

TOWN BELT MANAGEMENT PLAN HEARINGS SUBCOMMITTEE

MINUTES

WEDNESDAY 17 APRIL 2013 11.07am – 11.18am, 11.21am – 1.08pm, 1.34pm – 2.10pm

(Reconvened Tuesday 23 April 2013, Wednesday 8 May 2013 and Thursday 9 May 2013)

> Committee Room One Ground Floor, Council Offices 101 Wakefield Street Wellington

PRESENT:

Councillor Foster (11.07am – 11.18am, 11.21am – 1.08pm, 1.34pm – 2.10pm) Councillor Pannett (11.07am – 11.18am, 11.21am – 1.08pm, 1.34pm – 2.10pm) Councillor Ritchie (Chair) (11.07am – 11.18am, 11.21am – 1.08pm, 1.34pm – 2.10pm)

APOLOGIES:

Mayor Wade-Brown Councillor Eagle Councillor Morrison (lateness)

011/13PT APOLOGIES

(1215/52/15/IM)

Moved Councillor Ritchie, seconded Councillor Pannett, the motion that the Town Belt Management Plan Hearings Subcommittee receive apologies for absence from Mayor Wade-Brown, apologies for absence for Wednesday 17 April 2013 from Councillor Eagle and apologies for lateness from Councillor Morrison.

The motion was put and declared **CARRIED**.

RESOLVED:

THAT the Town Belt Management Plan Hearings Subcommittee:

1. Receive apologies for absence from Mayor Wade-Brown, apologies for absence for Wednesday 17 April 2013 from Councillor Eagle and apologies for lateness from Councillor Morrison.

012/13PT MINUTES FOR CONFIRMATION

(1215/52/15/IM)

Moved Councillor Ritchie, seconded Councillor Foster, the motion that the Town Belt Management Plan Subcommittee approve the minutes of the meeting held on Monday 18 February 2013, having been circulated, that they be taken as read and confirmed as an accurate record of that meeting.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED.

RESOLVED:

THAT the Town Belt Management Plan Subcommittee

1. Approve the minutes of the meeting held on Monday 18 February 2013, having been circulated, that they be taken as read and confirmed as an accurate record of that meeting.

013/13PT CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARATIONS

(1215/52/15/IM)

NOTED:

There were no conflicts of interest declared.

014/13PT PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

(1215/52/15/IM)

NOTED:

- John Bishop representing Friends of the Town Belt addressed the meeting regarding Report 1 - Town Belt Legislative and Policy Framework Review – Management Plan and Drafting Instructions for Proposed Wellington Town Belt Bill.
- 2. Michael Gibson addressed the meeting regarding Report 1 Town Belt Legislative and Policy Framework Review Management Plan and Drafting Instructions for Proposed Wellington Town Belt Bill.

TABLED INFORMATION – speaking notes reference 014/13PT(a).

3. David Lee representing Action for the Environment addressed the meeting regarding Report 1 - Town Belt Legislative and Policy Framework Review – Management Plan and Drafting Instructions for Proposed Wellington Town Belt Bill.

TABLED INFORMATION – speaking notes reference 014/13PT(b).

(The meeting adjourned at 11.18am and reconvened at 11.21am.)

(Councillors Foster, Pannett and Ritchie were present when the meeting reconvened.)

- 4. Craig Palmer representing the Civic Trust addressed the meeting regarding Report 1 Town Belt Legislative and Policy Framework Review Management Plan and Drafting Instructions for Proposed Wellington Town Belt Bill.
- 5. James Harris addressed the meeting regarding Report 1 Town Belt Legislative and Policy Framework Review Management Plan and Drafting Instructions for Proposed Wellington Town Belt Bill.
- 6. Chris Gray addressed the meeting regarding Report 1 Town Belt Legislative and Policy Framework Review Management Plan and Drafting Instructions for Proposed Wellington Town Belt Bill.
- 7. Joan Quinn representing Friends of the Town Belt addressed the meeting regarding Report 1 Town Belt Legislative and Policy Framework Review Management Plan and Drafting Instructions for Proposed Wellington Town Belt Bill.

TABLED INFORMATION – speaking notes reference 014/13PT(c).

