

**IN THE MATTER**

of the Resource Management Act 1991

**AND**

**IN THE MATTER**

of Submissions and Further Submissions on  
the Proposed Wellington City District Plan

---

**Minute 27:**

**Directions for Wrap-up and Integration Hearing on IPI Provisions**

---

## **Introduction**

1. The purpose of this Minute is to record the directions of the Hearing Panel for the Wrap-up and Plan Integration hearing, scheduled to commence on Tuesday 19 September 2023.

## **Purpose of the Hearing – Outstanding Matters and Plan Integration**

2. The purpose of the hearing is to focus on outstanding matters relating to submissions on the provisions of the PDP which have not yet been heard during Hearing Streams 1 to 5. Such matters will include outstanding submission points yet to be evaluated, final recommendations on the urban design guidance aspects of the PDP (as they relate to Hearing Streams 1 to 5), and outstanding matters for specific chapters.
3. The hearing is also intended to ensure an integration of IPI provisions across the PDP provisions to ensure consistency, overall plan legibility, clarity and coherence for future plan users.
4. It must be emphasised that the Hearing is not an opportunity to revisit matters on which submissions and evidence have already been heard.

## **Outstanding Submission Points**

5. A range of outstanding submission points related to the topics covered in Hearing Streams 1 to 5 remain to be heard, including those seeking relief that is either very general or is out of scope for the District Plan to address. In addition, a number of the outstanding submission points address matters that span across a number of hearing topics and District Plan issues (for example, definitions). A table setting out the identified outstanding submission points is attached to this Minute.
6. A s42A report will address those outstanding points, according to appropriate categories. Where there are duplicate or very similar submission points in the attached table to those that have been heard in previous streams, the s42A author should refer back to the relevant paragraphs in the s42A report or written reply where the point has been addressed.
7. In regard to definitions, the Panel is anticipating the reporting officer will bring together the final recommendations on definitions, including nesting tables that explain how subsidiary definitions are recommended to fit within primary definitions.

## **Plan Integration**

8. The Wrap-up Hearing will also address any matters of plan integration to determine whether any recommended changes to one part of the PDP require consequential changes to another part. It is also an opportunity to consider whether there are any outstanding consistency issues across the provisions that need to be resolve.
9. To this end, the Panel is providing submitters with an opportunity to raise any cross hearing matters (i.e., Hearing Streams 1 to 5) that they consider have not yet been fully addressed or need to be revisited in the light of subsequent hearings. Requests should be made to the Hearing Panel via the Hearings Administrator by 5pm on Monday 7

August 2023. The Hearing Panel will consider these requests and provide direction on which requests will be addressed at the Wrap-up Hearing by Wednesday 9 August 2023. This timetabling is intended to enable the reporting officer with sufficient time to address those matters.

10. Our discretion will on an 'exceptions only' basis and we will only accept requests if we are satisfied that the matter has not be fully addressed or that it is appropriate to reconsider for plan integration purposes.

### **Plan Consistency**

11. Many submitters have sought changes to policy wording and/or the rules that apply in many parts of the PDP. The extent of changes sought by submitters or recommended by the reporting officers has prompted the Hearing Panel to interrogate the extent to which language is used consistently across all chapters with and without potential changes applied. This question speaks to overall plan legibility, clarity and coherence for future plan users, and particularly applies to the 'policy language' used across the different hearing topics.
12. Ideally, a full audit of the language and terminology of the PDP would have been useful towards the end of the hearing process. However, the split of the PDP into hearings on ISPP and Schedule 1 provisions, with differing timeframes, prevents that from happening comprehensively at this stage.
13. However, the Panel want to better understand the consistency with which these and other commonly occurring directions correlate with the stringency of the rules that implement them – principally in terms of activity status, but also in terms of limits or constraints embedded in the rules and standards. Common policy language used throughout the plan includes (among others): "encourage"; "enable"; "allow"; "allow...provided that"; "provide for"; "provide for...where"; "provide for...having regard to"; "manage"; "require"; "only allow...where"; "avoid"; and "avoid...unless".
14. Further, some policies use inclusive language such as to "provide for" certain activities where they do not impact an identified area/feature of significance, whereas others use exceptional language, and seek to "discourage" or "avoid" certain activities unless it can be demonstrated they will not impact the area/feature of significance.
15. The policy language is important to provide 'line-of-sight' between policies and the rules that emanate from such policies, especially activity status. For example:
  - for activities subject to an "enable" policy direction, is there a corresponding permitted/controlled/restricted discretionary activity status in the relevant rules?
  - for all activities subject to an "only allow...where" direction, is the corresponding activity status discretionary?
  - for all activities subject to a "avoid ... unless" policy, is the corresponding activity status non-complying?
16. We are therefore seeking advice from the reporting officers on the Style protocols that have been applied in the development of the PDP, particularly in regard to policies directing the form of rules, and to indicate the rationale for where variations in the 'policy language' has occurred or is recommended to be made.


## Design Guides and Related Provisions

17. Part of the Wrap-up Hearing will be to hear the results of the further work undertaken by the Council on the Residential, Centres and Mixed Use, Heritage and Subdivision Design Guides in light of expert conferencing that has occurred since the conclusion of Hearing Stream 2, in accordance with the directions provided in Minutes 15, 23, and 24. This has included an independent review of the Design Guides by a small team of urban designers and planners.
18. The purpose of the review was to look at the structure of the guides, their usability, and the relevance and consistency of their content as it relates to the provisions of the Proposed District Plan, in response to the matters raised in submissions and in light of the outcomes of expert conferencing.
19. The Hearing Panel is directing that a s42A report be circulated prior to the Wrap-up Hearing that will outline the outcomes of the expert conferencing and the review of the Design Guides that has been undertaken. This report will be accompanied by a statement of evidence by the Council's expert urban design witness, Mr Farzad Zamani, and the set of revised Design Guides are to be appended to the s42A report, along with any Joint Witness or Expert Conferencing statements.
20. It is expected that the s42A report will outline the Council's current recommendations on the Design Guides as revised. A member of the independent Design Guide Review Team will be available to answer any questions on the process and methodology followed to revise the Design Guides.
21. The Hearing Panel anticipates the s42A report will also consider whether any changes should be made to other provisions of the PDP, such as policies and rules, to address the interconnections between the PDP and the Design Guides. The report should also address whether the Design Guides should remain a statutory part of the PDP.
22. On that point, in relation to the relief sought by submitters, the Hearing Panel have to determine whether the Design Guides should be recommended to be either –
  - Retained as notified; or
  - Retained subject to modifications; or
  - Deleted in their entirety.
23. If the option of deleting the Design Guides in their entirety or of removing them from the PDP is to be appropriately considered, the Hearing Panel anticipates that the s42A report will address what consequential changes would be recommended to be made to the provisions of the PDP (in particular, the relevant policies) to strengthen the Plan's direction on achieving good urban design outcomes or whether reliance should be made on the recommended changes sought by Kāinga Ora.
24. All submitters on any of these design guides or the relevant provisions of the PDP will have an opportunity to respond to the report at the Hearing, through either expert evidence, submitter statements or legal submissions.
25. The Hearing Panel again emphasise that the focus of submitters should be on the evaluation and recommendations of the s42A report rather than revisiting their original submissions or providing evidence that has already been heard.

## Timetabling

26. The Wrap-up Hearing on outstanding and plan integration matters is scheduled to start on 19 September 2023.
  27. Requests for plan integration matters to be heard are to be submitted by 5pm Monday 7 August 2023: the Hearing Panel will issue a direction on which plan integration matters are to be addressed at the hearing by 5pm Wednesday 9 August 2023.
  28. The S42A reports for this stream, together with any supporting evidence, are due to be circulated on 22 August 2023.
  29. Submitters should confirm their desire to be heard and request additional time if required (beyond the 20 minute default) by 1pm on 29 August 2023.
  30. Submitter expert evidence must be sent to the Hearings Co-ordinator by 1pm on 5 September 2023 for circulation.
  31. The Council's rebuttal evidence will be circulated by 12 September 2023.
  32. Legal submissions and lay presentations/evidence longer than three A4 pages should be sent to the Hearings Co-ordinator by 1pm on 15 September 2023.
  33. Applications to cross examine should also be lodged by 1pm on 15 September 2023.
- 

34. If you have any questions or concerns relating to this hearing, please contact our Hearings Co-ordinator at [jaskirat.kaur@wcc.govt.nz](mailto:jaskirat.kaur@wcc.govt.nz).



**Robert Schofield**  
**For the Wellington City Proposed District Plan Hearings Panel**

Dated: 27 July 2023

| Submitter Name                      | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision                                | Position        | Summary of Submission   | Decisions Requested  |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---|-----------------|---|--|
| Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | 70.5              | Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR | Support in part | Supports the definition but seeks amendment to improve the meaning of Point (f).  | Retain the definition of 'Maintenance and Repair' with amendment.  |
| Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | 70.6              | Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR | Amend           | Seeks amendment to improve the meaning of the definition.   | Amend the definition of 'Maintenance and Repair' as follows:<br>...<br>f. The affixing of scaffolding to a <u>heritage building</u> unless the work is reasonably required for health and safety.<br>...   |
| Historic Places Wellington          | 182.6             | Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR | Support in part | Supports clarification of rules for repair and maintenance of heritage buildings.   | Retain the definition of 'Maintenance and Repair' as notified.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]  |
| Wellington City Council             | 266.56            | Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR | Amend           | Considers the wording of this definition in relation to the modification, removal or replacement of windows is confusing and needs to be amended for clarity.   | Amend the definition of 'Maintenance and Repair' as follows:<br><br>(...) h. The modification, removal or replacement of windows (all joinery, including frames, sashes, sills, casements, mullions, glazing bars), except;<br>i. modifications as <u>necessary</u> to replace <del>an existing clear single glazed window pane with a clear double glazed pane.</del> a clear single glazed window pane of an existing window with a new window pane. |
| Transpower New Zealand Limited      | 315.23            | Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR | Support         | Considers that notwithstanding that the NES-ETA regulates maintenance (and by default repair) associated with existing National Grid lines, the definition of maintenance and repair is supported as it recognises activities associated with the ongoing operation of existing network utilities. However, clarification is sought as to how the rule is structured as it is unclear whether the opening clause a. and b. also apply to the INF chapter. | Retain the definition of 'Maintenance and Repair' as it relates to the Infrastructure (INF) chapter subject to amendment.  |
| Transpower New Zealand Limited      | 315.24            | Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR | Amend           | Considers that notwithstanding that the NES-ETA regulates maintenance (and by default repair) associated with existing National Grid lines, the definition of maintenance and repair is supported as it recognises activities associated with the ongoing operation of existing network utilities. However, clarification is sought as to how the rule is structured as it is unclear whether the opening clause a. and b. also apply to the INF chapter. | Amend the definition of 'Maintenance and Repair' as it relates to the Infrastructure (INF) chapter subject to clarification that clause a. and b. do not apply in the Infrastructure chapters.   |
| Waka Kotahi                         | 370.24            | Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR | Support         | Supports the definition of maintenance and repair.  | Retain the definition of 'Maintenance and Repair' as notified.   |
| CentrePort Limited                  | 402.16            | Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR | Support         | Support the intent of this definition.  | Retain the definition of 'Maintenance and Repair' as notified.   |
| KiwiRail Holdings Limited           | 408.8             | Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR | Support         | Supports the inclusion of any work or activity necessary to keep the operation or functioning of existing infrastructure, within this definition.   | Retain definition of MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR as notified.   |
| Wellington Heritage Professionals   | 412.17            | Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR | Amend           | Considers that the definition is very different to the one in the current District Plan and must ensure that heritage items are not able to be adversely affected using this definition.  | Amend the definition of 'Maintenance and repair' as follows:<br><br>Means:<br>...<br>(For the purposes of the HH-Historic heritage chapter)<br><br>In addition to the above, maintenance and repair of built heritage must not result in any of the following:<br><br><u>a. Demolition of a structural element</u><br><del>a. b.</del> Changes to the existing surface treatment of fabric;<br>....  |

