

**ORDINARY MEETING**

**OF**

**TAWA COMMUNITY BOARD**

**AGENDA**

**Time:** 7.00pm  
**Date:** Thursday, 12 May 2016  
**Venue:** Tawa Community Centre  
5 Cambridge Street  
Tawa  
Wellington

---

**MEMBERSHIP**

Graeme Hansen  
Richard Herbert  
Councillor Lester  
Margaret Lucas (Deputy Chair)  
Jack Marshall  
Councillor Sparrow  
Alistair Sutton  
Robert Tredger (Chair)

**Have your say!**

*You can make a short presentation to the Community Board Members at this meeting. Please let us know by noon the working day before the meeting. You can do this either by phoning 803-8334, emailing [public.participation@wcc.govt.nz](mailto:public.participation@wcc.govt.nz) or writing to Democratic Services, Wellington City Council, PO Box 2199, Wellington, giving your name, phone number and the issue you would like to talk about.*

---



---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
**12 MAY 2016**

---

<b>Business</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
<b>1. Meeting Conduct</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.1 Apologies</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.2 Conflict of Interest Declarations</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.3 Confirmation of Minutes</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.4 Public Participation</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.5 Deputations</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.6 Items not on the Agenda</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2. Oral Reports</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.1 Policing in Tawa</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.2 Bus Service in Woodman Drive</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.3 Spicer Landfill Update</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.4 Tawa Community Civic Awards 2016 Update</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.5 Tawa Community Board Discretionary Funding Update</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.6 Members' Reports</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3. Reports</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>3.1 Proposed Reserve Easement - Wall Park, Tawa</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>3.2 Tawa Community Board Quarterly Report</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>3.3 Report on Activities of Parks Sport &amp; Recreation to the end of March 2016</b>	<b>19</b>

<b>3.4 Resource Consent Applications and Approvals for 1 March 2016 to 30 April 2016.</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>3.5 Ratification of Submissions on the 2016-17 Annual Plan, Dog Policy and Animal Bylaw</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>3.6 Current and Upcoming Council Consultations Items</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>3.7 Forward Programme</b>	<b>45</b>

## **1 Meeting Conduct**

### **1.1 Apologies**

The Chairperson invites notice from members of apologies, including apologies for lateness and early departure from the meeting, where leave of absence has not previously been granted.

### **1.2 Conflict of Interest Declarations**

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

### **1.3 Confirmation of Minutes**

The minutes of the meeting held on 14 April 2016 will be put to the Tawa Community Board for confirmation.

### **1.4 Public Participation**

A period of at least 10 minutes shall be set aside near the beginning of Tawa Community Board meetings to enable members of the public to make statements about any matter that may be of importance to the residents of Tawa. The total time set aside for public participation may be extended with the majority agreement of the Board. A member of the public wishing to raise a substantive matter should be invited to present it under deputations.

### **1.5 Deputations**

Unless the meeting determines otherwise, a limit of 10 minutes in total shall be placed on the members of the deputation addressing the meeting. No deputation shall be received by the Board unless an application stating the purpose of the deputation has been received by the Chief Executive and the Chairperson's approval has been obtained.

### **1.6 Items not on the Agenda**

Any item not on the agenda may only be discussed if a motion to discuss the item is passed by a unanimous resolution of the meeting; and:

1. The item is a minor item relating to the general business of the local authority; and
2. The Chairperson explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting but no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting for further discussion; and
3. The Chairperson explains to the meeting why the item is not on the agenda and the reason why discussion of the item cannot be delayed.

## **2 Oral Reports**

### **2.1 Policing in Tawa**

### **2.2 Bus Service In Woodman Drive**

### **2.3 Spicer Landfill Update**

### **2.4 Tawa Community Civic Awards 2016 Update**

### **2.5 Tawa Community Board Discretionary Funding Update**

### **2.6 Members' Reports**



---

### 3. Reports

---

## PROPOSED RESERVE EASEMENT - WALL PARK, TAWA

---

### Purpose

1. To obtain the Tawa Community Board's endorsement and feedback (if any) on officers' recommendation to Council that it approve an easement over Council owned reserve land at Wall Park, 110 Tremewan Street, Tawa.

### Summary

2. This matter is to be considered by the Environment Committee at its meeting on 23<sup>rd</sup> June 2016 (see draft report in Attachment 1).

### Recommendations

That the Tawa Community Board:

1. Receives the information.
2. Endorses the recommendations contained in the report to be considered by the Environment Committee at their meeting of 23<sup>rd</sup> June 2016, and provide feedback to be included in the report if necessary.

### Background

3. Please refer to the draft report included as Attachment 1 for background to this easement request.

### Conclusion

3. Officers recommend that Council approves an easement over reserve land at Wall Park, Tawa required as part of Transmission Gully, subject to public consultation.
4. Officers are seeking support from the Tawa Community Board for the proposal and will include the Board's feedback in their report to the Environment Committee as necessary.

### Attachments

Attachment 1. Draft Environment Committee Report

Page 8

Author	Paul Davidson, Property Advisor
Authoriser	Greg Orchard, Chief Operating Officer

---

## **PROPOSED RESERVE EASEMENT – WALL PARK, TAWA**

---

### **Purpose**

1. To obtain the Environment Committee's approval for an easement over Council-owned reserve land in accordance with Section 48(1) of the Reserves Act 1977.

### **Summary**

2. Committee consent to an electricity easement in favour of Wellington Electricity Lines Limited (WEL) is requested.
3. In 2014 Council agreed the transfer of 2,849m<sup>2</sup>, formally part of Wall Park, to the New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) for the Transmission Gully Motorway Project (Transmission Gully).
4. Subsequently NZTA has requested permission to install, and an easement to protect, the following:
  - a. a power pole be installed along the boundary of Wall Park; and
  - b. a minor change to the route of existing overhead electricity lines.
5. Attachment 1 shows Wall Park outlined white with a small triangular area outlined in green. The green triangle highlights the area where the power pole will be installed and the area across which the newly installed power lines will traverse.
6. This small area of the park (green triangle) is largely unused due to the topography.
7. All costs related to the preparation and registration of the proposed easement will be borne by CPB Heb JV. CPB Heb JV is the joint venture contracted by NZTA for Transmission Gully's design and construction.
8. CPB Heb JV is working in conjunction with WEL and these parties have jointly applied to Council to carry out the above.
9. An existing power pole is situated on the park, located approximately 50 metres back from the road boundary, in Lot 27. Power lines currently traverse the north-eastern boundary.
10. This existing infrastructure is protected via the Electricity Act 1992.

### **Recommendations**

That the Environment Committee :

1. Receives the information.
2. Agrees to grant an electricity easement over part of Wall Park, Tawa (Lot 26 CFR WNB1/330 and Lot 27 DP 21093 CFR 689208) to Wellington Electricity Lines Limited pursuant to the Reserves Act 1977.
3. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer to carry out all necessary steps to complete the registration of the proposed electricity easement.
4. Notes that any approval to grant the easement (referred to above) is conditional on:
  - a. appropriate iwi consultation
  - b. public notification under s 119 and s120 of the Reserves Act 1977
  - c. no sustained objections resulting from the above consultation and notification



- d. all related costs being met by the applicant
5. Notes, as provided for in the *Instrument of Delegation for Territorial Authorities*, signed by the Minister of Conservation on 12 June 2013, the consent of the Minister of Conservation to this proposal is not required.

