Wellington City Proposed District Plan

Hearing Stream 7- Signs

Appendix B - Recommended Responses to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Paul Van Houtte	92.3	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Amend	Considers that digitally internally illuminated signs for commercial purposes should not be permitted in Wellington or at least not visible from any road.	Seeks that the SIGN (Signs) chapter be amended so that digitally internally illuminated signs for commercial purposes are not permitted in Wellington or at least not visible from any road.		
				They are distracting, compromise amenity values and are highly imposing as they are difficult to ignore.		Reject	No
Paul Van Houtte	92.4	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Amend	Considers that commercial advertising and signs should be restricted from being located on any public transport, at any public transport infrastructure, because it is imposing due to necessary duration of exposure, compromising amenity values.	Seeks that the SiGN (Signs) chapter be amended to restrict commercial advertising/signs on public transport and public transport infrastructure.	Reject	No
Go Media Ltd	236.3	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Amend	Considers that billiboard advertising contributes to the commercial vitality of a community through supporting business, infrastructure and community activities, Considers that billboard advertising can enhance the character or areas, buildings and structures and provides a focal point, and adds vibrancy and interest. Considers that digital signage is now a widely acceptable form of advertising throughout New Zealand.	Seeks that the objectives, policies and rules applying to signage are based on evidence, effects and best practice.		
Go Media Ltd	236.4	General District wide	Not	[Refer to original submission for full reasons] Considers that the PDP treats static and digital billboards differently in provisions where they should		Reject	No
		Matters / Signs / General SIGN	specified	be the same. Considers that there is insufficient evidence to differentiate between digital and static billboards.	same. [Inferred decision requested]	Reject	No
Go Media Ltd	236.5	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Support in part	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Seeks that the PDP expressly recognise the positive effects of billboards, including digital advertising and enable signage.	Reject.	No
Go Media Ltd	236.6	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Not specified	Considers that the current standards are excessively strict and are not commensurate with the safety and amenity effects of billboards.	Not specified.	Reject.	No.
Go Media Ltd	236.7	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Amend	Considers that "Not compromised" and "Not detract" throughout the SIGNs chapter are not explained.	Seeks that wording used in provisions should be based on effects.	Reject.	No
Go Media Ltd	236.8	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Amend	Considers that "Visual Clutter" is not explained.	Seeks that the words "Visual Clutter" should be qualified by "Unacceptable" or "Adverse".	Reject	No
Go Media Ltd	236.9	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Amend	Considers that the layout of the rules is difficult to use.	Seeks that formatting is amended to reduce moving backwards and forwards throughout the chapter and reduce table sizes.	Reject	No
Go Media Ltd	236.10	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Oppose	Considers the provisions relating to signs visible from the state highway network are unreasonable and should be deleted. Refers to evidence set out in the Carriageway Letter (Attached to original submission).	Seeks that provisions relating to signage visibility from State Highways in the SIGN chapter are deleted.		
Waka Kotahi NZ	FS103.39	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Waka Kotahi seeks to maintain the safe and efficient function of the state highway network, and is	Disallow	Rejects	No
Transport Agency		wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN		supportive of including specific mention of the state highway network within this chapter. It is important, especially on the state highways, to limit driver distraction & ensure a safe road environment as much as possible.		Accept	No
Go Media Ltd	236.11	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Support	Considers that the protection of heritage must be appropriately balanced against contemporary activities and that it has been demonstrated that billboard signage can integrate with heritage buildings and environments.	Not specified.	recept	
				Supports restricted discretionary activity status for signs that do not meet the permitted activity standards in heritage areas.		Accept	No
Go Media Ltd	236.12	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Not specified	Considers that the PDP fails to meet the requirements of s32 of the Resource Management Act by failing to adequately consider the costs of provisions relating to billboards. Providing more adequately for billboards will ensure that the PDP achieves the integrated management of the effects of use and development of land and associated natural and physical resources of Wellington City as required by section 31 of the RMA, assists the Council to carry out its statutory functions in order to achieve the purpose of the RMA, and promotes the sustainable management of natural and physical resources, and is therefore in accordance with Part 2 of the RMA.	Not specified.	Reject	No
Wellington City Council	266.127	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Amend	Considers amendment to introduction is necessary to cover signage for local body election hoarding	Hoarding signs for local or central government elections Electoral signs are exempt from these rules		
					and are managed under the Electoral Act 1993 <u>, the Local Electoral Act 2001 and the Council's Election Hoarding Guideline.</u>	Accept	Yes

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 1 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.1	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Support	Supports the recognition of the importance of signage and third party signs (billboards) for their role in providing information, messaging and advertising. The submitter supports these provisions because the submitter considers that they will: -Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA; -Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District, particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and -Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.	Seeks to retain provisions that support the importance of signage and third party signs (billboards) for their role in providing information, messaging and advertising. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept	No
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.2	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Support	Supports the provision of a single chapter within the Proposed Plan which contains the provisions fo signs (as opposed to incorporating provisions for signs within each zone chapter). The submitter supports these provisions because the submitter considers that they will: -Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA; -Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District, particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and -Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.	r Seeks to retain the use of a standalone Signs chapter within the Proposed District Plan. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept	No
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.3	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Support	Supports the recognition of the nature of effects of signs that need to be addressed by objectives and policies. The submitter supports these provisions because the submitter considers that they will -Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA; -Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District, particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and -Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.	Seeks to retain objectives within the Signs chapter that address and recognise the nature of effects of signs. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept	No
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.4	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Support	Supports the recognition of the nature of effects of signs that need to be addressed by objectives and policies. The submitter supports these provisions because the submitter considers that they will -Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA; -Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District, particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and -Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.	Seeks to retain policies within the Signs chapter that address and recognise the nature of effects of signs [Inferred decision requested]		