| Submitter Name                          | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision                                | Position      | Summary of Submission  | Decisions Requested   |
|---|-------------------|---|---------------|--|---|
| Wellington Heritage Professionals       | 412.18            | Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR | Amend         | Considers that the definition should be made clearer e.g. what is meant by surface treatment?  | Clarify the definition, particularly the meaning of surface treatment.  |
| Wellington Heritage Professionals       | 412.19            | Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR | Amend         | Considers that double glazing should not be permitted as maintenance and repair and should instead be subject to the considerations of a resource consent process.<br><br>Identifies the approach of English Heritage.   | Seeks that the definition of 'maintenance and repair' is amended as follows:<br>...<br>(For the purposes of the HH-Historic heritage chapter)<br>In addition to the above, maintenance and repair of built heritage must not result in any of the following:<br>.....<br>h. The modification, removal or replacement of windows (all joinery, including frames, sashes, sills, casements, mullions, glazing bars), <del>except;</del><br><del>i. modifications as necessary to replace an existing clear single glazed window pane with a clear double glazed pane.</del> |
| Waka Kotahi                             | 370.36            | Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / STREETScape            | Support       | Supports the definition of streetscape.  | Retain the definition of 'Streetscape' as notified.   |
| Tyers Stream Group                      | 221.6             | Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / WATERBODY              | Not specified | Considers that it appears unclear whether Tyers Stream would be a 'waterbody' for the purpose of those provisions.   | Seeks that Tyers Stream from the junction of Delhi and Karachi Crescents is a 'waterbody' under the RMA definition.   |
| Grant Birkinshaw                        | 52.2              | Whole PDP / Whole PDP   | Oppose        | Considers that the District Plan Review is overly complex, and becomes less a document for the public use, and more one requiring professional (and expensive) advice to use.<br><br>The District Plan Review is a discouragement of public participation in what should be a democratic instrument. The evolution of District Plans has deteriorated to this extent and requires a fresh approach.  | Opposes the District Plan Review in its entirety.   |
| Grant Birkinshaw                        | 52.3              | Whole PDP / Whole PDP   | Amend         | Considers that the District Plan review, as notified, should be reassessed to become a more user friendly public document.   | Seeks that the District Plan Review process be reassessed to become a more user friendly public document.   |
| Airbnb                                  | 126.3             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP   | Support       | Supports the permitted status for visitor accommodation in all zones.  | Retain provisions providing for visitor accommodation as an Permitted Activity in the PDP as notified.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested].  |
| Airbnb                                  | 126.4             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP   | Not specified | Considers that home sharers must also be good neighbours and take the issues of managing wrongdoers seriously. This is why we are eager to work with governments and communities on policies that address amenity concerns and have supported frameworks to resolve issues.<br><br>[Refer to original submission for full reasons].  | Seeks that a standardised approach is utilised to assess impacts on amenity values from visitor accommodation activities.   |
| Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited | 139.1             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP   | Support       | Generally supports the aims of the PDP. In particular Precinct Properties supports the following features and objectives of the plan:<br>(a) the creation of well-functioning urban environments (consistent with the direction set out in the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 (NPSUD));<br>(b) the provision of sufficient development capacity to meet long term demands for housing and business land;<br>(c) the provision of a compact urban form and urban intensification; and<br>(d) the hierarchy of centres, and the recognition of the City Centre as the primary centre serving the wider Wellington region. | Not specified.  |

| Submitter Name                          | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision              | Position      | Summary of Submission   | Decisions Requested   |
|---|-------------------|---|---------------|---|---|
| Precinct Properties New Zealand Limited | 139.2             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | Considers that it is not appropriate that the Council's discretion is restricted to all matters in the Design Guide. This does not give any clear direction or certainty for applicants, and would be onerous for the preparation and assessment of resource consent applications. Rather than being formally incorporated into the District Plan, the design guides should be reference documents that sit outside the District Plan. Incorporating the design guides into the district plan elevates these provisions into the form of standards, rather than what they are intended to be as guidance. | Seeks that all direct references to the design guides in the Proposed District Plan provisions are deleted and replaced with references to the specific design outcomes that are being sought.        |
| Amos Mann                               | 172.8             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Not specified | Supports integrating circular economy principles into the District Plan.  | Seeks that waste is minimised and designed out of construction projects, and that resource recovery infrastructure is put in place to manage any remaining waste.                                     |
| Robert Murray                           | 213.2             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Oppose        | Opposes the entire PDP and its principles.<br><br>Considers that it's too long, over-complicated, and unintelligible. It leaves decisions upon unelected officials and the technology used is for experts which still gets it wrong.<br><br>[Refer to original submission for further reason]   | Seeks that the entire PDP is rewritten so that it's clear and intelligible to the average person.   |
| Robert Murray                           | 213.3             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]   | Seeks that the PDP provides rules rather than guidelines that comply with and support Councils principles.  |
| Robert Murray                           | 213.4             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Oppose        | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]   | Seeks that Council should provide services to the public first and put customer/user first.   |
| Lorraine and Richard Smith              | 230.3             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | Considers that the detailed provisions of the PDP should be evaluated against the newly suggested objectives to ensure that the Council's chosen methods are the best options to deliver the objectives of the plan and respect the wishes of the people of Wellington..  | Seeks that the PDP is evaluated against the newly suggested objectives.   |
| Go Media Ltd                            | 236.1             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]   | Seeks that the PDP is amended to give effect to any other elements of the submission that were not directly captured under their submission points.   |
| Alan Fairless                           | 242.5             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].  | Seeks that the detailed provisions of the District Plan be more rigorously tested against the objectives to ensure that chosen methods are the best options to deliver on the objectives of the Plan. |
| Wellington City Council                 | 266.1             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | Considers there are numbering errors and minor spelling errors that need to be resolved. This includes consistent use of numbering throughout plan i.e. matters of discretion use: a, b, c or i, ii, iii (as per the National Planning Standards).  | Seeks that consequential amendments are made to resolve numbering and minor spelling errors.  |
| Foodstuffs North Island                 | FS23.84           | General / Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Support       | Submission point 266.1 seeks to amend numbering and minor spelling errors. FSNI submission points 476.9 & 476.10 seek to make more consequential amendments.  | Allow   |
| Wellington City Council                 | 266.2             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | Considers consequential numbering changes need to be made for all inserted or deleted provisions.   | Seeks that consequential renumbering changes are made for all inserted or deleted provisions.   |
| Foodstuffs North Island                 | FS23.85           | General / Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Support       | Submission point 266.2 seeks to amend numbering and minor spelling errors. FSNI submission points 476.9 & 476.10 seek to make more consequential amendments.  | Allow   |
| Wellington City Council                 | 266.3             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | Considers that references to Mākara throughout the PDP are missing the macron above the 'a'.  | Seeks to amend all references of 'Makara' to 'Mākara'.  |
| Foodstuffs North Island                 | FS23.86           | General / Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Support       | Submission point 266.3 seeks to amend numbering and minor spelling errors. FSNI submission points 476.9 & 476.10 seek to make more consequential amendments.  | Allow   |



| Submitter Name                        | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision              | Position      | Summary of Submission  | Decisions Requested   |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---|---------------|--|---|
| Wellington City Council               | 266.5             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | Considers there are several words that are underline (i.e. have definitions) in the PDP but the link does not work (i.e. the definition pop-up does not appear).   | Amend the definition links so that the definition pop-up appears when the word is clicked for the following definitions throughout the ePlan:<br><br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Community Scale Natural Hazard Mitigation Structures</li> <li>- Customer Connection</li> <li>- Cut Height</li> <li>- Demolition</li> <li>- LAF(Max)</li> <li>- National Grid</li> <li>- National Grid Yard</li> <li>- Natural Hazard Mitigation Works</li> <li>- Trenching</li> </ul> |
| Foodstuffs North Island               | FS23.88           | General / Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Support       | Submission point 266.5 seeks to amend numbering and minor spelling errors. FSNI submission points 476.9 & 476.10 seek to make more consequential amendments.   | Allow   |
| Wellington City Council               | 266.6             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | Considers there are several definitions where the words in the PDP do not have a link (i.e. are not underlined) to click to see the definition pop-up.   | Amend PDP by adding a definition link for the following words throughout the ePlan:<br><br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Architectural Feature</li> <li>- Design Speed</li> <li>- Environment</li> <li>- K Value</li> <li>- LA90</li> <li>- Marina Facilities</li> <li>- R Value</li> <li>- Radiocommunication</li> <li>- Reclamation</li> <li>- Temporary Sign</li> <li>- Wetland</li> </ul>  |
| New Zealand Motor Caravan Association | 314.1             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | Considers that the importance of camping should be explicitly recognised in the PDP, in line with Section 5 of the Resource Management Act 1991. In particular, camping enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being.<br><br>Refer to original submission for full reasons. | Seeks that camping be recognised in the Proposed District Plan as an important activity.  |
| New Zealand Motor Caravan Association | 314.2             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | Considers that the PDP should explicitly exempt freedom camping in all zones to ensure that this is not inadvertently caught by 'catch-all rules', for example NOSZ-R11.<br><br>Considers freedom camping should instead be managed through the Council's bylaw.   | Seeks an exemption for freedom camping in the Proposed District Plan on the basis that this is dealt with through the Council bylaw(s).   |
| Mt Victoria Residents' Association    | 342.8             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | Considers that investing in the infrastructure and open space improvements are great ideas. We'd like to see this activity extended to all suburbs, and not limited to Mt Cook and Newtown only.   | Seeks more infrastructure and open space improvements in all suburbs.   |
| Greater Wellington Regional Council   | 351.6             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | Inconsistent and incorrect reference to the regional plan.   | Seeks to ensure consistent reference to the regional plan throughout. By the time decisions are made on the Proposed District Plan (PDP), the regional plan will be operative so should be referred to as the 'Natural Resources Plan'.   |
| Greater Wellington Regional Council   | 351.7             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | Inconsistent and incorrect reference to the Regional Policy Statement.   | Seeks to ensure consistent reference to, "the Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region".   |
| Inner City Wellington                 | 352.1             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Not specified | Notes that the Proposed District Plan offers improvements in clarity and consistency over the Operative District Plan.   | Not specified.  |
| Elizabeth Nagel                       | 368.5             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].   | Seeks that the detailed provisions of the District Plan be more rigorously tested against the objectives to ensure that chosen methods are the best options to deliver on the objectives of the Plan.   |
| Southern Cross Healthcare Limited     | 380.3             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | Considers that of 'Health care facility' and 'Healthcare facility' should be used consistently within the Proposed District Plan   | Seeks that either HEALTH CARE FACILITY or HEALTHCARE FACILITY is used consistently in the Proposed District Plan.   |
| Southern Cross Healthcare Limited     | 380.4             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | Seeks for the names of other zones to be stated in full. It is unclear which zone 'HRZ' refers to.   | Seeks that names of zones within the Proposed District Plan be represented by their full names, rather than acronyms.   |

| Submitter Name  | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision              | Position        | Summary of Submission   | Decisions Requested  |
|---|-------------------|---|-----------------|---|--|
| Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities  | 391.6             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Support in part | The inclusion of notification preclusions for restricted discretionary activities across the plan are supported, as this creates certainty to the development market. Further amendments are sought.  | Supports the preclusion of public notification for activities under Restricted Discretionary status.   |
| Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities  | 391.8             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend           | Considers that limited notification preclusions should apply where effects are limited to the site being developed, such as outdoor living space infringements.   | Seeks that the preclusion of limited notification is applied beyond a development site, for breaches such as outdoor living space infringements. |
| Stride Investment Management Limited  | FS107.35          | General / Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Support         | Stride supports these submission points for the reasons provided by the primary submitter. Stride supports precluding notification where it is unlikely to be helpful to the decision-maker (for example, where the consent breach is of a technical nature and any effects are likely to be limited to the subject site or identified surrounding sites).  | Allow  |
| Investore Property Limited  | FS108.35          | General / Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Support         | Investore supports these submission points for the reasons provided by the primary submitter. Investore supports precluding notification where it is unlikely to be helpful to the decision-maker (for example, where the consent breach is of a technical nature and any effects are likely to be limited to the subject site or identified surrounding sites).  | Allow  |
| Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities  | 391.12            | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend           | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].  | Remove reference of Demolition throughout the PDP.   |
| Thorndon Residents' Association Inc   | FS69.17           | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Oppose          | Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities wishes to remove reference of Demolition throughout the PDP.<br><br>TRA are mindful that the greenest buildings are those timber buildings that are already built. There's an accumulative benefit from not demolishing older native timber buildings which have low carbon emissions instead of constructing new buildings using materials (such as concrete and steel) with significant whole of life carbon emissions.  | Disallow   |
| Ministry of Education   | 400.2             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend           | Seeks that explicit provision is given to educational facilities throughout the urban environment to enable the submitter to manage the impacts of growth and development on educational facilities, in particular impacts on school capacity. The submitter considers that providing for educational facilities in Wellington through the strategic policy framework will support the provision of new and expansion of existing educational facilities in the Wellington region.  | Seeks that educational facilities are enabled as part of urban growth and development and are considered in any zoning changes made.             |
| Oyster Management Limited   | 404.1             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Support in part | Supports the Proposed Plan in part.   | Not specified.   |
| Investore Property Limited  | 405.1             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Support         | Generally supports the aims of the Proposed Plan.   | Not specified.   |
| Wellington International Airport Ltd  | 406.12            | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Not specified   | Considers that, without the proposed amendments specified within the submitters submission:<br><br>- The Proposed Plan will not promote the sustainable management or efficient use and development of natural and physical resources;<br>- The Proposed Plan is not the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the RMA, particularly when having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means;<br>- The Proposed Plan does not appropriately fulfil the requirements of section 32 of the RMA, particularly in terms of evaluation the costs of implementing the provisions under section 32(2)(a); and<br>- The Proposed Plan does not represent sound resource management practice particularly with respect to planning for Wellington International Airport, as regionally significant infrastructure. | Not specified.   |
| Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3 | FS139.12          | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Support         | Support WAIL's submission for the reasons set out in WAIL's submission.   | Allow  |
| Cheryl Robilliard   | 409.5             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Support in part | Supports overall direction of the plan  | Not specified  |

