

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Kilmarston Developments Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited	290.72	Designations / General point on Designations / General point on Designations	Amend	<p>Considers appropriate that amendments to the planning provisions to include provisions for infrastructure to be permitted within the Natural Open Space Zone (NOSZ). Considers that permitted infrastructure will assist in servicing future development.</p> <p>Seeks that this can be by proposed designation or appropriate zoning to provide for a reservoir.</p> <p>The Submitters land has been identified for residential development for at least 25 years. Considers that planning restrictions (overlays) over parts of the land do not assist in providing a framework for appropriate subdivision and land use for the subject property.</p> <p>Considers that it is not an effective use of the land resource to provide for a few rural residential properties on and area of land (over 15ha) that has been zoned for residential development. [see original submission]</p>	<p>Seeks that provisions for a designation are included for infrastructure within the Natural Open Space Zone (NOSZ) to provide for a reservoir.</p> <p>[inferred decision requested]</p>	Reject	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	FS85.38	Part 3 / Designations / General point on Designations / General point on Designations	Oppose	<p>It is not appropriate to include provisions for a designation for infrastructure within the Natural Open Space Zone (NOSZ) to provide for a reservoir. Designations are for requiring authorities, which this submitter is not. Furthermore, the requiring authority needs to go through a process which considers alternatives. The submitter provides no evidence that there is need for a reservoir, particularly when many councils such as Kāpiti, now require rain water tanks as a suitable water storage mechanism.</p>	Disallow	Accept	No
Andy Foster	FS86.62	Part 3 / Designations / General point on Designations / General point on Designations	Support	<p>Considers that it is reasonable to allow a reservoir to be constructed on the rural – Open Space part of the land to service new development and existing surrounding suburbs. Care should be taken about how it is designed to fit in with the landform, landscape and vegetation.</p> <p>[See original Further Submission for full reasoning]. [Inferred reference to 290.72]</p>	Allow	Accept	No
Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika	389.130	Designations / General point on Designations / General point on Designations	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Seeks that the Designations chapter is amended to include "Taranaki Whānui hold ahi kā and primary mana whenua status in Wellington City."	Reject	No
Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	FS138.68	Part 3 / Designations / General point on Designations / General point on Designations	Oppose	<p>The submitter seeks amendments throughout the plan seeking Taranaki Whānui to hold ahi kā and primary mana whenua status throughout Te Whanganui a Tara rohe. Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira understand and acknowledge that Taranaki Whānui have a physical presence within Te Whanganui a Tara. However, if this was implemented in the plan this would mean that their ahi kā would extend across the entire extent of the Wellington City Council boundary. Ngāti Toa Rangatira do have a physical presence in Te Whanganui a Tara and sites of significance which are listed in the plan. This means that Ngāti Toa Rangatira still need to be engaged with in terms of resource management and resource consents.</p>	Disallow	Accept	No
Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika	389.131	Designations / General point on Designations / General point on Designations	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Seeks that references to Wellington Tenth Trust are removed.	Accept	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	452.93	Designations / General point on Designations / General point on Designations	Amend	<p>Considers the use the Designation unique identifier at the beginning of each new designation would greatly improve reading and navigating the District Plan.</p>	Seeks to use the Designation unique identifier at the beginning of each new designation.	Reject	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.158	Designations / KiwiRail Holdings Limited / KRH1	Support in part	Supports the KRH – KiwiRail Holdings Limited designations text which accurately specify 'railway purposes' as the designation purpose and 'primary' for the designation hierarchy for both KRH-1 and KRH-2.	Retain KRH1 (Wellington Railway Lines), with amendments.	Accept	Yes

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.159	Designations / KiwiRail Holdings Limited / KRH1	Amend	Considers minor amendment to the wording of Conditions 1 to improve readability of the condition is appropriate.	Amend KRH1 (Wellington Railway Lines) as follows: The following conditions shall apply to the designation containing the Wellington Railway Station (designation KRH1) in the Wellington District Plan: 1. Nothing in this designation authorises the demolition or partial demolition of the following parts of the Wellington Railway Station building heritage features : - the 3 streets facades including the Thorndon Quay addition; - the main concourse; - the roofline (excluding without air-conditioning units); and - the plaques at the office entrance. which are heritage features. Any such proposal shall require KiwiRail to either obtain any necessary resource consent or to seek the alteration of this designation by the removal of this condition. For the avoidance of doubt, this condition does not cover repairs or maintenance, or additions or alterations, or any other activity requiring an outline plan under section 176A.	Accept	Yes
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.160	Designations / KiwiRail Holdings Limited / KRH2	Support	Supports the KRH – KiwiRail Holdings Limited designations text which accurately specify 'railway purposes' as the designation purpose and 'primary' for the designation hierarchy for both KRH-1 and KRH-2.	Retain KRH2 (Radio Station – Te Kopahao, Hawkins Hill) as notified.	Accept	Yes
Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	240.79	Designations / Minister of Corrections / MCOR1	Support	These designations have been rolled over from the Operative District Plan, and are an appropriate planning mechanism for managing these custodial corrections facilities. Noting however that Wellington Prison is no longer operational and designation MCOR1 will be uplifted at the time that the property ownership transfer has been confirmed.	Retain designation MCOR1 (Wellington Prison - Mt Crawford) as notified.	Accept	Yes
Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	240.80	Designations / Minister of Corrections / MCOR2	Support	These designations have been rolled over from the Operative District Plan, and are an appropriate planning mechanism for managing these custodial corrections facilities. Noting however that Wellington Prison is no longer operational and designation MCOR1 will be uplifted at the time that the property ownership transfer has been confirmed.	Retain designation MCOR2 (Arohata Prison - Tawa) as notified.	Accept	Yes
Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika	389.132	Designations / Minister for Courts / MCOU Conditions 2	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Seeks that the within conditions under 'Cultural' are amended to include "Taranaki Whānui hold ahi kā and primary mana whenua status in Wellington City."	Reject	No
Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	FS138.69	Part 3 / Designations / Minister for Courts / MCOU Conditions 2	Oppose	The submitter seeks amendments throughout the plan seeking Taranaki Whānui to hold ahi kā and primary mana whenua status throughout Te Whanganui a Tara rohe. Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira understand and acknowledge that Taranaki Whānui have a physical presence within Te Whanganui a Tara. However, if this was implemented in the plan this would mean that their ahi kā would extend across the entire extent of the Wellington City Council boundary. Ngāti Toa Rangatira do have a physical presence in Te Whanganui a Tara and sites of significance which are listed in the plan. This means that Ngāti Toa Rangatira still need to be engaged with in terms of resource management and resource consents.	Disallow	Accept	No
Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika	389.133	Designations / Minister for Courts / MCOU Conditions 2	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Seeks that references to Wellington Tenth's Trust are removed.	Accept	Yes
New Zealand Defence Force	423.43	Designations / Minister of Defence / MDEF1	Support	Considers that the details provided under Designation Reference MDEF1 are accurate and this designation has been subject to the usual requiring authority roll over process.	Retain MDEF1 (Point Jerningham Saluting Battery Site) as notified.	Accept	No
New Zealand Defence Force	423.44	Designations / Minister of Defence / MDEF2	Support	Considers that the details provided under Designation Reference MDEF2 are accurate and this designation has been subject to the usual requiring authority roll over process.	Retain MDEF2 (HMNZS Olphet Defence Establishment) as notified.	Accept	No
Ministry of Education	400.160	Designations / Minister of Education / General MEDU	Not specified	Submitter considers that the designation boundaries and details within the Schedule of Designations and designation details within the submitter's confirmation of designations (dated 15 June 2022) for the submitter's 73 sites within the Wellington District currently designated by the Minister of Education for education purposes, have generally been adopted into the Plan.	Not specified.	Accept	No
Ministry of Education	400.161	Designations / Minister of Education / MEDU Conditions 1	Amend	Seeks that the advice note of 'Education Purposes' under Conditions 1: Minister of Education Conditions be updated to the most recent version that has been used in more recent Designations across the country [see original submission for full reason].	"Educational Purposes" for the purposes of [this/these] designation[s] shall, in the absence of specific conditions to the contrary: ... iii. Enable the provision of community education (e.g.: night classes for adults) outside school hours in school facilities, and which will not be restricted to the primary syllabus taught to school age children during school hours	Accept in part	No
Waka Kotahi	370.452	Designations / Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency / General NZTA	Support	Supports the Waka Kotahi Designation and conditions as drafted.	Retain NZTA - Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency Designation as notified.	Accept	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.189	Designations / Transpower New Zealand Limited / TPR1	Support	Supports the rollover of the Central Park Substation designation. Considers the substation is a key National Grid asset and requires protection by designation.	Retain designation TPR1 (Central Park Substation) as notified.	Accept	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.190	Designations / Transpower New Zealand Limited / TPR2	Support	Supports the rollover of the Wilton Substation designation. Considers the substation is a key National Grid asset and requires protection by designation.	Retain designation TPR2 (Wilton Substation) as notified.	Accept	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.191	Designations / Transpower New Zealand Limited / TPR3	Support	Supports the rollover of the Takapu Road Substation designation. Considers the substation is a key National Grid asset and requires protection by designation.	Retain designation TPR3 (Takapu Road Substation) as notified.	Accept	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.192	Designations / Transpower New Zealand Limited / TPR4	Support	Supports the rollover of the Oteranga Bay Terminal Station designation. Considers the substation is a key National Grid asset and requires protection by designation.	Retain designation TPR4 (Oteranga Bay Terminal Station) as notified.	Accept	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.193	Designations / Transpower New Zealand Limited / TPR5	Support	Supports the rollover of the Te Hikowhenua Shore Electrode Station designation. Considers the substation is a key National Grid asset and requires protection by designation.	Retain designation TPR5 (Te Hikowhenua Shore Electrode Station) as notified.	Accept	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.194	Designations / Transpower New Zealand Limited / TPR6	Support	Supports the rollover of the Kaiwharawhara Supply Point Substation designation. Considers the substation is a key National Grid asset and requires protection by designation.	Retain designation TPR6 (Kaiwharawhara Supply Point Substation) as notified.	Accept	No