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.5	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Support	Supports the incorporation of those 'standards' which generally reflect current industry practice. The submitter supports these provisions because the submitter considers that they will: -Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA; -Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District, particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and -Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.	e Not specified.	Accept	No No
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.6	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Support	Supports the incorporation of appropriate matters of discretion for restricted discretionary activities which limit consideration to visual amenity, the integration of signs with buildings, traffic, pedestriar and cyclist safety, functional and operational requirements of activities and signs, and positive effects of signs. The submitter supports these provisions because the submitter considers that they will: -Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA; -Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District, particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and -Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.	Retain matters of discretion within the Signs chapter restricted discretionary activity rules that limit consideration to visual amenity, the integration of signs with buildings, traffic, pedestrian and cyclist safety, functional and operational requirements of activities and signs, and positive effects of signs. [inferred decision requested]	Accept	No
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.7	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Oppose	Opposes the onerous nature of certain standards that apply to third party signs and digital signs. The submitter opposes these provisions because the submitter considers that they will not: -Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA; -Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District, particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and -Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.	Not specified.	Reject.	No.
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.8	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Amend	Opposes inclusion of the Signs Design Guide and requests that where necessary appropriate matters of discretion and assessment criteria are developed to provide a clear framework for the assessment of signs which require a resource consent.	Seeks that appropriate matters of discretion and assessment criteria are developed and included in the SIGNS chapter to provide a clear framework for the assessment of signs which require a resource consent.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 2 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.1	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Support	Supports the recognition of the importance of signage and third party signs (billboards) for their role in providing information, messaging and advertising. The submitter supports these provisions because the submitter considers that they will: -Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA; -Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District, particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and -Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.	Seeks to retain provisions that support the importance of signage and third party signs (billboards) for their role in providing information, messaging and advertising. [inferred decision requested]	Accept	No
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.2	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Support	Supports the provision of a single chapter within the Proposed Plan which contains the provisions for signs (as opposed to incorporating provisions for signs within each zone chapter). The submitter supports these provisions because the submitter considers that they will: -Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA; -Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District, particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and -Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.	r Seeks to retain the use of a standalone Signs chapter within the Proposed District Plan. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept	No
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.3	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Support	Supports the recognition of the nature of effects of signs that need to be addressed by objectives and policies. The submitter supports these provisions because the submitter considers that they will -Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA; -Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District, particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and -Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.	Seeks to retain objectives within the Signs chapter that address and recognise the nature of effects of signs. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept	No
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.4	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Support	Supports the recognition of the nature of effects of signs that need to be addressed by objectives and policies. The submitter supports these provisions because the submitter considers that they will -Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA; -Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District, particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and -Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.	Seeks to retain policies within the Signs chapter that address and recognise the nature of effects of signs. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept	No
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.5	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Support	Supports the incorporation of those 'standards' which generally reflect current industry practice. The submitter supports these provisions because the submitter considers that they will: -Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA; -Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District, particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and -Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.	Not specified.	Accept	No
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.6	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Support	Supports the incorporation of appropriate matters of discretion for restricted discretionary activities which limit consideration to visual amenity, the integration of signs with buildings, traffic, pedestriar and cyclist safety, functional and operational requirements of activities and signs, and positive effects of signs. The submitter supports these provisions because the submitter considers that they will: -Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA; -Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District, particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and -Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.		Accept in part.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.7	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Oppose	Opposes the onerous nature of certain standards that apply to third party signs and digital signs. The submitter opposes these provisions because the submitter considers that they will not: -Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA; -Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District, particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and -Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.	Not specified.	Reject.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.8	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Amend	Opposes inclusion of the Signs Design Guide and requests that where necessary appropriate matters of discretion and assessment criteria are developed to provide a clear framework for the assessment of signs which require a resource consent.	Seeks that appropriate matters of discretion and assessment criteria are developed and included in the SIGNS chapter to provide a clear framework for the assessment of signs which require a resource consent.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 3 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
oOh!Media Street Furniture New Zealand Limited	316.3	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Amend	Considers that the introduction of the Signs chapter should be amended to reference the Public Places Bylaw 2022 and to confirm that the Council's approval is required in all instances for signs in the road reserve. The Introduction refers to the Wellington Consolidated Bylaw 2008, which was replaced on 25 August 2022 by the Public Places Bylaw 2022. It is submitted that the Introduction needs to be amended to correct this discrepancy, and to confirm that the Council's approval is required for all signs in the road reserve (regardless of the provisions of the Proposed Plan).	Amend the Introduction to the Signs chapter as follows: In oit managed appropriately, signs have the potential to result in adverse environmental effects including visual clutter, degradation of heritage features, and erosion of the amenity of the local and wider environment. The definition of a sign in this plan is limited to signs that are projected onto, or fixed or attached to, any structure or natural object such as buildings. Portable signs in the form of a board on Council.		