| Submitter Name  | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision              | Position | Summary of Submission   | Decisions Requested  |
|---|-------------------|---|----------|---|--|
| Josephine Smith   | 419.3             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend    | Considers that Wellingtonians relish the challenge of working together, and that some suburbs are taking the lead in rethinking their areas. This creates a sense of community and enhances democracy.<br><br>Supports participatory design projects with clear targets, so communities are involved.<br><br>[See original submission for full reasons]   | Seeks that the Proposed District Plan is amended to make greater provision for limited notification (as opposed to non-notification) in relation to light, shading, privacy and wind effects so as to enable and support fair and reasonable compromises between neighbours. |
| Lower Kelburn Neighbourhood Group                               | FS123.32          | General / Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Support  | Considers that the submission requests notification for high rise building effects on surrounding housing with regard to sunlight, shade, wind and more, and that such notification is essential for neighbourhoods to thrive, for community relations to be good and citizenry to be involved in their community.  | Allow / Seeks that council instate notification procedures as requested.   |
| The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated | FS126.112         | General / Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Oppose   | The RVA oppose the relief sought in this submission as it is inconsistent with the RVA's primary submission and the Enabling Housing Act, and contrary to the purpose of the NPSUD.   | Disallow   |
| Ryman Healthcare Limited  | FS128.112         | General / Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Oppose   | Ryman oppose the relief sought in this submission as it is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission and the Enabling Housing Act, and contrary to the purpose of the NPSUD.   | Disallow   |
| The Urban Activation Lab of Red Design Architects               | 420.2             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend    | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]  | Seeks that the Proposed District Plan needs to be amended to make greater provision for limited notification (as opposed to non-notification) in relation to light so as to enable and support fair and reasonable compromises between neighbours.                           |
| Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities                              | FS89.161          | Whole PDP                                   | Oppose   | Kāinga Ora opposes submission point 420.2 in part to the extent that it is inconsistent with the Kāinga Ora submission.   | Disallow   |
| Historic Places Wellington Inc                                  | FS111.49          | General / Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Support  | HPW supports the implementation of a sensible plan for revitalisation in Newtown heritage shopping area including provision of additional housing at scale, while also protecting the heritage shop frontages. Considers that this plan retains heritage features (important for stepping back taller buildings from the narrow street to retain street level public amenity) but allows for desirable intensification. | Allow  |
| Lower Kelburn   | FS123.28          | General / Whole PDP /                       | Support  | Considers that the submission requests notification for high rise building effects on surrounding   | Allow / Seeks that council instate notification procedures as requested.   |
| The Urban Activation Lab of Red Design Architects               | 420.3             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend    | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]  | Seeks that the Proposed District Plan needs to be amended to make greater provision for limited notification (as opposed to non-notification) in relation to shading so as to enable and support fair and reasonable compromises between neighbours.                         |
| Historic Places Wellington Inc                                  | FS111.50          | General / Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Support  | HPW supports the implementation of a sensible plan for revitalisation in Newtown heritage shopping area including provision of additional housing at scale, while also protecting the heritage shop frontages. Considers that this plan retains heritage features (important for stepping back taller buildings from the narrow street to retain street level public amenity) but allows for desirable intensification. | Allow  |
| Lower Kelburn Neighbourhood Group                               | FS123.29          | General / Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Support  | Considers that the submission requests notification for high rise building effects on surrounding housing with regard to sunlight, shade, wind and more, and that such notification is essential for neighbourhoods to thrive, for community relations to be good and citizenry to be involved in their community.  | Allow / Seeks that council instate notification procedures as requested.   |
| The Urban Activation Lab of Red Design Architects               | 420.4             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend    | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]  | Seeks that the Proposed District Plan needs to be amended to make greater provision for limited notification (as opposed to non-notification) in relation to privacy so as to enable and support fair and reasonable compromises between neighbours.                         |
| Historic Places Wellington Inc                                  | FS111.51          | General / Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Support  | HPW supports the implementation of a sensible plan for revitalisation in Newtown heritage shopping area including provision of additional housing at scale, while also protecting the heritage shop frontages. Considers that this plan retains heritage features (important for stepping back taller buildings from the narrow street to retain street level public amenity) but allows for desirable intensification. | Allow  |
| Lower Kelburn Neighbourhood Group                               | FS123.30          | General / Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Support  | Considers that the submission requests notification for high rise building effects on surrounding housing with regard to sunlight, shade, wind and more, and that such notification is essential for neighbourhoods to thrive, for community relations to be good and citizenry to be involved in their community.  | Allow / Seeks that council instate notification procedures as requested.   |

| Submitter Name                                    | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision              | Position      | Summary of Submission   | Decisions Requested  |
|---|-------------------|---|---------------|---|--|
| The Urban Activation Lab of Red Design Architects | 420.8             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Not specified | Supports the Newtown Residents Association submission.  | Not specified.   |
| Historic Places Wellington Inc                    | FS111.55          | General / Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Support       | HPW supports the implementation of a sensible plan for revitalisation in Newtown heritage shopping area including provision of additional housing at scale, while also protecting the heritage shop frontages. Considers that this plan retains heritage features (important for stepping back taller buildings from the narrow street to retain street level public amenity) but allows for desirable intensification.   | Allow  |
| The Urban Activation Lab of Red Design Architects | 420.9             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Not specified | Supports the submission of LIVE Wellington.   | Not specified.   |
| Historic Places Wellington Inc                    | FS111.56          | General / Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Support       | HPW supports the implementation of a sensible plan for revitalisation in Newtown heritage shopping area including provision of additional housing at scale, while also protecting the heritage shop frontages. Considers that this plan retains heritage features (important for stepping back taller buildings from the narrow street to retain street level public amenity) but allows for desirable intensification.   | Allow  |
| New Zealand Defence Force                         | 423.5             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | Considers that NZDF facilities are in many district plans throughout the country (including the Proposed Selwyn District Plan and the Auckland Unitary Plan Operative in Part).   | Seeks that any existing and future defence facilities in Wellington City are recognised and provided for in the Proposed District Plan.              |
| Fabric Property Limited                           | 425.2             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Support       | Supports the aims of the Proposed District Plan. In particular submitter supports the following features and objectives of the plan:<br><br>(a) the creation of well-functioning urban environments (consistent with the direction set out in the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 (NPSUD));<br><br>(b) the provision of sufficient development capacity to meet long term demands for housing and business land;<br><br>(c) the provision of a compact urban form and urban intensification; and<br><br>(d) the hierarchy of centres, and the recognition of the City Centre as the primary centre serving the wider Wellington region.   | Not specified.   |
| Paul M Blaschke                                   | 435.2             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | considers that Design Guides could have little effect on development in the City due to the fact much of the intensification building that will take place will not be subject to resource consents under the RMA. The use of the relevant PDP and other guidelines should be promoted as best practice and where possible incentivised through relevant policy provisions in the PDP. Such provisions may help enable the design objectives sought in the PDP and the guidelines, through market forces.<br><br>It is finally noted that MfE guidelines on the Resource Management Enabling Act also refers to Design Guide guidelines, stating "See the non-statutory national medium density design guide which encourages high-quality and well-functioning design for residential developments that are permitted under the MDRS. This is for voluntary use alongside any design guidance territorial authorities use to assess development that requires resource consent." | Seeks that relevant sections of the PDP be amended to promote the use of the Subdivision and other Guidelines as best practice.                      |
| Michelle Rush                                     | 436.1             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Amend         | Considers that there should be more local provision for recycling and reuse including collection and sorting facilities, in line with Council's signalling of moves to a circular economy. Currently, such activities fall within the definition of heavy industry, and aren't easily provided for at local level. Local recycling facilities should be enabled at neighbourhood or local centre scale as part of supporting a circular economy.  | Seeks that the plan provisionally enable the collection and processing of recycled waste at smaller scale, in more places, as a controlled activity. |
| Dale Mary McTavish                                | 448.3             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP           | Oppose        | Opposes the Proposed District Plan in its current form.   | Seeks that Draft District Plan be reinstated.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]   |

| Submitter Name  | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision    | Position        | Summary of Submission  | Decisions Requested   |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--|---|
| John Wilson   | 453.1             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Amend           | Considers that the extent of the zones should be clearly defined, e.g. by lines on a map. If defined by distance from the centre point, this should be defined in terms of distance from the centre point. Not in terms of time eg say five or ten minutes walk from the centre of the zone as this requires a subjective interpretation of how far and how fast a typical pedestrian could walk.  | Seeks to clarify how zones are defined in terms of distance from the centre point compared to time in minutes walked.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]  |
| Stride Investment Management Limited                                  | 470.1             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Support in part | Generally supportive of the aims of the proposed plan. The following features and objectives are supported:<br>- the creation of well functioning urban environments.<br>- the provision of sufficient development capacity.<br>- the provision of a compact urban form and urban intensification.<br>- the provision of a range of commercial and mixed-use environments.<br>- the hierarchy of centres.<br>- recognition of Johnsonville as a Metroplitan Centre.<br>- the provision for six storey residential development in the wider Johnsonville catchment.   | Not specified.  |
| Ben Barrett   | 479.6             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Not specified   | Submitter wishes to second James Coyle's DP submission.  | Supports James Coyle's submission.<br><br>[Refer to submission 307]   |
| Ben Barrett   | 479.7             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Not specified   | Seeks to see more inclusion of the lessons learnt form urban planning around the globe.  | Seeks to see more inclusion of the lessons learnt form urban planning around the globe within the plan.   |
| Ben Barrett   | 479.8             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Not specified   | Considers that is there is very little detail in the DP to prevent poor quality outcomes that meet minimum criteria in planning and consenting phase.<br><br>Considers that Wellington is at a crucial juncture between needing to rapidly modernise and build more densely, but being in danger of developing over all that makes Wellington a vibrant city, a cultural centre, and a great place to live. What we do has to be of higher quality. To minimise emissions over the longterm we also need to significantly improve the quality of urban planning and building performance.  | Seeks that there is greater detail in the DP to prevent poor quality outcomes that meet minimum criteria in planning and consenting phase. [Inferred decision requested]  |
| Ben Barrett   | 479.9             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Not specified   | Submitter considers that Wellington needs to become denser, and this can happen with carefully considered urban form that relates to the existing surrounding structures, culture and community.<br><br>Submitter considers that the District Plan does not do this and needs to better encourage the quality of urban form to be highest possible. For example, if we do not consider embodied energy of urban form and building stock, we will not achieve our cities low emissions goals.<br><br>To meet Climate goals and resource conservation goals, all new urban form needs to be build to last 100 year plus. If we're planning to build for 15 or 20 years, this will not meet the needs of future generations, it will burden them with much higher re-development cost and unnecessarily force more emissions into the atmosphere. | Seeks that the Proposed District Plan should better encourage the quality of urban form with density.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]  |
| Ben Barrett   | 479.19            | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Amend           | [Refer to original submission for full reason]   | Seeks that the District Plan needs to:<br><br>a) support safe attractive walking corridors;<br>b) with food growing that is cared for by Council staff.   |
| House Movers Section of the New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Inc | 485.1             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Amend           | Considers that the regulatory controls in the District Plan need to properly reflect the purpose and intentions of the RMA 1991 as expressed in the decision of the Environment Court in New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Inc v The Central Otago District Council (Environment Court, C45/2004, Thompson EJ presiding). In that decision the Environment Court held that there was no real difference in effect and amenity value terms between the in situ construction of a new dwelling and relocation of a second-hand dwelling, subject to appropriate permitted activity performance standards.  | Seeks that the regulatory controls in the District Plan properly reflect the purpose and intentions of the RMA 1991 as expressed in the decision of the Environment Court in New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Inc v The Central Otago District Council (Environment Court, C45/2004, Thompson EJ presiding). |
| House Movers Section of the New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Inc | 485.2             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Support         | Supports (in general) the change to enable the relocation of buildings as a permitted activity status for those applications involving relocated buildings that meet performance standards and criteria, as set out in the submission's attachment Schedule 1.<br><br>[Refer to original submission for Schedule 1 attachment].  | Retain approach of the plan that relocated buildings are not treated differently to those constructed on site.  |

