

Traffic Bylaw Review Submissions

Consultation Start date: 28 August 2015

Consultation Closed date: 30 September 2015

Submissions Received: 9

Oral submissions: 2



Submissions Published 2 October 2015

**Please note submission numbers 1 & 3 are missing as they were test submissions*

Contents Page

Submission No.	Name	Organisation	Oral Sub	Page No.
2	Ian Apperley			1
4	John Gill			3
5	Kate Clarke			5
6	Sanje Munathanthiri			7
7	Fiona Hodge			9
8	Bernard O'Shaughnessy		Y	11
9	Erin Tasker			13
10	Ellen Blake	Living Streets Aotearoa	Y	15
11	Viv Chapple			17

Submitter Details

First Name: **Ian**
Last Name: **Apperley**
Street: **12 Elphinstone Avenue**
Suburb: **Strathmore Park**
City: **Wellington**
Country: **New Zealand**
PostCode: **6022**

Wishes to be heard:

- Yes
 I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Correspondence to:

- Submitter
 Agent
 Both
-

Submission

Do you agree with the changes we have made to the Traffic Bylaw to make it clearer that residents can use electronic technology to pay for parking?

- Yes
 No

If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

Do you agree with the changes we have made to the Traffic Bylaw to make it clearer that the Council can use electronic technology to monitor parking space occupancy?

- Yes
 No

If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

Do you agree with the proposed removal of section 2.2 in the Traffic Bylaw (that stipulates car park spaces must be marked out on the road) to give the Council more flexibility with road markings?

- Yes
 No

If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

I do think that car spaces should be clearly marked in some way.

Is there anything you think should be added to and/or removed from the Traffic Bylaw to make it

better?

Comments

Do you have any other comments?

Comments

Attached Documents

File
Traffic Bylaw Review 2015

Review and amendments to Part 7: Traffic of the Wellington City Consolidated Bylaw 2008

Absolutely Positively
Wellington City Council
Me Heke Ki Pōneke

Section one - details for the submission form

Enter your name and contact details

Mr Mrs Ms Miss Dr

First name*

Last name

John Gill

Street address*

12 Huia Road, Hataitai, Wellington 6021

Phone/mobile

Email

0274 300 582 john.gillnz@gmail.com

* Mandatory fields

I am making a submission As an individual On behalf of an organisation I would like to make an oral submission

Name of organisation

We are keen to get your views on the Review and amendments to Part 7: Traffic of the Wellington City Consolidated Bylaw 2008

You can have your say:

- submitting online at wellington.govt.nz,
- emailing a submission policy.submission@wcc.govt.nz
- complete this form, detach and post back to us (no postage required)
- phoning us on 04 499 4444
- requesting an opportunity to make an oral submission.

Submissions close at 5pm, 30 September 2015.

Disclaimer:

All submissions (including name and contact details) are published and made available to elected members of the Council and the public. Personal information supplied will be used for the administration and reporting back to elected members of the Council and the public as part of the consultation process. All information collected will be held by Wellington City Council, 101 Wakefield Street, Wellington. Submitters have the right to access and correct personal information.

Questions

1. Do you agree with the changes we have made to the Traffic Bylaw to make it clearer that residents can use electronic technology to pay for parking? Yes No If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

Provided that cash card solutions are still available

2. Do you agree with the changes we have made to the Traffic Bylaw to make it clearer that the Council can use electronic technology to monitor parking space occupancy? Yes No If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

You need to show that it works well once where first it is proven more cost-effective

3. Do you agree with the proposed removal of section 2.2 in the Traffic Bylaw (that stipulates car park spaces must be marked out on the road) to give the Council more flexibility with road markings? Yes No If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

Drivers need a clear marking of the space they have paid for.

4. Is there anything you think should be added to and/or removed from the Traffic Bylaw to make it better? Your comments:

5. Do you have any other comments?

The case for change has not been proven.