					owned land are managed under the Wellington Consolidated Bylaw 2008. Under this bylaw, written approval is required for signage in public places. Some signs are subject to the Public Places Bylaw 2022. Notwithstanding any rules for signs in public places or within the road reserve, all signs placed in the road reserve will require the prior approval of Wellington City Council, or the approval or Waka Kotahi in respect of signs placed in the state highway network.	Accept in part	Yes
Woolworths New Zealand	359.36	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Support in part	The general approach to signage in the PDP is supported, including an appropriate restricted discretionary activity status where standards are infringed. Signage is an important component of commercial activity, to raise brand awareness, consistency and legibility in an urban environment. The restricted discretionary activity status where signage is proposed that infringes the limits in any zone is supported, so long as the matters of discretion that apply are relevant and truly restricted in nature.	Retain the Sign chapter, with amendment.	Accept in part	No
Woolworths New Zealand	359.37	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Amend	Considers that the permitted area and thresholds for signage in certain commercial zones should be amended, specifically in respect to free-standing signage which is more restrictive that the Operative Plan in terms of height. Signage should be considered acceptable in commercial zones in principle, with some limitation on size and location where it may adversely affect sensitive areas, including heritage, character or residential areas. Specific amendments are requested in this submission.		Reject.	No.
Woolworths New Zealand	359.38	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Amend	Considers that assessment of signage in commercial zones needs to consider the importance of corporate branding for consistency and coherence and ensure that consideration sits alongside the urban design aspirations of the PDP. It is critical for their success that businesses are able to be instantly recognisable for customers and not "watered down" to achieve an identified character or palettes.	Seeks that signage assessments consider the importance of corporate branding for consistency and coherence and ensure that consideration sits alongside the urban design aspirations of the PDP	Reject.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.233	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Support in part		Retain the Signs chapter, subject to amendments.		No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	FS124.1	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Oppose	In general terms, Lumo considers that the amendments sought by the submitter are inappropriate and will result in unnecessary and onerous provisions for signs, billboards and digital signs/billboards that are visible from a state highway. Lumo opposes the amendments that are sought by the submitter, except where otherwise specified in further submissions.	Disallow	Accept in part	No.
Out Of Home Media Association of Aotearoa (OOHMAA)	FS125.1	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Oppose	In general terms, OOHMAA considers that the amendments sought by the submitter are inappropriate and will result in unnecessary and onerous provisions for signs, billboards and digital signs/billboards that are visible from a state highway. OOHMAA opposes theamendments that are sought by the submitter, except where otherwise specified in further submissions.	Disallow	Accept in part.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.234	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Amend	It is considered that links between the Rule table and Sign Rules could be made clearer. The rule table is interpreted such that a third-party advertising digital sign will require consent (or to comply with) SIGN-R4 and SIGN-R5, but it is suggested that the links are made more clear.	Seeks to amend the Rule table in the Signs chapter to ensure the links between the table and Rules are clear.	Reject.	No.
Upoko o te Ika	389.87	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Seeks that within the 'Other relevant District Plan provisions' that Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori chapter is included.	Reject.	No.
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.460	General District wide Matters / SIGNS / General SIGN	Amend	Signage is an important and commonly found feature within any airport environment. It assists with both airside and landside airport operations, and assists with the safe and efficient movement of people, aircraft and traffic through the airport's airside and landside facilities. Signage also provides an opportunity to showcase the activities or services on offer within the district and region, which has both social and economic benefits for the wider community. Considers that it is important that the Proposed Plan recognises and provides for signage within the airport environment.	Seeks that the SIGN chapter recognises and provides for signage in the Airport Environment.		