Friends of Owhiro Stream (FOOS)	403.1	Designations / Wellington City Council / WCC8	Amend	<p>Considers the ecological importance of the Upper Carey's Gully above the existing landfill operation to the health and restoration of the Owhiro stream network.</p> <p>The Upper Carey's Gully is also an important ecological corridor between Zealandia to the north and significant areas of ecological reserves to the south. This upper gully is recognised as a significant ecological area (SNA) which is clearly incompatible with any future expansion of the landfill up the valley. [Refer to original submission for full reason]</p> <p>The protection of this unique upper valley ecosystem, above the existing landfill, is consistent with the WCC commitment to reducing carbon emissions and protecting the natural environment of Wellington City.</p>	Seeks that the extent of the WCC8 Designation be amended to the operational footprint of the landfill, including: Stages 1, 2 and 3; The proposed Southern Landfill extension - Piggy back option (SLEPO); Associated working areas and Areas required for remediation of legacy issues relating to stages 1, 2 and 3. of the landfill.	Reject	No
Paul Blaschke	FS129.1	Part 3 / Designations / Wellington City Council / WCC8	Support	Upper Carey's Gully has some of the best (if not the best) quality freshwater habitats in Wellington City and their destruction for the originally proposed Southern Landfill one of the worst environmental losses for the city that could be imagined. Although the new landfill proposals are much less destructive, rezoning of the upper gully as suggested by FOOS would make loss of any more habitat than now proposed less likely and also help ensure commitment to reducing carbon emissions and waste generation, as suggested by FOOS.	Allow	Reject	No
Owhiro Bay Residents Association	477.2	Designations / Wellington City Council / WCC8	Amend	<p>Considers that the Carey's Gully Landfill Designation (WCC- 61) as Refuse Disposal and other works should be reduced to the footprint required for the current landfill plus 'Piggyback' extension and associated facilities.</p> <p>Considers there are environmental values as indicated on the plan and possible in the future that indicate a reduction in size of the designation is needed.</p> <p>Considers reducing the extent of the designation would align with commitments by Council.</p> <p>[see original submission for full reasons]</p>	Amend extent of designation to be reduced for WCC8 (Careys Gully Landfill) to the area only of the current landfills and planned SLEPO works.	Reject	No
Wellington City Council	266.165	Designations / Wellington City Council / WCC9	Amend	WCC Designations – WCC9 Christeson Lane Service Lane is to be amended because the service lane beyond the formed part of Christeson Lane was not implemented under the Operative District Plan designation, and there are no plans in the foreseeable future to actively pursue the implementation of the service lane.	Amend site identifier of WCC9 Christeson Lane Service Lane to reflect updated mapped extent.	Accept	Yes
Wellington City Council	266.166	Designations / Wellington City Council / WCC10	Amend	Considers the designation over this land should be removed as WCC have no plans to either acquire 11 Manners Street nor to use it for a service lane.	Amend Site Identifier in WCC10 (Bond Street Service Lane) as follows: Part Lot 10 DP 1886; Part Lot 22 DP 1886; Lot 4 DP 63430	Accept	Yes
Wellington City Council	266.167	Designations / Wellington City Council / WCC12	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission for further reason]	Amend designation WCC12 (Green Street and Wilson Service Lane) as follows: Green Street and Wilson Street Service Lane	Accept	Yes
Bruce Crothers	319.17	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / General WIAL	Amend	Considers that there should be stronger noise restrictions for aircraft and stricter limits on the number of flights unless they are electrically powered and much quieter.	Seeks stronger noise restrictions for aircrafts, including limits on the number of flights allowed.	Out of scope	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.239	Part 3 / Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / General WIAL	Oppose	The Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of the Proposed Plan review. This submission should therefore be struck out.	Disallow	Agree	No
Bruce Crothers	319.18	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / General WIAL	Amend	Seeks a reduction in the hours that aircrafts can fly into and out of Wellington in order to reduce carbon footprint.	Seeks restrictions in aircraft flight hours.	Out of scope	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.240	Part 3 / Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / General WIAL	Oppose	The Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of the Proposed Plan review. This submission should therefore be struck out.	Disallow	Agree	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.134	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / General WIAL	Amend	Considers that the WIAL chapter should be amended to have the Designations' unique identifier at the beginning of each new designation, as this would greatly improve readability and navigation of the PDP.	Amend the 'Wellington International Airport Limited' Designations chapter to have each designation's unique identifier at the beginning of each designation.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.546	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / General WIAL	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Seeks that duplications of provisions regarding aircraft noise management requirements set out in the main site and east side area designations are deleted.	Addressed in Hearing Stream 5	
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.161	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / General WIAL	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Addressed in Hearing Stream 5	
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.547	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / General WIAL	Amend	Considers that the PDP provisions for the Airport Zone replicate the Designation provisions. This is considered inefficient and ineffective.	Amend the provisions of the Airport Chapter to not duplicate those of the Airport Designation. [Inferred decision requested].	Addressed in Hearing Stream 6	
Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Inc *Late further submission accepted as per Minute 3	FS139.162	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / General WIAL	Support	Support WIAL's submission for the reasons set out in WIAL's submission.	Allow	Addressed in Hearing Stream 6	
Wellington City Council	266.168	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL1	Amend	Considers this condition currently prohibits development over 8 m, which is inconsistent with the MDRS height of 11 m. The height limit should be increased to 11 m. Considers the use of the word prohibited should be removed – given the RMA meaning of this.	Amend Condition 1 of the WIAL-1 Designation as follows: 1. Take-off and Approach Surfaces a. Specifications (...) b. Conditions i. With the exception of the properties identified in Figure 1 below, new objects or extensions of objects that penetrate the Take-off and Approach Surfaces and shall not exceed a height of 11m 8m above existing ground level, shall be prohibited except where the new object or extension is shielded by an existing immovable object, or the penetration is a temporary short term penetration (e.g. construction machinery or equipment) and that penetration has been approved by Wellington International Airport Limited. ii. With respect to the properties shown in Figure 1 below, new objects or extensions of objects that penetrate the take-off and approach surfaces and exceed the height limits specified in Figure 1 shall be prohibited, shall not exceed 11m , except where the new object or extension is shielded by an existing immovable object or the penetration is a temporary short term penetration (e.g. construction machinery or equipment) of these surfaces and that penetration has been approved by Wellington International Airport Limited.	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.177	Part 3 / Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL1	Support	Considers that providing a maximum height of 11 m brings it in line with the MDRS.	Allow	Accept in part	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.108	Part 3 / Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL1	Support	Kāinga Ora supports the amendments as the changes will enable greater opportunities for residential intensification.	Allow	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.135	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL1	Amend	Considers that Figure 1 - The grey area of the plan needs to refer to a height area as there are "no higher than existing roof line". All the houses have been removed from the east side of Bridge Street next to the airport.	Amend Figure 1 - 'Designation WIAL1 Properties affected by specific height restrictions' in WIAL1 (Wellington Airport Obstacle Limitation Surfaces) to have a height indicated for the grey area (east side of bridge street next to the airport runway).	Reject	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.763	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL1	Support in part	Seeks amendments to conditions 1 of Designation WIAL 1 in order to provide greater clarity and information to assist with calculating OLS and associated maximum building heights. Considers the lack of clarity and certainty around height restrictions within Designation WIAL 1 place unnecessary cost and uncertainty on landowners and may inhibit full development potential on a site.	Seeks to include additional diagrams and detail in condition 1 of Designation WIAL 1 to provide more detail and clarity on height restrictions.	Accept in part	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.764	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL1	Amend	Seeks amendments to conditions 1 of Designation WIAL 1 in order to provide greater clarity and information to assist with calculating OLS and associated maximum building heights. Considers the lack of clarity and certainty around height restrictions within Designation WIAL 1 place unnecessary cost and uncertainty on landowners and may inhibit full development potential on a site.	Seeks to include additional diagrams and detail in condition 1 of Designation WIAL 1 to provide more detail and clarity on height restrictions.	Accept in part	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.94	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL1	Amend	Considers Figure 1 – The grey area of the plan needs to refer to a height as the houses that were there have been removed and the standard "no higher than existing roof line" no longer makes sense.	Seeks that Figure 1 of the Wellington International Airport Designation is amended to specify a height for the grey area (east side of bridge street next to the airport runway).	Reject	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.136	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL2	Not specified	[No specific reason given - refer to original submission].	Not specified.	No specific relief sought	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.95	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL2	Not specified	Submitter is 'neutral' on WIAL2. Please refer to the original submission.	Not specified.	No specific relief sought	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.137	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL3	Not specified	[No specific reason given - refer to original submission].	Not specified.	No specific relief sought	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.96	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL3	Not specified	Submitter is 'neutral' on WIAL3. Please refer to the original submission.	Not specified.	No specific relief sought	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.138	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL4	Support	The designation of the Main Site Area (WIAL4) is supported.	Retain WIAL4 (Wellington Airport Main Site Area) as notified.	WIAL4 (Wellington Airport Main Site Area) is not part of this process	
Guardians of the Bays	452.97	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL4	Support	Supports WIAL4 (Wellington Airport Main Site Area).	Retain WIAL4 (Wellington Airport Main Site Area) as notified.	WIAL4 (Wellington Airport Main Site Area) is not part of this process	
Yvonne Weeber	340.139	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL5	Support	The designation of the East Site Area (WIAL5) is supported.	Retain WIAL5 (Wellington Airport East Side Area) as notified.	WIAL5 (Wellington Airport East Side Area) is not part of this process	
