

Absolutely Positively
Wellington City Council

Me Heke Ki Pōneke

Ordinary Meeting of Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee Ngā Meneti | Minutes

9:30 am Rāpare, 14 Poutūterangi 2024

9:30 am Thursday, 14 March 2024

Ngake (16.09), Level 16, Tahiwī

113 The Terrace

Pōneke | Wellington



PRESENT

Mayor Whanau (Chair)

Deputy Mayor Foon

Councillor Abdurahman

Councillor Apanowicz

Councillor Brown (Deputy Chair)

Councillor Calvert

Councillor Chung

Councillor Free

Pouiwi Hohaia

Pouiwi Kelly

Councillor Matthews

Councillor McNulty

Councillor O'Neill

Councillor Pannett

Councillor Randle

Councillor Rogers

Councillor Wi Neera

Councillor Young

TABLE OF CONTENTS

14 MARCH 2024

Business	Page No.
1. Meeting Conduct	5
1.1 Karakia	5
1.2 Apologies	5
1.3 Conflict of Interest Declarations	5
1.4 Confirmation of Minutes	5
1.5 Items not on the Agenda	5
1.6 Public Participation	5
2. General Business	6
2.1 Regional collaboration on a water services delivery plan	6
2.2 Proposed District Plan Intensification Decisions	6
2.3 Actions Tracking and Forward Programme	44

1. Meeting Conduct

1.1 Karakia

The Chairperson declared the meeting (hui) open at 9:30am and read the following karakia to open the hui.

**Whakataka te hau ki te uru,
Whakataka te hau ki te tonga.
Kia mākinakina ki uta,
Kia mātaratara ki tai.
E hī ake ana te atākura.
He tio, he huka, he hauhū.
Tihei Mauri Ora!**

Cease oh winds of the west
and of the south
Let the bracing breezes flow,
over the land and the sea.
Let the red-tipped dawn come
with a sharpened edge, a touch of frost,
a promise of a glorious day

1.2 Apologies

Moved Mayor Whanau, seconded Deputy Mayor Foon

Resolved

That the Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee:

1. Accept the apologies received from Councillor McNulty for Lateness

Carried

(Councillor McNulty joined the hui at 9:32am)

1.3 Conflict of Interest Declarations

No conflicts of interest were declared.

1.4 Confirmation of Minutes

Moved Mayor Whanau, seconded Deputy Mayor Foon

Resolved

That the Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee:

1. Approves the minutes of the Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee Meeting held on 1 February 2024, having been circulated, that they be taken as read and confirmed as an accurate record of that meeting.

Carried

1.5 Items not on the Agenda

There were no items not on the agenda.

1.6 Public Participation

There were no public participants.

2. General Business

2.1 Regional collaboration on a water services delivery plan

Moved Councillor Brown, seconded Deputy Mayor Foon

Resolved

That the Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee:

- 1) Receives the information
- 2) Notes the Government's intended legislative changes to give effect to its Local Water Done Well policy, including the requirement on councils to develop a water service delivery plan
- 3) Approves signing of an MoU to jointly develop a water service delivery plan with the other councils within the Wellington region
- 4) Agrees to delegate authority to the Chief Executive to finalise the MoU, consistent with discussions and any amendments made by council.
- 5) Agrees to nominate the Mayor to be council's representative on the Advisory Oversight Group for the joint water service delivery plan process, and Councillor Brown as support and alternate.

Carried

2.2 Proposed District Plan Intensification Decisions

Moved Mayor Whanau, seconded Councillor Apanowicz

That the Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee:

- 1) Receive the information
- 2) Receives the Recommendation Reports and Recommendations on Submissions Reports prepared by the Independent Hearings Panel for the hearing of submissions and further submissions on the Proposed District Plan in relation to Hearing Streams 1 to 5 and the 'ISPP Wrap-up Hearing', which are provided at **Attachment 1 (Recommendation Reports and Recommendations on Submissions Reports)**.
- 3) Notes that:
 - a. Officers have undertaken a full District Plan Review as directed by the Council on 27 June 2018, resulting in notification of the Proposed District Plan on 18 July 2022;
 - b. The Proposed District Plan gives effect to the direction set in the [Our City Tomorrow – He Mahere Mokowā mō Pōneke A Spatial Plan for Wellington City 2021](#), and that the Hearings to date have covered matters that provide for:
 - i. Greater recognition of mana whenua values and the promotion of an active partnership in resource management processes;

- ii. Upzoning to enable more housing capacity and housing choice in and around the City centre, suburban centres, and the City's train stations;
 - iii. Intensification and more mixed use within the existing urban area which supports the City's goal of becoming carbon neutral by 2050;
 - iv. Focussed character protections in the inner suburbs focused on higher quality character areas;
 - v. A risk-based approach to managing natural hazards, the impacts of sea level rise and climate change that balances intensification with adaptation;
 - vi. The heritage listing of new areas, buildings, objects, archaeological sites, and notable trees to protect them from inappropriate use and development, while enabling their sustainable long term use; and
 - vii. New amenity controls and design guides to ensure high quality urban development;
- c. In accordance with the decision of the Pūroro Āmua – Planning and Environment Committee (disestablished October 2022) two statutory processes under the Resource Management Act 1991 have been followed:
- i. The Intensification Streamlined Planning Process, which relates to housing and business intensification provisions and incorporates the legislatively-mandated Medium Density Residential Standards; and
 - ii. The Part 1, Schedule 1 process relates to the remaining parts of the PDP not related to intensification, for example residential provisions not relating to intensification, provisions relating to special purpose zones, open space zones and rural areas, temporary activities, signs, notable trees, quarrying, and hazardous substances;
 - iii. The Proposed District Plan provisions approved under the Intensification Streamlined Planning Process will by law be deemed to be approved by the Council and become operative on that notification date;
 - iv. The provisions approved under the Part 1 Schedule 1 process will be subject to an appeal period that closes 30 working days after notification of the decisions. If no appeals are received, then the provisions are considered to be beyond challenge and are deemed operative from the closing of the appeal period. Provisions that are appealed will take longer to resolve;
- 4) Resolves to adopt and approve the Independent Hearings Panel's recommendations in respect of changes to plan provisions, mapping and Recommendations on Submissions per the Panel's Recommendation Reports and appendices **except for the following recommendations:**
- a) ***Matter: Hydraulic Neutrality (application to CCZ)***
Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 5C paras 5 and 46; and refer to the Minister an alternative that:
Three Waters Chapter rule THW-R6 (Hydraulic neutrality - four or more residential units and non-residential buildings) apply to the City Centre Zone, as notified in the 2022 Proposed District Plan.

Reason: Council agrees with the reasons set out in the reporting officer Ms Cook's [Section 42A Report - Three Waters paragraph 309](#), Ms Cook's [supplementary planning evidence](#) (in particular para 29), and Ms Cook's [Right of Reply](#) (in particular para 26).