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.461	General District wide Matters / SIGNS / General SIGN	Support	Supports provisions within the SIGN chapter that require consideration of potential effects of signage on the safety of aircraft.	Retain, insofar as it relates to effects of signage on aircraft safety, SIGN chapter as notified.	Reject. Accept in part.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 4 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Kay Larsen	447.8	General District wide Matters / Signs / General SIGN	Amend	Considers that digital billboards can be consented to change their image every 9 - 90 seconds, never turned off and there are many in central city area. These use fossil fuels to generate electricity for their power which warms the planet. There is no justification for them.	Not specified.		
				Revenue from Billboards does not outweigh negative impacts.			
				Considers that it was good that Prime Property Group were denied 2 Billboards.			
				Supports more regulationfor billboards.			
V	447.9	General District wide	Amend	[See original submission for full reason]	Coulomb to the DDD is accorded to accord for an incord state with LFD Bills and	Reject.	No.
Kay Larsen	447.9	Matters / Signs /	Amena	Considers that digital billboards can be consented to change their image every 9 - 90 seconds, never turned off and there are many in central city area. These use fossil fuels to generate electricity for	Seeks that the PDP is amended to account for saving electricity with LED Billiboards.		
		General SIGN		their power which warms the planet. There is no justification for them.	[Inferred decision requested].		
				Revenue from Billboards does not outweigh negative impacts.			
				Considers that it was good that Prime Property Group were denied 2 Billboards.			
				Supports more regulation for bill boards.			
				[See original submission for full reason]		Reject.	No.
Kay Larsen	447.10	General District wide	Amend	Considers that digital billboards can be consented to change their image every 9 - 90 seconds, never			
		Matters / Signs / General SIGN		turned off and there are many in central city area. These use fossil fuels to generate electricity for their power which warms the planet. There is no justification for them.	Properties or Hotels.		
				Revenue from Billboards does not outweigh negative impacts.			
				Considers that it was good that Prime Property Group were denied 2 Billboards.			
				Supports more regulation for billboards.			
				[See original submission for full reason]		Reject.	No.
Kay Larsen	447.11	General District wide	Amend	Considers that digital billboards can be consented to change their image every 9 - 90 seconds, never			
		Matters / Signs / General SIGN		turned off and there are many in central city area. These use fossil fuels to generate electricity for their power which warms the planet. There is no justification for them.	proactively in prevent any hazards to motorists from LED Billboards.		
				Revenue from Billboards does not outweigh negative impacts.			
				Considers that it was good that Prime Property Group were denied 2 Billboards.			
				Supports more regulation for billboards.			
				[See original submission for full reason]		Reject.	No.
Kay Larsen	447.12	General District wide Matters / Signs /	Amend	Considers that digital billboards can be consented to change their image every $9-90$ seconds, never turned off and there are many in central city area. These use fossil fuels to generate electricity for	Seeks that the PDP is amended to include more regulations limiting use of LED Digitial Billboards.		
		General SIGN		their power which warms the planet. There is no justification for them.			
				Revenue from Billboards does not outweigh negative impacts.			
				Considers that itwas good that Prime Property Group were denied 2 Billboards.			
				Supports more regulation for billboards.			
Out of Home Media	284.9	General District wide	Amend	[See original submission for full reason] Opposes the lack of a permitted activity status for digital signs which are designed and operated to	Seeks that a Permitted activity rule be added to SIGN-R5 (Digital signs) to enable digital signs which	Reject	No.
Association of	204.3	Matters / Signs / New	Amenu	comply with relevant standards. The submitter opposes these provisions because the submitter	are design and operated to comply with relevant standards to be a permitted activity.		
Aotearoa		NUN		considers that they will not: -Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA;	[Inferred decision requested].		
				-Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District,			
				particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and -Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the			
				efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.			
						Dailant	
						Reject.	NO

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 5 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.9	General District wide Matters / Signs / New SIGN	Amend	Opposes the lack of a permitted activity status for digital signs which are designed and operated to comply with relevant standards. The submitter opposes these provisions because the submitter considers that they will not:	Seeks that a Permitted activity rule be added to SIGN-R5 (Digital signs) to enable digital signs which are design and operated to comply with relevant standards to be a permitted activity.		
				-Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA; -Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District, particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and	[Inferred decision requested].		
				-Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.			