1st fold here - fasten here once folded

**Please return this submission form
by 5pm on 30 September 2015.**

2nd fold here

470-07 31 AUG 15 - CHECKED BY NEW ZEALAND POST

Free Post Authority Number 2199

**Absolutely Positively
Wellington City Council**
Me Heke Ki Pōneke

>>> GOT A QUESTION? VISIT WWW.NZPOST.CO.NZ/HELP <<<



Freepost Wellington City Council
Proposal: Review and amendments to Part 7: Traffic of the
Wellington City Consolidated Bylaw 2008 (COP01)
Wellington City Council
PO Box 2199
Wellington 6140

Submitter Details

First Name: **Kate**
Last Name: **Clarke**
Street: **46A Wright Street**
Suburb: **Mount Cook**
City: **Wellington**
Country: **New Zealand**
PostCode: **6021**

Wishes to be heard:

- Yes
 I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Correspondence to:

- Submitter
 Agent
 Both
-

Submission

Do you agree with the changes we have made to the Traffic Bylaw to make it clearer that residents can use electronic technology to pay for parking?

- Yes
 No

If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

Do you agree with the changes we have made to the Traffic Bylaw to make it clearer that the Council can use electronic technology to monitor parking space occupancy?

- Yes
 No

If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

Do you agree with the proposed removal of section 2.2 in the Traffic Bylaw (that stipulates car park spaces must be marked out on the road) to give the Council more flexibility with road markings?

- Yes
 No

If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

Is there anything you think should be added to and/or removed from the Traffic Bylaw to make it better?

Comments

Do you have any other comments?

Comments

It's a real pity that litigious pedants are able to interfere with the council's attempts to make Wellington look as much fun as it is to live in.

Attached Documents

File
Traffic Bylaw Review 2015

Submitter Details

First Name: **Sanje**
Last Name: **Munathanthiri**
Street:
Suburb: **Churton Park**
City: **wellington**
Country: **New Zealand**
PostCode: **6037**

Wishes to be heard:

- Yes
 I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Correspondence to:

- Submitter
 Agent
 Both
-

Submission

Do you agree with the changes we have made to the Traffic Bylaw to make it clearer that residents can use electronic technology to pay for parking?

- Yes
 No

If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

Do you agree with the changes we have made to the Traffic Bylaw to make it clearer that the Council can use electronic technology to monitor parking space occupancy?

- Yes
 No

If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:
Makes this system open to exploitation

Do you agree with the proposed removal of section 2.2 in the Traffic Bylaw (that stipulates car park spaces must be marked out on the road) to give the Council more flexibility with road markings?

- Yes
 No

If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:
Clearly opens up the system for exploitation by parking wardens

Is there anything you think should be added to and/or removed from the Traffic Bylaw to make it better?

Comments

Do you have any other comments?

Comments

Attached Documents

File
Traffic Bylaw Review 2015

Submitter Details

First Name: **Fiona**
Last Name: **Hodge**
Street: **7 Northland Road**
Suburb: **Northland**
City: **Wellington**
Country: **New Zealand**
PostCode: **6012**

Wishes to be heard:

- Yes
 I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Correspondence to:

- Submitter
 Agent
 Both
-

Submission

Do you agree with the changes we have made to the Traffic Bylaw to make it clearer that residents can use electronic technology to pay for parking?

- Yes
 No

If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

Do you agree with the changes we have made to the Traffic Bylaw to make it clearer that the Council can use electronic technology to monitor parking space occupancy?

- Yes
 No

If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

Do you agree with the proposed removal of section 2.2 in the Traffic Bylaw (that stipulates car park spaces must be marked out on the road) to give the Council more flexibility with road markings?

- Yes
 No

If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

Is there anything you think should be added to and/or removed from the Traffic Bylaw to make it better?

Comments

Do you have any other comments?

Comments

Attached Documents

File
Traffic Bylaw Review 2015

Review and amendments to Part 7: Traffic of the Wellington City Consolidated Bylaw 2008

Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council
Me Heke Ki Pōneke

Section one - details for the submission form

Enter your name and contact details

Mr Mrs Ms Miss Dr

First name* Last name

Street address*

Phone/mobile Email

* Mandatory fields

I am making a submission As an individual On behalf of an organisation I would like to make an oral submission

Name of organisation

We are keen to get your views on the Review and amendments to Part 7: Traffic of the Wellington City Consolidated Bylaw 2008

You can have your say:

- submitting online at wellington.govt.nz,
- emailing a submission policy.submission@wcc.govt.nz
- complete this form, detach and post back to us (no postage required)
- phoning us on 04 499 4444
- requesting an opportunity to make an oral submission.