Guardians of the Bays	452.98	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL5	Support	Supports WIAL5 (Wellington Airport East Side Area).	Retain WIAL5 (Wellington Airport East Side Area) as notified.	WIAL5 (Wellington Airport East Side Area) is not part of this process	
Strathmore Park Residents Association Inc	371.9	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL Conditions 5	Oppose	Considers that the condition is inconsistent with the East Side Precinct direction overview which states that car parking is limited to temporary relocation only.	Opposes WIAL Conditions 5 (Airport Purposes Designation - East Side Area) and seeks amendment.	WIAL5 (Wellington Airport East Side Area) is not part of this process	

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Strathmore Park Residents Association Inc	371.10	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL Conditions 5	Amend	Considers that the condition is inconsistent with the East Side Precinct direction overview which states that car parking is limited to temporary relocation only.	Amend WIAL Conditions 5 (Airport Purposes Designation - East Side Area) as follows: The designation shall cover the area shown in Attachment 1 ("the ESA Designation"). Subject to the conditions set out below, land within the ESA Designation may be used for activities for the operation of Wellington International Airport ("the Airport"), limited to the following: ... • Temporary Car parking, roads, accessways, pedestrian ways, stormwater and wastewater infrastructure, sustainable infrastructure, utility activities and security fencing; • All demolition (if required), construction and earthworks activities, including associated structures; • Landscaping, planting, tracks and trails; • Ancillary activities, buildings and structures related to the above; and • Servicing, testing and maintenance activities related to the above.	WIAL5 (Wellington Airport East Side Area) is not part of this process	
Wellington International Airport Limited	F536.241	Part 3 / Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL Conditions 5	Oppose	The Main Site and East Side Area Designations are not subject to amendment as part of the Proposed Plan review. This submission should therefore be struck out.	Disallow	Accept	No
Strathmore Park Residents Association Inc	371.11	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL Conditions 5	Support	Support clause 32 (Noise Compliance Monitoring). Considers that with the movement of the Noise "Compliance Line" further East than the original Air Noise Boundary across the Golf Course and the need to ensure tight Noise Compliance for nearby residents, that provision for an additional noise monitor in the defined area is justified.	Retain clause 32 of CONDITIONS 5 of the Wellington International Airport Limited designation as notified.	WIAL5 (Wellington Airport East Side Area) is not part of this process	
Strathmore Park Residents Association Inc	371.12	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL Conditions 5	Support	Supports clause 40 (Noise Mitigation Measures). Considers that noise experienced by nearby residents will commence from the beginning of construction work which is the largest earthworks, retaining and tarmac provision in the East since the original Airport construction in the 1950's. From the commencement of Code C aircraft operations were originally set down to trigger the Mitigation Measures but the current wording now includes mitigation measures prior to the commencement of construction work which we support.	Retain clause 40 of CONDITIONS 5 of the Wellington International Airport Limited designation as notified.	WIAL5 (Wellington Airport East Side Area) is not part of this process	
Strathmore Park Residents Association Inc	371.13	Designations / Wellington International Airport Limited / WIAL Conditions 5	Support	Support clause 47 (Lapse). Note that the ESA lapse period was earlier requested to be 15 years. In view of the uncertainty of the impact of COVID-19, Climate Change, public reaction to long haul wide bodied jet travel, move toward quieter and/or electric engine aircraft development and the impact of earthworks & construction on WIAL's Carbonisation objectives (ref. Clause 48) we support the shorter lapse period of 10 years which will also be in line with the statutory District Plan review period.	Retain clause 47 of CONDITIONS 5 of the Wellington International Airport Limited designation as notified.	WIAL5 (Wellington Airport East Side Area) is not part of this process	
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.323	Designations / Greater Wellington Regional Council / General WRC	Support	Support the retention of the designations.	Retain the WRC (Greater Wellington Regional Council) Designation chapter as notified.	Accept in part	Yes
Rod Halliday	25.46	Designations / Greater Wellington Regional Council / WRC2	Support	Supports the purpose of the WRC2 designation (Seton Nossiter flood detention area) as a flood detention area.	Retain WRC2.	Accept	No
Rod Halliday	25.47	Designations / Greater Wellington Regional Council / WRC2	Amend	Considers that the WRC2 designation (Seton Nossiter flood detention area) is designed to hold a 1 in 100 year event as per the on-site information boards. [Refer to original submission for on-site information board attachment].	Seeks that the WRC2 designation (Seton Nossiter flood detention area) is updated with wording to reflect the designation is designed to hold a 1 in 100 year event as per the on-site information boards.	Reject	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.324	Designations / Greater Wellington Regional Council / WRC2	Support in part	The submitter notes that the development and residential intensification proposed upstream and downstream of Seton Nossiter Dam will affect its level of service. While we acknowledge the hydraulic neutrality provisions in the Three Waters chapter, any new development will still affect the dam.	Retain Designation WRC2 (Seton Nossiter flood detention area) as notified.	Accept	No
Rod Halliday	25.48	Designations / Greater Wellington Regional Council / WRC6	Amend	Considers that the designation is incorrectly mapped in the operative District Plan and the PDP carries this error over.	Seeks that the WRC6 designation (Stebbings Valley Flood Detention Dam) is re-modelled and re-mapped based on the easement or correct 92m contour, and that this takes into account the changes to ground levels as a result of approved earthworks.	Accept in part	Yes
