Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Andrew Haddleton	23.2	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that allowing buildings up to 28.5m will remove some of the character of the city and there are other areas where high-rise buildings could go. Instead buildings up to 18m (like the existing Embassy Theatre) will maintain the balanced aesthetic appearance of the street.	Seeks that the allowable building height in the CCZ (City Centre Zone) is sympathetic to the surrounding heritage buildings and character of the city. [Inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
Mark Tanner	24.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	The CCZ (City Centre Zone) is supported because densification of CBD, Te Aro, and Adelaide Road will accommodate projected population increases.	Retain CCZ (City Centre Zone) as notified.	Accept in part.	No.
Tim Bright	75.10	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Opposes the edge of the Mount Victoria suburb being zoned CCZ.	[Not specified]	Reject.	No.
Judith Graykowski	80.12	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Oppose the Western edge of Mount Victoria being zoned City Centre.	Seeks that the Western edge of Mount Victoria is rezoned	Reject.	No.
Joanna Newman	85.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Opposes the western edge of the legal suburb of Mt Victoria being included in the CC2 (City Centre Zone). Considers that Cambridge Terrace forms the logical eastern boundary of the CC2. CC2 is incompatible with the current, historical, Wellington City Council and Geographic Board definition of Mount Victoria as a suburb. Mount Victoria distinctive and strong identity to its residents and the city, dating back to its origins in 1840. Its main streets were laid out according to the 1840 plan by William Mein-Smith, surveyor for the New Zealand Company. The current low-rise but historically dense residential area extends well into the area currently designated City Centre Zone and intended for building at least 10 storeys high. Boundaries of the suburb of Mount Victoria are defined, and the suburb named, by The New Zealand Geographic Board and gazetted by Land Information New Zealand. Wellington City Council documents also show the western boundary of Mount Victoria along Cambridge Terrace, putting the islands between the two Terraces in Mount Victoria. Throughout WCC planning documents, including the Draft Spatial Plan, Mount Victoria is references are to the "suburb" of Mount Victoria, which must be understood to mean both the New Zealand Geographic Board and the Wellington City Council defined suburb. When WCC issues resource consents, it classifies consents applied for or granted in the part of Mount Victoria proposed to be zoned City Centre as Mount Victoria. Supports evidence submitted by Mt Victoria Historical Society.	Seeks that the CC2 (City Centre Zone) east of Cambridge Terrace in Mount Victoria be rezoned to MRZ (Medium Density Residential Zone). [Inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
Aro Valley Community Council	87.43	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Considers that it would be inappropriate and dangerous to classify 290 Willis Street as a City Centre Zone. The site is adjacent to the dangerous Karo Drive and Willis Street intersection.	Seeks that 290 Willis Street is rezoned from City Centre Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone.	Reject.	No.
Generation Zero	FSS4.47	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	For character areas, the central test is "other" qualifying matter under clause 3.33(3) of the NPS-UD, alongside the objectives and purpose of the NPS-UD. There is an extremely high bar to creating a character area. The reduction in development capacity must be justified against the national significance of urban development and the objectives of the NPS-UD. Cities are dynamic and changeable. Indeed Wellington underwent many built changes before the currently form was locked in place by modern zoning documents. New housing and residents are a positive to encourage, rather a negative to push out further or crowd into the remaining housing stock. It is significantly more climate friendly to allow denser housing in inner-suburbs, rather than displacing development into greenfields, even accounting for embodied carbon. Character areas are in inner-city suburbs which are highly connected to amenities and already have high-mode share of low emissions transport. This land is often the most resilient. More residents can be easily absorbed in these suburbs and will create a positive impact on that suburb. The counterfactual – the status quo – is that the best quality land in the city is locked away by wealthy residents who seek to preserve an unsustainable way of life and/or their property values. This causes reduced supply and higher prices, higher rents, lower quality, displacement of low-income residents, and pushes residents to worse locations with higher lifetime emissions. The development capacity lost through character areas is extremely weighty; only very 'character' of very high quality can be justified. It must also be a site-specific analysis; the current broadbrush suburb-by-suburb analysis in the current District Plan is not allowed. The approaches advocated by the submitters is erroneous under the NPS-UD and should be rejected. Submitters cannot point to individual streets or houses they subjectively find as 'character' as this does not meet the stringent evidential requirement of clause 3.33(3). Submitter	Disallow / Disallow the submission in full to the extent that this relates to character areas or reducing the amount of enabled housing. Reject increasing character areas in the PDP.	Reject.	No.

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Aro Valley Community Council	87.44	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Considers that the sites at 290, 292, 294, 296, 298, 300, 302, 304 and 306 Willis Street were never built to be part of the Central City - and have never operated as part of the Central City. A historical mapping error that gives this impression has been repeatedly acknowledged by the WCC but left unaddressed.	Seeks that 290, 292, 294, 296, 298, 300, 302, 304 and 306 Willis Street are rezoned from City Centre Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone.	Reject.	No.
Generation Zero	FS54.48	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	For character areas, the central test is "other" qualifying matter under clause 3.33(3) of the NPS-UD, alongside the objectives and purpose of the NPS-UD. There is an extremely high bar to creating a character area. The reduction in development capacity must be justified against the national significance of urban development and the objectives of the NPS-UD. Cities are dynamic and changeable. Indeed Wellington underwent many built changes before the currently form was locked in place by modern zoning documents. New housing and residents are a positive to encourage, rather a negative to push out further or crowd into the remaining housing stock. It is significantly more climate friendly to allow denser housing in inner-suburbs, rather than displacing development into greenfields, even accounting for embodied carbon. Character areas are in inner-city suburbs which are highly connected to amenities and already have high-mode share of low emissions transport. This land is often the most resilient. More residents can be easily absorbed in these suburbs and will create a positive impact on that suburb. The counterfactual – the status quo – is that the best quality land in the city is locked away by wealthy residents who seek to preserve an unsustainable way of life and/or their property values. This causes reduced supply and higher prices, higher rents, lower quality, displacement of low-income residents, and pushes residents to worse locations with higher lifetime emissions. The development capacity lost through character areas is extremely weighty; only very 'character' of very high quality can be justified. It must also be a site-specific analysis; the current broadbrush suburb-by-suburb analysis in the current District Plan is not allowed. The approaches advocated by the submitters is erroneous under the NPS-UD and should be rejected. Submitters cannot point to individual streets or houses they subjectively find as 'character' as this does not meet the stringent evidential requirement of clause 3.33(3). Submitter	Disallow / Disallow the submission in full to the extent that this relates to character areas or reducing the amount of enabled housing. Reject increasing character areas in the PDP.	Reject.	No.
Alan Olliver & Julie Middleton	111.8	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Considers that the western edge of Mt Victoria should not be CCZ (City Centre Zone) Considers that CCZ is incompatible with various definitions of Mt Victoria as a suburb.	Seeks that the area of Mount Victoria that is CCZ (City Centre Zone) is rezoned to Medium Density Residential Area. [Inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
Victoria University of Wellington Students' Association	123.56	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	[Refer to original submission for full reasons] Supports all moves towards higher density housing in the CCZ. Supports a focus on high density rather than all housing supply as this is a more sustainable, affordable, resource efficient approach, and promotes connectivity within the city.	Seeks that all moves towards higher density housing in the City Centre Zone are supported. [Inferred decision requested].	Reject.	No.
Victoria University of Wellington Students' Association	123.57		Support in part		Not specified.	Reject.	No.
Vivienne Morrell	155.15	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Oppose the Western edge of Mount Victoria being zoned City Centre.	Seeks that the Western edge of Mount Victoria is rezoned.	Reject.	No.
Dr Briar E R Gordon and Dr Lyndsay G M Gordon	156.3	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Opposes the proposal to zone much of the area of Thorndon east of the motorway as CCZ, with the implication of high/medium density intensification in the residential and educational area of Selwyn and Guildford Terraces. Considers that the area is surrounded by buildings of high public value and interest, and Selwyn Terrace has a community of heritage houses. The area affords heritage and amenity values to the whole city and is too precious to be subject to the pressures of high density development. Enabling buildings of the proposed heights would be detrimental to the views and also interfere with the important listed viewshafts referred to in Sir Douglas White's submission. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Reject zone change of the area of Thorndon east of the motorway to City Centre Zone.	Reject.	No.
Thorndon Residents' Association Inc	FS69.68	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	These submissions align with the Association's submissions for the Hobson precinct, the Portland/Hawkestone precinct, and the Selwyn precinct.	Allow	Reject.	No.

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
James and Karen Fairhall	160.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ		Supports the intent of the PDP to enable good quality intensification of the CCZ but this should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City.	Seeks that good quality intensification of the CCZ should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City.	Reject.	No.
James and Karen	160.5	Commercial and mixed	Amend	Considers that density done well should be the bottom line, not density at all costs. Considers that the current provisions of the PDP, in particular standards CCZ-51 (Maximum height)	Not specified.	Reject.	No.
Fairhall		use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ		and CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height), will result in significant adverse effects on Moir Street properties which cannot be mitigated through design. Moir Street is recognised as one of the key coherent character and heritage areas of Mt Victoria.			
				Considers that as a designated heritage area, it should have even more importance placed on mitigating the impacts of development from adjoining sites. It is unique in the PDP as being the only location in all of Wellington that is MRZ, a character precinct, heritage area, and adjacent to the CCZ. Therefore the specific changes requested in relation to avoiding significant adverse impacts on Moir Street do not have wider ramifications for the Council's intensification plans.			
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Karen and Jeremy Young	162.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support in part	Supports the intent of the PDP to enable good quality intensification of the CCZ but this should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City.	Seeks that good quality intensification of the City Centre Zone should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City.	Reject.	No.
				Considers that density done well should be the bottom line, not density at all costs.			
Karen and Jeremy Young	162.5	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the current provisions of the PDP, in particular standards CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) and CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height), will result in significant adverse effects on Moir Street properties which cannot be mitigated through design.	Not specified.	Reject.	No.
				Moir Street is recognised as one of the key coherent character and heritage areas of Mt Victoria. Considers that as a designated heritage area, it should have even more importance placed on mitigating the impacts of development from adjoining sites. It is unique in the PDP as being the only location in all of Wellington that is MRZ, a character precinct, heritage area, and adjacent to the			
				CCZ. Therefore the specific changes requested in relation to avoiding significant adverse impacts on Moir Street do not have wider ramifications for the Council's intensification plans. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Kane Morison and Jane Williams	176.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support in part	Supports the intent of the PDP to enable good quality intensification of the CCZ but this should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City.	Seeks that good quality intensification of the City Centre Zone should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City.	Reject.	No.
				Considers that density done well should be the bottom line, not density at all costs.			
Kane Morison and Jane Williams	176.5	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the current provisions of the PDP, in particular standards CCZ-51 (Maximum height) and CCZ-53 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height), will result in significant adverse effects on Moir Street properties which cannot be mitigated through design.	Not specified.	Reject.	No.
				Moir Street is recognised as one of the key coherent character and heritage areas of Mt Victoria. Considers that as a designated heritage area, it should have even more importance placed on mitigating the impacts of development from adjoining sites. It is unique in the PDP as being the only			
				location in all of Wellington that is MRZ, a character precinct, heritage area, and adjacent to the CCZ. Therefore the specific changes requested in relation to avoiding significant adverse impacts on Moir Street do not have wider ramifications for the Council's intensification plans.			
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Athena Papadopoulos	183.3	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ		Supports the intent of the PDP to enable good quality intensification of the CCZ but this should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City.	Seeks that good quality intensification of the City Centre Zone should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City.	Reject.	No.
				Considers that density done well should be the bottom line, not density at all costs.			

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Athena Papadopoulos	183.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the current provisions of the PDP, in particular standards CCZ-S1 (Maximum height), CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height), and CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) will result in significant adverse effects on Moir Street properties which cannot be mitigated through design. Moir Street is recognised as one of the key coherent character and heritage areas of Mt Victoria.	Not specified.	Reject.	No.
				Considers that as a designated heritage area, it should have even more importance placed on intigating the impacts of development from adjoining sites. It is unique in the PDP as being the only location in all of Wellington that is MRZ, a character precinct, heritage area, and adjacent to the CCZ. Therefore the specific changes requested in relation to avoiding significant adverse impacts on Moir Street do not have wider ramifications for the Council's intensification plans.			
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Lara Bland	184.3	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ		Supports the intent of the PDP to enable good quality intensification of the CCZ but this should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City. Considers that density done well should be the bottom line, not density at all costs.	Seeks that good quality intensification of the City Centre Zone should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City.	Reject.	No.
Lara Bland	184.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the current provisions of the PDP, in particular standards CCZ-S1 (Maximum height), CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas — Adjoining site specific building and structure height), and CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) will result in significant adverse effects on Moir Street properties which cannot be mitigated through design. Moir Street is recognised as one of the key coherent character and heritage areas of Mt Victoria. Considers that as a designated heritage area, it should have even more importance placed on	Not specified.	Reject.	No.
				Intigrating the impacts of development from adjoining sites. It is unique in the PDP as being the only location in all of Wellington that is MRZ, a character precinct, heritage area, and adjacent to the CCZ. Therefore the specific changes requested in relation to avoiding significant adverse impacts on Moir Street do not have wider ramifications for the Council's intensification plans. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Geoff Palmer	188.3	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ		Supports the intent of the PDP to enable good quality intensification of the CCZ but this should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City. Considers that density done well should be the bottom line, not density at all costs.	Seeks that good quality intensification of the City Centre Zone should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City.	Reject.	No.
Geoff Palmer	188.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend		Not specified.	Reject.	No.
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Jonothan and Tricia Briscoe	190.19	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Considers that the western edge of Mt Victoria should not be CCZ (City Centre Zone). Considers that CCZ is incompatible with various definitions of Mt Victoria as a suburb. The current low-rise but historically dense residential area extends well into the area currently	Seeks that the area of Mount Victoria that is CCZ (City Centre Zone) is rezoned to Medium Density Residential Area. [Inferred Decision Requested].	Reject.	No.
				designated City Centre Zone and intended for building at least 10 storeys high. [refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Michael O'Rourke	194.17	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Opposes the strip south of the Basin Reserve up Adelaide Road to John Street being zoned as CCZ. Notes that by various measures, including the Wellington Regional Council City Zone for public	Seeks that the Adelaide Road spine is made High Density Residential Zone.	Reject.	No.
		zone / General CCZ		transport ending at the Basin, this area is not the CBD.			
				[Refer to original submission for full detail].			

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Angus Hodgson	200.10	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	Support a dense urban centre for Wellington, drawing together our homes, work places, entertainment and leisure spaces, and green spaces.	Seeks that the densification within the CCZ (City Centre Zone) is retained as notified. [Inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
Angus Hodgson	200.11	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	Supports the introduction of minimum height requirements, which will assist in creating more attractive streetscapes generating a coherent rhythm, and more importantly allow for greater density by precluding underdevelopment of City Centre Zone sites.	Retain CCZ-S4 (Minimum building height) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Angus Hodgson	200.12	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Opposes the effective removal of setback requirements in the CCZ (City Centre Zone). Considers that sense of human scale is important within the urban centre. Considers that setback requirements assist in mitigating negative wind effects, allow for greater natural light on street sides, connections to natural landscape, and reduce the risk of knocking effect in seismic and high wind events.	Seeks the addition of setback requirements that take into account width of the streets and height of a proposed building into the CCZ (City Centre Zone).	Reject.	No.
Wellington City Youth Council	201.32	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	Supports the PDP's emphasis on promoting productive use of City Centre spaces, including requiring accommodation sites to have non-residential use of the ground floor such as cafes, restaurants, dairies, etc.	Retain the City Centre Zone chapter as notified.	Accept.	No.
Wellington City Youth Council	201.33	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the City Centre should be a people-centric place to live, work, and enjoy.	Seeks that the PDP facilitates pedestrianisation of areas such as Cuba street to stimulate business activity and make better use of limited space.	Reject.	No.
Avryl Bramley	202.44	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that Rules currently allow multi story buildings in the Central city to have multiple car parks. Typically these are then used for non- residential dedicated single user park where the vehicle is not used at all during the day. In short the company car loafing in the company car park after a peak hour journey to transport an individual from home to work and return. These cars are expensive in terms of green and require expensive peak hour traffic schemes paid for by the community but benefit only a few. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Seeks addition of rules to limit number of non residential on site in building car parks permitted to those necessary for the service and maintenance of the building plus a small margin over and above.	Reject.	No.
Avryl Bramley	202.45	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that Rules currently allow multi story buildings in the Central city to have multiple car parks. Typically these are then used for non- residential dedicated single user park where the vehicle is not used at all during the day. In short the company car loafing in the company car park after a peak hour journey to transport an individual from home to work and return. These cars are expensive in terms of green and require expensive peak hour traffic schemes paid for by the community but benefit only a few. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Seeks addition of rules to create a sinking lid policy on existing car parks used for those same purposes and to reregister their use into the same categories and newly created parks.	Reject.	No.
Dougal and Libby List	207.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ		Supports the intent of the PDP to enable good quality intensification of the CCZ but this should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City. Considers that density done well should be the bottom line, not density at all costs.	Seeks that good quality intensification of the City Centre Zone should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City.	Reject.	No.
Dougal and Libby List	207.5	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the current provisions of the PDP, in particular standards CCZ-S1 (Maximum height), CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas — Adjoining site specific building and structure height), and CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) will result in significant adverse effects on Moir Street properties which cannot be mitigated through design. Moir Street is recognised as one of the key coherent character and heritage areas of Mt Victoria. Considers that as a designated heritage area, it should have even more importance placed on mitigating the impacts of development from adjoining sites. It is unique in the PDP as being the only location in all of Wellington that is MRZ, a character precinct, heritage area, and adjacent to the CCZ. Therefore the specific changes requested in relation to avoiding significant adverse impacts on Moir Street do not have wider ramifications for the Council's intensification plans. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Not specified.	Reject.	No.
Craig Forrester	210.5	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ		Supports the intent of the PDP to enable good quality intensification of the CCZ but this should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City. Considers that density done well should be the bottom line, not density at all costs.	Seeks that good quality intensification of the City Centre Zone should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 5 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Craig Forrester	210.6	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Moir Street is recognised as one of the key coherent character and heritage areas of Mt Victoria. Considers that as a designated heritage area, it should have even more importance placed on mitigating the impacts of development from adjoining sites. It is unique in the PDP as being the only location in all of Wellington that is MRZ, a character precinct, heritage area, and adjacent to the CCZ. Therefore the specific changes requested in relation to avoiding significant adverse impacts on Moir Street do not have wider ramifications for the Council's intensification plans.	Not specified.	Reject.	No.
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Mount Victoria Historical Society	214.10	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Opposes the western edge of the legal suburb of Mt Victoria being included in the CCZ (City Centre Zone). Considers that Cambridge Terrace forms the logical eastern boundary of the CCZ. Considers that CCZ is incompatible with the current, historical, Wellington City Council and Geographic Board definition of Mount Victoria as a suburb.	Seeks that the CCZ (City Centre Zone) east of Cambridge Terrace in Mount Victoria be rezoned to MRZ (Medium Density Residential Zone). [Inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
				[Refer to original submission for full reason].			
Historic Places Wellington Inc	FS111.73	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	No specific reason provided.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	233.24	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the operative District Plan strikes an appropriate balance with CCZ encroachment on residential zones by containing central area activities within the central area and a range of non-residential activities in residential zones, provided character and amenity standards are maintained and adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated. The purpose of those provisions in the ODP have been diluted in the PDP. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Seeks that policies are added that address encroachment of city centre activities into adjoining residential zones.	Reject.	No.
Thorndon Residents' Association Inc	FS69.95	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	Appropriate protection of pre-1930s buildings 10min walkable catchment Specific heritage identification and assessment Views contributing to sense of place and identity Extend Character Precincts per Boffa Miskell Boffa Miskell streetscapes Appropriate protection of pre-1930s buildings CCZ encroachment on residential zones Old St Pauls height controls Preserve viewshalfs	Allow	Reject.	No.
Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	240.55	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	Considers that that the definition of "residential activity" entirely captures supported and transitional accommodation activities, such as those provided for by Ara Poutama; i.e. people living	Retain the provisions applicable to "residential activities" in the City Centre Zone as notified.	Accept.	No.
Corrections		zone / General CCz		in a residential situation, who are subject to support and/or supervision by Ara Poutama.			
Generation Zero Inc	254.16	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the introduction of the chapter should be amended for consistency.	Amend introduction of the City Centre Zone as follows; In locations where rapid transit investment has been signalled measures have been included to enable opportunities for more intensive, comprehensive development to occur, particularly in areas within a walkable distance catchment of planned rapid transit stops.	Accept.	Yes
Wheeler Grace Trust	261.2	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Considers that the opportunity for residential intensification would be better reflected with HRZ (High Density Residential Zone). Changing from Inner Residential to City Centre Zone would drastically change Selwyn Terrace. Opposes the element of the NPS-UD application regarding commercial activities. Selwyn Terrace does not have a mix of land uses - it is all residential except the British High Commission, which has it's frontage on Hill Street. For Selwyn Terrace to be CCZ it would need better road access. Selwyn Terrace is unique and has character, making CCZ inappropriate. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Seeks that Selwyn Terrace, Thorndon is rezoned as High Density Residential Zone.	Reject.	No.
Steve Dunn	288.12	Commercial and mixed		Considers that intensification in Newtown should be focussed along Adelaide Road	Seeks that intensification in Newtown should be focussed along Adelaide Road.	Reject.	No.
		use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	specified	[Refer to original submission for full reason].	[Inferred decision requested]		

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington Branch NZIA	301.7	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers the need for a Design Review Panels for all inner city developments where developments are over 3 levels. The wholesale adoption of the MDRS standards could well result in a drastic lowering of design standards of housing, given that there are no quality control standards applied at the same time. A solution would be a mandatory Design Panel Review, as it would encourage high quality design outcomes in the city. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Seeks that a mandatory Design Panel Review be adopted for all inner city developments.	Reject.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.16	Part 3 / Commercial	Support	Wellington Civic Trust supports the need for Design Review Panels.	Allow	Reject.	No.
0		and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ					
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.224	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	The RVA oppose the relief sought in this submission as it is inconsistent with The RVA's primary submission and with the intent of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD, in that it will slow, not speed up intensification.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.224	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Ryman oppose the relief sought in this submission as it is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission and with the intent of the Enabling Housing Act and the NPSUD, in that it will slow, not speed up intensification.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Wellington Branch NZIA	301.8		Not specified	Considers that the Council needs to work harder to create good quality meaningful living conditions for residents in Te Aro to ensure that this area will flourish and not become a slum.	Not specified.	Reject.	No.
Wellington Branch NZIA	301.9	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that Adelaide Road should not be classified as CCZ. The street is likely unsuitable for the creation of further high-rise areas due to it being the former boggy route of a wetland stream. Medium-rise development to the level of 5-6 storeys and the occasional nine storey tower should be continued.	Remove Adelaide Road from City Centre Zone. [Inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
Moir Street Collective - Dougal List, Libby List, Karen Young, Jeremy Young, James Fairhall, Karen Fairhall, Craig Forrester, Sharlene Gray	312.4		Support in part	Supports the intent of the PDP to enable good quality intensification of the CCZ but this should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City. Considers that density done well should be the bottom line, not density at all costs.	Seeks that good quality intensification of the City Centre Zone is undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City.	Reject.	No.
Moir Street Collective - Dougal List, Libby List, Karen Young, Jeremy Young, James Fairhall, Karen Fairhall, Craig Forrester, Sharlene Gray	312.5	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the current provisions of the PDP, in particular standards CCZ-S1 (Maximum height), CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height), and CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) will result in significant adverse effects on Moir Street properties which cannot be mitigated through design. Moir Street is recognised as one of the key coherent character and heritage areas of Mt Victoria. Considers that as a designated heritage area, it should have even more importance placed on mitigating the impacts of development from adjoining sites. It is unique in the PDP as being the only location in all of Wellington that is MRZ, a character precinct, heritage area, and adjacent to the CCZ. Therefore the specific changes requested in relation to avoiding significant adverse impacts on Moir Street do not have wider ramifications for the Council's intensification plans. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Not specified.	Reject.	No.
Richard Murcott	322.26	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the Selwyn Terrace residential enclave should be rezoned from City Centre Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone.	Seeks that the Selwyn Terrace residential enclave not be classified as City Centre Zone.	Reject.	No.
Thorndon Residents' Association Inc	FS69.58	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	[Refer to Full Submission for reasons and examples of residential character of the Hobson precinct of Thorndon].	Allow	Reject.	No.
Richard Murcott	322.27		Amend	Considers that the residential area of Portland Crescent should be rezoned from City Centre Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone.	Seeks that he residential area of Portland Crescent not be classified as City Centre Zone.	Reject.	No.
Thorndon Residents' Association Inc	FS69.59	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	[Refer to Full Submission for reasons and examples of residential character of the Hobson precinct of Thorndon].	Allow	Reject.	No.

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Richard Murcott	322.28	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the residential area of Hawkestone Street should be rezoned from City Centre Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone.	Seeks that the residential area of Hawkestone Street not be classified as City Centre Zone.	Reject.	No.
Thorndon Residents' Association Inc	FS69.60	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	of Thorndon].	Allow	Reject.	No.
Richard Murcott	322.29	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that high density City Centre areas should have incentives to build and densify, potentially through rates. This would take the focus away from densifying Wellington's inner city residential areas that are full of character, green spaces and oftentimes significant heritage. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Seeks that incentives be placed to encourage densification in City Centre Zones.	Reject.	No.
Thorndon Residents' Association Inc	FS69.61	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	[Refer to Full Submission for reasons and examples of residential character of the Hobson precinct of Thorndon].	Allow	Reject.	No.
Thorndon Residents' As sociation	333.15	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the Selwyn Terrace / Hill Street enclave should be rezoned from City Centre Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone. The enclave provides a visual linkage between this residential area and its residential neighbours across the motorway. It is part of the story of the Thorndon community demonstrating the impact the motorway construction had on Thorndon.	Seeks that the Selwyn Terrace / Hill Street enclave and the Portland Crescent/Hawkestone St cluster not be classified as City Centre Zone, and be re-zoned back to Inner Residential Area, with a qualifying matter as a Character Precinct Area, in a manner consistent with the maps and information appended to the submission. [Refer to original submission]	Reject.	No.
Thorndon Residents' As sociation	333.16	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the Selwyn Terrace / Hill Street enclave should be rezoned from City Centre Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone. The enclave provides a visual linkage between this residential area and its residential neighbours across the motorway. It is part of the story of the Thorndon community demonstrating the impact the motorway construction had on Thorndon.	Seeks that the Selwyn Terrace / Hill Street enclave and the Portland Crescent/Hawkestone St cluster not be classified as City Centre Zone , and be re-zoned back to Inner Residential Area, with a qualifying matter as a Character Precinct Area, in a manner consistent with the maps and information appended to the submission. [Refer to original submission]	Reject.	No.
Property Council New Zealand	338.17	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	Supports the Proposed District Plan's proposal to encourage more inner city living, greater density of urban form, and more efficient use of sites within the City Centre.	Retain the 'City Centre Zone' chapter as notified.	Accept.	No.
Carolyn Stephens	344.11	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the plan should be amended to more comprehensively provide for enhanced sunlight access to outdoor and indoor living areas. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Seeks that enhanced sunlight access be provided to outdoor and indoor living areas.	Reject.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.17	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ		This submission recognises the importance of sunlight for people in central Wellington. Wellington Civic Trust supports this submission, which is also in accordance with its submission seeking more open space and sunlight protection for open space.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.285		Amend	Considers policy support for retirement villages in the Metropolitan Centre Zone is required.	Add the following new policies in the City Centre Zone chapter and amend current objectives and policies for consistency: Provision of housing for an ageing population. 1. Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in [add] zone, such as retirement villages. 2. Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they. a. May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services. b. Have unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age. Changing communities To provide for the diverse and changing residential needs of communities, recognise that the existing character and amenity of the [add] zone will change over time to enable a variety of housing types with a mix of densities. Larger sites Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the [add] zone by	Accept in part.	Yes
Z Energy Limited	361.95	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	The CCZ is generally supported, as it enables and reinforces the continued primacy of the Weilington central city area as the principal commercial and employment centre servicing the city and metropolitan region. It is also a major employment hub for the region and contains a mix of inner city living, entertainment, educational, government and commercial activity.	providing for more efficient use of those sites. Retain the City Centre Zone chapter as notified.	Accept.	No.

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Elizabeth Nagel	368.16	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the plan should be amended to more comprehensively provide for enhanced sunlight access to outdoor and indoor living areas. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Seeks that enhanced sunlight access be provided to outdoor and indoor living areas.	Reject.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.405	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ		Supports providing for access to active and public transport activity options, discouraging carparking at ground level and the quality design outcomes.	Retain the City Centre Zone chapter as notified.	Accept.	No.
Parliamentary Service	375.11	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ		Submitter notes that in the City Centre Zone, the provisions of the Proposed Plan need to recognise the unique role that the Parliamentary Precinct plays in NZ and that the planning framework provides for the safe, effective and efficient functioning of parliament.	Not specified.	Accept.	No.
Parliamentary Service	375.12	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ		As it is currently drafted, the permitted activities in the City Centre Zone do not provide for Parliamentary activities, and therefore resource consent would be required for such activities under CCZ-R16. The Parliamentary Service does not anticipate this to be the intended outcome of this chapter, and suggests that CCZP1 includes a specific reference to Parliamentary activities, and a permitted activity rule is introduced for Parliamentary activities.	Not specified.	Accept.	Yes
Jane Szentivanyi	376.5	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose in part	Considers that, as currently drafted, the current provisions of the District Plan will result in significant adverse effects on Moir Street properties which cannot be mitigated through design. Negative effects would include public and private amenity, reverse sensitivity effects, including along the boundary with adjoining residentially zoned areas, and impacts on character and heritage.	Not specified.	Reject.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	388.19	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ		The Introduction to the CCZ chapter is partially supported and an amendment is sought.	Retain the Introduction of the City Centre Zone chapter, with amendment.	Reject.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	388.20	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the Introduction to the CCZ chapter should be amended to clarify the scarcity of available public open space in the City Centre Zone, and how this shortfall is going to be corrected through the Plan and other methods available to the Council. It is noted that provisions that would provide additional public spaces are lacking. A statement recognising the need for additional public spaces in areas that currently fall short of existing needs should be added in the Introduction. The wording should also explain how the shortfall is going to be made up before further residential development is provided for.	Amend the Introduction of the City Centre Zone chapter to state the need for more available public open space in the City Centre Zone and how this shortfall is going to be corrected through the Plan and other methods available to the Council.	Reject.	No.
Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika	389.97	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that Taranaki Whānui should be engaged as partners in any development as a matter of first priority, as Taranaki Whānui are the hold ahi kā in regard to the city centre zone and development at Te Ngakau Civic Centre.	Seek to amend in chapter, to include <u>Taranaki Whānui hold ahi kā and primary mana whenua status</u> <u>In the City Centre Zone.</u>	Reject.	No.
Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira	FS138.55	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	[see original submission] The submister seeks amendments throughout the plan seeking Taranaki Whānui to hold ahi kā and primary mana whenua status throughout Te Whanganui a Tara rohe. Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira understand and acknowledge that Taranaki Whānui have a physical presence within Te Whanganui a Tara. However, if this was implemented in the plan this would mean that their ahi kā would extend across the entire extent of the Wellington City Council boundary. Ngāti Toa Rangatira do have a physical presence in Te Whanganui a Tara and sites of significance which are listed in the plan. This means that Ngāti Toa Rangatira still need to be engaged with in terms of resource management and resource consents.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.688	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ		The use of standards to manage potential adverse effects across the PDP is generally supported, but changes are sought.	Retain the City Centre Zone chapter with amendment.	Reject.	No.
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.689	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that CCZ height controls should be amended to ensure the NPSUD and the Housing Supply Act are effectively and efficiently implemented, that intensification is enabled in areas of high accessibility to commercial amenity, community services and public transport, and that height controls enable a transition of height and density within the urban built form from higher heights and densities in centres. There may be a number of other consequential changes needed to standards to give effect to these height adjustments as noted in this submission such as increasing height in associated wind and daylight standards. These changes should be proportionate to the changes in building height sought to address any transition issues between zones and provide for increased levels of intensification.	Amend the City Centre Zone chapter to add a height control of: I. 43m within a 400m walkable catchment of a City Centre Zone II. 36m within a 400-1500m walkable catchment of a City Centre Zone.	Reject.	No.
Ann Mallinson	FS3.33	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Proposed increases in height controls within walkable catchments of the city centre zone (43m within a 400m walkable catchment of the CCZ and 36m within a 400–1500m walkable catchment of the CCZ) go well beyond the requirements of NPS-UD and are inappropriate in light of amenity values of the surrounding inner city suburbs.	Disallow	Accept.	No.

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Oriental Bay Residents Association	FS13.8	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Proposed increases in height controls within walkable catchments of the city centre zone goes well beyond the requirements of NPS-UD and are inappropriate in light of amenity and other values of the surrounding inner city suburbs.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Ruapapa Limited	FS18.10	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Proposed increases in height controls within walkable catchments of the city centre zone go well beyond the requirements of NPS-UD and are inappropriate in light of amenity and other values of the surrounding inner city suburbs.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Scott Galloway and Carolyn McLean	FS19.8	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Proposed increases in height controls within walkable catchments of the city centre zone (43m within a 400m walkable catchment of the CCZ and 36m within a 400–1500m walkable catchment of the CCZ) go well beyond the requirements of NPS-UD and are inappropriate in light of amenity values of the surrounding inner city suburbs.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Jenny Gyles	FS53.8	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Considers that the proposed increases in height controls within walkable catchments of the city centre zone goes well beyond the requirements of NPS-UD and are inappropriate in light of amenity and other values of the surrounding inner city suburbs.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Helen Foot	FS62.35	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Proposed increases in height controls within walkable catchments of the city centre zone goes well beyond the requirements of NPS-UD and are inappropriate in light of exposed weather conditions in Oriental Bay.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust		Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Considers the increases in height controls within walkable catchments of the city centre zone goes well beyond requirements of NPS-UD and are inappropriate in light of amenity values of the surrounding inner city suburbs.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS84.49	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Greater Wellington oppose enabling further intensified development unless there are the necessary controls to manage potential effects of water bodies and freshwater ecosystems to give effect to the NPS-FM and have regard to Proposed RPS Change 1. Greater Wellington also consider that any further intensification will not be feasible unless there is investment in associated infrastructure.	Disallow / Seeks that additional provisions are included to give effect to the NPS-FM and have regard to proposed RPS change 1 to manage the effects of urban development on freshwater.	Accept.	No.
LIVE WELLington	FS96.38	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	We oppose the submission to introduce new height limits around the CCZ. These are not required and will have significant impact on residential areas.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Roland Sapsford	FS117.37	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Roland Sapsford opposes the submission to introduce new height limits around the CCZ. These are not required and will have significant impact on residential areas.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.69		Support in part	Generally supports the introduction and application of a City Centre Zone in the Proposed District Plan. Considers that an amendment is needed to delete Comprehensive development from the Introduction as there are no rules to implement this approach.	Retain introduction of the City Centre Zone as notified and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.691	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Generally supports the introduction and application of a City Centre Zone in the Proposed District Plan. Considers that an amendment is needed to delete Comprehensive development from the Introduction as there are no rules to implement this approach.	Amend the eighth paragraph of the introduction of the City Centre Zone as follows: In locations where rapid transit investment has been signalled measures have been included to enable opportunities for more intensive, comprehensive development to occur, particularly in areas within a walkable distance of planned rapid transit stops.	Reject.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.43	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose in part	Opposes the Proposed Plan in part.	Seeks amendment to the City Centre Zone to enable well-functioning urban environments in the City Centre zone.	Reject.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.44	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	Supports the recognition of the City Centre as the primary centre serving the wider Wellington region. Supports the creation of well-functioning urban environments, which is consistent with the NPS-UD.	Retain CCZ (City Centre Zone) - Introduction as notified.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.77	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	Submission point 404.44 supports FSNI submission points 476.96 - 476.99	Allow	Accept.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.45	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the 90m Height Control Overlay should extend over 141 The Terrace, 294 and 298 Lambton Quay so it is contiguous with the height control applying to 312 Lambton Quay and other sites to the south.	Amend 90m Height Control Overlay to extend over 141 The Terrace, 294 and 298 Lambton Quay. [Refer to original submission for maps of the submitter's properties under the Proposed District Plan].	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 10 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Guy Marriage	407.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that if Te Aro is to flourish, and not to become a slum, then the WCC need to work far harder to create good quality meaningful living conditions for Te Aro residents. One of the key aspects of this will be the adoption of equally good measures for access to sunlight and daylight to the residents of the far more dense streets of Te Aro and the rest of Central. [See original submission for full reason]	Seeks the addition of the set-back provisions from the Draft District Plan (required developments on narrow streeets to have step back as the yrose higher, so as to stop the obliteration of daylight and sunlight to the residents on lower levels).	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.160	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CC7	Support	Considers that set backs in narrow streets will enhance liveability and wellbeing for residents in high density residential areas and is a longstanding technique for achieving good quality density.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Guy Marriage	407.5		Amend	Considers that the increases in height limits in the CCZ leaves all existing home owners in buildings 6 9 storeys tall now facing the prospect of being surrounded by towers 14-20 storeys tall, casting long deep shadows for entire blocks southwards. The effect on the existing buildings will be massive, severe, and will have a catastrophic effect on property values. The strategy of creating Te Aro as an area suitable for the continued clustering of tall towers is badly flawed. Geologically the Te Aro Basin is criscrossed with the remains of several small creeks, leading down to what was formerly a swamp filled with eels, and a channel from Newtown, through the Basin Reserve, down to the sea near the present Waitangi Park. [See original submission for full reason]	Seeks that building heights in Te Aro are restricted to 5 - 6 storeys, with the occasional 9 storey towers. [Inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.162	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone /	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to further submission]	Allow	Reject.	No.
Guy Marriage	407.6	General CCZ Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the extension of the CCZ down Adelaide Road is flawed, as this is the lowers point on the path from Newtown to the Basis and is also the former boggy route of a wetland stream, so will be unsuitable for the creation of high rises.	Seeks that the City Centre Zone chapter is not extended along Adelaide Road.	Reject.	No.
Guy Marriage	407.7	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers the addition of a setbackstandard important to ensure daylight into living spaces. Considers that the Sydney Design is a appropriate method. [See original submission for full reason]	Seeks the addition of a setback standard to narrow streets in the City Centre Zone. [Inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.161	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	Considers that set backs in narrow streets will enhance liveability and wellbeing for residents in high density residential areas and is a longstanding technique for achieving good quality density.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.138		Oppose	Submitter is seeking fewer prescriptive standards. Submitter considers that Wellington needs to ensure that we are not unnecessarily preventing innovation by prescribing housing standards, such as minimum unit sizes and outdoor living space requirements (in particular, within the City Centre Zone). While the standards currently drafted will be appropriate for many uses, they may not suit everyone and they do not respond to emerging trends in apartment design. The standards also risk stifling affordable housing within the City Centre Zone by preventing more affordable building typologies.	Seeks to remove the extent of prescriptive standards, such as minimum unit sizes and outdoor living spaces (in particular, within the City Centre Zone). [inferred decision requested].	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.139	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Submitter notes the effect that the more permissive medium density residential standards will have on other zones. The zones supporting higher density development have more restrictive standards than in the MRZ, creating a risk that new development is concentrated in the more permissive MRZ at the exclusion of denser zones where Council wishes to encourage greater development. The PDP should ensure that the restrictions within denser zones are not substantially more restrictive than within the MRZ. [Refer to original submission for full reason].	Seeks that Council consider the relationship between the Medium Density Residential Zone and denser zones (i.e. the High Density Residential Zone, Large Lot Residential Zone, Neighbourhood Centre Zone, Local Centre one, Commercial Zone, Mixed Use Zone, Metropolitan Centre Zone and City Centre Zone) to ensure development is not unduly restricted in denser zones by greater restrictions and Council discretion.	Reject.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.56	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support in part		Seeks that the City Centre Zone chapter is retained as notified, with amendments.	Reject.	No.
Chrissie Potter	446.3		Support in part		Seeks that good quality intensification of the City Centre Zone should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City.	Reject.	No.

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Chrissie Potter	446.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the current provisions of the PDP, in particular standards CCZ-S1 (Maximum height), CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height), and CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) will result in significant adverse effects on Moir Street properties which cannot be mitigated through design. Considers that Moir Street is recognised as one of the key coherent character and heritage areas of	Not specified.	Reject.	No.
				Mt Victoria. Considers that as a designated heritage area, it should have even more importance placed on mitigating the impacts of development from adjoining sites. It is unique in the PDP as being the only location in all of Wellington that is MRZ, a character precinct, heritage area, and adjacent to the CCZ. Therefore the specific changes requested in relation to avoiding significant adverse impacts on Moir Street do not have wider ramifications for the Council's intensification plans. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Dorothy Thompson	449.3	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ		Supports the intent of the PDP to enable good quality intensification of the CCZ but this should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City.	Seeks that good quality intensification of the City Centre Zone should be undertaken in a way that also maintains the character, amenity, and heritage of the City.	Reject.	No.
Dorothy Thompson	449.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that density done well should be the bottom line, not density at all costs. Considers that the current provisions of the PDP, in particular standards CCZ-S1 (Maximum height), CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height), and CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) will result in significant adverse effects on Moir Street properties which cannot be mitigated through design. Considers that Moir Street is recognised as one of the key coherent character and heritage areas of Mt Victoria.	Not specified.	Reject.	No.
				Considers that as a designated heritage area, it should have even more importance placed on mitigating the impacts of development from adjoining sites. It is unique in the PDP as being the only location in all of Wellington that is MRZ, a character precinct, heritage area, and adjacent to the CCZ. Therefore the specific changes requested in relation to avoiding significant adverse impacts on Moir Street do not have wider ramifications for the Council's intensification plans. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
David Lee	454.6	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Opposes City Centre Zoning for the Western edge of Mt Victoria.	Seeks to rezone Western edge of Mt Victoria from being City Centre Zone.	Reject.	No.
Catherine Penetito	474.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ		Considers that Pukeahu National War Memorial Park should have sunlight protection for the whole area if it is to be maintained and enhanced. The Hall of Memories, the Carillon and the old museum building are too important to be overshadowed by residential or other buildings.	Seeks that the building height zones adjacent to Item 40 (National War Memorial and Carillon) in SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings is reconsidered to ensure it is not overshadowed.	Reject.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.18	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	Wellington Civic Trust supports these submissions, which are in accordance with its submission seeking more open space and sunlight protection for open space in Central Wellington.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Catherine Penetito	474.5	Commercial and mixed		Considers that Pukeahu National War Memorial Park should have sunlight protection for the whole area if it is to be maintained and enhanced. The Hall of Memories, the Carillon and the old museum building are too important to be overshadowed by residential or other buildings.	Seeks that the building height zones adjacent to Item 41 (National/Dominion Museum and National Art Gallery (former)) in SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings is reconsidered to ensure it is not overshadowed.	Reject.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.19	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	Wellington Civic Trust supports these submissions, which are in accordance with its submission seeking more open space and sunlight protection for open space in Central Wellington.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Catherine Penetito	474.6	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Not specified	Considers that Pukeahu National War Memorial Park should have sunlight protection for the whole area if it is to be maintained and enhanced.	Seeks that the building height zones adjacent to Item 42 (Home of Compassion Crèche (former)) in SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings is reconsidered to ensure it is not overshadowed.	Reject.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.20	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	Wellington Civic Trust supports these submissions, which are in accordance with its submission seeking more open space and sunlight protection for open space in Central Wellington.	Allow	Reject.	No.