- 5) Resolves to publicly notify the decisions on the Proposed District Plan provisions no later than Tuesday 16 April 2024, and serve that decision on every person who made a submission on the matters covered within the first set of hearings;
- 6) Resolves that Officers are to report to Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee with a paper outlining the process and content for an omnibus plan change to correct any errors or out of scope concerns raised during this process following the conclusion of Schedule 1 part 1 hearings on the District Plan.
- 7) Resolves that Officers are to report to Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee alongside Takai Here partners to detail the process and commitments needed to advance a Te Ao Māori plan change incorporating Papakainga and other areas of interest to Mana Whenua.
- 8) Delegates to the Manager District Planning the power to correct minor errors to the plan change prior to that public notification, under sch. 1 cl. 95(2)(o) of the RMA; and
- 9) Delegates to the Chair of the Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee to sign and send a letter referring any rejected IHP recommendations with their alternatives and reasons to the Minister of the Environment in accordance with the resolutions of the committee.
- 10) Instruct officers to report back to the Council on the most suitable zone for the Brooklyn Community Centre land, after consultation with the Brooklyn Community Association.
- 11) Instruct officers to report back to the Council on the next steps to protect a route for mass rapid transit, that includes:
 - Let's Get Wellington Moving work done on a preferred mass rapid transit route, stations and mode.
 - Resources and timeframes required to apply for a designation in the district plan for a mass rapid transit route.
 - Process options to apply for a designation, including public consultation.
 - The location(s) where a mass rapid transit route protection could terminate.
 - How the project would complement work being undertaken by WCC and GWRC on enhanced bus connections.
- 12) Instruct officers to report back to Council on how the concerns raised by Save our Venues in submission #445 on the 2022 Proposed District Plan may be addressed to ensure that music and concert venues in the City Centre can continue operating.
- 13) Instruct officers to report back to Council on the next steps for updating the '*Our City Tomorrow – He Mahere Mokowā A Spatial Plan for Wellington City*' to provide a co-ordinated infrastructure programme to meet the decisions of the Council and Minister through the District Plan, focussing particularly on catchment and area scale stormwater management solutions, including the purpose and function of public spaces and their potential role in the integrated management of water infrastructure and reducing impacts on the stormwater network.

Secretarial Note: The motion moved differed from the recommendations in the officers' report. The changes are marked in red.

The hui adjourned at 9.40am and returned at 9.45am with all members present.

(Councillor Calvert left the hui at 10:02am)
(Councillor Wi Neera left the hui at 10:06am)
(Councillor Wi Neera rejoined the hui at 10:10am)
(Councillor Calvert rejoined the hui at 10:11am)

The hui adjourned at 10.24am and returned at 10.48am with all members present.

The hui adjourned at 11.07am and returned at 11.10am with the following members present: Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouwi Hohaia, Pouwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young, and Councillor Rogers.

(Councillor McNulty rejoined the hui at 11:12am)
(Councillor Calvert left the hui at 11.29am)

Moved Councillor Matthews, seconded Deputy Mayor Foon the following amendment

Resolved

4) Resolves to adopt and approve the Independent Hearings Panel's recommendations in respect of changes to plan provisions, mapping and Recommendations on Submissions per the Panel's Recommendation Reports and appendices **except for the following recommendations:**

b) *Matter: Adelaide Road area within CCZ*

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in Report 4B, para 11 and Report 4B, para 106;

and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Retains the City Centre zoning and the associated zone-based provisions and associated spatial layers as it relates to Adelaide Road between Rugby St and John Street in the notified 2022 Proposed District Plan.

Reason: Council agrees with the reasons stated in the [Hearing Stream 4 Section 42A Report – Part 1 – City Centre Zone](#), paragraph 118, including Figures 11 and 12.

c) *Matter: Walkable catchment around CCZ*

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in Report 1A as it relates to the City Centre Zone walkable catchment for NPS-UD: paras 6c, 299, 303, 341; Report 2A, as it relates to the Council amendment: paras 687, 696, 701, 703, 704, 707, 724; and Report 4C, para 515 as it relates to maximum height; Report 3B, paras 107, 111, 113 as it relates to Kelburn High Density Residential Zone and viewshafts;

and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

1. Within a City Centre Zone walkable catchment as shown in the attached map A: The City Centre Zone Walkable Catchment as per Section 42A Report Recommendations, which applies the 15 minute walkable catchment as recommended in [Hearing Stream 1 Section 42A report](#) para 360, and with the minor adjustment around Hay Street identified in the [Hearing Stream 1 Addendum to Council Officers Right of Reply](#) para 12:

- All residential areas are to be High Density Residential Zone, as shown in the Map A.
- All centres within this identified City Centre Zone walkable catchment to have a maximum height standard of 22 m where the IHP recommended maximum height is under 22 m.
- These amendments do not apply to qualifying matter areas (e.g. character precincts, heritage areas, high natural hazard overlays) which retain their 2022 notified PDP zoning and heights as further amended by Council decisions 14 March 2024.

The measurement of the walkable catchment uses the methods described in the [Walking Network Statement of Evidence](#) at Hearing 1. It rejects the additional factors recommended by the Hearings Panel e.g. distance from the core of the City Centre Zone, and desirability. The specific mapping metadata and process to draw the walkable catchment and zoning will be supplementary evidence to the Minister.

2. Adds a new © into Viewshafts chapter rule VIEW-R2.2 [permitted activity High Density Residential Zone]: “any building or structure in Kelburn does not intrude into Viewshafts 13, 14 or 15.”

Reason: Council agrees with these submitters’ reasons, as relevant, about why a walkable catchment based on 15 minutes from the City Centre Zone and the Wellington City Spatial Plan’s general identification of the Central City 15 minute walkable catchment in [Vol. 3: Our Plan – Inner Suburbs](#)) is most appropriate catchment for giving effect to NPS-UD Policy 3:

- Kāinga Ora #391, Cameron Vannisselroy #157, MHUD #121, Grant Buchan #143, Gen Zero #254, Paihikara Ki Pōneke Cycle Wellington #302, Rod Bray #311, Trevor Farrer #332, Property Council #338, WCC ERG #377, Miriam Moore #433, Rachel Leilani #464, Escape Investments #484, Jonathan Markwick #490, Simon Ross #37, Elayna Chhiba #480, Zoe Ogilvie-Burns #131, Anne Lian #132, Robert Murray #133, Olivier Reuland #134, Ella Patterson #138, Braydon White #146, Jill Ford #163, Amos Mann #172, Patrick Wilkes #173, Peter Gent #179, Peter Nunns #196, Andrew Flanagan #198, Richard W Keller #232, Regan Dooley #239, Svend Heeselholt Henne Hansen #308, Henry Bartholomew Nankivell Zwart #378, Matthew Tamati Reweti #394, David Cadman #398, Emma Osborne #410, Luke Stewart #422, Daniel Christopher Murray Grantham #468, Parents for Climate Aotearoa #472.

Council agrees with the reporting officer’s evidence in Hearing Stream 1 [Section 42A report on plan-wide matters and strategic direction](#) paras 349 – 360 90; and in the Hearing Stream 1 [Right of Reply Addendum evidence](#) from Mr Wharton (paras 8 – 12).

It also accepts the submitter evidence tabled at Hearing 1 from [Matt Heale](#) (paras 4.14, 4.23 – 4.27), [Alastair Cribbens](#) (Request 1) and [Nick Rae](#) (paras 9.1 – 9.21) and Hearing 2 from [Alastair Cribbens](#) (paras 6.1 – 8.1) and [Matt Heale](#) (paras 5.10 – 5.12, 5.25 – 5.29), as far as it supports the Council’s alternative recommendation.

Council notes that this amendment aligns with the [Wellington City Spatial Plan 2021 content](#) on a Central City walkable catchment to apply NPS-UD Policy 3c.