015/13PT TOWN BELT LEGISLATIVE AND POLICY FRAMEWORK REVIEW – MANAGEMENT PLAN AND DRAFTING INSTRUCTIONS FOR PROPOSED WELLINGTON TOWN BELT BILL

Report of Mike Oates, Manager Open Space and Recreation Planning. (1215/52/15/IM) (REPORT 1)

NOTED:

The Subcommittee commenced discussion on Report 1.

(The meeting adjourned for lunch at 1.08pm and reconvened at 1.34pm.)

(Councillors Foster, Pannett and Ritchie were in attendance when the meeting reconvened.)

016/13PT ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING

(1215/52/15/IM)

Moved Councillor Ritchie, seconded Councillor Foster, the motion that the Town Belt Management Plan Hearings Subcommittee adjourn to reconvene at 1.30pm on Tuesday 23 April 2013.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED.

RESOLVED:

THAT the Town Belt Management Plan Hearings Subcommittee:

1. Adjourn to reconvene at 1.30pm on Tuesday 23 April 2013.

(The meeting adjourned at 2.10pm.)

TUESDAY 23 APRIL 2013

The meeting reconvened at 1.31pm.

(Councillors Eagle, Pannett and Ritchie were present when the meeting reconvened.)

PRESENT:

Councillor Eagle (1.31pm – 1.32pm) Councillor Foster (3.05pm – 4.46pm) Councillor Pannett (1.31pm – 1.32pm, 3.05pm – 4.46pm) Councillor Ritchie (Chair) (1.31pm – 1.32pm, 3.05pm – 4.46pm)

APOLOGIES:

Mayor Wade-Brown Councillor Eagle Councillor Morrison

017/13PT ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING

(1215/52/15/IM)

Moved Councillor Ritchie, seconded Councillor Pannett, the motion that the Town Belt Management Plan Hearings Subcommittee adjourn to reconvene at 3.00pm.

The motion was <u>put</u> and declared <u>CARRIED</u>.

RESOLVED:

THAT the Town Belt Management Plan Hearings Subcommittee:

1. Adjourn to reconvene at 3.00pm.

(The meeting adjourned at 1.32pm and reconvened at 3.05pm.)

(Councillors Foster, Pannett and Ritchie were present when the meeting reconvened.)

018/13PT APOLOGIES

(1215/52/15/IM)

Moved Councillor Ritchie, seconded Councillor Foster, the motion that the Town Belt Management Plan Hearings Subcommittee receive apologies for absence from Mayor Wade-Brown and Councillors Eagle and Councillor Morrison for the reconvened meeting on Tuesday 23 April 2013.

The motion was <u>put</u> and declared <u>CARRIED</u>.

RESOLVED:

THAT the Town Belt Management Plan Hearings Subcommittee:

1. Receive apologies for absence from Mayor Wade-Brown and Councillors Eagle and Morrison for the reconvened meeting on Tuesday 23 April 2013.

019/13PT TOWN BELT LEGISLATIVE AND POLICY FRAMEWORK REVIEW – MANAGEMENT PLAN AND DRAFTING INSTRUCTIONS FOR PROPOSED WELLINGTON TOWN BELT BILL (CONTINUED)

Report of Mike Oates, Manager Open Space and Recreation Planning. (1215/52/15/IM) (REPORT 1)

NOTED:

The Subcommittee continued discussion on Report 1.

020/13PT ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING

(1215/52/15/IM)

Moved Councillor Ritchie, seconded Councillor Pannett, the motion that the Town Belt Management Plan Hearings Subcommittee adjourn to reconvene at 9.15am on Wednesday 8 May 2013.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED.

RESOLVED:

THAT the Town Belt Management Plan Hearings Subcommittee:

1. Adjourn to reconvene at 9.15am on Wednesday 8 May 2013.

(The meeting adjourned at 4.46pm.)

WEDNESDAY 8 MAY 2013

The meeting reconvened at 9.22am.

(Councillors Foster, Pannett and Ritchie were present when the meeting reconvened.)