## **Background**

11. Wall Park consists of two lots: Lot 26 and 27 DP 21093.
12. Lot 26 is a large irregular shaped parcel of 4,552m<sup>2</sup> held on CFR WNB1/330. Lot 27 is a long narrow strip of 452m<sup>2</sup> held on CFR 689208.
13. Both lots are zoned Open Space B on the District Plan. However, only lot 27 is classified (recreation) under the Reserves Act 1977.
14. Attachment 2 shows Wall Park from Tremewan Street.
15. There is an existing power pole, approximately 50 metres back from the road boundary, in Lot 27. This serves associated power lines which run from the road to the rear boundary, along the north-eastern boundary of Lot 27.
16. The proposal will mean an additional power pole is installed in Lot 27, to the rear of the property, and the attached power lines will cross over the eastern corner of the site (as indicated by the triangle highlighted green on attached plan).
17. Wall Park is managed under the Northern Reserves Management Plan. The Plan requires that the effects of utilities are minimised by only placing those necessary to the normal functioning of the city and which cannot reasonably be located elsewhere. The following guidelines apply:
  - all utility structures must be sited to minimise their impact on existing natural and heritage features, waahi tapu sites, visual amenity, recreational facilities and vegetation
  - in choosing sites for utilities the Council will, having regard to the nature of the utility, give preference to areas not zoned Open Space or Conservation sites and sites where there are already utilities
  - all utility structures (that involve pipes, cables, lines or similar equipment) shall be placed underground except where this is not practicable
  - the location of utility structures should not unduly compromise recreation uses or future facilities and landscape restoration works
  - the applicant is responsible for any reinstatement of natural ground, vegetation or infrastructure to the Council's satisfaction
  - the utility structure must be accurately mapped and documented with plans supplied to the Council
  - all costs arising from an application for a utility lease or easement are the responsibility of the applicant
  - all utility companies having structures on Wellington City Council land will need to negotiate an agreement with the Council - setting out the terms and conditions of access and maintenance, where these details are not already provided in a lease or licence document.
18. The applicant has confirmed that numerous options have been explored and discounted. The proposed pole and wire installation was put forward because it meets some of the requirements of the Northern Reserves Management Plan, such as:

- Minimising impact on the park
- Provides ongoing access to WEL for future maintenance purposes
- Avoids the stream
- Avoids work on steep slopes
- Avoids the need for extra tall poles

### **Discussion**

19. Officers support the proposal given the minimal proposed change to Wall Park.
20. The planned physical works will be limited to small areas of Lot 26 and 27, with the proposed installation of an additional powerpole considered sufficient to require public notification.
21. The existing powerpole, and overhead electricity lines, currently existing without easement by virtue of the Electricity Act 1992.
22. In the interests of consistency it is proposed that the existing infrastructure is included in the easement area. The applicant has agreed to met any additional related costs.
23. The Tawa Community Board considered this matter at their meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup> May 2016, and **(to be finalised after the Community Board meeting)**

### **Conclusion**

24. Officers recommend the Environment Committee approves an easement to allow the installation of an additional power pole and related electricity lines on Wall Park, Tawa.

### **Attachments**

Nil

Author	
Authoriser	

## **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

### **Consultation and Engagement**

Public consultation will be undertaken as required under the Reserves Act 1977

### **Treaty of Waitangi considerations**

There are no Treaty of Waitangi considerations

### **Financial implications**

All costs incurred relating to registering an easement will be met by CPB Heb JV

### **Policy and legislative implications**

The recommendations of this report are consistent with Council policy

### **Risks / legal**

The proposal will be subject to the provisions of the Reserves Act 1977

### **Climate Change impact and considerations**

There are no climate change impacts

### **Communications Plan**

Not applicable





Attachment 2





---

## TAWA COMMUNITY BOARD QUARTERLY REPORT

---

### Purpose

1. To provide the Tawa Community Board with an update on the activities of the Mervyn Kemp Library, Tawa Community Centre and Linden Social Centre, for the quarter of November 2015 to January 2016.

### Summary

2. Mervyn Kemp Library offers circulation, information, outreach, class visits, preschool storytimes, holiday programmes and Baby Rock & Rhyme sessions. Tawa Community Centre and Linden Social Centre provide community spaces for event and meeting bookings, as well as recreational drop-in space.

### Recommendation

That the Tawa Community Board:

1. Receive the information.

### Discussion

3. **Mervyn Kemp (Tawa) Library**
  - a. Baby Rock & Rhyme – this is held every Friday morning at 9.30am and is aimed at babies aged 0-2 years. This remains quite popular.
  - b. Pre-school story time – this is held every Monday morning at 10.30am and is aimed at children aged 2-5 years. The team will be concentrating on increasing attendance numbers at this programme by monitoring other young children's activities in the local community.
  - c. School visits – St Francis Xavier primary school continue to visit the library weekly for a fun-filled 45 minutes. The team will be working to increase numbers of school visits by way of visiting further local schools.
  - d. Stories @ Six – this popular programme will continue on the first Thursday of every month. Various people in the community have volunteered to run the monthly sessions, as follows: May – Phany Ham (local childcare teacher), June – Derryn Scott (local parent), July – Teddy Bears Sleepover with Claire Guest (staff member), August – Bethany Shelbourne (local parent), September – Wayne Rix (picture book illustrations), October – Kerryn Palmer (drama lecturer at VUW), November – Sarah Alve (local parent), December – Mrs Boyle and staff.
  - e. Tea & Tales – the monthly book club is run on the first Tuesday of every month at 10.30am.
  - f. Displays – have included Christmas, Borrow Box, Roman coins, and Tawa/Linden Plunket, amongst others.
  - g. **Statistics** - The figures for the children's attendance represent Baby Rock & Rhyme, Pre-school story time, school visits and holiday programmes. Baby Rock & Rhyme sessions are not held during the December/January holiday period, and no schools visited in December or January due to school holidays, so the overall children's numbers are low for this given quarter.