Council agrees with submitter Jonathan Markwick (#490) that if high density residential buildings are allowed in Kelburn, that the viewshafts from the top of the cable car should be protected. Council also agrees with the reporting officer’s evidence on this point in [Hearing Stream 3 Right of Reply Response](#), paras 38 – 50.

d) **Matter: Hay Street**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in Report 1A, para 6©(vii) for properties south of #7 and #8 Hay Street; Report 1A, para 341(g) for properties south of #7 and #8 Hay Street and Report 2A, para 706 for properties south of #7 and #8 Hay Street;

and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

The High Density Residential Zone and maximum heights limits are applied to Hay Street in the same way as other area within a walking catchment of the City Centre Zone without any Hay Street exemption.

Reason: Council agrees with the reporting officer’s evidence in Hearing Stream 1 [Section 42A report on plan-wide matters and strategic direction](#) para 90; and in the Hearing Stream 1 [Right of Reply evidence](#) from: Mr Wharton (paras 112 – 113); Ms Mandic (Appendix 2); and Ms Hammond (Appendix 3) that the area of Hay Street is within the area of the walkable catchment and upzoning gives effect to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD.

e) **Matter: Character Precincts**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 2B para 481; report 2A para 823; and Report 4C para 522;

and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

The extent of Character Precincts remain the same as that in the notified 2022 Proposed District Plan.

Areas of extended Character Precincts as recommended by the Independent Hearings Panel that were recommended to be consequentially downzoned to Medium Density Residential Zone from High Density Residential Zone or that has their Medium Density Residential Zone maximum height limits reduced, be reverted back to their notified Medium Density Residential and High Density Residential Zone, with their notified maximum height limits.

Consequential on the above relief, the maximum building height within the Berhampore Neighbourhood Centre Zone to retain the notified 22m limit.

Reason: Council considers that more weight should be applied to the national significance of urban development, therefore the notified extent of character precincts is the most appropriate way to give effect to the NPS-UD.

Relatedly, Council agrees with submitter Generation Zero #254 that character precincts should only be applied in small areas with high concentration of character following rigorous site specific analysis.

Given the rejection of expanded character precincts, Council agrees with submitter Kainga ora #391 that greater height limits in Berhampore Neighbourhood Centre Zone are appropriate.

f) **Matter: Moir and Hania street interface**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 4B para 40; and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

The height in relation to boundary controls and building height settings in the City Centre Zone managing the interface of Hania and Moir Streets be returned back to the notified 2022 Proposed District Plan numbers (with the retention of Independent Hearing Panel recommendation for CCZ-S1 to be height thresholds, not maximum heights).

Reason: Council agrees with the following submitters to retain the building heights set out in CCZ-S1 and height in relation to boundary controls CCZ-S3 as relates to the area of Hania Street as notified on the edge of the City Centre Zone:

- Wellington City Youth Council #201, Restaurant Brands Limited #349, Fire and Emergency NZ #273, Century Group limited #238.

g) **Matter: Setbacks for 1-3 residential units**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 2A para 200 in relation to para 199(m), 419 and 473;

and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

The development of 1-3 residential units in the Medium and High Density Residential Zones have no minimum front or side yard requirements.

Reason: Council agrees with the following submitters' reasons why having no minimum front or side yard setback requirements for the development of 1-3 residential units in the Medium and High Density Residential Zones per the notified 2022 Proposed District Plan is appropriate:

- Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated #350, Kainga Ora #391, Environmental Reference Group #377.

14) Instruct officers to report back to Council on how the district plan Noise chapter should enable broadcasted calls to prayer in the City.

Carried

Secretarial Note: Voting was taken in parts. All clauses were taken separately. All clauses were carried.

A division was called for under Standing Order 27.6(b), voting on which was as follows:

Clause 4b:

For:

Mayor Whanau (Chair), Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown (Deputy Chair), Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Chung, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Calvert

Majority Vote: 13:4

Carried

Clause 4c.1:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouivi Hohaia, Pouivi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Brown, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Calvert

Majority Vote: 11:6

Carried

Clause 4c.2:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouivi Hohaia, Pouivi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young, Councillor Pannett

Against:

Councillor Chung

Absent:

Councillor Calvert

Majority Vote: 16:1

Carried

Clause 4d:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouivi Hohaia, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Brown, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Pouivi Kelly, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Calvert

Majority Vote: 10:7

Carried

Clause 4e:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Brown, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Calvert

Majority Vote: 11:6

Carried

Clause 4f:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Brown, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Calvert

Majority Vote: 11:6

Carried

Clause 4g:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Brown, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Calvert

Majority Vote: 11:6

Carried

Clause 14:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Calvert

Majority Vote: 10:7

Carried

The hui adjourned at 11.55am and returned at 12.34pm with the following members present: Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Wī Neera, Councillor Young, and Councillor Rogers.
(Councillor Chung rejoined the hui at 12.35pm)
(Councillor O'Neill rejoined the hui at 12.35pm)

Moved Councillor Free, seconded Councillor Brown the following amendment

Resolved

- 4) Resolves to adopt and approve the Independent Hearings Panel's recommendations in respect of changes to plan provisions, mapping and Recommendations on Submissions per the Panel's Recommendation Reports and appendices **except for the following recommendations:**

t) ***Matter: Kilbirnie plan change***

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in Report 1A paras 5, 336, 337; and Report 2A paras 690, 711, 712; that alter the Proposed Plan to include a walkable catchment and consequential zoning for Kilbirnie:

and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

NPS-UD Policy 3(c) will instead be implemented in a Part 1, Schedule 1 district plan change, with early consultation with the community that includes the Independent Hearing Panel's recommended walkable catchment and High Density Residential Zone around Kilbirnie Metropolitan Centre Zone. The plan change will be notified within one year of the Minister's acceptance on this topic.

Reason: Council agrees with the view of the reporting officer in the Section 42A Report (Stream 1, Part 1 para 373) that "the Council has not proposed the upzoning at any stage: Draft Spatial Plan, Final Spatial Plan, Draft plan nor [Proposed] plan. The effects (both positive and adverse) of enabling six storey buildings may be significant. Landowners and residents affected have not had the opportunity to consider and submit on the change. From a best-practice engagement perspective, it would be best for this scale of upzoning to be discussed with the community about its implications and let them have their say. While the NPS-UD requirement to enable six stories in this area would remain, people may raise relevant points about a High Density Residential Zone boundary ... or other matters."

The change arose from submissions highlighting how the NPS-UD and its qualifying matters (such as natural hazard overlays) had been applied around Kilbirnie.

This creates a situation of natural justice – where other areas of the City had the opportunity to provide their views through consultation but not the people of Kilbirnie.

The Section 42A Report officer advice that that community consultation would be desirable given the scale of change now proposed was apparently overlooked by the IHP panel with the Chair claiming that advice had not been provided when questioned at the Q&A session.

