# Sheet 2: Review of Council's Approach to EQPBs – A Broad-based Approach

#### **Indicative objectives** Safety in and from **Maintaining and** Minimise economic **Buildings do not** Safety in and from **Preservation of heritage Maintaining and** enhancing economic enhancing character disruption from major impede emergency commercial buildings private dwellings and community and streetscape event response vitality values Is objective already being achieved and can the Council currently influence it? **Partially addressed** Not addressed Slightly addressed Partially addressed Partially addressed Slightly addressed Slightly addressed • EQPB Policy (& the Act) • EQPB Policy (& the Act) • EQPB Policy allows up to • EQPB Policy allows up to • EQPB Policy (& the Act) EQPB Policy provides District Plan protects addresses moderate addresses commercial focuses on safety - does significant periods to character in some areas 20 years to take action 20 years to take action earthquake risk only buildings (note this not provide a standard for mitigate EQPBs - allows EQPB Policy only EQPB Policy only for existing or includes multi-unit multi- does not address high-risk preserving buildings businesses time to keep replacement buildings addresses moderate addresses moderate elements on buildings story dwellings) operating and plan for District Plan places earthquake risk earthquake risk • even strengthened • EQPB Policy allows up to 20 Council currently has no mitigation actions controls on demolition buildings may be lost EQPB not targeted at EQPB prioritises buildings legal powers over single years to take action following significant event Council can have some Council can have 'economically critical' needed post-event, but family dwellings owners may abandon Council can't require influence - could be not those posing risks to significant influence areas Council can have some buildings - residual risk to strengthening to preserve auite effective in most effective if targeted key infrastructure and life- Council can have some influence - could be passers-by targeted areas buildings under DP or the to key areas additional influence lines reasonably effective if Council can have some Act modest without legislative Council can have some targeted well. additional influence - would Council can have some changes additional influence be much greater with additional influence much greater with legislative changes legislative changes Examples of potential actions and interventions to achieve objectives Continue rollout of EQPB Policy (same rate or extra resources?) • Provide information on building Targeted information • Targeted information to Target interventions to • Prioritise buildings or Target interventions to Prioritise buildings or performance to building owners building owners areas where building revitalise areas with high areas with high areas where building Case manage kev areas & on high-risk failures would likely lead Case manage key areas & proportion of EQPBs character failures would likely buildings with multiple owners elements and how to to long-term disruption of buildings with multiple • Case manage key areas Case manage character disrupt response during • Change the business case for

- addressing EQPBs eg rates policy, carrots and sticks
- Aligning District Plan rules with **EQPB** objectives
- Review EQPB Policy in light of Govt decisions - especially thresholds
- Use Council's powers to demolish in cases of inaction

### Advocate to Government for:

- Rapid decisions
- Increased threshold for EQPBs
- Powers to target high-risk elements on buildings
- Mandatory building performance assessment
- Minimum standards for engineers undertaking assessments
- Changes to tax law making strengthening deductible

- fix them
- Aligning District Plan rules for EQPB objectives

## Advocate to **Government for:**

- Powers for Councils to target high-risk elements on private dwellings
- National campaign encouraging home owners to take (targeted) remedial action

- owners
- Amend elements of District Plan to provide more clarity and flexibility for remediation in heritage buildings
- Prioritise heritage buildings & groupings that cannot be lost
- Provide funding support for some aspects of remediation work
- Change the business case for remediation - eg rates policy, carrots and sticks

# **Advocate to Government** for:

- Funding support for nationally significant buildings & groupings
- Changes to tax law making strengthening deductible

- & buildings with multiple owners
- Change the business case for remediation eg rates policy, carrots and sticks
- Aligning District Plan rules with EQPB objectives
- Provide information to investor community on Wellington's risks, readiness, and resilience

# Advocate to Government for:

- Changes to tax law making strengthening deductible
- Ensuring any new threshold for EQPB considers economic implications and community outcomes

- areas
- Change the business case for addressing EQPBs – eg rates policy, carrots and sticks
- Aligning District Plan rules with EQPB objectives while ensuring protection of character

# Advocate to **Government for:**

 Changes to tax law making strengthening deductible

- economic activity. Likely to be in CBD and larger buildings whose collapse would threaten key infrastructure or access
- Most other interventions same as for "Safetv in and From Commercial Buildings".

#### Advocate to Government for:

 Same as described in "Safety in and From Commercial Buildings"

- and immediately after an
- any "at-risk" buildings: used by emergency services; that may collapse on key road links; that could affect port access; that could damage infrastructure lifelines.

event. Likely to include

- Keep easily accessible engineering records on buildings
- Most other interventions same as for "Safety in and From Commercial Buildings".

### Advocate to **Government for:**

 Same as described in "Safety in and From Commercial Buildings"