<u>Issues:</u>	<u>Visitors:</u>	<u>New members:</u>	<u>Children's events:</u>
Nov'14 – Jan'15	35,255	26,911	107
		900	
Nov'15 – Jan'16	32,561	26,926	121
		474	
+/-% variation	-7.6%	0.1%	
13.1%		-47.3%	

4. **Tawa Community Centre (TCC)**

- a. November was a busy month, but TCC closed for Christmas on Friday 18 December and re-opened Monday 18 January.
- b. Recently completed security upgrades to TCC include: installation of a motion-sensor light at the entrance from the carpark, rearrangement of the office so that it is more secure as a Staff Area, installation of reed switches on all three front doors to monitor secure closure, and addition of a CCTV camera security system.
- c. Tawa Ratepayers Association, which is merging with the Vibrant Tawa Group, has several projects being planned.
- d. Tawa Plunket has been operating their Toy Library out of TCC, until the end of January. New tenants have been found to occupy that space.
- e. TCC hosted the Tawa Primary Schools Citizenship awards. The Mayor presented the awards to the participants.
- f. The Medium Density Housing project had open days at TCC in November, and the community was invited to come along to discuss any concerns or to get more information from council officers. This was then followed by a public meeting at the beginning of December to hear more about the proposal and to discuss the concept of suburban medium-density housing.
- g. In December there was a joint PCC/WCC committee meeting on the Water Treatment Plant and Landfill held at TCC.
- h. There were two very successful Zendoodle (colouring-in) school holiday classes held at TCC on the last day before the centre closed (but after schools finished up). It was thus advertised as “drop-off and do your last-minute shopping” events.
- i. **Statistics** – Monthly average visitors: 2,954. Quarterly (November/December/January) occupancy rate, across three spaces: 26.40%

5. **Linden Social Centre (LSC)**

- a. November was a busy month, but LSC closed for Christmas on Friday 18 December and re-opened Monday 18 January.
- b. Nighttime patrol-checks have been added for monitoring security at LSC.
- c. Tawa Community Theatre held two weeks of successful productions at the end of November. Their show, West High PTA Presents From Berlin To Burlesque, was very popular. They had booked in for two shows for 2016, but already one of these has been cancelled.
- d. Tawa Ratepayers Association meets in the Doris Mills Lounge.
- e. The Tawa Community Garden group continues to meet in the Doris Mills Lounge.
- f. Tawa Plunket have used the LSC for their new mothers groups while their new building is being built. In December they held their annual Christmas Party at the LSC, with lots of happy kids and a visit from St Nick.



- 
- g. **Statistics** – Monthly average visitors: 1,234. Quarterly (November/December/January) occupancy rate, across three spaces: 24.73%

### **Attachments**

Nil

Author	Jay Robinson, T/L Libraries&Community Spaces
Authoriser	Greg Orchard, Chief Operating Officer

---

## **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

### **Consultation and Engagement**

Not applicable.

### **Treaty of Waitangi considerations**

There are no Treaty of Waitangi considerations in respect of this report.

### **Financial implications**

Not applicable.

### **Policy and legislative implications**

Not applicable.

### **Risks / legal**

Not applicable .

### **Climate Change impact and considerations**

Not applicable.

### **Communications Plan**

Not applicable.

---

## **REPORT ON ACTIVITIES OF PARKS SPORT & RECREATION TO THE END OF MARCH 2016**

---

### **Purpose**

1. To update the Board on activities of Parks Sport and Recreation to the end of March 2016.

### **Summary**

2. Arbour Day on 2<sup>nd</sup> June will be held at Spicer Forest with 5000 trees due to be planted. The Community Garden at Coronation Park is going well with plenty of ideas from the group on how to enhance it further. Tawa Pool attendance is steady with modest growth being seen in its Learn to Swim programme. Tawa Recreation Centre continues to enjoy high levels of patronage.

### **Recommendation**

That the Tawa Community Board:

1. Receive the information.

### **Background**

#### **Parks & Open Spaces**

3. This year's Arbor Day will be held at Spicer Forest with assistance from Porirua City Council. On Thursday June 2<sup>nd</sup> 5000 native trees from Berhampore Nursery will be planted by students and Council officers. We are inviting students from Hampton Hill School and Tawa Intermediate to join with students from two Porirua schools to celebrate our natural environment and make a significant contribution towards our vision to have planted Two Million Trees by 2020. The trees will be planted on the western side of the access track just south of the landfill, in part of the area where the pines were removed due to storm damage. Invitations will be sent to the Community Board and Councillors to participate in the planting on the day.
4. Coronation Park Community Garden is going from strength to strength. The group are planning on including a tool shed on site and are considering expanding into the road reserve area immediately adjacent to the park. Neighbors have been consulted and feedback considered regarding progress to date and future plans. The garden is growing well with growing community participation and a strong core group who are committed to the garden and want to keep developing the garden to enable more people to get involved with the project.
5. The restoration work on the Elsdon Best Memorial has been completed.

#### **Tawa Pool**

6. The total attendance for the pool as at 31 March was 68,102. This is lower than for the same period of the previous year due to the higher attendance numbers resulting from the Keith Spry Pool closure at this time. Overall attendance numbers continue to be lower than prior to Tawa's own closure in 2012. This is primarily due to a Learn to Swim (LTS) programme that is now much smaller following the extended closure in 2012. Importantly we are seeing modest growth in the LTS programme term by term

and are continuing a number of marketing initiatives targeting new customers in the community.

7. A combined initiative with the Recreation Centre to operate an Open Day in February attracted a modest number of people to the day, but gave an excellent opportunity to share with the local community the programmes and services that are available within the facilities.
8. The success of mother and toddler mornings on Fridays has seen the introduction of a second session on Wednesday mornings, and planning is underway for a second Friday 'Youth' night which was very successful last year.

#### **Tawa Recreation Centre**

9. The total attendance for the recreation centre as at 31 March was 19,069. This is 1,200 ahead of the same period last year which itself was a record with regard to community attendance at the facility.
10. The result to date has benefited from the use by Aotea College over the first half of the year and other programmes are being developed to continue the high utilisation of the facility. Currently under development is a new school holiday programme in partnership with Scouts which will see new activities such shelter building, navigation, first aid and environmental activities.

#### **Attachments**

Nil

Author	Julian Todd, Recreation Facilities Manager
Authoriser	Greg Orchard, Chief Operating Officer

---

## **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

### **Consultation and Engagement**

N/A

### **Treaty of Waitangi considerations**

There are no Treaty of Waitangi considerations in respect of this report.

### **Financial implications**

N/A

### **Policy and legislative implications**

N/A

### **Risks / legal**

N/A

### **Climate Change impact and considerations**

N/A

### **Communications Plan**

N/A



## RESOURCE CONSENT APPLICATIONS AND APPROVALS FOR 1 MARCH 2016 TO 30 APRIL 2016.

### Purpose

1. In accordance with an agreement reached with the Tawa Community Board, the purpose of this report is to advise the Board of all resource consents lodged, along with decisions made by Officers acting under Delegated Authority, on Land Use and Subdivision resource consent applications.

### Recommendation

That the Tawa Community Board:

1. Receive the information.

### Background

2. This report advises the Community Board of resource consents lodged and decisions made during the period 1 March 2016 to 30 April 2016.