Carried

Secretarial Note: A division was called for under Standing Order 27.6(b), voting on which was as follows:

Clause 4t:

For:

Mayor Whanau (Chair), Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown (Deputy Chair), Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouwi Hohaia, Pouwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Majority Vote: 18:0

Carried

Moved Councillor Wi Neera, seconded Councillor O'Neill the following amendment

Resolved

- 4) Resolves to adopt and approve the Independent Hearings Panel's recommendations in respect of changes to plan provisions, mapping and Recommendations on Submissions per the Panel's Recommendation Reports and appendices **except for the following recommendations:**

h) *Matter: Johnsonville Line and its walkable catchments*

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in reports Report 1A: paras 4, 232, 233, 235, 237, 238, 299 (in relation to Johnsonville Line); Report 2A: paras 31(a), 728, 729, 730; Report 4C: paras 15, 261, 415, 595 (in relation to centres within 10 minutes of Johnsonville Line stations); and any other more general Independent Hearings Panel statements that are contrary to centres within 10 minutes walkable catchment of Johnsonville Line stations having a maximum height standard less than 22 m.

and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

1. Within the ten minute walkable catchments of the train stations of Crofton Downs, Ngaio, Awarua Street, Simla Crescent, Box Hill, Khandallah and Raroa, as shown in the attached Map B: The Johnsonville Train Line and 10 minute Walkable Catchments from its Stations, which is in turn based on the 2021 Spatial Plan for Wellington City: [Our Plan – Outer Suburbs](#):

- All residential areas are to be to be High Density Residential Zone, as shown in the Map B.
- All centres and mixed use zones within these identified 10 minute walkable catchments to have a maximum height standard of 22 m where the Independent Hearings Panel recommended maximum height is under 22 m.
- These amendments do not apply to qualifying matter areas (e.g. character precincts, heritage areas, high natural hazard overlays) which retain their notified 2022 Proposed District Plan zoning and heights as amended by Council decisions 14 March 2024.

The measurement of the walkable catchment uses the methods described in the [Walking Network Statement of Evidence](#) at Hearing 1. It rejects the additional factors recommended by the Hearings Panel e.g. desirability and footpath quality. The specific mapping metadata and process to draw the walkable catchment and zoning will be supplementary evidence to the Minister.

2. Amend the Rapid Transit definition to add "... includes the Kapiti Rail Line, the Johnsonville Line and the Hutt/Melling Line."

3. Amend the Rapid Transit Stop definition to add: "... include Wellington Railway Station, Ngauranga Railway Station, the Johnsonville Line's Crofton Downs, Ngaio, Awarua Street, Simla Crescent, Box Hill, Khandallah, Raroa and Johnsonville stations, and the Kapiti Rail Line's Takapu Road, Redwood, Tawa and Linden stations. The Kenepuru Rail Station is a rapid transit stop but only part of its walkable catchment is within Wellington City."

Reason: Council agrees with the submitters' reasons that the Johnsonville Line is 'rapid transit' and that the appropriate way to give effect to Policy 3c of the NPS-UD is to apply it within a 10 minute walkable catchment around each of the Johnsonville Line's rapid transit stops.

Jack Chu #4, Simon Ross #37, Noelle Pause #55, Stephen Pause #64, Conor Hill #76, Hugh Good #90, Ministry of Housing and Urban Development #121, Cameron Vannisselroy #157, Patrick Wilkes #173, Wellington Youth Council #201, Anna Jackson #222, Regan Dooley #239, Generation Zero Wellington #254, Dawid Wojasz #295, Paihikara Ki Pōneke Cycle Wellington #302, Bruce Rae #334, Greater Wellington Regional Council #351, Waka Kotahi #370, WCC Environmental Reference Group #377, Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities #391, Investore Property Limited #405, VicLabour #414, Miriam Moore #433, Michelle Rush #436, Stride Investment Management Limited #470, Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira #488, Jonathan Markwick #49, Investore #405, Stride #470.

It also accepts the submitter evidence tabled at Hearing 1 from [Joe Jeffries](#) (paras 4.1 - 4.7), [Mark Georgeson](#) (paras 4.1 - 6.12), [Matt Heale](#) (paras 4.8 - 4.22), [Mike Cullen](#) (paras 7.1 - 7.16) and [Alastair Cribbens](#) (paras 5.1 - 6.7) and at Hearing 2 from [Matt Heale](#) (paras 5.10 - 5.12, 5.25 - 5.29).

i) **Matter: Kapiti Line walkable catchments**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in Report 1A, para 317, 312 and 321; and Report 2A, para 739;

and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

1. Within the ten minute walkable catchments of the train stations of Takapu Road, Redwood and Linden, as shown in the attached Map C: The Kapiti Train Line and 10 Minute Walkable Catchments from its Stations in the Tawa Suburb, which is in turn based on the 2021 Spatial Plan for Wellington City: [Our Plan – Outer Suburbs](#):

- All residential areas are to be High Density Residential Zone, as shown in Map C. Map C also includes the walkable catchments of Tawa and Kenepuru as unchanged from the IHP recommendations, in order to show the collective walkable catchment for these rapid transit stations in the Tawa suburb.
- All centres zones within these identified 10 minute walkable catchments to have a maximum height standard of 22 m where the Independent Hearings Panel recommended maximum height is under 22 m.
- All mixed use and industrial zones within these identified 10 minute walkable catchments to have a restricted discretionary activity maximum height standard of 22 m where the IHP recommended maximum height is under 22 m.
- These amendments do not apply to qualifying matter areas (e.g. character precincts, heritage areas, high natural hazard overlays) which retain their notified 2022 Proposed District Plan zoning and heights as amended by Council decisions 14 March 2024.

The measurement of the walkable catchment uses the methods described in the [Walking Network Statement of Evidence](#) at Hearing 1. It rejects the additional factors recommended by the Hearings Panel e.g. desirability and topography. The specific mapping metadata and process to draw the walkable catchment and zoning will be supplementary evidence to the Minister.

Reason: Council agrees with the following submitters' reasons about why a 10 minute walkable catchment from the Takapu Road, Redwood and Linden Stations is the most appropriate:

- WCC Environmental Reference Group #377, Penny Griffith #418, Murray Pillar #393, Johnathon Marwkick #490, 292 Main Road Ltd #105, Waka Kotahi #370, Kāinga Ora #391.

It also accepts the submitter evidence tabled at Hearing 2 from [Matt Heale](#) (paras 5.10 – 5.12, 5.25 – 5.29). It also agrees with the reporting officer's evidence in Hearing Stream 1 [Section 42A Report Part 1 Plan-wide Matters and Strategic Direction](#), paras 266 - 306.

Carried

Secretarial Note: Voting was taken in parts. All clauses were taken separately. All clauses were carried.

A division was called for under Standing Order 27.6(b), voting on which was as follows:

Clause 4h:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Majority Vote: 10:8

Carried

Clause 4i:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Majority Vote: 10:8

Carried

The hui adjourned at 1.17pm and returned at 1.28pm with the following members present: Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young, and Councillor Rogers.

(Councillor O'Neill rejoined the hui at 1.29pm)

(Councillor O'Neill left the hui at 1.37pm)

(Councillor O'Neill rejoined the hui at 1.40pm)

Moved Councillor McNulty, seconded Pouiwi Hohaia the following amendment

Resolved

4) Resolves to adopt and approve the Independent Hearings Panel's recommendations in respect of changes to plan provisions, mapping and Recommendations on Submissions per the Panel's Recommendation Reports and appendices **except for the following recommendations:**

j) ***Matter: Gordon Wilson Flats***

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 441;
and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building - #299, 320 The Terrace, Gordon Wilson Flats be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

k) ***Matter: Gas Tank***

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 475;
and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building #511, 139 Park Road, Gas Tank (Former) be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

l) **Matter: Emeny House**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 556;
and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building #415, 1 Ranfurly Terrace, Emeny House (former) be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

m) **Matter: Kahn House**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 506;
and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building #520, 53 Trelissick Crescent, Kahn House be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

n) **Matter: Olympus Apartments**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 471;
and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building #510, 280 Oriental Parade, Olympus Apartments be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

o) **Matter: Wharenui Apartments**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 467;
and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building #509, 274 Oriental Parade, Wharenui Apartments be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

p) **Matter: Robert Stout building**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 456;
and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building #497, 21 Kelburn Parade, Robert Stout Building be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

q) **Matter: Primitive Church**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 454;
and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building #490, 24 Donald McLean Street, Former Primitive Methodist Church be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

r) **Matter: Masonic Hall**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 445;
and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building #366, 25-29 Phillip Street, Johnsonville Masonic Hall be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

s) **Matter: Star of the Sea**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 435;
and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building #120, 69 Tio Tio Road, Our Lady Star of the Sea Chapel and Stellamaris Retreat House be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

Carried

Secretarial Note: Voting was taken in parts. All clauses were taken separately. All clauses were carried.