PRESENT:

Councillor Foster (9.22am – 10.45am, 11.03am – 12.02pm) Councillor Pannett (9.22am – 10.45am, 11.03am – 12.02pm) Councillor Ritchie (Chair) (9.22am – 10.45am, 11.03am – 12.02pm) Councillor Morrison (9.28am - 10.45am, 11.03am – 12.02pm)

APOLOGIES:

Mayor Wade-Brown Councillor Eagle

021/13PT TOWN BELT LEGISLATIVE AND POLICY FRAMEWORK REVIEW – MANAGEMENT PLAN AND DRAFTING INSTRUCTIONS FOR PROPOSED WELLINGTON TOWN BELT BILL (CONTINUED)

Report of Mike Oates, Manager Open Space and Recreation Planning. (1215/52/15/IM) (REPORT 1)

NOTED:

The Subcommittee continued discussion on Report 1.

(Councillor Morrison joined the meeting at 9.28am.)

(The meeting adjourned for morning tea at 10.45am and reconvened at 11.03am.)

(Councillors Foster, Morrison, Pannett and Ritchie were present when the meeting reconvened.)

(Councillor Foster left the meeting at 12.02pm.)

022/13PT ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING

(1215/52/15/IM)

Moved Councillor Ritchie, seconded Councillor Morrison, the motion that the Town Belt Management Plan Hearings Subcommittee adjourn to reconvene at 9.15am on Thursday 9 May 2013.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED.

RESOLVED:

THAT the Town Belt Management Plan Hearings Subcommittee:

1. Adjourn to reconvene at 9.15am on Thursday 9 May 2013.

(The meeting adjourned at 12.10pm.)

THURSDAY 9 MAY 2013

The meeting reconvened at 9.17am.

(Councillors Eagle, Foster, Pannett and Ritchie were present when the meeting reconvened.)

PRESENT:

Councillor Eagle (9.17am – 9.18am) Councillor Foster (9.17am – 9.18am, 2.42pm – 3.09pm) Councillor Pannett (9.17am – 9.18am, 2.42pm – 3.09pm) Councillor Ritchie (Chair) (9.17am – 9.18am, 2.42pm – 3.09pm)

023/13PT ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING

(1215/52/15/IM)

Moved Councillor Ritchie, seconded Councillor Foster, the motion that the Town Belt Management Plan Hearings Subcommittee adjourn until the conclusion of the Strategy and Policy Committee and subsequent briefings to Councillors.

The motion was <u>put</u> and declared <u>CARRIED</u>.

RESOLVED:

THAT the Town Belt Management Plan Hearings Subcommittee:

1. Adjourn until the conclusion of the Strategy and Policy Committee and subsequent briefings to Councillors.

(The meeting adjourned at 9.18am.)

(The meeting reconvened at 2.42pm.)

(Councillors Foster, Morrison, Pannett and Ritchie were present when the meeting reconvened.)

024/13PT TOWN BELT LEGISLATIVE AND POLICY FRAMEWORK REVIEW – MANAGEMENT PLAN AND DRAFTING INSTRUCTIONS FOR PROPOSED WELLINGTON TOWN BELT BILL (CONTINUED)

Report of Mike Oates, Manager Open Space and Recreation Planning. (1215/52/15/IM) (REPORT 1)

Moved Councillor Ritchie, seconded Councillor Foster, the substantive motion recommendation 1 of the officer's report.

The substantive motion recommendation 1 of the officer's was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called.

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Morrison, Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 4:0

The substantive motion recommendation 1 of the officer's report was declared <u>CARRIED</u>.

Moved Councillor Ritchie seconded Councillor Foster, the motion a new recommendation 2 (as follows):

THAT the Town Belt Management Plan Hearings Subcommittee:

2. Receive the written and oral submissions.

The motion a new recommendation 2 was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called.

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Morrison, Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 4:0

The motion a new recommendation 2 was declared **CARRIED**.

Moved Councillor Ritchie, seconded Councillor Morrison, the substantive motion recommendation 2 of the officer's report (now 3), recommendations 4 and 5 with changes to the recommendations in the officer's report as follows (indicated in bold and strikethrough):

THAT the Town Belt Management Plan Hearings Subcommittee:

3.2. Accept the changes to the draft Town Belt Management Plan and drafting instructions following public consultation and outlined in appendix 1 of the officer's report and appendix 1 as tabled (and

attached to the minutes) at the reconvened meeting on Thursday 9 May 2013 as follows:

Changes to appendix 1 of the officer's report

Draft Town Belt Management Plan

1	Issue identified by	Is there a need for a vision for the			
	subcommittee	Management Plan?			
	Subcommittee	Review the vision to make it more			
	amendment	inspirational and reflective of the Town			
		Belt's unique and special features			
2	Issue identified by	Leases Policy vis a vis Town Belt			
	subcommittee	Management Plan			
	Subcommittee	Change policy 6.6.3 bullet point 4 to			
	amendment	read:			
		Being consistent with the Leases			
		Policy for Community and Recreation			
		Groups where the policy does not			
		conflict with this management plan			
		That the process and criteria for			
		granting leases (as in the leases policy)			
		will be spelt out in the management			
	T 11 (10) 11	plan			
3	Issue identified by	Do Councillors want to review the			
	subcommittee	Guiding Principles?			
	Subcommittee	Amend guiding principles to ensure the			
	amendment	self sustaining issue is removed in the			
		proposed new ecology principle.			
<u> </u>		Review whole chapter.			
4	Issue identified by	Scale of pine tree removal			
	subcommittee	N 1 4 6 10			
	Subcommittee	No change to 4.6.10			
<u> </u>	amendment				
5	Issue identified by	Maximise community use of facilities			
	subcommittee	on Town Belt			
	G 1	eg Artificial sports turf, buildings			
	Subcommittee	To change policy 6.6.2 to read "and			
	amendment	club facilities to within the existing			
	T 11 (10) 11	footprint"			
6	Issue identified by	Commercial activity, professional			
	subcommittee	sport, tourism concessions & pay to			
	0.1	play sports competitions			
	Subcommittee	(i) Add to policy 9.6.3 Prohibited			
	amendment	Activity by including policy 8.8			
		of Leases Policy with			
		amendment " the commercial			
		activity complements and is			

		ancillary to the group's primary community or recreational activity"		
		(ii) Add to policy 9.6.8 Prohibited Activity		
		• gaming machines		
7	Issue identified by subcommittee	Commuting		
	Subcommittee	Change all references to commuting to		
	amendment	"cycle and walking commuting"		
8	Issue identified by	Dogs off-leash		
	subcommittee			
	Subcommittee	Amend policy 6.6.17 to state		
	amendment	"provision of Dog Exercise areas		
		and off-lead tracks on Town Belt		
		during the next review"		
9	Issue identified by	Hataitai Park: Precinct development		
	subcommittee	& access (Alexandra Rd)		
	Subcommittee	Add to policy 8.8.4.1 at end of third		
	amendment	bullet point:		
		"Alexandra Rd should not be used as		
		alternative vehicular access except		
		for utility vehicles to/from Hataitai		
		Park."		
10	Issue identified by	Environmental education (not for		
	subcommittee	profit)		
	Subcommittee	The wording could be improved with		
	amendment	an emphasis on not for profit and		
		adding in that it "supports and		
		complements the primary role"		
11	Issue identified by	Implications of encroachment		
	subcommittee	policies on existing use		
	Subcommittee	Carmichael Street: an encroachment		
	amendment	licence application will be decided by		
		the Regulatory Processes Committee		

Drafting instructions

12	Issue identified by subcommittee	The local bill should not provide "relatively flexible powers" to		
		Council		
	Subcommittee	Change section 3.4 of the drafting		
	amendment	instructions to:		
		Provide Council with relatively flexible powers to manage the Legal Town		
		Belt, provided that it does so in a way		