### Discussion

3. For the period from 1 March 2016 to 30 April 2016, there were eight applications lodged with the Council.

<b>357484</b>	<b>180 Bing Lucas Drive</b>	<b>John &amp; Mary Walsh</b>
Change of condition to SR231867 relating to water supply		
<b>357198</b>	<b>13 Main Road</b>	<b>Department of Corrections</b>
Outline Plan waiver for upgrade works at the Arohata Prison		
<b>354441</b>	<b>529 Takapu Road</b>	<b>CPB HEB Joint Venture</b>
Land Use: Construction of an aerial pipeline across the Cannons creek tributary		
<b>353458</b>	<b>23 Mascot Street</b>	<b>Crosland Family Trust</b>
Subdivision and Land Use: Four lot fee simple, new dwellings and earthworks		
<b>354833</b>	<b>2 Chester Road</b>	<b>John Robert Staples</b>
Subdivision and Land Use: Two lot fee simple and associated land use		
<b>356039</b>	<b>36A Ranui Terrace</b>	<b>Joshua Luke Beyer</b>
Subdivision: Two lot subdivision		

<b>356481</b>	<b>Multiple Sites</b>	<b>Carrie Maree Gage</b>
Land Use: Earthworks for sediment traps relating to the Council stormwater system		
<b>357315</b>	<b>90 Bing Lucas Drive</b>	<b>Christopher John Burgess</b>
Land Use: Alterations to existing garage		

4. For the period from 1 March 2016 to 30 April 2016, there were 6 applications approved under delegated authority.

<b>Service Request</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>Applicant</b>
<b>347977</b>	<b>20 Main Road</b>	<b>Canyonville Holdings Ltd</b>
Land Use Consent and Change of conditions to SR313681 relating to non-compliant car parks		
<b>348297</b>	<b>551 Takapu Road</b>	<b>Greater Wellington Regional Council</b>
Land Use: Earthworks to provide for farm access		
<b>351663</b>	<b>7 Handyside Street</b>	<b>Ranui Ltd</b>
Land Use: Multi-unit development		
<b>352269</b>	<b>20 Main Road</b>	<b>Adam Shaw</b>
Land Use: Retrospective consent for lack of loading bay and non-compliant car parking		
<b>353458</b>	<b>23 Mascot Street</b>	<b>Crosland Family Trust</b>
Subdivision and Land Use: Four lot fee simple, new dwellings and earthworks		
<b>354833</b>	<b>2 Chester Road</b>	<b>John Robert Staples</b>
Subdivision and Land Use: Two lot fee simple and associated land use		

## Attachments

Nil

Author	Judy Harte, Executive Support Officer
Authoriser	David Chick, Chief Planning Officer



---

## **RATIFICATION OF SUBMISSIONS ON THE 2016-17 ANNUAL PLAN, DOG POLICY AND ANIMAL BYLAW**

---

### **Purpose**

1. To seek the Tawa Community Board's retrospective approval of its submissions made for the following consultations:
  - 2016-17 Annual Plan
  - Dog Policy
  - Animal Bylaw

### **Recommendation**

That the Tawa Community Board:

1. Receive the information.
2. Retrospectively approve the submissions made to Wellington City Council's 2016-17 Annual Plan, Dog Policy and Animal Bylaw

### **Background**

2. Wellington City Council is in the process of preparing its draft 2016-17 Annual Plan. As part of the process, the Council asked the public for feedback. Public submissions closed 29 April 2016.
3. The Council asked the public for their views on the proposed changes to the Dog Policy (which included a review of dog exercise areas) and Animal Bylaw. Public submissions for both these items closed on 02 May 2016.

### **Discussion**

4. The Board, at its meeting held on 14 April 2016, agreed to submit its feedback on these proposals and that the submissions made would be ratified at its meeting of 12 May 2016.

### **Attachments**

Attachment 1.	Tawa Community Board Submission - 2016-17 Annual Plan	Page 27
Attachment 2.	Tawa Community Board Submission - Dog Policy	Page 31
Attachment 3.	Tawa Community Board submission - Animal Bylaw	Page 37

Author	Helga Sheppard, Governance Advisor
Authoriser	Crispian Franklin, Governance Team Leader

---

## **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

### **Consultation and Engagement**

The views expressed in these submissions are that of the Tawa Community Board.

### **Treaty of Waitangi considerations**

There are no Treaty of Waitangi implications.

### **Financial implications**

There are no financial implications arising from these submissions.

### **Policy and legislative implications**

There are no policy or legislative implications.

### **Risks / legal**

There are no risks or legal implications arising from these submissions.

### **Climate Change impact and considerations**

There are no climate change impacts.

### **Communications Plan**

N/A.

## **Tawa Community Board Submission WCC Annual Plan 2016/17**

### **INTRODUCTION**

The Tawa Community Board is a Community Board under the Local Government Act and Wellington City Council with elected members representing the northern suburbs of Wellington City comprising Tawa, Takapu Valley and Grenada North.

We welcome the opportunity to provide a submission on the WCC Annual Plan 2016/17 initiatives that represent changes to the approved WCC 2015-2015 Long Term Plan.

Our submission focuses on a subset of issues that are of prime interest to the residents of Tawa as well as those with city-wide effects on rates and the environment.

#### **Tawa Background:**

Tawa residents exhibit a strong sense of community, shown by the many community based organisations and recreation activities. This strong sense of community and neighbourhood engagement is also shown through Tawa having the No. 2 ranking spot in NZ for community membership of the neighbourly.co.nz community engagement web site.

Tawa is part of the Porirua basin catchment area for the Porirua Harbour which also forms a natural area for catchment based environmental matters, and this area has historically also shared water treatment and waste infrastructure facilities with Tawa, and provided shopping destinations. Yet many of the residents also work and play in the Wellington central city area and enjoy the close proximity and good transport routes to the CBD and the services it affords.

Tawa residents highly value the natural landscape outlook afforded by the current green space of the surrounding hill ridgelines and their foothills.

### **COMMENT ON SPECIFIC ASPECTS OF THE PLAN**

#### **Overview.**

We are concerned that while the headline rates increase is stated in the LTP as being 3.6% per annum over 10 years (as per the mayors media announcement <http://www.radionz.co.nz/news/regional/298298/wellington-city-rates-forecast-to-rise-3-point-6-percent>) (and 4.5% per annum for the first three years as stated in the LTP), the 2016/17 rate rise for the average residential property is stated in the Annual Plan to increase 5.3%. While the LTP states that the Wellington wages are on average higher than for the rest of NZ and therefore the rates increase is affordable, this ignores the fact that there is a significant and increasing rate base on fixed incomes of diminishing value as investment interest rates decline. There is a general expectation that rates ought to track with the inflation CPI, which clearly they don't.

The rating predictions are based on forecast growths in the rating base (under the LTP Growth Strategy Model) that are significantly higher than historical growth yet there it doesn't appear to be stated in the Annual Plan whether these growth forecast are on target. If not then the rate burden for individuals could grow even higher.

**Proposal 1 Low Carbon Capital Plan.**

We support the Proposals aspired to in the "Low-Carbon Capital Plan" and agree to the proposed emission reduction targets. It is important that the Council shows strong leadership in this area by example to the rest of the community and also incentivises proactive changes through encouragement of electric vehicle usage, efficient low cost public transport, smart energy options, healthy efficient homes, waste recycling and city greening.

