

Erratum to Mr Jamie Sirl's Right of Reply

INF-NG sub-chapter

1. With respect to the matter addressed in paragraph 19 of my Reply, a subsequent discussion with Ms Whitney has occurred regarding the clarity of the INF-NG sub-chapter introduction. As a consequence, Ms Whitney and I have agreed on preferred wording to that included in my Reply (Appendix – INF-NG) that better reflects the matter highlighted in Minute 61.
2. The updated recommended INF-NG sub-chapter is as set out below and included in Appendix A – Erratum version INF-NG.

For activities outside these specific overlays, in addition to the policies in the Infrastructure – National Grid sub-chapter, the Infrastructure chapter applies, as do the Infrastructure - Natural Hazards sub-chapter and the Infrastructure – Other Overlays sub-chapter.

3. I consider that this updated recommended wording removes potential uncertainty on how the INF-NH and INF-OL sub-chapters apply, i.e. the sub-chapters do not only apply to national grid activities outside of the Coastal Environment overlay, Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes overlay, Special Amenity Landscapes overlay, and Hilltops Ridgelines overlay, and Significant Natural Areas overlay.

Bird Strike Risk provisions

4. The recommended bird strike risk rule included in my rebuttal evidence was not carried through to the Infrastructure Chapter included as an appendix to my Right of Reply. This error has been rectified and this rule is included in Appendix A to this Erratum. I note that I have incorporated some aspects of Ms O'Sullivan's proposed amendments to the proposed rule and definition of Bird Strike Risk Activity.



Jamie Sirl

3rd December 2024