A division was called for under Standing Order 27.6(b), voting on which was as follows:

Clause 4j:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouwi Hohaia, Pouwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Councillor Chung, Councillor Pannett

Majority Vote: 16:2

Carried

Clause 4k:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouwi Hohaia, Pouwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Majority Vote: 18:0

Carried

Clause l:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouwi Hohaia, Pouwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Majority Vote: 13:5

Carried

Clause 4m

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouwi Hohaia, Pouwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Majority Vote: 13:5

Carried

Clause 4n:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Majority Vote: 12:6

Carried

Clause 4o:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett

Majority Vote: 15:3

Carried

Clause 4p:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Majority Vote: 13:5

Carried

Clause q:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Majority Vote: 12:6

Carried

Clause r:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Majority Vote: 13:5

Carried

Clause s:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Majority Vote: 12:6

Carried

(Councillor Brown left the hui at 2.11pm)

**Moved Councillor Pannett, seconded Councillor Young the following amendment
Resolved**

15) Instruct officers to report back to the Council on options to ensure assisted housing is built, including:

- Financial levers such as a contestable fund for new assisted housing developments.
- A district plan change to introduce financial contributions from urban developments to support assisted housing.
- A consistent regional approach to regulate and help fund assisted housing development.
- Ensuring that people can access affordable housing along a future mass rapid transit route towards Newtown and Kilbirnie.

Lost

Secretarial Note: A division was called for under Standing Order 27.6(b), voting on which was as follows:

Clause 15:

For:

Councillor Pannett

Against:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 1:16

Lost

The hui adjourned at 2.22pm and returned at 2.28pm with the following members present: Mayor Whanau, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Wī Neera, Councillor Young, and Councillor Rogers.

(Councillor Abdurahman rejoined the hui at 2.30pm)

Moved Mayor Whanau, seconded Councillor Apanowicz the substantive motion

Resolved

That the Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee:

- 1) Receive the information
- 2) Receives the Recommendation Reports and Recommendations on Submissions Reports prepared by the Independent Hearings Panel for the hearing of submissions and further submissions on the Proposed District Plan in relation to Hearing Streams 1 to 5 and the 'ISPP Wrap-up Hearing', which are provided at **Attachment 1 (Recommendation Reports and Recommendations on Submissions Reports)**.
- 3) Notes that:
 - a. Officers have undertaken a full District Plan Review as directed by the Council on 27 June 2018, resulting in notification of the Proposed District Plan on 18 July 2022;
 - b. The Proposed District Plan gives effect to the direction set in the [Our City Tomorrow – He Mahere Mokowā mō Pōneke A Spatial Plan for Wellington City 2021](#), and that the Hearings to date have covered matters that provide for:
 - i. Greater recognition of mana whenua values and the promotion of an active partnership in resource management processes;
 - ii. Upzoning to enable more housing capacity and housing choice in and around the City centre, suburban centres, and the City's train stations;
 - iii. Intensification and more mixed use within the existing urban area which supports the City's goal of becoming carbon neutral by 2050;
 - iv. Focussed character protections in the inner suburbs focused on higher quality character areas;
 - v. A risk-based approach to managing natural hazards, the impacts of sea level rise and climate change that balances intensification with adaptation;
 - vi. The heritage listing of new areas, buildings, objects, archaeological sites, and notable trees to protect them from inappropriate use and development, while enabling their sustainable long term use; and
 - vii. New amenity controls and design guides to ensure high quality urban development;
 - c. In accordance with the decision of the Pūroro Āmua – Planning and Environment Committee (disestablished October 2022) two statutory processes under the Resource Management Act 1991 have been followed:

- i. The Intensification Streamlined Planning Process, which relates to housing and business intensification provisions and incorporates the legislatively-mandated Medium Density Residential Standards; and
- ii. The Part 1, Schedule 1 process relates to the remaining parts of the PDP not related to intensification, for example residential provisions not relating to intensification, provisions relating to special purpose zones, open space zones and rural areas, temporary activities, signs, notable trees, quarrying, and hazardous substances;
- iii. The Proposed District Plan provisions approved under the Intensification Streamlined Planning Process will by law be deemed to be approved by the Council and become operative on that notification date;
- iv. The provisions approved under the Part 1 Schedule 1 process will be subject to an appeal period that closes 30 working days after notification of the decisions. If no appeals are received, then the provisions are considered to be beyond challenge and are deemed operative from the closing of the appeal period. Provisions that are appealed will take longer to resolve;

4) Resolves to adopt and approve the Independent Hearings Panel's recommendations in respect of changes to plan provisions, mapping and Recommendations on Submissions per the Panel's Recommendation Reports and appendices **except for the following recommendations:**

a) ***Matter: Hydraulic Neutrality (application to CCZ)***

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 5C paras 5 and 46; and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Three Waters Chapter rule THW-R6 (Hydraulic neutrality - four or more residential units and non-residential buildings) apply to the City Centre Zone, as notified in the 2022 Proposed District Plan.

Reason: Council agrees with the reasons set out in the reporting officer Ms Cook's [Section 42A Report](#) - Three Waters paragraph 309, Ms Cook's [supplementary planning evidence](#) (in particular para 29), and Ms Cook's [Right of Reply](#) (in particular para 26).

b) ***Matter: Adelaide Road area within CCZ***

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in Report 4B, para 11 and Report 4B, para 106;

and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Retains the City Centre zoning and the associated zone-based provisions and associated spatial layers as it relates to Adelaide Road between Rugby St and John Street in the notified 2022 Proposed District Plan.

Reason: Council agrees with the reasons stated in the [Hearing Stream 4 Section 42A Report – Part 1 – City Centre Zone](#), paragraph 118, including Figures 11 and 12.

c) **Matter: Walkable catchment around CCZ**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in Report 1A as it relates to the City Centre Zone walkable catchment for NPS-UD: paras 6c, 299, 303, 341; Report 2A, as it relates to the Council amendment: paras 687, 696, 701, 703, 704, 707, 724; and Report 4C, para 515 as it relates to maximum height; Report 3B, paras 107, 111, 113 as it relates to Kelburn High Density Residential Zone and viewshafts;

and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

1. Within a City Centre Zone walkable catchment as shown in the attached map A: The City Centre Zone Walkable Catchment as per Section 42A Report Recommendations, which applies the 15 minute walkable catchment as recommended in [Hearing Stream 1 Section 42A report](#) para 360, and with the minor adjustment around Hay Street identified in the [Hearing Stream 1 Addendum to Council Officers Right of Reply](#) para 12:

- All residential areas are to be High Density Residential Zone, as shown in the Map A.
- All centres within this identified City Centre Zone walkable catchment to have a maximum height standard of 22 m where the IHP recommended maximum height is under 22 m.
- These amendments do not apply to qualifying matter areas (e.g. character precincts, heritage areas, high natural hazard overlays) which retain their 2022 notified PDP zoning and heights as further amended by Council decisions 14 March 2024.