| Submitter Name  | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision    | Position      | Summary of Submission   | Decisions Requested  |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---|--|
| House Movers Section of the New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Inc | 485.3             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Support       | Supports the WCC retaining a degree of control over relocated buildings through the use of performance/permitted activity standards.                        | Retain approach of the plan that relocated buildings are not treated differently to those constructed on site. |
| House Movers Section of the New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Inc | 485.4             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP | Support       | Supports Restricted Discretionary activity status for relocated buildings that do not meet the Permitted Activity status standards.                         | Retain approach of the plan that relocated buildings are not treated differently to those constructed on site. |
| Kay Larsen  | 447.1             | Other / Other / Other             | Not specified | Considers that summary of submission on Draft District Plan was insufficient.   | Not specified.   |
| Simon Cocks   | 20.1              | Other / Other / Other             | Not specified | Downtown Wellington is unsafe.<br>Poneke Promise is insufficient.<br>[refer to original submission for full reason]   | Seeks that the Council improve public safety in Wellington.  |
| Simon Cocks   | 20.2              | Other / Other / Other             | Not specified | Wellington Water lacks competency and accountability.<br>[refer to original submission for full reason]   | Seeks better governance and accountability for Wellington Water.   |
| Simon Cocks   | 20.3              | Other / Other / Other             | Not specified | Social housing is not a core competency of council.<br>Private organisations administer this role better.<br>[refer to original submission for full reason] | Seeks that the Council exits its role as a social housing provider.  |
| Simon Cocks   | 20.4              | Other / Other / Other             | Not specified | WCC is unable to successfully manage basic infrastructure assets.<br>[refer to original submission for full reason]   | Seeks that the Council supports the Three Waters proposal.   |
| Simon Cocks   | 20.5              | Other / Other / Other             | Not specified | Buses in Northland don't come frequently enough.  | Seeks that the Council improve Northland suburb public transport by increasing frequency.                      |
| Simon Cocks   | 20.6              | Other / Other / Other             | Not specified | Risk of bike theft in the city reduces incentive to use cycleways.<br>[refer to original submission for full reason]  | Seeks that the Council take measures to reduce bike theft in the city.   |
| Sharon Grealley   | 29.1              | Other / Other / Other             | Not specified | [No reasons given other than decision]  | Supports Mount Victoria Historical Society's submission.<br>[refer to submission 214]                          |
| Grant Birkinshaw  | 52.1              | Other / Other / Other             | Not specified | Considers that the submission form for public consultation does not provide the detail of what is written when printed out.                                 | Not specified.   |
| James Barber  | 56.1              | Other / Other / Other             | Amend         | Property developers will benefit most from densification and should contribute.<br>Civic spaces are much needed with densification.                         | Seeks that a levy is introduced on property developers to contribute to civic spaces with densification.       |
| Tim Bright  | 75.1              | Other / Other / Other             | Not specified | Submission is made in conjunction with the Wellington Historical Society Documents  | [Not specified]  |
| Amanda Wang   | 93.1              | Other / Other / Other             | Not specified | Concerned about rates.<br>Refer to original submission for further detail]  | [Not specified]  |
| Susan Birch   | 94.1              | Other / Other / Other             | Not specified | Concerned about rates.<br>Refer to original submission for further detail]  | [Not specified]  |
| John Liu  | 95.1              | Other / Other / Other             | Not specified | Concerned about rates.<br>Refer to original submission for further detail]  | [Not specified]  |
| Interprofessional Trust   | 96.1              | Other / Other / Other             | Not specified | Considers that owners wanting to use neighbour's land for daylighting purposes should be able to do so by private treaty.                                   | [Not specified]  |
| Tim Brown   | 97.1              | Other / Other / Other             | Not specified | Considers that Mayor Foster and CEO should resign for the stale state of the city.  | Seeks that Mayor Foster and CEO resign.  |

| Submitter Name  | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision | Position      | Summary of Submission   | Decisions Requested  |
|---|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|---|--|
| Oliver Sangster   | 112.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Considers that Johnsonville Mall and the surrounding carparks is highly inefficient and that it has huge development potential as flat sunny land right next to the Johnsonville railway, numerous open space parks and Waitohi library.  | Seeks that the Council consult with Kainga Ora and the Ministry of Housing and Urban Development over the use of powers under the Urban Development Act 2020 to acquire the Johnsonville Mall site in the event that development of the site does not occur. |
| Oliver Sangster   | 112.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Amend         | Considers that the road is steep and vehicles frequently speed.<br><br>Has witnessed a number of vehicle crashes taking place on this road.<br><br>Considers that an increase in vehicle traffic from the Upper Stebbings/Glenside West Development area is likely to increase crashes creating more hazards for road users and pedestrians.  | Seeks that the Council consider methods to reduce traffic speed down Westchester Drive between Melksham Drive and Middleton Rd roundabout.   |
| Candy Cheung  | 115.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Oppose        | Submission in opposition - no details supplied.   | Not specified.   |
| Summerset Group Holdings Limited                        | 118.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Supports the submission of the Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand in its entirety.  | Supports the Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand submission in its entirety.  |
| Victoria University of Wellington Students' Association | 123.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Considers that the protection of heritage buildings, character housing, private space, skylines, and aesthetics should not compromise the more important functions of the city.<br><br>Student's sense of place in Pōneke Wellington is determined by our ability to live here well, and what we're able to do here. The vibrancy, accessibility and functionality of the city are some of its most important aspects for students. | Seeks that the City's "identity" is promoted through prioritising affordability, accessibility, well-being, functionality, arts, nature, and public space.   |
| Victoria University of Wellington Students' Association | 123.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Supports actions taken by the PDP to support the WCC's Te Atakura - First to Zero policy.   | Not specified.   |
| Victoria University of Wellington Students' Association | 123.3             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Considers that climate resilience should require a holistic approach.   | Seeks that housing and city areas should have a people-centred design.   |
| Airbnb  | 126.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Considers that local districts and councils should take the opportunity to support efforts to streamline and simplify Residential Visitor Accommodation regulation at the central government level.<br><br>[Refer to original submission for full reasons].   | Seeks that local districts and councils take the opportunity to support efforts to streamline and simplify Residential Visitor Accommodation regulation at the central government level.   |
| Airbnb  | 126.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Considers that consistency for guests and hosts is important and that a national approach is the most effective way to address these concerns.<br><br>The NSW Code of Conduct is an example of a standardised approach with a robust compliance and enforcement mechanism.<br><br>[Refer to original submission for full reasons].  | Seeks that a similar mechanism to the NSW Code of Conduct is employed as part of a national framework.   |
| Zoe Ogilvie-Burns                                       | 131.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Amend         | Considers that changes should be made that actively support and do not don't undermine the better places created by more density done well and proximity to daily amenities.  | Seeks changes to the Council's Network Operating Framework, Parking Policies, street maintenance systems.  |
| Zoe Ogilvie-Burns                                       | 131.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Considers that greater resourcing is needed to implement the District Plan.   | Seeks greater resourcing of Council's planning and consent enforcing teams over road maintenance.  |
| Zoe Ogilvie-Burns                                       | 131.3             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].  | Seeks that combined / pooled resources for consenting, design review, and other permitting functions are established that mean multiple small councils can enjoy high-calibre people and economies of scale.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]            |
| Anne Lian   | 132.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Considers that greater resourcing is needed to implement the District Plan.   | Seeks greater resourcing of Council's planning and consent enforcing teams over road maintenance.  |
| Anne Lian   | 132.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].  | Seeks that combined / pooled resources for consenting, design review, and other permitting functions are established that mean multiple small councils can enjoy high-calibre people and economies of scale.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]            |
| Ingo Schommer   | 133.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Amend         | Considers that changes should be made that actively support, and definitely don't undermine, the better places created by more density done well and proximity to daily amenities.  | Seeks changes to the Council's Network Operating Framework, Parking Policies, street maintenance systems.  |

| Submitter Name                                | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision  | Position      | Summary of Submission   | Decisions Requested  |
|---|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---|--|
| Ingo Schommer                                 | 133.2             | Other / Other / Other           | Support       | Considers that greater resourcing is needed to implement the District Plan.   | Seeks greater resourcing of Council's planning and consent enforcing teams over road maintenance.  |
| Ingo Schommer                                 | 133.3             | Other / Other / Other           | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].  | Seeks that combined / pooled resources for consenting, design review, and other permitting functions are established that mean multiple small councils can enjoy high-calibre people and economies of scale.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]. |
| Olivier Reuland                               | 134.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Amend         | Considers that changes should be made that actively support, and definitely don't undermine, the better places created by more density done well and proximity to daily amenities.  | Seeks changes to the Council's Network Operating Framework, Parking Policies, street maintenance systems.  |
| Olivier Reuland                               | 134.2             | Other / Other / Other           | Support       | Considers that greater resourcing is needed to implement the District Plan.   | Seeks greater resourcing of Council's planning and consent enforcing teams over road maintenance.  |
| Olivier Reuland                               | 134.3             | Other / Other / Other           | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].  | Seeks that combined / pooled resources for consenting, design review, and other permitting functions are established that mean multiple small councils can enjoy high-calibre people and economies of scale.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]  |
| Grant Buchan                                  | 143.2             | Other / Other / Other           | Support       | Supports the Generation Zero submission in its entirety.  | Not specified. (Submission 254 Generation Zero Inc.)   |
| Grant Buchan                                  | 143.3             | Other / Other / Other           | Support       | Supports the A City For People submission in its entirety.  | Not specified.   |
| Braydon White                                 | 146.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Amend         | Considers that changes should be made that actively support, and definitely don't undermine, the better places created by more density done well and proximity to daily amenities.  | Seeks changes to the Council's Network Operating Framework, Parking Policies, street maintenance systems.  |
| Braydon White                                 | 146.2             | Other / Other / Other           | Support       | Considers that greater resourcing is needed to implement the PDP.   | Seeks greater resourcing of Council's planning and consent enforcing teams over road maintenance.  |
| Braydon White                                 | 146.3             | Other / Other / Other           | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].  | Seeks that combined / pooled resources for consenting, design review, and other permitting functions are established that mean multiple small councils can enjoy high-calibre people and economies of scale.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]. |
| Matthew Gibbons                               | 148.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Not specified | Considers that the submissions process favours established Wellington residents who have time to wade through a long District plan, and who don't personally experience most of the disadvantages of not allowing densification.  | Not specified.   |
| Dr Briar E R Gordon and Dr Lyndsay G M Gordon | 156.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Support       | Supports the Hon Sir Douglas White submission in its entirety.  | Supports the Hon Sir Douglas White submission in its entirety.<br>[Refer to submission 287]  |
| Thorndon Residents' Association Inc           | FS69.66           | General / Other / Other / Other | Support       | These submissions align with the Association's submissions for the Hobson precinct, the Portland/Hawkestone precinct, and the Selwyn precinct.  | Allow  |
| Sophie Kahn                                   | 161.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Not specified | Considers that commissioners must be capable of considering and assessing the Jewish perspective.   | Seeks that a commissioner capable of understanding a Jewish perspective be appointed to the hearings panel for the Proposed District Plan.   |
| Ian Attwood                                   | FS16.10           | Other / Other / Other           | Support       | The Jewish perspective is very relevant to the historical contexts of the building the Khan House.<br><br>It is well-documented in several sources but is not perse illuminated in the house's architecture.<br><br>It is also a very sensitive 'context', and the Kahn family and Jewish community should have the sole right to determine how they wish to manage the home's heritage and future. | Allow / Seeks that Kahn House to be wholly removed from Schedule 1 'Heritage Buildings'.   |
| Sarah Cutten and Matthew Keir                 | FS91.25           | Other / Other / Other           | Support       | The further submitter is supportive of the submission and believe the same right should apply to all cultures and the Jewish community should choose how their heritage is protected.<br><br>{See original further submission for full reason}.   | Allow / Seeks that the Council engage with cultural groups with respect to their heritage, and the protection of it, rather than deciding for them or dictating to them how it will be protected.  |
| Trelissick Park Group                         | 168.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Not specified | Considers that with housing intensification and more extreme weather events due to climate change, the problems caused by stormwater in Trelissick Park are becoming worse.<br><br>[Refer to original submission for full reasons].   | Not specified.   |
| Amos Mann                                     | 172.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Not specified | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].  | Seeks that lifts in multi-storey developments are incentivised.  |