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.325	Designations / Greater Wellington Regional Council / WRC6	Support in part	The submitter notes that the development and residential intensification proposed upstream and downstream of Stebbings Valley Dam will affect its level of service. While we acknowledge the hydraulic neutrality provisions in the Three Waters chapter, any new development will still affect the dam and associated infrastructure.	Retain Designation WRC6 (Stebbings Valley Flood Detention Dam) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Rod Halliday	25.7	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that the WRC6 designation does not match the flood easement line as defined by survey. As it stands, the designation now sits over road and properties that are well above the RL92.	Seeks that the WRC6 designation (Stebbings Valley Flood Detention Dam) designation boundary is amended in the mapping layer.	Accept in part	Yes
Century Group Limited	238.3	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Supports the spatial extent of Designation KRH1 as shown on the Maps at the time of making this submission, insofar as Designation KRH1 does not apply to the Property.	Retain the extent of Designation KRH1, specifically as it is not applied to the property (83-87 Waterloo Quay).	Accept	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington City Council	266.34	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers there is a need to amend the WIAL1 designation boundary as shown on the ePlan maps to be more useable for plan users. Council officers will work with WIAL to confirm the mapping requirements, which could include a new mapping layer.	Seeks to amend the designation as displayed on the ePlan maps, inclusive of polygon boundaries, visual display elements, and any additional mapping elements required to improve useability.	Accept in part	No
Panorama Property Limited	FS11.28	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	This point on mapping omits to address the anomaly that is the inclusion of 1 Upland Road in the OSZ. Panorama opposes these mapping errors/changes because they omit to redraw the OSZ to exclude the Site and are incomplete as a result. Panorama submits that the inclusion of the site in the OSZ is contrary to the purpose and principles of the RMA and the Council's obligations and functions under the RMA and is unsupported by the Council's s 32 assessment. The site is owned by Council on behalf of the city's ratepayers and provides a reasonable rate of return under the long-term commercial lease. Its zoning should reflect that commercial realty. Panorama refers back to their submission (#10.1) for reasons and relief sought. [Refer to further submission for full reason]	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point is disallowed, or alternative relief that may give better effect to the issues described in the further submission.	Accept	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.244	General / Mapping / General	Support	WIAL supports this submission and is currently working alongside WCC to ensure that WIAL1 is displayed in a user friendly manner for plan users.	Allow	Accept in part	No
Wellington City Council	266.35	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that WCC9 Christeson Lane Service Lane should be amended because the service lane beyond the formed part of Christeson Lane was not implemented under the Operative District Plan designation, and there are no plans in the foreseeable future to actively pursue the implementation of the service lane.	Amend WCC9 Christeson Lane Service Lane from where it is currently on privately owned land at the rear of 88 Manners Street, 90-92 Manners and 94 (part)-100 Manners Street and 70-72 Cuba Street. Retain WCC9 on the formed part of Christeson Lane. [As illustrated in full submission]	Accept	Yes
Panorama Property Limited	FS11.29	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	This point on mapping omits to address the anomaly that is the inclusion of 1 Upland Road in the OSZ. Panorama opposes these mapping errors/changes because they omit to redraw the OSZ to exclude the Site and are incomplete as a result. Panorama submits that the inclusion of the site in the OSZ is contrary to the purpose and principles of the RMA and the Council's obligations and functions under the RMA and is unsupported by the Council's s 32 assessment. The site is owned by Council on behalf of the city's ratepayers and provides a reasonable rate of return under the long-term commercial lease. Its zoning should reflect that commercial realty. Panorama refers back to their submission (#10.1) for reasons and relief sought. [Refer to further submission for full reason]	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point is disallowed, or alternative relief that may give better effect to the issues described in the further submission.	Reject	No
Wellington City Council	266.36	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that WCC has no plans to either acquire 11 Manners Street or use it for a service land.	Remove 11 Manners Street (Lot 10 DP 1886) from the mapped extent of WCC10 (Bond Street Service Lane).	Accept	No
Panorama Property Limited	FS11.30	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	This point on mapping omits to address the anomaly that is the inclusion of 1 Upland Road in the OSZ. Panorama opposes these mapping errors/changes because they omit to redraw the OSZ to exclude the Site and are incomplete as a result. Panorama submits that the inclusion of the site in the OSZ is contrary to the purpose and principles of the RMA and the Council's obligations and functions under the RMA and is unsupported by the Council's s 32 assessment. The site is owned by Council on behalf of the city's ratepayers and provides a reasonable rate of return under the long-term commercial lease. Its zoning should reflect that commercial realty. Panorama refers back to their submission (#10.1) for reasons and relief sought. [Refer to further submission for full reason]	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point is disallowed, or alternative relief that may give better effect to the issues described in the further submission.	Reject	No