The measurement of the walkable catchment uses the methods described in the [Walking Network Statement of Evidence](#) at Hearing 1. It rejects the additional factors recommended by the Hearings Panel e.g. distance from the core of the City Centre Zone, and desirability. The specific mapping metadata and process to draw the walkable catchment and zoning will be supplementary evidence to the Minister.

2. Adds a new © into Viewshafts chapter rule VIEW-R2.2 [permitted activity High Density Residential Zone]: “any building or structure in Kelburn does not intrude into Viewshafts 13, 14 or 15.”

Reason: Council agrees with these submitters’ reasons, as relevant, about why a walkable catchment based on 15 minutes from the City Centre Zone and the Wellington City Spatial Plan’s general identification of the Central City 15 minute walkable catchment in [Vol. 3: Our Plan – Inner Suburbs](#)) is most appropriate catchment for giving effect to NPS-UD Policy 3:

- Kāinga Ora #391, Cameron Vannisselroy #157, MHUD #121, Grant Buchan #143, Gen Zero #254, Paihikara Ki Pōneke Cycle Wellington #302, Rod Bray #311, Trevor Farrer #332, Property Council #338, WCC ERG #377, Miriam Moore #433, Rachel Leilani #464, Escape Investments #484, Jonathan Markwick #490, Simon Ross #37, Elayna Chhiba #480, Zoe Ogilvie-Burns #131, Anne Lian #132, Robert Murray #133, Olivier Reuland #134, Ella Patterson #138, Braydon White #146, Jill Ford #163, Amos Mann #172, Patrick Wilkes #173, Peter Gent #179, Peter Nunns #196, Andrew Flanagan #198, Richard W Keller #232, Regan Dooley #239, Svend Heeselholt Henne Hansen #308, Henry Bartholomew Nankivell Zwart #378, Matthew Tamati Reweti #394, David Cadman #398, Emma Osborne #410, Luke Stewart #422, Daniel Christopher Murray Grantham #468, Parents for Climate Aotearoa #472.

Council agrees with the reporting officer's evidence in Hearing Stream 1 [Section 42A report on plan-wide matters and strategic direction](#) paras 349 – 360 90; and in the Hearing Stream 1 [Right of Reply Addendum evidence](#) from Mr Wharton (paras 8 – 12).

It also accepts the submitter evidence tabled at Hearing 1 from [Matt Heale](#) (paras 4.14, 4.23 – 4.27), [Alastair Cribbens](#) (Request 1) and [Nick Rae](#) (paras 9.1 – 9.21) and Hearing 2 from [Alastair Cribbens](#) (paras 6.1 – 8.1) and [Matt Heale](#) (paras 5.10 – 5.12, 5.25 – 5.29), as far as it supports the Council's alternative recommendation.

Council notes that this amendment aligns with the [Wellington City Spatial Plan 2021 content](#) on a Central City walkable catchment to apply NPS-UD Policy 3c.

Council agrees with submitter Jonathan Markwick (#490) that if high density residential buildings are allowed in Kelburn, that the viewshafts from the top of the cable car should be protected. Council also agrees with the reporting officer's evidence on this point in [Hearing Stream 3 Right of Reply Response](#), paras 38 – 50.

d) **Matter: Hay Street**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in Report 1A, para 6©(vii) for properties south of #7 and #8 Hay Street; Report 1A, para 341(g) for properties south of #7 and #8 Hay Street and Report 2A, para 706 for properties south of #7 and #8 Hay Street;

and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

The High Density Residential Zone and maximum heights limits are applied to Hay Street in the same way as other area within a walking catchment of the City Centre Zone without any Hay Street exemption.

Reason: Council agrees with the reporting officer's evidence in Hearing Stream 1 [Section 42A report on plan-wide matters and strategic direction](#) para 90; and in the Hearing Stream 1 [Right of Reply evidence](#) from: Mr Wharton (paras 112 – 113); Ms Mandic (Appendix 2); and Ms Hammond (Appendix 3) that the area of Hay Street is within the area of the walkable catchment and upzoning gives effect to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD.

e) **Matter: Character Precincts**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 2B para 481; report 2A para 823; and Report 4C para 522;

and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

The extent of Character Precincts remain the same as that in the notified 2022 Proposed District Plan.

Areas of extended Character Precincts as recommended by the Independent Hearings Panel that were recommended to be consequentially downzoned to Medium Density Residential Zone from High Density Residential Zone or that has their Medium Density Residential Zone maximum height limits reduced, be reverted back to their notified Medium Density Residential and High Density Residential Zone, with their notified maximum height limits.

Consequential on the above relief, the maximum building height within the Berhampore Neighbourhood Centre Zone to retain the notified 22m limit.

Reason: Council considers that more weight should be applied to the national significance of urban development, therefore the notified extent of character precincts is the most appropriate way to give effect to the NPS-UD.

Relatedly, Council agrees with submitter Generation Zero #254 that character precincts should only be applied in small areas with high concentration of character following rigorous site specific analysis.

Given the rejection of expanded character precincts, Council agrees with submitter Kainga ora #391 that greater height limits in Berhampore Neighbourhood Centre Zone are appropriate.

f) **Matter: Moir and Hania street interface**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 4B para 40; and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

The height in relation to boundary controls and building height settings in the City Centre Zone managing the interface of Hania and Moir Streets be returned back to the notified 2022 Proposed District Plan numbers (with the retention of Independent Hearing Panel recommendation for CCZ-S1 to be height thresholds, not maximum heights).

Reason: Council agrees with the following submitters to retain the building heights set out in CCZ-S1 and height in relation to boundary controls CCZ-S3 as relates to the area of Hania Street as notified on the edge of the City Centre Zone:

- Wellington City Youth Council #201, Restaurant Brands Limited #349, Fire and Emergency NZ #273, Century Group limited #238.

g) **Matter: Setbacks for 1-3 residential units**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 2A para 200 in relation to para 199(m), 419 and 473;

and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

The development of 1-3 residential units in the Medium and High Density Residential Zones have no minimum front or side yard requirements.

Reason: Council agrees with the following submitters' reasons why having no minimum front or side yard setback requirements for the development of 1-3 residential units in the Medium and High Density Residential Zones per the notified 2022 Proposed District Plan is appropriate:

- Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated #350, Kainga Ora #391, Environmental Reference Group #377.

h) **Matter: Johnsonville Line and its walkable catchments**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in reports Report 1A: paras 4, 232, 233, 235, 237, 238, 299 (in relation to Johnsonville Line); Report 2A: paras 31(a), 728, 729, 730; Report 4C: paras 15, 261, 415, 595 (in relation to centres within 10 minutes of Johnsonville Line stations); and any other more general Independent Hearings Panel statements that are contrary to centres within 10 minutes walkable catchment of Johnsonville Line stations having a maximum height standard less than 22 m.

and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

1. Within the ten minute walkable catchments of the train stations of Crofton Downs, Ngaio, Awarua Street, Simla Crescent, Box Hill, Khandallah and Raroa, as shown in the attached Map B: The Johnsonville Train Line and 10 minute Walkable Catchments from its Stations, which is in turn based on the 2021 Spatial Plan for Wellington City: [Our Plan – Outer Suburbs](#):

- All residential areas are to be to be High Density Residential Zone, as shown in the Map B.
- All centres and mixed use zones within these identified 10 minute walkable catchments to have a maximum height standard of 22 m where the Independent Hearings Panel recommended maximum height is under 22 m.
- These amendments do not apply to qualifying matter areas (e.g. character precincts, heritage areas, high natural hazard overlays) which retain their notified 2022 Proposed District Plan zoning and heights as amended by Council decisions 14 March 2024.