| Submitter Name                 | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision | Position      | Summary of Submission   | Decisions Requested  |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|---|--|
| Amos Mann                      | 172.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].  | Seeks that the Council works with central government to improve accessibility and building performance requirements in the Building Code.  |
| Amos Mann                      | 172.3             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].  | Seeks that WCC work with Waka Kotahi to make a more liveability-focused and climate-focused road and street network, especially where intensification is happening.  |
| Amos Mann                      | 172.4             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Considers that greater resourcing is needed to implement the plan.  | Seeks greater resourcing of Council's planning and consent enforcing teams over road maintenance.  |
| Amos Mann                      | 172.5             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].  | Seeks that combined / pooled resources for consenting, design review, and other permitting functions are established that mean multiple small councils can enjoy high-calibre people and economies of scale.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]. |
| Patrick Wilkes                 | 173.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Considers that changes should be made that actively support, and definitely don't undermine, the better places created by more density done well and proximity to daily amenities.  | Seeks changes to the Council's Network Operating Framework, Parking Policies, street maintenance systems.  |
| Patrick Wilkes                 | 173.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Considers that greater resourcing is needed to implement the plan.  | Seeks greater resourcing of Council's planning and consent enforcing teams over road maintenance.  |
| Patrick Wilkes                 | 173.3             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].  | Seeks that combined / pooled resources for consenting, design review, and other permitting functions are established that mean multiple small councils can enjoy high-calibre people and economies of scale.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]. |
| Patrick Wilkes                 | 173.4             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Considers this important so that people don't need to drive to stations, nor traverse inhospitable park-and-rides once they get there.  | Seeks that universal accessibility, and active and sustainable travel be prioritised for access to public transport.   |
| Kane Morison and Jane Williams | 176.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Supports the report 'Planning for Residential Amenity' by Boffa Miskell as it relates to its comments on boundary setbacks: 'it is common for a side, rear or front boundary set back to provide space between buildings. Set-backs can be used to provide a degree of privacy separation between adjoining buildings, allow site access/circulation or to address scale/dominance of buildings in relation to one another. Set backs in the order of 1-3m are common'. | Not specified.   |
| Pete Gent                      | 179.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Amend         | Considers that changes should be made that actively support, and definitely don't undermine, the better places created by more density done well and proximity to daily amenities.  | Seeks changes to the Council's Network Operating Framework, Parking Policies, street maintenance systems.  |
| Pete Gent                      | 179.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Considers that greater resourcing is needed to implement the plan.  | Seeks greater resourcing of Council's planning and consent enforcing teams over road maintenance.  |
| Pete Gent                      | 179.3             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].  | Seeks that combined / pooled resources for consenting, design review, and other permitting functions are established that mean multiple small councils can enjoy high-calibre people and economies of scale.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]. |
| James Harris                   | 180.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Amend         | Considers that changes should be made that actively support, and definitely don't undermine, the better places created by more density done well and proximity to daily amenities.  | Seeks changes to the Council's Network Operating Framework, Parking Policies, street maintenance systems.  |
| James Harris                   | 180.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Considers that state highways operated by Waka Kotahi should respond to city council needs so that (for example) they support cycleways and other traffic intersections with local roads.   | Seeks that state highways operated by Waka Kotahi should respond to city council needs.  |
| James Harris                   | 180.3             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Considers that greater resourcing is needed to implement the plan.  | Seeks greater resourcing of Council's planning and consent enforcing teams over road maintenance.  |
| James Harris                   | 180.4             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].  | Seeks that combined / pooled resources for consenting, design review, and other permitting functions are established that mean multiple small councils can enjoy high-calibre people and economies of scale.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]. |
| Historic Places Wellington     | 182.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Supports submission from Wellington Heritage Professionals. [refer to submission 412]   | Supports the Wellington Heritage Professionals submission on the PDP. [Refer to submission 412]  |
| Ros Bignell                    | 186.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Supports the Newtown Character Protection Group submission in its entirety.   | Not specified.   |

| Submitter Name  | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision | Position      | Summary of Submission  | Decisions Requested  |
|---|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| Claire Nolan, James Fraser, Margaret Franken, Bidy Bunzel, Michelle Wooland, Lee Muir | FS68.20           | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Supports submission seeking that character protections should extend to Lawrence Street, Newtown.  | Allow  |
| Jonothan and Tricia Briscoe   | 190.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Supports the Mount Victoria Historical Society Submission.   | Supports the Mount Victoria Historical Society Submission.<br>[Refer to submission 214]  |
| Chris Howard  | 192.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Oppose        | Opposes changes to the RMA, considering it a blanket approach to densification and over simplistic.<br><br>Considers that densification for Wellington needs to be highly tailored to the city's existing and considerable widespread special character.   | Not specified.   |
| Chris Howard  | 192.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Concerned by the level of polarisation that is evident in the housing debate.  | Not specified.   |
| Chris Howard  | 192.3             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Considers that decision making needs to be take a balanced view, considering the nuanced benefits of how much-needed housing intensification can be achieved.  | Not specified.   |
| Chris Howard  | 192.4             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Considers that stronger leadership is exerted by those Chairing the WCC debate on the PDP to ensure that the process is impartially driven more by technical merit rather than by polarised agendas  | Seeks that debate on the Proposed District Plan is impartial and driven by technical merit.  |
| Chris Howard  | 192.5             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Considers that the PDP should not be rushed to ensure the best long-term outcomes, extending this process may be needed, including, another public iteration of the spatial plan, that includes greater transparency as to how public feedback is being actioned.  | That further public consultation is undertaken on the plan and it is not rushed to meet RMA implementation timeframes.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]  |
| Chris Howard  | 192.6             | Other / Other / Other          | Amend         | Supported the Draft Spatial Plan and considered that detailed consideration had gone into its development, through a local process that appreciated the Wellington specific trade-offs.  | Seeks that the Spatial Plan is updated to ensure compliance with the RMA, with qualifying matters regarding Wellington's widespread special character further analysed and documented instead of the Proposed District Plan as notified.           |
| Peter Nunns   | 196.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Amend         | Considers that changes should be made that actively support, and definitely don't undermine, the better places created by more density done well and proximity to daily amenities.   | Seeks changes to the Council's Network Operating Framework, Parking Policies, street maintenance systems.  |
| Peter Nunns   | 196.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Considers that the WCC needs to tackle the issue of water/wastewater/stormwater capacity and upgrades, especially as new housing development may cause some further pressures.   | Seeks that appropriate resources are allocated to identifying water/wastewater/stormwater infrastructure capacity and upgrade costs.   |
| Peter Nunns   | 196.3             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Considers that there is a need to ensure adequate in-house staffing to process resource and building consents quickly and at an affordable cost.   | Seeks that appropriate resources are allocated to ensure resource consent and building consent processing is done as efficiently and transparently as possible, including good internal or external reviews.                                       |
| Andrew Flanagan   | 198.9             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Considers that changes should be made that actively support, and definitely don't undermine, the better places created by more density done well and proximity to daily amenities.   | Seeks changes to the Council's Network Operating Framework, Parking Policies, street maintenance systems.  |
| Andrew Flanagan   | 198.10            | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Considers that greater resourcing is needed to implement the plan.   | Seeks greater resourcing of Council's planning and consent enforcing teams over road maintenance.  |
| Andrew Flanagan   | 198.11            | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].   | Seeks that combined / pooled resources for consenting, design review, and other permitting functions are established that mean multiple small councils can enjoy high-calibre people and economies of scale.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]. |
| Angus Hodgson   | 200.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Supports the submission put forward by Mt Cook Mobilised.  | Supports the submission put forward by Mt Cook Mobilised.  |
| Wellington City Youth Council   | 201.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Considers that well-functioning three-waters infrastructure has often evaded Wellington.<br><br>Considers that the renewal and replacement of aging infrastructure with a growing population and the impacts of climate change considered is essential.<br><br>Supports investment into three waters infrastructure for te mana o te wai and the health and wellbeing of Wellingtonians. | Seeks that investment is made to three waters infrastructure.  |
| Wellington City Youth Council   | 201.3             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]  | Seeks reduction in cost and disruption through coordination of different sub-street-level utility replacement or renovation projects.  |

| Submitter Name                                | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision | Position      | Summary of Submission  | Decisions Requested  |
|---|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| Wellington City Youth Council                 | 201.4             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Considers that council can facilitate City Centre accessibility by considering accessibility when making decisions around parking and drop off zones which can be part of ensuring CBD access to people with disabilities.   | Seeks that council consider disability accessibility when making decisions around parking and drop off zones.  |
| Wellington City Youth Council                 | 201.5             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Considers that non-car parking such as for E-Bike and e-scooter 'Locky Docks' should be incorporated into city design, incentivizing the use of alternative and green modes of transport.  | Seeks that non-car parking be incorporated into city design.   |
| Wellington City Youth Council                 | 201.6             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Submitter emphasises the role of maintaining high efficiency, high volume and accessible public transport in the process of increasing urban space density.  | Not specified.   |
| Regan Dooley                                  | 239.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Amend         | Supports better resourcing for Council Officers related to the submission points.  | Seeks better resourcing for Council officers.  |
| Alan Fairless                                 | 242.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Supports the submission for LIVE Wellington.   | Supports the submission of LIVE Wellington.<br><br>[See Submission 155 - LIVE Wellington].   |
| Richard Norman                                | 247.3             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].   | Seeks that WCC review it's capital rating system and its contribution to underdevelopment of the city, and investigate how rated based on unimproved land values could make more development land available. |
| Richard Norman                                | 247.4             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].   | Seeks that WCC review whether it needs a specialist development agency.  |
| Heidi Snelson, Aman Hunt, Chia Hunt, Ela Hunt | 276.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Amend         | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer back to original submission]   | Seeks protection of Middleton Road.  |
| Steve Dunn                                    | 288.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Amend         | Considers that to do density well there needs to be green infrastructure that requires adequate space in urban planning.<br><br>Considers that there are studies that demonstrate the value of open space to health.   | Amend the plan to include the Council's Green Network Plan as an enforceable key document for greening Wellington.   |
| Steve Dunn                                    | 288.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Amend         | Considers that the provision of new public space and well-designed streets is critical as the central city intensifies to ensure the health and wellbeing of the new residents and should have adequate protection for sunlight access and protect from building development or shading. | Seeks that the plan identify open spaces in the City Centre to recognise the need for this green infrastructure along with the Council's Green Network Plan.   |
| Roland Sapsford                               | 305.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].   | Supports Live Wellington's submission.<br>[refer to submission 154]  |
| Roland Sapsford                               | 305.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].   | Supports Aro Valley Community Council Inc's submission.<br>[refer to submission 87]  |
| Svend Heeselholt Henne Hansen                 | 308.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Considers that greater resourcing is needed to implement the District Plan.  | Seeks greater resourcing of Council's planning and consent enforcing teams over road maintenance.  |
| Hilary Watson                                 | 321.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Supports Newtown Residential Association's submission on the topic of extending Character Precincts to houses bordering on Carrara Park.   | Supports Newtown Residential Association's submission.<br>[refer to submission 440]  |
| Hilary Watson                                 | 321.3             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Considers that submissions from the public on the Spatial Plan and the District Plan, and the resulting professional advice to Councillors made by Council Officers was disregarded by just over half of councillors.  | Not specified.   |
| Hilary Watson                                 | 321.4             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Supports Red Design's Submission on the Draft District Plan, as it shows how 2000 dwellings can be fitted in around the central spine of Newtown by making use of under-utilised land and going up to six stories.   | Supports Red Design's Submission on the Draft District Plan.   |
| Richard Murcott                               | 322.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Considers that Council should use facts and evidence-based decision-making rather than ideology to drive the PDP.  | Not specified.   |
| Tawa Residents Association                    | 328.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].   | Supports the Tawa Community Board's submission.<br>[refer to submission 294]   |
| Tawa Residents Association                    | 328.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].   | Supports the Tawa Business Group's submission.<br>[refer to submission 107]  |
| Mt Cook Mobilised                             | 331.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Considers that all Community Emergency Hubs should have water tanks on site.   | Not specified.   |
| Mt Cook Mobilised                             | 331.3             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].   | Seeks that all parks have water tanks on site unless they are within the tsunami hazard zone.  |