1	<u> </u>	4 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 4 / 4				
		that is consistent with both the				
		charitable purpose of the 1873 deed,				
		and the management plan in force at				
		the time, and the principles in				
		paragraph 14 below.				
13	Issue identified by	The Deed should prevail. There is no				
	submitters	need for a local bill				
	Subcommittee	That the bill be drafted to be consistent				
	amendment	with the intent of the Deed. In				
		particular that the drafting instructions				
		1				
		be amended as follows: Section 12 be replaced with the following words:				
		replaced with the following words.				
		The Bill will be a code for				
		how the Legal Town Belt is				
		governed.				
		Following the Bill's				
		enactment, the legal status				
		of the Legal Town Belt will				
		be sourced primarily from				
		the Bill, rather than the				
		,				
		underlying 1873 deed.				
14	Issue identified by	Leasing powers & limit to leased				
	subcommittee	land				
	Subcommittee	(i) That the maximum area of Tarrer				
		(i) That the maximum area of Town				
	amendment	Belt to be leased will be no more				
		Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares.				
		Belt to be leased will be no more				
		Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares.				
15		Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares. (ii) The maximum lease term to be				
15	amendment	Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares. (ii) The maximum lease term to be 20 years.				
15	amendment Issue identified by	Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares. (ii) The maximum lease term to be 20 years. Officers should not be able to				
15	amendment Issue identified by	Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares. (ii) The maximum lease term to be 20 years. Officers should not be able to interpret "public recreation ground"				
15	amendment Issue identified by	Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares. (ii) The maximum lease term to be 20 years. Officers should not be able to interpret "public recreation ground" as applying to circumstances as they				
15	Issue identified by subcommittee	Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares. (ii) The maximum lease term to be 20 years. Officers should not be able to interpret "public recreation ground" as applying to circumstances as they arise				
15	Issue identified by subcommittee Subcommittee	Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares. (ii) The maximum lease term to be 20 years. Officers should not be able to interpret "public recreation ground" as applying to circumstances as they arise That the drafting instructions and the				
15	Issue identified by subcommittee Subcommittee	Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares. (ii) The maximum lease term to be 20 years. Officers should not be able to interpret "public recreation ground" as applying to circumstances as they arise That the drafting instructions and the bill will define recreation and recreation ground. The proposed				
15	Issue identified by subcommittee Subcommittee	Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares. (ii) The maximum lease term to be 20 years. Officers should not be able to interpret "public recreation ground" as applying to circumstances as they arise That the drafting instructions and the bill will define recreation and recreation ground. The proposed definition will be in the draft report for				
15	Issue identified by subcommittee Subcommittee	Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares. (ii) The maximum lease term to be 20 years. Officers should not be able to interpret "public recreation ground" as applying to circumstances as they arise That the drafting instructions and the bill will define recreation and recreation ground. The proposed definition will be in the draft report for the Subcommittee approval and then				
15	Issue identified by subcommittee Subcommittee	Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares. (ii) The maximum lease term to be 20 years. Officers should not be able to interpret "public recreation ground" as applying to circumstances as they arise That the drafting instructions and the bill will define recreation and recreation ground. The proposed definition will be in the draft report for the Subcommittee approval and then for approval by Strategy and Policy				
	Issue identified by subcommittee Subcommittee amendment	Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares. (ii) The maximum lease term to be 20 years. Officers should not be able to interpret "public recreation ground" as applying to circumstances as they arise That the drafting instructions and the bill will define recreation and recreation ground. The proposed definition will be in the draft report for the Subcommittee approval and then for approval by Strategy and Policy Committee				
15	Issue identified by subcommittee Subcommittee amendment Issue identified by	Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares. (ii) The maximum lease term to be 20 years. Officers should not be able to interpret "public recreation ground" as applying to circumstances as they arise That the drafting instructions and the bill will define recreation and recreation ground. The proposed definition will be in the draft report for the Subcommittee approval and then for approval by Strategy and Policy Committee Can Council (as Trustees) enter				
	Issue identified by subcommittee Subcommittee amendment	Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares. (ii) The maximum lease term to be 20 years. Officers should not be able to interpret "public recreation ground" as applying to circumstances as they arise That the drafting instructions and the bill will define recreation and recreation ground. The proposed definition will be in the draft report for the Subcommittee approval and then for approval by Strategy and Policy Committee Can Council (as Trustees) enter voluntary agreement about the loss				
	Issue identified by subcommittee Subcommittee amendment Issue identified by	Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares. (ii) The maximum lease term to be 20 years. Officers should not be able to interpret "public recreation ground" as applying to circumstances as they arise That the drafting instructions and the bill will define recreation and recreation ground. The proposed definition will be in the draft report for the Subcommittee approval and then for approval by Strategy and Policy Committee Can Council (as Trustees) enter voluntary agreement about the loss of Town Belt land under the Public				
	Issue identified by subcommittee Subcommittee amendment Issue identified by subcommittee	Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares. (ii) The maximum lease term to be 20 years. Officers should not be able to interpret "public recreation ground" as applying to circumstances as they arise That the drafting instructions and the bill will define recreation and recreation ground. The proposed definition will be in the draft report for the Subcommittee approval and then for approval by Strategy and Policy Committee Can Council (as Trustees) enter voluntary agreement about the loss of Town Belt land under the Public Works Act?				
	Issue identified by subcommittee Subcommittee amendment Issue identified by subcommittee	Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares. (ii) The maximum lease term to be 20 years. Officers should not be able to interpret "public recreation ground" as applying to circumstances as they arise That the drafting instructions and the bill will define recreation and recreation ground. The proposed definition will be in the draft report for the Subcommittee approval and then for approval by Strategy and Policy Committee Can Council (as Trustees) enter voluntary agreement about the loss of Town Belt land under the Public Works Act? Agreed that there will be no voluntary				
	Issue identified by subcommittee Subcommittee amendment Issue identified by subcommittee	Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares. (ii) The maximum lease term to be 20 years. Officers should not be able to interpret "public recreation ground" as applying to circumstances as they arise That the drafting instructions and the bill will define recreation and recreation ground. The proposed definition will be in the draft report for the Subcommittee approval and then for approval by Strategy and Policy Committee Can Council (as Trustees) enter voluntary agreement about the loss of Town Belt land under the Public Works Act? Agreed that there will be no voluntary agreement with NZTA over land as				
	Issue identified by subcommittee Subcommittee amendment Issue identified by subcommittee	Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares. (ii) The maximum lease term to be 20 years. Officers should not be able to interpret "public recreation ground" as applying to circumstances as they arise That the drafting instructions and the bill will define recreation and recreation ground. The proposed definition will be in the draft report for the Subcommittee approval and then for approval by Strategy and Policy Committee Can Council (as Trustees) enter voluntary agreement about the loss of Town Belt land under the Public Works Act? Agreed that there will be no voluntary				