However, the Council seems to lack operational resources to support implementation of a low-carbon capital plan. For example; due to lack of staff it has so far taken over two years to review and remove the building consent requirement barrier for roof mounted domestic Solar PV electric panels that would encourage more residents to take-up the option of their own renewable energy generation. So unless implementation resources are beefed up in this area the success of the Low Carbon Capital Plan is doubtful.

**Proposal 2 Urban Development Agency.**

We oppose the establishment of an Urban Development Agency to take a proactive role in urban redevelopment and housing estates construction, or facilitating seismic strengthening.

However, there does seem to be a need for greater leadership role to be taken to promote good urban design, especially amongst the medium density housing areas, and a need to facilitate the pooling of larger parcels of land from multiple adjacent titles would make such development more viable for a private developer and potentially produce a more aesthetically attractive community outcome. Perhaps this aspect can be achieved within the current Council capability without the need for another CCO.

**Proposal 3 Food Act fee changes.**

We agree that the Central Government legislated charges should fall directly to the sector where they are applicable and not become a general rate burden. However, we support option 3 of charging by the hour with no fixed, upfront fee.

With the exception that we would encourage Council to support small non-permanent food stalls at annual Community Festivals or Market days (e.g. the annual *Spring into Tawa* fair day), and that the costs of these, if applicable, should be either absorbed by the community or distributed as a site cost and not to individual stall holders.

**Proposal 4 Zealandia governance.**

We support the Council proposal to buy the Zealandia building along with proposed governance changes. There was an inevitability about the Zealandia funding arrangement from the outset that it would need to be written off at some point in the future.

**Proposal 5 Kilbirnie Business Improvement District.**

We support the targeted rate for the proposed Kilbirnie BID area.

**Proposal 6 New Initiatives.**

Councillors have proposed a number of initiatives to be considered for funding in 2016/17. Our support for each proposal is indicated in the table below.

<b>Initiative</b>	<b>Do you agree the Council should fund this initiative in 2016/17?</b>	<b>Is this one of your top five preferred initiatives?</b>
Lyall Bay Foreshore Resilience Plan	Yes, but a longer term plan for global sea level rise needs to be also taken into account to build resiliency.	No
Toitu Pōneke Sports Hub	No, We oppose funding being taken from Northern Suburb projects to support southern suburbs projects. The northern suburbs spending could be reallocated by bringing forward the Tawa Town Centre development, the fencing of a Tawa based dog recreation area, or progressing the Middleton Road shared path. Rather the funding for the Kilbirnie sports hub should be brought forward from the \$6 million set aside in 2017-18 for the Southern Coast Marine Conservation Centre and this project deferred a year in the LTP to compensate.	No
Ngauranga to Airport – minor capital projects	Yes	Yes
Johnsonville Library Kindergarten purchase	Yes	Yes
Living Wage	No, We don't support Local Government becoming a social support agency – this is the role of central government.	No
Community Grants changes	Yes	Yes
New Outdoor Events Series	Yes	Yes
Toi Pōneke Arts Centre support	No, this should be funded by the sale of WCC owned artwork.	No
Placemaking	Yes	No
Middleton Road	Yes, but this cost doesn't seem to be sufficient to provide the interim reseal as described. The focus also needs to be placed on the near-term full shared	Yes

	pathway project.	
Council art collection	Yes, but this maintenance cost should have been incorporated as part of the WCC asset management plan for this collection and the WCC should also look at reducing its Art Collection.	No

**Cost Saving.**

If you think the Council should continue to limit rates increases to the 3.6 percent stated in the LTP, where should we find the savings?

Savings could be obtained from:

- Not supporting The Living Wage – social services are a Central Government issue.
- Ensuring that other Wellington Airport Shareholders also pay their share of the Development Cost, or else WCC is compensated for its disproportionate larger contribution through taking a larger percentage of the profits.
- Moving to lower cost models for some operational services; e.g. the use of electric vehicles lowers maintenance and fuel costs, the use of LED lighting lowers power and renewal support costs.
- Peruse further operational efficiency opportunities through the combination of more shared services on a regional wide basis.

**Proposal 7 Private wastewater pipe (laterals)**

We support that that Council should take back responsibility for the maintenance and renewal costs of wastewater laterals that it historically had been responsible for.

**Tawa Community Board**

Robert Tredger (Chair)  
Margaret Lucas  
Graeme Hansen  
Richard Herbert  
Jack Marshall  
Alistair Sutton

For contact purposes please contact:

Richard Tredger (chair)  
Tawa Community Board  
Phone: 021 181 4499  
Email: [tredger@slingshot.co.nz](mailto:tredger@slingshot.co.nz)  
Address: 20 Greyfriars Crescent, Tawa, Wellington 5028



## **Tawa Community Board Submission WCC Dog Policy Review 2016**

### **INTRODUCTION**

The Tawa Community Board is a Community Board under the Local Government Act and Wellington City Council with elected members representing the northern suburbs of Wellington City comprising Tawa, Takapu Valley and Grenada North.

We welcome the opportunity to provide a submission on the WCC Dog Policy Review 2016 and Supporting By-Laws.

Our submission focuses on a subset of issues that are of prime interest to the residents of Tawa as well as those with city-wide effects on rates and the environment.

#### **Tawa Background:**

Tawa residents exhibit a strong sense of community, shown by the many community based organisation and recreation activities. This strong sense of community and neighbourhood engagement is also shown through Tawa having the No. 2 ranking spot in NZ for community membership of the neighbourly.co.nz community engagement web site.

Tawa residents highly value the natural landscape including its parks, reserves and pathways, which are also regularly used for recreation exercise with dogs.

The biggest concerns of residents in Tawa related to dog are:

- Dog excrement not being picked up by owners and being left on footpaths, tracks and reserves including playground areas, and
- Dogs being exercised off-leash on public bush tracks and other public spaces outside of the designated dog recreation areas.

We generally support the proposed changes identified in the Policy Review and welcome the change of emphasis of dog handling in public places from being 'under control' to being 'on-leash'. This as always been an area of dispute and this change adds clarity.

We also welcome the other aspects intended to add support for the environment and Protected wildlife through restrictions on the numbers of cats per household and restrictions on the feeding of birds in public places.

### **COMMENT ON SPECIFIC ASPECTS OF THE PROPOSED DOG POLICY**

#### **Section 4.2 Responsibilities of Dog Owners.**

We welcome this change to the policy to more explicitly outline the responsibilities of dog owners.

#### **Section 5 Beach areas restricted during summer**

If the proposed changes are agreed then only one Beach Area will remain in this category (as listed in TABLE 4 of the Dog Policy document). We therefore submit that this category be renamed as BEACH EXERCISE AREAS (SPECIFIED TIME). This would then allow for the complete removal of the Term “Controlled Public Area” and confusion with “Public Area”. It is also more in keeping with the current parallel designation of EXERCISE AREAS (SPECIFIED TIME).

#### **Section 5.1 Controlled Public Places.**

We welcome this change to the policy wording to more explicitly state, “Dogs must be on-leash and under the control of their owners at all times in public places”.