| Submitter Name   | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision  | Position        | Summary of Submission  | Decisions Requested  |
|--|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Mt Cook Mobilised  | 331.4             | Other / Other / Other           | Not specified   | Apartments outside the tsunami zone need to have provision for water tanks in their grounds, in their basements, or in a designated separate storage area within the building. For apartments within the tsunami zone, indoor water storage areas need to be made available on level 5 and above. This is because anyone living below level 5 will have been asked to evacuate. People living above level 5 may be stranded for some time.                         | Seeks that multi-unit dwellings have provisions for water tanks in their grounds, basements, or in designated separate storage areas within their building.  |
| Mt Cook Mobilised  | 331.5             | Other / Other / Other           | Not specified   | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].   | Seeks that water tanks be required for all social housing complexes, particularly for new-builds.  |
| Mt Cook Mobilised  | 331.6             | Other / Other / Other           | Not specified   | Considers that apartment blocks should designate an area for humanure collection, or a long drop. Typically, this could be a wheelie bin and a supply of dry carbon matter, e.g. sawdust, used to cover each layer of contents. The wheelie bin would need to be stored for 6 months before it is safe to be disposed of as compost. The Body Corporate needs to determine how this will be done in each apartment complex, and communicate this to residents.     | Seeks that apartments blocks be required to designate an area for humanure collection, or a long drop.   |
| Kerry Finnigan   | 336.2             | Other / Other / Other           | Support         | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].   | Supports submission 275.<br><br>[Refer to submission 275]  |
| Claire Nolan, James Fraser, Margaret Franken, Biddy Bunzel, Michelle Wooland, Lee Muir | FS68.34           | Other / Other / Other           | Support         | Supports submission that seeks to extend character precincts.  | Allow  |
| Tina Reid  | 341.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Support         | Support the majority of the Mt Cook area as high density would destroy the pre-1930 character of the area, and believe that intensification of housing can happen in much more harmonious ways.  | Supports the Mt Cook Mobilised submission.<br>[Refer to submission 331]  |
| Mt Victoria Residents' Association   | 342.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Amend           | Considers that resource consents' requirements are not properly enforced and offers should be supported and trained to ensure no mistakes are made that require retrospective consents and administrative tasks to be undertaken in order to get the paperwork in line.  | Seeks that resource consents are properly enforced.  |
| Mt Victoria Residents' Association   | 342.2             | Other / Other / Other           | Not specified   | Considers that another density measure based on people, or separate dwellings per hectare and not number of storeys per building should be included in the PDP.  | Seeks that a new density measurement based on people per hectare be included.  |
| Mt Victoria Residents' Association   | 342.3             | Other / Other / Other           | Not specified   | Considers that low-speed and low-traffic improvements would allow greater connections with neighbours in most suburbs.   | Not specified.   |
| Ryman Healthcare Limited   | 346.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Support in part | Supports the Retirement Village Association of New Zealand's' submission on the provisions applicable to the Medium Density Residential Zone.  | Supports the Retirement Village Association of New Zealand's' submission.<br>[refer to submission 350]   |
| Greater Wellington Regional Council  | 351.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Amend           | Considers it appropriate to have regard to the Proposed RPS Change 1 (Policy FW.3) and give effect to the RMA  | Seeks that any changes through the process that require S32AA evaluation should include matters in Policy FW.3 as appropriate.   |
| Greater Wellington Regional Council  | 351.2             | Other / Other / Other           | Support in part | Supports s32 reports.  | Not Specified.   |
| Greater Wellington Regional Council  | 351.3             | Other / Other / Other           | Amend           | Considers it appropriate to have regard to the Proposed RPS Change 1 (Policy FW.3, FW.4, 55 and UD.3) and give effect to the RMA.  | Seeks that any changes through the process that require S32AA evaluation should include matters in Policy 55 as appropriate, for any new FUS or any change to relevant residential zones, commercial, industrial or mixed-use zones. |
| Greater Wellington Regional Council  | 351.4             | Other / Other / Other           | Amend           | Considers it appropriate to make decisions based on the best available information and mātauranga Māori, upholding Māori data sovereignty and requiring Māori data and mātauranga Māori to be interpreted within Te Ao Māori.  | Seeks to ensure that where Māori data is used, sovereignty is upheld and data is interpreted within Te Ao Māori.   |
| Lower Kelburn Neighbourhood Group  | 356.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Amend           | Considers that height limits on apartment blocks should not have exceptions. Notes that these height limits are most likely specified for reasons of safety in steep valleyed and severe earthquake-prone zones; to prevent domination of the city and hills around the harbour by manmade structures; and probably for practical infrastructure reasons. Such reasons should be adhered to for the necessary purposes they were put in place, with no exceptions. | Seeks that height limits be strictly enforced.   |
| Historic Places Wellington Inc   | FS111.158         | General / Other / Other / Other | Support         | Considers that the notified mapping extent of the Character precincts is too small to adequately protect sites within heritage suburbs from inappropriate subdivision or development under s.6 of the RMA. Considers that the character (or "heritage") precincts must be enlarged, or otherwise protected, to achieve that objective.   | Allow  |

| Submitter Name                       | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision  | Position      | Summary of Submission   | Decisions Requested  |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---|--|
| Sarah Walker                         | 367.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Not specified | Considers that a derelict building on the Terrace could be used for apartments as it will have good access to amenities without encroaching on existing homes.  | Seeks that a derelict building on the Terrace is used for apartments.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]   |
| Elizabeth Nagel                      | 368.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Not specified | Supports the Live Wellington submission.  | Not specified.   |
| Historic Places Wellington Inc       | FS111.207         | General / Other / Other / Other | Support       | Considers that the notified mapping extent of the Character precincts is too small to adequately protect sites within heritage suburbs from inappropriate subdivision or development under s.6 of the RMA. Considers that the character (or "heritage") precincts must be enlarged, or otherwise protected, to achieve that objective.<br>[Interred reference to submission 158.1]                                    | Allow  |
| Jane Szentivanyi and Ben Briggs      | 369.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Amend         | Considers that provisions of food sources and flight paths for Wellington's birds is an important aspect of Wellington's natural environment.   | Seeks that provisions be made in the District Plan to provide for food source and flight paths of local birds.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]  |
| Jane Szentivanyi and Ben Briggs      | 369.2             | Other / Other / Other           | Not specified | The Council's previous plantings of kowhai and pōhutukawa in the city to support the indigenous bird life is applauded. The significant increase in indigenous birdlife in the city adds to its uniqueness and character.   | Not specified.   |
| Waka Kotahi                          | 370.3             | Other / Other / Other           | Amend         | Oppose use of 'access allotment' as it is redundant as it duplicates definition of access lot and access strip.   | Seeks any consequential changes in the plan to convert "Access Allotment" to "Access Lot".   |
| Waka Kotahi                          | 370.4             | Other / Other / Other           | Amend         | Oppose use of 'access allotment' as it is redundant as it duplicates definition of access lot and access strip.   | Seeks any consequential changes in the plan to change "access strip" to "access lot".  |
| Henry Bartholomew Nankivell Zwart    | 378.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Amend         | Considers that changes should be made that actively support, and definitely don't undermine, the better places created by more density done well and proximity to daily amenities.  | Seeks changes to the Council's Network Operating Framework, Parking Policies, street maintenance systems.  |
| Henry Bartholomew Nankivell Zwart    | 378.2             | Other / Other / Other           | Support       | Considers that greater resourcing is needed to implement the plan.  | Seeks greater resourcing of Council's planning and consent enforcing teams over road maintenance.  |
| Henry Bartholomew Nankivell Zwart    | 378.3             | Other / Other / Other           | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].  | Seeks that combined / pooled resources for consenting, design review, and other permitting functions are established that mean multiple small councils can enjoy high-calibre people and economies of scale.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]. |
| Wellington Civic Trust               | 388.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Amend         | Considers that the Wellington Waterfront Framework 2001 should be completed. The Wellington Waterfront Framework 2001 was intended to be Stage One of a three-stage process. Stage two was to prepare detailed plans for each of the sub-areas, and Stage three was an implementation and monitoring stage. The current Framework is thus no more than a framework, as has been pointed out by the Environment Court. | Seeks that the Council completes the unfinished work on the Wellington Waterfront Framework so that it provides greater detail for the future of the distinctive areas of the waterfront.  |
| Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika | 389.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Not specified | Considers that the extensive opportunities for Taranaki Whānui in Strathmore, that Taranaki Whānui would like opportunities of engagement.<br>[see original submission].  | Seeks the opportunity to engage with Council and stakeholders regarding future regeneration opportunities in Strathmore.   |
| Matthew Tamati Reweti                | 394.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Amend         | Considers that changes should be made that actively support, and definitely don't undermine, the better places created by more density done well and proximity to daily amenities.  | Seeks changes to the Council's Network Operating Framework, Parking Policies, street maintenance systems.  |
| Matthew Tamati Reweti                | 394.2             | Other / Other / Other           | Support       | Considers that greater resourcing is needed to implement the plan.  | Seeks greater resourcing of Council's planning and consent enforcing teams over road maintenance.  |
| Matthew Tamati Reweti                | 394.3             | Other / Other / Other           | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].  | Seeks that combined / pooled resources for consenting, design review, and other permitting functions are established that mean multiple small councils can enjoy high-calibre people and economies of scale.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]. |
| David Cadman                         | 398.1             | Other / Other / Other           | Amend         | Considers that changes should be made that actively support, and definitely don't undermine, the better places created by more density done well and proximity to daily amenities.  | Seeks changes to the Council's Network Operating Framework, Parking Policies, street maintenance systems.  |
| David Cadman                         | 398.2             | Other / Other / Other           | Support       | Considers that greater resourcing is needed to implement the plan.  | Seeks greater resourcing of Council's planning and consent enforcing teams over road maintenance.  |

| Submitter Name                    | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision | Position      | Summary of Submission  | Decisions Requested  |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| David Cadman                      | 398.3             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].   | Seeks that combined / pooled resources for consenting, design review, and other permitting functions are established that mean multiple small councils can enjoy high-calibre people and economies of scale.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]. |
| Cheryl Robilliard                 | 409.3             | Other / Other / Other          | Amend         | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]   | Amend the plan to include the Wellington City Council Green Network Plan as an enforceable key document for greening Wellington.   |
| Cheryl Robilliard                 | 409.4             | Other / Other / Other          | Amend         | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]   | Amend the Wellington City Council Green Network Plan to include Newtown and Mount Victoria.  |
| Emma Osborne                      | 410.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Amend         | Considers that changes should be made that actively support, and definitely don't undermine, the better places created by more density done well and proximity to daily amenities.   | Seeks changes to the Council's Network Operating Framework, Parking Policies, street maintenance systems.  |
| Emma Osborne                      | 410.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Considers that greater resourcing is needed to implement the District Plan.  | Seeks greater resourcing of Council's planning and consent enforcing teams over road maintenance.  |
| Emma Osborne                      | 410.3             | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].   | Seeks that combined / pooled resources for consenting, design review, and other permitting functions are established that mean multiple small councils can enjoy high-calibre people and economies of scale.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]. |
| Wellington Heritage Professionals | 412.4             | Other / Other / Other          | Amend         | Considers that an approach where consent fees are fixed and payable upfront is an approach used in other places which NZ is frequently compared to such as Victoria and the United Kingdom. In these places the cost of planning permission is substantially lower than it is in New Zealand.                                  | Seeks that the Council investigate making resource consent fees fixed and payable up front, depending on the cost of the activities being applied for.   |
| Wellington Heritage Professionals | 412.13            | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].   | Seeks that the Council to lobby the government to improve resource consent processes to make them more cost effective and less risky.  |
| Wellington Heritage Professionals | 412.14            | Other / Other / Other          | Support       | Considers that historically this is how housing shortages have been solved in New Zealand and that affordable and good quality housing has only been delivered in Aotearoa/NZ when the government has been a significant player in the housing market - either through cheap mortgage finance or by building dwellings itself. | Seeks that the Council continue to invest in social housing and lobby central Government to provide it.  |
| VicLabour                         | 414.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Considers that small groups of people have had a disproportionate influence on Council planning processes, particularly homeowners with a vested interest in slowing change to our urban form.<br><br>[Refer to original submission for full reasons]  | Seeks that the Council centres the needs of those worst affected by the realities and locked-in future challenges for the status quo.<br><br>[inferred decision requested]   |
| Thomas John Broadmore             | 417.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Supports the submission of Il Casino Body Corporate.   | Not specified.   |
| Thomas John Broadmore             | 417.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Supports the submission of Juliet Broadmore on the point on the use of Viewshaft area as greenspace.   | Not specified.   |
| Penny Griffith                    | 418.1             | Other / Other / Other          | Not specified | Supports the submission of Historic Places Wellington.   | Not specified.   |
| Penny Griffith                    | 418.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Amend         | Considers that the 40km/hr speed zone in Oriental Bay doesn't interface well with nearby 50km/hr zones because drivers don't react to 10km/hr changes in speed limit - compounded by the fact that there's many pedestrians.<br><br>[See original submission for full reasons]   | Not specified.   |
| Penny Griffith                    | 418.3             | Other / Other / Other          | Amend         | Considers that the New World supermarket adjacent to Waitangi Park creates a busy roundabout , with many lane changes and pedestrian activity making it dangerous.<br><br>[See original submission for full reason]  | Not specified.   |
| Paul Gregory Rutherford           | 424.2             | Other / Other / Other          | Amend         | [No specific reason beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]  | Seeks that the Proposed District Plan is more rigorously tested against the objectives to ensure that the Council's chosen methods are the best options to deliver on the objectives of the Plan.  |