Wellington City Council	266.38	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that there is a need to show parts of Kiwi Rail Holdings designation that are aboveground vs underground i.e. substrata.	Amend the Kiwi Rail Holdings designation as displayed on the ePlan maps to differentiate underground and above ground features.	Accept in part	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Panorama Property Limited	FS11.32	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	<p>This point on mapping omits to address the anomaly that is the inclusion of 1 Upland Road in the OSZ. Panorama opposes these mapping errors/changes because they omit to redraw the OSZ to exclude the Site and are incomplete as a result.</p> <p>Panorama submits that the inclusion of the site in the OSZ is contrary to the purpose and principles of the RMA and the Council's obligations and functions under the RMA and is unsupported by the Council's s 32 assessment.</p> <p>The site is owned by Council on behalf of the city's ratepayers and provides a reasonable rate of return under the long-term commercial lease. Its zoning should reflect that commercial reality.</p> <p>Panorama refers back to their submission (#10.1) for reasons and relief sought.</p> <p>[Refer to further submission for full reason]</p>	Disallow / Seeks that the submission point is disallowed, or alternative relief that may give better effect to the issues described in the further submission.	Reject	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.93	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	<p>Seeks that all parts of the rail corridor be mapped. Provided the amendment clearly shows the rail corridor as a continuous feature and it is made clear (within legend) that the entire corridor length is designated, then this amendment can be supported by KiwiRail.</p> <p>Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington City; and (f) is the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA.</p>	Amend / Adopt amendment sought subject to it being made clear the entire rail corridor is designated	Accept in part	No
Kilmarnock Developments Limited and Kilmarnock Properties Limited	Z90.4	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	<p>Considers zoning of area of NOSZ being restrictive and would limit building reservoir within the area.</p> <p>[Refer to original submission for full reason]</p>	<p>Seeks a designation over the land to accommodate a reservoir.</p> <p>[Inferred decision requested]</p>	Reject	No
Adam Groenewegen	FS46.2	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	<p>Opposes modifying the NOSZ in the way proposed as a reservoir of the size planned is completely out of scale and nature of the proposed zoning which is designed to protect the high amenity values of land surrounding Crows Nest. Barry Cottier has had previous consents for land use and subdivisions that resulted from a controversial environment court proceeding. He has failed to act on those consents and they have lapsed. A Code of Compliance issued earlier in 2022 for clearance of all vegetation from previously planned earthworks areas was issued by Council on the basis that previous land use consents had lapsed. In 2019 Barry Cottier proposed a complete rework of the earthworks and subdivision plan to garner council support for extending the consents, that did not feature any reservoir. A master plan process was promised but has not been actioned.</p>	Disallow / Disallow that part of the submission that seeks to enable a large reservoir to be built in a NOSZ or on land that is proposed to be NOSZ.	Accept	No
Jo McKenzie	FS64.3	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	<p>Jo McKenzie opposes modifying the NOSZ in the way proposed as a reservoir of the size planned is completely out of scale and nature of the proposed zoning which is designed to protect the high amenity values of land surrounding Crows Nest. The original submitter has had previous consents for land use and subdivisions that resulted from a controversial environment court proceeding.</p> <p>Jo McKenzie considers that original submitter has failed to act on those consents and they have lapsed. A Code of Compliance issued earlier in 2022 for clearance of all vegetation from previously planned earthworks areas was issued by Council on the basis that previous land use consents had lapsed. In 2019 the original submitter proposed a complete rework of the earthworks and subdivision plan to garner council support for extending the consents, that did not feature any reservoir. A master plan process was promised but has not been actioned.</p>	Disallow / Disallow the part of the submission that seeks to enable a large reservoir to be built in a NOSZ or on land that is proposed to be NOSZ.	Accept	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	FS85.14	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	<p>There is no evidence of need for a reservoir in the area. Designation of such infrastructure needs to go through due process with an assessment of environmental effects etc.</p>	Disallow	Accept	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Andy Foster	FS86.44	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	Considers that it is not reasonable to allow for housing development to intrude into the land zoned Open Space and Rural in the Operative Plan. The landscape impacts would be substantial, both of any housing and of the roading access. The impacts on vegetation would also be significant. Notes that the area of bush at the bottom of the site, immediately adjacent to and climbing up from Silverstream Road is of particularly high quality. The concept of putting housing or an access road through it would be entirely unreasonable. For all these reasons Andy Foster opposes any development in this area beyond a carefully designed reservoir. [See original Further Submission for full reasoning]. [Inferred reference to submission 290.4]	Disallow	Accept	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.3	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Supports the rollover of the Central Park Substation designation. Considers the substation is a key National Grid asset and requires protection by designation.	Retain designation TPR1 (Central Park Substation) in the mapping as notified.	Accept	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.4	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Supports the rollover of the Wilton Substation designation. Considers the substation is a key National Grid asset and requires protection by designation.	Retain designation TPR2 (Wilton Substation) in the mapping as notified.	