However, it is noted that the Section 5 preamble implies that under the Act councils need to designate areas as a “Controlled Public Place”, but within the Policy “Controlled Public Place” is not included in the list of Definitions and nor is there a list of “Controlled Public Places” in an Appendix, rather it is under Appendix 4 BEACH AREAS RESTRICTED DURING SUMMER.

But there is a definition of “Controlled Public Place” with the proposed Animals By-Law that seems to be a bit circular in its definition and negates the intent of this change and still begs the question – what exactly are these areas. By-law (Section 5.1) “**Controlled public place** means any area where dogs are allowed as long as they are on-leash and under the control of their owner”.

The by-law itself makes no distinction between a “Public Place” and a “Controlled Public Place” – an offence is committed if a dog owner “allows their dog in a public place, unless it is controlled by a leash ....” (Animals By-law Section 7.2.)

I therefore submit that the reference throughout to “Controlled Public Place” should be dropped and “Public Place” used instead, and that the Section 5 preamble be updated to align with Section 12.3.

Consequently the definition for Public Place should be updated to read “**Public Place** means a place that, at any material time, is open to or is being used by the public, whether free or on payment of a charge, and provided the area is not designated a “Prohibited place” or an “Exercise area”, a place where dogs are allowed as long as they are on-leash, and under the control of their owner”.

There are a number of other differences in what is included in the Definitions Section between the Policy and the By-Law documents.

Since one of the stated intents of the Dog Policy is to “balance potential and perceived risks to public safety, risks to wildlife and natural habitats, and potential nuisance issues with the recreational and exercise needs of dogs and their owners.” – then we submit that the Dogs on-Lead policy should be better communicated and enforced for bush walking tracks.

#### **Section 5.2 and Appendix B. Dog Recreation Areas.**



With respect the existing Dog Recreation Areas within Tawa and their related maps.

**Arthur Carmen Park** – We concur with the proposed opening time change for this area from being open just during the summer months to be open year round. However, we question the necessity to reduce the area to exclude the top level, which is stated as retained for informal sport. The area is not heavily used and the division is likely to just cause confusion and not be observed.

**Duncan Park** – no change proposed under the review.

We submit that the Duncan Park Dog recreation area needs to be fenced, or at least a physical barrier build between the Dog Recreation area and the Playground Area. Presently it is common for dogs to wander over into the adjacent Playground Area. Apart from a hygiene issue it can be frightening for children on the play equipment.

**Grasslees Reserve** – no change proposed under the review.

We strongly recommend that Grasslees reserve be removed as a dog exercise area or be completely fenced. This area is close to the busy main road, is only partially fenced and is close to a public BBQs picnic area and is regarded as the main central playground in Tawa. This is in keeping with the Policy requirement for new recreation areas adjacent to a road must be fenced.

As a Community Board, we have had many complaints with this area being a dog exercise area. This green space is used by many as a picnic area and it is of grave concern that many who use this area are unaware that it is also a dog exercise area and consequently can cause a health hazard. It is also an area of Tawa that has previously been used for outdoor community events and it would be beneficial if it could be returned back to a green space where these could occur.

NOTE also that dog access via the new bridge adjacent to the Playground Area is not indicated on the Dog Recreation Area map as an access point and therefore should be regarded as a Prohibited Area for dogs as there are alternative routes to access the Dog Recreation area. The policy states "Areas of Children's Play Equipment are a Prohibited area for dogs with the sole Exemption being to move through a play area specifically to move from one side to the other (without stopping in the play area) if there is no alternative route."

As a general comment it should be noted that while Recreation Area maps do locate Areas of Children's Play Equipment in the vicinity of a Dog recreation Area there is no actual boundary for the "Areas of Children's Play Equipment" defined on the map and therefore ambiguity can arise as to exactly what is the Prohibited Area.

**Taylor Park** – no change proposed under the review.

In earlier submissions we had advocated for this recreation area to be fenced and understand that there is provision in the LTP for this. There is currently no completely fenced dog recreation area in the northern suburbs and this provides a large flat area that is currently not heavily used for alternate activities and thus is suitable for dog recreation.

We request that the Taylor Park Dog Recreation area map be updated to remove the southern section besides the Tawa Valley Pathway, which has recently been revegetated, and that the Tawa Valley Pathway be indicated on the map as a WCC Track Route (in keeping with other recreation area maps).

**Willowbank Park** – We agree with the minor changes proposed for this area as per the revised Willowbank Dog Recreation Area map for the area north of the scout hall - to include a section of stream north of the railway bridge and to remove the section of the Tawa Valley Pathway that has now been planted in trees north of the new bridge.

We request that the Willowbank Park Dog Recreation area map be updated to include the Tawa Valley Pathway be indicated on the map as a WCC Track Route (in keeping with other recreation area maps) along with the new stream crossing bridge.

### **Section 5.3.3 Unattended dogs**

We agree that dogs should be prohibited from being left unattended in public spaces. This can be also a particular issue around suburban supermarkets and cafes and not just in the CBD area.

“controlled public places” should be changed to “public places”.

### **Section 6.2.1 Registration Fees.**

We submit that if a dog dies after the fees have been paid there should be possible to obtain a proportional rebate with proof of death of a dog from a vet.

### **Section 6.3 Micro-chipping dogs.**

We agree with the requirements of the policy but there does not appear to be a corresponding offence defined in the Animals Bylaw to allow Council to enforce this requirement.

### **Section 7 Actively Promoting Responsible Dog Ownership.**

We welcome and agree with the Council actively promoting responsibly dog ownership and incentivising owners for maintaining their RDO status.

### **Section 12.2 Fouling of public places.**

“The owner or handler of the dog will immediately remove and dispose of their dog’s faeces if the dog defecates in a public place or on land or premises other than that occupied by the owner”.

Unfortunately the current wording of this clause does not make any guidance on how the faeces should be disposed of and the current method deployed for throwing doggy-do bags into neighbouring or plantings is not acceptable. There should be emphasise that the dog faeces needs to be disposed of in an appropriate waste disposal bin or taken home for disposal in their landfill destined rubbish bag.

There seems to be relatively low awareness that failure to comply with this requirement is an offence under the bylaw that could result in a \$300 fine.

The suggestion in the policy that more rubbish bins are planned to be installed in popular areas is welcomed but seems to be at odds with our previous requests to Council for more rubbish bins to be located in popular reserve areas, which have been denied.

**Section 12.4 Council Permission required for more than three dogs.**

We support the continuance of the policy as proposed that permission and closer monitoring is required for properties where there are more than three dogs.

**Section 12.5 General conditions of keeping animals.**

We welcome and support the new provision that “No animals are to be fed in public places, except for in designated areas, or with prior written permission from the Council.” In Tawa we have had nuisance and potential health issues raised through the assembly of large numbers of ducks when members of the public are regularly feeding them on reserves and road reserves.