						Reject.	No
oOh!Media Street Furniture New Zealand Limited	316.4	General District wide Matters / Signs / New SIGN	Amend	Considers that a new policy should be added to the Signs chapter new policy for 'signs integrated with buildings and structures in the road reserve'. Advertising signs in transport shelters and other buildings and structures in the road reserve are subject to the same provisions as signs on private properties, which is considered impractical. A number of these standards are not readily relevant or	Add a new Policy in the Signs chapter as follows: SIGN-PX Signs that are integrated with buildings and structures in the road reserve, except signs on building verandahs		
				practicable for the design and operation of signs within the road reserve. It is considered more practical, efficient and cost-effective to have specific provisions for signs which are integrated with buildings and structures within the road reserve (including a specific set of	Enable signs where they are an integrated component of buildings and structures in the road reserve, including ancillary road network infrastructure.		
				policies, rules and standards). As with the shelter infrastructure, the design and operation of signs within the road reserve is most effectively managed by the Council (as the road controlling authority) in conjunction with its commercial partners and contractors.			
				This approach would be consistent with consistent with the manner in which road based infrastructure and advertising signs are managed in Auckland, under the Unitary Plan. The plan classifies billboards on street furniture as a permitted activity, with this status being subject to			
				compliance with a particular set of standards. [Refer to original submission for full reason]			
Waka Kotahi NZ	FS103.40	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs /	Oppose	Waka Kotahi seeks to maintain the safe and efficient function of the state highway network, and considers it appropriate that digital billboards require consent due to the potential for driver	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Transport Agency		New SIGN		distraction and effects on road safety.		Accept.	No.
oOh!Media Street Furniture New Zealand	316.5	General District wide Matters / Signs / New	Amend	Considers that a new rule should be added to the Signs chapter for 'signs that are integrated with buildings and structures in the road reserve'. Advertising signs in transport shelters and other	Add a new Rule in the Signs chapter as follows:		
Limited		SIGN		buildings and structures in the road reserve are subject to the same provisions as signs on private properties, which is considered impractical. A number of these standards are not readily relevant or	SIGN-RX Signs that are integrated with ancillary road network infrastructure, except signs on building verandahs		
				practicable for the design and operation of signs within the road reserve.	<u>All Zones</u>		
				It is considered more practical, efficient and cost-effective to have specific provisions for signs which are integrated with buildings and structures within the road reserve (including a specific set of	1. Activity status: Permitted Where:		
				policies, rules and standards). As with the shelter infrastructure, the design and operation of signs within the road reserve is most effectively managed by the Council (as the road controlling authority) in conjunction with its commercial partners and contractors.	a. Compliance with the following standards is achieved: i. SIGN-SX		
				This approach would be consistent with consistent with the manner in which road based	All Zones 2. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary		
				infrastructure and advertising signs are managed in Auckland, under the Unitary Plan. The plan classifies billboards on street furniture as a permitted activity, with this status being subject to	Where: a. Compliance with the Requirements of SIGN-RX.1 cannot be achieved.		
				compliance with a particular set of standards. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Matters of discretion are:		
					The matters in SIGN-PX; and The extent and effect of non-compliance with any relevant standard and the matters as specified in the associated assessment criteria for the infringed standards.	Reject.	No.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS103.41	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Waka Kotahi seeks to maintain the safe and efficient function of the state highway network, and	Disallow		
		wide Matters / Signs /		considers it appropriate that digital billboards require consent due to the potential for driver			

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 6 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
oOhIMedia Street Furniture New Zealand Limited	316.6	General District wide Matters / Signs / New SIGN	Amend	Considers that a new standard should be added to the Signs chapter to relate to signs that are integrated with buildings and structures that are within the road reserve. Advertising signs in transport shelters and other buildings and structures in the road reserve are subject to the same provisions as signs on private properties, which is considered impractical. A number of these standards are not readily relevant or practicable for the design and operation of signs within the road reserve. It is considered more practical, efficient and cost-effective to have specific provisions for signs which are integrated with buildings and structures within the road reserve (including a specific set of policies, rules and standards). As with the shelter infrastructure, the design and operation of signs within the road reserve is most effectively managed by the Council (as the road controlling authority) in conjunction with its commercial partners and contractors. This approach would be consistent with consistent with the manner in which road based infrastructure and advertising signs are managed in Auckland, under the Unitary Plan. The plan classifies billboards on street furniture as a permitted activity, with this status being subject to compliance with a particular set of standards. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	below. These standards do not apply to signs on building verandahs, which are subject to the standards in SIGN-51 to SIGN-514. 1. Signs must not be located within 30m of a scheduled Historic Heritage Place. 2. Signs must be no larger than the street furniture it is attached to. 3. Signs which are lit internally or by external means (but excluding digital signs) must comply with Standard SIGN-59. 4. The illumination of digital signs must comply with Standard SIGN-S8.4. 5. The sign must not contain any flashing or moving lights. 6. Signs must not be shaped or use images or colours, including changeable messages, that could be mistaken for a traffic control device in colour, shape or appearance. 7. Signs must not obstruct, obscure or impair the view of any traffic or railway sign or signal. 8. Digital signs must not provide advertising over multiple messages which are displayed across transitioning screens. 9. In the event of a malfunction, a digital sign must: 10. Each image on a digital sign must: 10. Each image on a digital sign must: 2. Be displayed for a minimum of 8 seconds; 2. Transition to another image within 0.1 to 0.5 seconds;		
Waka Kotahi NZ	FS103.42	Part 2 / General District	Onnose	Waka Kotahi seeks to maintain the safe and efficient function of the state highway network, and	c. Transition to another image without flashing, blinking, fading or scrolling. Disallow	Reject.	No.