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Catherine Penetito	474.7	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Not specified	Considers that Pukeahu National War Memorial Park should have sunlight protection for the whole area if it is to be maintained and enhanced.	Seeks that the building height zones adjacent to Item 424 (Army Headquarters (former)) in SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings is reconsidered to ensure it is not overshadowed.	Reject.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.21	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	Wellington Civic Trust supports these submissions, which are in accordance with its submission seeking more open space and sunlight protection for open space in Central Wellington.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	476.96	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	Supports the City Centre Zoning of New World Railway Metro (2 Bunny Street, Pipitea).	Retain City Centre Zoning of New World Railway Metro (2 Bunny Street, Pipitea) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	476.97	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	Supports the City Centre Zoning of New World Willis Street Metro (70 Willis Street, Wellington Central).	Retain City Centre Zoning of New World Willis Street Metro (70 Willis Street, Wellington Central) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	476.98	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	Supports the City Centre Zoning of New World Wellington City (279 Wakefield Street, Te Aro).	Retain City Centre Zoning New World Wellington City (279 Wakefield Street, Te Aro) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	476.99	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	Supports the City Centre Zoning of New World Thorndon (150 Molesworth Street).	Retain City Centre Zoning of New World Thorndon (150 Molesworth Street) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Living Streets Aotearoa	482.59	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that tall buildings around spaces are creating shade and wind problems. [Refer to original submission for full detail].	Seeks that in any future developments, the effect on adjacent public spaces is addressed.	Accept in part.	No.
Thorndon Residents' Association Inc	FS69.100	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support	Provision of medium density housing zones Provision of Character Precincts. Tall buildings create wind and shade problems	Allow	Reject.	No.
Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	488.79	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Support in part	Supports mention of active engagement with mana whenua in the development of the Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct in the chapter introduction.	Retain introduction of the City Centre zone with amendment.	Accept.	Yes
Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	488.8	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Amend	Considers that the introduction to the chapter statement could be improved by requiring partnership with mana whenua in the development of the Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct.	Amend the introduction of the City Centre zone to require partnership with mana whenua in the development of the Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct.	Accept.	Yes
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	70.35	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ- PREC01	Support	Supports in its entirety CCZ-PREC01 (Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct and considers that they adequately address the unique range of factors, including heritage, which need to be considered in any (re)development of this area.	Retain CCZ-PREC01 in its entirety as notified.	Accept.	Yes
Onslow Historical Society	FS6.25	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ-PREC01	Support	OHS supports robust provisions for protecting historic heritage from inappropriate activities.	Allow	Accept.	Yes
Historic Places Wellington Inc	FS111.24	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ-PREC01	Support	HPW supports robust provisions for protecting historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision or development in accordance with s.6 of the RMA.	Allow	Accept.	Yes
Wellington Civic Trust	388.21	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ- PREC01	Support in part	The recognition of Te Ngåkau Civic Square Precinct within the Central City Zone (CCZ-PRECO1), and the specific introductory statement and aims that apply to the Precinct are supported. However, an amendment to the wording is sought.	Retain CCZ-PREC01 (Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct) with amendment.	Reject.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	388.22	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ- PREC01	Amend	Considers that wording in CCZ-PREC01 should be clarified. Wording in the introductory sentence portrays the precinct as redevelopment area. The findings of a seminar run by the Civic Trust in 2021 were that people seek to retain as much as possible of the existing buildings, structures and spaces for reuse, rather than demolition and replacement buildings. It is sought that this is reflected in the introductory statement.	Amend wording in CCZ-PREC01 (Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct) as follows: The purpose of the Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct is to provide for civic activities, functions, <u>public</u> <u>use and</u> areas of open space and redevelopment . Any future change in the precinct must ensure that development of change while ensuring that any future development respects the special qualities of the area, including the concentration of listed heritage buildings.	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	F\$12.1	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ-PREC01	Oppose	Willis Bond and Company Limited consider references to new development and redevelopment within Te Ngåkau in CCZ-PRECO1 should be retained as they are relevant to certain parts of Te Ngåkau Such as the Michael Fowler carpark site and they reflect Te Ngåkau Civic Precinct Framework adopted by Council.	Disallow	Accept.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 13 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Willis Bond and Company Limited	FS12.2	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- PREC01-P2	Oppose	Willis Bond and Company Limited consider references to new development and redevelopment within Te Ngākau in CCZ-PREC01-P2 should be retained as they are relevant to certain parts of Te Ngākau such as the Michael Fowler carpark site and they reflect Te Ngākau Civic Precinct Framework adopted by Council.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.165	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ-PREC01	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to further submission]	Allow	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.140	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ- PREC01		Submitter agrees that the Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct (TNCSP) needs to be a vibrant and welcoming space. It also needs to be able to adapt in years to come to Wellington's changing needs. Given the intention to demolish CAB (and possibly MOB) is it appropriate to refer to them in this Policy?	Amend CCZ-PRECO1 (Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct) as follows: CCZ-PRECO1ITe Ngākau Civic Square Precinct The Precinct is Wellington's unique civic place. It is located in the heart of the City Centre and is a destination in itself. It is also an anchor point and gateway that connects the city centre's entertainment area, the waterfront and the Central Business District. Wellington's major civic and entertainment venues are located within the precinct, including the Wellington Town Hall, City Gallery Wellington (Te Wharper Toi), Wellington City Library (Te Matapihi), Michael Fowler Centre, Civic Administration Building, Municipal Office Building, and Capital E	Accept.	Yes
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.22	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ-PREC01	Oppose	The two buildings that are sought to be removed from mention in the introduction to the Te Ngākau Precinct are part of the existing precinct. The Wellington Civic Trust opposes their removal from the description. It is inappropriate to presume that they do not form part of the existing character and quality of the Precinct.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Paul Burnaby	44.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / New CCZ	Amend	Considers that the Draft provision CCZ-R21 (Comprehensive development of land 2000sqm in area or greater) should be 'reinstated' in the now notified City Centre Zone provisions.	Seeks that Draft District Plan CCZ-R21 is reinstated.	Reject.	No.
Jill Wilson	218.3	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / New CCZ	Amend	Considers that the minimum requirements for apartments in new apartment buildings may not suffice for emergency supplies storage. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Seeks amendment to require new apartment buildings to incorporate adequate storage of emergency supplies for residents.	Reject.	No.
Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of	240.56	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre	Amend	Considers that should Council see it as being absolutely necessary to implement the separate definition of "supported residential care activity", then Ara Poutama requests that the enabled	Amend the land use activity rule framework for the City Centre Zone to include a new permitted activity rule applying to "supported residential care activities" as follows, if the definition of	Accept in part.	No.
Wellington Branch NZIA	301.10		Amend	Considers that there should be a set-back standard for narrow streets and lanes to ensure daylight to living spaces in the CCZ. The Sydney Design Standard is considered an appropriate method to use.	Seeks a setback standard is added in the City Centre Zone.	Reject.	No.
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.286	use Zones / City Centre Zone / New CCZ	Amend	Considers policy support for retirement villages in the Metropolitan Centre Zone is required.	Add the following new policies in the City Centre Zone chapter and amend current objectives and policies for consistency: Provision of housing for an ageing population 1. Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in fadd J zone, such as retirement villages. 2. Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they: a. May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services. b. Have unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age. Changing communities To provide for the diverse and changing residential needs of communities, recognise that the existing character and amenity of the ladd zone will change over time to enable a variety of housing types with a mix of densities. Larger sites Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the laddl zone by providing for more efficient use of those sites.	Accept in part.	Yes
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.287	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / New CCZ	Amend	Considers that as currently drafted retirement villages would be a permitted or discretionary activity under the 'residential activities' rule of the City Centre Zone (CCZ—R12). Considers that the City Centre Zone should have a retirement village specific rule that provides for retirement villages as a permitted activity (with the construction of the retirement villages leng a restricted discretionary activity under CCZ-R20), recognising that retirement villages provide substantial benefit by way of enabling older people to remain in familiar community environments for longer (close to family and support networks), whilst also freeing up a number of dwellings located in surrounding suburbs.	Add the following "retirement villages" rule into the City Centre Zone chapter: CCZ-RX Retirement villages 1. Activity status: Permitted	Accept in part.	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Parliamentary Service	375.13	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / New CCZ	Amend	Considers that a new Precinct should be recognised in the CCZ chapter. The Parliamentary Precinct and the activities that occur on that Precinct should be recognised in at least as enabling a way as the Te Ngåkau Civic Square Precinct has been in the City Centre Zone. The Parliamentary Precinct is distinct from any other area in the Wellington district, and the district plan provisions should ensure that the uniqueness is acknowledged, as well as ensuring that the Precinct can continue to be used for its Parliamentary purpose. It is submitted that the Parliamentary Precinct is of at least as much significance as the Te Ngåkau Civic Square Precinct, and should therefore be recognised in the planning provisions in a similar way. It is essential that the Parliamentary Precinct can continue to be fit for its Parliamentary purpose. The planning framework should therefore enable the use of the land to continue to be able to respond to the changing needs of Parliament. These Parliamentary Precinct provisions could be analogous to: Objectives: CCZ-PRECO1-R, CCZ-PRECO2, CCZ-PRECO3 - Policies: CCZ-PRECO1-R1, CCZ-PRECO1-P2, CCZ-PRECO1-P3, CCZ-PRECO1-P4 - Rules: CCZ-PRECO1-R1, CCZ-PRECO1-R2, CCZ-PRECO1-R3, CCZ-PRECO1-R4, CCZ-PRECO1-R5, CCZ-PRECO1-R5, CCZ-PRECO1-R6, CCZ-PRECO1-R7, and CCZ-PRECO1-R7 - Standard: CCZ-PRECO1-R7, and CCZ-PRECO1-R7	Seeks that the Parliamentary Precinct be recognised in planning provisions in a similar way to the Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct. These Parliamentary Precinct provisions could be analogous to: - Objectives: CCZ-PREC-01, CCZ-PREC-02, CCZ-PREC-03 - Policies: CCZ-PREC01-P1, CCZ-PREC01-P2, CCZ-PREC01-P3, CCZ-PREC01-P4 - Rules: CCZ-PREC01-R1, CCZ-PREC01-R2, CCZ-PREC01- R3, CCZ-PREC01-R4, CCZ-PREC01-R5,	Accept in part.	Yes
Parliamentary Service	375.14	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / New CCZ	Amend	Considers that CCZ rules need to be clarified to make clear that Parliamentary activities are permitted in the City Centre Zone – because such activities do not clearly fall within any of the activities listed at CCZ-P1. It is submitted that a new permitted activity rule is therefore required to ensure that parliamentary activities are provided for in this zone.	Add new Rule in the City Centre Zone chapter as follows: CCC-R13 Parliamentary activities 1. Permitted	Accept in part.	Yes
Wellington Civic Trust		use Zones / City Centre Zone / New CCZ		Considers that the City Centre Zone chapter should have an additional Rule immediately before or after CCZ-PRECO1-R7. Demolition of buildings should be made a separate category of activity within the Te Ngäkau Civic Square Precinct, separate from the City Centre applicable rule. All demolitions relating to the Precinct should be carefully considered and publicly notified. At present it appears that there is no ability for the acceptability of demolition of an existing building or structure to be considered as a separate matter from the development of a consented new building or creation of public space. It is noted that the current rule for demolition of buildings does not refer to the rule by which a new building in the Precinct may seek consent, CCZR18, which may be a lacuna in the plan or a deliberate omission.	Add new Rule in the City Centre Zone chapter as follows: CCZPRE-RXX: Demolition or removal of buildings and structures in the Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The demolition or removal of a building is required to avoid an imminent threat to life and/or property. 2. Activity status: Discretionary Where the demolition or removal of a building or structure; I. Enables the creation of public space; or II. Is required for the purposes of constructing a new building or adding to or altering an existing building. 3. Activity status: Non-complying Where: a. Compliance with any of the requirements of CCZPRE-RXX1 or 2 cannot be achieved. Notification status: An application for resource consent made in respect of rule CCZ-PRE-RXX 2. or 3. must be publicly notified.	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.166	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / New CCZ	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to further submission]	Allow	Reject.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	388.24	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / New CCZ	Amend	Considers that the City Centre Zone chapter should have an additional Rule for the Precinct that relates to the modification of existing open space or the development of new open space. for the An additional rule similar to that in the Waterfront Zone is sought.	Add new Rule in the City Centre Zone chapter as follows: CCZPRE-RXXX: Development of new public space, or modification of existing public open space in the Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct 1. Activity status: Discretionary The assessment of the activity must have regard to the Principles and Outcomes in the Wellington City Council Design Guides Introduction [2022]. Notification status: An application for resource consent made in respect of rule CCZ-PRE-RXXX must be publicly notified.	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.167	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / New CCZ	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to further submission]	Allow	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 15 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.129	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / New CCZ	Amend	Considers that building setbacks are essential to address significant safety hazards associated with the operational rail corridor. Parts of the KiwiRail network adjoin commercial and mixed use zones that do not currently include provision for boundary setbacks for buildings and structures. KiwiRail seek a boundary setback of 5m from the rail corridor for all buildings and structures, and	Add new standard as follows: <u>CCZ-SX:</u> <u>Boundary setbacks</u> <u>Buildings or structures must not be located within a 5m setback from a rail corridor boundary.</u>	Accept in part.	Yes
				that the rail corridor be recognised as a qualifying matter in relevant non-residential zones in accordance with section 77(1)(o) of the RMA. Consistent with the amendment requested for the assessment criteria in the residential zones,	AND seeks that as applicable, the following matter of discretion be inserted: Matters of discretion: (X) The location and design of the building as it relates to the ability to safely use, access and		
				KiwiRail considers that a matter of discretion directing consideration of impacts on the safety and efficiency of the rail corridor is appropriate in situations where the 5m setback standard is not complied with in all zones adjacent to the railway corridor.	maintain buildings without requiring access on, above or over the rail corridor.		
Käinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.40	and Mixed Use Zones / City Centre Zone / New CCZ	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes the relief sought; a considerably reduced set back would provide adequate space for maintenance activities within sites adjacent to the rail network. In doing so, it will continue to protect the safe, efficient, and effective operation of the rail infrastructure while balancing the cost on landowners.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.141	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / New CCZ	Amend	Submitter considers that the activities that are permitted overlook Educational Facilities. Submitter considers that generally, the activities considered in this section are very narrow – for example, childcare activities are not permitted, which is a current activity within Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct.	Seeks that at minimum, add a new rule as CCZ-PREC01-R7 for Educational Facilities as a Permitted activity status and re-number CCZ-PREC01-R7 (all other land use activities) (currently CCZ-PREC01-R7) to CCZ-PREC01-R8.	Accept.	yes
	481.32	use Zones / City Centre Zone / New CCZ		Considers that all new buildings in the inner city should have a minimum set back of at least 1.5 (2m is better) to give room for a green corridor. Side yards are a good place for rubbish bins, compost bins or sheds to store bikes and other toys. A good example of why larger set backs are needed in The Paddington on Taranaki Street, which was meantto have several street trees lining the pavement and softening the development, as part of the consent but ended up with no trees due to underground services like pipes, telecommunications, electricity and sewerage. If there had been a setback, a green front would have been possible. The residents of The Paddington and Wellington are the poorer because of this.	Add a new Standard in the City Centre Zone chapter setting boundary setbacks of at least 1.5m for all new buildings. [Inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.26	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O1	Support	No specific reason provided.	Retain CCZ-01 (Purpose) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.173	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O1	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-01 (Purpose) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.96	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O1	Support	CCZ-O1 is supported as it recognises that the City Centre (CC) is the primary commercial and employment centre serving Wellington and the wider region and seeks to provide a well-functioning urban environment through the provision of range and access to, a range of residential, commercial and community activities.	Retain Objective CCZ-O1 (Purpose) a notified.	Accept.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.92	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O1	Support	Supports the objectives of the City Centre zone to the extent that they give effect to the NPS-UD and reflect the importance of the city centre	Retain CCZ-O1 (Purpose) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.692	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O1	Support	Supports CCZ-01.	Retain CCZ-O1 (Purpose) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.46	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O1	Support	Supports the City Centre Zone objectives to the extent that they give effect to the NPS-UD and reflect the importance of the city centre.	Retain CCZ-O1 (Purpose) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Paul Burnaby	44.5	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O2	Support	With the confirmation of the provisions supports and the requested changes d, the District Plan provisions will more closely align with and implement the directive policies under the NNPS-UD, in particular Policy 3(a) which requires that district plans enable "in city centre zones, building heights and density of urban form to realise as much development capacity as possible, to maximise benefits of intensification"	Retain CCZ-O2 (Accommodating growth) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.27	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O2	Support	No specific reason provided.	Retain CCZ-O2 (Accommodating growth) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.308	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O2	Support	Supports the objective a the zone has sufficient serviced development capacity meet its short, medium and long term residential and business growth needs.	Retain CCZ-O2 (Accommodating growth) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.174	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O2	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-O2 (Accommodating growth) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.97		Support	CCZ-O2 is supported as it recognises that the City Centre (CC) is the primary commercial and employment centre serving Wellington and the wider region and seeks to provide a well-functioning urban environment through the provision of range and access to,	Retain Objective CCZ-O2 (Accommodating growth) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.93	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O2	Support	Supports the objectives of the City Centre zone to the extent that they give effect to the NPS-UD and reflect the importance of the city centre	Retain CCZ-O2 (Accommodating growth) as notified.	Accept.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 16 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Kāinga Ora Homes and	391.693		Support in	Supports CCZ-O2, but considers amendment is required that clarifies that the Central City Zone	Retain CCZ-O1 (Accommodating growth) and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Communities		use Zones / City Centre	part	contains high density residential living rather than medium density housing.		,	1100
		Zone / CCZ-O2					
āinga Ora Homes and	391.694		Amend	Supports CCZ-O2, but considers amendment is required that clarifies that the Central City Zone	Amend CCZ-O1 (Accommodating growth) as follows:	Accept in part.	Yes
Communities		use Zones / City Centre		contains high density residential living rather than medium density housing	The City Centre Zone plays a significant role in accommodating residential, business and supporting		
		Zone / CCZ-O2			community service growth, and has sufficient serviced development capacity to meet its short,		
					medium and long term residential and business growth needs, including:		
					1. A choice of building type, size, affordability and distribution, including forms of medium and high-		
					density residential living housing;		
Ministry of Education	400.142	Commercial and mixed	Support in	Supports CCZ-O2 in part.	Retain CCZ-O2 (Accommodating growth) with amendment.	Accept.	Yes
		use Zones / City Centre	part				
		Zone / CCZ-O2	p =				
Ministry of Education	400.143		Amend	Seeks CCZ-O2 be amended to explicitly recognise and provide for educational activities to in the	Amend CCZ-O2 (Accommodating growth) as follows:	Accept.	Yes
minotify of Education	100.115	use Zones / City Centre	runcha	CCZ.	Finelia CCL OL (Ficcommodating growth) as follows:	Лосери	163
		Zone / CCZ-O2		CCZ.	Accommodating growth		
		20110 / CC2-02			Accommodating growth		
					L		
					The City Centre Zone plays a significant role in accommodating residential, business and supporting		
					community service growth, and has sufficient serviced development capacity and additional		
		1			infrastructure to meet its short, medium and long term residential and business growth needs,	1	
		1			including:		
		1					
		1			4. Convenient access to a range of open space, including green space, and supporting commercial	1	
		1			activity, and community facility options and educational facilities.	1	
yster Management	404.47	Commercial and mixed	Support	Supports the City Centre Zone objectives to the extent that they give effect to the NPS-UD and	Retain CCZ-O2 (Accommodating growth) as notified.	Accept.	No.
imited		use Zones / City Centre		reflect the importance of the city centre.			
		Zone / CCZ-O2				1	
Villis Bond and	416.142		Support	Supports the direction of CCZ-02 to provide for a choice of building type, size, affordability and	Retain CCZ-O2 (Accommodating growth) as notified.	Accept.	No.
	410.142		Support		Retain CC2-O2 (Accommodating growth) as notined.	Ассерс.	NO.
ompany Limited		use Zones / City Centre		distribution, including forms of medium and high-density housing.			
		Zone / CCZ-O2					
aul Burnaby	44.6		Support	With the confirmation of the provisions supports and the requested changes d, the District Plan	Retain CCZ-O3 (Urban form and scale) as notified.	Accept.	No.
		use Zones / City Centre		provisions will more closely align with and implement the directive policies under the NNPS-UD, in			
		Zone / CCZ-O3		particular Policy 3(a) which requires that district plans enable "in city centre zones, building heights			
				and density of urban form to realise as much development capacity as possible, to maximise			
				benefits of intensification"			
Precinct Properties	139.28	Commercial and mixed	Support	No specific reason provided.	Retain CCZ-O3 (Urban form and scale) as notified.	Accept.	No.
New Zealand Limited		use Zones / City Centre					
		Zone / CCZ-O3					
Restaurant Brands	349.175		Support	Support	Retain CCZ-O3 (Urban Form and scale) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Limited	343.173	use Zones / City Centre	Support	зарроге	netalii eez os (orban i orm ana scale) as notinea.	Ассере.	140.
inniceu		Zone / CCZ-O3					
Francis United	361.98		C	CC7 O2 is supported as it was a line at the table City Country (CC) in the support of the country of the countr	Retain Objective CCZ-O3 (Urban Form and Scale) as notified.	A	No.
Energy Limited	361.98		Support	CCZ-O3 is supported as it recognises that the City Centre (CC) is the primary commercial and	Retain Objective CC2-O3 (Orban Form and Scale) as notified.	Accept.	NO.
		use Zones / City Centre		employment centre serving Wellington and the wider region and seeks to provide a well-functioning			
		Zone / CCZ-O3		urban environment through the provision of range and access to,			
Argosy Property No. 1	383.94		Support		Retain CCZ-O3 (Urban form and scale) as notified.	Accept.	No.
imited		use Zones / City Centre		reflect the importance of the city centre		1	
		Zone / CCZ-O3				<u> </u>	
āinga Ora Homes and	391.695	Commercial and mixed	Support	Supports CCZ-O3.	Retain CCZ-O3 (Urban form and scale) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Communities		use Zones / City Centre				l '	
		Zone / CCZ-O3				1	
Dyster Management	404.48	·	Support	Supports the City Centre Zone objectives to the extent that they give effect to the NPS-UD and	Retain CCZ-O3 (Urban form and scale) as notified.	Accept.	No.
imited.	704.40	use Zones / City Centre	Support	reflect the importance of the city centre.	netani CCE OO (OTDAN IOTHI ana scale) as nounieu.	лесере.	140.
mmed				renect the importance of the city tentre.		1	
Millia Danada a d	446.4.2	Zone / CCZ-O3	C	Comments the sealer and forms of developments with the sealer of the sea	Date in CC7 O2 (United forms and apple) and attitude	A	N-
Villis Bond and	416.143	Commercial and mixed	Support	Supports the scale and form of development within the city centre being the highest and most	Retain CCZ-O3 (Urban form and scale) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Company Limited		use Zones / City Centre		intensive form of development within the city.		1	
		Zone / CCZ-O3					
Restaurant Brands	349.176		Support	Support	Retain CCZ-O4 (Ahi Kā) as notified.	Accept.	No.
imited		use Zones / City Centre				1	
		Zone / CCZ-O4				<u> </u>	
Energy Limited	361.99	Commercial and mixed	Support	CCZ-O4 is supported as it recognises that the City Centre (CC) is the primary commercial and	Retain Objective CCZ-O4 (Ahi Kā) as notified.	Accept.	No.
		use Zones / City Centre		employment centre serving Wellington and the wider region and seeks to provide a well-functioning		l '	
		Zone / CCZ-O4		urban environment through the provision of range and access to,		1	
rgosy Property No. 1	383 Oc		Support		Retain CCZ-O4 (Ahi Ka) as notified.	Accept.	No.
irgosy Property No. 1	303.33		Support		netalli CCZ-O4 (Mili Na) as HULIIIEU.	Ассерт.	INU.
mirea		use Zones / City Centre		reflect the importance of the city centre			
		Zone / CCZ-O4					
aranaki Whānui ki te	389.98		Support in	Supports CCZ-O4 (Ahi Kā) in principle.	Retain CCZ-O4 (Ahi Kā) as notified.	Accept.	No.
poko o te Ika		use Zones / City Centre	part		[Inferred decision requested]	1	
		Zone / CCZ-O4	1	1	1		1

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 17 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities		Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O4	Support	Supports CCZ-O4.	Retain CCZ-O4 (Ahi Kā) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.49	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O4	Support	Supports the City Centre Zone objectives to the extent that they give effect to the NPS-UD and reflect the importance of the city centre.	Retain CCZ-O4 (Ahi Ka) as notified.	Accept.	No.
VicLabour	414.42	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O4	Support	Supportive of ahi ka provisions	Retain CCZ-04 (Ahi kā) as notified. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept.	No.
Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	488.81	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O4	Amend	Considers that the objective should require partnership with mana whenua rather than engagement.	Seeks that CCZ-O4 (Ahi Kā) be amended to provide for partnership with mana whenua in terms of development.	Reject.	No.
Paul Burnaby	44.7	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O5	Support	With the confirmation of the provisions supports and the requested changes, the District Plan provisions will more closely align with and implement the directive policies under the NNPS-UD, in particular Policy 3(a) which requires that district plans enable "in city centre zones, building heights and density of urban form to realise as much development capacity as possible, to maximise benefits of intensification"	Retain CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.309	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O5	Support	Supports the objective as it seeks to produce a resilient urban environment that effectively adapts and responds to natural hazard risks, such as fire.	Retain CCZ-05 (Amenity and design) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.177	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O5	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-05 (Amenity and design) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.100	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O5	Support	CCZ-O5 is supported as it recognises that the City Centre (CC) is the primary commercial and employment centre serving Wellington and the wider region and seeks to provide a well-functioning urban environment through the provision of range and access to,	Retain Objective CCZ-O5 (Amenity and Design) as notified.	Accept.	No.
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.476	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O5	Support	The submitter strongly support this objective due to its emphasis on the need for climate change resilience and green space within the CBD. It does this alongside successfully balancing the maintenance and improvement of Wellington's important modern and heritage cultural sites demonstrating that ensuring Wellington's long term resilience and sustainability need not involve any loss of what makes Wellington, Wellington.	Retain CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.29	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- O5	Support	This submission is in line with the general submission of Wellington Civic Trust (388.20) which seeks recognition of the need for more open space for a growing central city population.	Allow	Accept.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.96	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O5	Support	Supports the objectives of the City Centre zone to the extent that they give effect to the NPS-UD and reflect the importance of the city centre	Retain CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika	389.99	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O5		Supports CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design) in principle.	Retain CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design) as notified. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.697	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O5	Support in part	Supports CCZ-O5, but considers that an amendment is required to balances the need to contribute to the amenity of neighbouring residential areas while achieving anticipated built form in accordance with the NPS-UD.	Retain CCZ-05 (Amenity and design) and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.698	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O5	Amend	Supports CCZ-O5, but considers that an amendment is required to balances the need to contribute to the amenity of neighbouring residential areas while achieving anticipated built form in accordance with the NPS-UD.	Amend CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design) as follows: Development in the City Centre Zone positively contributes to creating a high quality, well-functioning urban environment, including: 4. Contributing to the general amenity of neighbouring residential areas while achieving the anticipated urban form of each zone:	Reject.	No.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS103.23	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-	Support	It is appropriate that the policy direction acknowledge the anticipated built form each zone and not unintentionally prevent that from being realised.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.50	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O5	Support	Supports the City Centre Zone objectives to the extent that they give effect to the NPS-UD and reflect the importance of the city centre. In particular, supports CCZ-O5's direction regarding producing a resilient urban environment that effectively adapts and responds to natural hazard risks and the effects of climate change.	Retain CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Wellington Heritage Professionals	412.80	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O5	Support	Supports this objective including 'Acknowledging and sensitively responding to adjoining heritage buildings, heritage areas and areas and sites of significance to Māori.'	Retain CCZ-05 (Amenity and Design) as notified.	Accept.	No.

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.144	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O5	Amend	Submitter considers that the requirement to acknowledge and respond to heritage buildings and areas should only apply where those heritage areas immediately adjoin the relevant development.	Amend CCZ-05 (Amenity and design) as follows: Development in the City Centre Zone positively contributes to creating a high quality, well-functioning urban environment, including: 7. Acknowledging and sensitively responding to immediately adjoining heritage buildings, heritage areas and areas and sites of significance to Māori.	Reject.	No.
Paul Burnaby	44.8	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O6	Support	With the confirmation of the provisions supports and the requested changes, the District Plan provisions will more closely align with and implement the directive policies under the NNPS-UD, in particular Policy 3(a) which requires that district plans enable "in city centre zones, building heights and density of urban form to realise as much development capacity as possible, to maximise benefits of intensification"	Retain CCZ-06 (Development near rapid transit) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.178	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O6	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-06 (Development near rapid transit) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.101	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O6	Support	CCZ-06 is supported as it recognises that the City Centre (CC) is the primary commercial and employment centre serving Wellington and the wider region and seeks to provide a well-functioning urban environment through the provision of range and access to,	Retain Objective CCZ-06 (Development near rapid transit) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.97	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O6	Support	Supports the objectives of the City Centre zone to the extent that they give effect to the NPS-UD and reflect the importance of the city centre	Retain CCZ-O6 (Development near rapid transit) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.699	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O6	Support	Supports CCZ-06	Retain CCZ-O6 (Development near rapid transit) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.51	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O6	Support	Supports the City Centre Zone objectives to the extent that they give effect to the NPS-UD and reflect the importance of the city centre.	Retain CCZ-06 (Development near rapid transit) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.179	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O7	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-07 (Managing adverse effects) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.102	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O7	Support	CCZ-O7 is supported as it recognises that the City Centre (CC) is the primary commercial and employment centre serving Wellington and the wider region and seeks to provide a well-functioning urban environment through the provision of range and access to,	Retain Objective CCZ-07 (Managing adverse effects) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.98	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O7	Support	Supports the objectives of the City Centre zone to the extent that they give effect to the NPS-UD and reflect the importance of the city centre	Retain CCZ-07 (Managing adverse effects) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika	389.100	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O7		Supports CCZ-07 (Managing adverse effects) in principle.	Retain CCZ-O7 (Managing adverse effects) as notified. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.700	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O7	Support in part	Supports CCZ-07, but considers that an amendment is required to recognise that adverse effects do not include significant changes to an area anticipated by the planned urban built form in accordance with the NPS-UD.	Retain CCZ-07 (Managing adverse effects) and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.701	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O7	Amend	Supports CCZ-O7, but considers that an amendment is required to recognise that adverse effects do not include significant changes to an area anticipated by the planned urban built form in accordance with the NPS-UD.	Amend CCZ-O7 (Managing adverse effects) as follows: Adverse effects of activities and development <u>beyond the planned urban built form anticipated</u> in the City Centre Zone are managed effectively both:	Reject.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.52	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O7	Support	Supports the City Centre Zone objectives to the extent that they give effect to the NPS-UD and reflect the importance of the city centre.	Retain CCZ-07 (Managing adverse effects) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.145	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-O7	Amend	Submitter considers that the reference to "interfaces" is too broad and could refer to an indeterminate area. Interface areas need to be properly identifiable.	Amend CCZ-07 (Managing adverse effects) as follows: Adverse effects of activities and development in the City Centre Zone are managed effectively both: 1. Within the City Centre Zone; and 2. At interfaces with Where such activities or development immediately adjoin:	Reject.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	388.25	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-O1	Support	CCZ-PREC01-O1 is generally supported.	Retain CCZ-PREC01-01 (Purpose) as notified.	Accept.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 19 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.146	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-01	Amend	Submitter considers that reference to Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct as being supported by a range of activities that "complement its primary civic function" may unintentionally and unnecessarily narrow the scope of activities in Te Ngakau. CCZ-PREC01 refers to the long-term vision for Te Ngakau as the "beating heart" of Wellington. The submitter considers that appropriate activities should be those that support this aspiration.	Amend CCZ-PREC01-O1 (Purpose) as follows: Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct is a vibrant, safe, resilient, connected and inclusive environment supported by a range of activities that complement its primary do not detract from its civic function. Or as follows: Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct is a vibrant, safe, resilient, connected and inclusive environment supported by a range of activities that complement its primary civic function help to create a vibrant and welcoming space.	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.150	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ@PREC01-01	Oppose	Considers the primary civic function of this precinct is important and ought to be recognised.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.23	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZPREC01-O1	Oppose	The civic function of the Te Ngākau Square Precinct is absolutely fundamental to its future. Otherwise it just becomes another part of the central city – the "beating heart" would not exist without these public functions and components.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
LIVE WELLington	FS96.46	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZPREC01- O1	Oppose	The primary civic function of this precinct is important and ought to be recognised.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.477	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- PREC01-02	Amend	The submitter generally supports the built form Objective for Te Ngåkau Civic Square Precinct. However, considers that the objective does not provide for a 'green environment' as described in the preamble introduction to the City Centre Zone chapter. The submitter suggests an additional requirement is added to provide for green space and encourage indigenous biodiversity where possible. The submitter believes Civic square could set the standard for how built form can be designed and managed to encourage and increase indigenous biodiversity for the rest of the city.	Amend CCZ-PREC01-02 (Built form) to add an additional Point 7, as follows: The scale, form and positioning of development within the Te Ngäkau Civic Square Precinct: 7. Provides for green spaces and encourages indigenous biodiversity where possible.	Accept.	Yes
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.30	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- PREC01-O2	Support	Wellington Civic Trust considers that the current Civic Square – a multi-purpose paved space – is essential to the area's future, just as it was until effectively under-maintained by the Council. The Civic Trust does however recognise that there may be a place for more planting and ecosystem reinstatement within the Precinct without losing what is already there.	Allow	Accept.	Yes
Wellington Civic Trust	388.26	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-O2	Support	CCZ-PREC01-02 is generally supported.	Retain CCZ-PREC01-O2 (Built form) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.147	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-O2	Amend	Submitter considers that item 3 of CCZ-PREC-O2: "Frames the square" implies that all development in the precinct is adjacent to the square.	Amend CCZ-PREC-O2 (Use and development of the Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct) as follows: Built form The scale, form and positioning of development within the Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct: 3. Frames the square where situated adjacent to the square;	Reject.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.24	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZPREC01-O2	Oppose	The Civic Trust does not agree with this submission. Buildings can still "frame the square" even when they are set back or behind another building (if they are taller).	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.148	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- PREC01-O2	Amend	Submitter considers that item 4 of CCZ-PREC-O2: "sunlight access within the precinct" should be focused on public spaces, rather than buildings.	Amend CCZ-PREC-O2 (Use and development of the Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct) as follows: Built form The scale, form and positioning of development within the Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct: 4. Ensures a high degree of sunlight access is achieved within the precinct Ensures a high degree of sunlight access is achieved within public spaces in the precinct;	Accepted in part	Yes
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.25	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZPREC01-O2	Oppose	The Civic Trust does not agree with this submission. Buildings can be part of the public realm – and in this situation the civic function would imply that they are likely to be. In such circumstances sunlight access within a building may be a desirable consideration.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.478	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-O3	Support	Considers that Wellington has long prided itself on its walkability, however as of current much of casual navigation around the city centre relies on a harmful culture of jaywalking. It is important that the council is recognising the importance of maintaining current pedestrian linkages whilst acknowledging the need for enhancement.	Retain CCZ-PREC01-O3 (Integration with the City Centre, Waterfront and wider transport network) as notified.	Accept.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 20 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington Civic Trust	388.27		Support	CCZ-PREC01-O3 is generally supported.	Retain CCZ-PREC01-O3 (Integration with the City Centre, Waterfront and wider transport network) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.149	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-O3	Support	Agrees that Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct is an important pedestrian thoroughfare and pedestrian linkages from surrounding streets and spaces should be retained and improved if possible.	Retain CCZ-PREC-O3 (Integration with the City Centre, Waterfront and wider transport network) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.29	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P1	Support	Supports the wide range of activities provided for under this policy.	Retain CCZ-P1 (Enabled activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	240.57	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P1		Considers that community corrections activities are essential social infrastructure and play a valuable role in reducing reoffending. They enable people and communities to provide for their social and cultural well-being and for their health and safety. It is important that provision is made to enable noncustodial community corrections sites to establish, operate and redevelop, within appropriate areas, as the demand for these services is likely to increase as a result of urban intensification. The permitted activity status (enabled by the associated policies) is appropriate in the context of the current and potential future establishment and operation of a community corrections facility or facilities within these areas in Wellington City.	Retain CCZ-P1.8 (Enabled activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	240.58	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P1	Support	Considers that the permitted activity status (enabled by the associated policies) is appropriate in the context of the establishment and operation of supported and transitional accommodation activities, such as those provided for by Ara Poutama; i.e. people living in a residential situation, who are subject to support and/or supervision by Ara Poutama.	Retain CCZ-P1.2 (Enabled activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	240.59	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P1		Considers that should Council see it as being absolutely necessary to implement the separate definition of "supported residential care activity", then Ara Poutama requests that the enabled activities policies and land use activity rules applying to supported and transitional accommodation activities in the Mixed Use, City Centre and Waterfront zones are amended. The zone frameworks would not otherwise enable supported residential care activities, and provides discretionary activity status for these activities in the zones, in accordance with the respective default "all other activities" rules (MUZ-R13, CCZ-R16 and WFZ-R11). Supported and transitional accommodation activities, such as those provided for by Ara Poutama, are an important component of the rehabilitation and reintegration process for people under Ara Poutama's supervision. They enable people and communities to provide for their social and cultural well-being and for their health and safety. The subject zones include suitable locations for supported and transitional accommodation activities; as they are close to civic amenities and services. This is apparent in that the zones provide for residential activities as permitted, including aligned activities such as visitor accommodation. Supported and transitional accommodation activities are a compatible and appropriate activity in the Mixed Use, City Centre and Waterfront zones. They are consistent with the character and amenity of such zones, and the effects of such can be managed through the imposition of a restriction on the maximum number of residents (10), as is the case in the residential zones.	Amend CCZ-P1 (Enabled activities) as follows, if the definition of "supported residential care activity" is retained: CCZ-P1 Enabled Activities Enable a range and diversity of activities that support the purpose and ongoing viability of the City Centre Zone and enhances its vibrancy and amenity, including: 1. Commercial activities; 2. Residential activities and supported residential care activities, except; a. Along any street subject to active frontage and/or veranda coverage requirements; b. On any site subject to an identified natural hazard risk;	Reject.	No.
Stratum Management Limited	249.22	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P1	Amend	Considers that this policy should include residential at ground level to match subsequent provisions, including for example CCZ-P6 (Adaptive use) and CCZ-R12 (Residential activities).	Amend CCZ-P1 (Enabled activities) as follows: 2. Residential activities, except: a. At ground level along any street b. At ground level on any site	Accept.	yes
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.310	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P1	Support	Supports the policy as it promotes the provision of emergency service facilities within the zone. Due to urban growth, population changes and commitments to response times, FENZ may need to locate stations anywhere within the urban and rural environment.	Retain CCZ-P1 (Enabled activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
McDonald's	274.56		Support in part	Generally supports the objectives and policies of the City Centre Zone and the enablement of commercial activities	Retain CCZ-P1 (Enabled activities), subject to amendments, as outlined other submission points.	Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.180		Support	Support	Retain CCZ-P1 (Enabled activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
! Energy Limited	361.103		Support	CCZ-P1 is supported as it enables a wide-range of activities including commercial activities (as defined).	Retain CCZ-P1 (Enabled activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Parliamentary Service	375.15		Support in part	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain CCZ-P1 (Enabled activities) with amendment	Accept.	yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Parliamentary Service	375.16	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P1	Amend	Considers that CCZ-P1 does not provide for the use of the Parliamentary Precinct for parliamentary purpose, as it is not clear which (if any) of the listed activities "parliamentary activities" would fall within. It is therefore submitted that this policy needs to specifically provide for parliamentary activities to occur within the City Centre Zone.	ongoing viability of the City Centre Zone and enhances its vibrancy and amenity, including:	Accept.	yes
				It is submitted that a corresponding permitted activity land use rule will also be required, otherwise such activities will be considered Discretionary under CC2-R16, which is unlikely to have been the intention.	1. Commercial activities; 11. Repair and maintenance service activities; and 12. Recreation activities; and 13. Parliamentary activities.		
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.99	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P1	Support	Generally supports the policies of the CCZ.	Retain CCZ-P1 (Enabled activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika	389.101	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P1	Support in part	Supports CCZ-P1 (Enabled activities) in principle.	Retain CCZ-P1 (Enabled activities) as notified. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept.	No.
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.702	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P1	Support in part	Supports CCZ-P1 but considers an amendment is required to recognise that residential activities are generally enabled. Considers that Policy CCZ-P2 provides the specifics about activities that should be restricted, noting that this is residential activities at ground floor in areas of identified natural hazard risk. Furthermore, Natural Hazard Area provisions control the location of hazard sensitive activities, such as residential units, within these areas (e.g. NH-R11).	Retain CCZ-P1 (Enabled activities) and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.703	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P1	Amend	Supports CCZ-P1 but considers an amendment is required to recognise that residential activities are generally enabled. Considers that Policy CCZ-P2 provides the specifics about activities that should be restricted, noting that this is residential activities at ground floor in areas of identified natural hazard risk. Furthermore, Natural Hazard Area provisions control the location of hazard sensitive activities, such as residential units, within these areas (e.g. NH-R11).	Amend CCZ-P1 (Enabled activities) as follows: Enable a range and diversity of activities that support the purpose and ongoing viability of the City Centre Zone and enhances its vibrancy and amenity, including: 1. Commercial activities; 2. Residential activities;—except; a- Along any street subject to active frontage and/or verandah coverage requirements; b- On any site subject to an identified natural hazard risk;	Accept in part.	Yes
Oyster Management Limited	404.53	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P1	Support	Supports the City Centre Zone policies.	Retain CCZ-P1 (Enabled activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Victoria University of Wellington Students' Association	123.58	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P2	Support	Supports the discouragement of ground-level car parks in the city centre.	Retain CCZ-P2 (Potentially incompatible activities) as notified. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept.	No.
McDonald's	274.57	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P2	Amend	Opposed to all ground level car parking being considered as a potentially incompatible activity in the City Centre zone. The policy seeks to protect adverse effects on amenity therefore if the car parking is not visible then this policy should not apply. This would be consistent with the other centre zones which do provide such an exclusion.	Seeks that CCZ-P2.3 (Potentially incompatible activities) is amended as follows: 3. Carparking at ground level visible at the street edge or public space;	Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.181	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P2	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-P2 (Potentially incompatible activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.57	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P2	Oppose	Submission point 349.181 seeks to retain CCZ-P2 as notified. FSNI submission point 476.49 seeks to amend CCZ-P2.	Disallow / Reject submission in part.	Reject.	No.
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.288	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P2	Oppose in part	Opposes restrictions on retirement villages being established at ground floor level.	Opposes CCZ-P2 (Potentially incompatible activities) and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.289	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P2	Amend	Opposes restrictions on retirement villages being established at ground floor level.	Seeks to delete clause (5) of CCZ-P2 (Potentially incompatible activities) as notified.	Reject.	No.
Woolworths New Zealand	359.84	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P2	Amend	Considers that CCZ-P2 should be amended. The provision as drafted differs to Policy P4 of the LCZ and NCZ and provides some clarity as to why matters 1-5 have been included within the policy (being that they are considered 'potentially incompatible activities'). It is considered unnecessary to incorporate these clauses into Policy CCZ-2. Potentially incompatible activities (being activities not contemplated by the zone, or ones that infringe the zone standards) should be able to be accommodated in the zone if there is a functional and operational need and effects on the Centre are managed.	Amend CCZ-P2 (Potentially incompatible activities) as follows: Only-a Allow activities that are potentially incompatible with the role and function of the City Centre Zone, where they demonstrate an operational or functional need to locate within the zone; or will not have an <u>unacceptable</u> adverse effect on its vitality, vibrancy and amenity. Potentially-incompatible activities include: 1. Industrial activities; 2. Yard-based retail activities; 3. Carparking visible at street edge along an active frontage or non-residential activity frontage; 4. Demolition of buildings that results in the creation of vacant land; 5. Ground floor residential activities on street edges identified as having an active frontage or non-residential activity frontage; and 6. Yard-based retail activities.	Accept in part.	Yes

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 22 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Z Energy Limited	361.104	Commercial and mixed	Support in part	Supports CCZ-P1 for its intent in relation to new activities but considers it is too specific and it will impact on the continued operation, maintenance and upgrade of a range of existing activities. Some yard-based activities, like service stations, play a key role in providing essential services to enable a well-functioning urban environment.	Retain CZ-P2 (Potentially incompatible activities) with amendment.	Reject.	No.
Z Energy Limited 361.105	361.105	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P2	Amend	Considers that CCZ-P2 is too specific and should be amended. CCZ-P2 will impact on the continued operation, maintenance and upgrade of a range of existing activities. Some yard-based activities, like service stations, play a key role in providing essential services to enable a well-functioning urban environment.	Amend CCZ-P2 (Potentially incompatible activities) as follows: Only allow new activities that are potentially incompatible with the purpose of the City Centre Zone, where they will not have an adverse effect on its vitality, vibrancy and amenity. Potentially incompatible activities include: 1. Industrial activities; 2. <u>Some</u> yard-based retail activities;	Reject.	No.
					Carparking at ground level; Demolition of buildings that result in the creation of vacant land; and S. Ground floor residential activities on streets identified as having either an active frontage or verandah coverage and in any identified hazard risk areas.		
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.479	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P2	Amend	The submitter considers that the policy as it currently stands seeks to ensure that abnormal activities performed within the City Centre Zone would not have adverse effect on " Vitality, Vibrancy and amenity". However, the submitter considers that this does not place emphasis on the need to consistently maintain the Wellington City Centres walkability and sustainability in accordance with objectives 3 and 5. The submitter also considers that the policy leaves itself open for activities that could hinder the City Centres public transport network or climate change and Earthquake resilience	Amend CCZ-P2 (Potentially incompatible activities) as follows: Only allow activities that are potentially incompatible with the purpose of the City Centre Zone, where they will not have an adverse effect on its vitality, vibrancy, and amenity, walkability, climate change and earthquake resilience or ease of public transport access and use_Potentially incompatible activities include: 1. Industrial activities; 2. Yard-based retail activities; 3. Carparking at ground level; 4. Demolition of buildings that result in the creation of vacant land; and 5. Ground floor residential activities on streets identified as requiring either an active frontage or verandah coverage and sites subject to an identified hazard risk.	Accept in part.	Yes
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS103.24	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P2	Support	The listed values are all considered important for the vitality and resilience of the city centre. It is therefore considered appropriate to assess the adverse effects of potentially incompatible activities on these important values.	Allow	Accept in part.	Yes
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.100	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P2	Support	Generally supports the policies of the CCZ.	Retain CCZ-P2 (Potentially incompatible activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.59	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P2	Oppose	Submission point 383.100 seeks to retain CCZ-P2 as notified. FSNI submission point 476.49 seeks to amend CCZ-P2.	Disallow / Reject submission in part.	Reject.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.704		Support in part	Supports this policy but considers that amendments are required to provide for ground floor residential activities that are not visible from streets and notes that identified hazard risk is addressed in the natural hazards chapter so does not need to be referenced here.	Retain CCZ-P2 (Potentially incompatible activities) and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.705	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P2	Amend	Supports this policy but considers that amendments are required to provide for ground floor residential activities that are not visible from streets and notes that identified hazard risk is addressed in the natural hazards chapter so does not need to be referenced here.	Amend CCZ-P2 (Potentially incompatible activities) as follows: Only allow activities that are potentially incompatible with the purpose of the City Centre Zone, where they will not have an adverse effect on its vitality, vibrancy and amenity. Potentially incompatible activities include: 5. Ground floor residential activities that are visible on streets identified as requiring either an active frontage or verandah coverage and sites subject to an identified hazard risk.	Reject.	No.
Ministry of Education	400.144	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P2	Support	Supports CCZ-P2 as it is important to recognise and provide for educational facilities which will service the surrounding residential catchments and other community/commercial activities.	Retain CCZ-P2 (Potentially incompatible activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.54		Support	Supports the City Centre Zone policies.	Retain CCZ-P2 (Potentially incompatible activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.58	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P2	Oppose	Submission point 404.54 seeks to retain CCZ-P2 as notified. Submission point 476.49 seeks to amend CCZ-P2.	Disallow / Reject submission in part.	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.150	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P2	Amend	Submitter considers that carparking at ground level should only be a "potentially incompatible activity" where it occurs along building frontages.	Amend CCZ-P2 (Potentially incompatible activities) as follows: Only allow activities that are potentially incompatible with the purpose of the City Centre Zone, where they will not have an adverse effect on its vitality, vibrancy and amenity. Potentially incompatible activities include: 1. Industrial activities; 2. Yard-based retail activities; 3. Carparking at ground level where it occurs along building frontages;	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 23 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.94	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P2	Support	Submission point 416.150 seeks a similar outcome to FSNI submission point 476.49.	Allow / Allow submission in part.	Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	476.49	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P2	Oppose in part	Opposes all ground level car parking being considered as a potentially incompatible activity in the City Centre zone. The policy seeks to protect adverse effects on amenity therefore if the car parking is not visible then this policy should not apply. This would be consistent with the other centre zones which do provide such an exclusion. Retail activities such as supermarkets generally seek to provide on-site customer car parking. This policy, together with the public notification requirement under CCZ-R14.2.a, will act as a significant deterrent to development in City Centre zone.	Amend CCZ-P2.3 (Potentially incompatible activities) as follows: 3. Carparking at ground level visible at the street edge or public space:	Reject.	No.
Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS84.101	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P2	Oppose	Greater Wellington oppose this submission point. A "discretionary" activity status provides necessary controls for carparking activities which align with the direction of Proposed RPS Change 1, including objectives CC.1 and CC.3, and policies CC.1, CC.3 and CC.9	Disallow / Seeks that the provisions be retained as notified and support provisions that support active transport nodes.	Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.182	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P3	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-P3 (Heavy industrial activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.106		Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain CCZ-P3 (Heavy industrial activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.101	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P3	Support	Generally supports the policies of the CCZ.	Retain CCZ-P3 (Heavy industrial activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.706	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P3	Support	Supports CCZ-P3.	Retain CCZ-P3 (Heavy industrial activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.55		Support	Supports the City Centre Zone policies.	Retain CCZ-P3 (Heavy industrial activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Paul Burnaby	44.9		Support	With the confirmation of the provisions supports and the requested changes, the District Plan provisions will more closely align with and implement the directive policies under the NNPS-UD, in particular Policy 3(a) which requires that district plans enable "in city centre zones, building heights and density of urban form to realise as much development capacity as possible, to maximise benefits of intensification"	Retain CCZ-P4 (Housing choice) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Stratum Management Limited	249.23	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P4	Support	Considers that the policy appropriately seeks to enable high density residential development in the zone.	Retain CCZ-P4 (Housing choice) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Disabled Persons Assembly New Zealand Incorporated	343.11	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P4	Amend	Considers that replacing the term 'abilities' with 'impairments' in CCZ-P4 is more appropriate. Notes that using the term 'abilities' to refer to disabled people is regarded as euphemistic by many within the disabled community.	Amend CCZ-P4 (Housing choice) as follows: Enable high density, good quality residential development that: 1. Contributes towards accommodating anticipated growth in the city; and 2. Offers a range of housing price, type, size and tenure that is accessible to people of all ages, lifestyles, cultures and abilities-impairments. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept in part.	yes
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.183	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P4	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-P4 (Housing choice) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.290	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P4	Support	Generally supports CCZ-P4 and its enabling of high density, good quality residential development. However, it is necessary to acknowledge that each individual development will not offer a range in those matters listed in (2).	Retain CCZ-P4 (Housing choice) with amendment to read "Offers Contributes to a range of housing price, type, size and tenure"	Accept.	yes
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.291	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P4	Amend	Generally supports CCZ-P4 and its enabling of high density, good quality residential development. However, it is necessary to acknowledge that each individual development will not offer a range in those matters listed in (2).	Retain CC2-P4 (Housing choice) with amendment to read "Offers Contributes to a range of housing price, type, size and tenure"	Accept.	yes
Z Energy Limited	361.107	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P4	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain CCZ-P4 (Housing choice) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited		use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P4	Support	Generally supports the policies of the CCZ.	Retain CCZ-P4 (Housing choice) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities		Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P4	Support in part	Support this policy but seeks amendment to recognise that tenures should not be managed through the District Plan. Considers the focus should be on providing for the level of the activity and building form that is appropriate for a City Centre.	Retain CCZ-P4 (Housing Choice) and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.708	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P4	Amend	Support this policy but seeks amendment to recognise that tenures should not be managed through the District Plan. Considers the focus should be on providing for the level of the activity and building form that is appropriate for a City Centre.	Amend CCZ-P4 (Housing Choice) as follows: Housing choice Enable high density, good quality residential development that: 1. Contributes towards accommodating anticipated growth in the city; and 2. Offers a range of housing price, type, and size and tenure that is accessible to people of all ages, lifestyles, cultures and abilities	Reject.	No.