Submissions close at 5pm, 30 September 2015.

Disclaimer:

All submissions (including name and contact details) are published and made available to elected members of the Council and the public. Personal information supplied will be used for the administration and reporting back to elected members of the Council and the public as part of the consultation process. All information collected will be held by Wellington City Council, 101 Wakefield Street, Wellington. Submitters have the right to access and correct personal information.

Questions

1. Do you agree with the changes we have made to the Traffic Bylaw to make it clearer that residents can use electronic technology to pay for parking? Yes No If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

2. Do you agree with the changes we have made to the Traffic Bylaw to make it clearer that the Council can use electronic technology to monitor parking space occupancy? Yes No If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

3. Do you agree with the proposed removal of section 2.2 in the Traffic Bylaw (that stipulates car park spaces must be marked out on the road) to give the Council more flexibility with road markings? Yes No If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

The Blair St issue was silly by a judge.

Other comments :

4. Is there anything you think should be added to and/or removed from the Traffic Bylaw to make it better? Your comments:

a) in the picture on the front of the Statement proposal there is 6 traffic offences. It shows our footpaths are too wide, our roads are too narrow, the buses too big, & no room for cycle ways.

b) Give All Ratepayers a \$20 snapper card once a year to get them on the buses.

c) Open Newtown Library to 4pm on Saturday.

d) give Kilbirnie a Liquor Ban area.

e) Ban cars on week-days in CBD ~~between~~ during rush hours

f) I will NOT vote Nicole Young 4 Mayor, nor 4 anyone who supports her.

1st fold here - fasten here once folded

**Please return this submission form
by 5pm on 30 September 2015.**

2nd fold here

Free Post Authority Number 2199

**Absolutely Positively
Wellington City Council**

Me Heke Ki Pōneke

410-07 10 SEP 15 CARRIED BY NEW ZEALAND POST <<<

>>> GOT A QUESTION? VISIT WWW.FREEPOST.CO.NZ/HELP? <<<



FreePost Wellington City Council
Proposal: Review and amendments to Part 7: Traffic of the
Wellington City Consolidated Bylaw 2008 (COP01)
Wellington City Council
PO Box 2199
Wellington 6140

Submitter Details

First Name: **Erin**
 Last Name: **Tasker**
 Street: **84 Northland Road**
 Suburb: **Northland**
 City: **Wellington**
 Country: **New Zealand**
 PostCode: **6012**

Wishes to be heard:

- Yes
 I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Correspondence to:

- Submitter
 Agent
 Both
-

Submission

Do you agree with the changes we have made to the Traffic Bylaw to make it clearer that residents can use electronic technology to pay for parking?

- Yes
 No

If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

Do you agree with the changes we have made to the Traffic Bylaw to make it clearer that the Council can use electronic technology to monitor parking space occupancy?

- Yes
 No

If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

Do you agree with the proposed removal of section 2.2 in the Traffic Bylaw (that stipulates car park spaces must be marked out on the road) to give the Council more flexibility with road markings?

- Yes
 No

If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

Is there anything you think should be added to and/or removed from the Traffic Bylaw to make it better?

Comments

Do you have any other comments?

Comments

Attached Documents

File
Traffic Bylaw Review 2015



**Submission from Living Streets Aotearoa – Wellington
on Traffic Bylaw Review**

Contact person: **Ellen Blake**
 Email: wellington@livingstreets.org.nz
 Phone: **021 106 7139**
 Date: **30 September 2015**

Thank you for the opportunity to make this submission.

We wish to see the following amendments to the Traffic Bylaw:

We would like to see a

2.2 b and c- single and multiple parking meters – be oriented so that the obstruction of the footpath by meters and their users is minimised – this is a simple way to make better use of footpath space and minimise impact of car users on pedestrians.

4.1 b Add that the front or rear of a car not to overhang the footpath.