Transport Agency	1 5 2 6 5 . 1 2	wide Matters / Signs / New SIGN	Оррозс	considers it appropriate that digital billboards require consent due to the potential for driver distraction and effects on road safety.		Accept.	No.
oOhlMedia Street Furniture New Zealand Limited	316.7 F5103.43	General District wide Matters / Signs / New SIGN	Amend	Considers that a new assessment criteria should be added to the new standard in the Signs chapter to relate to signs that are integrated with buildings and structures that are within the road reserve. Advertising signs in transport shelters and other buildings and structures in the road reserve are subject to the same provisions as signs on private properties, which is considered impractical. A number of these standards are not readily relevant or practicable for the design and operation of signs within the road reserve. It is considered more practical, efficient and cost-effective to have specific provisions for signs which are integrated with buildings and structures within the road reserve (including a specific set of policies, rules and standards). As with the shelter infrastructure, the design and operation of signs within the road reserve is most effectively managed by the Council (as the road controlling authority) in conjunction with its commercial partners and contractors. This approach would be consistent with consistent with the manner in which road based infrastructure and advertising signs are managed in Auckland, under the Unitary Plan. The plan classifies billboards on street furniture as a permitted activity, with this status being subject to compliance with a particular set of standards. [Refer to original submission for full reason] Waka Kotahi seeks to maintain the safe and efficient function of the state highway network, and	Add a new Assessment Criteria for the new Standard in the Signs chapter as follows: Assessment criteria where Standard SIGN-SX.1. is infringed: 1. The extent to which the sign adversely affects the visual amenity or detracts from the visual qualities that are fundamental to the historic heritage values of the scheduled historic heritage place: and 2. The extent to which the location of the sign is necessary to provide for functional or operational needs, including the relationship of the sign to road network features such as bus stops or pedestrian thoroughfares or walting areas; Assessment criteria where Standard SIGN-SX.2 to SIGN-SX.8 are infringed: 1. Visual amenity effects; Add a new Standard in the Signs chapter as follows: 2. The impact of the sign on traffic, pedestrian and cycling safety; 3. The extent to which any infringement is necessary to provide for functional needs or operational needs; and 4. Any positive effects of the sign. Disallow	Reject.	No.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS103.43	wide Matters / Signs / New SIGN	Oppose	Waka Kotahi seeks to maintain the sale and efficient function of the state highway network, and considers it appropriate that digital billboards require consent due to the potential for driver distraction and effects on road safety.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.235	General District wide Matters / Signs / New SIGN	Amend	The direction to avoid any digital billiboards that are oriented to be read from state highway is supported. The submitter considers that a new NC rule should be added for any digital billiboard oriented to be read from state highway, or within 100m of a state highway intersection, is included to support this. To ensure that the public are aware of their consent requirements, it is recommended that a note is added to clarify that digital signage also needs to comply with all other relevant SIGN rules	Add a new Activity status to SIGN-R5 (Digital signs) as follows: SIGN-R5.4 Activity status: Non-complying. Where: a. A digital billboard is oriented to be read from state highway, including on-ramps and off-ramps, or 100m from any intersection with state highway. Note: Digital signs must also comply with or apply for consent under any other relevant rule in the activity table — e.g R4 and R5 apply to digital third party signs.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 7 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	FS124.2	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / New SIGN	Oppose	As set out in Lumo's primary submission, Lumo does not support a 'blanket' approach to digital or illuminated signs which are visible from a state highway. Such an approach is unnecessarily onerous, as there are no inherent differences between local roads and state highways which would otherwise result in digital or illuminated signs being unacceptable. Rather, consistent with the 'management' approach of the proposed objective, it is appropriate that the policy seeks to ensure that digital and illuminated signs do not compromise the safety of the transport network.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Out Of Home Media Association of Aotearoa (OOHMAA)	FS125.2	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / New SIGN	Oppose	As set out in OOHMAA's primary submission, OOHMAA does not support a 'blanket' approach to digital or illuminated signs which are visible from a state highway. Such an approach is unnecessarily onerous, as there are no inherent differences between local roads and state highways which would otherwise result in digital or illuminated signs being unacceptable. Rather, consistent with the "management" approach of the proposed objective, it is appropriate that the policy seeks to ensure that digital and illuminated signs do not compromise the safety of the transport network.		Accept.	No.