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Oyster Management Limited	404.56	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre	Support	Supports the City Centre Zone policies.	Retain CCZ-P4 (Housing choice) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.151	Zone / CCZ-P4 Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P4	Support	Supports offering a range of housing price, type, size and tenure.	Retain CCZ-P4 (Housing choice) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Paul Burnaby	44.10	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P5	Support	With the confirmation of the provisions supports and the requested changes, the District Plan provisions will more closely align with and implement the directive policies under the NNPS-UD, in particular Policy 3(a) which requires that district plans enable "in city centre zones, building heights and density of urban form to realise as much development capacity as possible, to maximise benefits of intensification"	Retain CCZ-P5 (Urban form and scale) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.30	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P5	Support	Supports CCZ-P5 as it recognises the benefits of intensification, enables greater heights, and recognises the need for land to be efficiently optimised.	Retain CCZ-P5 (Urban form and scale) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Stratum Management Limited	249.24	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P5	Support	Considers that the policy appropriately recognises the benefits of intensification in the City Centre zone.	Retain CCZ-P5 (Urban form and scale) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.184	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P5	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-P5 (Urban form and scale) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.292	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P5	Support	Supports CCZ-P5 and its recognition of the benefits of intensification by enabling greater height and scale of development, and the efficient optimisation of the development capacity of land.	Retain CCZ-P5 (Urban form and scale) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.108	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P5	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain CCZ-P5 (Urban form and scale) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.103	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P5	Support	Generally supports the policies of the CCZ.	Retain CCZ-P5 (Urban form and scale) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.709	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P5	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain CCZ-P5 (Urban form and scale) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.57	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P5	Support	Supports the City Centre Zone policies.	Retain CCZ-P5 (Urban form and scale) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.152	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P5	Support	Support allowing greater overall height and scale within the city centre.	Retain CCZ-P5 (Urban form and scale) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.185	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P6	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-P6 (Adaptive use) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.109	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P6	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain CCZ-P6 (Adaptive use) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.104	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P6	Support	Generally supports the policies of the CCZ.	Retain CCZ-P6 (Adaptive use) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.710	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P6		Supports adaptive use within the CCZ but seeks amendments that recognise that ground floor residential activities may be appropriate where they are located at ground floor level but not fronting active streets. Considers not all hazards would restrict residential activities from locating at ground floor. For example - earthquake risk is likely to be just as high at ground floor as it is on other floors. In addition, considers the Natural Hazards chapter manages this issue.	Retain CCZ-P6 (Adaptive use) and seeks amendment.	Accept in part.	No.
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.711	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P6	Amend	Supports adaptive use within the CCZ but seeks amendments that recognise that ground floor residential activities may be appropriate where they are located at ground floor level but not fronting active streets. Considers not all hazards would restrict residential activities from locating at ground floor. For example - earthquake risk is likely to be just as high at ground floor as it is on other floors. In addition, considers the Natural Hazards chapter manages this issue.	Amend CCZ-P6 (Adaptive use) as follows: Adaptive use Encourage new development and redevelopment in the City Centre Zone that is sustainable, resilient and adaptable to change in use over time, including enabling: 1. Sufficient Reixibility for ground floor space to be used and converted for a range of activities; and 2. Residential activities at ground floor level along fronting streets that are not subject to active frontage and/or verandah coverage requirements and sites free of any identified natural hazard fisk.	Accept in part.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.58	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P6	Support	Supports the City Centre Zone policies.	Retain CCZ-P6 (Adaptive use) as notified.	Accept.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 25 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.153	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P6	Oppose	Submitter considers that while adaptive reuse is generally encouraged, it is already effectively controlled through: - market mechanisms (developers and property owners naturally wish to increase flexibility of their buildings); and - sustainability requirements and rating tools, which reward reuse.	Delete CCZ-P6 (Adaptive use) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.186	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P7	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-P7 (Ahi Kā) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.11	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P7	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain CCZ-P7 (Ahi Kā) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.105	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P7	Support	Generally supports the policies of the CCZ.	Retain CCZ-P7 (Ahi Ka) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika	389.102	Commercial and mixed	Support in part	Supports CCZ-P7 (Ahi Kā) in principle.	Retain CCZ-P7 (Ahi Kā) as notified. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.712		Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain CCZ-P7 (Ahi Kā) as notified	Accept.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.59	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P7	Support	Supports the City Centre Zone policies.	Retain CCZ-P7 (Ahi Ka) as notified.	Accept.	No.
VicLabour	414.43	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P7	Support	Supportive of ahi ka provisions, particularly papakainga housing and consider that mana whenua should lead this.	Retain CCZ-P7 (Ahi kā) as notified. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept.	No.
Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	488.82		Support in part	Supports reference to papakainga.	Retain CCZ-P7 (Ahi Kā) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Paul Burnaby	44.11	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P8	Support	With the confirmation of the provisions supports and the requested changes, the District Plan provisions will more closely align with and implement the directive policies under the NNPS-UD, in particular Policy 3(a) which requires that district plans enable "in city centre zones, building heights and density of urban form to realise as much development capacity as possible, to maximise benefits of intensification"	Retain CCZ-P8 (Sense of place) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Disabled Persons Assembly New Zealand Incorporated	343.12	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P8	Support	Supports policy CCZ-P8, particularly requirement to encourage diversity of accessible, well designed civic and public space as this will enable greater accessibility and mobilisation by everyone, including disabled people.	Retain CCZ-P8 (Sense of place) as notified. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.187	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P8	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-P8 (Sense of place) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.111	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P8	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain CCZ-P8 (Sense of place) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.106	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P8	Support	Generally supports the policies of the CCZ.	Retain CCZ-P8 (Sense of place) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika	389.103		Support in part	Supports CCZ-P8 (Sense of place) in principle.	Retain CCZ-P8 (Sense of place) as notified. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.713	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P8	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain CCZ-P8 (Sense of place) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.6	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P8	Support	Supports the City Centre Zone policies.	Retain CCZ-P8 (Sense of place) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.154	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P8	Support	Support reinforcing the city centre's diversified and vibrant mix of activities and visually prominent buildings and variety of architectural styles. The District Plan should not shy away from permitting quality development at scale.	Retain CCZ-P8 (Sense of place) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Paul Burnaby	44.12	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9	Support	Supports the recognition of the benefits of well-designed, comprehensive development, as well as the providing for increased levels of residential accommodation anticipated.	Retain CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.311	Commercial and mixed	Support in part	Supports the policy as it seeks to achieve quality design outcomes for new development in the zone. In order to achieve good quality design outcomes, which includes the provision of a safe environment and resilient urban environment, FENZ considers it critical that access for emergency service vehicles is a consideration of the design and layout of new developments. FENZ therefore seeks the inclusion of a further matter under this policy.	Supports CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) with amendment.	Accept.	yes

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 26 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.312	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9	Amend	Supports the policy as it seeks to achieve quality design outcomes for new development in the zone. In order to achieve good quality design outcomes, which includes the provision of a safe environment and resilient urban environment, FENZ considers it critical that access for emergency service vehicles is a consideration of the design and layout of new developments. FENZ therefore seeks the inclusion of a further matter under this policy.	Amend CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) as follows: Require new development, and alterations and additions to existing development at a site scale, to positively contribute to the sense of place, quality and amenity of City Centre Zone by: 1. Recognising the benefits of well-designed, comprehensive, development, including the extent to which the development: c. Provides for the increased levels of residential accommodation anticipated; and d. Provides for a range of supporting business, open space and community facilities; and e. Is accessible for emergency service vehicles; and	Accept.	yes
McDonald's	274.58	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9	Support in part	Whilst functional and operational needs are referred to within some assessment criteria, there is no correlation to any policies.	Retain CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes), subject to amendments as outlined other submission points.	Reject.	No.
	274.59	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9	Amend	Whilst functional and operational needs are referred to within some assessment criteria, there is no correlation to any policies.	Recognise the functional and operational requirements of activities and development.	Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.188	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.60	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P9	Oppose	Submission point 349.188 seeks to retain CCZ-P9 as notified. FSNI submission points 476.50 & 476.51 seek to amend CCZ-P9.	Disallow / Reject submission in part.	Reject.	No.
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.293	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9	Support in part	Supports the recognition in (1)(b) of optimising the development capacity of land and in (2)(e) of flexibility for ground floor space to be used for residential purposes.	Retain CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.112	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9	Support in part	CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) is supported as it seeks that development, and additions and alterations to existing development, positively contributes to the sense of place and distinctive form, quality and amenity through a range of intended design outcomes.	Retain CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcome) with amendment.	Reject.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.113	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9	Amend	Considers that CCZ-P9 should also recognise that alternative design responses are necessary for functional requirements of a range of activities, including existing service stations.	Amend CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcome) as follows: 2. Ensuring that development, where relevant: f. Integrates with existing and planned active and public transport activity movement networks, including planned rapid transit stops; and g. Allows sufficient flexibility for ground floor space to be converted to a range of activities, including residential along streets that are not subject to active frontage and/or verandah coverage requirements and sites free of any identified natural hazard risk. h. Recognises that alternative design responses are necessary for functional requirements of a range of activities, including existing service stations.	Reject.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.107	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9	Support	Generally supports the policies of the CCZ.	Retain CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.62	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P9	Oppose	Submission point 383.107 seeks to retain CCZ-P9 as notified. FSNI submission points 476.50 & 476.51 seek to amend CCZ-P9.	Disallow / Reject submission in part.	Reject.	No.
Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika	389.104	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9		Supports CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) in principle.	Retain CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) as notified. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept.	No.
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.714	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9	Support in part	Generally supports this policy, but seeks amendment to: (a) The policy name to better reflect the intent of the policy and the subsequent wording, which seeks to manage new developments contribution to the city centre and streetscape; and (b) The policy wording to better recognise the CCZ rule setting and the intent of the NPS-UD (particularly Policy 6) that recognises the planned urban built form and that change to existing amenity is not in itself an adverse effect; and to simplify and clarify the neighbourhood and townscape outcomes that plan is seeking to manage.	Retain CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) and seeks amendments.	Accept in part.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 27 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.715	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9	Amend	Generally supports this policy, but seeks amendment to: (a) The policy name to better reflect the intent of the policy and the subsequent wording, which seeks to manage new developments contribution to the city centre and streetscape; and (b) The policy wording to better recognise the CCZ rule setting and the intent of the NPS-UD (particularly Policy 6) that recognises the planned urban built form and that change to existing amenity is not in itself an adverse effect; and to simplify and clarify the neighbourhood and townscape outcomes that plan is seeking to manage.	Amend CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) as follows: Quality design City Centre outcomes Require significant new development, and alterations and additions to existing development, at a site scale to positively contribute to the sense of place and distinctive form, quality and amenty— planned urban built form and function of the City Centre Zone by: 1. Recognising the benefits of well-designed, comprehensive intensive development, including the extent to which the development: a. Acts as a catalyst for future change by reflecting Reflects the nature and scale of the development proposed enabled within the zone and in the vicinity and responds to the evolving, more intensive identity of the neighbourhood City Centre: b. Optimises the development capacity of the land, particularly sites that are: 1. Large, or 1. Narrow, or 11. Vacant, or 12. Ensuring that development, where relevant: a. Responds to the site context, particularly where it is located adjacent to: 1. A scheduled site of significance to Māori; 11. A heritage building, heritage structure or heritage area; 11. An identified character overlay precinct; 12. Allows sufficient flexibility for ground floor space to be converted to a range of activities_ Including residential along streets that are not subject to active frontage and/or verandah coverage.	Accept in part.	Yes
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.129	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-	Oppose	Considers the intended policy direction of listing sites with development capacity is helpful and appropriate.	requirements and sites free of any identified natural hazard risk. Disallow	Reject.	No.
LIVE WELLington	FS96.39	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P9	Oppose	We oppose the amendment to CCZ-P9 to remove references in (1)(b) to large, narrow, vacant or ground level parking sites. The intended policy direction by listing sites with development capacity is helpful and appropriate.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS103.25	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P9	Support	The recommended amendment is considered to provide clearer guidance regarding expectations around built form of larger projects.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Roland Sapsford	FS117.38	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-	Oppose	Roland Sapsford opposes the amendment to CCZ-P9 to remove references in (1)(b) to large, narrow, vacant or ground level parking sites. The intended policy direction by listing sites with development capacity is helpful and appropriate.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.61	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9	Support	Supports the City Centre Zone policies.	Retain CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.61	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P9	Oppose	Submission point 404.61 seeks to retain CCZ-P9 as notified. FSNI submission points 476.50 & 476.51 seek to amend CCZ-P9.	Disallow / Reject submission in part.	Reject.	No.
Wellington Heritage Professionals	412.81	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9	Support	Supports this policy to ensure that development responds to site context, where it is located adjacent to a site of significance to Māori, heritage place or character precinct.	Retain CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.155	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9	Support in part	Supports CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) in part.	Not specified.	Accept in part.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.156	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9	Amend	The submitter considers that this is a long (and confusing) provision and should be reviewed against the earlier policies to ensure it is succinct, focused and does not cover the same ground as other policies. If the Design Guides are retained (which the submitter opposes), the submitter considers that this policy should be reviewed for overlap with the Design Guides.	Seeks that CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) be amended to ensure it is succinct, focused and does not cover the same ground as other policies.	Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.95	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9	Support	policy should be reviewed for overlap with the Design Guides. Submission point 416.156 seeks a similar outcome to FSNI submission points 476.50 & 476.51.	Allow / Allow submission in part.	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.157	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9	Amend	The submitter considers that this is a long (and confusing) provision and should be reviewed against the earlier policies to ensure it is succinct, focused and does not cover the same ground as other policies. If the Design Guides are retained (which the submitter opposes), the submitter considers that this policy should be reviewed for overlap with the Design Guides.	Seeks that if the Design Guides are retained that CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) be reviewed for overlap with the Design Guides.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 28 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.96	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9	Support	Submission point 416.157 seeks a similar outcome to FSNI submission points 476.50 & 476.51.	Allow / Allow submission in part.	Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	476.50	Commercial and mixed	Support in part	Considers that whilst functional and operational needs are referred to within some assessment criteria, there is no correlation to any policies.	Retain CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) with amendment.	Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	476.51	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P9	Amend	Considers that whilst functional and operational needs are referred to within some assessment criteria, there is no correlation to any policies.	Amend CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) to include the following: Recognise the functional and operational requirements of activities and development.	Reject.	No.
Paul Burnaby	44.13		Support	With the confirmation of the provisions supports and the requested changes, the District Plan provisions will more closely align with and implement the directive policies under the NNPS-UD, in particular Policy 3(a) which requires that district plans enable "in city centre zones, building heights and density of urban form to realise as much development capacity as possible, to maximise benefits of intensification"	Retain CCZ-P10 (On-site residential amenity) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Stratum Management Limited	249.25	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P10	Amend	Opposes CCZ-S10 (Residential - outdoor living space) relating to outdoor living spaces. As a result, Stratum seeks and amendment to CCZ-P10 (On-site residential amenity) by deleting point (2) of CCZ-P10.	Amend CCZ-P10 (On-site residential amenity) as follows: Achieve a high standard of amenity for residential activities that reflects and responds to the evolving, higher density scale of development anticipated in the City Centre Zone, including: 1. Providing residents with access to an adequate outlook, yand 2. Ensuring access to convenient outdoor space, including private or shared communal areas.	Reject.	Yes
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.189	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P10	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-P10 (On-site residential amenity) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.114		Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain CCZ-P10 (On-site residential amenity) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.108		Support	Generally supports the policies of the CCZ.	Retain CCZ-P10 (On-site residential amenity) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.716		Support in part	Supports this policy in part subject to amendments to relevant rules being made to clarify the extent of on-site amenity requirements. Amendments to remove communal outdoor space requirements are also sought as it is considered this is already covered by reference to outdoor space generally and this could be private outdoor space.	Retain CCZ-P10 (On-site residential amenity) and seeks amendment.	Accept in part.	Yes
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.717	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P10	Amend	Supports this policy in part subject to amendments to relevant rules being made to clarify the extent of on-site amenity requirements. Amendments to remove communal outdoor space requirements are also sought as it is considered this is already covered by reference to outdoor space generally and this could be private outdoor space.	Amend CCZ-P10 (On-site residential amenity) as follows: Ensuring access to convenient outdoor space, including private or shared communal areas.	Accept in part.	Yes
Dyster Management Limited	404.62	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P10	Support	Supports the City Centre Zone policies.	Retain CCZ-P10 (On-site residential amenity) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.168	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P10	Support	Considers reference in CCZ-P10 (On-site residential amenity) to "adequate outlook" and "convenient outdoor space" is necessary and important for residential wellbeing in high density environments.	Allow	Accept.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.158	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P10	Amend	Submitter considers that on-site residential amenity can be provided in a number of ways and should not be prescriptive, acknowledging that mandated types of amenity (such as outdoor space) can increase housing cost and prevent lower income residents living within the city centre. Submitter considers that the policy should acknowledge affordability constraints. The National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 (NPS-UD) requires district plans to "enable, in city centre zones, building heights and density of urban form to realise as much development capacity as possible" (Policy 3). Submitter considers that this should not be restricted by prescriptive amenity requirements.	Amend CCZ-P10 (On-site residential amenity) as follows: Achieve a high standard of amenity for residential activities that reflects and responds to the evolving, higher density scale of development anticipated in the City Centre Zone and the need to provide for a choice of building type, size, affordability and distribution -including: 1-Providing residents with access to an adequate outlook; and 2-Ensuring access to convenient outdoor space, including private or shared communal areas.	Reject.	No.
Paul Burnaby	44.14	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Support	With the confirmation of the provisions supports and the requested changes, the District Plan provisions will more closely align with and implement the directive policies under the NNPS-UD, in particular Policy 3(a) which requires that district plans enable "in city centre zones, building heights and density of urban form to realise as much development capacity as possible, to maximise benefits of intensification"	Retain CCZ-P11 (City outcomes contribution) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.31	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Oppose	Opposes CCZ-P11 as this refers to the City Outcomes Contribution.	Delete CCZ-P11 (City outcomes contributions)	Reject.	No.
Wellington City Youth Council	201.34		Support	Supports the introduction of the 'City Outcomes Contribution' mechanism, ensuring larger commercial, residential and mixed use developments will become more publicly beneficial for everyone.	Retain CCZ-P11 (City outcomes contribution) as notified.	Accept.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 29 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Stratum Management Limited	249.26	/	Amend	Considers that the introductory text to this policy requires clarification that it applies to over height and under height buildings and either comprises 50 or more residential units or is a non-residential building. As currently worded, the policy application is unclear. Equivalent changes may be required elsewhere within the chapter or in other chapters to ensure consistency of wording.	Seeks to amend CCZ-P11 (City Outcomes Contribution) to clarify its intent in accordance with the third matter of discretion under Rule CCZ-R20.2 (Construction of buildings and structures)	Reject.	No.
McDonald's	274.60	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Oppose	McDonald's is opposed to the 'City Outcomes Contributions' provisions and considers that developments that breach height standards should instead be considered on their merits and effects. The merits of a proposal should not be confined to a specified and required list.	Seeks that CCZ-P11 (City Outcomes Contributions) is deleted.	Reject.	No.
Property Council New Zealand	338.18	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Amend	Considers that incentives for large developments that can demonstrate a city Outcomes Contribution (such as priority consenting) would establish a quid pro quo system and enable growth rather than placing additional obstacles for large-scale development to occur.	Seeks that incentives be provided to encourage but not require large developments to deliver City Outcomes Contributions.	Reject.	No.
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.208	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P11	Oppose	The RVA oppose the relief sought in this submission as it is inconsistent with The RVA's primary submission.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.208	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P11	Oppose	Ryman oppose the relief sought in this submission as it is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Disabled Persons Assembly New Zealand Incorporated	343.13	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Amend	Considers that CCZ-P11 should also make reference to disabled people by adding the term 'disability'.	Amend CCZ-P11(5) (City outcomes contribution) as follows: Require over and under height, large-scale residential, non-residential and comprehensive development in the City Centre Zone to deliver City Outcomes Contributions as detailed and scored in the Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide guideline G107, including through either: 5. Enabling ease of access for people of all ages and mobility/disability. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept.	yes
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.19	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Oppose	Oppose The Centres and Mixed-Use Design Guide (and the associated policy and matters of discretion linkages), do not recognise or provide for the functional or operational requirements of activities. The Design Guide reads as a set of rules to be complied with, rather than guidelines to inform the assessment of applications for resource consent and will result in an unnecessarily onerous and unreasonable resource consent process. The Design Guide places unreasonable requirements on applicants on matters that are more appropriately dealt with at a national level (for example, reducing travel/shipping costs of materials to reduce carbon emissions, and installing insulation above minimum requirements). The imposition of "thresholds" for certain types of development result in a "pass/fail" assessment being applied and will result in a nunnecessarily onerous and unreasonable resource consent process.	Amend CCZ-P11 (City outcomes contribution) as follows: Require over height, large-scale residential, non-residential and comprehensive development in the City Centre Zone to deliver City Outcomes Contributions as detailed and scored in the Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide guideline G107, including through either: 1. Positively contributeing to public space provision and the amenity of the site and surrounding area; and/or 2. Incorporating a level of building performance that leads to reduced carbon emissions and increased elimate change resilience; and/or 3.2. Incorporateing construction materials that increase the lifespan and resilience of the development and reduce ongoing maintenance costs; and/or 4.3. Incorporateing assisted housing into the development; where this is provided, legal instruments are required to ensure that it remains assisted housing for at least 25 years; and/or 5.4. Enableing ease of access for people of all ages and mobility.	Accept in part.	Yes
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.63	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P11	Oppose	Submission point 349.190 seeks to amend CCZ-P11. FSNI submission point 476.52 seeks to delete CCZ-P11 in its entirety.	Disallow / Reject submission in part.	Reject.	No.
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.294		Oppose	Opposes the inclusion of the City Outcomes Contribution requirements of CCZ-P11 and considers that any requirements associated with developments that are under or over height should directly relate to mitigation of potential or actual effects. The policy would create barriers that strongly conflict with the need to resolve the housing crisis and address the needs of the rapidly growing aging population.	Delete CCZ-P11 (City outcomes contribution) in its entirety as notified.	Reject.	No.
Woolworths New Zealand	359.85	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Amend	Considers that CCZ-P11 is unclear and should be amended. The provision contains an incorrect reference to the Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide document (should be G97 as opposed to G107). A review of the guideline indicates that G97 City Outcomes Contribution is only triggered for City Centre zone developments where they are under or over height development comprising 50 or more units or any comprehensive development). As such, the Policy as currently drafted implies that any non-residential development in the CCZ is subject to this policy which is incorrect. The above amendment seeks to align this Policy with the Guide document.	Amend CCZ-P11 (City outcomes contribution) as follows: Require over and under height, large-scale residential, non-residential and comprehensive development under or over height development comprising 50 or more units or any under or over height comprehensive development in the City Centre Zone to deliver City Outcomes Contributions as detailed and scored in the Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide guideline 6976107, including through either:	Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.22	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Oppose	Submission point 359.85 seeks to amend CCZ-P11. FSNI submission point 476.52 seeks to delete CCZ-P11 in it's entirety.	Disallow / Disallow this submission in part.	Accept.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.115	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11		Supports CCZ-P11 (City Outcomes Contribution) which seeks to deliver City Outcomes Contributions as detailed and scored in the Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide guideline G107.	Retain CCZ-P11 (City outcomes contribution) with amendment.	Accept in part.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 30 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Z Energy Limited	361.116	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Amend	Considers that CCZ-P11 should also recognise the existing environment and the functional requirements of a range of existing activities.	Amend CCZ-P11 (City outcomes contribution) as follows: Require over and under height, large-scale residential, non-residential and comprehensive development in the City Centre Zone to deliver City Outcomes Contributions as detailed and scored in the Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide guideline G107, while recognising the existing environment, including through either:	Reject.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.109	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Oppose	Opposes this policy which requires some developments to deliver City Outcomes Contributions in accordance with the Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide. This is because: - This provision elevates what is normally a design guide into a rule. A design guide should be separate to a plan. The Design Guide should be an external document to the District Plan and be referenced as a guide only. - Further, this provision, provides a mechanism for the Council to require these aspects as part of a development. This is inappropriate. A development should be assessed on its merits.	Delete Policy CCZ-P11 (City outcomes contribution).	Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.65	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P11	Support	Submission point 404.63 supports FSNI submission point 476.52.	Allow	Reject.	No.
McDonald's Restaurants New Zealand Limited	FS45.6	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P11	Support	McDonald's Restaurants New Zealand Limited supports these submissions seeking deletion of the City Outcomes Contributions. While MRNZL recognises the intent of these provisions in providing publicly beneficial outcomes, it is inappropriate for the provision of these publicly beneficial outcomes to be connected to non-compliance with height rules. Developments that breach height standards should instead be considered on their own merits and effects. The provision of beneficial outcomes in any development should be considered as part of the merits of a development, and should not be confined to a specified and required list.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.718	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11		a. Considers it is inconsistent with the current legislative framework; b. Considers over height development should be assessed based on the potential or actual effects or the proposed infringement, as provided for by the rule framework; and c. Considers all of these activities are anticipated by the zone, and this policy has the potential to disincentivise intensified development. Seeks amendments to the policy to instead encourage positive outcomes for development in the	Retain CCZ-P11 (City outcomes contribution) and seeks amendment	Accept in part.	No.
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.162	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P11	Not specified	HRZ The RVA supports in part the relief sought in this submission where it aligns with The RVA's primary submission to have these references removed, however, The RVA seeks for this provision to be deleted in full.	Amend / Allow the submission point, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission.	Accpet in part.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.162	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P11	Not specified	Ryman supports in part the relief sought in this submission where it aligns with Ryman's primary submission to have these references removed, however, Ryman seeks for this provision to be deleted in full.	Amend / Allow the submission point, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission.	Accept in part.	No.
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities		Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Amend	Opposes requiring 'City Outcomes Contribution' for development for the following reasons: a. Considers it is inconsistent with the current legislative framework; b. Considers over height development should be assessed based on the potential or actual effects or the proposed infringement, as provided for by the rule framework; and c. Considers all of these activities are anticipated by the zone, and this policy has the potential to disincentivise intensified development. Seeks amendments to the policy to instead encourage positive outcomes for development in the HRZ	Amend CCZ-P11 (City outcomes contribution) as follows: City Outcomes Contribution Require over height, large-scale residential, non-residential and comprehensive Encourage development in the City Centre Zone to contribute to positive outcomes deliver City Outcomes Contributions as detailed and scored in the Centres and Mixed Use Design Guideline G107, including through either: 1. Positively contributing to public space provision and the amenity of the site and surrounding area; and/or 2. Incorporating a level of building performance that leads to reduced carbon emissions and increased climate change resilience; and/or 3. Incorporating construction materials that increase the lifespan and resilience of the development and reduce ongoing maintenance costs; and/or 4. Incorporating assisted housing into the development; and where this is provided legal instruments are required to ensure that it remains assisted housing for at least 25 years; and/or 5. Enabling ease of access for people of all ages and mobility.	Accept in part.	Yes
Oyster Management Limited	404.63	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Support	Supports the City Centre Zone policies.	Retain CCZ-P11 (City outcomes contribution) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.64	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P11	Oppose	Submission point 404.63 seeks to retain CCZ-P11 as notified. FSNI submission point 476.52 seeks to delete CCZ-P11 in its entirety.	Disallow / Reject submission in part.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 31 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Investore Property Limited	405.131	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Oppose	Considers that the 'City Outcomes Contributions' provisions are inappropriate. Specifically is opposed to requiring 'City Outcomes Contributions' for 'over height' development which he submitter considers is inappropriate. Developments that breach height standards should instead be considered on their own merits and effects.	Seeks that CCZ-P11 (City Outcomes Contribution) is deleted in its entirety as notified.	Reject.	No.
VicLabour	414.44	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11		[Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment] Supportive of the inclusion of a points based system to allow developments outside of some of the rules in the PDP if they provide other benefits (the city outcomes contribution mechanism) but considers it an example of how arbitrary and excessive many of these regulations are, particularly around height and character protections.	Seeks to retain points based system to allow developments outside of some of the rules in the PDP if they provide other benefits. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept in part.	Yes
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.159	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11		Supports CCZ-P11 in part. While generally supportive of the City Outcomes Contribution, the submitter considers there needs to be a level of certainty that the significant investment required to deliver these outcomes will result in material and reliable intensifications (be it height, floor area ratio, etc). Submitter considers that as currently drafted, the initiative remains "subject to" numerous other mechanisms in the plan, potentially rendering it ineffective, despite its good intentions. Submitter considers that CCZ-P11 is also phrased to "require" City Outcomes Contributions, rather	Retain CCZ-P11 (City outcomes contribution), with amendments.	Accept in part.	Yes
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.97	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Oppose	than to provide a clear incentive for meeting the requested outcomes. Submission point 416.159 seeks to retain CCZ-P11 as notified. FSNI submission point 476.52 seeks to delete CCZ-P11.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.160	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Amend	Supports CCZ-P11 in part. While generally supportive of the City Outcomes Contribution, the submitter considers there needs to be a level of certainty that the significant investment required to deliver these outcomes will result in material and reliable intensifications (be it height, floor area ratio, etc). Submitter considers that as currently drafted, the initiative remains "subject to" numerous other mechanisms in the plan, potentially rendering it ineffective, despite its good intentions. Submitter considers that CCZ-P11 is also phrased to "require" City Outcomes Contributions, rather than to provide a clear incentive for meeting the requested outcomes. If height limits are removed (see comments on CCZ-S1), the City Outcomes Contribution will need to be deleted and/or redefined to relate to additional floor area (or an appropriate metric as	Delete CCZ-P11 (City outcomes contribution) if height limits are also deleted.	Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.98	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre	Oppose	required). Submission point 416.160 seeks to retain CCZ-P11 as notified. FSNI submission point 476.52 seeks to delete CCZ-P11.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.161	Zone / CCZ-P11 Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Amend	Supports CCZ-P11 in part. While generally supportive of the City Outcomes Contribution, the submitter considers there needs to be a level of certainty that the significant investment required to deliver these outcomes will result in material and reliable intensifications (be it height, floor area ratio, etc). Submitter considers that as currently drafted, the initiative remains "subject to" numerous other mechanisms in the plan, potentially rendering it ineffective, despite its good intentions. Submitter considers that CCZ-P11 is also phrased to "require" City Outcomes Contributions, rather than to provide a clear incentive for meeting the requested outcomes. If height limits are removed (see comments on CCZ-S1), the City Outcomes Contribution will need to be deleted and/or redefined to relate to additional floor area (or an appropriate metric as required).	Seeks that CCZ-P11 (City outcomes contribution) be amended if floor area ratios are used instead of height standards. Amend to allow greater additional floor area (or an appropriate metric as required) if the relevant outcomes are achieved.	Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island		Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11		Submission point 416.161 seeks to retain CCZ-P11 as notified. FSNI submission point 476.52 seeks to delete CCZ-P11.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.162	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Amend	Supports CCZ-P11 in part. While generally supportive of the City Outcomes Contribution, the submitter considers there needs to be a level of certainty that the significant investment required to deliver these outcomes will result in material and reliable intensifications (be it height, floor area ratio, etc). Submitter considers that as currently drafted, the initiative remains "subject to" numerous other mechanisms in the plan, potentially rendering it ineffective, despite its good intentions. Submitter considers that CCZ-P11 is also phrased to "require" City Outcomes Contributions, rather than to provide a clear incentive for meeting the requested outcomes. If height limits are removed (see comments on CCZ-S1), the City Outcomes Contribution will need to be deleted and/or redefined to relate to additional floor area (or an appropriate metric as required).	Seeks that if CCZ-P11 (City outcomes contribution) is retained, it should be re-phrased so that, rather than "Require over and under height" developments to deliver City Outcomes Contributions, the height limit for developments is varied where City Outcomes Contributions are achieved. The change of phrasing reflects the possibility that, as currently proposed, over and under height developments still have a theoretical pathway to obtain a restricted discretionary consent without achieving City Outcomes Contributions. It would also make it clearer that the developer providing the outcome is entitled to the increase in height (or floor area).	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 32 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.100	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Oppose	Submission point 416.162 seeks to retain CCZ-P11 as notified. FSNI submission point 476.52 seeks to delete CCZ-P11.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.57	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Oppose	Opposed to the 'City Outcomes Contributions' provisions, and specifically is opposed to requiring 'City Outcomes Contributions' for 'over height' development. While Fabric recognises the intent of these provisions in providing publicly beneficial outcomes, it is inappropriate for the provision of these publicly beneficial outcomes to be connected to non-compliance with height rules. Developments that breach height standards should instead be considered on their own merits and effects. The provision of beneficial outcomes in any development should be considered as part of the merits of a development, and should not be confined to a specified and required list. The 'City Outcomes Contributions' have the potential to act as a disincentive for development, which conflicts with the Proposed Plan strategic objectives and NPS-UD requirements of providing development capacity and providing for urban intensification. This would not achieve the aim of "density done well" as stated in the Design Guide. Seeks that all references to the City Outcomes Contributions be removed from the Proposed Plan and design guides.	Delete CCZ-P11 (City Outcomes Contribution) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.58	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Oppose	[See original submission for further detail, outlined in previous submission point]	Delete CCZ-P11 (City Outcomes Contribution) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Reading Wellington Properties Limited	441.3	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Support	Considers that CCZ-P11 provides appropriate guidance on what buildings heights that are outside of the permitted parameters need to achieve.	Retain CCZ-P11 (City outcomes contribution) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	476.52	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P11	Oppose	Opposes CCZ-P11 Specifically opposes requiring contributions for development in the City Centre zone that is below the minimum height limit. While FSNI recognises the intent of these provisions in providing publicly beneficial outcomes, it is inappropriate for the provision of these publicly beneficial outcomes to be connected to non-compliance with height rules. Developments that breach height standards should instead be considered on their own merits and effects. The provision of beneficial outcomes in any development should be considered as part of the merits of a development, and should not be confined to a specified and required list. The 'City Outcomes Contributions' have the potential to act as a disincentive for development, which conflicts with the PDP strategic objectives and NPS-UD requirements of providing for development capacity and urban intensification.	Delete CCZ-P11 (City outcomes contribution) and consequential references in their entirety.	Reject.	No.
Stratum Management Limited	249.27	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P12		Considers that the policy seeks to recognise the "evolving, higher density development context anticipated in the City Centre Zone" which is supported. It then seeks to manage any associated adverse effects including the following: - The impacts of building dominance and the height and scale relationship; - Building mass effects, including the amount of light and outlook around buildings. Considers that the policy can be read as being potentially inconsistent with Policy CCZ-P5 (Urban form and scale).	Amend CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects) as follows: Recognise the evolving, higher density development context anticipated in the City Centre Zone, while managing any associated adverse effects including: 1. The impacts of building dominance and the height and scale relationship where a building does not meet relevant standards; and 2. Building mass effects, including the amount of light and outlook around buildings where a building does not meet relevant standards; and 3. The impacts on sunlight access to identified public space; and 4. The impacts of related construction activity on the transport network.	Reject	No.
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.313	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P12		Supports the policy as it seeks to manage any adverse effects associated with higher density development anticipated in this zone. In order to manage the full range of adverse effects, which includes consideration of fire safety in high density urban environments, FENZ considers it critical that access for emergency service vehicles is a consideration of the design and layout of new high density developments. FENZ therefore seeks the inclusion of a further matter under this policy	Supports CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects), with amendment.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 33 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.314	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P12	Amend	Supports the policy as it seeks to manage any adverse effects associated with higher density development anticipated in this zone. In order to manage the full range of adverse effects, which includes consideration of fire safety in high density urban environments, FENZ considers it critical that access for emergency service vehicles is a consideration of the design and layout of new high density developments. FENZ therefore seeks the inclusion of a further matter under this policy	Amend CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects) as follows: Recognise the evolving, higher density development context anticipated in the City Centre Zone, while managing any associated adverse effects including:	Reject.	No.
				Note: Submitter refers to CCZ-P13, which is an error.	1. The impacts of building dominance and the height and scale relationship; 2. Building mass effects, including the amount of light and outlook around buildings; and 3. The impacts on sunlight access to identified public space; and, 4. The impacts of related construction activity on the transport network; and-		
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.191	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P12	Support	Support	5. Accessibility for emergency service vehicles. Retain CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects) as notified.	Accept.	Yes
Z Energy Limited	361.117		Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects) as notified.	Accept.	Yes
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.48	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P12		The submitter considers that the policy fails to mention the impact of the development process on any of the Wellington Central City Zones sustainability goals. Whilst the submitter notes that the protection of the public transport network is important, The submitter suggests the addition of 1 new and amendment of 1 point in order to ensure the policy correctly upholds the ideals and vision held within the preamble and Objectives 3 and 5.	Amend CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects) as follows: Recognise the evolving, higher density development context anticipated in the City Centre Zone, while managing any associated adverse effects including: 1. The impacts of building dominance and the height and scale relationship 2. The emission of greenhouse gases and waste water runoff from construction. 3. Building mass effects, including the amount of light and outlook around buildings; and 4. The impacts on sunlight access to identified public space; and 5. The impacts of related construction activity on the transport network and pedestrian linkages.	Accept in part.	Yes
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.110	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P12	Support	Generally supports the policies of the CCZ.	Retain CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects) as notified.	Accept in part.	Yes
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.720	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P12		Supports policy subject to amendments that reflect NPSUD Policy 6.	Retain CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects) and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.721	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P12	Amend	Supports policy subject to amendments that reflect NPSUD Policy 6.	Amend CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects) as follows: Recognise the evolving, higher density development context anticipated in the City Centre Zone, while managing any associated adverse effects beyond those anticipated within the zone including:	Reject.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.64	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-P12	Support	Supports the City Centre Zone policies.	Retain CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects) as notified.	Accept.	Yes
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.163		Amend	Submitter considers that the impacts of construction activity on the transport network should not be relevant in the resource consenting process. Submitter considers densification proposed by the District Plan will inevitably result in impacts.	Amend CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects) as follows: Recognise the evolving, higher density development context anticipated in the City Centre Zone, while managing any associated adverse effects including: 4. The impacts of related construction activity on the transport network.	Reject.	No.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS103.26	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- P12		As proposed, the impact on the transport network is an effect to be managed. Given the role and function of the zone, high traffic volumes are expected but works within the road corridor can cause serious delays on a network where there are high traffic volumes. The policy as drafted (appropriately) allows for the careful consideration of how construction could be provided for in a manner than reduces the impact on road users in the zone. Retain as drafted.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	388.28	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-P1	Support	CCZ-PREC01-P1 is generally supported.	Retain CCZ-PREC01-P1 (Activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.164	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-P1	Support	Submitter agrees a range of activities should be supported within Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct.	Retain CCZ-PREC01-P1 (Activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	388.29	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-P2		CCZ-PREC01-P2 is generally supported, but an amendment is sought.	Retain CCZ-PREC01-P2 (Use and development of the Te Ngåkau Civic Square Precinct) with amendment.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 34 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington Civic Trust	388.30	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-P2	Amend	Considers that CCZ-PREC01-P2 should be amended to clarify wording. Wording in portrays the precinct as redevelopment area. The findings of a seminar run by the Civic Trust in 2021 were that people seek to retain as much as possible of the existing buildings, structures and spaces for reuse, rather than demolition and replacement buildings. It is sought that this is reflected in the provision.	Amend CCZ-PREC01-P2 (Use and development of the Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct) as follows: Provide for the staged-redevelopment of managed change in the Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct, and its connections with the transport network, wider City Centre Zone and Waterfront Zone, including:	Reject.	No.
					Enhancing the public function, pedestrian network and public spaces within the precinct; Maintaining its special character by managing the form, scale and intensity of development; S. Ensuring land use activities and any new development are planned and designed in a coordinated, site-responsive, comprehensive and integrated manner; and Enabling new development and a range of activities that are integrated and compatible with existing buildings and land uses in the precinct.		
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.165	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-P2	Amend	Submitter considers that item 3 may result in a perverse situation where development is delayed while other potential development areas of Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct are being considered.	Amend CCZ-PREC01-P2 (Use and development of the Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct) as follows: Provide for the staged redevelopment of the Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct, and its connections with the transport network, wider City Centre Zone and Waterfront Zone, including: 1.Enhancing the public function, pedestrian network and public spaces within the precinct; 2. Maintaining its special character by managing the form, scale and intensity of development; 3. Ensuring land use activities and development are planned and designed in a co-ordinated, site-responsive, comprehensive and integrated manner to the extent reasonable while allowing for development to progress in a natural manner, and 4. Enabling new development and a range of activities that are integrated and compatible with existing buildings and land uses in the precinct.	Reject.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.26	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-P2	Oppose	This submission cuts across a submission of the Civic Trust. The Trust does not see the Te Ngākau Square Precinct as a giant redevelopment site. Our request is for policy recognition of the need for careful management of any change in the precinct. There is nothing "natural" in redevelopment although that is how the submission suggests it should be portrayed. The Civic Trust seek that its submission is allowed, and this one disallowed.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	388.31	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-P3		CCZ-PREC01-P3 is generally supported, but an amendment is sought.	Retain CCZ-PREC01-P3 (Access, connections and open space) with amendment.	Reject.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	388.32	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-P3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-PREC01-P3 should be amended to clearly state that the Precinct must be kept free of vehicular traffic.	Amend CCZ-PREC01-P3 (Access, connections and open space) as follows: Require that the use and development of the Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct: 3. Provides well-designed, safe and accessible public and green open space, within the precinct. 4. Avoids vehicle access at surface level with the precinct.	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.166	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-P3	Support	Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct provides an important central connection hub.	Retain CCZ-PREC01-P3 (Access, connections and open space) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	388.33	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-P4	Support	CCZ-PREC01-P4 is generally supported.	Retain CCZ-PREC01-P4 (Amenity and design) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika	389.105		Support in part	Supports CCZ-PRECO1-P4 (Amenity and design) in principle.	Retain CCZ-PRECO1-P4 (Amenity and design) as notified. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.167	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-P4	Support	The requirements stated reflect the importance of quality development within Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct.	Retain CCZ-PREC01-P4 (Amenity and design) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.32	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R1	Support	Supports the range of permitted activities provided for in the City Centre Zone (CCZ-R1 to CCZ-R12).	Retain rule CCZ-R1 (Commercial activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Century Group Limited		Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R1	Support	Supports the range of activities with a permitted activity status in the City Centre Zone, as being reflective of the outcomes that are anticipated for a Central Business District.	Retain CCZ-R1 (Commercial activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.192	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R1	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-R1 (Commercial activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 35 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.78	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R1	Support	Submission point 349.192 supports FSNI submission point 476.100.	Allow	Accept.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.118	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R1	Support	Supports Rule CCZ-R1 (Commercial Activities) which provides for commercial activities (as defined) as a permitted activity with no limitation as to the land use activity. It is understood that any new building or structure to be erected on would still need to comply with the applicable permitted activity performance standards under Standards CCZ-S1 - S13, or require consent as a restricted discretionary activity where those standards cannot be met.	Retain CCZ-R1 (Commercial activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.111	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R1	Support	Supports commercial activities, including offices and retail activities, being permitted in the City Centre zone. This is appropriate to enable the continued vibrancy of the city centre.	Retain CCZ-R1 (Commercial activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.80	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R1	Support	Submission point 383.111 supports FSNI submission point 476.100.	Allow	Accept.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.65	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R1	Support	Supports commercial activities being Permitted in the City Centre Zone to ensure continued vibrancy of the city.	Retain CCZ-R1 (Commercial activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.79	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R1	Support	Submission point 404.65 supports FSNI submission point 476.100.	Allow	Accept.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.59	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R1	Support	Supports the commercial activities being permitted in the CCZ.	Retain CCZ-R1 (Commercial Activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	476.100		Support	Supports supermarkets as a permitted activity in the CCZ.	Retain CCZ-R1 (Commercial activities) as notified. [Inferred decision requested].	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.33		Support	Supports the range of permitted activities provided for in the City Centre Zone (CCZ-R1 to CCZ-R12).	Retain rule CCZ-R2 (Community facilities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.6	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R2	Support	Supports the range of activities with a permitted activity status in the City Centre Zone, as being reflective of the outcomes that are anticipated for a Central Business District.	Retain CCZ-R2 (Community facilities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.34	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R3	Support	Supports the range of permitted activities provided for in the City Centre Zone (CCZ-R1 to CCZ-R12).	Retain rule CCZ-R3 (Educational facilities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.7	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R3	Support	Supports the range of activities with a permitted activity status in the City Centre Zone, as being reflective of the outcomes that are anticipated for a Central Business District.	Retain CCZ-R3 (Educational facilities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Ministry of Education	400.145	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R3	Support	Supports CCZ-R3 as it provides for educational facilities as a permitted activity.	Retain CCZ-R3 (Educational facilities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.35	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R4	Support	Supports the range of permitted activities provided for in the City Centre Zone (CCZ-R1 to CCZ-R12).	Retain rule CCZ-R4 (Recreation activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.8	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R4	Support	Supports the range of activities with a permitted activity status in the City Centre Zone, as being reflective of the outcomes that are anticipated for a Central Business District.	Retain CCZ-R4 (Recreation activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.36		Support	Supports the range of permitted activities provided for in the City Centre Zone (CCZ-R1 to CCZ-R12).	Retain rule CCZ-R5 (Arts, culture, and entertainment activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.9		Support	Supports the range of activities with a permitted activity status in the City Centre Zone, as being reflective of the outcomes that are anticipated for a Central Business District.	Retain CCZ-R5 (Arts, culture and entertainment activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.37		Support	Supports the range of permitted activities provided for in the City Centre Zone (CCZ-R1 to CCZ-R12).	Retain rule CCZ-R6 (Emergency service facilities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.1		Support	Supports the range of activities with a permitted activity status in the City Centre Zone, as being reflective of the outcomes that are anticipated for a Central Business District.	Retain CCZ-R6 (Emergency service facilities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.315		Support	Supports the rule as it makes provision for new emergency service facilities within the zone. Due to urban growth, population changes and commitments to response times, FENZ may need to locate stations anywhere within the urban and rural environment.	Retain CCZ-R6 (Emergency service facilities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.38		Support		Retain rule CCZ-R7 (Marae activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 36 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Century Group Limited	238.11	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R7	Support	Supports the range of activities with a permitted activity status in the City Centre Zone, as being reflective of the outcomes that are anticipated for a Central Business District.	Retain CCZ-R7 (Marae activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.39	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R8	Support	Supports the range of permitted activities provided for in the City Centre Zone (CCZ-R1 to CCZ-R12).	Retain rule CCZ-R8 (Community corrections activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.12	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre	Support	Supports the range of activities with a permitted activity status in the City Centre Zone, as being reflective of the outcomes that are anticipated for a Central Business District.	Retain CCZ-R8 (Community corrections activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	240.6	Zone / CCZ-R8 Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R8	Support	Considers that community corrections activities are essential social infrastructure and play a valuable role in reducing reoffending. They enable people and communities to provide for their social and cultural well-being and for their health and safety. It is important that provision is made to enable noncustodial community corrections sites to establish, operate and redevelop, within appropriate areas, as the demand for these services is likely to increase as a result of urban intensification. The permitted activity status (enabled by the associated policies) is appropriate in the context of the current and potential future establishment and operation of a community corrections facility or facilities within these areas in Wellington City.	Retain CCZ-R8 (Community corrections activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R9	Support	Supports the range of permitted activities provided for in the City Centre Zone (CCZ-R1 to CCZ-R12).	Retain rule CCZ-R9 (Public transport activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.13	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R9	Support	Supports the range of activities with a permitted activity status in the City Centre Zone, as being reflective of the outcomes that are anticipated for a Central Business District.	Retain CCZ-R9 (Public transport activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.41	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R10	Support	Supports the range of permitted activities provided for in the City Centre Zone (CCZ-R1 to CCZ-R12).	Retain rule CCZ-R10 (Visitor accommodation activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.14	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R10	Support	Supports the range of activities with a permitted activity status in the City Centre Zone, as being reflective of the outcomes that are anticipated for a Central Business District.	Retain CCZ-R10 (Visitor accommodation activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.42	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R11	Support	Supports the range of permitted activities provided for in the City Centre Zone (CCZ-R1 to CCZ-R12).	Retain rule CCZ-R11 (Repair and maintenance service activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.15	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R11	Support	Supports the range of activities with a permitted activity status in the City Centre Zone, as being reflective of the outcomes that are anticipated for a Central Business District.	Retain CCZ-R11 (Repair and maintenance service activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.43	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R12	Support	Supports the range of permitted activities provided for in the City Centre Zone (CCZ-R1 to CCZ-R12).	Retain rule CCZ-R12 (Residential activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.16	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R12	Support	Supports the range of activities with a permitted activity status in the City Centre Zone, as being reflective of the outcomes that are anticipated for a Central Business District.	Retain CCZ-R12 (Residential activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	240.61	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R12	Support	Considers that the permitted activity status (enabled by the associated policies) is appropriate in the context of the establishment and operation of supported and transitional accommodation activities, such as those provided for by Ara Poutama; i.e. people living in a residential situation, who are subject to support and/or supervision by Ara Poutama.	Retain CCZ-R12 (Residential activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Stratum Management Limited	249.28	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R12	Amend	Amend point (iv) of the rule to ensure consistency.	Amend CCZ-R12 (Residential activities) as follows: iv. At ground level on any site not contained within a Natural Hazard Overlay.	Accept in part.	Yes
Stratum Management Limited	249.29	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R12	Amend	Considers that the establishment of a residential activity is provided for as a permitted activity with four conditions. None of those conditions would lead to a requirement for limited notification.	Seeks that the notification status under CCZ-R12 (Residential activities) is amended to preclude both limited notification and public notification.	Accept.	Yes
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.112	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R12	Support	Supports residential activities being permitted in the City Centre zone.	Retain CCZ-R12 (Residential activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.722	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R12		Supports this rule in part as residential activities should be enabled in the City Centres, but seeks that: Active frontages are only applied to key roads Considers it is unclear why verandah coverage is an issue for residential development particularly when ground floor development is controlled on active frontages and non-residential activity frontages in accordance with LCZ-P4 Reference to natural hazards is removed as it is considered these matters are controlled by Natural Hazard rules and the proposed wording is inconsistent with this approach as this encourages residential development in hazard overlay areas. Considers this is unnecessary duplication	Retain CCZ-R12 (Residential activities) and seeks amendment.	Accept in part.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 37 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.723	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R12	Amend	Supports this rule in part as residential activities should be enabled in the City Centres, but seeks that:	Amend CCZ-R12 (Residential activities) to only apply active frontages where necessary such as along principal roads/arterials not necessary along connecting streets as follows:	Accept in part.	Yes
		Zone / CCZ-R12		Active frontages are only applied to key roads	Activity status: Permitted		
				Considers it is unclear why verandah coverage is an issue for residential development particularly	where:		
				when ground floor development is controlled on active frontages and non-residential activity frontages in accordance with LCZ-P4	a. The activity is located: i. Above ground floor level; or		
				Reference to natural hazards is removed as it is considered these matters are controlled by	ii. At ground floor level, or ii. At ground floor level along any street edge not identified as an active frontage.; or-		
				Natural Hazard rules and the proposed wording is inconsistent with this approach as this	iii. At ground level along any street not identified as requiring verandah coverage; or		
				encourages residential development in hazard overlay areas. Considers this is unnecessary	iv. At ground level on any site contained within a Natural Hazard Overlay.		
				duplication			
					2. Activity status: Discretionary <u>Restricted Discretionary</u>		
Kāinga Ora Homes and	391.724	Commercial and mixed	Amend	Supports this rule in part as residential activities should be enabled in the City Centres, but seeks	Amend CCZ-R12 (Residential activities) as follows:	Reject.	No.
Communities		use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R12		that:	Activity status: Discretionary Restricted Discretionary		
		Zone / CCZ KIZ		the activity status for non-compliance is amended to Restricted Discretionary and appropriate	2. Activity status. Districtionally inconnected discretionally		
				matters of discretion are restricted to Policy 7 and 8 matters.	where:		
					a. Compliance with the requirements of CCZR12.1.a cannot be achieved.		
					Notification status: An application for resource consent made in respect of rule CCZ-R12.2.a is		
					precluded from being publicly notified.		
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.725	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre	Amend	Supports this rule in part as residential activities should be enabled in the City Centres, but seeks that:	Seeks to add matters of discretion to CCZ-R12 (Residential activities) that are limited to simple design limitations.	Reject.	No.
Communities		Zone / CCZ-R12		that:	design limitations.		
				• the activity status for non-compliance is amended to Restricted Discretionary and appropriate			
	101.55			matters of discretion are restricted to Policy 7 and 8 matters			
Oyster Management Limited	404.66	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre	Support	Supports residential activities being permitted in the City Centre Zone.	Retain CCZ-R12 (Residential activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Lillited		Zone / CCZ-R12					
Century Group Limited	238.17		Support	Supports the range of activities with a permitted activity status in the City Centre Zone, as being	Retain CCZ-R13 (Industrial activities, excluding repair and maintenance service activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
		use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R13		reflective of the outcomes that are anticipated for a Central Business District.			
Victoria University of	123.59	Commercial and mixed	Support	Supports the discouragement of ground-level car parks in the city centre.	Retain CCZ-R14 (Car-parking activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Wellington Students'		use Zones / City Centre					
Association		Zone / CCZ-R14			[Inferred decision requested]		
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.44			Considers there may be circumstances where there are functional needs to provide car parking at ground level. It is more appropriate for notification to be determined on a case-by-case basis in	Amend CCZ-R14 (Carparking activities) to remove mandatory notification for at grade car parks.	Reject.	No.
New Zealand Limited		Zone / CCZ-R14	part	these circumstances and for the effects of this activity to be considered as a Restricted			
		·		Discretionary activity.			
Precinct Properties	139.45	Commercial and mixed		Considers there may be circumstances where there are functional needs to provide car parking at	Amend CCZ-R14 (Carparking activities) activity status from Discretionary to Restricted Discretionary	Reject.	No.
New Zealand Limited		use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R14	part	ground level. It is more appropriate for notification to be determined on a case-by-case basis in these circumstances and for the effects of this activity to be considered as a Restricted	for non-compliance with the permitted activity conditions.		
		Zone / CCZ KI4		Discretionary activity.			
Century Group Limited	238.18		Support	Supports the range of activities with a permitted activity status in the City Centre Zone, as being	Retain CCZ-R14 (Carparking activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
		use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R14		reflective of the outcomes that are anticipated for a Central Business District.			
McDonald's	274.61	Commercial and mixed	Oppose	Opposed to the Discretionary Activity status for car parking activities that do not comply with the	Retain CCZ-R14 (Carparking activities), subject to amendments outlined other submission points.	Reject.	No.
		use Zones / City Centre		permitted activity requirements. Furthermore, if the car parking is not visible then this should be a			
McDonald's	274.62	Zone / CCZ-R14	Amond	should be a permitted activity as per the other centre zones.	Amond CC7 D44.1 (Corneyling activities) as follows:	Delega	No
INICOGNAIG S	2/4.02	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre	Amend	Opposed to the Discretionary Activity status for car parking activities that do not comply with the permitted activity requirements. Furthermore, if the car parking is not visible then this should be a	Amend CCZ-R14.1 (Carparking activities) as follows:	Reject.	No.
		Zone / CCZ-R14		should be a permitted activity as per the other centre zones.	1. Activity status: Permitted		
					Where:		
					a. The activity involves: i. Provision of carparks not visible at the street edge or public space; or		
					+ ii. Provision of carparks above ground floor level; or		
					#- iii. Provision of carparks below ground floor level; or		
					iii. iv. Provision of parking spaces for people with disabilities; or		
					iv. v. Provision of ground floor level carparks that form part of a building specifically constructed and used for carparking purposes.		
Waka Kataki NZ	FC102.27	Days 2 / Communication	Oppg	Cases in the centres is valuable but the use of the	Disallow	Assent	No.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS103.27	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones /	Oppose	Space in the centres is valuable, but the use of that space can have a wide range of effects (negative and positive), including on the character, perceptions of safety, road user behaviour, walkability and	DISAHOW	Accept.	INU.
		City Centre Zone / CCZ-		choice of transport mode. It is considered appropriate that non-compliant car parking provision			
		R14		consider a wide range of effects.			