| Submitter Name                         | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision  | Position      | Summary of Submission   | Decisions Requested   |
|--|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---|---|
| Johnsonville Community Association     | 429.3             | Other / Other / Other           | Amend         | Considers that the New Zealand Motu Study identified the property value effect of each hour of sunlight lost, valuing it at around 2.4% per hour lost.<br><br>[See original submission for full reason]   | Seeks that WCC undertake independent monitoring of what happens to Wellington Property Market prices when properties are surrounded by High Density Developments over 3 storeys versus those that aren't.   |
| Johnsonville Community Association     | 429.4             | Other / Other / Other           | Not specified | Considers that Amenity Values are protected under the Resource Management Act, and are valuable - inferring that any loss of amenity value should be compensated for.<br><br>[See original submission for full reason]  | Not specified.  |
| Johnsonville Community Association     | 429.5             | Other / Other / Other           | Amend         | Considers that neighbouring properties losing amenities and value because of nearby 6 or more storey high density accommodation housing located in the outer suburbs is essentially an economic wealth transfer from those residents to the developer without compensation.   | Seeks that the PDP include a compensation framework for neighbouring residents who suffer a loss of value and amenity due to nearby high density accommodation housing developments.<br><br>[See original submission for further details on this framework] |
| Director-General of Conservation       | FS107.1           | General / Other / Other / Other | Oppose        | Stride is opposed to a compensation framework for neighbouring residents of high-density housing developments as this could impose inappropriate costs on development.  | Disallow  |
| Investore Property Limited             | FS108.1           | General / Other / Other / Other | Oppose        | Investore is opposed to a compensation framework for neighbouring residents of high-density housing developments as this could impose inappropriate costs on development.   | Disallow  |
| Johnsonville Community Association     | 429.7             | Other / Other / Other           | Amend         | Considers that Johnsonville is expected to have the highest population growth of any wellington suburb and needs infrastructure investment to account for this.<br><br>Considers that Johnsonville has a high level of traffic and there are many uncompleted road projects.<br><br>[See original submission for full reason]                       | Seeks that WCC complete planned roading improvements for the Johnsonville Triangle.   |
| Johnsonville Community Association     | 429.8             | Other / Other / Other           | Amend         | Considers that Johnsonville is expected to have the highest population growth of any wellington suburb and needs infrastructure investment to account for this.<br><br>Considers that Johnsonville has a high level of traffic and there are many uncompleted road projects.<br><br>[See original submission for full reason]                       | Seeks that WCC support planned growth in Johnsonville.  |
| Johnsonville Community Association     | 429.9             | Other / Other / Other           | Support       | Considers tha Johnsonville lacks public parking and green space and that the site of the old johnsonville library is a good opportunity. It is the inly WCC owned site in the triangle, it is sunny, sheltered and a decent distance from other green space, and there are other sites that can be repurposed for social housing.                   | Supports the completion of the Green Space Review for Johnsonville.   |
| Johnsonville Community Association     | 429.10            | Other / Other / Other           | Amend         | Considers tha Johnsonville lacks public parking and green space and that the site of the old johnsonville library is a good opportunity. It is the inly WCC owned site in the triangle, it is sunny, sheltered and a decent distance from other green space, and there are other sites that can be repurposed for social housing.                   | Seeks that development of the Old Library Site in Johnsonville is postponed until Green Space Review is complete.   |
| Onslow Residents Community Association | FS80.48           | General / Other / Other / Other | Support       | Support the submission regarding more provision for green space in Johnsonville and generally throughout the city.  | Allow   |
| Johnsonville Community Association     | 429.11            | Other / Other / Other           | Amend         | Considers that while Johnsonville does have some public facilities including the new public library and the Alex Moore sports ground, there is a shortage of other facilities. Perhaps the most obvious is the lack of any indoor sports stadium. Other major suburbs have such a facility including Tawa, Ngaio, Newtown and Kilbirnie             | Seeks that the WCC outline the specific planned investments that require further investment in facilities and infrastructure, with regard to Indoor sports stadium, parks, greenspace, public transport and roading.<br><br>[Inferred Decision Requested]   |
| Kat Hall                               | 430.2             | Other / Other / Other           | Support       | Supports Red Design's Submission on the Draft District Plan, as their 'proof of concept' plan show that intensification along the main streets, and mostly within existing Suburban Centres zoning, could provide up to 2,000 or more new dwellings. This far exceeds the current projections of the Draft Spatial Plan for the whole Newtown area. | Not specified.  |

| Submitter Name  | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter /Provision | Position      | Summary of Submission   | Decisions Requested  |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|---|--|
| Anna Kemble Welch                                     | 434.1             | Other / Other / Other         | Support       | Supports Red Design's Submission on the Draft District Plan, as it shows the potential for development of increased housing while retaining the historic frontages of the old shops.<br>[Refer to original submission for full reason]  | Supports Red Design's Submission on the Draft District Plan.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]  |
| Anna Kemble Welch                                     | 434.3             | Other / Other / Other         | Support       | Supports Newtown Resident's Association's submission on the extension of Newtown's character Precinct, sunlight access and their point related to MDRZ sites with parks and open space in the neighbourhood.  | Supports Newtown Residents'Association submission.<br><br>[Refer to submission 440]  |
| Newtown Residents' Association                        | 440.1             | Other / Other / Other         | Support       | Supports Red Design's Submission on the Draft District Plan, as it demonstrated how new buildings on only 45% of Mansfield Street escarpment area could provide at least 2000 sunny, accessible, comfortable new apartments, while retaining the historic character of the Riddiford St shops. Planned intensification along Newtown's main streets is supported.<br>[Refer to original submission for full reason] | Supports Red Design's Submission on the Draft District Plan.   |
| Dale Mary McTavish                                    | 448.2             | Other / Other / Other         | Not specified | Considers that the Newtown shopping strip needs to be viable, which means parking for cars outside the shops, and the character needs to be kept.   | Seeks that parking for cars outside shops on the Newtown shopping strip are kept.  |
| Graham Thomas Stewart                                 | 451.1             | Other / Other / Other         | Support       | The submitter wants to follow the Councils (Kainga Ora's) proposal for 300 houses to be built [at Arlington Development in Mt Cook, Wellington].  | Not specified.   |
| Marilyn Head  | 457.1             | Other / Other / Other         | Support       | Support the Newtown Residents Association submission.<br><br>[Refer to submission number 440 for full details].   | Support the Newtown Residents Association submission.<br><br>[Refer to submission number 440 for full details].  |
| Marilyn Head  | 457.2             | Other / Other / Other         | Not specified | Considers that Council should instead support redevelopment and repurposing of existing buildings, or,where necessary, rebuilding on similar scales.<br><br>[Refer to original submission for full reason]  | Not specified.   |
| Marilyn Head  | 457.4             | Other / Other / Other         | Support       | Considers the recreational outdoor space to built space needs to be increased and levies charged for parks and recreation must be made into law to ensure that these facilities are available in the area.<br><br>[Refer to original submission for full reason]  | Seeks to introduce fees for entrance into parks to ensure that these facilities are available in the areas not aggregated into public spaces like the waterfront. [inferred decision requested].   |
| Greater Brooklyn Residents Association Inc's          | 459.2             | Other / Other / Other         | Not specified | Consideration given to topography, not just roads on a map for development.   | Not specified.   |
| Lower Kelburn Neighbourhood Group                     | FS123.14          | Other / Other / Other         | Support       | Considers that upzoning heights on swathes of housing is very 'destructive'. Considers high rise sites need to be carefully and individually selected according to topology with pockets of intensity if sites allow.   | Allow  |
| Anita Gude and Simon Terry                            | 461.1             | Other / Other / Other         | Support       | Supports the LIVE WELLington submission in its entirety.  | Supports the LIVE WELLington submission (submission 154) in its entirety.  |
| Alicia Hall on behalf of Parents for Climate Aotearoa | 472.1             | Other / Other / Other         | Amend         | Considers that changes should be made that actively support, and definitely don't undermine, the better places created by more density done well and proximity to daily amenities.  | Seeks changes to the Council's Network Operating Framework, Parking Policies, street maintenance systems.  |
| Alicia Hall on behalf of Parents for Climate Aotearoa | 472.2             | Other / Other / Other         | Support       | Considers that greater resourcing is needed to implement the plan.  | Seeks greater resourcing of Council's planning and consent enforcing teams over road maintenance.  |
| Alicia Hall on behalf of Parents for Climate Aotearoa | 472.3             | Other / Other / Other         | Support       | Considers that greater resourcing is needed to implement the plan.  | Supports more rates being used for resourcing these teams vs for maintaining large sections of road seal to a high standard for driving and parking private vehicles.  |
| Alicia Hall on behalf of Parents for Climate Aotearoa | 472.4             | Other / Other / Other         | Support       | [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].  | Seeks that combined / pooled resources for consenting, design review, and other permitting functions are established that mean multiple small councils can enjoy high-calibre people and economies of scale.<br><br>[Inferred decision requested]. |



| Submitter Name                    | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision                                    | Position      | Summary of Submission  | Decisions Requested   |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---|---------------|--|---|
| Christina Mackay                  | 478.1             | Other / Other / Other   | Support       | Submitter supports Historic Places Wellington's submissions.<br><br>Supports the submission by Historic Places Wellington including support for PDP proposals for heritage provisions, proposals for additional heritage listings, new Historical and Cultural Heritage provisions and for inner city heritage/character suburbs.  | Supports Historic Places Wellington's submission.<br><br>[Refer to submission 182]  |
| Ben Barrett                       | 479.1             | Other / Other / Other   | Not specified | Supports the Isthmus group planning ideas <a href="https://isthmus.co.nz/thinking/density-donewell-10-tips-for-aotearoa/">https://isthmus.co.nz/thinking/density-donewell-10-tips-for-aotearoa/</a> If any of these ideas can be included in the DP that would be useful.<br><br>Submitter wants to see more evidence of wider expert planning consideration from professional groups such as Isthmus.   | Supports the Isthmus group planning ideas   |
| Ben Barrett                       | 479.2             | Other / Other / Other   | Amend         | [Refer to original submission for full reason]   | Seeks that the Council has a dedicated customer team to support those that are leading the way in development and make it easier for them to get consent.   |
| Living Streets Aotearoa           | 482.13            | Other / Other / Other   | Not specified | Considers that an important health measure to combat the spread of COVID-19 and other future diseases will be to increase the utility of well-ventilated outdoor space. In cities and towns overseas where this has been handled well, this involved widened footpaths, temporary cycle lanes, and an increase in outdoor dining options, to allow for adequate social distancing.   | Not specified.  |
| Living Streets Aotearoa           | 482.19            | Other / Other / Other   | Oppose        | Opposes allowing individual developers to impose their vision on the community.  | Not specified.  |
| Hilary Carr                       | 483.3             | Other / Other / Other   | Support       | Considers that providing each property with a food waste bin, services for collection, and a worm farm landfill would reduce methane and provide user friendly manure.   | Seeks that each property is provided with a food waste bin, and services provided for collection and a worm farm landfill.  |
| Wellington City Council           | 266.4             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP                                 | Amend         | Considers that references to 'dwelling' throughout the PDP should be changed to 'residential unit' as residential unit is defined, whereas dwelling is not.  | Seeks to amend all references of 'dwelling' to 'residential unit'.  |
| Foodstuffs North Island           | FS23.87           | General / Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP                       | Support       | Submission point 266.4 seeks to amend numbering and minor spelling errors. FSNI submission points 476.9 & 476.10 seek to make more consequential amendments.   | Allow   |
| Chris Howard                      | 192.7             | Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP                                 | Oppose        | Opposes the Proposed District Plan for the following reasons:<br><br>- Considers that it ignores that there are numerous streets in greater Wellington that contain well maintained, character, semi-heritage buildings that are predominantly single storey.<br><br>-considers that the plan does not enable residential intensification that is carefully tailored to avoid destroying the widespread special character that exists across many of the city's well-established suburbs.<br><br>- considers the plan risks being highly damaging to local character and the city's unique beauty and liveability.<br><br>- considers the plan risks unnecessarily fragmenting neighbourhood cohesion, due to development fairness inequity<br><br>- one size fits all approach to intensification across Wellington in not appropriate across our varied property sections and suburbs.<br><br>[Refer to original submission for full reason] | Seeks that the Council urgently undertake an updated section-by-section, and street-by-street review of all areas in their draft spatial plan to determine what level of intensification is contextually appropriate for a given section or area. |
| Lower Kelburn Neighbourhood Group | FS123.11          | General / Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP                       | Support       | Considers that upzoning heights on swathes of housing is very 'destructive'. Considers high rise sites need to be carefully and individually selected according to topology with pockets of intensity if sites allow.  | Allow   |
| Gareth and Joanne Morgan          | FS38.24           | Residential Zones / Medium Density Residential Zone / General MRZ | Oppose        | Oppose those parts of Kāinga Ora's submission that seeks to amend, or remove the Character Precincts in Oriental Bay.  | Disallow  |