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.462	General District wide Matters / SIGNS / New SIGN	Amend	Seeks a new SIGNS Rule to add to SIGN-R4.	Insert a new Rule SIGN-R4(2), as follows: Airport Zone 1. Activity Status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance is achieved with: i. SIGN-S7; ii. SIGN-S9; and iii. SIGN-S9; and iii. SIGN-S14 [as amended by submission point below] 2. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary. Where: a. Compliance cannot be achieved with the requirements of SIGN-R4.1. Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters in SIGN-P1, SIGN-P2, SIGN-P3 and SIGN-P6; 2. The Signs Design Guide; and 3. The extent and effect of non-compliance with any relevant standard and the matters as specified in the associated assessment criteria for the infringed standards.	Reject.	No.
Go Media Ltd	236.13	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- 01	Support in part	Supports Signs-O1, but considers that the use of the word "Effectively" is superfluous.	Amend the wording of SIGN-O1 (Role of signage) as follows: Signs support the needs of the community to advertise and inform while the effects on local amenity are effectively managed. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept	Yes
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.10	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support in part	Supports SIGN-O1 in part. Supports the intent of the SIGN-O1 which provides for signs that support the needs of the community to advertise and inform, while managing effects of signage.	Retain SiGN-01 (Role of signage) with amendment.	Accept.	Yes.
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.11	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- 01	Amend	Considers that SIGN-O1 focusses on effects on local amenity, which does not address all of the relevant matters which the subsequent policies relate to.	Amend SIGN-01 (Role of signage) as follows: Signs support the needs of the community to advertise and inform while the effects on local amenity, historic heritage, archaeological sites, sites of significance to Māori, and the efficiency and		
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS103.44	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-O1	Oppose	Waka Kotahi seeks to maintain the safe and efficient function of the state highway network, and can support the proposed amendment to SIGN-O1 insofar as this relates to maintaining the efficiency and safety of the transport network.	<u>safety of transport networks</u> are effectively managed. Allow	Accept.	Yes.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.10	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- O1	Support in part	Supports SIGN-01 in part. Supports the intent of the SIGN-01 which provides for signs that support the needs of the community to advertise and inform, while managing effects of signage.	Retain SIGN-O1 (Role of signage) with amendment.	Accept.	Yes
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.11	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- 01	Amend	Considers that SIGN-O1 focusses on effects on local amenity, which does not address all of the relevant matters which the subsequent policies relate to.	Amend SIGN-01 (Role of signage) as follows: Role of signage Signs support the needs of the community to advertise and inform while the effects on local amenity, <u>historic heritage, archaeological sites, sites of significance to Māori, and the efficiency and safety of transport networks are effectively managed.</u>	Accept.	Yes.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.35	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support	Support	Retain SIGN-O1 (Role of signage) as notified.	Accept in part.	Yes.
Paul Van Houtte	92.5	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- P1	Amend	Considers that digitally internally illuminated signs for commercial purposes should not be permitted in Wellington or at least not visible from any road. They are distracting, compromise amenity values and are highly imposing as they are difficult to ignore.	Not specified	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 8 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Go Media Ltd	236.14	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- P1	Amend	SIGN-P1 allows appropriate static signage where standards are met, where as SIGN-P2 would provide digital signage where it is compatible with zones and overlays.	Seeks that SIGN-P1 (Appropriate signs) more appropriately refers to "Enable".		
		PI				Accept.	Yes.
Go Media Ltd	236.15	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- P1	Amend	SIGN-P1 allows appropriate static signage where standards are met, where as SIGN-P2 would provide digital signage where it is compatible with zones and overlays.	Seeks that SIGN-P2 (Digital and illuminated signs) more appropriately refers to "Enable".	Accept.	Yes.
Out of Home Media Association of	284.12	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support in part	Supports SIGN-P1 in part. Supports the intent of SIGN-P1, which is to allow for signs where they are appropriately designed and operated to manage adverse effects.	Retain SIGN-P1 (Appropriate signs), with amendment.	жесера.	103.
Aotearoa		P1				Accept in part.	No.