Accept	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.5	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Supports the rollover of the Takapu Road Substation designation. Considers the substation is a key National Grid asset and requires protection by designation.	Retain designation TPR3 (Takapu Road Substation) in the mapping as notified.	Accept	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.6	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Supports the rollover of the Oteranga Bay Terminal Station designation. Considers the substation is a key National Grid asset and requires protection by designation.	Retain designation TPR4 (Oteranga Bay Terminal Station) in the mapping as notified.	Accept	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.7	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Supports the rollover of the Te Hikowhenua Shore Electrode Station designation. Considers the substation is a key National Grid asset and requires protection by designation.	Retain designation TPR5 (Te Hikowhenua Shore Electrode Station) in the mapping as notified.	Accept	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.8	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Supports the rollover of the Kaiwharawhara Supply Point Substation designation. Considers the substation is a key National Grid asset and requires protection by designation.	Retain designation TPR6 (Kaiwharawhara Supply Point Substation) in the mapping as notified.	Accept	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.3	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	The extent of KRH1 is incorrect should be amended. KiwiRail seek a minor correction to the Johnsonville Line designation extent of Tunnel 6. The shapefile does not accurately cover the existing rail infrastructure and KiwiRail seek amendment to ensure the tunnel is accurately designated.	Amend the extent of KRH1 to ensure the Johnsonville Line designation extent of Tunnel 6 is accurately designated. [Refer to original submission for map].	Accept	Yes
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.4	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	The extent of KRH1 is incorrect should be amended. KiwiRail seek amendment to the designation label for KRH2. This designation, located at Hawkins Hill, is identified with both labels KRH1 and KRH2 on the online maps.	Amend the planning maps to remove the KRH1 label from the KRH2 designation at Hawkins Hill. [Refer to original submission for map].	Accept	Yes
Owhiro Bay Residents Association	477.1	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that the Carey's Gully Landfill Designation (WCC- 61) as Refuse Disposal and other works should be reduced to the footprint required for the current landfill plus 'Piggyback' extension and associated facilities. Considers there are environmental values as indicated on the plan and possible in the future that indicate a reduction in size of the designation is needed. Considers reducing the extent of the designation would align with commitments by Council. [see original submission for full reasons]	Amend extent of designation to be reduced for WCC8 to the area only of the current landfills and planned SLEPO works.	Reject	No
Airways Corporation of New Zealand Limited	100.1	Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Amend	Considers that currently there are no provisions to protect against future development or infrastructure occurring in close proximity to the radar designations (ACN23 and ACN24) which may impact air traffic control services. ACN23 and ACN24 are both potential sites to replace the existing infrastructure as part of Airways Corporation of New Zealand Limited's process of scoping replacement Radar and Communications systems. It is critical from a safety perspective that the radar designations are protected from any adverse effects from nearby development. As per the ICAO standard, a 'buffer' of 500m is required around radars to protect against the adverse effects from wind turbines and buildings such as, skyscrapers, large excavating works, communication towers. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Add a new 'Air Traffic Control' overlay with a 500m radius around the radar designation ACN23 (Radar & Communications site Hawkins Hill - Section 5 SO24952, Hawkins Hill, off Karepa Street, Brooklyn).	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.255	General/ Mapping / Retain Zone	Support	WIAL supports the inclusion of overlays that ensure that Airways air traffic control overlays are protected and thus protect the safety of aircraft on approach to and departure from Wellington International Airport.	Allow	Reject	No

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.43	General / Mapping / All Overlays / Overlays / General	Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the proposed 'air traffic control' overlay as insufficient information has been provided to understand the potential impacts on urban development.	Disallow	Accept in part	No
Airways Corporation of New Zealand Limited	100.2	Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Amend	<p>Considers that currently there are no provisions to protect against future development or infrastructure occurring in close proximity to the radar designations (ACNZ3 and ACNZ4) which may impact air traffic control services.</p> <p>ACNZ3 and ACNZ4 are both potential sites to replace the existing infrastructure as part of Airways Corporation of New Zealand Limited's process of scoping replacement Radar and Communications systems.</p> <p>It is critical from a safety perspective that the radar designations are protected from any adverse effects from nearby development.</p> <p>As per the ICAO standard, a 'buffer' of 500m is required around radars to protect against the adverse effects from wind turbines and buildings such as, skyscrapers, large excavating works, communication towers.</p> <p>[Refer to original submission for full reasons].</p>	Add a new 'Air Traffic Control Information Overlay' with a 500m radius around the radar designation ACNZ4 (Radar & Communications site Hawkins Hill - Section 1 & 2 SO31242, Section 4 on SO24952, Hawkins Hill, off Karepa Street, Brooklyn.).	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.256	General/ Mapping / Retain Zone	Support	WIAL supports the inclusion of overlays that ensure that Airways air traffic control overlays are protected and thus protect the safety of aircraft on approach to and departure from Wellington International Airport.	Disallow	Reject	No