## **APPENDIX 4**

## Summary - Grounds for objection and officers comments

| 1. Noise  |   |
|---|---|
| Grounds for objection   | Officers comment  |
| 1.1. Have had problems with noise in the past from the 'old bakery' site.   | The old bakery building has been vacant for<br>two years with all plant and equipment<br>removed.   |
| 1.2. Concerned about noise levels from the<br>new supermarkets plant, service vehicles,<br>and staff. Particularly so if the market is to<br>be open 7 days a week. | The expected noise levels from the proposed<br>new supermarket are not considered to be an<br>unusual situation for land that has suburban<br>centre zoning.                            |
| 1.3. Does not have faith in noise control officers as they have not responded in the past, and would take legal action if noise levels exceeded 100 decibels.       | Under the existing District Plan permitted<br>maximum noise level at the boundary of a<br>residential property is 45 decibels.  |
|   | Therefore the maximum permitted level of<br>noise is well below what the objector is<br>concerned about.  |
|   | Note – If proposed District Plan changes are<br>successful the maximum permitted noise level<br>will increase to 50 decibels. Normal road<br>traffic noise is usually 45 – 50 decibels. |

| 2. Loss of Greenery  |  |
|--|--|
| Grounds for objection  | Officers comment   |
| Concerned about the loss of existing<br>greenery on the corner of Newlands Road<br>and Bracken Road. | The proposed changes to the existing<br>greenery are considered consistent with the<br>proposed development. These changes could<br>have happened without a proposal to stop<br>road land, or build a supermarket, i.e. as part<br>of any road maintenance and centre<br>upgrading activities.<br>As part of the supermarket development, it is<br>proposed that garden beds would be created<br>on the Newlands Road/Bracken Road corner.<br>Some of the larger existing trees would be<br>transplanted to the Newlands Road frontage,<br>and where appropriate incorporated into the<br>shopping centre's upgraded car park. |

| 3. Height of proposed supermarket and loss of sunlight |  |
|--|--|
| Grounds for objection                                  | Officers comment   |
|  | The height of the proposed new supermarket<br>is within the permitted height limits of the<br>suburban centre zoning of the area, therefore<br>no additional consent is required.<br>The maximum height of the proposed<br>supermarkets façade above ground level, is<br>approximately 8.6 metres.<br>The maximum permitted height under the<br>Suburban Centre zoning is 12 metres. |

| 4. Increase in traffic   |  |
|--|--|
| Grounds for objection  | Officers comment   |
| 4.1. Increase in traffic making entering and exiting their driveway difficult. | Number 4 Bracken Road is located on the<br>intersection of two main roads. New<br>subdivisions nearby such as Woodridge, and<br>plans for a new link road from Churton Park<br>to Petone, would also be expected to result in<br>increased traffic volumes. The proposed<br>design for the supermarket is such that new<br>vehicle entry/exit points such as to the<br>basement car park, or to the truck servicing<br>area, are not directly opposite 4 Bracken<br>Road.<br>There may be increased levels of traffic with<br>the development of the supermarket, however<br>this is not out of keeping with what would be |
| 4.2. Three cars have crashed through his fence in two years.                   | expected at a local shopping centre such as<br>Newlands.<br>Number 4 Bracken Road is situated on a main<br>road and close to an intersection. It is not<br>unrealistic to expect that from time to time<br>there would be traffic accidents on such a<br>road. While the development of a new<br>supermarket and upgrade of the shopping<br>centre may result in increased traffic levels,<br>increase in lighting at night would improve<br>safety, and with increased activity in the area<br>driver behaviour may improve if they are not<br>able to speed as they are presently.                                       |

| 5. Loss of existing car parks   |   |
|---|---|
| Grounds for objection   | Officers comment  |
| 5.1. Loss of existing car parks.  | The design of the proposed supermarket<br>results in approximately 23 of the existing car<br>parking spaces in the shopping centre being<br>removed. However 73 new covered car parks<br>would be created in the supermarket's<br>basement. All of these new car parks will be<br>available for any person visiting the centre to<br>use.   |
|   | This means there will be a net gain of approximately 50 car park spaces as a result of the development.   |
| 5.2. Bus commuters will have nowhere to park in the future.               | Some commuters do leave their cars in the<br>centre's car park all day as an unofficial 'park<br>and ride'. All of Newlands has the same bus<br>zone, so the bus fares are the same though out<br>the suburb. As there is no financial benefit<br>from catching a bus from the centre, those<br>who are doing that must be doing so for<br>convenience or safety reasons.   |
|   | Long term parking is not encouraged and<br>having cars parked there all day is not helpful<br>to the centre.  |
|   | Foodstuffs had their consultants Traffic<br>Design Group (TDG) report on parking needs<br>at the centre. TDG had undertaken parking<br>surveys, and advised that any long term<br>commuter parks removed as a result of the<br>road stopping, could be accommodated at the<br>rear of the shopping centre near the tavern<br>(as is Council's plan), or alternatively there is<br>sufficient suitable on-street car parks within a<br>five minute walk of the centre that could be<br>used. |
| 5.3. People will park on the grass verge directly outside 4 Bracken Road. | Officers believe it unlikely that people will<br>park on the grass verge directly outside 4<br>Bracken Road. They would be a long distance<br>away from the entrance to the supermarket,  |

| as that is on the opposite side of the car park from Bracken Road.  |
|---|
| Doing that would mean they would then have<br>to cross Bracken Road on foot, making it<br>difficult to carry any quantity of groceries etc.   |
| The shopping centre effectively have a net<br>gain of approximately 50 car park spaces that<br>shoppers can use as a result of the<br>development, so there would be more parks,<br>not less. |

| 6. Negative affect on the value of their property  |  |
|--|--|
| Grounds for objection  | Officers comment   |
| Believes that stopping the road land and<br>developing a supermarket would have a<br>negative affect on the value of their<br>property. Want to be compensated for that,<br>or Foodstuff's and or the Council to<br>purchase 4 Bracken Road. | The objectors do not oppose a new<br>supermarket being built in Newlands in<br>principal. They feel that they are the only<br>residential property that will be affected if the<br>supermarket is built opposite them, and so<br>they should be compensated. The<br>neighbouring 6 Bracken Road is used as a<br>church office, so the objectors consider that<br>property to be less affected than them.<br>The current condition of the Newlands town<br>centre is dated and run down. Council has a<br>long term revitalisation plan to address that,<br>and Foodstuff's road stopping proposal, is<br>consistent with that plan.<br>It is considered that any upgrade or<br>redevelopment of the centre will benefit the<br>community, and will have a positive affect on<br>the value of nearby properties, rather than<br>decreasing their value. |