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 38 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
McDonald's	274.63	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R14	Amend	Opposed to the Discretionary Activity status for car parking activities that do not comply with the permitted activity requirements. Furthermore, if the car parking is not visible then this should be a should be a permitted activity as per the other centre zones.	Amend CCZ-R14.2 (Carparking activities) as follows: 2. Activity status: Discretionary Restricted Discretionary Where: a. Compliance with the requirements of CCZ-R14.1.a cannot be achieved.	Reject.	No.
McDonald's	274.64	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R14	Oppose	Opposes the requirement for public notification of any carparks at ground level in the City Centre Zone	Belete the notification clause under CCZ-R14.2 (Carparking activities) as follows: Notification status: An application for resource consent made in respect of rule CCZ-R14.2, a must be publicly notified.	Reject.	No.
Woolworths New Zealand	359.86	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R14	Amend	Considers that CCZ-R14.2 should be amended so that the activity status of this standard infringement is changed to restricted discretionary. It is considered that this status, plus the suggested matters of discretion, will ensure an appropriate assessment of effects is undertaken, whilst providing a level of certainty to applicants that where activities are anticipated, such assessments will be rational and streamlined. The mandatory public notification status for infringing is proposed to be deleted as this is unnecessarily onerous in the context of the infringement.	Amend CCZ-R14.2 (Carparking activities) as follows: 2. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary	Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.23	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R14	Support	Submission point 359.86 supports FSNI submission 476.53 and 476.54.	Allow / Allow submission in part.	Reject.	No.
Reading Wellington Properties Limited	441.4		Oppose	Opposes the requirement for full public notification of any resource consents sought under Rule CC2 R14.2. Reading Wellington Properties Limited hold resource consents for ground level car parking on two of our sites (200 Wakefield Street and 24 Tory Street). Both were granted on a non-notified basis, on the fact that adverse effects were appropriately mitigated. The mitigation meant neither full or limited notification was necessary. The notification clause is opposed and should be removed, as it prevents applications for such an activity from being assessed on their merits. Decisions on notification should be made on the basis of effect, not on the basis of a rule.	Amend CCZ-R14.2. (Carparking activities) by removing the notification clause.	Reject.	No.
Reading Wellington Properties Limited	441.5	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R14	Amend	Opposes the requirement for full public notification of any resource consents sought under Rule CCZ R14.2. Reading Wellington Properties Limited hold resource consents for ground level car parking on two of our sites (200 Wakefield Street and 24 Tory Street). Both were granted on a non-notified basis, on the fact that adverse effects were appropriately mitigated. The mitigation meant neither full or limited notification was necessary. The notification clause is opposed and should be removed, as it prevents applications for such an activity from being assessed on their merits. Decisions on notification should be made on the basis of effect, not on the basis of a rule.	Amend CCZ-R14.2. (Carparking activities) by removing the notification clause.	Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	476.53	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R14	Oppose	Opposes the Discretionary Activity status in CCZ-R14 for car parking activities that do not comply with the Permitted Activity requirements.	Amend CCZ-R14 (Carparking activities) as follows: 2. Activity status: Discretionary Restricted Discretionary	Reject.	No.
Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS84.102	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R14	Oppose	Greater Wellington oppose this submission point. A "discretionary" activity status provides necessary controls for carparking activities which align with the direction of Proposed RPS Change 1, including objectives CC.1 and CC.3, and policies CC.1, CC.3 and CC.9	Disallow / Seeks that the provisions be retained as notified and support provisions that support active transport nodes.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	476.54		Amend	Opposes the Discretionary Activity status in CCZ-R14 for car parking activities that do not comply with the Permitted Activity requirements.	Amend CCZ-R14 (Carparking activities) as follows: 2. Activity status: Discretionary Restricted Discretionary	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 39 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Foodstuffs North Island	476.55	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R14	Amend	Considers that if the carparking is not visible then this should be a permitted activity in CCZ-R14 as per the other centre zones.	Amend CCZ-R14 (Carparking activities) as follows: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The activity involves: i. Provision of carparks not visible at the street edge or public space; or ii. Provision of carparks above ground floor level; or	Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	476.56	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R14	Oppose	Opposes the requirement in CCZ-R14 for public notification of any carparks at ground level in the City Centre Zone.	Amend CCZ-R14 (Carparking activities) as follows: Notification status: An application for resource consent made in respect of rule CCZ-R14-2-a. must be published notified.	Reject.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.19	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R15	Support	Supports the range of activities with a permitted activity status in the City Centre Zone, as being reflective of the outcomes that are anticipated for a Central Business District.	Retain CCZ-R15 (Yard-based retailing activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.119		Support in part	CCZ-R15 (yard-based retail activities) is supported in so much as it provides for yard-based retail activities (the definition of which includes service stations) as a discretionary activity.	Retain CCZ-R15 (Yard-based retailing activities) with amendment.	Accept in part.	Yes
Z Energy Limited	361.120	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R15	Amend	Considers that CCZ-R15 should be amended to not require public notification, as it may have a range of unintended outcomes. For instance, the requirement for public notification for any operational change, upgrading or maintenance to an existing yard-based activity where public notification would be more appropriately determined through standard notification tests. It may also discourage existing activities from undertaking important maintenance and upgrades, for instance, to better accord with good practise, introduce new technologies, or change to meet demand. CCZ-R15 should be clarified to address operation, maintenance, and upgrade of existing service station / yard-based retail activity are not subject to this requirement, which is not considered appropriate for existing activities. An additional exclusion to the notification status is appropriate only where the existing or new activity is located on the edge of the zone or adjacent to an arterial or collector road. These locations and/or interfaces do not have nor should they expect the same urban design outcomes and levels of visual amenity compared to a centrally located site in the CCZ for example. A service station, for example, would not impact the function and vitality of a centre zone if it were located on the edge of the zone where it can appropriately transition to an adjoining zone.	Amend CCZ-R15 (Yard-based retailing activities) as follows: 1. Activity status: Discretionary Notification Status: An application for resource consent made in respect of rule CCZ-R15 must be publicly notified except: a. The activity relates to the maintenance, operation and upgrading of an existing activity; b. The new or existing activity adjoins another commercial zone, a residential zone or an arterial or collector Road.	Accept in part.	Yes
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.153	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R15		CCZ-R15 is supported in so much as the rule provides for yard-based retail activities as a discretionary activity. It is understood that an application for resource consent made in respect of this rule, however, must be publicly notified in accordance with the Notification Status.	Retain CCZ-R15 (Yard-based retailing activities) with amendment.	Reject.	No.
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.154	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R15	Amend	Considers that CCZ-R15 should be amended as the notification requirement is not supported as it may have a range of unintended outcomes. For instance, without clarification, it may require public notification for any operational change, upgrading or maintenance to an existing yard-based activity where public notification would be more appropriately determined through standard notification tests. It may therefore also discourage existing activities from undertaking important maintenance and upgrades, for instance, to meet requirements of HSNO / HSWA legislation, better accord with good practise, introduce new technologies, or necessary changes to meet demand. Ongoing operation, maintenance, and upgrades of existing service stations / yard-based retail activities should not be subject to this notification requirement, which is not appropriate for existing lawful activities. It is considered that an additional exclusion to the notification status is appropriate only where the existing or new activity is located on the edge of the zone or adjacent to an arterial or collector road. These locations and/or interfaces do not have, nor should they expect, the same urban design outcomes and levels of visual amenity compared to a centrally located site in the CCZ for example. A service station, for example, would not impact the function and vitality of a centre zone if it were located on the edge of the zone where it can appropriately transition to the adjoining zone.	Amend CCZ-R15 (Yard-based retailing activities) as follows: Notification Status: An application for resource consent made in respect of rule CCZ-R15 must be publicly notified except: a. The activity relates to the maintenance, operation and upgrading of an existing activity; b. The new or existing activity adjoins another commercial zone, a residential zone or an arterial or collector Road.	Reject.	No.
Century Group Limited		Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R16	Support	Supports the range of activities with a permitted activity status in the City Centre Zone, as being reflective of the outcomes that are anticipated for a Central Business District.	Retain CCZ-R16 (All other land use activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.46	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R17	Support	Supports the permitted status for maintenance and repair of buildings under CCZ-R17 (Maintenance and repair of buildings and structures)	Retain CCZ-R17 (Maintenance and repair of buildings and structures) as notified.	Accept.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 40 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.316	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R17	Support	Supports the rule as the demolition or removal of buildings and structures within the CCZ is a permitted activity	Retain CCZ-R17 (Maintenance and repair of buildings and structures) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.193	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R17	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-R17 (Maintenance and repair of buildings and structures) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.113	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R17	Support	Supports maintenance and repair of existing buildings and structures being permitted.	Retain CCZ-R17 (Maintenance and repair of buildings and structures_ as notified.	Accept.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.67	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R17	Support	Supports repair and maintenance of existing buildings being a Permitted activity.	Retain CCZ-R17 (Maintenance and repair of buildings and structures) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.317	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R18	Support	Supports the rule as the demolition or removal of buildings and structures within the CCZ is a permitted activity.	Retain CCZ-R18 (Demolition or removal of buildings and structures) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.194	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R18	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-R18 (Demolition or removal of buildings and structures) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.278	Commercial and mixed		Supports the permitted activity status for the demolition of buildings provided that building waste is properly disposed of. This gives effect to Policy 34 of the operative RPS.	Retain CCZ-R18 (Demolition or removal of buildings and structures) with amendment.	Reject.	No.
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.279	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R18	Amend	Supports the permitted activity status for the demolition of buildings provided that building waste is properly disposed of. This gives effect to Policy 34 of the operative RPS.	Amend CCZ-R18 (Demolition or removal of buildings and structures) to include a rule requirement that permitted activity status is subject to building and demolition waste being disposed of at an approved facility.	Reject.	No.
Limited	383.114	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R18	Amend	Supports demolition or removal of a building being permitted where it is required for the purposes of constructing a new building or adding to or altering an existing building. However, Argosy opposes that demolition or removal of a building that cannot comply with CCZ-R18.1.a or b would require resource consent as a non-complying activity. There may be practical reasons why a building might need to be demolished or removed before a resource consent is sought for a new building, for example if a staged development is being undertaken. It would be more appropriate for this rule to be a restricted discretionary activity. The notification status for rule CCZ-R18.2.a is supported.	Amend CCZ-R18.2 (Demolition or removal of buildings and structures): 2. Activity status: Non-complying Restricted discretionary	Reject.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.726		Support in part	Supports this rule in part but seeks clarification, and any necessary amendments, to ensure that this rule will not have an unintended consequence of constraining staged developments.	Seeks to amend CCZ-R18 (Demolition or removal of buildings and structures) as necessary to avoid potential unintended consequence of constraining staged development.	Reject.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.68		Support in part	Supports demolition or removal of a building being permitted where it is required for the purposes of constructing a new building or adding to or altering an existing building.	Retain CCZ-R18 (Demolition or removal of buildings and structures) with amendments.	Reject.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.69	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R18	Amend	Opposes demolition that cannot comply with CCZ-R18.1.a or CCZ-R18.1.b being a non-complying activity. Considers there are practical reasons for demolition being required before consent is granted for a new building, e.g. in the case of staged developments. Considers an RD activity status would be appropriate.	Amend CCZ-R18 (Demolition or removal of buildings and structures) as follows: 2. Activity status: Non-complying Restricted discretionary	Reject.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.7	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R18	Support	Supports notification status for rule CCZ-R18.2.a.	Retain notification status of CCZ-R18.2.a (Demolition or removal of buildings and structures) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Fabric Property Limited		Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R18	part	Seeks amendments to CCZ-R18 to provide for demolition as a restricted discretionary activity where it does not comply with CCZ-R18.1. Supports the intention of the rule to enable demolition of this rule to provide for a new building, and supports the preclusion of public and limited notification, we have concerns that as notified it may constrain staged developments that require demolition and clearing of a larger site to enable development. A restricted discretionary activity status with preclusion of notification would provide greater certainty for development while ensuring that Council still retains appropriate discretion to ensure quality design outcomes.	Opposes the Non-complying activity status at CCZ-R18.2 (Demolition or removal of buildings and structures).	Reject.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.61	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R18	Amend	Seeks amendments to CCZ-R18 to provide for demolition as a restricted discretionary activity where it does not comply with CCZ-R18.1. Supports the intention of the rule to enable demolition of this rule to provide for a new building, and supports the preclusion of public and limited notification, we have concerns that as notified it may constrain staged developments that require demolition and clearing of a larger site to enable development. A restricted discretionary activity status with preclusion of notification would provide greater certainty for development while ensuring that Council still retains appropriate discretion to ensure quality design outcomes.	Option 1: Amend CCZ-R18.2 (Demolition or removal of buildings and structures) to have a Restricted Discretionary activity status as follows: 2. Activity Status: Non-complyingRestricted Discretionary	Reject.	No.

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Fabric Property Limited	425.62	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R18	Amend	Alternatively, seeks for a discretionary activity status, which would be consistent with MCZ-R19 in the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Option 2: Amend CCZ-R18.2 (Demolition or removal of buildings and structures) to have a Discretionary activity status as follows:	Reject.	No.
					2. Activity Status: Non complying <u>Discretionary</u>		
Paul Burnaby	44.15		Support in part	CCZ-R19.2 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) is partially supported because of the preclusion of public notification.	Retain the preclusion for public notification under CCZ-R19 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Paul Burnaby	44.16		Oppose in part	CCZ-R19.2 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) is partially opposed because of the preclusion for limited notification.	Amend CCZ-R19 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) as follows: Notification status: An application for resource consent made in respect of rule CCZ-R19.2.a which results in non-compliance with CCZ-S5, CCZ-S9, CCZ-S10, CCZ-S11, CCZ-S12 and CCZ-S13 is precluded from being either publicly er limited notified. [Inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.47		Support in part	Supports the permitted activity status for activities that comply with the specified conditions.	Retain CCZ-R19.1 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.48	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Support in part	Supports the preclusion of limited and public notification under CCZ-R19.2.	Retain notification clauses under CCZ-R19.2 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.49		Support in part	Seeks that the references to the design guides in the matters of discretion of CCZ-R19 are removed and replaced with references to the specific design outcomes that are sought. It is not appropriate to provide the Council discretion to consider all matters in the Design Guide. This does not give any clear direction or certainty for applicants, and would be onerous for the preparation and assessment of resource consent applications.	Amend CCZ-R19.2 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) so that the references to the design guides in the matters of discretion are removed and replaced with references to the specific design outcomes that are sought.	Accept in part.	Yes
Wellington City Council	266.157	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Amend		Amend CCZ-R19.2 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) as follows: Notification status: An application for resource consent made in respect of rule CCZ-R19.2.a which complies with all standards is precluded from being either publicly or limited notified. ()	Accept.	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.245	art 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19		The RVA supports the relief sought in this submission in so far as it is consistent with The RVA's primary submission.	Amend / Allow the submission point subject to the relief sought in The RVA's primary submission.	Accept.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.245	art 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Not specified	Ryman supports the relief sought in this submission in so far as it is consistent with Ryman's primary submission.	Amend / Allow the submission point subject to the relief sought in Ryman's primary submission.	Accept.	Yes
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.318	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Support	Supports the rule as the construction or, or additions and alterations to, buildings and structures within the CCZ is a permitted activity.	Retain CCZ-R19 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) as notified.	Accept.	No.
McDonald's	274.65		Oppose in part	Oppose the requirement for restricted discretionary consent where additions and alterations change the exterior to the building above veranda level and are visible from public spaces.	Retain CCZ-R19 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures), subject to amendment outlined other submission points.	Reject.	No.
McDonald's	274.66		Amend	Considers where compliance can be achieved with the relevant standards, this should be a permitted activity otherwise McDonald's considered there to be a risk that this will result in the perverse outcome of parts of exterior facades not being updated.	Seeks the following amendment to CCZ-R19 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) as follows: 1a. Any alterations or additions to a building or structure that: i. Do not alter the external appearance of the building or structure; or iii. Relate to a building frontage below veranda level, including entranceways and glazing and compliance with CCZ-S8 is achieved; or iii. Do not result in the creation of new residential units; and iv. Are not visible from public spaces; and v. i. Comply with standards CCZ-S1, CCZ-S2, CCZ-S3, CCZ-S4, CCZ-S5, CCZS6, CCZ-S7 and CCZ-S8.	Reject.	No.

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Restaurant Brands Limited Retirement Villages	349.195	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Oppose Support in	Opposed to the cross reference to the Centres and Mixed-Use Design Guide within the matters of discretion. The cross reference to the policies of the CCZ is sufficient to ensure that development achieves a "good quality, well-functioning environment" as required by CCZ-O3. Supports additions and alterations to a retirement village being provided for as a permitted or	Amend CCZ-R19 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) as follows: Matters of discretion are: 4. The Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide, including guideline G107—City Outcomes Contribution for any building that exceeds the maximum height requirement and either comprises 50 or more residential units or is a non-residential building; and \$4	Accept in part.	Yes
Association of New Zealand Incorporated	330.233	use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	part	restricted discretionary activity under CCZ-R19. Does not oppose the inclusion of matters of discretion in Clause 2 relating to the extent and effect of non-compliance with CCZ/R1 – CCZ-S13. Considers however the matters of discretion in Clause 1 are not appropriate. The listed policies are broad and not specific to the effects of retirement villages that require management. Furthermore, opposes the inclusion of CCZ-P11 in Clause 1 relating to the City Outcomes Contribution or the reasons provided in response to CCZ-P11 above. Considers that due to an absence of any reference to retirement villages in the Centres and Mixed Use and Residential Design Guides their inclusion as matters of discretion in Clauses 3 and 4 are not of relevance / applicable to retirement villages and should be deleted. Considers that a set of retirement village specific matters of discretion should be included that are based on the MDRS provisions; consider / acknowledge the positive effects offered by retirement villages; the functional and operational needs of retirement villages; and the need to provide for efficient use of larger sites.	Recall CC2-N29 (Attendions and additions to buildings and structures) and seeks amenument.	nejet.	NO.
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.296	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Amend	Supports additions and alterations to a retirement village being provided for as a permitted or restricted discretion any activity under CCZ-R19. Does not oppose the inclusion of matters of discretion in Clause 2 relating to the extent and effect of non-compliance with CCZPS1. Considers however the matters of discretion in Clause 1 are not appropriate. The listed policies are broad and not specific to the effects of retirement villages that require management. Furthermore, opposes the inclusion of CCZ-P11 in Clause 1 relating to the City Outcomes Contribution or the reasons provided in response to CCZ-P11 above. Considers that due to an absence of any reference to retirement villages in the Centres and Mixed Use and Residential Design Guides their inclusion as matters of discretion in Clauses 3 and 4 are not of relevance / applicable to retirement villages and should be deleted. Considers that a set of retirement village specific matters of discretion should be included that are based on the MDRS provisions; consider / acknowledge the positive effects offered by retirement villages; the functional and operational needs of retirement villages; and the need to provide for efficient use of larger sites.	Amend CCZ-R19 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) as follows: 2. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: 1. The matters in CCZ-P4, CCZ-P5, CCZ-P6, CCZ-P7, CCZ-P8 CCZ-P9, CCZ-P10, CCZ-P11 and CCZ-P12 (this clause is not applicable to retirement villages); 4. The Centres and Mixed-Use Design Guide; including guideline G107—City Outcomes—Contribution for any building that exceeds the maximum height requirement and either comprises 50 or more residential units or is a non-residential building (this clause is not applicable to retirement villages); and 5. The Residential Design Guide (this clause is not applicable to retirement villages); and 6. For retirement villages: i. The effects of the retirement village on the safety of adjacent streets or public open spaces; ii. The effects of the retirement village on the safety of adjacent streets or public open spaces; ii. The effects of the retirement village and adjacent streets or public open spaces; iii. When assessing the matters in 2(a)(2), and 2(a)(6)(i)—(iii), consider; a. The need to provide for efficient use of larger sites; and b. The functional and operational needs of the retirement village. For clarity, no other rules or matters of discretion relating to the effects of density apply to buildings for a retirement village.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 43 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.297		Amend	Supports additions and alterations to a retirement village being provided for as a permitted or restricted discretionary activity under CCZ-R19. Does not oppose the inclusion of matters of discretion in Clause 2 relating to the extent and effect of non-compliance with CCZ@SI – CCZ-S13. Considers however the matters of discretion in Clause 1 are not appropriate. The listed policies are broad and not specific to the effects of retirement villages that require management. Furthermore, opposes the inclusion of CCZ-P11 in Clause 1 relating to the City Outcomes Contribution or the reasons provided in response to CCZ-P11 above. Considers that due to an absence of any reference to retirement villages in the Centres and Mixed Use and Residential Design Guides their inclusion as matters of discretion in Clauses 3 and 4 are not of relevance / applicable to retirement villages and should be deleted. Considers that a set of retirement village specific matters of discretion should be included that are based on the MDRS provisions; consider / acknowledge the positive effects offered by retirement villages; the functional and operational needs of retirement villages; and the need to provide for efficient use of larger sites.	Amend CCZ-R19 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) as follows: Notification status: An application for resource consent made in respect of rule CCZ-R19.2 which complies with CCZ-S5, CCZ-S9, CCZ-S10, CCZ-S11, CCZ-S12 and CCZ-S13 is precluded from being either publicly or limited notified. An application for resource consent made in respect of rule CCZ-R19.2 which results from non-compliance with CCZ-S1, CCZ-S2, CCZ-S3, CCZ-S4, CCZ-S6, CCZ-S7 and CCZ-S8 is precluded from being publicly notified. An application for resource consent for a retirement village made in respect of rule CCZ-R19.2 is precluded from being publicly notified. An application for resource consent for a retirement village made in respect of rule CCZ-R19.2 is	Reject.	No.
					where compliance is achieved with CCZ-S1 - CCZ-S3 is precluded from being limited notified.		
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.115	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Amend	Supports that alterations and additions to buildings or structures are permitted, however the requirement that they do not alter the external appearance of a building or structure would likely make all alterations and additions non-compliant with the permitted activity rule. It is considered that the other standards are sufficient to control alterations and additions that can occur as a permitted activity. Argosy also supports alterations and additions to buildings or structures that do not comply with CCZ-R19.1 being a restricted discretionary activity. However, Argosy opposes Policy CCZ-P11 and the Centres and Mixed-Use Design Guide guideline G107 – City Outcomes Contribution being included in matters of discretion, as stated above. Supports applications for resource consent made in respect of CCZ-R19.2.a being precluded from limited or public notification because this is appropriate for alterations or additions to existing buildings within a city centre to achieve the intended development capacity.	Amend CCZ-R19 (Additions and alterations to buildings and structures): 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Any alterations or additions to a building or structure that: i. Do not alter the external appearance of the building or structure; or 2. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. Compliance with any of the requirements of CCZ-R19.1 cannot be achieved. Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters in CCZ-P4, CCZ-P5, CCZ-P6, CCZ-P7, CCZ-P8 CCZ-P9, CCZ-P10, CCZ-P11 and CCZ-P12; 2. The extent and effect of non-compliance with CCZ-S1, CCZ-S2, CCZ-S3, CCZ-S5, CCZ-S6, CCZ-S7, CCZ-S9, CCZ-S9, CCZ-S9, CCZ-S12, CCZ-S12, CCZ-S12, CCZ-S13; 3. Construction impacts on the transport network; 4. The Centres and Mixed-Use Design Guide, including guideline G107 - City Outcomes Contribution for any building that exceeds the maximum height requirement and either comprises 50 or more residential units or is a non-residential building; and 5. The Residential Design Guide.	Reject.	No.
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.11	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R19	Support	The relief sought will enable intensification, consistent with the intent of the Enabling Housing Act.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.11	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R19	Support	The relief sought will enable intensification, consistent with the intent of the Enabling Housing Act.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.727	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Support in part	Supports this rule in part, and particularly the preclusion of public and limited notification. Seeks amendments to remove direct reference to the design guide and to instead articulate the urban design outcomes that are sought, and to remove reference to the "City Outcomes Contribution". Considers it is unclear why the creation of new residential units needs control as residential activities are encouraged in the City Centre and other rules control the location of residential activities.	Retain CCZ-R19 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) and seeks amendments.	Reject.	No.

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.728	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Amend	Supports this rule in part, and particularly the preclusion of public and limited notification. Seeks amendments to remove direct reference to the design guide and to instead articulate the urban design outcomes that are sought, and to remove reference to the "City Outcomes Contribution". Considers it is unclear why the creation of new residential units needs control as residential activities are encouraged in the City Centre and other rules control the location of residential activities.	Amend CCZ-R19 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) as follows: Activity status: Permitted where: iii. Do not result in the creation of new residential units; and 2. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters in CCZ-P4. CCZ-P5. CCZ-P6. CCZ-P7. CCZ-P8 CCZ-P9. CCZ-P10. CCZ-P11.	Reject.	No.
					2. The extent and effect of non-compliance with CCZIS1, CCZ-S2, CCZ-S4, CCZ-S5, CCZ-S6, CCZ-S7, CCZ-S8, CCZ-S9, CCZ-S14, CCZ-S14, CCZ-S12, CCZ-S7, CCZ-S8, CCZ-S9, CC		
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.163	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R19	Not specified	The RVA supports the intent of the relief sought, however, The RVA seeks for new rules to be included specifically for retirement villages in line with The RVA's primary submission.	Amend / Allow the submission point, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission regarding the changes sought for retirement villages under CCZ-R19 and CCZ-R20.	Reject.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.163	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R19	Not specified	Ryman supports the intent of the relief sought, however, Ryman seeks for new rules to be included specifically for retirement villages in line with Ryman's primary submission.	Amend / Allow the submission point, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission regarding the changes sought for retirement villages under CCZ-R19 and CCZ-R20.	Reject.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.71	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19		Supports that additions and alterations are Permitted.	Retain CCZ-R19 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) with amendments.	Reject.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.72	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Amend	Supports that additions and alterations are Permitted. Does not support that the Permitted activity status requires there to be no alterations to external appearance of the building. The submitter considers that this requirement would likely make all alterations and additions non-compliant with the permitted activity rule. Considers that other standards are sufficient to control alterations as a Permitted activity.	Amend CCZ-R19.1.a (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) to: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Any alterations or additions to a building or structure that: i. Do not alter the external appearance of the building or structure; or iii. Relate to a building frontage below verandah level, including entranceways and glazing and compliance with CCZ-S8 is achieved; or iii. Do not result in the creation of new residential units; and iv. Are not visible from public spaces; and v. Comply with standards CCZ-S1, CCZ-S2, CCZ-S3, CCZ-S4, CCZ-S6, CCZ-S7 and CCZ-S8.	Reject	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.73	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Amend	Supports that additions and alterations that are unable to comply with CCZ-R19.1 being a RD activity.	Retain CCZ-R19.2 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.74	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Support	Supports that applications for consent under CCZ-R19.2.a being precluded from public and limited notification.	Retain CCZ-R19.2 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Investore Property Limited	405.132	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Support in part	Considers that design guides are reference documents that sit best outside the district plan, rather than being formally incorporated into the district plan.	Retain CCZ-R19.2 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) and seeks amendment.	Accept in part.	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated		Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R19	Not specified	The RVA supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with the RVA's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement villages from having to apply the Design Guides, given retirement villages have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in so far as it is inconsistent with the RVA's primary submission.	Accept in part.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.103	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R19	Not specified	Ryman supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement villages from having to apply the Design Guides, given retirement villages have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in so far as it is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission.	Accept in part.	Yes
Investore Property Limited	405.133	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Amend	Considers that design guides are reference documents that sit best outside the district plan, rather than being formally incorporated into the district plan.	Amend CCZ-R19.2 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) to remove the Design Guide as a matter of discretion and replace with specific design outcomes that are sought.	Accept in part.	Yes

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 45 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.104	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R19	Not specified	The RVA supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with the RVA's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement villages from having to apply the Design Guides, given retirement villages have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in so far as it is inconsistent with the RVA's primary submission.	Accept in part.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.104	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R19	Not specified	Ryman supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement villages from having to apply the Design Guides, given retirement villages have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in so far as it is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission.	Accept in part.	Yes
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.168	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Amend	Submitter generally supports the intent of the Design Guides, but opposes their inclusion in the District Plan for the following reasons: - In many areas, the Design Guides overlap with the objectives and policies in Part 3. This will cause confusion for both planners and developers in attempting to interpret the Design Guides alongside Part 3. In particular, the submitter queries how the 'Outcomes' in the Design Guides are to be read alongside other provisions in the plan. - It will be simpler to update the Design Guides to reflect best practice if they remain non-statutory. - The way the Design Guides are included as relevant criteria for restricted discretionary activities significantly expands the Council's discretion beyond what could normally be expected, for example, the Residential Design Guide contains various provisions dealing with internal areas such as G114-116 (internal living spaces) and G130-131 (internal storage).	Amend CCZ-R19.2 (Alterations and addittions to buildings and structures) as follows: Matters of discretion are: 4. The Centres and Mixed-Use Design Guide, including guideline G107 - City Outcomes Contribution for any building that exceeds the maximum height requirement and either comprises 50 or more residential units or is a non-residential building; and	Accept in part.	Yes
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.101	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Support	Submission point 416.168 supports FSNI submission point 476.1 & 476.102.	Allow	Accept.	No.
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.258	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R19	Not specified	The RVA supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with The RVA's primary submission.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in line with The RVA's primary submission.	Accept.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.258	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R19	Not specified	Ryman supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in line with Ryman's primary submission.	Accept.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.63	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Amend	Opposed to the 'City Outcomes Contributions' provisions, and specifically is opposed to requiring 'City Outcomes Contributions' for 'over height' development. While Fabric recognises the intent of these provisions in providing publicly beneficial outcomes, it is inappropriate for the provision of these publicly beneficial outcomes to be connected to non-compliance with height rules. Developments that breach height standards should instead be considered on their own merits and effects. The provision of beneficial outcomes in any development should be considered as part of the merits of a development, and should not be confined to a specified and required list. The 'City Outcomes Contributions' have the potential to act as a disincentive for development, which conflicts with the Proposed Plan strategic objectives and NPS-UD requirements of providing development capacity and providing for urban intensification. This would not achieve the aim of "density done well" as stated in the Design Guide. Seeks that all references to the City Outcomes Contributions be removed from the Proposed Plan and design guides.	Amend CCZ-R19.2.4 (City Outcomes Contribution) as follows: 3. Construction impacts on the transport network; and 4. The Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide, including guideline G107 - City Outcomes Contribution for any building that exceeds the maximum height requirement and either comprises 50 or more residential units or is a non-residential building; and	Reject.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.64	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Support in part	Supports CCZ-R19 in part, and in particular supports the preclusion of limited and public notification, and the permitted activity status for activities that comply with the specified conditions.	Retain CCZ-R19.2 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures), with amendments.	Reject.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.65	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Support in part	Supports CCZ-R19 in part, and in particular supports the preclusion of limited and public notification, and the permitted activity status for activities that comply with the specified conditions.	Retain CCZ-R19.1 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) as notified, with respect to the permitted activity status for activities that comply with the specified conditions.	Accept.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.66	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19		The requirement that additions and alterations do not alter the external appearance of a building or structure would likely make all alterations and additions non-compliant with the permitted activity rule. It is considered that the other standards are sufficient to control alterations and additions that can occur as a permitted activity, and Fabric opposes rule CCZR19.1. a.i.	Opposes CCZ-19.1.a.i (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) insofar as this would make all alterations and additions that alter the external appearance of the building non-compliant with the permitted activity rule.	Reject.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.67	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19		Seeks that the references to the design guides and Policy CCZ-P11 in the matters of discretion of CCZ R19 are removed and replaced with references to the specific design outcomes that are sought. It is not appropriate to provide that the Council's discretion to consider all matters in the design guides. This does not give any clear direction or certainty for applicants, and would be onerous for the preparation and assessment of resource consent applications.	Opposes CCZ-19.2.1 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) with respect to the CCZ- P11 (City outcomes contribution) as a matter of discretion, and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 46 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.25	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R19	Not specified	The RVA supports the relief sought in this submission where it seeks to remove the design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this inconsistent with The RVA's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement willages from having to apply the Design Guides, as they have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in line with The RVA's primary submission.	Reject.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.25	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R19	Not specified	Ryman supports the relief sought in this submission where it seeks to remove the design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement villages from having to apply the Design Guides, as they have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in line with Ryman's primary submission.	Reject.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.68	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19		Seeks that the references to the design guides and Policy CCZ-P11 in the matters of discretion of CCZ R19 are removed and replaced with references to the specific design outcomes that are sought. It is not appropriate to provide that the Council's discretion to consider all matters in the design guides. This does not give any clear direction or certainty for applicants, and would be onerous for the preparation and assessment of resource consent applications.	Opposes CCZ-19.2.4 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) with respect to the references to the design guides as a matter of discretion, and seeks amendment.	Accept in part.	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.26	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R19	Not specified	The RVA supports the relief sought in this submission where it seeks to remove the design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with The RVA's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement villages from having to apply the Design Guides, as they have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in line with The RVA's primary submission.	Accept in part.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.26	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R19	Not specified	Ryman supports the relief sought in this submission where it seeks to remove the design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement villages from having to apply the Design Guides, as they have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in line with Ryman's primary submission.	Accept in part.	Yes
Fabric Property Limited	425.69	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19		Seeks that the references to the design guides and Policy CCZ-P11 in the matters of discretion of CCZ R19 are removed and replaced with references to the specific design outcomes that are sought. It is not appropriate to provide that the Council's discretion to consider all matters in the design guides. This does not give any clear direction or certainty for applicants, and would be onerous for the preparation and assessment of resource consent applications.	Opposes CCZ-19.2.5 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) with respect to the references to the design guides as a matter of discretion, and seeks amendment.	Accept in part.	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.27	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R19	Not specified	The RVA supports the relief sought in this submission where it seeks to remove the design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with The RVA's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement villages from having to apply the Design Guides, as they have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in line with The RVA's primary submission.	Accept in part.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.27	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R19	Not specified	Ryman supports the relief sought in this submission where it seeks to remove the design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement villages from having to apply the Design Guides, as they have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in line with Ryman's primary submission.	Accept in part.	Yes
Fabric Property Limited	425.70	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19	Amend	Seeks that the references to the design guides and Policy CCZ-P11 in the matters of discretion of CCZ R19 are removed and replaced with references to the specific design outcomes that are sought. It is not appropriate to provide that the Council's discretion to consider all matters in the design guides. This does not give any clear direction or certainty for applicants, and would be onerous for the preparation and assessment of resource consent applications.	Amend CCZ-19.2 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) as follows: Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters in CCZ-P4, CCZ-P5, CCZ-P6, CCZ-P7, CCZ-P8 CCZ-P9, CCZ-P10, CCZ-P11 and CCZ-P12; 2. The extent and effect of non-compliance with CCZ-S1, CCZ-S2, CCZ-S3, CCZ-S4, CCZ-S5, CCZ-S6, CCZ-S7, CCZ-S8, CCZ-S9, CCZ-S10, CCZ-S1, CCZ-S12 and CCZ-S13; 3. Construction impacts on the transport network, 1 4. The Centres and Mixed-Use Design Guide, including guideline G107—City-Outcomes Contribution for any building that exceeds the maximum height requirement and either comprises 50 or more residential units or is a non-residential building; and 5. The Residential Design Guide.	Accept in part.	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.28	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R19	Not specified	The RVA supports the relief sought in this submission where it seeks to remove the design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with The RVA's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement villages from having to apply the Design Guides, as they have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in line with The RVA's primary submission.	Accept in part.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.28	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R19	Not specified	Ryman supports the relief sought in this submission where it seeks to remove the design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement villages from having to apply the Design Guides, as they have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in line with Ryman's primary submission.	Accept in part.	Yes
Fabric Property Limited	425.71	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R19		Supports CCZ-R19 in part, and in particular supports the preclusion of limited and public notification, and the permitted activity status for activities that comply with the specified conditions.	Retain notification clauses under CCZ-R19.2 (Alterations and additions to buildings and structures) as notified.	Accept.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 47 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.50	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20		Supports the preclusion of limited and public notification under CCZ-R20.2.	Retain notification clauses under CCZ-R20.2 (Construction of buildings and structures) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.51	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Amend	Seeks that the references to the design guides in the matters of discretion of CCZ-R20 are removed and replaced with references to the specific design outcomes that are sought. It is not appropriate to provide the Council discretion to consider all matters in the Design Guide. This does not give any clear direction or certainty for applicants, and would be onerous for the preparation and assessment of resource consent applications.	Amend CCZ-R20.2 (Construction of buildings and structures) so that the references to the design guides in the matters of discretion are removed and replaced with references to the specific design outcomes that are sought.	Accept in part.	Yes
Stratum Management Limited	249.30	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Amend	Considers that Rule CCZ-R20 (Construction of buildings and structures) controls the construction of new buildings in the zone. It includes two non-notification statements that reference various standards. Under the first statement, non-compliance with certain standards can be addressed without either public or limited notification. This statement is supported. Under the second statement is supported. Under the second statement, non-compliance with the listed standards can be addressed without public notification, but limited notification remains a possibility, to be determined in accordance with the applicable statutory tests. This statement is supported overall, but deletion of the reference to standard CCZ-S1 is sought. CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) is the maximum height standard. The effects of a maximum height breach can be determined without need for limited notification as they can be objectively assessed with reference to the potential effects caused. An additional non-notification statement is sought for a situation where all standards are complied with. This would appear to be inferred in the construction of the rule but should be objectively stated as the non-notification statements are only currently engaged where at least one standard is not complied with. A minor change is sought to matter of discretion (3) to clarify its applicability.	Seeks that the third matter of discretion under CCZ-R20.2 (Construction of buildings and structures) is amended as follows: 3. The Centres and Mixed-Use Design Guide, including guideline 97 107 – City Outcomes Contribution for any building that does not meet the minimum height requirements, or exceeds the maximum height requirement and either comprises 50 or more residential units or is a non-residential building.	Accept in part.	Yes
Stratum Management Limited	249.31	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Amend	Considers that Rule CCZ-R20 (Construction of buildings and structures) controls the construction of new buildings in the zone. It includes two non-notification statements that reference various standards. Under the first statement, non-compliance with certain standards can be addressed without either public or limited notification. This statement is supported. Under the second statement, non-compliance with the listed standards can be addressed without public notification, but limited notification remains a possibility, to be determined in accordance with the applicable statutory tests. This statement is supported overall, but deletion of the reference to standard CCZ-S1 is sought. CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) is the maximum height standard. The effects of a maximum height breach can be determined without need for limited notification as they can be objectively assessed with reference to the potential effects caused. An additional non-notification statement is sought for a situation where all standards are complied with. This would appear to be inferred in the construction of the rule but should be objectively stated as the non-notification statements are only currently engaged where at least one standard is not complied with. A minor change is sought to matter of discretion (3) to clarify its applicability.	Seeks that CCZ-R20 (Construction of buildings and structures) is amended to include new non- notification statement as follows: An application for resource consent made in respect of rule R20.2.a which does not result in any non-compliances with the listed standards is precluded from being either publicly or limited notified.	Accept.	Yes

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 48 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Stratum Management Limited	249.32	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Amend	Considers that Rule CCZ-R20 (Construction of buildings and structures) controls the construction of new buildings in the zone. It includes two non-notification statements that reference various standards. Under the first statement, non-compliance with certain standards can be addressed without either public or limited notification. This statement is supported. Under the second statement, non-compliance with the listed standards can be addressed without public notification, but limited notification remains a possibility, to be determined in accordance with the applicable statutory tests. This statement is supported overall, but deletion of the reference to standard CCZ-S1 is sought. CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) is the maximum height standard. The effects of a maximum height breach can be determined without need for limited notification as they can be objectively assessed with reference to the potential effects caused. An additional non-notification statement is sought for a situation where all standards are complied with. This would appear to be inferred in the construction of the rule but should be objectively stated as the non-notification statements are only currently engaged where at least one standard is not complied with. A minor change is sought to matter of discretion (3) to clarify its applicability.	Seeks that the second non notification statement under CCZ-R20 (Construction of buildings and structures) is amended to remove the reference to standard CCZ-S1 (Maximum height).	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.152	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R20	Oppose	Considers that height is very important for controlling and regulating effects and as such should be specifically referenced as a discretionary matter and part of the notification tests.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
LIVE WELLington	FS96.48	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R20	Oppose	Height is very important for controlling and regulating effects and as such should be specifically referenced as a discretionary matter and part of the notification tests.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Wellington City Council	266.158	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Amend	Considers a notification status statement is missing in relation to developments where all standards are met.	Amend CCZ-R20.2 (Construction of buildings and structures) as follows: Notification status: An application for resource consent made in respect of rule CCZ-R20.2.a which complies with all standards is precluded from being either publicly or limited notified. ()	Accept.	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.246	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R20	Not specified	The RVA supports the relief sought in this submission in so far as it is consistent with The RVA's primary submission.	Amend / Allow the submission point subject to the relief sought in The RVA's primary submission.	Accept.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.246	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R20	Not specified	Ryman supports the relief sought in this submission in so far as it is consistent with Ryman's primary submission.	Amend / Allow the submission point subject to the relief sought in Ryman's primary submission.	Accept.	Yes
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.319	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Support	Supports the rule as the construction or, or additions and alterations to, buildings and structures within the CCZ is a permitted activity.	Retain CCZ-R20 (Construction of buildings and structures) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.196	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Oppose	Opposed to the cross reference to the Centres and Mixed-Use Design Guide within the matters of discretion. The cross reference to the policies of the CCZ is sufficient to ensure that development achieves a "good quality, well-functioning environment" as required by CCZ-O3.	Amend CCZ-R20 (Construction of buildings and structures) as follows: Matters of discretion are: 4. The Centres and Mixed-Use Design Guide, including guideline G107 - City Outcomes Contribution for any building that exceeds the maximum height requirement and either comprises 50 or more residential units or is a non-residential building; and 5-4. 6-5. 7-6	Accept in part.	Yes

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 49 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.298	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20		Supports CCZ-R20 and the permitting of the construction of buildings and structures when complying with the relevant built form standards; and the triggering of more restrictive activity statuses based on non-compliance with relevant built form standards. Considers that the construction of a retirement village should be a restricted discretionary activity, and that in addition to the matters of discretion of any infringed standard, the construction of retirement villages should have their own set of focused matters of discretion (so to provide for and acknowledge the differences that retirement villages have from other residential activities). Considers the matters of discretion applicable to retirement villages need to appropriately provide for / support the efficient use of larger sites for retirement villages, and the functional and operational needs of the retirement village.	Retain CCZ-R20 (Construction of buildings and structures) and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.299	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Amend	Supports CCZ-R20 and the permitting of the construction of buildings and structures when complying with the relevant built form standards, and the triggering of more restrictive activity statuses based on non-compliance with relevant built form standards. Considers that the construction of a retirement village should be a restricted discretionary activity, and that in addition to the matters of discretion of any infringed standard, the construction of retirement villages should have their own set of focused matters of discretion (so to provide for and acknowledge the differences that retirement villages have from other residential activities). Considers the matters of discretion applicable to retirement villages need to appropriately provide for / support the efficient use of larger sites for retirement villages, and the functional and operational needs of the retirement village.	Amend CCZ-R20 (Construction of buildings and structures) as follows: 1. Activity status: Permitted 2. Activity status: Restricted discetionary 3. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. The application is for a retirement village. Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters in CCZ-P1, CCZ-P2, CCZ-P3, CCZ-P5, CCZ-P6, CCZ-P7, CCZ-P8 CCZ-P9, CCZ-P10 and CCZ-P13; 2. The extent and effect of any identifiable site constraints; 3. The impacts of related construction activities on the transport network: 4. The availability and connection to existing or planned three waters infrastructure; 5. The effects of the retirement village on the safety of adjacent streets or public open spaces; 6. The effects arising from the quality of the interface between the retirement village and adjacent streets or public open spaces; 7. When assessing the matters in 1-4, consider: 9. The functional and operational needs of the retirement village; 9. The positive effects of the construction, development and use of the retirement village. For clarity, no other rules or matters of discretion relating to the effects of density apply to buildings for a retirement village.	Reject.	No.
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated		Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Amend	Supports CCZ-R20 and the permitting of the construction of buildings and structures when complying with the relevant built form standards; and the triggering of more restrictive activity statuses based on non-compliance with relevant built form standards. Considers that the construction of a retirement village should be a restricted discretionary activity, and that in addition to the matters of discretion of any infringed standard, the construction of retirement villages should have their own set of focused matters of discretion (so to provide for and acknowledge the differences that retirement villages have from other residential activities). Considers the matters of discretion applicable to retirement villages need to appropriately provide for / support the efficient use of larger sites for retirement villages, and the functional and operational needs of the retirement village.	Amend CCZ-R20 (Construction of buildings and structures) as follows: Notification: - An application for resource consent for a retirement village made in respect of rule CCZ-R20.3 is precluded from being publicly notified. - An application for resource consent for a retirement village made in respect of rule CCZ-R20.3 where compliance is achieved with CCZ-S1, CCZ-S2, CCZ-S3 is precluded from being limited notified.	Reject.	No.
Woolworths New Zealand	359.87	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Amend	Considers that CCZ-R20.1 should be amended to establish a baseline for supermarket operations within the LCZ that is greater than the current threshold of 100m2 for new buildings on account of the general operational requirements of the stores. This proposed baseline of 450m2 is considered a commensurate response given the typical scale of supermarket buildings in this zone.	Amend CCZ-R20.1 (Construction of buildings and structures) as follows: Activity status: Permitted Where: a. It involves the construction of any new building or structure that: i. Will have a gross floor area of 100m2 or less; and iii. Will have a gross floor area of less than 450m2 where it accommodates a supermarket; and iii. Will result in a building coverage of no more than 20 percent; b. Compliance with CCZ-S1, CCZ-S2, CCZ-S3, CCZ-S4, CCZ-S5, CCZ-S6, CCZ-S7, CCZ-S8, CCZ-S9, CCZ-S10, CCZ-S11, CCZ-S12 and CCZ-S13 is achieved.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 50 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Woolworths New Zealand	359.88	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Amend	Considers that CCZ-R20.2 should be amended to reflect changes to standard CCZ-S4 which would exclude supermarkets from compliance with the minimum building height standard. There are also concerns around the inclusion of the Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide within these matters of discretion on account of the unnecessary scope this introduces in a restricted discretionary consenting framework. As such, it is specifically sought that that this is excluded from the matters of discretion for new supermarket buildings.	Amend CCZ-R20.2 (Construction of buildings and structures) as follows: Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. For all buildings excluding supermarkets, Ccompliance with any of the requirements of CCZ-R20.1, excluding CCZ-S4, cannot be achieved. b. For supermarkets compliance with any of the requirements of CCZ-R20.1, cannot be achieved. Note: Supermarkets are not required to comply with CCZ-S4 Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters in CCZ-P4, CCZ-P5, CCZ-P6, CCZ-P7, CCZ-P8, CCZ-P9, CCZ-P10, CCZ-P11 and CCZ-P12; 2. The extent and effect of non-compliance with CCZ-S1, CCZ-S2, CCZ-S3, CCZ-S6, CCZ-S7, CCZ-S8, CCZ-S9, CCZ-S10, CCZ-S11, CCZ-S12 and CCZ-S13; 3. The Centres and Mixed-Use Design Guide, including guideline G-D7-D7 - City Outcomes Contribution for any building that exceeds the maximum height requirement and either comprises 50 or more residential units or is a non-residential building (excluding supermarkets); 4. The Residential Design Guide; 5. The extent and effect of any identifiable site constraints; 6. The impacts of related construction activities on the transport network; and 7. The availability and connection to existing or planned three waters infrastructure.	Reject.	No.
Woolworths New Zealand	359.89	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Amend	Considers that CCZ-R20.2 should be amended to reflect changes to standard CCZ-S4 which would exclude supermarkets from compliance with the minimum building height standard. There are also concerns around the inclusion of the Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide within these matters of discretion on account of the unnecessary scope this introduces in a restricted discretionary consenting framework. As such, it is specifically sought that that this is excluded from the matters of discretion for new supermarket buildings.	Amend CCZ-R20.3 (Construction of buildings and structures) as follows: 3. Activity status: Discretionary Where: a. Compliance with the requirements of CCZ-S4 cannot be achieved, unless the development is a supermarket. Notification status: An application for resource consent made in respect of rule CCZ-R20.3 which results in non-compliance with CCZ-S4 is precluded from being either publicly or limited notified. Comment:	Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.24	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Support	Submission point 359.89 CCZ-R20 has similar outcome to FSNI submission point 476.57.	Allow / Allow submission if submission point 476.57 is rejected.	Reject.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited		Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Amend	Supports construction of buildings being a permitted activity where it complies with Rule CCZ-R20.1 or a restricted discretionary activity where it complies with Rule CCZ-R20.2 except as stated below. Argosy opposes Policy CCZ-P11 and the Centres and Mixed-Use Design Guide guideline G107 – City Outcomes Contribution being included in matters of discretion, as stated above. Argosy also opposes "the extent and effect of any identifiable site constraints" being included as a matter of discretion. This is unclear and could have the effect of giving the consent authority unrestricted discretion, and should be deleted. Alternatively, it should be amended to identify the types of constraints which may be relevant. For example, similar language could be used to the assessment criteria for some restricted discretionary activities in the City Centre zone of the Auckland Unitary Plan which include "whether there are particular is ted evelopment characteristics in terms of unusual site size, shape or orientation, or the location and nature of existing buildings which have constrained the form of the development proposed" (H8.8.2). Argosy also opposes buildings below the minimum building height of 22m being a discretionary activity, and seeks for this to be a restricted discretionary activity. This would enable flexibility where there are practical constraints on buildings being constructed which are below 22m, while enabling the Council to retain its discretion in relation to matters which relate to maximising the benefits of intensification.	Amend CCZ-R20 (Construction of buildings and structures): 2. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. Compliance with any of the requirements of CCZ-R20.1, excluding CCZ-S4, cannot be achieved. Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters in CCZ-P4, CCZ-P5, CCZ-P6, CCZ-P7, CCZ-P8, CCZ-P9, CCZ-P10, CCZ-P11 and CCZ-P12; 2. The extent and effect of non-compliance with CCZ-S1, CCZ-S2, CCZ-S5, CCZ-S6, CCZ-S7, CCZ-S9, CCZ-S9, CCZ-S12 and CCZ-S13; 3. The Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide, including guideline G107 - City Outcomes Contribution for any building that exceeds the maximum height requirement and either comprises 50 or more residential units or is a non-residential building;	Accept in part.	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.12	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R20	Support	The relief sought will enable intensification, consistent with the intent of the Enabling Housing Act.	Allow	Accept in part.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.12	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R20	Support	The relief sought will enable intensification, consistent with the intent of the Enabling Housing Act.	Allow	Accept in part.	Yes

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 51 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.729		Support in part	Supports this rule in part, and particularly the preclusion of public and limited notification. Seeks amendments to remove direct reference to the design guide and to instead articulate the urban design outcomes that are sought, and to remove reference to the "City Outcomes Contribution"	Retain CCZ-R20 (Construction of buildings and structures) and seeks amendments.	Reject.	No.
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.730	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Amend	Supports this rule in part, and particularly the preclusion of public and limited notification. Seeks amendments to remove direct reference to the design guide and to instead articulate the urban design outcomes that are sought, and to remove reference to the "City Outcomes Contribution"	Amend CCZ-R20 (Construction of buildings and structures) as follows: 1. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: 1. Compliance with any of the requirements of CCZ-R20.1, excluding CCZ-S4, cannot be achieved. Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters in CCZ-P4, CCZ-P5, CCZ-P6, CCZ-P7, CCZ®P8, CCZ-P9, CCZ-P10, CCZ-P11 and CCZ-P12; 2. The extent and effect of non-compliance with CCZ®S1, CCZ-S2, CCZ-S3, CCZ-S5, CCZ-S6, CCZ-S7, CCZ-S8, CCZ-S9, CCZ-S11, CCZ-S12 and CCZ-S13; 3. The following urban design outcomes a. Provides an effective public private interface; b. The scale, form, and appearance of the development is compatible with the planned urban built form of the neighbourhood; and c. Provides high quality buildings; 4. The Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide, including guideline G107 - City Outcomes Contribution for any building that exceeds the maximum height requirement and either comprises 50 or more residential units or is a nonTresidential building; 5. The Residential Design Guide;	Accept in part.	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.164		Not specified	The RVA supports the intent of the relief sought, however, The RVA seeks for new rules to be included specifically for retirement villages in line with The RVA's primary submission.	Amend / Allow the submission point, subject to the relief sought within The RVA's primary submission regarding the changes sought for retirement villages under CCZ-R19 and CCZ-R20.	Accept in part.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.164	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R20	Not specified	Ryman supports the intent of the relief sought, however, Ryman seeks for new rules to be included specifically for retirement villages in line with Ryman's primary submission.	Amend / Allow the submission point, subject to the relief sought within Ryman's primary submission regarding the changes sought for retirement villages under CCZ-R19 and CCZ-R20.	Accept in part.	Yes
Oyster Management Limited	404.75		Support in part	Supports construction of buildings being a permitted activity where it complies with Rule CCZ-R20.1 or a restricted discretionary activity where it complies with Rule CCZ-R20.2.	Retain CCZ-R20 (Construction of buildings and structures) with amendments.	Reject.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.76	use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20		Considers that point 5 of the Matters of Discretion in CCZ-R20.2 is unclear and may result in Council having unrestricted discretion. Considers this should be deleted, or amended to identify types of constraints that may be relevant [Refer to original submission for full reason].	Amend CCZ-R20.2 (Construction of buildings and structures) as follows: Matters of discretion are: 4. The Residential Design Guide; 5-The extent and effect of any identifiable site constraints; 6. The impacts of related construction activities on the transport network; and 7. The availability and connection to existing or planned three waters infrastructure. OR Amend point 5 above to clarify what types of site constraints may be relevant.	Reject.	No.
Investore Property Limited	405.134		Support in part	Considers that design guides are reference documents that sit best outside the district plan, rather than being formally incorporated into the district plan.	Retain CCZ-R20.2 (Construction of buildings and structures) and seeks amendment.	Accept in part.	No.
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.105	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R20	Not specified	The RVA supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with the RVA's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement villages from having to apply the Design Guides, given retirement villages have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in so far as it is inconsistent with the RVA's primary submission.	Accept in part.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.105	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R20		Ryman supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement villages from having to apply the Design Guides, given retirement villages have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in so far as it is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission.	Accept in part.	No.
Investore Property Limited	405.135	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Amend	Considers that design guides are reference documents that sit best outside the district plan, rather than being formally incorporated into the district plan.	Amend CCZ-R20.2 (Construction of buildings and structures) to remove the Design Guide as a matter of discretion and replace with specific design outcomes that are sought.	Accept in part.	No.