| Submitter Name  | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter /Provision  | Position      | Summary of Submission   | Decisions Requested  |
|---|-------------------|--|---------------|---|--|
| Thorndon Residents' Association Inc                             | FS69.13           | Part 3 / Residential Zones / Medium Density Residential Zone / General MRZ   | Oppose        | <p>The WCC summary reads: The Introduction to the MRZ is generally supported, in particular the provision of medium density housing to give effect to the NPS-UD and the Act. But amendments are sought relating to the introduction of Character Precincts and different provisions related to the construction of 4 or more residential units. Instead, it is sought that a Character Overlay is introduced into District-wide matters.</p> <p>Special character precincts enable rigorous, targeted, sustainable urban planning regulation, and a role for community engagement in future changes.</p> <p>TRA specifically oppose 391.318 which seeks to delete Objective UFD-08 and rejects its recognition of "special character" at the strategic level of the Plan.</p> <p>The TRA supports Character precincts because they comply with the NPS-UD, and are an important qualifying matter under the RMA.</p> | Disallow   |
| John Schiff   | 166.2             | Residential Zones / Medium Density Residential Zone / General MRZ  | Not specified | <p>Considers that intensive development in character areas such as Mt Victoria will not offer "affordable" housing as the demand for inner city living will continue to grow and new builds will fetch high prices, shutting out those seeking affordable living. In fact, the houses that are likely to be demolished to make way for new developments are most likely providing affordable rental accommodation. These will disappear if the character zones are reduced as significantly as proposed. Considers that a more balanced approach between retaining character precincts and allowing more intensive development is required.</p>   | Not specified.   |
| Jonathan Markwick   | 490.5             | Mapping / Rezone / Rezone  | Amend         | <p>Considers that six storey high density residential buildings should be allowed in all of Kelburn (with a viewshaft protection from the top of the cable car) to help accommodate demand for student and staff housing close to Victoria University's Kelburn Campus.</p> <p>Students and staff have extremely high rents with a restricted housing supply in Kelburn.</p>  | Amend the mapping to allow six storey high density residential buildings in all of Kelburn (with a viewshaft protection from the top of the cable car).  |
| Generation Zero   | FS54.3            | General / Mapping / Rezone / Rezone  | Support       | <p>Support and submit: Enable 6 stories in more of Kelburn. Kelburn is the site of a major regional employment hub and currently has very low development capacity and correspondingly higher rents, house prices and lower rental quality than the rest of the city.</p>   | Allow / Seeks the adoption of 6 storey zoning across more of Kelburn.  |
| Alan Fairless   | 242.11            | General District wide Matters / General point on District wide Matters / General point on District wide Matters          | Oppose        | <p>Considers that amendments are needed to enable more limited notification (as opposed to non-notification) in relation to light, shading, privacy and wind effects, to enable and support fair and reasonable compromises between neighbours.</p>   | Seeks that the Proposed District Plan includes greater provisions for limited notification (as opposed to non-notification) in relation to light, shading, privacy and wind effects.               |
| The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated | FS126.1           | Part 2 / General District wide Matters / General point on District wide Matters / General point on District wide Matters | Oppose        | <p>Relief sought in original submission is inconsistent with the RVA's primary submission and the Enabling Housing Act.</p>   | Disallow   |
| Ryman Healthcare Limited  | FS128.1           | Part 2 / General District wide Matters / General point on District wide Matters / General point on District wide Matters | Oppose        | <p>Relief sought in original submission is inconsistent with Ryman's primary submission and the Enabling Housing Act.</p>   | Disallow   |
| James Coyle   | 307.4             | Part 3 General / Part 3 General / Part 3 General   | Amend         | <p>[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].</p>   | <p>Seeks building height for all other zones apart from the CCZ and the Centres Zones be reduced by one or two storeys to be more specific to Wellington.</p> <p>[Inferred decision requested]</p> |
| New Zealand Motor Caravan Association                           | 314.10            | Part 3 General / Part 3 General / Part 3 General   | Amend         | <p>Considers that freedom camping should be a permitted activity in the zoned-based chapters on the basis that freedom camping should instead be managed through the Council's bylaw. [Option 2]</p>  | Seeks that freedom camping is a permitted activity in all zones.   |

| Submitter Name                           | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter / Provision  | Position        | Summary of Submission  | Decisions Requested  |
|--|-------------------|---|-----------------|--|--|
| New Zealand Motor Caravan Association    | 314.11            | Part 3 General / Part 3 General / Part 3 General  | Amend           | Considers that campgrounds should be a permitted activity in the zoned-based chapters, as allowing for more permissive rules around the establishment of campgrounds will make it easier to establish sites for vehicle-based camping in the Wellington District.<br>[Option 2]  | Seeks that campgrounds are a permitted activity in all zones.  |
| Carolyn Stephens                         | 344.8             | Part 3 General / Part 3 General / Part 3 General  | Amend           | Considers that the plan should be amended to encompass more new developments as controlled activities in respect of urban design. This is to ensure that quality in design at a local level can be considered for the majority of developments, and that this process is tied to community-level design guides as they are developed.<br><br>[Refer to original submission for full reason]  | Seeks that the plan be amended to encompass more new developments as controlled activities in respect to urban design.   |
| Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society | 345.383           | Part 3 General / Part 3 General / Part 3 General  | Support in part | For all zones, opposes any provisions that lessen the protection given to SNAs, OFLS, SALs, or areas of natural character in the coastal environment. We submit that all provisions in Zones still have to give effect to the requirements of the Act and national direction, including the NZCPS. Any exemptions from those requirements are opposed.   | Amend all zones to remove any exemptions to requirements of national direction instruments, particularly the NZ Coastal Policy Statement.  |
| Ministry of Education                    | 400.92            | Part 3 General / Part 3 General / Part 3 General  | Amend           | Submitter considers that educational facilities should be enabled as part of urban growth and development and are considered in any zoning changes made.<br><br>The submitter notes that various changes are proposed to the zoning of land throughout the district. Changes in zoning have the potential to result in changes in development and in the population size and demographic of residents throughout the district, which can consequently impact on the capacity of educational facilities. The submitter acknowledges the changing nature of zoning and development within a district as part of the District Plan process. | Seeks that educational facilities are enabled as part of urban growth and development and are considered in any zoning changes made.   |
| Guy Marriage                             | 407.2             | Part 3 General / Part 3 General / Part 3 General  | Amend           | Considers that the shift in density and a residential zone level and potential for 3x3 on a single site calls for the need to have a design review, certainly if a 3x3 is proposed, and any development within the inner city as this will have a significant impact on the cities character. Without clear incentives for high quality design outcomes we simply risk creating a dumbed down mediocre city. A mandatory Design Panel Review will encourage high quality design outcomes.  | Seeks the addition of a mandatory Design Review Panel for all inner-city developments, 3x3 developments in the medium density residential zone, mixed use developments and centres where developments are over 3 levels.   |
| Paul Gregory Rutherford                  | 424.14            | Part 3 General / Part 3 General / Part 3 General  | Amend           | Considers that Wellingtonians will relish the challenge of working together. Some suburbs such as Newtown are proactively taking a lead in rethinking their localities. Such initiatives create a sense of community, enhance democracy and deliver change in ways that build on community strengths.<br><br>Seeks that participatory design projects, coupled with clear housing targets, so communities are involved in welcoming new people. Imposing arbitrary change when better options exist simply fosters local resentment.   | Seeks that the Proposed District Plan needs to be amended to encompass more new developments as controlled activities in respect of urban design so as to ensure that quality in design at a local level can be considered for the majority of developments, and that this process is tied to community-level design guides as they are developed. |
| Greater Wellington Regional Council      | 351.290           | Special Purpose Zones / General point on Special Purpose Zones / General point on Special Purpose Zones | Amend           | Considers the provisions of the Special Purpose Zones to contribute to the qualities and characteristics of well-functioning urban environments as articulated in Objective 22 of Proposed RPS Change 1.   | Seeks to ensure the Special Purpose Zone provisions have regard to the qualities and characteristics of well-functioning urban environments as articulated in Objective 22 of Proposed RPS Change 1, by including necessary objectives, policies, permitted standards and rules that provide for these qualities and characteristics.              |

| Submitter Name                   | Submission number | Sub-part / Chapter /Provision   | Position        | Summary of Submission   | Decisions Requested  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|---|-----------------|---|--|
| Save Our Venues                  | 445.9             | Special Purpose Zones / General point on Special Purpose Zones / General point on Special Purpose Zones | Amend           | <p>Considers that a further solution to the issue of low acoustic insulation standards in new residential developments in the immediate vicinity of existing live music venues, could include the rezoning of existing music venues into Special Entertainment Precincts. This will allow for a higher level of sound output.</p> <p>Considers that these areas would allow for prioritising the cultural and economic value of these venues and protect them from land use conflict where new expectations of residential amenity can overlap with the existing features of the urban environment.</p> <p>Considers that these standards are already exemplified in the High Noise Area zoned for Courtenay Place, and could extend protections to the venues such as as Meow, San Fran and Valhalla which are currently located in the Central Area Zone.</p> <p>The Entertainment Precinct approach has been implemented successfully in Queenstown and Sydney, and with similar zoning structures to the Auckland Unitary Plan.</p> <p>[Refer to original submission for full reason]</p> | Seeks that the WCC consider creating a Special Entertainment Precinct Zone to protect existing and new music venues.   |
| Willis Bond and Company Limited  | 416.94            | Commercial and mixed use Zones / Local Centre Zone / General LCZ  | Amend           | <p>Submitter notes the effect that the more permissive medium density residential standards will have on other zones. The zones supporting higher density development have more restrictive standards than in the MRZ, creating a risk that new development is concentrated in the more permissive MRZ at the exclusion of denser zones where Council wishes to encourage greater development. The PDP should ensure that the restrictions within denser zones are not substantially more restrictive than within the MRZ [Refer to original submission for full reason].</p>   | Seeks that Council consider the relationship between the Medium Density Residential Zone and denser zones (i.e. the High Density Residential Zone, Large Lot Residential Zone, Neighbourhood Centre Zone, Local Centre one, Commercial Zone, Mixed Use Zone, Metropolitan Centre Zone and City Centre Zone) to ensure development is not unduly restricted in denser zones by greater restrictions and Council discretion. |
| Conor Hill                       | 76.1, 76.2        | Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General   | Amend           | <p>Considers that Council isn't meeting their obligations under the NPS-UD.</p>   | Seeks that the mapping is amended to provide more greenfield areas and commercial land.  |
| Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities | 391.743           | Development Area / Development Area Kilbirnie Bus Barns / General DEV1                                  | Support in part | <p>Seeks amendments to the rules to make all necessary consequential changes in response to the rezoning of those parcels which are identified for Medium Density Residential Zone to High Density Residential Zone. This rezoning is sought as considers the sites adjoin the metropolitan centres and thereby the adjoining zoning should appropriately be High Density Residential Zone. Considers this zone would also align in the outcomes sought in the overarching submission.</p>  | Seeks consequential amendments for all rules to reflect the High Density Residential Development rules.  |
| Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities | 391.744           | Development Area / Development Area Kilbirnie Bus Barns / General DEV1                                  | Amend           | <p>Seeks amendments to the rules to make all necessary consequential changes in response to the rezoning of those parcels which are identified for Medium Density Residential Zone to High Density Residential Zone. This rezoning is sought as considers the sites adjoin the metropolitan centres and thereby the adjoining zoning should appropriately be High Density Residential Zone. Considers this zone would also align in the outcomes sought in the overarching submission.</p>  | Seeks consequential amendments for all rules to reflect the High Density Residential Development rules.  |