17	Issue identified by	Bill would make previous decisions		
	submitters	to build infrastructure legal – even if		
		they are contrary to Deed		
	Subcommittee	That infrastructure means public		
	amendment	utilities.		
18	Issue identified by	Special powers regarding the Chest		
	subcommittee	Hospital & Zoo		
	Subcommittee	As per drafting instruction 24 without		
	amendment	reference to "broad".		

- 3. Recommend that Strategy and Policy Committee recommend to Council that the proposed changes to the draft Town Belt Management Plan and drafting instructions outlined in appendix 1 be approved
- 4. Agree to delegate to the Chief Executive and the Chair of the Subcommittee the authority to make any changes to the draft Town Belt Management Plan and drafting instructions required as a result of decisions of this Subcommittee, as well as minor editorial amendments, prior to the presentation to the Strategy and Policy Committee to the 30 May 2013 meeting of the Subcommittee.
- 5. Note that officers will prepare a final draft of the Wellington Town Belt Management Plan and drafting instructions based on the above changes for approval by the **Town Belt Management Plan Hearings Subcommittee** Strategy and Policy Committee.

Moved Councillor Foster, seconded Councillor Pannett, the following amendment to recommendation 3.6:

THAT the Town Belt Management Plan Hearings Subcommittee:

Request that Officers clarify the definition of professional sport.

The amendment to recommendation 3.6 was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called:

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Morrison, Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 4:0

The amendment to recommendation 3.6 was declared CARRIED.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.1 was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called:

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Morrison, Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 4:0

The substantive motion recommendation 3.1 was declared CARRIED.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.2 was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called:

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Morrison, Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 4:0

The substantive motion recommendation 3.2 was declared **CARRIED**.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.3 was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called:

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Morrison, Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 4:0

The substantive motion recommendation 3.3 was declared CARRIED.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.4 was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called:

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Morrison, Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 4:0

The substantive motion recommendation 3.4 was declared CARRIED.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.5 was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called:

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Morrison, Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 4:0

The substantive motion recommendation 3.5 was declared CARRIED.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.6(i) was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called:

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Morrison and Ritchie.

Voting against: Councillor Pannett.

Majority Vote: 3:1

The substantive motion recommendation 3.6(i) was declared CARRIED.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.6(ii) was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called:

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Morrison, Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 4:0

The substantive motion recommendation 3.6(ii) was declared CARRIED.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.7 was $\underline{\text{put}}$ and a $\underline{\text{DIVISION}}$ called:

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Morrison, Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 4:0

The substantive motion recommendation 3.7 was declared CARRIED.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.8 was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called:

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Morrison and Pannett

Voting against: Councillor Ritchie.