**COMMENT ON OTHER SPECIFIC QUESTIONS RAISED FROM THE ONLINE SUBMISSIONS FORM**

**6) *Should there be designated dog off-leash tracks.***

Within the Tawa area, there are many bush tracks and other walking tracks and some of these might also be shared with cycles or even horses. Bush reserve tracks areas generally do not have good sight-lines (a requirement of Section 5.2.1 for off-leash exercise areas), and are normally confined areas that can have conflicting 2-way traffic where dogs can rapidly get out of sight (and control) of their master if off-leash as is a regular nuisance. The Tawa Bush reserve areas are also under active possum baiting control and are habitat for Protected wildlife, which can be easily disturbed by roaming dogs (particularly during the bird nesting season).

We therefore submit that bush reserve tracks should stay as on-leash areas only for dogs. An example of this is the Redwood bush area. We are very aware that already many dogs walk through this track off-leash.

We would like to know what measures are in place for enforcing this policy and by-law related to the requirement for dogs being on-leash in Public places and how many infringement notices are issued each year for this offence?

Another pathway that we wish to have stay as on-leash is the Awa Tawa Valley pathway through the length of Tawa. This needs to be accessible for all users and hence dogs need to be on a leash.

**8) Do you think that dogs on a lead should be allowed to stop in the central city area?**

No. We are opposed to this.

**9) Do you think that dogs should be able to be left unattended in a public place?**

We submit that dogs should be prohibited from being left unattended in public spaces. This can be also a particular issue around suburban supermarkets and cafes and not just in the CBD area.

And while it is reasonable that Wellington be perceived as a dog friendly city and that some café's (like the Botanic Gardens café) do already support dog owners with the placement of water bowls adjacent to outside café's tables it should still be expected that the dog owners sit at those designated tables and be able to control their dogs.

#### **Tawa Community Board**

Robert Tredger (Chair)  
Margaret Lucas  
Graeme Hansen  
Richard Herbert  
Jack Marshall  
Alistair Sutton

For contact purposes please contact

Richard Tredger (chair)  
Tawa Community Board  
Phone: 021 181 4499  
Email: [tredger@slingshot.co.nz](mailto:tredger@slingshot.co.nz)  
Address: 20 Greyfriars Crescent, Tawa, Wellington 5028

## **Tawa Community Board Submission WCC Animal By-law Review 2016**

### **INTRODUCTION**

The Tawa Community Board is a Community Board under the Local Government Act and Wellington City Council with elected members representing the northern suburbs of Wellington City comprising Tawa, Takapu Valley and Grenada North.

We welcome the opportunity to provide a submission on the WCC Animal By-Law Review 2016.

Our submission focuses on a subset of issues that are of prime interest to the residents of Tawa as well as those with city-wide effects on rates and the environment.

#### **Tawa Background:**

Tawa residents exhibit a strong sense of community, shown by the many community based organisation and recreation activities. This strong sense of community and neighbourhood engagement is also shown through Tawa having the No. 2 ranking spot in NZ for community membership of the neighbourly.co.nz community engagement web site.

Tawa residents highly value the natural landscape including its parks, reserves and pathways, which are also regularly used for recreation exercise with dogs.

The biggest concerns of residents in Tawa related to dog are:

- Dog excrement not being picked up by owners and being left on footpaths, tracks and reserves including playground areas, and
- Dogs being exercised off-leash on public bush tracks and other public spaces outside of the designated dog recreation areas.

We generally support the proposed changes identified in the Policy Review and By-Laws that enact this policy. We welcome the change of emphasis of dog handling in public places from being 'under control' to being 'on-leash'. This as always been an area of dispute and this change adds clarity.

We also welcome the other aspects intended to add support for the environment and Protected wildlife through restrictions on the numbers of cats per household and restrictions on the feeding of birds in public places.

### **COMMENT ON SPECIFIC ASPECTS OF THE PROPOSED ANIMAL BY-LAWS**

#### **Section 1 and 5.1 Definitions.**

There are a number of differences between the Dog Policy and the Animal By-Law documents with regards to what is included in the Definitions Section. Some of the definitions that are included in both documents have different wording. It is suggested that the Definitions in both documents should be the same.



#### **Section 4. Cats**

We support the introduction of limits to the number of cats per household without further approval and also the identification of owners and micro-chipping of cats. This will make the identification of feral un-owned cats less onerous and more easily controllable, with improved consequences for protected wildlife.

#### **Section 5.1 Beach areas restricted during summer**

If the proposed changes are agreed then only one Beach Area will remain in this category (as listed in TABLE 4 of the Dog Policy document). We therefore submit that this category be removed or renamed as BEACH EXERCISE AREAS (SPECIFIED TIME). This would then allow for the complete removal of the Term "Controlled Public Area" and its confusion with "Public Area". It is also more in keeping with the current parallel designation of EXERCISE AREAS (SPECIFIED TIME).

#### **Section 5.1 Controlled Public Place.**

We welcome this change to the policy wording to more explicitly state, "Dogs must be on-leash and under the control of their owners at all times in public places". (Section 5.4.2)

However, there seems to be conflicting wording around the terms used between "Controlled Public Place" and "Public Place". We submit that the notion of a "Controlled Public Place" should be removed and this requirement (for dogs to be on-leash) apply to ALL "Public Places" unless there is a higher level of protection designation is placed on the area as in a "Prohibited Place" or an "Exercise Area".

It is noted that the Section 5.4.1 implies that under the Act councils need to designate areas as a "Controlled Public Place", but there is no list of "Controlled Public Places" included in an Appendix to the Policy.

The offence by-law (Animals By-law Section 7.2) makes no distinction between a "Public Place" and a "Controlled Public Place" – an offence is committed if a dog owner "allows their dog in a public place, unless it is controlled by a leash ....".

We therefore submit that the reference throughout to "Controlled Public Place" should be dropped and "Public Place" used instead.

Consequently the definition for Controlled Public Place should be updated to read "**Public Place** means a place that, at any material time, is open to or is being used by the public, whether free or on payment of a charge, and provided the area is not designated a "Prohibited place" or an "Exercise area", a place where dogs are allowed as long as they are on-leash, and under the control of their owner".

And reference to "controlled public place" in the following clauses should be deleted:

Section 2.2.2

Section 5.4.1

And changed from “controlled public place” to “public place in the following clauses:  
Section 5.4.6  
Section 5.4.7

### **Section 5.1 Leash**

The current definition for Leash does not put any restrictions on the length of the lead. When does it become so long that the leash itself is either a hazard to nearby people or the owner or cannot be said to provide the owner with any real control. It is possible to buy in NZ Flexi Dog leads that are 8m long; e.g. <http://www.pet.co.nz/product/dog/retractable-tape-lead/23928>. We submit that 5m should be the maximum practicable limit for the length of a dog lead in an on-leash area and this requirement included in the definition for Leash.

### **Section 5.4.1 Dog Areas**

We submit that “Beach Area (restricted during summer)” should be changed to “Beach Exercise Area (Specified Times)” in keeping with and parallel to Exercise Area (Specified Times), and then the reference to “Controlled Public Places” may be removed resulting in less confusion with the intent of Clause 5.4.2.

Does the by-law need to state where the definition and maps for the designated area may be found (if this information is going to be moved from the By-law to the Policy).

