

Introduction

Submission of Proposed District Plan Change 83
 Kiwi Point Quarry
 Form 5, Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

Privacy Statement

All information included in submissions (including name and contact details) are provided in their entirety to elected members. Your personal information will be used for administration purposes only, including informing you about this proposed Plan Change.

Your name and address for service will be made available to the public, per the requirements of the Resource Management Act,

1991 (RMA). For more information about these requirements please see [Clauses 6- 8a of the RMA](#).

All information collected will be held by Wellington City Council, with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information. For more information about privacy at Wellington City Council see our [privacy statement](#).

Submitter Details

First Name: **THEJANANDA**
 Last Name: **WIJEWEERA**

eMail: **twijeweera@gk.net.nz**
 Preferred Method of Contact *

Trade competition and adverse effects:

I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission

I could not

I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that :

- adversely affects the environment, and
- does not relate to the trade competition or the effects of trade competitions.

Note to person making further submission. A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days of making the further submission to the Council

Wishes to be heard:

Yes

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Correspondence to:

Submitter

Agent

Both

Submission

The specific provisions of proposed District Plan Change 83 that my submission relates to are as follows (give details below)

Comments

My submission relates to the entirety of the proposals in District Plan Change 83: 1 rezone an area on the southern side of the quarry site from Open Space B to Business 2 introduce a new objective that recognises the importance of quarrying aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the city 2 introduce a new controlled activity rule that applies to the rezoned southern face expansion area. The Council's control is maintained over buffer areas from residential sites, cut face rehabilitation, ecological mitigation, and screening 3 introduce a range of standards relating to the quarrying of the expanded site, and make changes to a range of explanatory text and a number of consequential changes including changes to Planning Maps 22 and 23.

My submission is that ... (You should include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended. You should also state the reasons for your views)

Comments

1. We have lived and own 16 Imran Terrace, Khandallah (which borders the Quarry site) for over 12 years. 2. Quarry is at the very bottom of a steep hill we together with many neighbours live /neighbouring streets and houses are situated. 3. We are extremely concerned with the proposed expansion which will extend the Quarry operations right up to the bottom of our neighbourhoods. This is because of the vibrations/effects from Quarry operations in these NEW areas will undermine/degrade soil structure/formation/stability/strengths inherent to our properties - especially so as we are directly up above in a hill. This situation / risks are very much AMPLIFIED significantly, given the fact that Wellington is prone to earthquakes (and we have experienced these in varying magnitudes several/many times EACH YEAR) and this together with Quarry vibrations/effects will undermine /degrade the inherent soil strengths/integrity/resilience in the properties we occupy. Added to this is the fact that the most prominent/well documented earth quake fault line is just meters away - which adds further dimensions to the above high risks. 4. Therefore, given the above risks, it would be highly reckless for the WCC/its Councilors & office bearers/ promoters of this plan - WITHOUT first thoroughly examining the risks professionally (i.e. a comprehensive geophysical /structural survey, comprehensive risk assessment by professionals) and taking all necessary steps first to mitigate such risks- to go ahead on this plan as stated in the WCC Proposal. 5. Wellington City Council/its Councilors (WCC) have no business to put our properties (and lives) at risk purely for monitory concerns - without first examining potential effects and mitigating them. 6. In the event of WCC recklessly going ahead on this expansion, this objection is meant to put WCC/its promoters/all those involved (individually and severally) on notice, that we reserve our rights to peruse any action legally at any time in future - and where required criminally (if /when these are warranted) - to the fullest possible extent, as provided by the Law.

I seek the following decision from Council (please give precise details)

Comments

* Take note of my strong objections enumerated above - including the strong WARNING on the ADDITIONAL RISKS created to the neighbouring houses/structures. * NOT to carry on RECKLESSLY without first examining the risks that affects us due to this project and taking

appropriate actions to mitigate them.

Attached Documents

File
No records to display.

Need Help?

Privacy Statement