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.106	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R20	Not specified	The RVA supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with the RVA's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement villages from having to apply the Design Guides, given retirement villages have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in so far as it is inconsistent with the RVA's primary submission.	Accept in part.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.106	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R20	Not specified	Ryman supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement villages from having to apply the Design Guides, given retirement villages have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in so far as it is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission.	Accept in part.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.169	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Amend	Submitter considers that changes are required to CCZ-R20 for the following reasons: - The Design Guides should be non-statutory (Refer to original submission for full reason). - The City Outcomes Contribution will not be required if heightlimits are removed [Refer to original submission for full reason]. - "The extent and effect of any identifiable site constraints" is vague and will be difficult to apply. It appears to refer to technical constraints which developers will necessarily take into account outside of the RM process. - "The impacts of related construction activities on the transport network" [Refer to original submission for full reason]. - "The availability and connection to existing or planned three waters infrastructure" – This should be managed via development contributions / financial contributions.	Amend CCZ-R20.2 (Construction of buildings and structures) as follows: 3. The Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide, including guideline G107—City Outcomes Contribution for any building that exceeds the maximum height requirement and either comprises 50 or more residential units or is a non-residential building; 4. The Residential Design Guide; 5. The extent and effect of any identifiable site constraints; 6. The impacts of related construction activities on the transport network; and 7. The availability and connection to existing or planned three waters infrastructure	Reject.	No.
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.259	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R20	Not specified	The RVA supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with The RVA's primary submission.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in line with The RVA's primary submission.	Reject.	No.
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.277	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R20	Not specified	The RVA supports the deletion of Design Guides along with removal of the reference to "City Outcome Contributions" and preclusions for public and limited notification which is consistent with The RVA's primary submission.	Amend / Allow the submission points regarding deletions and notification preclusions, subject to the relief sought by The RVA.	Reject.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.259	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R20	Not specified	Ryman supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in line with Ryman's primary submission.	Reject.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.277	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R20	Not specified	Ryman supports the deletion of Design Guides along with removal of the reference to "City Outcome Contributions" and preclusions for public and limited notification which is consistent with Ryman's primary submission.	Amend / Allow the submission points regarding deletions and notification preclusions, subject to the relief sought by Ryman.	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.170	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Amend	Submitter considers that changes are required to CCZ-R20 for the following reasons: - The Design Guides should be non-statutory (Refer to original submission for full reason). - The City Outcomes Contribution will not be required if height limits are removed [Refer to original submission for full reason]. - "The extent and effect of any identifiable site constraints" is vague and will be difficult to apply. It appears to refer to technical constraints which developers will necessarily take into account outside of the RM process. - "The impacts of related construction activities on the transport network" [Refer to original submission for full reason]. - "The availability and connection to existing or planned three waters infrastructure" – This should be managed via development contributions / financial contributions.	Seeks that CCZ-R20.2 (Construction of buildings and structures) be amended (to clarify that applications that comply with all the relevant standards will not be notified) as follows: Notification status: An application for resource consent made in respect of Rule 20.2.a which complies with CCZ-S1 to S13 is precluded from being either publicly or limited notified.	Reject.	No.
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.274	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R20	Support	The RVA supports the relief sought in this submission as it is consistent with The RVA's primary submission.	Allow	Reject.	No.
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.278	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R20	Not specified	The RVA supports the deletion of Design Guides along with removal of the reference to "City Outcome Contributions" and preclusions for public and limited notification which is consistent with The RVA's primary submission.	Amend / Allow the submission points regarding deletions and notification preclusions, subject to the relief sought by The RVA.	Reject.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.274	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R20	Support	Ryman supports the relief sought in this submission as it is consistent with Ryman's primary submission.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.278	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R20	Not specified	Ryman supports the deletion of Design Guides along with removal of the reference to "City Outcome Contributions" and preclusions for public and limited notification which is consistent with Ryman's primary submission.	Amend / Allow the submission points regarding deletions and notification preclusions, subject to the relief sought by Ryman.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 53 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Fabric Property Limited	425.72	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Amend	Opposed to the 'City Outcomes Contributions' provisions, and specifically is opposed to requiring 'City Outcomes Contributions' for 'over height' development. While Fabric recognises the intent of these provisions in providing publicly beneficial outcomes, it is inappropriate for the provision of these publicly beneficial outcomes to be connected to non-compliance with height rules. Developments that breach height standards should instead be considered on their own merits and effects. The provision of beneficial outcomes in any development should be considered as part of the merits of a development, and should not be confined to a specified and required list. The 'City Outcomes Contributions' have the potential to act as a disincentive for development, which conflicts with the Proposed Plan strategic objectives and NPS-UD requirements of providing development capacity and providing for urban intensification. This would not achieve the aim of "density done well" as stated in the Design Guide. Seeks that all references to the City Outcomes Contributions be removed from the Proposed Plan	Amend CCZ-R20.2.3 (City Outcomes Contribution) as follows: 3. The Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide, including guideline G107—City Outcomes Contribution for any building that exceeds the maximum height requirement and either comprises 50 or more residential units or is a non-residential building;	Reject.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.73	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre	Support in part	and design guides. Supports CCZ-R20 in part, and in particular supports the preclusion of limited and public notification, and the permitted activity status for activities that comply with the specified	Retain CCZ-R20 (Construction of buildings and structures), with amendments.	Reject.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.74	Zone / CCZ-R20 Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Oppose in part	conditions. Seeks that the references to the design guides and Policy CCZ-P11 in the matters of discretion of CCZ R20 are removed and replaced with references to the specific design outcomes that are sought. It is not appropriate to provide that the Council's discretion to consider all matters in the design guides. This does not give any clear direction or certainty for applicants, and would be onerous for the	Opposes CCZ-20.2.1 (Construction of buildings and structures) with respect to the CCZ-P11 (City outcomes contribution) as a matter of discretion, and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.75	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20		preparation and assessment of resource consent applications. Seeks that the references to the design guides and Policy CCZ-P11 in the matters of discretion of CCZ R20 are removed and replaced with references to the specific design outcomes that are sought. It is not appropriate to provide that the Council's discretion to consider all matters in the design guides. This does not give any clear direction or certainty for applicants, and would be onerous for the preparation and assessment of resource consent applications.	Opposes CCZ-20.2.3 (Construction of buildings and structures) with respect to the references to the design guides as a matter of discretion, and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.76	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Oppose in part	Seeks that the references to the design guides and Policy CC2-P11 in the matters of discretion of CCZ R20 are removed and replaced with references to the specific design outcomes that are sought. It is not appropriate to provide that the Council's discretion to consider all matters in the design guides. This does not give any clear direction or certainty for applicants, and would be onerous for the preparation and assessment of resource consent applications.	Opposes CCZ-20.2.4 (Construction of buildings and structures) with respect to the references to the design guides as a matter of discretion, and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.77	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20		Seeks clarification on "the extent and effect of any identifiable site constraints" in the matters of discretion. This is unclear and could have the effect of giving the consent authority unrestricted discretion, and should be deleted. Alternatively, it should be amended to identify the types of constraints which may be relevant.	Opposes CCZ-R20.2.5 (Construction of buildings and structures) with respect to 'the extent and effect of any site constraints'.	Reject.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.78	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20	Amend	Seeks clarification on "the extent and effect of any identifiable site constraints" in the matters of discretion. This is unclear and could have the effect of giving the consent authority unrestricted discretion, and should be deleted. Alternatively, it should be amended to identify the types of constraints which may be relevant.	Clarify CCZ-R20.2.5 (Construction of buildings and structures) is amended to identify the types of constraints which may be relevant. [As an alternative to deleting this matter of discretion]	Reject.	No.
Fabric Property Limited		Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20		Seeks that the references to the design guides and Policy CCZ-P11 in the matters of discretion of CCZ R20 are removed and replaced with references to the specific design outcomes that are sought. It is not appropriate to provide that the Council's discretion to consider all matters in the design guides. This does not give any clear direction or certainty for applicants, and would be onerous for the preparation and assessment of resource consent applications.	Amend CCZ-20.2 (Construction of buildings and structures) as follows: Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters in CCZ-P4, CCZ-P5, CCZ-P6, CCZ-P7, CCZ-P8, CCZ-P9, CCZ-P10, CCZ-P14 and CCZ-P12; 2. The extent and effect of non-compliance with CCZ-S1, CCZ-S2, CCZ-S3, CCZ-S5, CCZ-S6, CCZ-S7, CCZ-S8, CCZ-S9, CCZ-S10, CCZ-S10, CCZ-S11, CCZ-S12 and CCZ-S13; 3. The Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide, including guideline G107 - City Outcomes Contribution for any building that exceeds the maximum height requirement and cither comprises 50 or more residential units or is a non-residential building; 4. The Residential Design Guide; 5. The extent and effect of any identifiable site constraints; 3. 6. The impacts of related construction activities on the transport network; and 4. 2. The availability and connection to existing or planned three waters infrastructure.	Reject.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.80	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R20		Supports CCZ-R20 in part, and in particular supports the preclusion of limited and public notification, and the permitted activity status for activities that comply with the specified conditions.	Retain notification clauses under CCZ-R20.2 (Construction of buildings and structures) as notified.	Reject.	No.
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.320	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R21	Support in part	Supports the rule as the matters of discretion for these restricted discretionary activities include consideration of the availability and connection to existing or planned three waters infrastructure, particularly where this may involve the conversion of non-habitable rooms to residential use. A minor amendment is however sought to include the necessity to connect to three waters infrastructure including for the purposes of firefighting.	Supports CCZ-R21 (Conversion of buildings or parts of buildings for residential activities), with amendment.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 54 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.321	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R21	Amend	Supports the rule as the matters of discretion for these restricted discretionary activities include consideration of the availability and connection to existing or planned three waters infrastructure, particularly where this may involve the conversion of non-habitable rooms to residential use. A minor amendment is however sought to include the necessity to connect to three waters infrastructure including for the purposes of firefighting.	Amend CCZ-R21 (Conversion of buildings or parts of buildings for residential activities) as follows: Matters of discretion are: 3. The relevant guidance contained within the Residential Design Guide; and 4. The availability and connection to existing or planned three waters infrastructure; and 5. The availability and connection to existing or planned three waters infrastructure, including for firefighting purposes.	Reject.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.117	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R21	Support	Supports the conversion of buildings, or parts of buildings, for residential activities being a restricted discretionary activity as this may be appropriate as part of a well-functioning urban environment.	Retain CCZ-R21 (Conversion of buildings or parts of buildings for residential activities) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.731		Support in part	Supports this rule in part, and particularly supports the preclusion public and limited notification. Seeks amendments to remove direct reference to the design guide and to instead articulate the urban design outcomes that are sought.	Retain CCZ-R21 (Conversion of buildings, or parts of buildings, for residential activities) and seeks amendments.	Accept in part.	Yes
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.732	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R21	Amend	Supports this rule in part, and particularly supports the preclusion public and limited notification. Seeks amendments to remove direct reference to the design guide and to instead articulate the urban design outcomes that are sought.	Amend CCZ-R21 (Conversion of buildings, or parts of buildings, for residential activities) as follows: 1. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters in CCZ-P1, CCZ-P4 and CCZ-P10; 2. The extent of compliance with standards CCZ-S9, CCZ-S10 and CCZ-S13 and satisfaction of associated assessment criteria; 3. The relevant guidance contained within the Residential Design Guide; The following centres urban design outcomes: a. Provides an effective public private interface: b. The scale, form, and appearance of the development is compatible with the planned urban built form of the neighbourhood; c. Provides high quality buildings; and 4. The availability and connection to existing or planned three waters infrastructure	Accept in part.	Yes
Oyster Management Limited	404.77	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R21	Amend	Considers that the RD activity status of converting buildings or parts of buildings is likely to prevent conversions occurring. Considers there should be a tiered activity status approach subject to standards, appropriate matters of control, or discretion.	Amend Rule CCZ-R21 (Conversion of buildings, or parts of buildings, for residential activities) to provide for conversion of office to residential as either a permitted, controlled or restricted discretionary activity subject to compilance with appropriate standards (permitted), or appropriate matters of control and discretion (controlled and restricted discretionary).	Reject.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.78	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R21	Support	Supports that building conversions will not be limited or publicly notified.	Retain notification status of CCZ-R21 (Conversion of buildings, or parts of buildings, for residential activities) for all activity statuses.	Reject.	No.
Investore Property Limited	405.136		Support in part	Considers that design guides are reference documents that sit best outside the district plan, rather than being formally incorporated into the district plan.	Retain CCZ-R21.1 (Conversion of buildings, or parts of buildings, for residential activities) and seeks amendment.	Accept in part.	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.107	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R21	Not specified	The RVA supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with the RVA's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement villages from having to apply the Design Guides, given retirement villages have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in so far as it is inconsistent with the RVA's primary submission.	Accept in part.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.107	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R21	Not specified	Ryman supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement villages from having to apply the Design Guides, given retirement villages have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in so far as it is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission.	Accept in part.	Yes
Investore Property Limited	405.137	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R21	Amend	Considers that design guides are reference documents that sit best outside the district plan, rather than being formally incorporated into the district plan.	Amend CCZ-R21.1 (Conversion of buildings, or parts of buildings, for residential activities) to remove the Design Guide as a matter of discretion and replace with specific design outcomes that are sought.	Accept in part.	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.108	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R21	Not specified	The RVA supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with the RVA's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement villages from having to apply the Design Guides, given retirement villages have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in so far as it is inconsistent with the RVA's primary submission.	Accept in part.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.108	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R21	Not specified	Ryman supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission, which sought to expressly exclude retirement villages from having to apply the Design Guides, given retirement villages have substantially different operational and functional needs.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in so far as it is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission.	Accept in part.	Yes

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 55 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.171	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R21	Amend	Submitter considers that changes are required to CCZ-R21 for the following reasons: - The Design Guides should be non-statutory (Refer to original submission for full reason). - "The availability and connection to existing or planned three waters infrastructure" – This should be managed via development contributions / financial contributions.	Amend CCZ-R21 (Conversion of buildings, or parts of buildings, for residential activities) as follows: 3. The relevant guidance contained within the Residential Design Guide; and 4. The availability and connection to existing or planned three waters infrastructure.	Accept in part.	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated		Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R21	Not specified	The RVA supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with The RVA's primary submission.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in line with The RVA's primary submission.	Accept in part.	Yes
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.279	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R21	Not specified	The RVA supports the deletion of Design Guides along with removal of the reference to "City Outcome Contributions" and preclusions for public and limited notification which is consistent with The RVA's primary submission.	Amend / Allow the submission points regarding deletions and notification preclusions, subject to the relief sought by The RVA.	Accept in part.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.260	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R21	Not specified	Ryman supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in line with Ryman's primary submission.	Accept in part.	Yes
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.279	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- R21	Not specified	Ryman supports the deletion of Design Guides along with removal of the reference to "City Outcome Contributions" and preclusions for public and limited notification which is consistent with Ryman's primary submission.	Amend / Allow the submission points regarding deletions and notification preclusions, subject to the relief sought by Ryman.	Accept in part.	Yes
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.322		Support in part	Considers it important that screening of outdoor storage areas as a visual mitigation will not obscure emergency or safety signage or obstruct access to emergency panels, hydrants, shut-off valves or other emergency response facilities. Such mitigation should be constructed in a way to ensure the signs and facilities are visible / accessible for FENZ. Where this is not possible, mitigation should not be required.	Supports CCZ-R22 (Outdoor storage areas), with amendment.	Accept.	Yes
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.323	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-R22	Amend	Considers it important that screening of outdoor storage areas as a visual mitigation will not obscure emergency or safety signage or obstruct access to emergency panels, hydrants, shut-off valves or other emergency response facilities. Such mitigation should be constructed in a way to ensure the signs and facilities are visible / accessible for FENZ. Where this is not possible, mitigation should not be required.	Amend CCZ-R22 (Outdoor storage areas) as follows: Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The storage area is screened by either a fence or landscaping of 1.8m in height from any adjoining road or site. b. Screening does not obscure emergency or safety signage or obstruct access to emergency panels,	Accept.	Yes
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.172	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-R1	Amend	Submitter considers that the activities that are permitted overlook Educational Facilities. Submitter considers that generally, the activities considered in this section are very narrow – for example, childcare activities are not permitted, which is a current activity within Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct.	hydrants, shut-off valves, or other emergency response facilities. Seeks that CCZ-PREC01 Permitted Activity rules are expanded to consider more activities. [Inferred decision requested].	Accept.	Yes
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.173	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-R2	Amend	Submitter considers that the activities that are permitted overlook Educational Facilities. Submitter considers that generally, the activities considered in this section are very narrow – for example, childcare activities are not permitted, which is a current activity within Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct.	Seeks that CCZ-PREC01 Permitted Activity rules are expanded to consider more activities. [Inferred decision requested].	Accept in part.	Yes
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.174	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-R3	Amend	Submitter considers that the activities that are permitted overlook Educational Facilities. Submitter considers that generally, the activities considered in this section are very narrow – for example, childcare activities are not permitted, which is a current activity within Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct.	Seeks that CCZ-PREC01 Permitted Activity rules are expanded to consider more activities. [Inferred decision requested].	Accept in part.	Yes
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.175	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-R4	Amend	Submitter considers that the activities that are permitted overlook Educational Facilities. Submitter considers that generally, the activities considered in this section are very narrow – for example, childcare activities are not permitted, which is a current activity within Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct.	Seeks that CCZ-PREC01 Permitted Activity rules are expanded to consider more activities. [Inferred decision requested].	Accept in part.	Yes
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.176	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-R5	Amend	Submitter considers that the activities that are permitted overlook Educational Facilities. Submitter considers that generally, the activities considered in this section are very narrow – for example, childcare activities are not permitted, which is a current activity within Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct.	Seeks that CCZ-PREC01 Permitted Activity rules are expanded to consider more activities. [Inferred decision requested].	Accept in part.	Yes
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.177	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-R6	Amend	Submitter considers that the activities that are permitted overlook Educational Facilities. Submitter considers that generally, the activities considered in this section are very narrow – for example, childcare activities are not permitted, which is a current activity within Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct.	Seeks that CCZ-PREC01 Permitted Activity rules are expanded to consider more activities. [Inferred decision requested].	Accept in part.	Yes

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 56 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Parliamentary Service	375.17	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-R7	Amend	There are two separate CCZ-PREC01-R7 in the CCZ chapter.	Amend the City Centre Zone chapter to remove the double CCZ-PREC01-R7 provision. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept.	Yes
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.118	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-R7	Amend	This is because: - This provision elevates what is normally a design guide into a rule. A design guide should be separate to a plan. The Design Guide should be an external document to the District Plan and be referenced as a guide only. - Further, this provision, provides a mechanism for the Council to require these aspects as part of a development. This is inappropriate. A development should be assessed on its merits.	Amend CCZ-PREC01-R7 (Construction of buildings and structures, additions and alterations to buildings and structures): Matters of discretion are: 3. The Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide;	Accept in part.	Yes
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.32	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZPREC01-R7	Oppose	The design guides include important matters. It is entirely appropriate to reference them in a rule for a restricted discretionary activity.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.178	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-R7	Amend	Submitter considers that the activities that are permitted overlook Educational Facilities. Submitter considers that generally, the activities considered in this section are very narrow – for example, childcare activities are not permitted, which is a current activity within Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct.	Seeks that at minimum, amend CCZ-PREC01-R7 (All other land use activities) by re-numbering the CCZ-PREC01-R7 as CCZ-PREC01-R8.	Accept in part.	Yes
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.179	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-R7	Amend	Submitter notes this rule number is incorrect. Based on current drafting it should be number CCZ- PREC01-R8.	Amend CCZ-PREC01-R7 (Construction of buildings and structures, additions and alterations to buildings and structures) rule numbering to CCZ-PREC01-R8.	Accept in part.	Yes
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.27	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZPREC01-R7	Support	The submission identifies a minor error in the Plan's numbering and seeks that it is corrected to avoid confusion.	Allow	Accept in part.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.180	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-R7	Amend	Submitter notes that the notification status for CCZ-PREC01-R7 requires any application for resource consent to be publicly notified. Submitter considers that this will unnecessarily fetter development in the Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct and also add cost and delay to even minor alterations or additions to structures within the precinct. Submitter considers that Council has not provided sufficient justification for mandatory notification.	Amend CCZ-PREC01-R7 (Construction of buildings and structures, additions and alterations to buildings and structures) as follows: Notification status: An application for resource consent made in respect of rule CCZ-PREC01-R7.1 must be publicly notified. An application for resource consent made in respect of rule CCZ-PREC01-R7.1 which complies with CCZ-S1, CCZ-S3 and CCZ-S5 to CCZ-S13 is precluded from being either limited or publicly notified.	Accept in part.	Yes
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.28	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZPREC01-R7	Support	This area is one of Wellington's most important public spaces (the other being the nearby Waterfront). The public have a very high level of interest in this area. New buildings and additions and alterations (as defined in the Plan) all have the potential to affect the character and quality of the public space they help create. They should thus all be subject to mandatory public notification.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Andrew Haddleton	23.3	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Oppose	Opposes the height of 28.5m along Kent Terrace as this will block views and sunlight.	Reject the increased building height provided for at CCZ-S1.	Reject.	No.
Andrew Haddleton	23.4		Amend	Considers that the allowable height of buildings along Kent Terrace specifically those up to 28.5m will block views and sunlight.	Seeks that the allowable building height on the Courtenay Place end of Kent Terrace be 18m.	Reject.	No.
Paul Burnaby	44.17	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Considers that 110 Wakefield St (West Plaza Hotel) should have a maximum height of 73m to match the maximum height of the immediately adjoining building at 103 Wakefield St.	Amend height control at 110 Wakefield St (West Plaza Hotel) to 73m.	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.153	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S1	Oppose	Considers the amendment requested significantly exceeds the notified height control in the proposed district plan (42m) and is not justified on the basis that the site "adjoins" a different site that is across the road. Considers a 73m building at 101 Wakefield Street would create unreasonable shading effects near Civic Square including in the parklet in Lombard Street.	Disallow	Accept.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 57 of 106

	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Juliet Cooke	68.3		Amend	Considers that CCZ standards will lead to inappropriate, out of scale development with a direct impact on residential properties that have recognised heritage and character values and are therefore contrary to the objectives and policies of the plan.	Amend CCZ-S1.1 (Maximum Height) to add k as follows: 1. The following maximum	Reject.	No.
				Heritage and character are qualifying matters under MRZ Pt1 Sch 1.	k. Height Control Area 11 - Eastern side of Hania St 15m		
				Considers that height limits in Height Control Area 9 would allow inappropriate scale of development adjacent to land which is zoned for residential purposes or has character or heritage overlay.	[Refer to original submission for map of area]		
				Considers that Moir Street will have adverse effects due to the potential for development in neighbouring CCZ zoning.			
				Moir street is a key and coherent character and heritage area.			
				Moir street is unique with the amount of overlapping relevant overlays.			
				[See submission for further detail]			
Tracey Paterson	74.3	Commercial and mixed	Oppose	Opposes CCZ-S1 as currently drafted.	Reject CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) -	Reject.	No.
		use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1		CCZ-S1 will result in significant adverse effects on Moir Street properties which cannot be mitigated through design.	i. Height Area 9 - South-East, South-West Zone Edge		
				Moir Street is unique due to its classification as MRZ, Character Precinct, Heritage Area and adjacency to CCZ. As currently drafted, the standards of the proposed plan will allow buildings of up to 28.5m high to tower over 1-2 story heritage cottages on Moir St. The proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation.			
				The Standards of the CCZ proposed Plan will lead to significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out of scale development with a direct impact on residential properties that have recognised heritage and character values on Moir Street.			
				The standards will result in outcomes that are contrary to the objectives and policies of the PDP (CCZ)			
Tracey Paterson	74.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Amend CCZ-S1 to add a Height Control Area of 15m for Hania Street. The current provision would allow inappropriate scale of development adjacent to land which is zoned for residential purposes or has a character or heritage overlay.	Amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as follows: k. Height Control Area 11 - Hania Street - 15m	Reject.	No.
Community	76.24	Comments I and reliced	0	Our and he inhall traite in the CCT and he can billionia and traite and the inhall traite and the contract of	Delete CCT C4 (Admiles on Helpha) in the matter.	D-1+	N-
Conor Hill	76.24	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Oppose	Opposes height limits in the CCZ as these set limits on achieving as much development as possible. Considers that developers and geotechnical experts should determine what these are.	Delete CCZ-S1 (Maximum Height) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
		Zone / CCZ-31		Considers that developers and geotechnical experts should determine what these are.			
Nico Maiden	77.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Oppose	Height limits are arbitrary and unnecessary because new builds require resource consents. Removal of height limits will enable more compact housing in the city centre.	Delete CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) in it's entirety.	Reject.	No.
				Description of the label Harden will be be accorded with AIDC LID			
Te Herenga Waka Victoria University of	106.10		Support in part	Removal of height limits will help comply with NPS-UD. The University seeks an exception to the Height Control Area 1 limit to reflect the existing scale of buildings on the Rutherford House site (23 Lambton Quay).	Amend standard CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as follows:	Reject.	No.
Wellington		Zone / CCZ-S1	,		Location		
					a. Height Control Area 1 – Thorndon Quay (except Rutherford House site (23 Lambton Quay)		
					Limit		
					35.4m (Rutherford House site (23 Lambton Quay) - 56m)		
Darko Petrovic	124.1	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Considers that height limits in all sections of the Central CBD area that do not interfere with viewshafts should be removed.	Seeks that height limits in all sections of the Central CBD area that do not interfere with viewshafts be removed.	Reject.	No.
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Imposing height limits on central area building developments will reduce the intensification potential of the plan and limit the development potential at a time when diverse housing supply in the central area is needed. If removing height limits is not a possibility, a compromise solution would be to have the height limits increased substantially.			

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 58 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Darko Petrovic	124.2	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Height Control Area 5 (CBD East) is too restrictive and should be removed. Imposing height limits on central area building developments will reduce the intensification potential of the plan and limit the development potential at a time when diverse housing supply in the central area is needed. If removing height limits is not a possibility, a compromise solution would be to have the height limits increased substantially.	Amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum Height) to remove Height Control Area 5 (CBD East).	Reject.	No.
Darko Petrovic	124.3	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Height Control Area 6 (CBD West) is too restrictive and should be removed. Imposing height limits on central area building developments will reduce the intensification potential of the plan and limit the development potential at a time when diverse housing supply in the central area is needed. If removing height limits is not a possibility, a compromise solution would be to have the height limits increased substantially.	Amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum Height) to remove Height Control Area 6 (CBD West).	Reject.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.52	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Precinct seeks amendments to CCZ-51 to provide unlimited building heights in the City Centre zone. Policy 3 of the NPS-UD requires district plans of Tier 1 urban environments such as Wellington to enable "building heights and density of urban form to realise as much development capacity as possible, to maximise benefits of intensification" in city centre zones. For the City Centre zone this should mean unlimited building heights. Unlimited building heights in the City Centre area are appropriate given the emphasis in the Wellington Spatial Plan and Proposed District Plan on the City Centre for accommodating future growth, recognising the height of existing buildings in these areas, and taking into account the absence of any directly adjoining residential areas that could potentially be adversely affected. This would also be consistent with CCZ-PS which recognises the benefits of enabling greater height and scale of development in the City Centre. According to CCZ-01 the Wellington City Centre is intended to be the primary commercial centre for the wider Wellington region. Yet the intensification planning instruments notified in Hutt City and Upper Hutt City provide for a greater scale of development than Wellington City with unlimited heights in their centres. Unlimited building heights in the Wellington City Centre would be consistent with its role as the primary commercial centre for the region. The heights provided under CCZ-S1 are particularly constraining for Precinct's sites in Thorndon including 20 Aitken Street and the Bowen Campus where a Maximum height of 27m applies. This is despite the fact that some of the existing buildings in this area are over 60m high. If the requests to provide unlimited heights is not granted for these areas, Precinct requests that CCZ-S1 is a mended to provide for building heights at least as great as that of the existing buildings.		Accept.	Yes
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.131	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S1	Oppose	Considers the submission is more enabling than justified. Considers the calibration of heights in accordance with the notified height control areas is more appropriate for a medium-sized coastal/harbour city that is susceptible to earthquakes and other natural hazards	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.53	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	If the request for unlimited building heights is not granted, Precinct requests that CC2-S1 is amended to provide for building heights at least as great as that of the existing buildings.	Seeks that if CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) is not amended to provide for unlimited building heights, this standard be amended to provide for building heights at least as great as that of the existing buildings.	Accept.	Yes
James and Karen Fairhall	160.6	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Considers that Height Control Area 9, in particular the interface between the Eastern side of Hania Street and the Western side of Moir Street, conflicts with the qualifying matters relating to CCZ-S1. Moir Street has quaint one to two storey little cottages built in the late 1980s which are all part of a Heritage and Special Character Area. Relating to the first qualifying matter: 28.5m buildings will absolutely destroy the streetscape of Moir Street which has been enjoyed and celebrated for years. Relating to the second qualifying matter: 28.5m buildings will completely remove any sense of privacy and dominate the little cottages of Moir Street (not to mention the affect on loss of sunlight and the corresponding affect on the health of the homes and residents). Relating to the third qualifying matter: Accept new houses need to be developed, however the scale needs to be done right. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Seeks that a new height control area be added to CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as follows: k. Height Control Area 11 - Eastern side of Hania Street 15m. [refer to submission for illustration of area covered by proposed height control area 11]	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 59 of 106

	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
James and Karen Fairhall	160.7	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1 and CCZ-S3 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP below:	Amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as proposed by this submission.	Reject.	No.
Fairnaii		Zone / CCZ-S1		of the PDP below:	[Inferred decision requested].		
				CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design): CCZ-O5.4. and CCZ-O5.7.			
				CCZ-07 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-07.1. and CCZ-07.2.a. and CCZ-07.2.e.			
				CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-P9.2.a.ii. and CCZ-P9.2.a.iii.			
				CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-P12.1. and CCZ-P12.2.			
				MRZ-PREC01-01 (Character Precincts).			
				HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage).			
				PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan			
				also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the			
				City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are			
				known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant			
				alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930.			
Karen and Jeremy	162.6	Commercial and mixed	Oppose in	Considers that CCZ-S1, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of	Opposes CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) - Height Control Area 9, South-east, South-west zone edge	Reject.	No.
Young			part	development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage	height limit of 28.5m.		
		Zone / CCZ-S1		overlay.			
				[Refer to original submission for full reason].			
Karen and Jeremy	162.7	Commercial and mixed	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of	Seeks that a new height control area be added to CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as follows:	Reject.	No.
Young	102.7	use Zones / City Centre	runena	development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage	seems that a new neight control area see added to eee 51 (maximum neight, as rollows.	neject.	
		Zone / CCZ-S1		overlay.	k. Height Control Area 11 - Eastern side of Hania Street 15m.		
	160.0			[Refer to original submission for full reason].	[refer to submission for illustration of area covered by proposed height control area 11].		
Karen and Jeremy Young	162.8	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1 and CCZ-S3 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP below:	Amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as proposed by this submission.	Reject.	No.
Tourig		Zone / CCZ-S1		of the For Below.	[Inferred decision requested].		
				CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design): CCZ-O5.4. and CCZ-O5.7.			
				CCZ-07 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-07.1. and CCZ-07.2.a. to CCZ-07.2.e.			
				CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-P9.2.			
				CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-P12.1. and CCZ-P12.2.			
				MRZ-PREC01-01 (Character Precincts).			
				HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage).			
				PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan			
				also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the			
				City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a			
				range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are			
				known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant			
Kane Morison and Jane	176.6	Commercial and mixed	Oppose in	alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930. Considers that CCZ-S1, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of	Opposes CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) - Height Control Area 9, South-east, South-west zone edge	Reject.	No.
Williams	170.0	use Zones / City Centre		development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage	height limit of 28.5m.	neject.	
		Zone / CCZ-S1	ľ	overlay.			
			ļ	[Refer to original submission for full reason].		1	ļ
Kane Morison and Jane Williams	176.7		Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of	Seeks that a new height control area be added to CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as follows:	Reject.	No.
williams		use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1		development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage overlay.	k. Height Control Area 11 - Eastern side of Hania Street 15m.		
		2011.0 / 002.51		orenay.	A Treight conductated 22 Editin side of Huma Street 15m.		
		1	Ī	[Refer to original submission for full reason].	[Refer to submission for illustration of area covered by proposed height control area 11]	1	1

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 60 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Kane Morison and Jane Williams		Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1 and CCZ-S3 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP below:	Amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as proposed by this submission.	Reject.	No.
		Zone / CCZ-S1		CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design): CCZ-O5.4. and CCZ-O5.7.	[Inferred decision requested].		
				CCZ-O7 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-O7.1. and CCZ-O7.2.a. to CCZ-O7.2.e.			
				CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-P9.2.			
				CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-P12.1. and CCZ-P12.2.			
				MRZ-PREC01-01 (Character Precincts).			
				HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage).			
				PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan			
				also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the			
				City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a			
				range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are			
				known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930.			
Athena Papadopoulos	183.5	Commercial and mixed	Oppose in	Considers that CCZ-S1, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of	Opposes CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) - Height Control Area 9, South-east, South-west zone edge	Reject.	No.
		use Zones / City Centre		development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage	height limit of 28.5m.	3	-
		Zone / CCZ-S1		overlay.			
Athena Papadopoulos	183.6	Commercial and mixed	Amend	[Refer to original submission for full reason]. Considers that CCZ-S1, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of	Seeks that a new height control area be added to CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as follows:	Reject.	No.
Acticità Fapauopoulos	103.0	use Zones / City Centre	Ailicilu	development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage	Seeks that a new neight control area be added to CC2-31 (Maxillum neight) as follows.	incject.	140.
		Zone / CCZ-S1		overlay.	k. Height Control Area 11 - Eastern side of Hania Street 15m.		
Athena Papadopoulos	183.7	Commercial and mixed	Amend	[Refer to original submission for full reason]. Considers that CCZ-S1 and CCZ-S3 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies	[refer to submission for illustration of area covered by proposed height control area 11] Amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as proposed by this submission.	Reject.	No.
ciia i apadopodilos	103.7	use Zones / City Centre	, unchu	of the PDP below:	rancing car at the same in the Burt as proposed by this submission.	nejecc.	
		Zone / CCZ-S1			[Inferred decision requested].		
				CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design): CCZ-O5.4. and CCZ-O5.7.			
				CCZ-07 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-07.1. and CCZ-07.2.a. to CCZ-07.2.e.			
				CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-P9.2.			
				CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-P12.1. and CCZ-P12.2.			
				MRZ-PREC01-01 (Character Precincts).			
				HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage).			
				PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan			
				also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the			
				City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a			
				range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant			
				alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930.			
Lara Bland	184.5	Commercial and mixed	Oppose in	Considers that CCZ-S1, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of	Opposes CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) - Height Control Area 9, South-east, South-west zone edge	Reject.	No.
		use Zones / City Centre	part	development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage	height limit of 28.5m.		
		Zone / CCZ-S1		overlay.			
				[Refer to original submission for full reason].			
Lara Bland	184.6	Commercial and mixed	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of	Seeks that a new height control area be added to CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as follows:	Reject.	No.
		use Zones / City Centre		development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage			
		Zone / CCZ-S1		overlay.	k. Height Control Area 11 - Eastern side of Hania Street 15m.		
				[Refer to original submission for full reason].	[refer to submission for illustration of area covered by proposed height control area 11]		

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Lara Bland	184.7	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1 and CCZ-S3 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP below:	Amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as proposed by this submission.	Reject.	No.
		Zone / CCZ-S1		CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design): CCZ-O5.4. and CCZ-O5.7.	[Inferred decision requested].		
				CCZ-O7 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-O7.1. and CCZ-O7.2.a. to CCZ-O7.2.e.			
				CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-P9.2.			
				CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-P12.1. and CCZ-P12.2.			
				MRZ-PREC01-01 (Character Precincts).			
				HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage).			
				PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930.			
Geoff Palmer	188.5	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre		Considers that CCZ-S1, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage	Opposes CCZ-51 (Maximum height) - Height Control Area 9, South-east, South-west zone edge height limit of 28.5m.	Reject.	No.
		Zone / CCZ-S1		overlay.			
Geoff Palmer	188.6	Commercial and mixed	Amend	[Refer to original submission for full reason]. Considers that CCZ-S1, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of	Seeks that a new height control area be added to CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as follows:	Reject.	No.
		use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1		development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage overlay.	k. Height Control Area 11 - Eastern side of Hania Street 15m.		
				[Refer to original submission for full reason].	[refer to submission for illustration of area covered by proposed height control area 11]		
Geoff Palmer	188.7	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1, CCZ-S3, and CCZ-S11 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP below:	Amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as proposed by this submission. [Inferred decision requested].	Reject.	No.
				CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design): CCZ-O5.4. and CCZ-O5.7.			
				CCZ-O7 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-O7.1. and CCZ-O7.2.a. to CCZ-O7.2.e.			
				CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-P9.2.			
				CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-P12.1. and CCZ-P12.2.			
				MRZ-PREC01-O1 (Character Precincts).			
				HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage).			
				PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the			
				City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are			
				known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930.			
Andrew Flanagan	198.18	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Oppose	Seeks that all height limits are removed on developments in the City Centre Zone.	Opposes CCZ-S1 (Maximum height).	Reject.	No.
Wellington City Youth Council	201.35	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Support	Supports the increase to the building height limits in Te Aro and along a portion of Adelaide road to accommodate for more people such as young professionals and students living in CBD.	Retain building heights in CCZ-S1 (Maximum building heights) for Te Aro and Adelaide Road as notified.	Accept.	No.
Wellington City Youth Council	201.36	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Support	Supports keeping the building heights on the edge of City Centre in order to maintain a smooth transition into graduated residential areas.	Retain building heights in CCZ-S1 (Maximum building heights) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Dougal and Libby List	207.6	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Oppose in part	Considers that CCZ-51, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage overlay.	Opposes CCZ-51 (Maximum height) - Height Control Area 9, South-east, South-west zone edge height limit of 28.5m.	Reject.	No.
				[Refer to original submission for full reason].			

