Plan. Furthermore, "NMP" nor "WANMC" are terms used in the designation, therefore it is not clear when this committee would be required.	Delete definition of WELLINGTON AIR NOISE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (WANMC) in it's entirety.	ACCEPT submission point. There is no value in retaining the definition.	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.22	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / WELLINGTON AIR NOISE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (WANMC)	Not specified	Guardians of the Bays Incorporated is neutral in the Delete definition of WELLINGTON AIR NOISE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (WANMC) but they do note that other community committees in other designations do not appear to be listed in the definitions of the plan.	Not specified	REJECT submission point. There is no value in retaining the definition.	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.116	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / WELLINGTON AIR NOISE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (WANMC)	Oppose	Käinga Ora seeks the retention of the definition to provide clarity to Plan users.	Disallow / Seeks the retention of the definition.	REJECT submission point. There is no value in retaining the definition.	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.34	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / WELLINGTON AIR NOISE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (WANMC)	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT submission point. There is no value in retaining the definition.	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.6	Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP	Not specified	Considers that adding a note to zones which provide for noise sensitive activities to draw applicants' attention to the reverse sensitivity provisions would be beneficial for aiding public interpretation on the planning provisions that apply. [Applicant was 'neutral' on the provisions].	Add a note in all Zone chapters that provide for noise sensitive activities: Note: As well as provisions in the zone new buildings or alterations to existing buildings for noise sensitive activities are required to comply with the provisions in the NOISE chapter, which include sound insulation as a requirement in certain areas or limiting the establishment of noise sensitive activities in some cases.	REJECT submission point.	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.17	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Supports the mapping of ANB and 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary. Considers that this boundary identifies an area within which specific land use controls apply, and identifies the point of compliance with respect to aircraft noise, as defined by WIAL's Main Site and East Side Area Designations.	Retain Air Noise Boundary as notified.	ACCEPT In Part Accept that part of the submission that seeks to retain the location of the Airnoise Boundary. Regarding remaining issues, see MHA Memo "Response to submissions seeking Joinfication of Airport noise	Yes
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.110	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	Käinga Ora considers this should be renamed as 'inner air noise overlay'.	Disallow	adopted by WIAL to describe areas affected by aircraft noise should not be adopted into the Plan in preference for the existing terminology based on map overlays.	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.13	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT. See response above to submissioin point 406.17	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.18	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Considers that this boundary identifies an area within which specific land use controls apply, and identifies the point of compliance with respect to aircraft noise, as defined by WIAL's Main Site and East Side Area Designations.	Retain 60dB Ldn Noise Boundary as notified.	ACCEPT. See response above to submissioin point 406.17	Yes
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.14	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.	Allow	ACCEPT. See response above to submissioin point 406.17	Yes
Save Our Venues	445.3	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that a further solution to the issue of low acoustic insulation standards in new residential developments in the immediate vicinity of existing live music venues, could include the rezoning of existing music venues into Special Entertainment Precincts. This will allow for a higher level of sound output. For the purposes of immediate protection, these overlays could be directly applied to existing music venues but the development of broader zoning classifications that incorporate the sound of live music into city planning could make the development of new music venues in the future more	Seeks that the WCC consider creating a Special Entertainment Precinct Zone to protect existing and new music venues, and amend the mapping accordingly.	ACCEPT in part.	
Save Our Venues	445.4	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	achievable. Considers that standards (which provides for entertainment venues and associated noise) can already be seen in the High Noise Area zoned for Courtney Place and could extend further protections to the venues such as Meow, San Fran and Valhalla which are currently located in the Central Area Zone with higher restrictions on noise output standards.	Seeks extension of the Courtenay Place Noise Area to include Cuba Street venues. [Inferred decision requested]	ACCEPT in part.	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.5	Other / Other / Other	Amend	Considers that The operative district plan does not contain provisions to manage noise and vibration effects to new noise sensitive activities established alongside state highway. where there is intensification of noise sensitive activities proposed which has immediate legal effect (such as in HRZ and MRZ zones) the related provisions in the NOISE chapter to manage the effects should also have immediate legal effect (e.g rules in Noise-R3). Is concerned about the risk of intensification occurring alongside state highways		ACCEPT In Part. See response above to submissioin point 406.17	105
Save Our Venues	445.1	Other / Other / Other	Oppose	which is not designed to appropriately mitigate noise and vibration effects in the Considers that there are significant issues with current Noise Control enforcement processes. Consides that the current methodology of measuring sound is subjective and places the onus on the complainent. The response from noise control officers is then exclusively a punitive process. This can create a huge scope for loss of income and confidence in the venue. A model where measuring the sound at the boundary of the property from where the sound is emanating, as well as inside the complainant's property, would enable Council to ensure that both the venue and the residential property are compliant with noise standards and acoustic mitigation standards respectively. This will allow for mediation between both parties and ensure reasonable steps can be taken to mitigate noise before the venue is restricted in its ability to operate at all. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Seeks that the WCC reforms its Noise Control enforcement processes, equipping officers with decibel meters and requiring that an objective measurement demonstrating non-compliance be recorded before an infringement notice can be issued or enforcement action taken.	No Recommendation	No
Save Our Venues	445.2	Other / Other / Other	Oppose	Considers that there should also be the consideration that the sound of live music is inherently a different frequency to the sound of amplified recorded music or the normal environmental sounds of living in the city and should be measured to a standard that takes that into account.	Seeks that there should be separate consideration that the sound of live music, due to its frequency. [Inferred deicsion requested]	No Recommendation	No
				[Refer to original submission for full reason]			No