The measurement of the walkable catchment uses the methods described in the [Walking Network Statement of Evidence](#) at Hearing 1. It rejects the additional factors recommended by the Hearings Panel e.g. desirability and footpath quality. The specific mapping metadata and process to draw the walkable catchment and zoning will be supplementary evidence to the Minister.

2. Amend the Rapid Transit definition to add "... includes the Kapiti Rail Line, the Johnsonville Line and the Hutt/Melling Line."

3. Amend the Rapid Transit Stop definition to add: "... include Wellington Railway Station, Ngauranga Railway Station, the Johnsonville Line's Crofton Downs, Ngaio, Awarua Street, Simla Crescent, Box Hill, Khandallah, Raroa and Johnsonville stations, and the Kapiti Rail Line's Takapu Road, Redwood, Tawa and Linden stations. The Kenepuru Rail Station is a rapid transit stop but only part of its walkable catchment is within Wellington City."

Reason: Council agrees with the submitters' reasons that the Johnsonville Line is 'rapid transit' and that the appropriate way to give effect to Policy 3c of the NPS-UD is to apply it within a 10 minute walkable catchment around each of the Johnsonville Line's rapid transit stops.

Jack Chu #4, Simon Ross #37, Noelle Pause #55, Stephen Pause #64, Conor Hill #76, Hugh Good #90, Ministry of Housing and Urban Development #121, Cameron Vannisselroy #157, Patrick Wilkes #173, Wellington Youth Council #201, Anna Jackson #222, Regan Dooley #239, Generation Zero Wellington #254, Dawid Wojasz #295, Paihikara Ki Pōneke Cycle Wellington #302, Bruce Rae #334, Greater Wellington Regional Council #351, Waka Kotahi #370, WCC Environmental Reference Group #377, Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities #391, Investore Property Limited #405, VicLabour #414, Miriam Moore #433, Michelle Rush #436, Stride Investment Management Limited #470, Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira #488, Jonathan Markwick #49, Investore #405, Stride #470.

It also accepts the submitter evidence tabled at Hearing 1 from [Joe Jeffries](#) (paras 4.1 - 4.7), [Mark Georgeson](#) (paras 4.1 - 6.12), [Matt Heale](#) (paras 4.8 - 4.22), [Mike Cullen](#) (paras 7.1 - 7.16) and [Alastair Cribbens](#) (paras 5.1 - 6.7) and at Hearing 2 from [Matt Heale](#) (paras 5.10 - 5.12, 5.25 - 5.29).

i) **Matter: Kapiti Line walkable catchments**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in Report 1A, para 317, 312 and 321; and Report 2A, para 739;

and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

1. Within the ten minute walkable catchments of the train stations of Takapu Road, Redwood and Linden, as shown in the attached Map C: The Kapiti Train Line and 10 Minute Walkable Catchments from its Stations in the Tawa Suburb, which is in turn based on the 2021 Spatial Plan for Wellington City: [Our Plan – Outer Suburbs](#):
 - All residential areas are to be High Density Residential Zone, as shown in Map C. Map C also includes the walkable catchments of Tawa and Kenepuru as unchanged from the IHP recommendations, in order to show the collective walkable catchment for these rapid transit stations in the Tawa suburb.
 - All centres zones within these identified 10 minute walkable catchments to have a maximum height standard of 22 m where the Independent Hearings Panel recommended maximum height is under 22 m.
 - All mixed use and industrial zones within these identified 10 minute walkable catchments to have a restricted discretionary activity maximum height standard of 22 m where the IHP recommended maximum height is under 22 m.
 - These amendments do not apply to qualifying matter areas (e.g. character precincts, heritage areas, high natural hazard overlays) which retain their notified 2022 Proposed District Plan zoning and heights as amended by Council decisions 14 March 2024.

The measurement of the walkable catchment uses the methods described in the [Walking Network Statement of Evidence](#) at Hearing 1. It rejects the additional factors recommended by the Hearings Panel e.g. desirability and topography. The specific mapping metadata and process to draw the walkable catchment and zoning will be supplementary evidence to the Minister.

Reason: Council agrees with the following submitters' reasons about why a 10 minute walkable catchment from the Takapu Road, Redwood and Linden Stations is the most appropriate:

- WCC Environmental Reference Group #377, Penny Griffith #418, Murray Pillar #393, Johnathon Marwkick #490, 292 Main Road Ltd #105, Waka Kotahi #370, Kāinga Ora #391.

It also accepts the submitter evidence tabled at Hearing 2 from [Matt Heale](#) (paras 5.10 – 5.12, 5.25 – 5.29). It also agrees with the reporting officer's evidence in Hearing Stream 1 [Section 42A Report Part 1 Plan-wide Matters and Strategic Direction](#), paras 266 - 306.

j) **Matter: Gordon Wilson Flats**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 441;

and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building - #299, 320 The Terrace, Gordon Wilson Flats be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

k) **Matter: Gas Tank**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 475;
and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building #511, 139 Park Road, Gas Tank (Former) be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

l) **Matter: Emeny House**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 556;
and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building #415, 1 Ranfurly Terrace, Emeny House (former) be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

m) **Matter: Kahn House**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 506;
and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building #520, 53 Trelissick Crescent, Kahn House be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

n) **Matter: Olympus Apartments**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 471;
and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building #510, 280 Oriental Parade, Olympus Apartments be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

o) **Matter: Wharenui Apartments**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 467;
and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building #509, 274 Oriental Parade, Wharenui Apartments be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

p) **Matter: Robert Stout building**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 456;
and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building #497, 21 Kelburn Parade, Robert Stout Building be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

q) **Matter: Primitive Church**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 454;
and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building #490, 24 Donald McLean Street, Former Primitive Methodist Church be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

r) **Matter: Masonic Hall**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 445;
and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building #366, 25-29 Phillip Street, Johnsonville Masonic Hall be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

s) **Matter: Star of the Sea**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in report 3A para 435;
and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

Heritage building #120, 69 Tio Tio Road, Our Lady Star of the Sea Chapel and Stellamaris Retreat House be removed from SCHED1.

Reason: Council does not consider that retaining the building on the heritage schedule is the most efficient and effective option to give effect to the NPS-UD, because the heritage values are insufficient to justify accommodating historic heritage as a qualifying matter.

t) **Matter: Kilbirnie plan change**

Reject the Independent Hearing Recommendations in Report 1A paras 5, 336, 337; and Report 2A paras 690, 711, 712; that alter the Proposed Plan to include a walkable catchment and consequential zoning for Kilbirnie:

and refer to the Minister an alternative that:

NPS-UD Policy 3(c) will instead be implemented in a Part 1, Schedule 1 district plan change, with early consultation with the community that includes the Independent Hearing Panel's recommended walkable catchment and High Density Residential Zone around Kilbirnie Metropolitan Centre Zone. The plan change will be notified within one year of the Minister's acceptance on this topic.

Reason: Council agrees with the view of the reporting officer in the Section 42A Report (Stream 1, Part 1 para 373) that "the Council has not proposed the upzoning at any stage: Draft Spatial Plan, Final Spatial Plan, Draft plan nor [Proposed] plan. The effects (both positive and adverse) of enabling six storey buildings may be significant. Landowners and residents affected have not had the opportunity to consider and submit on the change. From a best-practice engagement perspective, it would be best for this scale of upzoning to be discussed with the community about its implications and let them have their say. While the NPS-UD requirement to enable six stories in this area would remain, people may raise relevant points about a High Density Residential Zone boundary ... or other matters."