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Dougal and Libby List	207.7	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage overlay.	Seeks that a new height control area be added to CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as follows: k. Height Control Area 11 - Eastern side of Hania Street 15m.	Reject.	No.
				[Refer to original submission for full reason].	[Refer to original submission, including an illustration of area covered by proposed height control area 11]		
Dougal and Libby List	207.8	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1, CCZ-S3, and CCZ-S11 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP below: CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design) as follows: CCZ-O5.4. and CCZ-O5.7. CCZ-O7 (Managing adverse effects) as follows: CCZ-O7.1. and CCZ-O7.2.a. to CCZ-O7.2.e. CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) as follows: CCZ-P9.2. CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects) as follows: CCZ-P9.2. MRZ-PRECO1-O1 (Character Precincts). HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage). PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are	area 11] Amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as proposed by this submission. [Inferred decision requested].	Reject.	No.
Craig Forrester	210.7	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Oppose	known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930. Considers that CCZ-51, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage overlay. Considers that CCZ-51 and CCZ-53 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP.	Opposes CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) - Height Control Area 9, South-east, South-west zone edge height limit of 28.5m and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Craig Forrester	210.8	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	[Refer to original submission for full reason]. Considers that CCZ-S1, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage overlay.	Seeks that a new height control area be added to CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as follows: k. Height Control Area 11 - Eastern side of Hania Street 15m.	Reject.	No.
Jill Wilson	218.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Oppose	Refer to original submission for full reason]. Opposes 12 storey building along Cable and Wakefield Street. Considers that the harbour side is a major asset for visitors and residents and that high rise building along the streets would impede visual access to the water. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	[refer to submission for illustration of area covered by proposed height control area 11] Opposes CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as it relates to Wakefield Street and Cable Street.	Reject.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.21	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Considers that the lack of an unlimited height control, or at the least an increase in the height limits throughout the City Centre Zone, is inconsistent with Policy 3(a) of the National Policy Statement or Urban Development 2020 which requires the district plans of Tier 1 territorial authorities to enable specified outcomes, including "in city centre zones, building heights and density of urban form to realise as much development capacity as possible, to maximise benefits of intensification" (Policy 3(a)). The Council's \$32 Assessment does not adequately recognise this or respond to this requirement, and falls to recognise that economic conditions which constrain or enable high density/scale development will fluctuate within the lifespan of a district plan. Considers the section 32 analysis has not considered an option of unlimited building heights together with the application of other design controls and criteria. Considers that the Property (83-87 Waterloo Quay) is not subject to any protected views, or any other specific constraints that would otherwise justify the use of a 50m height limit (noting the Airport Designation WIAL1 is some 100m above the level of the Property), particularly in the absence of an identified 'qualifying matter'. The 50m Maximum Height standard, as it applies to the Property (83-87 Waterloo Quay) and the adjoining land, is inadequate with regards to the requirements of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020. Considers that other standards and designations are proposed to manage other outcomes that relate to the height of development.	Location 1.b. Height Control Area 2 - Waterloo Quay Section Limit 50m-Unlimited	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 63 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Stratum Management Limited	249.33	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Oppose	Imposition of height limits does not give effect to Policy 3(a) of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development. The policy requires, in city centre zones, district plans to enable "building heights and urban form to realise as much development capacity as possible, to maximise benefits of intensification." The imposition of maximum building heights does not achieve this intent.	Remove standard CCZ-S1 (Maximum height).	Reject.	No.
Generation Zero Inc	254.17	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Oppose	Considers that a maximum height control in the City Centre Zone is inappropriate for the following reasons: - inconsistent with the policy direction of the NPS-UD - out of step with other local authorities focusses on preserving existing amenity -insufficient analysis and justification undertaken. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Delete provision CCZ-51 (Maximum height) so that there are no maximum height limits in the City Centre Zone.	Reject.	No.
Wheeler Grace Trust	261.3	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Oppose	Considers that the proposed Height Controls in Selwyn Terrace are inappropriate. 6 Storey buildings would significantly detract from the residential amenity of Selwyn Terrace. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) so that Selwyn terrace, Thorndon does not have a 27m maximum building height.	Reject.	No.
170 Wakefield Limited	267.1	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Considers it appropriate to increase the Height Control Area over 170 Wakefield St in order for the District Plan to be consistent with the NPS-UD, with respecting the WIAL1 designation. [Refer to original submission for full reason].	Seeks to amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum height), Height Control Area 7 from 43.8m to 60m.	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.154	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S1	Oppose	Considers the amendment requested significantly exceeds the notified height control in the proposed district plan (42m) and is not justified.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.324	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Support	Supports the standard as the maximum height for any building is between 25m-93m	Retain CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Eldin Family Trust	287.7	use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Oppose	Considers that regardless of the zoning applied to Selwyn Terrace a minimum building height of 22m or a maximum if either 21m or 27m would be inappropriate. Considers that these building heights are inconsistent with the Viewshafts V51 (The Beehive) and V54 (The Beehive and The Cenotaph – Whitmore Street) which would clash with the viewshaft. Considers that tall buildings in Selwyn Terrace would detract from the residential amenity, special character and heritage of Selwyn Terrace and increase pressure on access, parking and turning. Considers that developers would face difficulty in complying with the minimum height requirements due to covenants on titles. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Opposes CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) with respect to Selwyn Terrace (and the nearby areas of Hill Street and Guildford Terrace) being subject to the 27m maximum height control (Height control area 3).	Reject.	No.
Wellington Branch NZIA	301.11		Oppose in part	Height Control Area 8 in the CCZ (City Centre Zone) is opposed due to the new CCZ limit of 42m everywhere as a minimum height is expandable by up to 50% extra in height. This leaves all existing home owners in buildings 6-9 storeys tall now facing the prospect of being surrounded by towers 14 20 storeys tall. These developments will also have negative effects on property values and cast shadows for entire blocks southward. Te Aro's soils are also not good ground to build tall buildings on. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Opposes CCZ-S1 (Maximum heights) Heigh Control Area 8 - Te Aro. [Inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.163	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S1	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to further submission]	Allow	Reject.	No.
Wellington Branch NZIA	301.12	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Oppose in part	Considers that the new CCZ (City Centre Zone) limits of 42m everywhere as a minimum height in CCZ, and expandable by up to 50% extra in height, leaves all existing home owners in buildings 6-9 storeys tall now facing the prospect of being surrounded by towers 14-20 storeys tall. These developments will also have negative effects on property values and cast shadows for entire blocks southward. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Not specified.	Reject.	No.
Paihikara Ki Põneke Cycle Wellington	302.48	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Oppose	Supports the PDP subject to amendments to ensure that the intensification outcomes required by the Resource Management Act 1991, as amended by the RM (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Act 2021 and the NPS-UD 2020 are enabled.	Seeks that all height limits at CCZ-S1 (Maximum heights) are removed.	Accept.	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
James Coyle	307.23	· ·	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Moir Street Collective - Dougal List, Libby List, Karen Young, Jeremy Young, James Fairhall, Karen Fairhall, Craig Forrester, Sharlene Gray	312.6	Commercial and mixed	Oppose in part	Considers that CCZ-51, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage overlay. [Refer to original submission for full reason].	Opposes CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) - Height Control Area 9, South-east, South-west zone edge height limit of 28.5m.	Reject.	No.
Historic Places Wellington Inc	FS111.88	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-	Support	Considers that it is necessary to protect heritage values of Mt Victoria heritage area (curtilage). [Interred reference to submission 312.6]	Allow	Accept.	No.
Moir Street Collective - Dougal List, Libby List, Karen Young, Jeremy Young, James Fairhall, Karen Fairhall, Craig Forrester, Sharlene Gray	312.7	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Considers that CCZ-51, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage overlay. [Refer to original submission for full reason].	Seeks that a new height control area be added to CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as follows: k. Height Control Area 11 - Eastern side of Hania Street 15m. [refer to submission for illustration of area covered by proposed height control area 11].	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.204	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S1		Considers the Boffa Miskell report, Council officers' assessment, and other evidence, justifies extending the character protections and rezoning for all areas identified by submitters in the rest the further subimtter's table [see further submission for full information]. Considers that these proposals protect historic heritage from inappropriate development as required by section 6(f) of the RMA.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Historic Places Wellington Inc	FS111.89	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S1	Support	Considers that it is necessary to protect heritage values of Mt Victoria heritage area (curtilage). [Interred reference to submission 312.7]	Allow	Reject.	No.
Moir Street Collective - Dougal List, Libby List, Karen Young, Jeremy Young, James Fairhall, Karen Fairhall, Craig Forrester, Sharlene Grav	312.8	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1, CCZ-S3, and CCZ-S11 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP relating to amenity, design adverse effects and heritage.	Amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as proposed by this submission. [Inferred decision requested].	Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.197	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Peter Kennedy	353.1		Support in part	Supports CCZ-S1 in part in that the properties at 25 and 25A Taranaki Street are subject to the height control of 42.5m above ground level. The submitter notes that the owners of 25 and 25A Taranaki Street generally support the increase in height from the 27m provided in the Operative District Plan to 42.5m in the Proposed District Plan.	Retain CCZ-S1 (Maximum height), with amendments.	Accept.	No.
Peter Kennedy	353.2	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1		Considers that given the sites are located in the City Centre Zone, and for the district plan to adopt the NPS-UD Policy 3.a, the height restriction of 42.5m should be removed [Refer to original submission for NPS-UD Policy 3 reference]. The submitter considers that to fully 'maximise benefits of intensification' the height of any structure should be determined by the buildability and constraints of the site such as ground conditions. Economic viability and design will naturally constrain the building heights as well, however the district plan should not limit height in the central zone so it can properly align with the NPS-UD. The submitter notes that nearly all of Wellington falls subject to the WIAL 1 designation, which restrict new buildings or structures from being above the RL of 56.98m unless shielded by an existing immovable object. The submitter considers that the Mount Victoria ridgeline extends well above this RL and shields the centre city from the airport. The submitter considers as such the	Amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) to truly align the Proposed District Plan with the National Policy Statement on Urban Development while respecting WIAL 1 designation, as follows: 1. The following maximum height limits must be complied with (measured above ground level unless otherwise specified): Location Limit h. Height Control Area 8 –Te Aro 42.5 60m	Reject.	No.
U.S. Embassy Wellington	366.3	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Oppose	maximum RL should be restricted by the height of the Mount Victoria. Concerned about any structure adjacent to the United States Embassy being built to a height of 27 metres, particularly without any requirement for the Embassy to be notified of and consent to the proposed building project for security reasons	Amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) so that properties identified on a map surrounding the United States Embassy have a maximum height of 10m. [See original submission for map]	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 65 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Jane Szentivanyi	376.6			CCZ-S1 is opposed as the proposed controls will fail to manage significant adverse effects on adjoining sites. As currently drafted, CCZ-S11 will result in significant adverse effects on Moir Street properties, as well as recognised heritage and character values which cannot be mitigated through design. As such, the provision is contrary to the objectives and policies of the plan.	Opposes CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Jane Szentivanyi	376.7	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Considers that CCZ-51 should be amended to have a new height control for the area along the eastern side of Hania Street.	Amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as follows: h. Height Control Area 10 - Adelaide Road i. Height Control Area 11 - eastern side of Hania St 15m [Refer to map in submission]	Reject.	No.
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.481	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Whilst the submitter recognises the importance of safety in regards to Maximum build height of structures, the Wellington Central City Zone currently fails to adequately utilize the large amount of accessible open space provided by building tops. As such the submitter considers the standard should allow for the development of urban farming infrastructure, as these would likely extend beyond the 1m in diameter restriction placed upon decorative features, however these would need to be immobile and enclosed in order to prevent safety issues through structural compromisation via weather events.	Amend CCZ-51 (Maximum height) as follows: This standard does not apply to B) Enclosed immobile garden beds providing these do not extend beyond 2m in diameter or 1m in height.	Reject.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.119	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Seeks an amendment to the assessment criteria where the standard is infringed to include the extent to which a taller building would contribute to business capacity in the city. The NPS-UD requires tier I territorial authorities to provide sufficient development capacity for both housing and business, and Policy 3 recognises that building heights and density of urban form to realise as much development capacity as possible, to maximise benefits of intensification. The Proposed Plan must give effect to the NPS-UD, and this could be achieved in part by amending the assessment criteria as submitted.	Amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum height): Matters of discretion: 4. The extent to which taller buildings would contribute to maximising the benefits of intensification in the city	Reject.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.733	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1		Opposes the City Centre building height controls as notified and seeks that the building heights are simplified. Considers the Central Weilington City and the City Centre Zone should provide for unlimited building heights to encourage intensification and development. There are rules and standards in the District Plan that will control bulk, location and height of buildings in the city centre. Considers height should not be limited in the City Centre. Seeks simplification of the height controls.	Retain CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) and seeks amendments.	Accept in part.	No.
Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS84.50	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / General CCZ	Oppose	Greater Wellington oppose enabling further intensified development unless there are the necessary controls to manage potential effects of water bodies and freshwater ecosystems to give effect to the NPS-FM and have regard to Proposed RPS Change 1. Greater Wellington also consider that any further intensification will not be feasible unless there is investment in associated infrastructure.	Disallow / Seeks that additional provisions are included to give effect to the NPS-FM and have regard to proposed RPS change 1 to manage the effects of urban development on freshwater.	Reject.	No.
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.734	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Opposes the City Centre building height controls as notified and seeks that the building heights are simplified. Considers the Central Wellington City and the City Centre Zone should provide for unlimited building heights to encourage intensification and development. There are rules and standards in the District Plan that will control bulk, location and height of buildings in the city centre. Considers height should not be limited in the City Centre. Seeks simplification of the height controls.	Amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as follows: There is no maximum height for buildings and structures in the City Centre Zone Location Limit a. Height Control Area 1 — Thorndon Quay 35.4m b. Height Control Area 2 — Waterloo Quay section 50m c. Height Control Area 3 — Bulk of Thorndon 27m d. Height Control Area 4 — Mid and Upper Molesworth Street 43.8m e. Height Control Area 5 — CBD East 48.5m — 95m f. Height Control Area 6 — CBD West 75m 95m g. Height Control Area 8 — Te Area 42.5m h. Height Control Area 8 — Te Area 42.5m l. Height Control Area 9 — South-East, South-West Zone Edge Adelaide Road 28.5m j. Height Control Area 10 — Adelaide Road 42.5m	Accept in part.	Yes
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.130	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S1	Oppose	Considers the submission is more enabling than justified. Considers the calibration of heights in accordance with the notified height control areas is more appropriate for a medium-sized coastal/harbour city that is susceptible to earthquakes and other natural hazards	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS84.128	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S1	Oppose	Greater Wellington oppose enabling further intensified development unless there are the necessary controls to manage potential effects of water bodies and freshwater ecosystems to give effect to the NPS-FM and have regard to Proposed RPS Change 1. Greater Wellington also consider that any further intensification will not be feasible unless there is investment in associated infrastructure.	Disallow / Seeks that additional provisions are included to give effect to the NPS-FM and have regard to proposed RPS change 1 to manage the effects of urban development on freshwater.	Reject.	No.
LIVE WELLington	FS96.40	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S1	Oppose	This amendment is more enabling than justified. Calibration of heights in accordance with the notified height control areas is more appropriate for a medium-sized coastal/harbour city that is susceptible to earthquakes and other natural hazards	Disallow	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 66 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Roland Sapsford	FS117.39	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S1	Oppose	This amendment is more enabling than justified. Calibration of heights in accordance with the notified height control areas is more appropriate for a medium-sized coastal/harbour city that is susceptible to earthquakes and other natural hazards	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.79	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Considers the assessment criteria for non-compliance with CCZ-S1 should also include assessment of the extra business capacity added by infringing on height. Notes that the NPS-UD requires tier 1 territorial authorities to provide sufficient development capacity for both housing and business, and Policy 3 recognises that building heights and density of urban form to realise as much development capacity as possible, to maximise benefits of intensification.	Amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) to: Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed: 1. Streetscape and visual amenity effects; 2. Dominance and privacy effects on adjoining sites; and 3. The extent to which taller buildings would substantially contribute to increasing residential accommodation in the city; and 4. The extent to which taller buildings would contribute to maximising the benefits of intensification in the city (or words to similar effect).	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.169	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S1	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to further submission]	Allow	Reject.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.80	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Support	Supports the 75m Height Control Area applying to Lambton Quay.	Retain CCZ-51.f (Maximum Height) Height Control Area 6 - CBD West's 75m Height Control Area extent as notified. [Refer to original submission for maps of the submitter's properties under the Proposed District Plan].	Accept.	No.
VicLabour	414.45	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Oppose	Opposes maximum height limits in the city centre zone. Identifies that Council staff recommended unlimited heights, backed by evidence. Considers there are a range of of checks on building quality and safety that would regulate new builds. Considers that facilitating the development of more residential and office space will support greater affordability. Considers there is a lack of support for new forms of density in the CBD. Considers that maximum height restrictions pose an unnecessary restriction on development and contributes to sprawl.	Seeks that maximum height limits in the City Centre Zone as notified are removed and unlimited height limits are introduced.	Accept.	Yes
VicLabour	414.46	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Opposes maximum height limits in the city centre zone. Identifies that Council staff recommended unlimited heights, backed by evidence. Considers there are a range of of checks on building quality and safety that would regulate new builds. Considers that facilitating the development of more residential and office space will support greater affordability. Considers there is a lack of support for new forms of density in the CBD. Considers that maximum height restrictions pose an unnecessary restriction on development and contributes to sprawl.	Seeks that maximum height limits in the City Centre Zone as notified are removed and unlimited height limits are introduced.	Accept.	Yes
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.181	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Oppose	Considers that maintaining the height limits within the City Centre Zone is not justified. The City Centre Zone is intended to be the 'beating heart' of Wellington City and to permit the highest level of density. The height limits restrict options for developers and make it harder to deliver quality developments which appropriately respond to the site. All significant development within the City Centre Zone is a restricted discretionary activity, allowing Council significant input and the means to ensure only quality developments are granted consent. That discretion provides a sufficient level of protection. Height limits also risk creating a 'flat haircut' type city, rather than one that contains a diversity of buildings.	Delete CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) in its entirety. [inferred decision requested].	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 67 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.182	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Oppose	Submitter opposes CCZ-S1 for the following reasons: - Specifying height limits is an unnecessary constraint on development and is inconsistent the with the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 (NPS-UD) which requires district plans to "enable, in city centre zones, building heights and density of urban form to realise as much development capacity as possible" (Policy 3). - The building height limits artificially inflate height over other design considerations when assessing the merits of a proposal such as effects on wind and sunlight, potentially to the detriment of overall design excellence. - The requirement to comply with other objective performance criteria such as overshadowing, daylight access, protected view shafts and wind sufficiently contain the environmental impact of subject developments without the further imposition of height constraints. - The building height limits do not allow for a diversity of height within the CCZ, which we consider contributes to a more engaging urban form and allows for better design and urban outcomes. - In any case, the heights currently specified provide a false sense of future development within the city centre as they do not affect activity status (restricted discretionary) and can be extended through, for example, application of the City Outcomes Contributions.	Delete CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.183	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Submitter opposes CCZ-51 for the following reasons: - Specifying height limits is an unnecessary constraint on development and is inconsistent the with the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 (NPS-UD) which requires district plans to "enable, in city centre zones, building heights and density of urban form to realise as much development capacity as possible" (Policy 3) The building height limits artificially inflate height over other design considerations when assessing the merits of a proposal such as effects on wind and sunlight, potentially to the detriment of overall design excellence The requirement to comply with other objective performance criteria such as overshadowing, daylight access, protected view shafts and wind sufficiently contain the environmental impact of subject developments without the further imposition of height constraints The building height limits do not allow for a diversity of height within the CCZ, which we consider contributes to a more engaging urban form and allows for better design and urban outcomes In any case, the heights currently specified provide a false sense of future development within the city centre as they do not affect activity status (restricted discretionary) and can be extended through, for example, application of the City Outcomes Contributions.	Seeks that as an alternative to CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) maximum heights, floor area ratios relative to lot sizes could be used as a method to control bulk and calculated based on the heights currently allowed. Submitter considers that this would enable more holistic design outcomes that prioritise performance outcomes as opposed to arguably arbitrary height limits.	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.184	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Submitter considers that if height limits are retained, there should be further scope for development above the façade height, e.g., plant rooms, sloping roofs, etc. It is the view from the street which is the greatest concern (i.e. the height of the parapet). The way the current height limits are drafted encourages a 'flat haircut' style of building and limits potential roof designs [Refer to original submission for full reason].	Submitter seeks that if CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) height limits are retained, that CCZ-S1 be amended as follows: This standard does not apply to: b. Satellite dishes, antennas, aerials, chimneys, flues, architectural or decorative features (e.g. finials, spires) provided that none of these exceed 1m in diameter and do not exceed the height by more than 1m; and c. Lift overruns provided these do not exceed the height by more than 4m- d. Circumstances where up to 50% of a building's roof in elevation exceeds the maximum height where the entire roof slopes 15° or more; or e. Circumstances where, in respect of flat roofs or roofs sloping less than 15°, non-habitable rooms (such as plant rooms) and other roof-top structures may exceed the height, provided those structures are set back from the leading edge of the parapet by at least 2 metres and do not exceed 50% of the overall roof area. [Inferred decision requested].	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 68 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.185	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Considers for the Wellington Train Station Precinct that intensification should be most prevalent where major existing public infrastructure is available, particularly public transport. Submitter considers to that end, building height limits (not withstanding earlier comments regarding height limits in general) around the Train Station should be maximised. Submitter notes the 50m height limit above the rail corridor enabling a potential over-station development – the submitter strongly supports this initiative and believe even further height is warranted here. This height should be extended to nearby sites including the station itself, and around Thorndon Quay, Waterloo Quay and Lambton Quay – the majority of which is currently constrained to between 27m and 40m.	Seeks that for the Wellington Train Station precinct CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) be amended, notwithstanding the submitters other comments regarding height controls, to increase the height limit above the rail corridor to the extent possible and ensure the height limit of nearby areas is at a similar scale.	Reject.	No.
				The submitter believes there are sufficient other controls in place to manage responsible use of height.			
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.186	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Considers that for the Tasman Street block, that the block bounded by Buckle Street, Tasman Street, Rugby Street and Sussex Street appears as an anomaly (28.5m) to the height limits of the similarly-zoned blocks immediately to the north (42.5m) and to the south (42.5m). Submitter considers that it is clear that 28.5m is utilised as a transitional height from the 42.5m zone to the lower 21m and 11m height limits, however it is unclear what justification there is for the anomaly on this block given the intensification of the entire Adelaide Road precinct immediately south, and the Te Aro precinct immediately north.	Seeks that the Tasman Street block CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) be amended, notwithstanding the submitters other comments regarding height controls, to increase the height limit of the Tasman Street block to be consistent with the surrounding blocks, and consistent with the intent of the NPS- UD.	Reject.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.81	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Oppose	seeks amendments to CCZ-S1 to provide unlimited building heights in the City Centre zone as it applies to the "High City" area that was identified in the Wellington Spatial Plan (centred on Lower Willis Street/Boulcott Street/The Terrace/Featherston Street/Lambton Quay/Customhouse Quay), and as it applies to properties at 22 The Terrace, 1 Grey Street, 20 Customhouse Quay, and 215 Lambton Quay. Policy 3 of the NPS-UD requires district plans of Tier 1 urban environments such as Wellington to enable "building heights and density of urban form to realise as much development capacity as possible, to maximise benefits of intensification" in city centre zones. We interpret this to mean that the City Centre, or at minimum parts of the City Centre, should have no maximum building heights. Unlimited building heights in the central City Centre area are appropriate given the emphasis in the Wellington Spatial Plan and Proposed Plan on the City Centre for accommodating future growth, recognising the height of existing buildings in these areas, and taking into account the absence of any directly adjoining residential areas that could potentially be adversely affected. This would also be consistent with CCZ-P5 which recognises the benefits of enabling greater height and scale of development in the City Centre. According to CCZ-O1 the Wellington City Centre is intended to be the primary commercial centre for the wider Wellington crity with unlimited heights in their centres. Unlimited building heights in the Wellington City Centre would be consistent with its role as the primary commercial centre for the region.	Opposes CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) with respect to the imposition of height limits in the City Centre Zone.	Accept in part.	Yes
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.1	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S1	Oppose	The submission seeks unlimited building heights in part of the City Centre Zone. It is not clear to what extent this would capture precincts and other valued areas including viewshafts and protected sunlight areas. Wellington Civic Trust does not support this notion.	Disallow	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 69 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Fabric Property Limited		Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	Seeks amendments to CCZ-S1 to provide unlimited building heights in the City Centre zone as it applies to the "High City" area that was identified in the Wellington Spatial Plan (centred on Lower Willis Street/Boulcott Street/The Terrace/Featherston Street/Lambton Quay/Customhouse Quay), and as it applies to properties at 22 The Terrace, 1 Grey Street, 20 Customhouse Quay, and 215 Lambton Quay.	Amend CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) to remove all height limits and provide unlimited building heights in the High City areas.	Accept in part.	Yes
				Policy 3 of the NPS-UD requires district plans of Tier 1 urban environments such as Wellington to enable "building heights and density of urban form to realise as much development capacity as possible, to maximise benefits of intensification" in city centre zones. We interpret this to mean that the City Centre, or at minimum parts of the City Centre, should have no maximum building heights.			
				Unlimited building heights in the central City Centre area are appropriate given the emphasis in the Wellington Spatial Plan and Proposed Plan on the City Centre for accommodating future growth, recognising the height of existing buildings in these areas, and taking into account the absence of any directly adjoining residential areas that could potentially be adversely affected. This would also be consistent with CC2-P5 which recognises the benefits of enabling greater height and scale of development in the City Centre.			
				According to CCZ-O1 the Wellington City Centre is intended to be the primary commercial centre for the wider Wellington region. Yet the intensification planning instruments notified in Hutt City and Upper Hutt City provide for a greater scale of development than Wellington City with unlimited heights in their centres. Unlimited building heights in the Wellington City Centre would be consistent with its role as the primary commercial centre for the region.			
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.2	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S1	Oppose	The submission seeks unlimited building heights in part of the City Centre Zone. It is not clear to what extent this would capture precincts and other valued areas including viewshafts and protected sunlight areas. Wellington Civic Trust does not support this notion.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Properties Limited	441.6	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Oppose	Opposes CCZ-S1 as Reading Wellington Properties Limited is in the process of developing a masterplan for all of its properties in Wellington, with high design thresholds. Given the sites prominance, the design should not be constrained by a permitted height limit.	Delete CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Chrissie Potter	446.5		Oppose in part	Considers that CCZ-S1, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage overlay.	Opposes CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) - Height Control Area 9, South-east, South-west zone edge height limit of 28.5m.	Reject.	No.
				Considers that standard is contrary to the propsoed objectives and policies of the District Plan relating to amenity, design adverse effects and heritage.			
Chrissie Potter	446.6	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	[Refer to original submission for full reason]. Considers that CCZ-S1, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage overlay.	Seeks that a new height control area be added to CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as follows: k. Height Control Area 11 - Eastern side of Hania Street 15m.	Reject.	No.
				Considers that standard is contrary to the propsoed objectives and policies of the District Plan relating to amenity, design adverse effects and heritage.	[refer to submission for illustration of area covered by proposed height control area 11]		
Dorothy Thompson	449.5		Oppose in part	[Refer to original submission for full reason]. Considers that CCZ-S1, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage overlay.	Opposes CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) - Height Control Area 9, South-east, South-west zone edge height limit of 28.5m.	Reject.	No.
				Considers that standard is contrary to the propsoed objectives and policies of the District Plan relating to amenity, design adverse effects and heritage.			
Dorothy Thompson	449.6	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S1	Amend	[Refer to original submission for full reason]. Considers that CCZ-S1, in particular Height Control Area 9 would allow an inappropriate scale of development adjacent to which is zoned for residential purposes and has a character or heritage overlay.	Seeks that a new height control area be added to CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) as follows:	Reject.	No.
				Considers that standard is contrary to the propsoed objectives and policies of the District Plan relating to amenity, design adverse effects and heritage.	k. Height Control Area 11 - Eastern side of Hania Street 15m. [refer to submission for illustration of area covered by proposed height control area 11]		
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	233.25	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S2	Support	[Refer to original submission for full reason]. Supports CCZ-S2 (Height Controls) around Old St Pauls Church.	Retain Height Controls around Old St Paul's Church, Mulgrave Street as notified.	Accept.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 70 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Thorndon Residents' Association Inc	FS69.96	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S2		Appropriate protection of pre-1930s buildings 10min walkable catchment Specific heritage identification and assessment Views contributing to sense of place and identity Extend Character Precincts per Boffa Miskell Boffa Miskell streetscapes Appropriate protection of pre-1930s buildings CCZ encroachment on residential zones Old St Pauls height controls Preserve viewshalfs	Allow	Accept.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.22	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S2	Support	Generally supports the balance of the standards that are proposed to apply to the City Centre Zone, subject to the specific relief sought in respect of the application of the Veranda and Active Frontages controls to the Property. The range of standards will effectively manage the design of development within the City Centre.	Retain CCZ-S2 (Old St Paul's Church - Adjoining site specific building height)	Accept.	No.
Stratum Management Limited	249.34	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S2	Support	Supports the imposition of a minimum height standard.	Retain standard CCZ-S2 (Minimum height) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Juliet Cooke	68.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3		Considers that CCZ standards will lead to inappropriate, out of scale development with a direct impact on residential properties that have recognised heritage and character values and are therefore contrary to the objectives and policies of the plan. Heritage and character are qualifying matters under MRZ Pt1 Sch 1. Considers that proposed controls will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out of scale development. Moir Street will be impacted. Moir street is a key and coherent character and heritage area. Moir street is unique with the amount of overlapping relevant overlays. [See submission for further detail]	Amend CCZ-S3.1 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned Areas) as follows: 1. Identified character a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of 60° measured from a height of 8m 5m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that adjoin that precinct _{tz} , and b. For any site adjoining a site identified within the MRZ within a Character Precinct or a. Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may be higher than 15m.	Reject.	No.
Tracey Paterson	74.5	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3		Opposes CCZ-S3 as currently drafted. CCZ-S1 will result in significant adverse effects on Moir Street properties which cannot be mitigated through design. Moir Street is unique due to its classification as MRZ, Character Precinct, Heritage Area and adjacency to CCZ. As currently drafted, the standards of the proposed plan will allow buildings of up to 28.5m high to tower over 1-2 story heritage cottages on Moir St. The proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation. The Standards of the CCZ proposed Plan will lead to significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out of scale development with a direct impact on residential properties that have recognised heritage and character values on Moir Street. The standards will result in outcomes that are contrary to the objectives and policies of the PDP (CCZ)	Reject CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as notified.	Reject	No.
Tracey Paterson	74.6	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Amend CCZ-S3 to set a more appropriate recession plane provision between the CCZ and MRZ.	Amend CC2-53 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows: 1. Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas: a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of 60° measured from a height of 8m 5m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that adjoin that precinct. b. For any site adjoining a site identified within the Medium Density Residential Zone within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may be higher than 15m.	Reject.	No.

Service of the control of the contro	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
second specimens are found to the control of the co		160.8	use Zones / City Centre	Amend	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Reject.	No.
Interest of Same Section 1 Amount of Same Sect						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
March or of Europe March								
March and Force Park Control of the control o					effects will be substantial.			
Service of Core (CCCS) Appear of Service was provided and made of Service was a service of displace motioning provided and provided and provided provided and provided provided and provided provided and provided provide					[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Consequence of the section of the se		160.9	use Zones / City Centre	Amend			Reject.	No.
efficies will be adjustmental. Position of the commercial and misses.			2011e / CC2-33		Considers that the 8m height and 60 degree recession planes in CCZ-S3 is not enough to prevent a	1.		
Interest and Fastern Tainbal T								
The register of Same 10,110 Commercial and mixed Commercial					effects will be substantial.			
Inner and Earnin In 1910 Commercial and mixed In					[Pafar to original submission for full reasons]	Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may be higher than 15m.		
Facility of the commence of th					[Refer to original submission for full reasons].	•••		
20xe / CCZ-53 20xe /		160.10	Commercial and mixed	Amend		Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific	Reject.	No.
Considers that the Bin height and 60 degree recession planes in CZ-51 is not enough to prevent a development on the Story 1880 contages. The changing character previous or a spoiderfully Zoned Interface description. Defer to original submission for full research. Defer to original submission for all full research in the Biosodary must not exceed an line steers. Amend CC2-33 (Character previous and Residentially Zoned heritage areas - Adjoining site specific. No. Amend CC2-33 (Character previous and Residentially Zoned heritage areas - Adjoining site specific. No. Defer to original submission for APY rone adjoining a daracter area. In a price adjoined an account of the Biosodary must not exceed an lone steers. Amend CC2-33 (Character previous and Residentially Zoned heritage areas - Adjoining site specific. No. Defer to original submission for APY rone adjoining a daracter area. In a price adjoined to previous and Residentially Zoned heritage areas - Adjoining site specific. No. Defer to original submission for APY rone adjoining a daracter area. Considers that CC2-53 and CC	Fairhall					building and structure height) as follows:		
devastang deminance over Most Steer's little one to two storry 1880s cottages. The shading effects will be substantial. Page 12 Marker to original submission for full reactors).			Zone / CCZ-S3		property.			
devastang deminance over Most Steer's little one to two storry 1880s cottages. The shading effects will be substantial. Page 12 Marker to original submission for full reactors).					Considers that the 8m height and 60 degree recession planes in CC7-S3 is not enough to prevent a	1.		
Refer to original submission for full reasons . Refer to original submission for full reasons . Refer to original submission for full reasons . Refer to original submission for all character areas to have a 5m boundary height limit with a 00 degree recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character areas to have a 5m boundary height limit with a 00 degree recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character areas to have a 5m boundary height limit with a 00 degree recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character areas of Anne a 5m boundary height limit with a 00 degree recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character areas of Anne a 5m boundary beight limit with a 00 degree recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character areas of Anne a 5m boundary beight limit with a 00 degree recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character areas of Anne and Except and a policies and policies of CCC 53 (connected precisions). Anne of CCC2 53 (connected precisions and Residentially Zoned heritage areas - Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as proposed by this submission. Register						3. For any site adjoining a site identified within Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage		
Supports the report Planning for Residential Amentaly by Boffa Miskell as it relates to its recommendation for all character areas to have a 5m boundary height limit with a 60 degree recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character area. 160.12 Commercial and misked with a CZ-53 are proposed and a commendation for all character areas to have a 5m boundary height limit with a 60 degree recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character area. 160.12 Commercial and misked with a CZ-53 are proposed a fine of commercial and misked with a CZ-53 are proposed as a proposed depictives and policies of the PGP below: CZ-67 (CZ-53 are proposed depictives and policies of the PGP below: CZ-67 (Managing adverse effects). CZC-92.a. and CZ2-07.a. and CZ-07.a. and CZ-07.a. and CZ-07.a. CZ-67 (Managing adverse effects). CZ-P2.2. all. and CZ-P2.2. MRZ-PRCCIO-10 (Character Precincts). 184-72 c OSTRICT-VMD MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan also protects are ask of special disparted in the CGY is mer suburbs. These suburbs are some of the CGY or original settlements, with pookets of relatively intract streetings or harder derived from an also protects are ask of special disparted in the CGY is mer suburbs. These suburbs are some of the CGY or original settlements, with pookets of relatively intract streetings or harder derived from a range of felactions and also protects and settlements. These are known as Character Precients. Also in the precinct. Series and Jeremy Young 182.9 Commercial and mixed with a CGC-ST (CGC-ST) and CGC-ST) and CGC-ST (CGC-ST) and CGC-ST) (CGC-ST) (CGC-ST) and CGC-ST) (CGC-ST) (CGC-S					effects will be substantial.	Area: The first 5 metres back from the boundary must not exceed 4m (one storey).		
Supports the report Planning for Residential Amentaly by Boffa Miskell as it relates to its recommendation for all character areas to have a 5m boundary height limit with a 60 degree recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character area. 160.12 Commercial and misked with a CZ-53 are proposed and a commendation for all character areas to have a 5m boundary height limit with a 60 degree recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character area. 160.12 Commercial and misked with a CZ-53 are proposed a fine of commercial and misked with a CZ-53 are proposed as a proposed depictives and policies of the PGP below: CZ-67 (CZ-53 are proposed depictives and policies of the PGP below: CZ-67 (Managing adverse effects). CZC-92.a. and CZ2-07.a. and CZ-07.a. and CZ-07.a. and CZ-07.a. CZ-67 (Managing adverse effects). CZ-P2.2. all. and CZ-P2.2. MRZ-PRCCIO-10 (Character Precincts). 184-72 c OSTRICT-VMD MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan also protects are ask of special disparted in the CGY is mer suburbs. These suburbs are some of the CGY or original settlements, with pookets of relatively intract streetings or harder derived from an also protects are ask of special disparted in the CGY is mer suburbs. These suburbs are some of the CGY or original settlements, with pookets of relatively intract streetings or harder derived from a range of felactions and also protects and settlements. These are known as Character Precients. Also in the precinct. Series and Jeremy Young 182.9 Commercial and mixed with a CGC-ST (CGC-ST) and CGC-ST) and CGC-ST (CGC-ST) and CGC-ST) (CGC-ST) (CGC-ST) and CGC-ST) (CGC-ST) (CGC-S								
recommendation for all character areas to have a "5m boundary height limit with a 60 degree recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character area". 1. Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas: 1. Identified character precincts and session building, accessory building, accessory building or structure may project beyond all nor of 60° measured from a height of 60					[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character area". 1. I dentified character procincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas: a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned heritage areas: a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned heritage areas on pain of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of Gornessured from an Height of 8 mg above ground level from all side and rare boundaries that adjoin that precinct. Amend CZ-53 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas - Adjoining site specific of the PDP below: CCC-65 (Amenity and design): CCZ-05.4. and CCZ-53 as proposed depictives and policies. CCZ-79 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-99.2.a.ii. and CCZ-97.2.e. CCZ-79 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-99.2.a.ii. and CCZ-97.2.e. CCZ-79 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-99.2.a.ii. and CCZ-97.2.e. CCZ-712 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-91.2.1. and CCZ-91.2.a. MRZ-PRECOL-01 (Character Precincts). HH-Q2 (Protecting historic heritage). PART 2: DISTRICT WIND MATTERS. Strategic Direction: Urban Form and Development: The Plan also protects areas of special character in the City's inner subsulvs. These subsurbs are some of the City's oning settlements, with process of relabely intact stretestage of history character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural spée, and site boundary reatment. These are known as "Character Precincts," fallies in these Previous counter derived from a laterations and additions to building age and the boundary reatment. These are known as "Character Precincts," fallies in these Previous counter derived from a laterations and additions to building special special counter derived from a laterations and additions to building special counter derived from a laterations and additions to building special counter derived from a laterations and additions to building special counter derived from a laterations and add	James and Karen	160.11	Commercial and mixed	Amend	Supports the report 'Planning for Residential Amenity' by Boffa Miskell as it relates to its	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific	Reject.	No.
1. I. Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas: a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned heritage Areas: a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned heritage Areas: a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned heritage Areas: a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned heritage areas - Adjoining of structure may project beyond a line of 60° measured from a height of 8m m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that displinating and structure height) a proposed of 8m m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that displinating and structure height) a proposed by this submission. CC2-05 (Amenity and design): CC2-05 A, and CC2-05 Z, a. and CC2-07 Z,	Fairhall		use Zones / City Centre		recommendation for all character areas to have a '5m boundary height limit with a 60 degree	building and structure height) as follows:		
a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of 60° measured from a height of 60° fr			Zone / CCZ-S3		recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character area'.			
Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of 60° measures from a height of 8mg anabove ground level from all side and rear boundaries that adjoin that precinct. 160.12						Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas:		
Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of 60° measures from a height of 8mg anabove ground level from all side and rear boundaries that adjoin that precinct. 160.12						a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned		
James and Karen Fairhall James and Karen James and Karen James and Karen James and Karen James and James and Karen James and Karen James and James and Karen James and Karen James and James and Karen James and James and CZ-S3 (Amaretre precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific James and James and James are specific and the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP below: CZ-O5 (Amenity and design): CCZ-O5.4. and CCZ-O7.2.a. and CCZ-O7.2.a. CZ-O7 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-O7.1. and CCZ-O7.2.a. Inferred decision requested]. CZ-D12 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-P9.2.a.ii. and CCZ-O7.2.a. MR2-PRECO1-O1 (Character Precincts). HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage). PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character development areas are some of the City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character development areas are and additions to building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as C'haracter Precincts. Rules of the City's inner suburbs. These precincts condended from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as C'haracter Precincts. Rules development. The part of the proposed by this submission. James CZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific Part of the City's original settlements. James CZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific Part of the City's original settlements. James CZ-S3 (Character prec								
Fairhall use Zone / CtZ-53 of the PDP below: CCZ-05 (Amenity and design): CCZ-05.4. and CCZ-05.7. (Inferred decision requested). (CZ-07 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-07.1. and CCZ-07.2.e. CCZ-07 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-07.1. and CCZ-								
Zone / CCZ-53 CCZ-05 (Amenity and design): CCZ-05.4. and CCZ-05.7. (CCZ-07 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-07.1. and CCZ-07.2.a. and CCZ-07.2.a. CCZ-07 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-07.2. and CCZ-07.2.a. and CCZ-07.2.a. CCZ-07 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-07.2. and CCZ-07.2.a. and CCZ-07.2.a. CCZ-07 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-07.2. and CCZ-07.2.a. MRZ-PRECO1-01 (Character Precincts). HH-02 (Protecting historic heritage). PART 2 - DISTRICT-MDR MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Precincts,' Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant adverse of the City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Precincts,' Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant adverse of the City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as buildings built before 1930. Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre part use Zones / City Centre part of scale development. Opposes CCZ-53 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific buildings and structure height) .		160.12		Amend			Reject.	No.
CC2-O5 (Amenity and design): CC2-O5.4. and CC2-O5.7. [Inferred decision requested]. CC2-O7 (Managing adverse effects): CC2-O7.1. and CC2-O7.2.e. and CC2-O7.2.e. CC2-P9 (Quality design outcomes): CC2-P9.2.a.ii. and CC2-P9.2.a.iii. and CC2-P9.2.a.ii. and CC2-P9.2.a.iii. and CC2-P9.2.a.ii. and CC2-P9.2.a.ii. and CC2-P9.2.a.ii	Fairhall				of the PDP below:	building and structure height) as proposed by this submission.		
CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-P9.2.a.ii. and CCZ-P9.2.a.iii. CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-P12.1. and CCZ-P9.2.a.iii. CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-P12.1. and CCZ-P12.2. MRZ-PRECO1-O1 (Character Precincts). HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage). PART 2 - DiSTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and side boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Precincts', Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930. Karen and Jeremy Young 162.9 Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre part Zone / CCZ-S3 will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out use Zones / City Centre part Zone / CCZ-S3 Considers that CCZ-S3 will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out building and structure height).			Zone / CCZ-S3		CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design): CCZ-O5.4. and CCZ-O5.7.	[Inferred decision requested].		
CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-P12.1. and CCZ-P12.2. MRZ-PREC01-O1 (Character Precincts). HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage). PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930. Karen and Jeremy Young 162.9 Commercial and mixed Use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3 will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out building and structure height).					CCZ-07 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-07.1. and CCZ-07.2.a. and CCZ-07.2.e.			
MRZ-PREC01-01 (Character Precincts). HH-02 (Protecting historic heritage). PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Precincts, Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930. Karen and Jeremy Young 162.9 Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-53 will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out of scale development. Opposes CCZ-53 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific Reject. No. building and structure height).					CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-P9.2.a.ii. and CCZ-P9.2.a.iii.			
HH-02 (Protecting historic heritage). PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930. Karen and Jeremy Young Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-53 will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out of scale development. Opposes CCZ-53 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific Reject. No. building and structure height) .					CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-P12.1. and CCZ-P12.2.			
PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930. Karen and Jeremy Young 162.9 Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre 2 one / CCZ-53 will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out of scale development. Opposes CCZ-53 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific Reject. No. building and structure height).					MRZ-PREC01-01 (Character Precincts).			
also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Percincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930. Karen and Jeremy Young 162.9 Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-53 Considers that CCZ-53 will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out of scale development. Opposes CCZ-53 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific Reject. No. building and structure height).					HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage).			
City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Precincts control demolition and significant alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930. Karen and Jeremy Young 162.9 Commercial and mixed use Zones / City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Precincts value in these Precincts value in these Precincts value in these are alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930. Commercial and mixed use Zones / City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as the precincts and site boundary treatment. These are known as the precinct set of the part of the precinct set of the part of the precinct set of the part of the par					·			
range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930. Karen and Jeremy Young Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-53 Considers that CCZ-53 will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-53 Commercial and mixed oppose in Considers that CCZ-53 will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out building and structure height). Opposes CCZ-53 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific Reject. No. building and structure height).								
known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930. Karen and Jeremy Young Use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-53 (The CCZ-53 will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out of scale development. A part Volume Volum								
Karen and Jeremy Young Use Zones / City Centre 2 Zone / CCZ-53 Commercial and mixed 5 Zone / CCZ-53 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific Reject. No. building and structure height).								
Young use Zones / City Centre part of scale development. building and structure height).					alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930.			
Zone / CCZ-53		162.9					Reject.	No.
	Young			part	of scale development.	building and structure height) .		
			ZUIIE / CCZ-33		[Refer to original submission for full reason].			

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 72 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Karen and Jeremy Young	162.10	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to set a more appropriate recession plane and maximum height of 15m for any CCZ site adjacent to any heritage area or character precinct.	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows:	Reject.	No.
		25110,7 002 33		The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street.	I. Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas: a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of		
				The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts.	60° measured from a height of 8m 5m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that adjoin that precinct.		
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Karen and Jeremy Young	162.11	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to set a more appropriate recession plane and maximum height of 15m for any CCZ site adjacent to any heritage area or character precinct.	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows:	Reject.	No.
				The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street.	1. 2. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned		
				The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts.	Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may be higher than 15m		
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Karen and Jeremy Young	162.12	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-53 should be amended to introduce a 5m setback with a 4m height limit within that setback so that building mass, and thus dominance, is not on the boundary of a residential property.	Amend CCZ-53 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows:	Reject.	No.
				The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street.	S. For any site adjoining a site identified within Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage. Area: The first 5 metres back from the boundary must not exceed 4m (one storey).		
				The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts.	ACC. THE HIS STREET DECK HOLLING BOOMERY HIS KING CACCO THE LINE STOLEY.		
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Karen and Jeremy Young	162.13	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Supports the report 'Planning for residential amenity' by Boffa Miskell as it relates to its recommendation for all character areas to have a '5m boundary height limit with a 60 degree recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character area'.	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows:	Reject.	No.
					Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas:		
					a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of 60° measured from a height of 8m 5m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that adjoin that precinct.		
Karen and Jeremy Young	162.14	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre	Amend	Considers that CCZ-51 and CCZ-53 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP below:	and the second s	Reject.	No.
		Zone / CCZ-S3		CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design): CCZ-O5.4. and CCZ-O5.7.	[Inferred decision requested].		
				CCZ-07 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-07.1. and CCZ-07.2.a. to CCZ-07.2.e.			
				CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-P9.2.			
				CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-P12.1. and CCZ-P12.2.			
				MRZ-PREC01-O1 (Character Precincts).			
				HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage).			
				PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant			
				alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930.			

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 73 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Kane Morison and Jane Williams		Commercial and mixed	Oppose in part	Considers that CCZ-S3 will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out of scale development. [Refer to original submission for full reason].	Opposes CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height).	Reject.	No.
Kane Morison and Jane Williams	176.10	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to set a more appropriate recession plane and maximum height of 15m for any CCZ site adjacent to any heritage area or character precinct. The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street. The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows: 1. Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas: a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of 60° measured from a height of 8m 5m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that adjoin that precinct.	Reject.	No.
Kane Morison and Jane Williams	176.11	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to set a more appropriate recession plane and maximum height of 15m for any CCZ site adjacent to any heritage area or character precinct. The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street. The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Amend CC2-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows (add Point 2): 1 2. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may be higher than 15m	Reject.	No.
Kane Morison and Jane Williams	176.12	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to introduce a 5m setback with a 4m height limit within that setback so that building mass, and thus dominance, is not on the boundary of a residential property. The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street. The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows (add Point 3): 1 3. For any site adjoining a site identified within Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: The first S metres back from the boundary must not exceed 4m (one storey).	Reject.	No.
Kane Morison and Jane Williams	176.13	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Supports the report 'Planning for Residential Amenity' by Boffa Miskell as it relates to its recommendation for all character areas to have a '5m boundary height limit with a 60 degree recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character area'.	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows: 1. Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas: a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of 60° measured from a height of 8 m 5m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that adjoin that precinct.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 74 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Kane Morison and Jane Williams	176.14	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1 and CCZ-S3 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP below:	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as proposed by this submission.	Reject.	No.
		2011e / CC2-33		CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design): CCZ-O5.4. and CCZ-O5.7.	[Inferred decision requested].		
				CCZ-O7 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-O7.1. and CCZ-O7.2.a. to CCZ-O7.2.e.			
				CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-P9.2.			
				CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-P12.1. and CCZ-P12.2.			
				MRZ-PREC01-01 (Character Precincts).			
				HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage).			
				PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan			
				also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the			
				City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a			
				range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant			
				alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930.			
Athena Papadopoulos	183.8	Commercial and mixed	Oppose in	Considers that CCZ-S3 will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out	Opposes CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific	Reject.	No.
		use Zones / City Centre		of scale development.	building and structure height).	1,	
		Zone / CCZ-S3			, ,		
				[Refer to original submission for full reason].			
Athena Papadopoulos	183.9	Commercial and mixed	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to set a more appropriate recession plane and maximum	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific	Reject.	No.
		use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3		height of 15m for any CCZ site adjacent to any heritage area or character precinct.	building and structure height) as follows:		
				The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street.	Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas:		
				The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts.	a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of 60° measured from a height of 8m 5m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that adjoin that precinct.		
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Athena Papadopoulos	183.10	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to set a more appropriate recession plane and maximum height of 15m for any CCZ site adjacent to any heritage area or character precinct.	Amend CCZ-53 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows (add Point 2):	Reject.	No.
		20110 / CC2-33		The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street.	1.		
					2. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned		
				The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts.	Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may be higher than 15m		
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Athena Papadopoulos	183.11	Commercial and mixed	Amend	Supports the report 'Planning for residential amenity' by Boffa Miskell as it relates to its	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific	Reject.	No.
		use Zones / City Centre		recommendation for all character areas to have a '5m boundary height limit with a 60 degree	building and structure height) as follows:	'	
		Zone / CCZ-S3		recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character area'.			
					1. Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas:		
					a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned		
					Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of		
					60° measured from a height of $8m \ \underline{5m}$ above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that adjoin that precinct.		

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 75 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Athena Papadopoulos	183.12	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1 and CCZ-S3 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP below:	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as proposed by this submission.	Reject.	No.
		2011e / CC2-33		CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design): CCZ-O5.4. and CCZ-O5.7.	[Inferred decision requested].		
				CCZ-07 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-07.1. and CCZ-07.2.a. to CCZ-07.2.e.			
				CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-P9.2.			
				CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-P12.1. and CCZ-P12.2.			
				MRZ-PREC01-01 (Character Precincts).			
				HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage).			
				PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan			
				also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the			
				City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a			
				range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are			
				known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant			
Lara Bland	184.8	Commercial and mixed	Onnose in	alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930. Considers that CCZ-S3 will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out	Opposes CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific	Reject	No.
Lara biariu	104.0	use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3		of scale development.	building and structure height).	neject.	No.
		,		[Refer to original submission for full reason].			
Lara Bland	184.9	Commercial and mixed	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to set a more appropriate recession plane and maximum	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific	Reject.	No.
		use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3		height of 15m for any CCZ site adjacent to any heritage area or character precinct.	building and structure height) as follows:		
				The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street.	Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas:		
				The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts.	a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of 60° measured from a height of 8m 5m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that adjoin that precinct.		
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Lara Bland	184.10	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to set a more appropriate recession plane and maximum height of 15m for any CCZ site adjacent to any heritage area or character precinct.	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows (add Point 2):	Reject.	No.
		2011e / CC2-33		The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street.	1.		
					2. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned		
				The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts.	Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may be higher than 15m		
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Lara Bland	184.11	Commercial and mixed	Amend	Supports the report 'Planning for residential amenity' by Boffa Miskell as it relates to its	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific	Reject.	No.
East Dialia	107.11	use Zones / City Centre	, anchu	recommendation for all character areas to have a '5m boundary height limit with a 60 degree	building and structure height) as follows:	neject.	1
ĺ	ĺ	Zone / CCZ-S3		recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character area'.	and the state of t		
					1. Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas:		
	1		1		a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned	1	
	ĺ				Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of		
					60° measured from a height of 8 m 5m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that adjoin that precinct.		

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 76 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Lara Bland	184.12	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1 and CCZ-S3 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP below:	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as proposed by this submission.	Reject.	No.
		2011e / CC2-33		CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design): CCZ-O5.4. and CCZ-O5.7.	[Inferred decision requested].		
				CCZ-O7 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-O7.1. and CCZ-O7.2.a. to CCZ-O7.2.e.			
				CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-P9.2.			
				CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-P12.1. and CCZ-P12.2.			
				MRZ-PREC01-01 (Character Precincts).			
				HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage).			
				PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan			
				also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the			
				City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a			
				range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant			
				alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930.			
Geoff Palmer	188.8	Commercial and mixed	Oppose in	Considers that CCZ-S3 will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out	Opposes CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific	Reject	No.
Geom runner	100.0	use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3		of scale development.	building and structure height) .		
				[Refer to original submission for full reason].			
Geoff Palmer	188.9		Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to set a more appropriate recession plane and maximum	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific	Reject.	No.
		use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3		height of 15m for any CCZ site adjacent to any heritage area or character precinct.	building and structure height) as follows:		
				The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street.	I. Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas: a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned		
				The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts.	a. For any site aujoining a site lucrimed within a character reclinic of a resolutioning policy. Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of 60° measured from a height of 8m 5m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that adjoin that precinct.		
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Geoff Palmer	188.10	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to set a more appropriate recession plane and maximum height of 15m for any CCZ site adjacent to any heritage area or character precinct.	Amend CCZ-53 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows (add Point 2):	Reject.	No.
		Zone / CCZ-S3		The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street.	1.		
				The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design	2. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned		
				impacts.	Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may be higher than 15m.		
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Geoff Palmer	188.11	Commercial and mixed	Amend	Supports the report 'Planning for residential amenity' by Boffa Miskell as it relates to its	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific	Reject.	No.
		use Zones / City Centre		recommendation for all character areas to have a '5m boundary height limit with a 60 degree	building and structure height) as follows:		
	1	Zone / CCZ-S3		recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character area'.		1	
					Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas:		
		1			a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned		
		1			Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of		
					60° measured from a height of 8 m 5m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that adjoin that precinct.		