Majority Vote: 3:1

The substantive motion recommendation 3.8 was declared CARRIED.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.9 was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called:

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Morrison, Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 4:0

The substantive motion recommendation 3.9 was declared **CARRIED**.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.10 was <u>put</u> and declared <u>CARRIED</u>. Councillor Pannett requested that her dissenting vote be recorded.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.11 was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called:

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Morrison, Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 4:0

The substantive motion recommendation 3.11 was declared CARRIED.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.12 was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called:

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Morrison, Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 4:0

The substantive motion recommendation 3.12 was declared **CARRIED**.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.13 was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called:

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Morrison and Ritchie.

Voting against: Councillor Pannett

Majority Vote: 3:1

The substantive motion recommendation 3.13 was declared CARRIED.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.14(i) was \underline{put} and a $\underline{DIVISION}$ called:

Voting for: Councillors Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Councillors Foster and Morrison.

Majority Vote: 2:2

The substantive motion recommendation 3.14(i) was declared CARRIED on the Chair's CASTING vote.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.14(ii) was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called:

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Councillor Morrison.

Majority Vote: 3:1

The substantive motion recommendation 3.14(ii) was declared <u>CARRIED</u>.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.15 was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called:

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Councillor Morrison.

Majority Vote: 3:1

The substantive motion recommendation 3.15 was declared CARRIED.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.16 was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called:

Voting for: Councillors Morrison, Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Councillors Foster.

Majority Vote: 3:1

The substantive motion recommendation 3.16 was declared CARRIED.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.17 was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called:

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Morrison, Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 4:0

The substantive motion recommendation 3.17 was declared **CARRIED**.

The substantive motion recommendation 3.18 was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called:

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Morrison, Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 4:0

The substantive motion recommendation 3.18 was declared CARRIED.

The substantive motion recommendation 3 as amended was <u>put</u> and a <u>DIVISION</u> called:

Voting for: Councillors Foster, Morrison, Pannett and Ritchie.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 4:0

The substantive motion recommendation 3 as amended was declared <u>CARRIED</u>.

The substantive motion recommendation 4 with changes from the officers report was <u>put</u> and declared <u>CARRIED</u>.

The substantive motion 5 was put and declared CARRIED.

RESOLVED:

THAT the Town Belt Management Plan Hearings Subcommittee:

18

- 1. Receive the information.
- 2. Receive the written and oral submissions.
- 3.2. Accept the changes to the draft Town Belt Management Plan and drafting instructions following public consultation and outlined in appendix 1 of the officer's report and appendix 1 as tabled (and attached to the minutes) at the reconvened meeting on Thursday 9 May 2013 as follows:

Changes to appendix 1 of the officer's report

Draft Town Belt Management Plan

1	Issue identified by subcommittee	Is there a need for a vision for the Management Plan?		
	Subcommittee	Review the vision to make it more		
	amendment	inspirational and reflective of the Town		
		Belt's unique and special features		
2	Issue identified by	Leases Policy vis a vis Town Belt		
	subcommittee	Management Plan		
	Subcommittee	Change policy 6.6.3 bullet point 4 to		
	amendment	read:		
		Being consistent with the Leases Policy		
		for Community and Recreation Groups		
		where the policy does not conflict with		
		this management plan		
		That the process and criteria for		
		granting leases (as in the leases policy)		
		will be spelt out in the management plan		
3	Issue identified by	Do Councillors want to review the		
	subcommittee	Guiding Principles?		
	Subcommittee	Amend guiding principles to ensure the		
	amendment	self sustaining issue is removed in the		
		proposed new ecology principle. Review		
		whole chapter.		
4	Issue identified by subcommittee	Scale of pine tree removal		
	Subcommittee	No change to 4.6.10		
	amendment	The change to Holls		
5	Issue identified by	Maximise community use of facilities		
	subcommittee	on Town Belt		
		eg Artificial sports turf, buildings		
	Subcommittee	To change policy 6.6.2 to read "and		

