

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Paul Burnaby	44.21	Support	Supports the Heritage Design Guide provisions in principle.	Retain Heritage Design Guide as notified.
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Paul Burnaby	44.22	Support	Supports, in principle, the proposed 'Additional Considerations' as stated on page 5 of the Heritage Design Guide (HDG).	Retain Heritage Design Guide as notified.
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Paul Burnaby	44.23	Amend	Considers that clarification is needed as to the meaning, purpose, interpretation, and application of the 'additional considerations' within the HDG.	Clarify additional considerations in the Heritage Design Guide.
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Paul Burnaby	44.24	Amend	Considers that clarification is needed regarding the status (meaning, purpose, interpretation, and application) of the orange guidance notes in the HDG.	Clarify notes on page 20 of the Heritage Design Guide.
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Foster+Melville Architects Limited	141.3	Not specified	Considers that Wellington needs to be striving for design excellence to ensure that our heritage buildings are part of the future.	Not specified.
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Foster+Melville Architects Limited	141.4	Amend	Considers that G10 in the Heritage Design Guide should be amended to reflect that the relationship between aligning key elements is important but is not a measure of a good design.	Amend G10 (Where contrast is discouraged) in the Heritage Design Guide as follows: ... also consideration can be given to the alignment of floor levels and window heads and sills.
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Foster+Melville Architects Limited	141.5	Amend	Considers that the relationships outlined on page 20 are too prescriptive, will lead to confusion, and should be deleted. Different buildings and different contexts need to be considered on a case by case basis.	Amend the Heritage Design Guide by deleting the whole section relating to managing scale transitions within heritage areas outlined on page 20. [Inferred decision requested].
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Foster+Melville Architects Limited	141.6	Support	Supports G15 in the Heritage Design Guide as correct.	Retain G15 (Retaining and restoring significant heritage shopfronts) in the Heritage Design Guide as notified.
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Foster+Melville Architects Limited	141.7	Oppose	Opposes G16 in the Heritage Design Guide as while it is appropriate to restore and reconstruct shopfronts, particularly where heritage fabric remains, this should not be imposed on buildings where little, or no heritage fabric remains. G16 conflicts with the objectives outlined in the Gehl Report. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Delete G16 (Restore or reconstruct shopfronts where there is evidence of original form, detailing and materials) from the Heritage Design Guide.
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Foster+Melville Architects Limited	141.8	Amend	Considers that G40 in the Heritage Design Guide should be clarified to reflect that the strengthening of certain buildings in Wellington poses considerable challenges and the guidelines need to be flexible enough to enable a variety of engineering solutions. In some cases, an external support structure is the only option.	Amend G40 (The installation of exoskeletons, external columns, and external bracing elements is discouraged) in the Heritage Design Guide as follows: G40. The installation of exoskeletons, external columns, and external bracing elements is discouraged , should only be considered where there is no viable, alternative engineering solution and consideration should be given to particularly where these would: ...
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Historic Places Wellington	182.33	Support	Supports the Heritage Design Guide on facadism.	Retain G37 (Built form) of the Heritage Design Guide as notified. [Inferred decision requested]
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Historic Places Wellington	182.34	Support	[No specific reason given - refer to original submission]	Retain the Heritage Design Guide with amendment.
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Historic Places Wellington	182.35	Amend	Considers that while the submitter recognises the special significance of tangata whenua as partners in Te Tiriti they submit that other cultural backgrounds and heritages that contribute to New Zealand's multicultural society also warrant recognition.	Amend the Heritage Design Guide outcomes as follows: "New development respects and responds to nearby scheduled sites and areas of significance to <u>Māori, heritage areas of significance to all New Zealand peoples and cultures</u> , buildings, structures and trees.
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Go Media Ltd	236.36	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Seeks that the Heritage Design Guide expressly recognises the potential for third-party signs on heritage buildings.
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Wellington City Council	266.176	Amend	Considers minor clarification needed in second point of G31.	Amend G31 as follows: (...) - understanding the heritage value values of the place through research, investigation, recording and documentation.

Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Wellington City Council	266.177	Amend	Considers clarification is need in the third point of G31 to match wording in HH-P2.	Amend G31 as follows: (...) - planning and carrying out maintenance and repair in accordance with <u>recognised</u> conservation principles and methods.
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Wellington Heritage Professionals	412.89	Amend	Considers that the heritage design guides should be amended to provide a set of considerations in the heritage design guide to be used when deciding whether to allow removal of a chimney, rather than providing a policy framework in the district plan.	[Inferred decision requested] Amend the heritage design guides to provide a set of considerations to be used when deciding whether to allow removal of a chimney.
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Wellington Heritage Professionals	412.90	Amend	Considers that 'Heritage from both Tiriti o Waitangi partners' does not capture all of Wellington's heritage - only the heritage of the Crown and tangata whenua.	Seeks that references to 'Heritage from both Tiriti o Waitangi partners' be amended to 'heritage from all of New Zealand's peoples' in the application section .
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Wellington Heritage Professionals	412.91	Amend	Considers that it is heritage conservation that leads to the best learning opportunities, not new development.	Seeks that the application section is amended as follows: " development <u>heritage conservation</u> can lead to learning opportunities for the wider public, making <u>currently unseen heritage and histories more accessible</u> "
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Wellington Heritage Professionals	412.92	Amend	Considers that it may not always be appropriate to acknowledge or celebrate sites of significance to mana whenua.	Amend the Outcomes section of the heritage design guide as follows: "Mana whenua sites of significance are acknowledged and celebrated <u>where appropriate</u> "
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Wellington Heritage Professionals	412.93	Amend	Considers that the heritage design guide should clearly refer to the CMU and Residential Design Guides that provide guidance on how to design new development adjacent to a heritage place.	Amend the Heritage design guide to clearly refer to the Centres and Mixed Use and Residential Design Guides that provide guidance on how to design new development adjacent to a heritage place.
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Wellington Heritage Professionals	412.94	Amend	Considers that the guideline should not refer to works and that conservation is about understanding and planning and does not always involve works.	Amend G31 of the Heritage Design Guide as follows: Consider effects on heritage fabric by: • undertaking conservation works with consultation, engagement and in partnership with mana whenua.
Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Heritage Design Guide	Wellington Heritage Professionals	412.95	Amend	Considers that the area-specific guides in the operative district plan should be reinstated to help to reduce the likelihood of adverse effects on heritage.	Seeks that the Area Specific Heritage Design Guides in the Operative District Plan be included.