6.5 Motorbikes should be able to park in an area controlled by a multiple parking meter.

7.1d Fees charged for resident parking areas should reflect the opportunity cost of restricting public use of the space, and not just cost to Council.

7.8 b Same as above for exemption permits.

7.7 Resident parking permits should only be available to residents (and not non-resident landlords).

8.12f Should allow trade coupons to park in resident zones.

8.4.d.iii, “7.6” should be “8.6”

9.1 The only situation where a vehicle can park on a footpath is a bicycle in a Council designated bike park. We would like to see this limited and all other bicycle parking removed to the road.

9.5 Needs to specify ‘the removal of any cycle left in a parking space or on the footpath’, but what is in contravention of this clause? Is that 9.1

9.9 Bulk bin – add ‘or on a footpath’

10 There should be an ability to ‘book’ a particular parking space to carry out some work/s on payment of a fee – for example so that a builder can gain access to a building for a period or a removal company can book space so they can pack out a household over one or two days.

13 (x) cycle parking offence – should also apply on the footpath

Some of the offences for motorbikes should probably be changed so they are not tempted to park on the footpath.

General comment:

The document needs checking for consistency, particularly where different terms appear to be used to refer to the same thing. This is generally not considered good practice in legal documents because it could be inferred that the things being referred to are not the same.

Examples are:

- a) “the council”, “Council”;
- b) “resolution of council”, “Council resolution”, “resolution of the Council”, “the council by resolution”, “Resolution”, “resolution”;
- c) “motor-cycle”, “motorcycle”;
- d) “Residents’ Parking Scheme”, “Residents Parking Scheme”, “residents coupon parking scheme”; “residents permits”, “residents parking permits” (and other examples of omission or incorrect placement of apostrophes when referring to residents’ permits and parking areas);
- e) “coupon exemption permit”, “coupon parking exemption”, “exemption permit”;
- f) “Trade Coupon”, “Trade coupon”.

We would like to be heard in support of our submission.

About Living Streets

Living Streets Aotearoa is New Zealand’s national walking and pedestrian organisation, providing a positive voice for people on foot and working to promote walking friendly planning and development around the country. Our vision is “More people choosing to walk more often and enjoying public places”.

The objectives of Living Streets Aotearoa are:

- to promote walking as a healthy, environmentally-friendly and universal means of transport and recreation
- to promote the social and economic benefits of pedestrian-friendly communities
- to work for improved access and conditions for walkers, pedestrians and runners including walking surfaces, traffic flows, speed and safety
- to advocate for greater representation of pedestrian concerns in national, regional and urban land use and transport planning.

For more information, please see: www.livingstreets.org.nz

Submitter Details

First Name: **Viv**
 Last Name: **Chapple**
 Organisation: **n/a**
 On behalf of: **n/a**
 Street: **186 Cockayne Road**
 Suburb: **Ngaio**
 City: **Wellington**
 Country: **New Zealand**
 PostCode: **6035**

Wishes to be heard:

- Yes
 I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Correspondence to:

- Submitter
 Agent
 Both

Submission

Do you agree with the changes we have made to the Traffic Bylaw to make it clearer that residents can use electronic technology to pay for parking?

- Yes
 No

If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

Do you agree with the changes we have made to the Traffic Bylaw to make it clearer that the Council can use electronic technology to monitor parking space occupancy?

- Yes
 No

If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

I consider council double dips on parking space occupancy when there is a compulsory minimum charge, but the space becomes free. I like to share my paid up until coupon and spread a little joy in the world. I am surprised the cost of the technology is offset against income from parking. If it is, we are clearly paying too much!

Do you agree with the proposed removal of section 2.2 in the Traffic Bylaw (that stipulates car park spaces must be marked out on the road) to give the Council more flexibility with road markings?

- Yes
 No

If you disagree, can you tell us why? Your comments:

White lines are ugly but clearly understood. They rule out any ambiguity. They are so much a part of the urban landscape that I am surprised non drivers notice them.

Is there anything you think should be added to and/or removed from the Traffic Bylaw to make it better?

Comments

Do you have any other comments?

Comments

Attached Documents

File
Traffic Bylaw Review 2015