	amendment	club facilities to within the existing footprint"			
6	Issue identified by subcommittee	Commercial activity, professional sport, tourism concessions & pay to play sports competitions			
	Subcommittee amendment	(i) Add to policy 9.6.3 Prohibited Activity by including policy 8.8 of Leases Policy with amendment " the commercial activity complements and is ancillary to the group's primary community or recreational activity"			
		(ii) Add to policy 9.6.8 Prohibited Activity			
		• gaming machines (iii) Request that Officers clarify the definition of professional sport.			
7	Issue identified by subcommittee	Commuting			
	Subcommittee amendment	Change all references to commuting to "cycle and walking commuting"			
8	Issue identified by subcommittee	Dogs off-leash			
	Subcommittee amendment	Amend policy 6.6.17 to state "provision of Dog Exercise areas and off-lead tracks on Town Belt during the next review"			
9	Issue identified by subcommittee	Hataitai Park: Precinct development & access (Alexandra Rd)			
	Subcommittee amendment	Add to policy 8.8.4.1 at end of third bullet point:			
		"Alexandra Rd should not be used as alternative vehicular access except for utility vehicles to/from Hataitai Park."			
10	Issue identified by subcommittee	Environmental education (not for profit)			
	Subcommittee amendment	The wording could be improved with an emphasis on not for profit and adding in that it "supports and complements the primary role"			
11	Issue identified by subcommittee	Implications of encroachment policies			
	Subcommutee Subcommittee amendment	on existing use Carmichael Street: an encroachment licence application will be decided by the Regulatory Processes Committee			

Drafting instructions

12	Issue identified by subcommittee	The local bill should not provide "relatively flexible powers" to Council				
	Subcommittee amendment	Change section 3.4 of the drafting instructions to:				
13	Issue identified by submitters Subcommittee amendment	Provide Council with relatively flexible powers to manage the Legal Town Belt, provided that it does so in a way that is consistent with both the charitable purpose of the 1873 deed, and the management plan in force at the time, and the principles in paragraph 14 below. The Deed should prevail. There is no need for a local bill That the bill be drafted to be consistent with the intent of the Deed. In particular that the drafting instructions be amended as follows: Section 12 be				
		replaced with the following words: The Bill will be a code for how the Legal Town Belt is governed. Following the Bill's enactment, the legal status of the Legal Town Belt will be sourced primarily from the Bill, rather than the underlying 1873 deed.				
14	Issue identified by subcommittee Subcommittee amendment	(i) That the maximum area of Town Belt to be leased will be no more that 8 hectares.				
		(ii) The maximum lease term to be 20 years.				
15	Issue identified by subcommittee	Officers should not be able to interpret ''public recreation ground'' as applying to circumstances as they arise				
	Subcommittee amendment	That the drafting instructions and the bill will define recreation and recreation ground. The proposed definition will be in the draft report for the Subcommittee approval and then for approval by Strategy and Policy Committee				

16	Issue identified by subcommittee	Can Council (as Trustees) enter voluntary agreement about the loss of Town Belt land under the Public Works Act?		
	Subcommittee amendment	Agreed that there will be no voluntary agreement with NZTA over land as that would be contrary to our Trustee obligation.		
17	Issue identified by submitters	Bill would make previous decisions to build infrastructure legal – even if they are contrary to Deed		
	Subcommittee amendment	That infrastructure means public utilities.		
18	Issue identified by subcommittee	Special powers regarding the Chest Hospital & Zoo		
	Subcommittee amendment	As per drafting instruction 24 without reference to "broad".		

- 3. Recommend that Strategy and Policy Committee recommend to
 Council that the proposed changes to the draft Town Belt Management
 Plan and drafting instructions outlined in appendix 1 be approved
- 4. Agree to delegate to the Chief Executive and the Chair of the Subcommittee the authority to make any changes to the draft Town Belt Management Plan and drafting instructions required as a result of decisions of this Subcommittee, as well as minor editorial amendments, prior to the presentation to the Strategy and Policy Committee to the 30 May 2013 meeting of the Subcommittee.
- 5. Note that officers will prepare a final draft of the Wellington Town Belt Management Plan and drafting instructions based on the above changes for approval by the **Town Belt Management Plan Hearings**Subcommittee Strategy and Policy Committee.

NOTED:

The resolutions differ from the recommendations in the officer's report as follows:

The Subcommittee added the text in **bold** and deleted the text with strikethrough.

	 r	 	
Confirmed:			

Chair

The meeting concluded at 3.09pm on Thursday 9 May 2013