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 77 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Geoff Palmer	188.12	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1, CCZ-S3, and CCZ-S11 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP below: CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design): CCZ-O5.4. and CCZ-O5.7.	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as proposed by this submission. [Inferred decision requested].	Reject.	No.
				CCZ-07 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-07.1. and CCZ-07.2.a. to CCZ-07.2.e.			
				CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-P9.2.			
				CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-P12.1. and CCZ-P12.2.			
				MRZ-PREC01-01 (Character Precincts). HH-02 (Protecting historic heritage).			
				PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan			
				also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the			
				City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a			
				range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are			
				known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930.			
Dougal and Libby List	207.9	Commercial and mixed	Oppose in	Considers that CCZ-S3 will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out	Opposes CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific	Reject.	No.
		use Zones / City Centre	part	of scale development.	building and structure height) .		
		Zone / CCZ-S3		[Refer to original submission for full reason].			
Dougal and Libby List	207.10	Commercial and mixed	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to set a more appropriate recession plane and maximum	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific	Reject.	No.
		use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3		height of 15m for any CCZ site adjacent to any heritage area or character precinct.	building and structure height) as follows:		
				The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street.	Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas:		
					a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned		
				The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design	Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of 60° measured from a height of 8m 5m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that		
				impacts.	adjoin that precinct.		
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Dougal and Libby List	207.11	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to set a more appropriate recession plane and maximum height of 15m for any CCZ site adjacent to any heritage area or character precinct.	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows:	Reject.	No.
		Zone / CCZ-S3		The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street.	1.		
					b. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned		
				The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading,	Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may be higher than 15m.		
				increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts.			
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Dougal and Libby List	207.12	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to introduce a 5m setback with a 4m height limit within that setback so that building mass, and thus dominance, is not on the boundary of a residential property.	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows:	Reject.	No.
				The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street.	<u>c. For any site adjoining a site identified within Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage.</u>		
				The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts.	Area: The first 5 metres back from the boundary must not exceed 4m (1 story)		
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 78 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Dougal and Libby List	207.13	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Supports the report 'Planning for Residential Amenity' by Boffa Miskell as it relates to its recommendation for all character areas to have a '5m boundary height limit with a 60 degree recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character area'.	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows: 1. Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas: a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of 60° measured from a height of 8m 5m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that	Reject.	No.
Dougal and Libby List	207.14	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-51, CCZ-S3, and CCZ-S11 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP below: CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design) as follows: CCZ-O5.4. and CCZ-O5.7. CCZ-O7 (Managing adverse effects) as follows: CCZ-O7.1. and CCZ-O7.2.a. to CCZ-O7.2.e. CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) as follows: CCZ-P9.2. CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects) as follows: CCZ-P12.1. and CCZ-P12.2. MRZ-PRECO1-O1 (Character Precincts). HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage). PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are	adjoin that precinct. Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as proposed by this submission. [Inferred decision requested].	Reject.	No.
Craig Forrester	210.9	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Oppose in part	known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930. Considers that CCZ-S3 will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out of scale development. Considers that CCZ-S1 and CCZ-S3 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP.	Opposes CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Craig Forrester	210.10	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	[Refer to original submission for full reason]. Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to set a more appropriate recession plane and maximum height of 15m for any CCZ site adjacent to any heritage area or character precinct. Considers that the currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street. Considers that adverse effects will result including: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts. Supports the report 'Planning for Residential Amenity' by Boffa Miskell as it relates to its recommendation for all character areas to have a '5m boundary height limit with a 60 degree recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character area'. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows: 1. Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas: a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of 60° measured from a height of 8m Sm above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that adjoin that precinct.	Reject.	No.
Craig Forrester	210.11	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	[Refer to original submission for full reasons]. Considers that CCZ-93 should be amended to set a more appropriate recession plane and maximum height of 15m for any CCZ site adjacent to any heritage area or character precinct. Considers that the currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street. Considers that adverse effects will result including: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows: 1 2. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may be higher than 15m	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 79 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Craig Forrester	210.12	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to introduce a 5m setback with a 4m height limit within that setback so that building mass, and thus dominance, is not on the boundary of a residential property. The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street. The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows: 1 3. For any site adjoining a site identified within Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: The first 5 metres back from the boundary must not exceed 4m (one storey).	Reject.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.23	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Support	Generally supports the balance of the standards that are proposed to apply to the City Centre Zone, subject to the specific relief sought in respect of the application of the Veranda and Active Frontages controls to the Property. The range of standards will effectively manage the design of development within the City Centre.	Retain CCZ-53 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas - Adjoining the site specific building and structure height) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.325	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Support	Supports the standard as the maximum height for any building is between 25m-93m	Retain CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zones heritage areas - Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Moir Street Collective - Dougal List, Libby List, Karen Young, Jeremy Young, James Fairhall, Karen Fairhall, Craig Forrester, Sharlene Gray	312.9	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Oppose in part	Considers that CCZ-S3 will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out of scale development. [Refer to original submission for full reason].	Opposes CCZ-53 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) .	Reject.	No.
Moir Street Collective Dougal List, Libby List, Karen Young, Jeremy Young, James Fairhall, Karen Fairhall, Craig Forrester, Sharlene Gray	312.10	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to set a more appropriate recession plane and maximum height of 15m for any CCZ site adjacent to any heritage area or character precinct. The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street. The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows: 1. Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas: a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of 60° measured from a height of 8m 5m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that adjoin that precinct.	Reject.	No.
Moir Street Collective - Dougal List, Libby List, Karen Young, Jeremy Young, James Fairhall, Karen Fairhall, Craig Forrester, Sharlene Gray	312.11	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to set a more appropriate recession plane and maximum height of 15m for any CCZ site adjacent to any heritage area or character precinct. The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street. The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows (add Point 2): 1 2. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may be higher than 15m	Reject.	No.
Moir Street Collective - Dougal List, Libby List, Karen Young, Jeremy Young, James Fairhall, Karen Fairhall, Craig Forrester, Sharlene Gray	312.12	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to introduce a 5m setback with a 4m height limit within that setback so that building mass, and thus dominance, is not on the boundary of a residential property. The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street. The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows (add Point 3): 1 3. For any site adjoining a site identified within Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage. Area: The first 5 metres back from the boundary must not exceed 4m (one storey).	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 80 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Moir Street Collective - Dougal List, Libby List, Karen Young, Jeremy Young, James Fairhall, Karen Fairhall, Craig Forrester, Sharlene Gray	312.13		Amend	Supports the report 'Planning for Residential Amenity' by Boffa Miskell as it relates to its recommendation for all character areas to have a '5m boundary height limit with a 60 degree recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character area'.	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows: 1. Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas: a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of 60° measured from a height of 8m-5m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that adjoin that precinct.	Reject.	No.
Moir Street Collective - Dougal List, Libby List, Karen Young, Jeremy Young, James Fairhall, Karen Fairhall, Craig Forrester, Sharlene Gray	312.14	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1, CCZ-S3, and CCZ-S11 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP relating to amenity, design adverse effects and heritage.	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as proposed by this submission. [Inferred decision requested].	Reject.	No.
Jane Szentivanyi	376.8		Oppose in part	CCZ-S3 is opposed as the proposed controls will fail to manage significant adverse effects on adjoining sites. As currently drafted, CCZ-S11 will result in significant adverse effects on Moir Street properties, as well as recognised heritage and character values which cannot be mitigated through design. As such, the provision is contrary to the objectives and policies of the plan.	Opposes CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Jane Szentivanyi	376.9	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to set a more appropriate recession plane and a maximum height of 15m for any CCZ site adjacent to any site in the MDZ which is a heritage area or character precinct.	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows: 1. Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas: a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of 60° measured from a height of 8m 5m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that adjoin that precinct. b. For any site adjoining a site identified within the MDZ within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may be higher than 15m	Reject.	No.
Chrissie Potter	446.7	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Oppose in part	Considers that CCZ-S3 will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out of scale development. Considers that standard is contrary to the propsoed objectives and policies of the District Plan relating to amenity, design adverse effects and heritage. [Refer to original submission for full reason].	Opposes CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height).	Reject.	No.
Chrissie Potter	446.8	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to set a more appropriate recession plane and maximum height of 15m for any CCZ site adjacent to any heritage area or character precinct. The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street. Considers that the significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows: 1. Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas: a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of 60° measured from a height of 8m 5m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that adjoin that precinct.	Reject.	No.
Chrissie Potter	446.9	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S3	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S3 should be amended to set a more appropriate recession plane and maximum height of 15m for any CCZ site adjacent to any heritage area or character precinct. The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street. Considers that the significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and structure height) as follows (add Point 2): 1 b. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may be higher than 15m	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 81 of 106

Security Districtions Height 2 Common and Principle Height	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Note the signal optimizant for fair focus; And the signal optimizan	Chrissie Potter		Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre	Amend	recommendation for all character areas to have a '5m boundary height limit with a 60 degree recession plane for ANY zone adjoining a character area'. Considers that as Moir Street is also designated a heritage area, it should have even more	building and structure height) as follows: 1. Identified character precincts and Residentially Zoned heritage areas: a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned	Reject.	No.
Search Thompson 48.7 Commencial and makes Application						60° measured from a height of 8m 5m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that		
International Contract Contract of the Contract Contrac	Dorothy Thompson	449.7	Commercial and mixed	Oppose in	Considers that CCZ-S3 will fail to manage significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out		Reiect.	No.
Consider that standard a contrary to the proposed degreement and policies of the Planter His institution (and the proposed of the presents and emission or fail reason). Directly Thompson 48.9.8. Commercial and most proposed of the present of the			use Zones / City Centre				,	
Southly Thampson 48.3 Commercial and mixed specifically control for the part of the part o			Zone / CCZ-S3					
by the part of 15 of the my CCT 23 and part of 15 of the my CCT 23 and part of 15 of the my CCT 23 and part of 15 of the my CCT 23 and part of 15 of the my CCT 23 and part of 15 of the my CCT 23 and part of 15 of the my CCT 23 and part of 15 of the my CCT 23 and part of 15 of the my CCT 23 and part of 15 of the my CCT 23 and part of 15 of the part of 1								
Above guildings of up to 2 % in 1 % in 1 % or 1 % o	Dorothy Thompson	449.8	use Zones / City Centre	Amend			Reject.	No.
Courses that the alignificant advance effects include loss of pulling from, based grands, shelling, increasing impacts. (Commercial and mixed face's congrigate understands of full reasons) (Commercial and mixed large from the first of pulling and structure from the first of pulling from the first of p						a. For any site adjoining a site identified within a Character Precinct or a Residentially Zoned		
Constity Thompson 49.9 Commercial and mixed use Zeroes (CI) Certain Amend CCZ-S3 (Character precincts and Residentially Zened heritage areas – Adjoining site specific building and truscure height) as follows (add Point 2): 10 Earth of Thompson 40.0 Commercial and mixed use Zeroes (CI) Certain 10 Earth of Thompson 40.0 Commercial and mixed use Zeroes (CI) Certain 10 Earth of Thompson (CI) Certain 20 Earth of Eart					privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts.	60° measured from a height of 8m 5m above ground level from all side and rear boundaries that		
height of 15m for amy CCZ sia adjacent to any heritage area or character precinct. The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible into 15m or 10m or								
The currently opposed 60 degree recession plane from 8 mw Ill provide negligible in migration from 1. Considers that the significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shalling intracease living of the 25th of privacy, shalling intracease living of privacy streets and mixed untrain design in practs. Before to original submission for full reason). Dorothy Thompson 449.10 Commercial and mixed unary Zones / City, Centre Zone / CIC-23 Considers that as Mori Street is also designated a heritage area, it should have even more importance pit lemmests of development from adjoining sites. Considers that as Mori Street is also designated a heritage area, it should have even more importance pit memoration pit lemmests of development from adjoining sites. Considers that as Mori Street is also designated a heritage area, it should have even more importance pit memorates and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / Cit	Dorothy Thompson	449.9	use Zones / City Centre	Amend			Reject.	No.
Considers that the significant adverse effects include loss of anilotic, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and under growing in injustic. (Refer to original submission for full reasons). Dorothy Thompson 489.10 Commercial and mixed size 20xes / City Control 20xes / City Costs Considers that the significant adverse effects include loss of privacy, streetscape and under growing in injustic. (Refer to original submission for full reasons). Amend Supports the report "Maning for redivortial amenity" by Boffs Miskell siz it relates to its recommendation for all character areas to have a "3m boundary height limit with a 00 degree recession plane for ARY zone adjoining a character areas.) It should have even more importance placed on mitigating the impacts of development from adjoining sites. (Considers that as Most Street is also designated a heritage area, it should have even more importance placed on mitigating the impacts of development from adjoining sites. (Refer to original submission for full reason). Precinct Properties 139.54 Commercial and mixed 239.254 Commercial and mixed 240.254 (City Control 250.255) Control of City Control 250.255 Control of City Control 250.255 Control of City Control 250.255 Commercial and mixed 250.25			Zone, ecz ss			1.		
Dorothy Thompson 49.10 Commercial and mixed use Zones / CIty Centre Zone / CCZ-53 Considers that as Mori Street is also designated a heritage area, it should have even more importance placed on mitigating the impacts of development from adjoining sites. Considers that as Mori Street is also designated a heritage area, it should have even more importance placed on mitigating the impacts of development from adjoining sites. Refer to original submission for full reason] Precinct Properties. New Zealand United use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-54 Wellington's Character State Sale Sale					privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and			
use Zone / CCZ-53 use Zone / CCZ-54 use Zone / CC					[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			
Considers that as Moir Street is also designated a heritage area; it should have even more importance placed on mitigating the impacts of development from adjoining sites. [Refer to original submission for full reason] Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited Precinct Properties Wellington's Character Charitable Trust Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre 2006 / SQL Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre 2006 / SQL Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre 2006 / CCZ-54 Century Group Limited Wheeler Grace Trust Wheeler Grace Trust Wheeler Grace Trust Commercial and mixed 2016 / CCZ-54 Wheeler Grace Trust Commercial and mixed 2016 / CCZ-54 Commercial and mixed 2016 / CCZ-54 Wheeler Grace Trust Commercial and mixed 2016 / CCZ-54 Commercial and mixe	Dorothy Thompson	449.10	use Zones / City Centre	Amend	recommendation for all character areas to have a '5m boundary height limit with a 60 degree		Reject.	No.
Importance placed on mitigating the importance placed on mitigat								
Refer to original submission for full reason] Refer to original submission for full reason] Adjoin that precinct. Inferred decision requested]. Infe						Heritage Area: no part of any building, accessory building or structure may project beyond a line of		
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited 20,000 Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-54 Wellington's Character Charitable Trust 20,000 CCZ-54 Wellington's C					[Refer to original submission for full reason]			
New Zealand Limited use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-54 Wellington's Character Charitable Trust Wellington's Character Charitable Trust City Centre Zone / CCZ S4 Century Group Limited 238.24 Century Group Limited use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-54 Century Group Limited 238.24 Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-54 Wheeler Grace Trust Wheeler Grace Tr								
Century Group Limited 238.24 Commercial and mixed use Zones / CIty Centre Zone / CCZ-54 Commercial and mixed use Zones / CIty Centre Zone / CCZ-54 Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-54 Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-54 Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-54 Considers that the proposed to apply to the City Centre Zone, Retain CCZ-54 (Minimum building heights) as notified. Wheeler Grace Trust Vehicle Grace Trust Vehic	New Zealand Limited		use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S4	Oppose		Delete CCZ-S4 (Minimum building height) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S4 Subject to the specific relief sought in respect of the application of the Veranda and Active Frontages controls to the Property. The range of standards will effectively manage the design of development within the City Centre. Wheeler Grace Trust 261.4 Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S4 Considers that the proposed Height Controls in Selwyn Terrace are inappropriate. Supports the proposal that Selwyn Terrace is HRZ with 21m Height Control area. 6 Storey buildings would significantly detract from the residential amenity of Selwyn Terrace.		FS82.164	and mixed use Zones /	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to further submission]	Allow	Reject.	No.
Wheeler Grace Trust 261.4 Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S4 On Siders that the proposed Height Controls in Selwyn Terrace are inappropriate. Supports the proposed Height Control in Selwyn Terrace is HRZ with 21m Height Control area. 6 Storey buildings would significantly detract from the residential amenity of Selwyn Terrace.	Century Group Limited	238.24	use Zones / City Centre	Support	subject to the specific relief sought in respect of the application of the Veranda and Active Frontages controls to the Property. The range of standards will effectively manage the design of development	Retain CCZ-S4 (Minimum building heights) as notified.	Accept.	No.
6 Storey buildings would significantly detract from the residential amenity of Selwyn Terrace.	Wheeler Grace Trust	261.4	use Zones / City Centre	Oppose	Considers that the proposed Height Controls in Selwyn Terrace are inappropriate.		Reject.	No.
			20110 / 002-34					
HReter to original submission for full reason!					[Refer to original submission for full reason]			

Date of report: 26/05/2023

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
McDonald's	274.67	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S4	Oppose	Considers that the standards on minimum building height and minimum ground floor height are unnecessary and would be more appropriate to have within the Design Guidance and/or as matters of discretion.	Delete CCZ-S4 (Minimum building height) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Eldin Family Trust	287.8	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S4	Oppose	Considers that regardless of the zoning applied to Selwyn Terrace a minimum building height of 22m or a maximum if either 21m or 27m would be inappropriate. Considers that these building heights are inconsistent with the Viewshafts VS1 (The Beehive) and VS4 (The Beehive and The Cenotaph – Whitmore Street) which would clash with the viewshaft. Considers that tall buildings in Selwyn Terrace would detract from the residential amenity, special character and heritage of Selwyn Terrace and increase pressure on access, parking and turning. Considers that developers would face difficulty in complying with the minimum height requirements due to covenants on titles. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Opposes CCZ-S4 (Minimum building height) with respect to Selwyn Terrace (and the nearby areas of Hill Street and Guildford Terrace) being subject to the 22m minimum height control (Height control area 3).	Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited Foodstuffs North Island	349.198 d FS23.66	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S4 Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-City City Centre Zone / CCZ-City Centre Zone / CCZ-City City City Centre Zone / CCZ-City City Centre Zone / CCZ-City City City Centre Zone / CCZ-City City Centre Zone / CCZ-City City City City City City City City	Oppose Support	Oppose There are many buildings with a height less than the required minimum that will contribute positively to a well-functioning urban environment. The proposed standard will result in too many buildings requiring resource consent and is not an efficient or effective method to implement the policies of the Proposed District Plan. Submission point 349.198 supports FSNI submission point 476.57.	Delete CCZ-S4 (Minimum building height) in its entirety. Allow	Reject.	No.
Woolworths New Zealand	359.90	S4 Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S4	Amend	CCZ-S4 should be amended to exclude supermarkets, as this is an overly onerous standard when the PDP should be promoting development in the Centres. The standard could also be refined to be a building frontage height standard and limited to specified streets as identified on the planning maps to achieve centre vibrancy and amenity.		Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.25	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S4	Support	Submission point 359.90 CCZ-S4 has similar outcome to FSNI submission point 476.57.	Allow / Allow submission if submission point 476.57 is rejected.	Reject.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.121	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S4		CCZ-S4 is supported in so much as it seeks to enable a higher density of activities in the CC by enabling buildings of greater heights than other zones. This standard applies to every new 'building' or 'structure', which are both defined in the PDP and essentially includes any physical object that is fixed to the ground with no qualifying dimensions.	Retain CCZ-S4 (Minimum building height) with amendment.	Reject.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.122	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S4	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S4 should include an exclusion for any building or structure which is unable to occupied. The intent of this rule is to relate to occupiable buildings rather than any structure that may be fixed to the ground (e.g. sign, pole, box, above ground water tanks, rubbish bins or compounds), which is unlikely to meet this 22m height requirement often for operational and functional necessity and triggers resource consent as a restricted discretionary activity. It is acknowledged that the assessment criteria requires consideration of any functional or operational need for a reduction in height. However, it is considered that an additional exclusion be included for ancillary structures that are not intended to be roofed or occupied by people.	Amend CCZ-S4 (Minimum building height) as follows: This standard does not apply to: 1. Any site adjoining a site located within a character precinct or Residentially Zoned Heritage Area and thus subject to CCZ-S3; and 2. Any site within the Te Ngäkau Civic Square Precinct 3. Any ancillary building or structure unable to be occupied by people.	Reject.	No.
U.S. Embassy Wellington	366.4	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S4	Oppose	Concerned about the minimum building height requirement of 21m in the City Centre zone around the United States Embassy for security reasons.	Amend the minimum building height standard CCZ-54 (Minimum building height) so that it does not apply to sites surrounding the United States Embassy as identified on a map provided. [Inferred decision requested] [See original submission for map]	Reject.	No.
Thorndon Residents' Association Inc	FS69.30	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S4	Support	The US Embassy is City Centre Zone. Immediately east of the Embassy are superior quality character and heritage residential properties. The TRA seeks a change from the HDRZ proposed to a MDRZ for the Hobson precinct situated east of the Embassy.	Allow	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 83 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.120	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S4	Amend	Opposes the proposed minimum building height of 22m. Appreciates that the intention of this standard is to ensure new development in the CBD realises as much development capacity as possible, in accordance with NPS-UD. However, it may not possible or practical for temporary buildings on sites (such as containers or temporary offices) to reach the minimum building height of 22m. However, there is still a functional need for such buildings to be located in the City Centre on a temporary basis, and it would be inappropriate and potentially onerous to obtain a discretionary resource consent in every situation where a temporary building or structure below 22m is to be erected in the City Centre zone. Therefore, Argosy seeks an exception to Standard CCZ-54 in relation to temporary buildings. Argosy supports the assessment criteria where the standard is infringed to include recognising that a reduced height may be necessary to provide for the functional or operational needs of a proposed activity, or due to topographical or other site constraints	Amend CCZ-S4 (Minimum building height): This standard does not apply to temporary buildings and structures.	Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.67	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S4	Support	Submission point 383.120 partly supports FSNI submission point 476.57.	Allow / Allow submission in part.	Accept.	No.
Wellington Civic Trust	388.34	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S4	Support	CCZ-S4 is supported as it excludes buildings and structures in the Te Ngākau Civic Square Precinct from the minimum height of buildings standard.	Retain CCZ-S4 (Minimum building height) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.187	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S4	Support	Supports CCZ-S4 in part. Submitter is generally supportive of requiring sufficiently dense development within the CCZ, it should be acknowledged that 6 storeys will not always be appropriate for every site.	Retain CCZ-S4 (Minimum building height) with amendment.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.102	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S4	Oppose	Submission point 416.187 seeks to amend CCZ-S4. FSNI submission point 476.57 seeks to delete CCZ S4 in it's entirety.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.188	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S4	Amend	Submitter considers that while they are generally supportive of requiring sufficiently dense development within the CCZ, it should be acknowledged that 6 storeys will not always be appropriate for every site.	Seeks that for CCZ-S4 (Minimum building height) Council should consider reducing the height limit.	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.189	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S4	Amend	Submitter considers that while they are generally supportive of requiring sufficiently dense development within the CCZ, it should be acknowledged that 6 storeys will not always be appropriate for every site.	Seeks that for CCZ-S4 (Minimum building height) Council should consider amending the standard to provide clarity on the factors which will be considered if the minimum building height is not achieved (e.g. quality urban design outcome).	Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	476.57	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S4	Oppose	Whilst supportive of certain bulk and location standards in the Commercial and Mixed Use zones, considers that the standards on minimum building height and minimum ground floor height are unnecessary and would be more appropriate to have within the Design Guidance and/or as matters of discretion.	Delete CCZ-S4 (Minimum building height) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.55	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S5	Oppose	Opposes CCZ-S5 as it may be appropriate to provide ground floor heights lower than 4m in certain areas and the standard is seen as unnecessarily constraining.	Delete (Minimum ground floor height) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.25		Support	Generally supports the balance of the standards that are proposed to apply to the City Centre Zone, subject to the specific relief sought in respect of the application of the Veranda and Active Frontages controls to the Property. The range of standards will effectively manage the design of development within the City Centre.	Retain CCZ-S5 (Minimum ground floor height) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Stratum Management Limited	249.35		Support in part	Supports the proposed minimum 4m ground floor height as it applies to non-residential buildings. Considers that for residential apartment buildings the 4m ground floor height is not required, serves no useful purpose, and imposes additional construction costs and therefore increases apartment prices. Considers that while conversion of commercial buildings to residential use is often feasible, it is rare that a residential building can be converted to commercial use and therefore a 4m ground floor height is les appropriate for residential buildings.	Retain CCZ-SS (Minimum ground floor height) subject to amendment.	Reject.	No.
Stratum Management Limited	249.36	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S5	Amend	Supports the proposed minimum 4m ground floor height as it applies to non-residential buildings. Considers that for residential apartment buildings the 4m ground floor height is not required, serves no useful purpose, and imposes additional construction costs and therefore increases apartment prices. Considers that while conversion of commercial buildings to residential use is often feasible, it is rare that a residential building can be converted to commercial use and therefore a 4m ground floor height is les appropriate for residential buildings.	Amend CCZ-S5 (Minimum ground floor height) as follows: The minimum ground floor height to the underside of a structural slab or equivalent shall be: 1. For non-residential and mixed use buildings - 4m. 2. For residential buildings - 3m.	Reject.	No.
McDonald's	274.68	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S5	Oppose	Considers that the standards on minimum building height and minimum ground floor height are unnecessary and would be more appropriate to have within the Design Guidance and/or as matters of discretion.	Delete CCZ-S5 (Minimum ground floor height) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.199	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S5	Oppose	Opposed to the minimum floor-to-floor ceiling heights for new development. The standard is overly prescriptive, does not provide for the specific requirements of drive-through facilities, and is unworkable from an operational perspective, and will only serve to increase the cost and/or regulatory processes of the development.	Delete CCZ-SS (Minimum ground floor height) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 84 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Foodstuffs North Island		Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S5	Support	Submission point 349.199 supports FSNI submission point 476.58.	Allow	Accept.	No.
Fabric Property Limited		Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S5		Opposes CCZ-S5 and seeks its deletion. Considers that it may be appropriate to provide ground floor heights lower than 4 metres in certain areas and that the standard as notified is unnecessarily constraining.	Delete CCZ-S5 (Minimum Ground Floor Heights) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	476.58	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S5	Oppose	Whilst supportive of certain bulk and location standards in the Commercial and Mixed Use zones, considers that the standards on minimum building height and minimum ground floor height are unnecessary and would be more appropriate to have within the Design Guidance and/or as matters of discretion.	Delete CCZ-S5 (Minimum ground floor height) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Wellington City Youth Council	201.37	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S6	Support	Supports maintaining the protection of sunlight access to listed public space in City Centre, including increasing the number of protected parks.	Retain CCZ-S6 (Minimum sunlight access - public space) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.26	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S6	Support	Generally supports the balance of the standards that are proposed to apply to the City Centre Zone, subject to the specific relief sought in respect of the application of the Veranda and Active Frontages controls to the Property. The range of standards will effectively manage the design of development within the City Centre.	Retain CCZ-S6 (Minimum sunlight access - public space) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Khoi Phan	326.41	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S6	Oppose	Considers that minimum sunlight requirement will further restrict our housing need. We are Wellington and now Whakatu Nelson.	Remove CCZ-S6 (Minimum sunlight access – public space) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.200	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S6	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-S6 (Minimum sunlight access – public space) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities		Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S6	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S8 should be amended so that active frontage controls only apply where necessary, such as along principal roads/arterials not necessary along connecting streets. Only buildings that are located along any street edge should be controlled, rather than buildings on the whole site where an active frontage applies. Active frontage controls on streets and buildings where these matters do not apply should be deleted. These amendments recognise that active frontage controls are useful to achieve well-functioning urban environments where they are specifically applied on key roads where character and amenity values anticipated by underlying zoning are present.	Amend CCZ-S8 (Active frontage control) to only apply for buildings that are located along principal roads/arterials and along any street edge.	Reject.	No.
Catherine Penetito	474.8	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S6	Amend	Considers that Pukeahu National War Memorial Park should have sunlight protection for the whole area if it is to be maintained and enhanced. The Hall of Memories, the Carillon and the old museum building are too important to be overshadowed by residential or other buildings.	Seeks that sunlight access must be maintained in a minimum of 80% of Pukeahu Park rather than the current 70% as specified in CCZ-56 (Minimum sunlight access - public space). [Inferred decision requested].	Reject.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.27	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S7	Support	Generally supports the balance of the standards that are proposed to apply to the City Centre Zone, subject to the specific relief sought in respect of the application of the Veranda and Active Frontages controls to the Property. The range of standards will effectively manage the design of development within the City Centre.	Retain CCZ-S7 (Verandas) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.201	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S7	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-S7 (Verandahs) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.123	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S7	Support in part	CC2-S7 is partially supported as relates to specific sites identified on the Planning Maps with a 'verandah control'.	Retain CCZ-S7 (Verandahs) with amendment.	Accept in part.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.124	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S7	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S7 should be amended to have greater recognition of these existing activities and their operational and functional requirements that prevent compliance is needed, noting the investment associated with the existing commercial activities, the benefits they provide to the community and the need for them to be maintained and upgraded from time to time. It is sought that this standard not apply to buildings where there is functional requirement to not include a verandah. (Dotton A)	Amend CCZ-S7 (Verandahs) as follows: 1. Verandahs must be provided on building elevations on identified street frontages except where there is a functional requirement for a building to not contain a verandah	Reject.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.125	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S7	Amend	Considers that CCZ-57 should be amended to have greater recognition of these existing activities and their operational and functional requirements that prevent compliance is needed, noting the investment associated with the existing commercial activities, the benefits they provide to the community and the need for them to be maintained and upgraded from time to time. It is sought that this standard not apply to service stations. (Option B)	Amend CCZ-S7 (Verandahs) as follows: This standard does not apply to: a. Any scheduled building identified in SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings; b. Any building where compliance with the standard results in an encroachment into the dripline of an existing street tree. c. Service stations	Accept in part.	Yes

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 85 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Z Energy Limited	361.126	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S7	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S7 should be amended to have greater recognition of these existing activities and their operational and functional requirements that prevent compliance is needed, noting the investment associated with the existing commercial activities, the benefits they provide to the community and the need for them to be maintained and upgraded from time to time. It is sought that this standard recognise functional requirement in the assessment criteria. (Option C)	Amend CCZ-S7 (Verandahs) as follows: 1. The extent to which any non-compliance: a. Will adversely affect the comfort and convenience of pedestrians; b. Will result in further street trees being added to public space as part the development; and c. Is required for on-site functional or operational needs	Accept in part.	Yes
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.121	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S7	Amend	Supports that this standard would not apply where compliance would result in encroachment into the dripline of an existing tree, however there is a risk that referring to "street tree" would only include trees on berms or road reserves, and exclude existing trees on private property which still contribute to streetscape. Argosy proposes amending Standard CCZ-57 to clarify that this standard would not apply where it would result in encroachment into the dripline of any tree that is to be retained	Amend CCZ-S7 (Verandahs) as follows: This standard does not apply to: Any building where compliance with the standard results in an encroachment into the dripline of an existing street-tree that is to be retained.	Reject.	No.
Craig Palmer	492.38	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S7	Support in part	Supports the overall requirement for verandahs within the central city especially the north-south corridors that channel the prevailing winds. These significantly reduce the less pleasant elements of the city's climate.	Retain CCZ-S7 (Verandahs) and extent as notified.	Accept.	No.
Craig Palmer	492.39	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S7	Oppose	Opposes the lack of verandahs along the east-west thoroughfares. These have the potential to enhance the experience of walking across the city under shelter.	Seeks that verandahs are installed over time along the south side "Active Frontages" of Tennyson, Lorne, and College Streets; and Jessie, Frederick, and Haining Streets.	Accept in part.	No.
Craig Palmer	492.40	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S7	Amend	Opposes the lack of verandahs along the east-west thoroughfares. These have the potential to enhance the experience of walking across the city under shelter.	Seeks that verandahs are installed over time along the south side "Active Frontages" of Tennyson, Lorne, and College Streets; and Jessie, Frederick, and Haining Streets.	Accept in part.	No.
Craig Palmer	492.41	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S7	Amend	Considers that to achieve sunlight illuminating the active frontages, verandahs need to have clear glazing out to the kerbside.	Seeks that verandahs are required to have clear glazing out to the kerbside.	Accept in part.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.56	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S8	Amend	Supports CCZ-S8 in part and generally agrees with the intent of the standard, but considers that it provides insufficient exceptions for functional requirements such as vehicle entrances. Therefore seeks that the standard be amended so that only 70% of an active frontage must be built up to the street edge, in order to allow for functional requirements on the remaining 30% of the frontage.	Amend CCZ-S8 (Active frontage) as follows: a. Be built up to the street edge on all-street boundaries and along the full-70% of the width of the site boundary bordering any street boundary, subject to functional requirements.	Reject.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.28	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S8	Support	Generally supports the balance of the standards that are proposed to apply to the City Centre Zone, subject to the specific relief sought in respect of the application of the Veranda and Active Frontages controls to the Property. The range of standards will effectively manage the design of development within the City Centre.	Retain CCZ-S8 (Active frontage control) as notified.	Accept.	No.
McDonald's	274.69	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S8	Oppose in part	Supports certain bulk and location standards in the Commercial and Mixed use zones the standards on active frontage and non-residential activity frontage controls are overly prescriptive.	Retain CCZ-S8 (Active frontage controls), subject to amendment outlined other submission points.	Reject.	No.
McDonald's	274.70		Amend	Considers that centres and commercial areas have a mixture of street typologies. Most have at least one main shopping street and while it is appropriate that these streets have high levels of activation, there are secondary frontages or streets are less important retail streets and have lower levels of pedestrian activity. It would be more appropriate to streamline the standards with portions instead included within the Design Guidance and/or as matters of discretion.	Seeks that CCZ-S8 (Active frontage controls) is amended as follows: 1. Dwellings must not locate on the ground floor of Any new building or addition to an existing building on an identified street with an active frontage for any new building, or ground level addition or alteration to an existing building, must: a. Be built up to the street edge on all street boundaries and along the full width of the site bordering any street boundary; b. Provide a minimum of 60% of continuous display windows or transparent glazing along the width of the ground floor building frontage; and e. Leoate the principal public entrance on the front boundary; 2. Any new building or ground level addition to, or alteration of, a building or structure facing a public space must not result in a featureless façade that:	Reject.	No.
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.181	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-	Oppose	The RVA oppose the relief sought in this submission as it is inconsistent with The RVA's primary submission. The RVA considers that residential activities, including retirement villages, should be permitted at ground floor.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.181	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S8	Oppose	Ryman oppose the relief sought in this submission as it is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission. Ryman considers that residential activities, including retirement villages, should be permitted at ground floor.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.202	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S8	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-S8 (Active frontage control) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.70	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S8	Oppose	Submission point 349.202 seeks to retain CCZ-S8 as notified. FSNI submission point 476.64 & 476.65 seek that CCZ-S8 is amended.	Disallow / Reject submission in part.	Reject.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.127	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S8		CCZ-S8 is partially supported as it requires that buildings are built up to the street edge along the full width of the site, that glazing is provided and that the principal entrance is located on the front road boundary.	Retain CCZ-S8 (Active frontage control) with amendment.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 86 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?				
Z Energy Limited	361.128	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S8	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S8 does not recognise the operational and functional requirements of existing service stations and should be amended. The following amendment is sought. (Option A)	Amend CCZ-S8 (Active frontage control) as follows:	Reject.	No.				
					Any new building or addition to an existing building adjoining an identified street with an active frontage control must:						
			1129 Commercial and mixed					b b c	a. Be built up to the street edge on all street boundaries and along the full width of the site bordering any street boundary except where there is a functional requirement for that building to be set back from the street edge. In this case, 1b would not apply; and b. Provide a minimum of 60% of continuous display windows or transparent glazing along the width of the ground floor building frontage; and c. Locate the principal public entrance on the front boundary except where there is a functional requirement for the principal entrance to not front the street.		
Z Energy Limited	361.129	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S8	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S8 does not recognise the operational and functional requirements of existing service stations and should be amended. The following amendment is sought. (Option B)	Amend CC2-S8 (Active frontage control) as follows: Except: This does not apply to any heritage building identified in SCHED1-heritage buildings or service stations; and	Reject.	No.				
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.122	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S8	Amend	Considers that standard CCZ-S8.1.a provides that any new building or addition to an existing building adjoining an identified street with an active frontage control must be built up to the street edge on all street boundaries and along the full width of the site bordering any street boundary. We Considers that this control is overly restrictive and fails to recognise that there are robust reasons for a frontage to not be built up to the street edge along the full width of the site. For example, there may be a need for a vehicle or pedestrian entrance or public space.	Amend CCZ-S9.1 (Minimum residential unit size) as follows: a. Be built up to the street edge on all street boundaries and along the full width of the site bordering any street boundary, <u>excluding vehicle and pedestrian access and public open spaces</u>	Accept in part.	Yes				
Oyster Management Limited	404.81	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S8	Oppose in part	Considers CCZ-S8 to be overly restrictive and fails to recognise there are reasons that a frontage may not be built to the street edge along the full width of the site, e.g. to provide for vehicle or pedestrian entrance, or public space.	Amend CCZ-S8 (Active frontage control) as follows: Be built up to the street edge on all street boundaries and along the full width of the site bordering any street boundary, excluding vehicle and pedestrian access and public open spaces;	Accept in part.	Yes				
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.71	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S9	Oppose	Submission point 404.81 seeks to amend CCZ-59 in a way inconsistent with amendments proposed in FSNI submission point 476.64 & 476.65.	Disallow	Reject.	No.				
Oyster Management Limited	404.82	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S8	Amend	Considers CCZ-S8 to be overly restrictive and fails to recognise there are reasons that a frontage may not be built to the street edge along the full width of the site, e.g. to provide for vehicle or pedestrian entrance, or public space.	Amend CCZ-S8 (Active frontage control) as follows: Be built up to the street edge on all street boundaries and along the full width of the site bordering any street boundary, excluding vehicle and pedestrian access and public open spaces;	Accept in part.	Yes				
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.190	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S8	Amend	Submitter considers that there should be more flexibility to breach the CCZ-S8 where the overall design has a positive effect on the streetscape.	Amend CCZ-S8 (Active frontage control) as follows: Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed: 1. The extent to which: a. Any non-compliance is required for on-site functional needs or operational needs; b. The building frontage is designed and located to create a strong visual alignment with adjoining buildings or otherwise enhances the streetscape; and	Accept in part.	Yes				
Fabric Property Limited	425.84	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S8	Amend	Considers that the properties at 1 Grey Street, 20 Customhouse Quay and 215 Lambton Quay are subject to the Active Frontage Control. Standard CCZ-58.1.a provides that any new building or addition to an existing building adjoining an identified street with an active frontage control must be built up to the street edge on all street	Amend Standard CCZ-S9 as follows: 1 a. Be built up to the street edge on all street boundaries and along the full width of the site	Accept in part.	Yes				
				boundaries and along the full width of the site bordering any street boundary. Considers that this control is overly restrictive and fails to recognise that there are robust reasons for a frontage to not be built up to the street edge along the full width of the site. For example, there may be a need for a vehicle or pedestrian entrance or public space	bordering any street boundary, excluding vehicle and pedestrian access and public open spaces;						