### **Section 6. Poultry**

We support the introduction of limits to the number of poultry per household and restriction on rosters for non-rural areas.

### **Section 6.1.3 Activities that require Council permission**

This section appears out of order in the document. We agree that the limitation should not just apply to chickens, but apply other forms of poultry in total as well.

### **Section 5. Dogs – Unattended dogs**

There does not appear to be anything in the By-Laws to give effect to the proposed Policy change to prohibit dogs from being left unattended in public spaces.

### **Section 5. Dogs – Micro-chipping dogs.**

There does not appear to be anything in the By-Laws to give effect to the Policy change that dogs should be micro-chipped. Nor is there an offence in the by-laws for when micro-chipping has not occurred. (Reference Policy Clause 6.3)

### **Section 5. Dogs – Dog Registration.**

There does not appear to be anything in the By-Laws to give effect to the Policy change that dogs should be registered. Nor is there an offence in the by-laws for when registration has not occurred. (Reference Policy Clause 6.1)

**Section 5. Dogs – Fouling of public places.**

While there is an offence on an owner not picking up and disposing of dog faeces (By-law Section 7.2), there does not appear to be any requirement in the Dog Section of the By-law that this is a requirement.

Perhaps this requirement can be reiterated in the Section 5.3 Dog nuisances to help raise the public profile and awareness of this nuisance; e.g.

*5.3.3 The owner or handler of the dog will immediately remove and dispose of their dog's faeces in an acceptable manner, if the dog defecates in a public place or on land or premises other than that occupied by the owner".*

**Section 7 – Offences**

It is noted that the List of Offence penalties does not seem to be part of the By-Law. Does the by-law need to state where the list of Infringement penalties may be found on order to highlight to the public the significance of the offence?

**COMMENT ON OTHER SPECIFIC QUESTIONS RAISED FROM THE ONLINE SUBMISSIONS FORM**

***2) Do you agree that people who want to keep more than three cats over six months old should have to seek Council's permission?***

We support the proposal to set a limit of three cats per household without further permission.

***4) Do you think people living near wild life sensitive areas, for example Zealandia, should keep fewer than three cats?***

Yes we support further limiting of domestic cats in suburbs near sensitive Protected Wildlife areas; like Zealandia and Otari Wilton's Bush and other significant indigenous bush areas.

***5) Do you agree that cats should be able to be identified as owned?***

Yes we support that cats should be able to be identified as owned in case they are accidentally captured by feral cat trapping programmes and can be identified as owned and returned to their owner. If the owner cannot be identified or does not come forward within 7 days to claim the cat then Council should have the right to destroy the cat.

***6) Do you agree that owned cats should be microchipped?***



Yes we support that cats be micro-chipped in case they are accidently captured by feral cat trapping programmes and can be identified as owned and returned to their owner rather than being destroyed.

**7) Do you agree that roosters should only be allowed in rural areas?**

Yes, we support this proposal.

**8) Do you agree that people who want to keep more than 12 poultry (including chickens) in an urban area should have to seek Council's permission?**

Yes, we support this proposal.

**10) Do you agree that Council should prevent people from feeding animals in public places? (except in designated areas such as the Botanic Gardens)**

We strongly support that Council should prevent the feeding of animals in public places, without prior permission. Feeding of wildlife in public places can led in quick increases in populations above naturally sustainable levels resulting in them causing a nuisance and health hazard through excess excrement. In Tawa we have had nuisance and potential health issues raised through the assembly of large numbers of ducks when members of the public are regularly feeding them near sports playgrounds and on road reserves. Excess food not only supports the target animals but can led to unintended support for feral cats and vermin.

**Tawa Community Board**

Robert Tredger (Chair)  
Margaret Lucas  
Graeme Hansen  
Richard Herbert  
Jack Marshall  
Alistair Sutton

For contact purposes please contact

Richard Tredger (chair)  
Tawa Community Board  
Phone: 021 181 4499  
Email: [tredger@slingshot.co.nz](mailto:tredger@slingshot.co.nz)  
Address: 20 Greyfriars Crescent, Tawa, Wellington 5028



---

## **CURRENT AND UPCOMING COUNCIL CONSULTATIONS ITEMS**

---

### **Purpose**

1. To provide the Tawa Community Board with an update on the current items Council is seeking public feedback on and to advise the Board on upcoming items for consultations.

### **Recommendation**

That the Tawa Community Board:

1. Receive the information

### **Discussion**

2. The Council will be or is currently seeking feedback on the following items:
  - Eastern Suburbs Cycleways – closes 5pm, 23 May 2016.
3. Wellington City Council would like your views on the proposed cycleways for the eastern suburbs of Wellington.
4. There are two suggested corridors with two potential routes for each corridor.
5. All relevant information and supporting information is available on Council's website: <http://wellington.govt.nz/have-your-say/consultations>

### **Options**

6. Should the Board wish to make a submission; the submission will need to be retrospectively ratified at the next Board meeting which is scheduled for Thursday, 9 June 2016.

### **Attachments**

Nil

Author	Helga Sheppard, Governance Advisor
Authoriser	Crispian Franklin, Governance Team Leader

---

## **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

### **Consultation and Engagement**

All relevant supporting information and documentation relating to these items for consultation are available on Council's website and community input is being sought.

### **Treaty of Waitangi considerations**

Any Treaty of Waitangi considerations will be taken into account.

### **Financial implications**

Any financial implications will be considered.

### **Policy and legislative implications**

Any policy and legislative implications will be considered.

### **Risks / legal**

Any legal implications and risks will be taken into account.

### **Climate Change impact and considerations**

Climate change impacts (if any) will be considered.

### **Communications Plan**

Council's website details the next steps for each item that is being consulted on.

---

## **FORWARD PROGRAMME**

---

### **Purpose**

1. To provide the Tawa Community Board with a draft work programme for its amendment and approval.

### **Recommendations**

That the Tawa Community Board:

1. Receive the information.
2. Amend the work programme if necessary.

### **Discussion**

2. Below is the draft work programme for the Board's approval and amendment where necessary:

<b>Thursday, 09 June 2016</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Standing Items:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Community Speaker (if any)</li><li>○ Resource Consents</li><li>○ Upcoming Areas of Consultation (if any)</li><li>○ Tawa Community Board Discretionary Fund Update</li><li>○ Reports back from Board Members</li><li>○ Forward Programme</li><li>○ Spicer Landfill Update</li><li>○ Raftification of any submissions made</li></ul></li></ul>	

### **Attachments**

Nil

Author	Helga Sheppard, Governance Advisor
Authoriser	Crispian Franklin, Governance Team Leader

## **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

### **Consultation and Engagement**

No consultation or engagement is required.

### **Treaty of Waitangi considerations**

There are no Treaty of Waitangi considerations associated with this report.

### **Financial implications**

There are no financial implications associated with this report.

### **Policy and legislative implications**

There are no policy or legislative implications associated with this report.

### **Risks / legal**

There are no risks or legal implications associated with this report.

### **Climate Change impact and considerations**

N/A.

### **Communications Plan**

N/A.