The change arose from submissions highlighting how the NPS-UD and its qualifying matters (such as natural hazard overlays) had been applied around Kilbirnie.

This creates a situation of natural justice – where other areas of the City had the opportunity to provide their views through consultation but not the people of Kilbirnie.

The Section 42A Report officer advice that that community consultation would be desirable given the scale of change now proposed was apparently overlooked by the IHP panel with the Chair claiming that advice had not been provided when questioned at the Q&A session.

- 5) Resolves to publicly notify the decisions on the Proposed District Plan provisions no later than Tuesday 16 April 2024, and serve that decision on every person who made a submission on the matters covered within the first set of hearings;
- 6) Resolves that Officers are to report to Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee with a paper outlining the process and content for an omnibus plan change to correct any errors or out of scope concerns raised during this process following the conclusion of Schedule 1 part 1 hearings on the District Plan.
- 7) Resolves that Officers are to report to Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee alongside Takai Here partners to detail the process and commitments needed to advance a Te Ao Māori plan change incorporating Papakainga and other areas of interest to Mana Whenua.

- 8) Delegates to the Manager District Planning the power to correct minor errors to the plan change prior to that public notification, under sch. 1 cl. 95(2)(o) of the RMA; and
- 9) Delegates to the Chair of the Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee to sign and send a letter referring any rejected IHP recommendations with their alternatives and reasons to the Minister of the Environment in accordance with the resolutions of the committee.
- 10) Instruct officers to report back to the Council on the most suitable zone for the Brooklyn Community Centre land, after consultation with the Brooklyn Community Association.
- 11) Instruct officers to report back to the Council on the next steps to protect a route for mass rapid transit, that includes:
 - Let's Get Wellington Moving work done on a preferred mass rapid transit route, stations and mode.
 - Resources and timeframes required to apply for a designation in the district plan for a mass rapid transit route.
 - Process options to apply for a designation, including public consultation.
 - The location(s) where a mass rapid transit route protection could terminate.
 - How the project would complement work being undertaken by WCC and GWRC on enhanced bus connections.
- 12) Instruct officers to report back to Council on how the concerns raised by Save our Venues in submission #445 on the 2022 Proposed District Plan may be addressed to ensure that music and concert venues in the City Centre can continue operating.
- 13) Instruct officers to report back to Council on the next steps for updating the 'Our City Tomorrow – He Mahere Mokowā A Spatial Plan for Wellington City' to provide a co-ordinated infrastructure programme to meet the decisions of the Council and Minister through the District Plan, focussing particularly on catchment and area scale stormwater management solutions, including the purpose and function of public spaces and their potential role in the integrated management of water infrastructure and reducing impacts on the stormwater network.
- 14) Instruct officers to report back to Council on how the district plan Noise chapter should enable broadcasted calls to prayer in the City.

Carried

Secretarial Note: Voting was taken in parts. Clauses 1-3 and 6-9 were taken together. All remaining clauses were taken separately. All clauses were carried.

For the substantive motion, a division was called for under Standing Order 27.6(b), voting on which was as follows:

Clauses 1-3:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 17:0

Carried

Clause 4

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Calvert, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Councillor Chung, Councillor Randle

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 15:2

Carried

Clause 4a:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Calvert, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Councillor Chung, Councillor Randle

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 15:2

Carried

Clause 4b

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 12:5

Carried

Clause 4c:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 11:6

Carried

Clause 4d:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 11:6

Carried

Clause 4e:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 11:6

Carried

Clause 4f:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 11:6

Carried

Clause 4g:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 11:6

Carried

Clause 4h:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 10:7

Carried

Clause 4i:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 10:7

Carried

Clause 4j:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Councillor Pannett

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 16:1

Carried

Clause 4k:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 17:0

Carried

Clause 4l:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 12:5

Carried

Clause 4m:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 12:5

Carried

Clause 4n:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 11:6

Carried

Clause 4o:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Calvert, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 14:3

Carried

Clause 4p:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 13:4

Carried

Clause 4q:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouwi Hohaia, Pouwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 11:6

Carried

Clause r:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouwi Hohaia, Pouwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 13:4

Carried

Clause 4s:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouwi Hohaia, Pouwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 11:6

Carried

Clause 4t:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouwi Hohaia, Pouwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 17:0

Carried

Clause 5:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Calvert, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Councillor Chung, Councillor Randle

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 15:2

Carried

Clauses 6-9:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Councillor Free, Councillor Randle

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 15:2

Carried

Clause 10:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 17:0

Carried

Clause 11:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 13:4

Carried

Clause 12:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Randle

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 15:2

Carried

Clause 13:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Councillor Randle

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 16:1

Carried

Clause 14:

For:

Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Deputy Mayor Foon, Pouiwi Hohaia, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Kelly, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Councillor Brown

Majority Vote: 10:7

Carried

Secretarial Note: Maps A, B and C referred to in the above amendments and amended substantive are attached.

Attachments

- 1 Map A- CCZ S42a Walkable Catchment
- 2 Map B- JVL Train line Walkable Catchment
- 3 Map C- Kapiti Train line Walkable Catchment

Secretarial note: Some votes were made in error, and were corrected verbally following the vote. These minutes reflect the correct votes.

2.3 Actions Tracking and Forward Programme

Moved Mayor Whanau, seconded Deputy Mayor Foon

Resolved

That the Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee:

1. Receive the information.

Carried

The hui concluded at 3:11pm pm with the reading of the following karakia:

Unuhia, unuhia, unuhia ki te uru tapu nui	Draw on, draw on
Kia wātea, kia māmā, te ngākau, te tinana, te wairua	Draw on the supreme sacredness To clear, to free the heart, the body and the spirit of mankind
I te ara takatū	
Koia rā e Rongo, whakairia ake ki runga	Oh Rongo, above (symbol of peace)
Kia wātea, kia wātea	Let this all be done in unity
Āe rā, kua wātea!	

Authenticated: _____
Chair

Ordinary Meeting of Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee

Minute item attachments


9:30 am Thursday, 14 March 2024
Ngake (16.09), Level 16, Tahiwī
113 The Terrace
Pōneke | Wellington

Business

Page No.

2.2 Proposed District Plan Intensification Decisions


- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Map A- CCZ S42a Walkable Catchment | 2 |
| 2. Map B- JVL Train line Walkable Catchment | 3 |
| 3. Map C- Kapiti Train line Walkable Catchment | 4 |



Map A: The CCZ walkable catchment as per Section 42A Report recommendation

Date: 13/03/2024
Credit: City Insights GIS Team


Basemap credits: Eagle Technology, Land Information New Zealand, GEBCO, Community maps contributors, LINZ, Stats NZ, Esri, TomTom, Garmin, Foursquare, METI/NASA, USGS



Map B: The Johnsonville Train Line and 10 minute walkable catchments from its stations

Date: 13/03/2024
Credit: City Insights GIS Team

Basemap credits: Eagle Technology, Land Information New Zealand, GEBCO, Community maps contributors, LINZ, Stats NZ, Esri, TomTom, Garmin, Foursquare, METI/NASA, USGS



Map C: The Kapiti Train Line and 10 minute walkable catchments from its stations in the Tawa Suburb

Date: 18/03/2024
Credit: City Insights GIS Team