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 87 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Foodstuffs North Island	476.64	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S8	Oppose in part	Whilst supportive of certain bulk and location standards in the Commercial and Mixed Use zones, considers that the standards on active frontage and non-residential activity frontage controls are overly prescriptive. In FSNI's experience, centres and commercial areas have a mixture of street typologies. Most have at least one main shopping street and while it is appropriate that these streets have high levels of activation, there are secondary frontages or streets are less important retail streets and have lower levels of pedestrian activity. Considers that it would be would be more appropriate to streamline the standards with portions instead included within the Design Guidance and/or as matters of discretion.	Amend the CCZ-S8 (Active frontage control) as follows: 1. Dwellings must not locate on the ground floor of Any new building or addition to an existing building an adjoining identified street with an active frontage control for any new building, or ground level addition or alteration to an existing building, must: a) Be built up to the street edge on all street boundaries and along the full width of the site bordering any street boundary; b) Provide a minimum of 60% of continuous display windows or transparent glazing along the width of the ground floor building frontage; and e) Locate the principal public entrance on the front boundary. Except that: This does not apply to any heritage building identified in SCHED1-heritage buildings; and 2. Any new building or ground level addition to, or alteration of, a building or structure facing a public space must not result in a featureless façade that:	Reject.	No.
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.49	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S8	Oppose	Ryman oppose the relief sought in this submission as it is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission. Ryman considers that residential activities, including retirement villages should be permitted at ground floor level.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.49	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S8	Oppose	Ryman oppose the relief sought in this submission as it is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission. Ryman considers that residential activities, including retirement villages should be permitted at ground floor level.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	476.65	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S8	Amend	Whilst supportive of certain bulk and location standards in the Commercial and Mixed Use zones, considers that the standards on active frontage and non-residential activity frontage controls are overly prescriptive. In FSNI's experience, centres and commercial areas have a mixture of street typologies. Most have at least one main shopping street and while it is appropriate that these streets have high levels of activation, there are secondary frontages or streets are less important retail streets and have lower levels of pedestrian activity. Considers that it would be would be more appropriate to streamline the standards with portions instead included within the Design Guidance and/or as matters of discretion.	Amend the CCZ-S8 (Active frontage control) as follows: 1. Dwellings must not locate on the ground floor of Any new building or addition to an existing building an adjoining identified street with an active frontage control for any new building, or ground level addition or alteration to an existing building, must: a) Be built up to the street edge on all street boundaries and along the full width of the site bordering any street boundary; b) Provide a minimum of 60% of continuous display windows or transparent glazing along the width of the ground floor building frontage; and e) Locate the principal public entrance on the front boundary. Except that: This does not apply to any heritage building identified in SCHED1-heritage buildings; and 2. Any new building or ground level addition to, or alteration of, a building or structure facing a public space must not result in a featureless façade that:	Reject.	No.
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.50	Part 4 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-	Oppose	The RVA opposes the relief sought in this submission as matters relating to fire-fighting servicing are already provided for under the Building Act and it is inappropriate to duplicate controls under the Proposed Plan.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.50	Part 4 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S8	Oppose	Ryman oppose the relief sought in this submission as it is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission. Ryman considers that residential activities, including retirement villages should be permitted at ground floor level.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.29	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S9	Support	Generally supports the balance of the standards that are proposed to apply to the City Centre Zone, subject to the specific relief sought in respect of the application of the Veranda and Active Frontages controls to the Property. The range of standards will effectively manage the design of development within the City Centre.	Retain CCZ-S9 (Minimum residential - unit size) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Stratum Management Limited	249.37	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S9	Amend	Seeks that the minimum unit size for studio units is reduced to 30m2. Considers that Stratum has significant experience in the development of city centre residential buildings, including studio and dual key units. Stratum's model has been refined through significant experience and in Stratum's view a 30m2 studio unit can deliver successful outcomes. Stratum is not opposed to the other unit sizes specified.	Amend CCZ-S9 (Minimum residential - unit size) as follows: Residential units, including any dual key units, must meet the following minimum sizes: a. Studio units 3\$@m2 b. 1 bedroom unit: 40m2 c. 2+ bedroom unit: 55m2	Reject.	No.
Stratum Management Limited	249.38	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S9		Stratum is not opposed to the other unit sizes specified at CCZ-S9.	Retain CCZ-S9 (Minimum residential - unit size) with respect to 1 and 2+ bedroom unit sizes.	Accept in part.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.736		Support in part	Supports this standard in part but seeks amendments to remove the minimum standard for 2+ bedroom units to enable greater design flexibility.	Retain CCZ-S9 (Minimum residential - unit size) and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.737	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S9	Amend	Supports this standard in part but seeks amendments to remove the minimum standard for 2+ bedroom units to enable greater design flexibility.	Amend CCZ-59 (Minimum residential - unit size) as follows:	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 88 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Willis Bond and	416.191	Commercial and mixed	Oppose	Submitter is seeking fewer prescriptive standards.	Delete CCZ-S9 (Minimum residential – unit size) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Company Limited		use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S9		Submitter considers that Wellington needs to ensure that we are not unnecessarily preventing innovation by prescribing housing standards, such as minimum unit sizes and outdoor living space requirements (in particular, within the City Centre Zone). While the standards currently drafted will be appropriate for many uses, they may not suit everyone and they do not respond to emerging trends in apartment design.	[Inferred decision requested].		
				The standards also risk stifling affordable housing within the City Centre Zone by preventing more affordable building typologies.			
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.151	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S9	Oppose	Considers the minimun unit standards support amenity values in the CCZ.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
LIVE WELLington	FS96.47	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S9	Oppose	Minimum unit standards support amenity values in the CCZ.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.192	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S9	Oppose	Opposes CCZ-59 as the submitter considers: - Minimum residential unit sizes restrict the ability of developers to provide affordable housing choices and a diverse range of housing that meets market demands. - Occupiers are well-equipped to make their own decisions as to the type and size of dwelling. - Health, fire egress and overcrowding issues that arise from small sized dwellings are best dealt with by other legislation (e.g. Building Act 2004, Housing Improvement Regulations 1947, Residential Tenancies Act 1986). - Minimum unit sizes do not reflect the policy in CCZ-P4 to offer a range of housing price, type, size and tenure.	Delete CCZ-S9 (Minimum residential – unit size) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.193	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S9	Amend	Opposes MCZ-S7 as the submitter considers: - Minimum residential unit sizes restrict the ability of developers to provide affordable housing choices and a diverse range of housing that meets market demands. - Occupiers are well-equipped to make their own decisions as to the type and size of dwelling. - Health, fire egress and overcrowding issues that arise from small sized dwellings are best dealt with by other legislation (e.g. Building Act 2004, Housing Improvement Regulations 1947, Residential Tenancies Act 1986). - Minimum unit sizes do not reflect the policy in CCZ-P4 to offer a range of housing price, type, size and tenure.	Seeks that if Council does decide to retain CCZ-S9 (Minimum residential – unit size) minimum residential unit sizes, it should be clearly defined that hotel accommodation, student accommodation and other similar accommodation types are distinct from residential unit sizes. The definition of residential units does not clearly exclude student accommodation and may render it subject to these minimum sizes.	Reject.	No.
Reading Wellington Properties Limited	441.7	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S9	Support	Supports the permitted apartment sizes in CCZ-S9.	Retain CCZ-S9 (Minimum residential – unit size) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Paul Burnaby	44.18		Amend	Considers that a provision should be made for 'juliet balconies' in CCZ-S10.	Add a provision in CCZ-S10 (Residential – outdoor living space) regarding 'juliet balconies'.	Reject.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.30	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S10	Support	Generally supports the balance of the standards that are proposed to apply to the City Centre Zone, subject to the specific relief sought in respect of the application of the Veranda and Active Frontages controls to the Property. The range of standards will effectively manage the design of development within the City Centre.	Retain CCZ-S10 (Residential - outdoor living space) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Stratum Management Limited	249.39	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S10	Oppose	Considers that this standard requires that each residential unit must be provided with an outdoor living space of a minimum size, or that communal living space is provided. In an apartment context, private outdoor living space would be provided in the form of balcony space. There is no equivalent provision in the operative district plan. Stratum has developed various buildings, both with and without balcony space. Stratum's experience suggests that balcony spaces are rarely used in Wellington, often become storage areas, and that they are generally incompatible with typical weather conditions. At a practical level, this requirement will impose additional costs on development. The requirement to provide a 5m2 balcony for an apartment will ad an additional S60,000 to the sale price for each apartment. For an 8m2 balcony, this costs will be in the order of \$100,000. For a typical building of some 100 units, this is a \$10M cost imposition. A communal oper space of some \$100m2 to \$200,000. For a typical building of some 100 units, this is a \$10M cost imposition. A communal oper space of some \$100m2 to \$200,000. For a typical building of some 100 units, this is a \$10m cost imposition. A communal oper space of some \$100m2 to \$100,000. For a typical building of some \$100 units, this is a \$10m2 to \$10m2 t		Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 89 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.738	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S10	Oppose	Opposes this standard and considers the City Centre is a zone where it may be appropriate to develop residential units without outdoor living space given the access to public spaces and facilities.	Delete CCZ-S10 (Residential - outdoor living space) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.141	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S10	Oppose	Considers that residential outdoor living space provides significant amenity value for residents. Considers it is not appropriate to assume that access to public spaces and facilities is a fair or adequate substitute.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
LIVE WELLington	FS96.41	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S10	Oppose	Residential outdoor living space provides significant amenity value for residents. It is not appropriate to assume that access to public spaces and facilities is a fair substitute.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Roland Sapsford	FS117.40	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S10	Oppose	Residential outdoor living space provides significant amenity value for residents. It is not appropriate to assume that access to public spaces and facilities is a fair substitute.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.194	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S10	Oppose	Submitter is seeking fewer prescriptive standards. Submitter considers that Wellington needs to ensure that we are not unnecessarily preventing innovation by prescribing housing standards, such as minimum unit sizes and outdoor living space requirements (in particular, within the City Centre Zone). While the standards currently drafted will be appropriate for many uses, they may not suit everyone and they do not respond to emerging trends in apartment design. The standards also risk stifling affordable housing within the City Centre Zone by preventing more	Delete CCZ-S10 (Residential - outdoor living space) in its entirety. [Inferred decision requested].	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.195	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S10	Oppose	affordable building typologies. Opposes CCZ-S10 as the submitter considers: - Minimum outdoor living space sizes restrict the ability of developers to provide affordable housing choices and a diverse range of housing that meets market demands Occupiers are well-equipped to make their own decisions as to the type and size of dwelling Health, fire egress and overcrowding issues that arise from lack of outdoor living space are best dealt with by other legislation (e.g. Building Act 2004, Housing Improvement Regulations 1947, Residential Tenancies Act 1986) Minimum outdoor living space sizes do not reflect the policy in CCZ-P4 to offer a range of housing price, type, size and tenure.	Delete CCZ-S10 (Residential – outdoor living space) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Tracey Paterson	74.7	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11	Oppose	Opposes CCZ-S11 as currently drafted. CCZ-S1 will result in significant adverse effects on Moir Street properties which cannot be mitigated through design. Moir Street is unique due to its classification as MRZ, Character Precinct, Heritage Area and adjacency to CCZ. As currently drafted, the standards of the proposed plan will allow buildings of up to 28.5m high to tower over 1-2 story heritage cottages on Moir St. The proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation. The Standards of the CCZ proposed Plan will lead to significant adverse effects by allowing inappropriate, out of scale development with a direct impact on residential properties that have recognised heritage and character values on Moir Street. The standards will result in outcomes that are contrary to the objectives and policies of the PDP (CCZ)	Reject CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) as drafted.	Reject.	No.
Tracey Paterson	74.8	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11	Amend	CCZ-511 should be amended to ensure that the same expectations for separation of residential buildings that apply within a site also apply to adjacent residentially zoned sites.	Amend CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) as follows: 1. Any new building or addition to an existing building used for residential activities must provide a 8m separation distance between buildings located on the same site and a 5m separation distance from any residential building on any adjoining residentially zoned site.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 90 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Athena Papadopoulos	183.13	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11	Amend	Considers that CCZ-511 should be amended as the proposed controls will fail to manage significant adverse effects on adjoining sites. Considers that this should be amended to ensure that the same expectations for separation of residential buildings that apply within a site also apply to adjacent residentially zoned sites. The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street. The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Amend CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) as follows: 1. Any new building or addition to an existing building used for residential activities must provide a 8m separation distance between buildings located on the same site, and a 5m separation distance from any residential building on any adjoining residentially zoned site, as shown in Diagram 18 below.	Reject.	No.
Athena Papadopoulos	183.14	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1 and CCZ-S3 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP below: CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design): CCZ-O5.4. and CCZ-O5.7. CCZ-O7 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-O7.1. and CCZ-O7.2.a. to CCZ-O7.2.e. CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-P9.2. CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-P12.1. and CCZ-P12.2. MRZ-PRECO1-O1 (Character Precincts). HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage). PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930.	Amend CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) as proposed by this submission. [Inferred decision requested].	Reject.	No.
Lara Bland	184.13	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11	Amend	alterations and adoutions to buildings built before 1930. Considers that CCZ-S11 should be amended as the proposed controls will fail to manage significant adverse effects on adjoining sites. Considers that this should be amended to ensure that the same expectations for separation of residential buildings that apply within a site also apply to adjacent residentially zoned sites. The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street. The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Amend CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) as follows: 1. Any new building or addition to an existing building used for residential activities must provide a 8m separation distance between buildings located on the same site, and a 5m separation distance from any residential building on any adjoining residentially zoned site, as shown in Diagram 18 below.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Lara Bland	184.14	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1 and CCZ-S3 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP below: CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design): CCZ-O5.4. and CCZ-O5.7. CCZ-O7 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-O7.1. and CCZ-O7.2.a. to CCZ-O7.2.e. CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-P9.2.	Amend CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) as proposed by this submission. [Inferred decision requested].	Reject.	No.
				CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-P12.1. and CCZ-P12.2. MRZ-PREC01-O1 (Character Precincts). HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage). PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant			
Geoff Palmer	188.13	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11	Amend	alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930. Considers that CCZ-S11 should be amended as the proposed controls will fail to manage significant adverse effects on adjoining sites. Considers that this should be amended to ensure that the same expectations for separation of residential buildings that apply within a site also apply to adjacent residentially zoned sites. The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street. The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Amend CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) as follows: 1. Any new building or addition to an existing building used for residential activities must provide a 8m separation distance between buildings located on the same site, and a 5m separation distance from any residential building on any adjoining residentially zoned site, as shown in Diagram 18 below.	Reject.	No.
Geoff Palmer	188.14	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1, CCZ-S3, and CCZ-S11 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP below: CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design): CCZ-O5.4. and CCZ-O5.7. CCZ-O7 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-O7.1. and CCZ-O7.2.a. to CCZ-O7.2.e. CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes): CCZ-P9.2. CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects): CCZ-P12.1. and CCZ-P12.2. MRZ-PRECO1-O1 (Character Precincts). HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage). PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930.	Amend CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) as proposed by this submission. [Inferred decision requested].	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Dougal and Libby List	207.15	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S11 should be amended as the proposed controls will fail to manage significant adverse effects on adjoining sites. Considers that this should be amended to ensure that the same expectations for separation of residential buildings that apply within a site also apply to adjacent residentially zoned sites. The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street. The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Amend CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) as follows: 1. Any new building or addition to an existing building used for residential activities must provide a 8m separation distance between buildings located on the same site, and a 5m separation distance from any residential building on any adjoining residentially zoned site, as shown in Diagram 18 below.	Reject	No.
Dougal and Libby List	207.16	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1, CCZ-S3, and CCZ-S11 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP below: CCZ-O5 (Amenity and design) as follows: CCZ-O5.4. and CCZ-O5.7. CCZ-O7 (Managing adverse effects) as follows: CCZ-O7.1. and CCZ-O7.2.a. to CCZ-O7.2.e. CCZ-P9 (Quality design outcomes) as follows: CCZ-P9.2. CCZ-P12 (Managing adverse effects) as follows: CCZ-P9.2. MRZ-PRECO1-O1 (Character Precincts). HH-O2 (Protecting historic heritage). PART 2 - DISTRICT-WIDE MATTERS - Strategic Direction - Urban Form and Development: The Plan also protects areas of special character in the City's inner suburbs. These suburbs are some of the City's original settlements, with pockets of relatively intact streetscape character derived from a range of factors such as building age, architectural style, and site boundary treatment. These are known as 'Character Precincts'. Rules in these Precincts control demolition and significant alterations and additions to buildings built before 1930.	Amend CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) as proposed by this submission. [Inferred decision requested].	Reject.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.31	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11	Support	Generally supports the balance of the standards that are proposed to apply to the City Centre Zone, subject to the specific relief sought in respect of the application of the Veranda and Active Frontages controls to the Property. The range of standards will effectively manage the design of development within the City Centre.	Retain CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Moir Street Collective - Dougal List, Libby List, Karen Young, Jeremy Young, James Fairhall, Karen Fairhall, Craig Forrester, Sharlene Gray	312.15	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S11 should be amended as the proposed controls will fail to manage significant adverse effects on adjoining sites. Considers that this should be amended to ensure that the same expectations for separation of residential buildings that apply within a site also apply to adjacent residentially zoned sites. The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street. The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Amend CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) as follows: 1. Any new building or addition to an existing building used for residential activities must provide a 8m separation distance between buildings located on the same site, and a 5m separation distance from any residential building on any adjoining residentially zoned site, as shown in Diagram 18 below.	Reject.	No.
Moir Street Collective - Dougal List, Libby List, Karen Young, Jeremy Young, James Fairhall, Karen Fairhall, Craig Forrester, Sharlene	312.16	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S1, CCZ-S3, and CCZ-S11 as proposed are contrary to the proposed objectives and policies of the PDP relating to amenity, design adverse effects and heritage.	Amend CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) as proposed by this submission. [Inferred decision requested].	Reject.	No.
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.301	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11		Opposes the minimum building separation distance (being 8 m from any other building on the same site) for retirement villages as it would prevent linked buildings. Considers that the proposed matters of discretion for retirement villages are sufficient for assessing any effects relating to building lengths.	Opposes CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 93 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.302	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11	Amend	Opposes the minimum building separation distance (being 8 m from any other building on the same site) for retirement villages as it would prevent linked buildings. Considers that the proposed matters of discretion for retirement villages are sufficient for assessing any effects relating to building lengths.	Amend CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) as follows: 1 [diagram] This standard does not apply to retirement villages.	Reject.	No.
Jane Szentivanyi	376.10	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11		CCZ-S11 is opposed as the proposed controls will fail to manage significant adverse effects on adjoining sites. As currently drafted, CCZ-S11 will result in significant adverse effects on Moir Street properties, as well as recognised heritage and character values which cannot be mitigated through design. As such, the provision is contrary to the objectives and policies of the plan.	Opposes CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Jane Szentivanyi	376.11	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S11 should be amended to ensure that the same expectations for separation of residential buildings that apply within a site also apply to adjacent residentially zoned sites.	Amend CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) as follows: 1. Any new building or addition to an existing building used for residential activities must provide a 8m separation distance between buildings located on the same site and a 5m separation distance from any residential building on any adjoining residentially zoned site, as shown in Diagram 18 below	Reject.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.739	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11	Oppose	Opposes this standard as considers it constrains design flexibility, and it is not clear what positive outcome it achieves.	Delete CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.142		Oppose	Considers the CCZ-S11 standard supports amenity values in CCZ of reducing dominance, shading and privacy intrusion effects.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
LIVE WELLington	FS96.42	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S11	Oppose	The CCZ-S11 standard supports amenity values in CCZ of reducing dominance, shading and privacy intrusion effects.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Roland Sapsford	FS117.41	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S11	Oppose	The CCZ-S11 standard supports amenity values in CCZ of reducing dominance, shading and privacy intrusion effects.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Chrissie Potter	446.11	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11	Amend	Considers that CCZ-S11 should be amended as the proposed controls will fail to manage significant adverse effects on adjoining sites. Considers that this should be amended to ensure that the same expectations for separation of residential buildings that apply within a site also apply to adjacent residentially zoned sites. The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street. The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Amend CCZ-S11 (Minimum building separation distance) as follows: 1. Any new building or addition to an existing building used for residential activities must provide a 8m separation distance between buildings located on the same site, and a 5m separation distance from any residential building on any adjoining residentially zoned site, as shown in Diagram 18 below.	Reject	No.
Dorothy Thompson	449.11	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S11	Amend	Considers that CCZ-511 should be amended as the proposed controls will fail to manage significant adverse effects on adjoining sites. Considers that this should be amended to ensure that the same expectations for separation of residential buildings that apply within a site also apply to adjacent residentially zoned sites. The currently proposed 60 degree recession plane from 8m will provide negligible mitigation from allowing buildings of up to 28.5m to tower over one-two storey heritage cottages on Moir Street. The significant adverse effects include: loss of sunlight, overlooking and loss of privacy, shading, increased wind, over-dominance of building form, loss of privacy, streetscape and urban design impacts. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Amend CCZ-511 (Minimum building separation distance) as follows: 1. Any new building or addition to an existing building used for residential activities must provide a 8m separation distance between buildings located on the same site, and a 5m separation distance from any residential building on any adjoining residentially zoned site, as shown in Diagram 18 below.	Reject.	No.
Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited	139.57	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S12	Oppose	Considers that this standard will act as a constraint on appropriate development and design, and it is not clear what positive outcome it achieves.	Delete CCZ-S12 (Maximum building depth) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.32		Support	Generally supports the balance of the standards that are proposed to apply to the City Centre Zone, subject to the specific relief sought in respect of the application of the Veranda and Active Frontages controls to the Property. The range of standards will effectively manage the design of development within the City Centre.	Retain CCZ-S12 (Maximum building depth) as notified.	Accept.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Stratum Management Limited	249.40	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S12	Oppose	Considers that the standard appears to seek to address the creation of long and featureless building facades. This outcome would appear to be better addressed through design guidance (and associated discretion tied to the design guide) than through a standard. There are various design techniques that can address the issue that the standard is attempting to control.	Delete standard CCZ-S12 (Maximum building depth).	Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.203	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S12	Support	Support	Retain CCZ-S12 (Maximum building depth) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.81	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S12	Oppose	Submission point 349.203 seeks to retain CCZ-512 as notified. FSNI submission point 476.101 seeks to delete CCZ-512 in it's entirety.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.303	Commercial and mixed	Oppose in part	Opposes the applicability of a maximum building depth standard for retirement villages. Considers that the proposed matters of discretion for CCZ-R19 and CCZ-R20 are sufficient for assessing any effects relating to building lengths.	Opposes CCZ-S12 (Maximum building depth) and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.304		Amend	Opposes the applicability of a maximum building depth standard for retirement villages. Considers that the proposed matters of discretion for CCZ-R19 and CCZ-R20 are sufficient for assessing any effects relating to building lengths.	Amend CCZ-S12 (Maximum building depth) as follows: 1 [diagram] This standard does not apply to retirement villages.	Reject.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.740	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S12	Oppose	Opposes this standard as considers it constrains design flexibility, and it is not clear what positive outcome it achieves.	Delete CCZ-S12 (Minimum building depth) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.143	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S12	Oppose	Considers the CCZ-S12 standard supports amenity values in CCZ of reducing dominance.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
LIVE WELLington	FS96.43	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S12	Oppose	The CCZ-S12 standard supports amenity values in CCZ of reducing dominance.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Roland Sapsford	FS117.42	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S12	Oppose	The CCZ-S12 standard supports amenity values in CCZ of reducing dominance.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.196	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S12	Oppose	Considers that maximum building depth is too restrictive and the submitter does not consider that it meets the section 32 Resource Management Act 1991 tests for appropriateness.	Delete CCZ-S12 (Maximum building depth) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.85		Oppose	Opposes CCZ-S12, which sets a maximum building depth of 25m. This standard will act as a constraint on appropriate development and design, and it is not clear what positive outcome it achieves.	Delete CCZ-S12 (Maximum Building Depth) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	476.101	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S12	Oppose	Opposes the Centre standards which sets a maximum 25m continuous depth of any external side wall. The word "continuous" is defined as forming an unbroken whole, without being interrupted. It is unclear whether the standard would still apply if the side wall was modulated. It would appear that the intent of these standards relates to privacy and dominance effects on neighbours and preventing a long featureless building façade. Privacy and dominance effects are more appropriately dealt with via the height, height in relation to boundary and outlook space standards. Furthermore, any new building in a Centre zone that is visible from the public realm requires consent and consideration of objectives and policies that also address amenity and design. Considers that the standards on maximum building depth are unnecessary and will act as a constraint on appropriate development and design.	Delete CCZ-S12 (Maximum building depth) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.33	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S13	Support	Generally supports the balance of the standards that are proposed to apply to the City Centre Zone, subject to the specific relief sought in respect of the application of the Veranda and Active Frontages controls to the Property. The range of standards will effectively manage the design of development within the City Centre.	Retain CCZ-S13 (Outlook space) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.741	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-S13	Oppose	Opposes this provision as considers it sets a standard that may not be possible to meet for dwellings that would otherwise provide a decent standard of living.	Delete CCZ-S13 (Outlook space) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.144	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S13	Oppose	Considers Käinga Ora's submission provides no evidence or reassurance that residential units will inevitably achieve a decent standard of living without meeting this standard. Considers the PDP should not compromise the amenity value of providing "a decent standard of living".	Disallow	Accept.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 95 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
LIVE WELLington	FS96.44	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S13	Oppose	Kainga Ora's submission provides no evidence of reassurance that residential units will inevitably achieve a decent standard of living without meeting this standard. The PDP should not compromise the amenity value of providing "a decent standard of living".	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Roland Sapsford	FS117.43	Part 3 / Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ- S13	Oppose	Kainga Ora's submission provides no evidence of reassurance that residential units will inevitably achieve a decent standard of living without meeting this standard. The PDP should not compromise the amenity value of providing "a decent standard of living".	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Paul Burnaby	44.19	Commercial and mixed use Zones / City Centre Zone / CCZ-PREC01-S1	Support	Supports the Te Ngakau Civic Square Precinct provisions (precinct, objectives, policies, rules and standards), including the proposed 40m maximum height standard (CCZ-PREC01-S1) and request that the Council confirms those provisions.	Retain CCZ-PREC01-S1 precinct and associated provisions as notified.	Accept.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities		Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT	Oppose	Opposes defining 'Comprehensive Development' as a separate activity type from standalone houses or any other residential typology for the purposes of the zone rules and standards. Seeks deletion of this definition.	Delete the definition of 'Comprehensive Development'.	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.59	Part 1 / Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT	Oppose	Considers this is an important term used throughout the plan and needs to be defined to provide clarity about what the planning rules mean.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
McDonald's	274.1	Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP	Oppose	McDonald's is opposed to the 'City Outcomes Contributions' provisions and considers that developments that breach height standards should instead be considered on their merits and effects. The merits of a proposal should not be confined to a specified and required list.	Remove all references to the City Outcomes Contributions be removed from the Proposed District Plan.	Reject.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.1	Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP	Oppose	Opposes this policy which requires some developments to deliver City Outcomes Contributions in accordance with the Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide. This is because: - This provision elevates what is normally a design guide into a rule. A design guide should be separate to a plan. The Design Guide should be an external document to the District Plan and be referenced as a guide only. - Further, this provision, provides a mechanism for the Council to require these aspects as part of a development. This is inappropriate. A development should be assessed on its merits.	Delete all references to City Outcomes Contributions in the Proposed Plan.	Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.29	Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP	Support	Submission point 383.1 supports FSNI submission point 476.1.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.11	Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Remove reference of Comprehensive Development throughout the PDP.	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.5	Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP	Not specified	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Seeks a thorough review of the City Outcomes Contribution process, to ensure developers receive certainty early on as to the additional height (or floor space) that will apply.	Accept in part.	Yes.
Paul Burnaby	44.2	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that 110 Wakefield St (West Plaza Hotel) should have a maximum height of 73m to match the maximum height of the immediately adjoining building at 103 Wakefield St.	Amend height control at 110 Wakefield St (West Plaza Hotel) to 73m.	Reject.	No.
Dr Briar E R Gordon and Dr Lyndsay G M Gordon	156.2	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	Opposes zone change of the area of Thorndon east of the motorway to City Centre Zone.	Reject zone change of the area of Thorndon east of the motorway to City Centre Zone and amend mapping.	Reject.	No.
Thorndon Residents' Association Inc	FS69.67	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	These submissions align with the Association's submissions for the Hobson precinct, the Portland/Hawkestone precinct, and the Selwyn precinct.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Historic Places Wellington Inc	FS111.198	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Considers that the notified mapping extent of the Character precincts is too small to adequately protect sites within heritage suburbs from inappropriate subdivision or development under s.6 of the RMA. Considers that the character (or "heritage") precincts must be enlarged, or otherwise protected, to achieve that objective. [Interred reference to submission 158.1]	Allow	Reject.	No.
Andrew Flanagan	198.12	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Seeks that all height limits are removed on developments in the City Centre Zone.	Amend the mapping to remove all height limits on developments in the City Centre Zone.	Reject.	No.
Century Group Limited	238.1	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Орроѕе	Opposes the imposition of a Veranda Control along the frontage of the Property and the other properties along the length of Waterloo Quay (northeast of Bunny Street). Considers that the pedestrian activity in the vicinity of the subject site is predominantly generated by the railway and the Wellington Regional Stadium. These are connected by a pedestrian bridge walkway, which also provides a sheltered pedestrian route at the ground level. Waterloo Quay is a vehicular route to the city, and there are no pedestrian-orientated activities fronting the road which would otherwise justify the need for veranda protection along the footpath. Does not consider that there is sufficient justification for imposing a costly requirement to provide veranda protection along the frontage of the Property, relative to the low level of pedestrian activity along the road, the alternative, protected pedestrian routes that exist between major pedestrian destinations, and the inconsistent application of the Veranda Control along Waterloo Quay.	Delete the 'Veranda' control as it relates to the land along both sides of Waterloo Quay, to the north east of Bunny Street.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 96 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Century Group Limited		Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Supports the spatial extent of the 'Active Frontages' control as shown on the Map Viewer, insofar as the control does not apply to the Property. Considers that the relationship of the Property to the commercial core of the City Centre, and the 'utilitarian' characteristics of the Property and the surrounding land, are such that active building frontages would be an inappropriate and onerous imposition on the development of this land.	Retain the extent of the Active Frontages control as notified.	Accept.	Yes.
Alan Fairless	242.9	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that throughout the city are many sites that sit idle or underutilised. Developing these sites provides a means to addressing much of the future housing demand while avoiding adverse effects on quality, amenity and character. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Seeks that the District Plan sets out a clear sequence for intensification that focusses first on major areas of underutilised land and smaller groups of underutilised sites close to public transport, rather than upzoning broad areas of land.	Reject.	No.
Richard Murcott	322.7	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Supports zone change from CCZ to MRZ for the residential enclave of Selwyn Terrace.	Rezone Selwyn Terrace Street from City Centre Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone.	Reject.	No.
Thorndon Residents' Association Inc	FS69.42	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	[Refer to Full Submission for reasons and examples of residential character of the Hobson precinct of Thorndon].	Allow	Reject.	No.
Richard Murcott	322.8	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Supports zone change from CCZ to MRZ for the residential area of Portland Crescent.	Rezone Portland Crescent from City Centre Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone.	Reject.	No.
Thorndon Residents' Association Inc	FS69.43	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	[Refer to Full Submission for reasons and examples of residential character of the Hobson precinct of Thorndon].	Allow	Reject.	No.
Richard Murcott	322.9	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Supports zone change from CCZ to MRZ for the residential area of Hawkestone Street.	Rezone Hawkestone Street from City Centre Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone.	Reject.	No.
Thorndon Residents' Association Inc	FS69.44	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	[Refer to Full Submission for reasons and examples of residential character of the Hobson precinct of Thorndon].	Allow	Reject.	No.
Thorndon Residents' As sociation	333.1	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that the Selwyn Terrace / Hill Street enclave should be rezoned from City Centre Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone. The enclave provides a visual linkage between this residential area and its residential neighbours across the motorway. It is part of the story of the Thorndon community demonstrating the impact the motorway construction had on Thorndon.	Seeks that the Selwyn Terrace / Hill Street enclave and the Portland Crescent/Hawkestone St cluster not be classified as City Centre Zone, and be re-zoned back to Inner Residential Area, with a qualifying matter as a Character Precinct Area, in a manner consistent with the maps and information appended to the submission.	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.280	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Considers the Boffa Miskell report, Council officers' assessment, and other evidence, justifies extending the character protections and rezoning for all areas identified by submitters in the rest the further submitter's table [see further submission for full information]. Considers that these proposals protect historic heritage from inappropriate development as required by section 6(f) of the RMA.	[Refer to original submission] Allow	Reject.	No.
Historic Places Wellington Inc	FS111.179	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Considers that the notified mapping extent of the Character precincts is too small to adequately protect sites within heritage suburbs from inappropriate subdivision or development under s.6 of the RMA. Considers that the character (or "heritage") precincts must be enlarged, or otherwise protected, to achieve that objective. [Interred reference to submission 158.1]	Allow	Reject.	No.
Thorndon Residents' As sociation	333.2	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that the Selwyn Terrace / Hill Street enclave should be rezoned from City Centre Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone. The enclave provides a visual linkage between this residential area and its residential neighbours across the motorway. It is part of the story of the Thorndon community demonstrating the impact the motorway construction had on Thorndon.	Seeks that the Selwyn Terrace / Hill Street enclave and the Portland Crescent/Hawkestone St cluster not be classified as City Centre Zone , and be re-zoned back to Inner Residential Area, with a qualifying matter as a Character Precinct Area, in a manner consistent with the maps and information appended to the submission. [Refer to original submission]	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.281	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Considers the Boffa Miskell report, Council officers' assessment, and other evidence, justifies extending the character protections and rezoning for all areas identified by submitters in the rest the further subintter's table [see further submission for full information]. Considers that these proposals protect historic heritage from inappropriate development as required by section 6(f) of the RMA.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Historic Places Wellington Inc	FS111.180	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Considers that the notified mapping extent of the Character precincts is too small to adequately protect sites within heritage suburbs from inappropriate subdivision or development under s.6 of the RMA. Considers that the character (or "heritage") precincts must be enlarged, or otherwise protected, to achieve that objective. [Interred reference to submission 158.1]	Allow	Reject.	No.
Thorndon Residents' As sociation	333.3	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that the Selwyn Terrace / Hill Street enclave should be rezoned from City Centre Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone. The enclave provides a visual linkage between this residential area and its residential neighbours across the motorway. It is part of the story of the Thorndon community demonstrating the impact the motorway construction had on Thorndon.	Rezone the Portland Crescent / Hawkestone Street residential cluster from City Centre Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 97 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.282	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Considers the Boffa Miskell report, Council officers' assessment, and other evidence, justifies extending the character protections and rezoning for all areas identified by submitters in the rest the further submitter's table [see further submission for full information]. Considers that these proposals protect historic heritage from inappropriate development as required by section 6(f) of the RMA.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Historic Places Wellington Inc	FS111.181	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Considers that the notified mapping extent of the Character precincts is too small to adequately protect sites within heritage suburbs from inappropriate subdivision or development under s.6 of the RMA. Considers that the character (or "heritage") precincts must be enlarged, or otherwise protected, to achieve that objective. [Interred reference to submission 158.1]	Allow	Reject.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.2	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Seeks for the height limit of 7 Waterloo Quay to be increased to 60m. It is unclear why the height lim	Amend the building height limit of 7 Waterloo Quay to 60m.	Reject.	No.
Argosy Property No. 1 Limited	383.3	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Generally supports the height limits imposed on 143 Lambton Quay, 147 Lambton Quay, 15 Stout Street, 8 Willis Street and 360 Lambton Quay.	Retain the building height limits of 143 Lambton Quay, 147 Lambton Quay, 15 Stout Street, 8 Willis Street and 360 Lambton Quay as notified.	Reject.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.25	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	Opposes the City Centre building height controls as notified and seeks that the building heights are simplified. Considers the Central Wellington City and the City Centre Zone should provide for unlimited building heights to encourage intensification and development. There are rules and standards in the District Plan that will control bulk, location and height of buildings in the city centre. Considers height should not be limited in the City Centre. Seeks simplification of the height controls.	Seeks to delete any mapping references to height limits in the CCZ.	Reject.	No.
Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS84.23	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	Greater Wellington oppose enabling further intensified development unless there are the necessary controls to manage potential effects of water bodies and freshwater ecosystems to give effect to the NPS-FM and have regard to Proposed RPS Change 1. Greater Wellington also consider that any further intensification will not be feasible unless there is investment in associated infrastructure.	Disallow / Seeks that additional provisions are included to give effect to the NPS-FM and have regard to proposed RPS change 1 to manage the effects of urban development on freshwater.	Accept in part.	No.
LIVE WELLington	FS96.4	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	The submission to create unlimited building heights in the central city is opposed. A liveable city is about buildings of a human scale. It is unnecessary and undesirable in an earthquake prone city to allow skyscrapers.	Disallow	Accept in part.	No.
Roland Sapsford	FS117.4	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	The submission to create unlimited building heights in the central city is opposed. A liveable city is about buildings of a human scale. It is unnecessary and undesirable in an earthquake prone city to allow skyscrapers.	Disallow	Accept in part.	No.
Guy Marriage	407.1	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that the extension of the CCZ down Adelaide Road is flawed, as this is the lowers point on the path from Newtown to the Basis and is also the former boggy route of a wetland stream, so will be unsuitable for the creation of high rises.	Amend the mapping so that the City Centre Zone chapter is not extended along Adelaide Road.	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.7	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers for the Wellington Train Station Precinct that intensification should be most prevalent where major existing public infrastructure is available, particularly public transport. Submitter considers to that end, building height limits (not withstanding earlier comments regarding height limits in general) around the Train Station should be maximised. Submitter notes the 50m height limit above the rail corridor enabling a potential over-station development – the submitter strongly supports this initiative and believe even further height is warranted here. This height should be extended to nearby sites including the station itself, and around Thorndon Quay, Waterloo Quay and Lambton Quay – the majority of which is currently constrained to between 27m and 40m. The submitter believes there are sufficient other controls in place to manage responsible use of height.	Seeks that for the Wellington Train Station precinct CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) be amended in the mapping.	Reject.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.8	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that for the Tasman Street block, that the block bounded by Buckle Street, Tasman Street, Rugby Street and Sussex Street appears as an anomaly (28.5m) to the height limits of the similarly-zoned blocks immediately to the north (42.5m) and to the south (42.5m). Submitter considers that it is clear that 28.5m is utilised as a transitional height from the 42.5m zone to the lower 21m and 11m height limits, however it is unclear what justification there is for the anomaly on this block given the intensification of the entire Adelaide Road precinct immediately south, and the Te Aro precinct immediately north.	Seeks that the Tasman Street block CCZ-S1 (Maximum height) be amended in the mapping.	Reject	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	476.67	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Supports the City Centre Zoning of New World Railway Metro (2 Bunny Street, Pipitea).	Retain the mapping of City Centre Zoning for New World Railway Metro (2 Bunny Street, Pipitea) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	476.68	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Supports the City Centre Zoning of New World Willis Street Metro (70 Willis Street, Wellington Central).	Retain the mapping of City Centre Zoning for New World Willis Street Metro (70 Willis Street, Wellington Central) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	476.69	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Supports the City Centre Zoning of New World Wellington City (279 Wakefield Street, Te Aro).	Retain the mapping of City Centre Zoning for New World Wellington City (279 Wakefield Street, Te Aro) as notified.	Accept.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Foodstuffs North Island	476.70	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Supports the City Centre Zoning of New World Thorndon (150 Molesworth Street).	Retain the mapping of City Centre Zoning for New World New World Thorndon (150 Molesworth Street) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Aro Valley Community	87.16	Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Amend	Considers the site at 290 Willis Street should be rezoned from CCZ to MRZ at the site contains a listed heritage building.	Rezone 290 Willis Street from City Centre Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone.	Accept.	No.
Generation Zero	FS54.20	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Орроѕе	For character areas, the central test is "other" qualifying matter under clause 3.33(3) of the NPS-UD, alongside the objectives and purpose of the NPS-UD. There is an extremely high bar to creating a character area. The reduction in development capacity must be justified against the national significance of urban development and the objectives of the NPS-UD. Cities are dynamic and changeable. Indeed Wellington underwent many built changes before the currently form was locked in place by modern zoning documents. New housing and residents are a positive to encourage, rather a negative to push out further or crowd into the remaining housing stock. It is significantly more climate friendly to allow denser housing in inner-suburbs, rather than displacing development into greenfields, even accounting for embodied carbon. Character areas are in inner-city suburbs which are highly connected to amenities and already have high-mode share of low emissions transport. This land is often the most resilient. More residents can be easily absorbed in these suburbs and will create a positive impact on that suburb. The counterfactual – the status quo – is that the best quality land in the city is locked away by wealthy residents who seek to preserve an unsustainable way of life and/or their property values. This causes reduced supply and higher prices, higher rents, lower quality, displacement of low-income residents, and pushes residents to worse locations with higher lifetime emissions. The development capacity lost through character areas is extremely weighty; only very 'character' of very high quality can be justified. It must also be a site-specific analysis; the current broadbrush suburb-by-suburb analysis in the current District Plan is not allowed. The approaches advocated by the submitters is erroneous under the NPS-UD and should be rejected. Submitters cannot point to individual streets or houses they subjectively find as 'character' as this does not meet the stringent evidential requirement of clause 3.33(3). Submitter		Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.245	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Support	Considers the Boffa Miskell report, Council officers' assessment, and other evidence, justifies extending the character protections and rezoning for all areas identified by submitters in the rest the further submitter's table [see further submission for full information]. Considers that these proposals protect historic heritage from inappropriate development as required by section 6(f) of the RMA.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Historic Places Wellington Inc	FS111.139	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Support	Considers that the notified mapping extent of the Character precincts is too small to adequately protect sites within heritage suburbs from inappropriate subdivision or development under s.6 of the RMA. Considers that the character (or "heritage") precincts must be enlarged, or otherwise protected, to achieve that objective.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Aro Valley Community Council	87.17	Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Amend	Amend the mapping so that 290, 292, 294, 296, 298, 300, 302, 304 and 306 Willis Street are within the MRZ.	Rezone 292, 294, 296, 298, 300, 302, 304 and 306 Willis Street from City Centre Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 99 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Generation Zero	FS54.21	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Oppose	For character areas, the central test is "other" qualifying matter under clause 3.33(3) of the NPS-UD, alongside the objectives and purpose of the NPS-UD. There is an extremely high bar to creating a character area. The reduction in development capacity must be justified against the national significance of urban development and the objectives of the NPS-UD. Cities are dynamic and changeable. Indeed Wellington underwent many built changes before the currently form was locked in place by modern zoning documents. New housing and residents are a positive to encourage, rather a negative to push out further or crowd into the remaining housing stock. It is significantly more climate friendly to allow denser housing in inner-suburbs, rather than displacing development into greenfields, even accounting for embodied carbon. Character areas are in inner-city suburbs which are highly connected to amenities and already have high-mode share of low emissions transport. This land is often the most resilient. More residents can be easily absorbed in these suburbs and will create a positive impact on that suburb. The counterfactual – the status quo – is that the best quality land in the city is locked away by wealthy residents who seek to preserve an unsustainable way of life and/or their property values. This causes reduced supply and higher prices, higher rents, lower quality, displacement of low-income residents, and pushes residents to worse locations with higher lifetime emissions. The development capacity lost through character areas is extremely weighty; only very 'character' of very high quality can be justified. It must also be a site-specific analysis; the current broadbrush suburb-by-suburb analysis in the current District Plan is not allowed. The approaches advocated by the submitters is erroneous under the NPS-UD and should be rejected. Submitters cannot point to individual streets or houses they subjectively find as 'character' as this does not meet the stringent evidential requirement of clause 3.33(3). Submitter	Disallow / Disallow the submission in full to the extent that this relates to character areas or reducing the amount of enabled housing. Reject increasing character areas in the PDP.	Accept.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.246	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Support	Considers the Boffa Miskell report, Council officers' assessment, and other evidence, justifies extending the character protections and rezoning for all areas identified by submitters in the rest the further subimitter's table [see further submission for full information]. Considers that these proposals protect historic heritage from inappropriate development as required by section 6(f) of the RMA.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Alan Olliver & Julie Middleton	111.1	Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Amend	Considers that the western edge of Mt Victoria should not be CCZ (City Centre Zone) Considers that CCZ is incompatible with various definitions of Mt Vic as a suburb. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Amend mapping so that the western edge of Mount Victoria that is within the CCZ (City Centre Zone) is rezoned to Medium Density Residential Area. [Inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.198	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Considers the Boffa Miskell report, Council officers' assessment, and other evidence, justifies extending the character protections and rezoning for all areas identified by submitters in the rest the further subimitter's table [see further submission for full information]. Considers that these proposals protect historic heritage from inappropriate development as required by section 6(f) of the RMA.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Jonothan and Tricia Briscoe	190.11	Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Amend	Considers that the western edge of Mt Victoria should not be CCZ (City Centre Zone) Considers that CCZ is incompatible with various definitions of Mt Vic as a suburb. The current low-rise but historically dense residential area extends well into the area currently designated City Centre Zone and intended for building at least 10 storeys high. [refer to original submission for full reasons].	Amend the mapping so that the western edge of Mount Victoria that is within the CCZ (City Centre Zone) is rezoned to Medium Density Residential Zone. [Inferred Decision Requested].	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.189	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Support	Considers the Boffa Miskell report, Council officers' assessment, and other evidence, justifies extending the character protections and rezoning for all areas identified by submitters in the rest the further subimitter's table [see further submission for full information]. Considers that these proposals protect historic heritage from inappropriate development as required by section 6(f) of the RMA.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Historic Places Wellington Inc	FS111.118	General / Mapping /Rezone / Rezone	Support	Considers that the notified mapping extent of the Character precincts is too small to adequately protect sites within heritage suburbs from inappropriate subdivision or development under s.6 of the RMA. Considers that the character (or "heritage") precincts must be enlarged, or otherwise protected, to achieve that objective.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Mount Victoria Historical Society	214.3	Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Amend	Opposes the western edge of the legal suburb of Mt Victoria being included in the CCZ (City Centre Zone). Considers that Cambridge Terrace forms the logical eastern boundary of the CCZ. Considers that CCZ is incompatible with the current, historical, Wellington City Council and Geographic Board definition of Mount Victoria as a suburb. [Refer to original submission for full reason].	Seeks that the CCZ (City Centre Zone) east of Cambridge Terrace in Mount Victoria be rezoned to MRZ (Medium Density Residential Zone). [Inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 100 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.181	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Support	Considers the Boffa Miskell report, Council officers' assessment, and other evidence, justifies extending the character protections and rezoning for all areas identified by submitters in the rest the further subintter's table [see further submission for full information]. Considers that these proposals protect historic heritage from inappropriate development as required by section 6(f) of the RMA.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.96	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes this submission and reduction of the city centre zone.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Historic Places Wellington Inc	FS111.67	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Support	No specific reason provided.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Historic Places Wellington Inc	FS111.87	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Support	Considers that it is necessary to protect heritage values of Mt Victoria heritage area (curtilage).	Allow	Reject.	No.
Wheeler Grace Trust	261.1	Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Amend	Considers that the opportunity for residential intensification would be better reflected with HRZ (High Density Residential Zone).	Rezone Selwyn Terrace, Thorndon from CCZ (City Centre Zone) to HRZ (High Density Residential Zone).	Reject.	No.
				Changing from Inner Residential to City Centre Zone would drastically change Selwyn Terrace. Opposes the element of the NPS-UD application regarding commercial activities.			
				Selwyn Terrace does not have a mix of land uses - it is all residential except the British High Commission, which has it's frontage on Hill Street.			
				For Selwyn Terrace to be CCZ it would need better road access.			
				Selwyn Terrace is unique and has character, making CCZ inappropriate. [Refer to original submission for full reason]			
Historic Places Wellington Inc	FS111.187	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Support	[Refer to Original submission for Jun reason] Considers that the notified mapping extent of the Character precincts is too small to adequately protect sites within heritage suburbs from inappropriate subdivision or development under s.6 of the RMA. Considers that the character (or "heritage") precincts must be enlarged, or otherwise protected, to achieve that objective. [Interred reference to submission 158.1]	Allow	Reject.	No.
Eldin Family Trust	287.2	Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Oppose	Considers that the rezoning of Selwyn Terrace would be a dramatic change and would enable activities that conflict with the current primary use of Selwyn Terrace as a distinct enclave of residential dwellings.	Opposes the rezoning of Selwyn Terrace in the Operative District Plan from Inner Residential Zone to City Centre Zone in the Proposed District Plan.	Reject.	No.
				Considers that the Council is incorrect to say that Selwyn Terrace already has a mixture of land uses. Does not agree that a land use change is necessary to support a mixture of activities and growth, considering the street is very narrow and steep access, with a single carriageway for much of its length. A change to a commercial zoning would place unreasonable demand on vehicle and pedestrian access.			
				Considers that Selwyn Terrace has a high concentration of pre-1930s character as evidenced by the 2019 Pre-1930s character area review report. Considers that 9 Selwyn Terrace is an excellent example of the work of			
				one of Wellington's pre-eminent architects of the 20th Century, William Gray Young.			
				Selwyn Terrace has special historic context as a reminder of the original suburb prior to the construction of the Wellington Motorway.			
				Considers the plan provides sufficient development capacity without needing to change planning settings in Selwyn Terrace.			
				[Refer to original submission for full reason]			
Thorndon Residents' Association Inc	FS69.2	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Support	This is a significant small enclave of quality residential character dwellings in Thorndon. Selwyn Terrace has a special historic context as a residential area that reminds us of the original extent of the residential suburb.	Amend / Seeks that the submission be allowed and change Selwyn Terrace to Medium Density Residential Zone, and do this in harmony with the Portland residential enclave, and the Hobson residential precinct of Thorndon.	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.289	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Support	Considers the Boffa Miskell report, Council officers' assessment, and other evidence, justifies extending the character protections and rezoning for all areas identified by submitters in the rest the further subimitter's table [see further submission for full information]. Considers that these proposals protect historic heritage from inappropriate development as required by section 6(f) of the RMA.	Allow	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 101 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Eldin Family Trust	287.3	Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Amend	Considers that the rezoning of Selwyn Terrace would be a dramatic change and would enable activities that conflict with the current primary use of Selwyn Terrace as a distinct enclave of residential dwellings.	Seeks that Selwyn Terrace, Thorndon is rezoned from City Centre Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone	Reject.	No.
				Considers that the Council is incorrect to say that Selwyn Terrace already has a mixture of land uses.			
				Does not agree that a land use change is necessary to support a mixture of activities and growth, considering the street is very narrow and steep access, with a single carriageway for much of its length. A change to a commercial zoning would place unreasonable demand on vehicle and pedestrian access.			
				Considers that Selwyn Terrace has a high concentration of pre-1930s character as evidenced by the 2019 Pre-1930s character area review report.			
				Considers that 9 Selwyn Terrace is an excellent example of the work of one of Wellington's pre-eminent architects of the 20th Century, William Gray Young.			
				Selwyn Terrace has special historic context as a reminder of the original suburb prior to the construction of the Wellington Motorway.			
				Considers the plan provides sufficient development capacity without needing to change planning settings in Selwyn Terrace.			
				[Refer to original submission for full reason]			
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.290	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Support	Considers the Boffa Miskell report, Council officers' assessment, and other evidence, justifies extending the character protections and rezoning for all areas identified by submitters in the rest the further submitter's table [see further submission for full information]. Considers that these proposals protect historic heritage from inappropriate development as required by section 6(f) of the RMA.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Historic Places Wellington Inc	FS111.176	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Support	Considers that the notified mapping extent of the Character precincts is too small to adequately protect sites within heritage suburbs from inappropriate subdivision or development under s.6 of the RMA. Considers that the character (or "heritage") precincts must be enlarged, or otherwise protected, to achieve that objective. [Interred reference to submission 158.1]	Allow	Reject.	No.
Wellington Branch NZIA	301.2	Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Amend	Considers that Adelaide Road should not be classified as CCZ. The street is likely unsuitable for the creation of further high-rise areas due to it being the former boggy route of a wetland stream. Medium-rise development to the level of 5-6 storeys and the occasional nine storey tower should be continued.	Rezone Adelaide Road from City Centre Zone to High Density Residential Zone. [Inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.212	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Support	Considers the Boffa Miskell report, Council officers' assessment, and other evidence, justifies extending the character protections and rezoning for all areas identified by submitters in the rest the further submitter's table [see further submission for full information]. Considers that these proposals protect historic heritage from inappropriate development as required by section 6(f) of the RMA.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Roland Sapsford	305.22	Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Amend	Considers that Palmer Street should not be surrounded on four sides by buildings with permitted heights of eight storeys. Palmer Street is identified in the Proposed Plan as a "character precinct" with an 11m height limit for new buildings. Palmer Street already experiences significant shading from the existing high rise located between Palmer and Abel Smith Streets.	Seeks that all City Centre Zones adjoining Palmer Street be rezoned to Medium Density Residential Zone. [Inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.265	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Support	Considers the Boffa Miskell report, Council officers' assessment, and other evidence, justifies extending the character protections and rezoning for all areas identified by submitters in the rest the further submixter's table [see further submission for full information]. Considers that these proposals protect historic heritage from inappropriate development as required by section 6(f) of the RMA.	Allow	Reject.	No.
LIVE WELLington	FS96.110	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	The Boffa Miskell report, Council officers' assessment, and other evidence, justifies extending the character protections and rezoning for all areas identified by submitters in the rest of this table. These proposals protect historic heritage from inappropriate development as required by section 6(f) of the RMA.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Historic Places Wellington Inc	FS111.155	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Support	Considers that the notified mapping extent of the Character precincts is too small to adequately protect sites within heritage suburbs from inappropriate subdivision or development under s.6 of the RMA. Considers that the character (or "heritage") precincts must be enlarged, or otherwise protected, to achieve that objective.	Allow	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 102 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Roland Sapsford	305.23	Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Amend	Supports zone change from CCZ to MRZ at the sites on the west side of Willis Street between Aro Street and Abel Smith Street.	Rezone the sites on the west side of Willis Street between Aro Street and Abel Smith Street from City Centre Zone to Medium Density Residential.	Reject.	No.
				Considers these sites are only included in the City Centre zone due to an historical mapping error repeatedly acknowledged but unaddressed by WCC. In essence this area was 10 covered by the 1960s designation for the Te Aro motorway, but when that designation was removed the boundary			
				was not adjusted. Ten storeys over 100% of the site is not appropriate for this location. These sites would still be zoned up to six storeys once rezoned.			
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.266	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Support	Considers the Boffa Miskell report, Council officers' assessment, and other evidence, justifies extending the character protections and rezoning for all areas identified by submitters in the rest the further subimitter's table [see further submission for full information]. Considers that these proposals protect historic heritage from inappropriate development as required by section 6(f) of the RMA.	Allow	Reject.	No.
IVE WELLington	FS96.111	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	The Boffa Miskell report, Council officers' assessment, and other evidence, justifies extending the character protections and rezoning for all areas identified by submitters in the rest of this table. These proposals protect historic heritage from inappropriate development as required by section 6(f) of the RMA.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Historic Places Wellington Inc	FS111.156	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Support	Considers that the notified mapping extent of the Character precincts is too small to adequately protect sites within heritage suburbs from inappropriate subdivision or development under s.6 of the RMA. Considers that the character (or "heritage") precincts must be enlarged, or otherwise protected, to achieve that objective.	Allow	Reject.	No.
U.S. Embassy Wellington	366.1	Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Amend	As identified on a provided map [see original submission], seeks an amendment to the mapping to exclude all properties highlighted in red on the supplied map from the proposed 27m height limit and subject to a 10m height limit. This is for security reasons.	Amend the CCZ (City Centre Zone) Maps so that all properties highlighted in red on the supplied map [see original submission] are exempt from the 27m height limit and subject to a 10m height limit.	Reject.	No.
Thorndon Residents' Association Inc	FS69.29	General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Support	The U.S. Embassy Wellington's desire for neighbouring properties to be restricted to a maximum height of 10m aligns with the TRA's submission to add a character precinct for the Hobson area and to rezone the entire Hobson precinct as MDRZ.	Allow	Reject.	No.
				TRA respectfully highlight that this concern (or similar) would be matched by other 'special' properties situated in the vicinity. The Hobson precinct of Thorndon is valued for its special character, and height and other controls have very effectively maintained these special character values, and charm to this part of the city. TRA submit that the TRA's submission (#333) to change			
				the PDP to medium density residential zoning in the Hobson precinct, and introducing a character precinct for the Hobson area, would help address the concerns of this submitter.			
				[Refer to Further Submission for full reasons including extract from U.S. Embassy submission].			
U.S. Embassy Wellington	366.2	Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Amend	As identified on a provided map [see original submission], seeks an amendment to the mapping to exclude all properties highlighted in red on the supplied map from the proposed 22m minimum height and subject to a 10m height limit. This is for security reasons.	Amend the CCZ (City Centre Zone) Maps so that all properties highlighted in red on the supplied map [see original submission] are exempt from the proposed 22m minimum height and are subject to a 10m height limit	Reject.	No.
Z Energy Limited	361.1	Mapping / Retain Zone / Retain Zone	Support	The CCZ at Z Taranaki Street Service Station and Z Vivian Street Service Station is supported.	Retain City Centre Zone at 155 Taranaki Street (Z Taranaki Street) and 174 Vivian Street (Z Vivian Street).	Accept.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.4	Mapping / Retain Zone / Retain Zone	Support	Supports the application of City Centre zoning to 22 The Terrace, 1 Grey Street, 20 Customhouse Quay and 215 Lambton Quay.	Retain the zoning of 22 The Terrace as notified.	Accept.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.5	Mapping / Retain Zone / Retain Zone	Support	Supports the application of City Centre zoning to 22 The Terrace, 1 Grey Street, 20 Customhouse Quay and 215 Lambton Quay.	Retain zoning of 1 Grey Street as notified.	Accept.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.6	Mapping / Retain Zone / Retain Zone	Support	Supports the application of City Centre zoning to 22 The Terrace, 1 Grey Street, 20 Customhouse Quay and 215 Lambton Quay.	Retain zoning of 20 Customhouse Quay as notified.	Accept.	No.
Fabric Property Limited	425.7	Mapping / Retain Zone / Retain Zone	Support	Quay. Supports the application of City Centre zoning to 22 The Terrace, 1 Grey Street, 20 Customhouse Quay and 215 Lambton Quay.	Retain zoning of 215 Lambton Quay as notified.	Accept.	No.
Dyster Management Limited	404.2	Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Amend	Considers that the 90m Height Control Overlay should extend over 141 The Terrace, 294 and 298 Lambton Quay so it is contiguous with the height control applying to 312 Lambton Quay and other sites to the south.	Amend 90m Height Control Overlay to extend over 141 The Terrace, 294 and 298 Lambton Quay. [Refer to original submission for maps of the submitter's properties under the Proposed District Plan].	Reject.	No.
Oyster Management Limited	404.3	Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Support	Supports the 75m Height Control Area applying to Lambton Quay.	Retain 75m Height Control Area extent as notified. [Refer to original submission for maps of the submitter's properties under the Proposed District Planl.	Reject.	No.
McDonald's	274.2	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support in part	In general, the submitter (McDonald's) supports the zonings that have been applied to their existing restaurants.	Planj. Not specified.	Accept.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 103 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Mt Victoria Residents' Association	342.18	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that Kent Terrace and Cambridge Terrace partially perform the function of a town centre for Mount Victoria. All of Mt Victoria should be treated as one unit for planning purposes, so transition issues along the boundary between the CBD and Mt Victoria can be addressed. Including Cambridge and Kent Terraces within Mt Victoria will also provide a much-needed buffer/ transition area from the city centre high rises – not just in heights, but in the character-scape – and will support community connection.	Seeks that all of Mount Victoria is treated as one unit that includes Cambridge Terrace and Kent Terrace.	Reject.	No.
Wellington's Character Charitable Trust	FS82.191	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Considers the Boffa Miskell report, Council officers' assessment, and other evidence, justifies extending the character protections and rezoning for all areas identified by submitters in the rest the further subimtter's table [see further submission for full information]. Considers that these proposals protect historic heritage from inappropriate development as required by section 6(f) of the RMA.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Historic Places Wellington Inc	FS111.121	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Considers that the notified mapping extent of the Character precincts is too small to adequately protect sites within heritage suburbs from inappropriate subdivision or development under s.6 of the RMA. Considers that the character (or "heritage") precincts must be enlarged, or otherwise protected, to achieve that objective.	Allow	Reject.	No.
Michael O'Rourke	194.9	Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / General HRZ	Amend	Opposes the strip south of the Basin Reserve up Adelaide Road to John Street being zoned as CCZ.Notes that by various measures, including the Wellington Regional Council City Zone for public transport ending at the Basin, this area is not the CBD.[Refer to original submission for full detail].	Amend the mapping to rezone the Adelaide Road spine as High Density Residential Zone.	Reject.	No.
Property Council New Zealand	338.12	Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Amend	Considers that incentives for large developments that can demonstrate a City Outcomes Contribution (such as priority consenting) would establish a quid pro quo system and enable growth rather than placing additional obstacles for large-scale development to occur.	Seeks that incentives be provided to encourage but not require large developments to deliver City Outcomes Contributions.	Reject.	No.
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.204	Part 3 / Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Oppose	The RVA oppose the relief sought in this submission as it is inconsistent with The RVA's primary submission.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.204	Part 3 / Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Oppose	Ryman oppose the relief sought in this submission as it is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Disabled Persons Assembly New Zealand Incorporated	343.9	Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Support	Supports policy HRZ-P13 and widest possible application of the City Outcomes Contribution through the Environmental and Accessibility Performance Fund established by the WCC in order to incentivise the building of housing and public buildings to Universal Design standards. [Submitter has referenced Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide in their submission rather than the Residential Design Guide.]	Retain HRZ-P13 (City Outcomes Contribution) as notified. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept.	No.
Disabled Persons Assembly New Zealand Incorporated	343.1	Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Support	Supports policy HRZ-P13 and widest possible application of the City Outcomes Contribution through the Environmental and Accessibility Performance Fund established by the WCC in order to incentivise the building of housing and public buildings to Universal Design standards. [Submitter has referenced Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide in their submission rather than the Residential Design Guide.]	Retain HRZ-P13 (City Outcomes Contribution) as notified. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept.	No.
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.178	Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Oppose	Opposes the inclusion of the City Outcomes Contribution requirements of HRZ-P13 and considers that any requirements associated with developments that are under or over height should directly relate to mitigation of potential or actual effects. Considers that the policy would create barriers that strongly conflict with the need to resolve the housing crisis and address the needs of the rapidly growing aging population.	Delete HRZ-P13 (City Outcomes Contribution) in its entirety as notified.	Reject.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.348	Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Support in part	Supports in part.	Retain HRZ-P13 (City outcomes contribution) with amendments.	Accept in part.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.349	Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Amend	Considers that commercial activities should be encouraged and supported where appropriate and integrated with residential development.	Amend HRZ-P13 (City Outcomes Contribution) as follows: 5. Enabling ease of access for people of all ages and mobility.; and/or 6. Incorporating non-residential uses to provide for mixed use development.	Reject.	No.
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.145	Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain HRZ-P13 (City Outcomes Contribution) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.457	Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Oppose	Policy HRZ-P13 is opposed and amendment is sought.	Opposes Policy HRZ-P13 (City Outcomes Contribution) and amendment is sought.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 104 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.140	Part 3 / Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Oppose	The RVA oppose the relief sought in this submission as it is inconsistent with The RVA's primary submission to remove the design guides in full.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.140	Part 3 / Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Oppose	Ryman oppose the relief sought in this submission as it is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission to remove the design guides in full.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Käinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.458	Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Amend	Considers that amendments are required to Policy HRZ-P13 to instead encourage positive outcomes for development in the HRZ. [See original submission for further details]	Amend Policy HRZ-P13 (City Outcomes Contributions) as follows: Require over-height, large-scale residential Encourage development in the High Density Residential Zone to contribute to positive outcomes deliver City Outcomes Contributions as detailed and scored in the Residential Design Guide, including through either: 1. Positively contributing to public space provision and the amenity of the site and surrounding area; and/or 2. Incorporating a level of building performance that leads to reduced carbon emissions and increased climate change resilience; and/or 3. Incorporating construction materials that increase the lifespan and resilience of the development and reduce ongoing maintenance costs; and/or 4. Incorporating assisted housing into the development, and where this is provided legal instruments are required to ensure that it remains assisted housing for at least 25 years; and/or 54. Enabling ease of access for people of all ages and mobility.	Accept in part.	Yes.
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.141	Part 3 / Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Oppose	The RVA oppose the relief sought in this submission as it is inconsistent with The RVA's primary submission to remove the design guides in full.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.141	Part 3 / Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Oppose	Ryman oppose the relief sought in this submission as it is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission to remove the design guides in full.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Investore Property Limited	405.55	Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Oppose	Considers that the 'City Outcomes Contributions' provisions are inappropriate. Specifically is opposed to requiring 'City Outcomes Contributions' for 'over height' development which he submitter considers is inappropriate. Developments that breach height standards should instead be considered on their own merits and effects.	Seeks that HRZ-P13 (City Outcomes Contribution) is deleted in its entirety as notified.	Reject.	No.
VicLabour	414.33	Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ-	Support in part	[Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment] Supportive of the inclusion of a points based system to allow developments outside of some of the rules in the PDP if they provide other benefits (the city outcomes contribution mechanism) but considers it an example of how arbitrary and excessive many of these regulations are, particularly around height and character protections.	Seeks to retain points based system to allow developments outside of some of the rules in the PDP if they provide other benefits. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept in part.	No.
Willis Bond and Company Limited	416.83	Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Amend	The submitter considers that while they are generally supportive of the City Outcomes Contribution, there needs to be a level of certainty that the significant investment required to deliver these outcomes will result in material and reliable intensifications (be it height, floor area ratio, etc). As currently drafted, the initiative remains "subject to" numerous other mechanisms in the plan, potentially rendering it ineffective, despite its good intentions. HRZ-P13 is also phrased to "require" City Outcomes Contributions, rather than to provide a clear incentive for meeting the requested outcomes. The City Outcomes Contribution should be reviewed to reflect any amendments made to CCZ-P11 and the relevant provisions in the Design Guides.	Seeks that HRZ-P13 (City Outcomes Contribution) be reconsidered following any amendments to the City Outcomes Contribution within the City Centre Zone.	Accept in part.	Yes.
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.256	Part 3 / Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Not specified	The RVA supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with The RVA's primary submission.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in line with The RVA's primary submission.	Reject.	No.
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS128.256	Part 3 / Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Not specified	Ryman supports the relief sought in this submission as it relates to the removal of design guidelines from the District Plan but opposes them remaining as a non-statutory tool as this is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission.	Amend / Allow submission point as it relates to the removal of design guidelines and otherwise disallow the point in line with Ryman's primary submission.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 105 of 106

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Fabric Property Limited	425.49	Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZ- P13	Oppose	Opposed to the 'City Outcomes Contributions' provisions, and specifically is opposed to requiring 'City Outcomes Contributions' for 'over height' development. While Fabric recognises the intent of these provisions in providing publicly beneficial outcomes, it is inappropriate for the provision of these publicly beneficial outcomes to be connected to non-compliance with height rules. Developments that breach height standards should instead be considered on their own merits and effects. The provision of beneficial outcomes in any development should be considered as part of the merits of a development, and should not be confined to a specified and required list. The 'City Outcomes Contributions' have the potential to act as a disincentive for development, which conflicts with the Proposed Plan strategic objectives and NPS-UD requirements of providing development capacity and providing for urban intensification. This would not achieve the aim of "density done well" as stated in the Design Guide. Seeks that all references to the City Outcomes Contributions be removed from the Proposed Plan and design guides.	Delete HRZ-P13 (City Outcomes Contribution) in it's entirety.	Reject.	No.
McDonald's Restaurants New Zealand Limited	FS45.9	Part 3 / Residential Zones / High Density Residential Zone / HRZP13	Support	McDonald's Restaurants New Zealand Limited supports these submissions seeking deletion of the City Outcomes Contributions. While MRNZL recognises the intent of these provisions in providing publicly beneficial outcomes, it is inappropriate for the provision of these publicly beneficial outcomes to be connected to non-compliance with height rules. Developments that breach height standards should instead be considered on their own merits and effects. The provision of beneficial outcomes in any development should be considered as part of the merits of a development, and should not be confined to a specified and required list.	Allow	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 26/05/2023 Page 106 of 106