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.13	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- P1	Amend	Supports the intent of the proposed policy. Considers, however, the RMA is not a "nil effect" statute and it is necessary to include a qualifier to the management of 'visual clutter' effects to better reflect the intent of the policy to manage unacceptable adverse effects (as opposed to avoiding all adverse effects).	Appropriate signs Allow signs where: 1. They are of an appropriate size, design and location; and 2. They do not result in <u>unacceptable</u> visual clutter; and 3. Any potential cumulative effects are managed; and 4. They are required to meet regulatory or statutory requirements; and 5. They do not compromise the efficiency of the transport network or the safety of its users, including cyclists and pedestrians; and 6. In the Residential, Rural and Open Space Zones, they relate to an activity on the site on which they are located; and		
Lumo Digital Outdoor	285.12	General District wide	Support in	Supports SIGN-P1 in part. Supports the intent of SIGN-P1, which is to allow for signs where they are	7. They maintain the character and amenity values of the site and the surrounding area. Retain SIGN-P1 (Appropriate signs), with amendment.	Reject.	No.
Limited	203.12	Matters / Signs / SIGN-	part	appropriately designed and operated to manage adverse effects.	Retain Store-P1 (Appropriate Signs), with amenument.	Accept in part.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.13	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- P1	Amend	Supports the intent of the proposed policy. Considers, however, the RMA is not a "nil effect" statute and it is necessary to include a qualifier to the management of 'visual clutter' effects to better reflect the intent of the policy to manage unacceptable adverse effects (as opposed to avoiding all adverse effects).	Amend SIGN-P1 (Appropriate signs) as follows: Appropriate signs Allow signs where: 1. They are of an appropriate size, design and location; and 2. They do not result in <u>unacceptable</u> visual clutter; and 3. Any potential cumulative effects are managed; and 4. They are required to meet regulatory or statutory requirements; and 5. They do not compromise the efficiency of the transport network or the safety of its users, including cyclists and pedestrians; and 6. In the Residential, Rural and Open Space Zones, they relate to an activity on the site on which they are located; and 7. They maintain the character and amenity values of the site and the surrounding area.	Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.36	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- P1	Support	Support	Retain SIGN-P1 (Appropriate Signs)as notified.	Accept in part.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.236	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- P1	Support	Support the wording in SIGN-P1 as notified, signs have a number of effects to consider, which the policy covers.	Retain SIGN-P1 (Appropriate signs) as notified.	Accept in part.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	FS124.3	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-P1	Oppose	Lumo opposes the submission point on the basis that it is inconsistent with the relief sought in its primary submission to amend Policy SIGN-P1.	Disallow	Accept in part.	No.
Out Of Home Media Association of Aotearoa (OOHMAA)	FS125.3	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-P1	Oppose	OOHMAA opposes the submission point on the basis that it is inconsistent with the relief sought in its primary submission to amend Policy SIGN-P1.	Disallow	Accept in part.	No.
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.463	General District wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN- P1	Oppose	As drafted, the use of the term "and" between each paragraph sets an unduly onerous and high bar for signage to be considered "appropriate". For example, if a sign must be required to meet regulatory or statutory requirements due to use, a very narrow scope of signage would only be permitted (i.e. "official" signage such as road signs, health and safety signs etc).	Opposes SIGN-P1 (Appropriate Signs) and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 9 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.464	General District wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN- P1	Amend	As drafted, the use of the term "and" between each paragraph sets an unduly onerous and high bar for signage to be considered "appropriate". For example, if a sign must be required to meet regulatory or statutory requirements due to use, a very narrow scope of signage would only be permitted (i.e. "official" signage such as road signs, health and safety signs etc).	Amend SIGN-P1 (Appropriate Signs) as follows: Allow signs where: 1. They are of an appropriate size, design and location; and or		
					2. They do not result in visual clutter; and or 3. Any potential cumulative effects are managed; and 4.3. They are required to meet regulatory or statutory requirements; and		
					5-4. Any potential cumulative effects are managed; and 6-5. They do not compromise the efficiency of the transport network or the safety of its users,		
					including cyclists and pedestrians; and $7-\underline{6}$. In the Residential, Rural and Open Space Zones, they relate to an activity on the site on which they are located; and		
					8-7. They maintain the character and amenity values of the site and <u>do not significant detract from</u> the surrounding area.	Reject.	No.
Paul Van Houtte	92.6	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- P2	Amend	Considers that digitally internally illuminated signs for commercial purposes should not be permitted in Wellington or at least not visible from any road. They are distracting, compromise amenity values and are highly imposing as they are difficult to	I Seeks that digital signs are not provided for within SIGN-P2 (Digital and Illuminated Signs). [inferred decision requested]		
Go Media Ltd	236.16	General District wide	Not	ignore. Considers that Policy SIGN-P2(5) creates a blanket policy against signs visible from a State Highway.	Not specified.	Reject.	No.
do Media Etd	230.10	Matters / Signs / SIGN- P2	specified	Considers that Policy Signer 2(3) creates a brainer, policy againts, signs susinic intollin a State Highway. State Highway 1 runs through urban areas with 50mk/h speed limits, such as along Vivian Street. There is no evidence base as to why billboards should not be situated in such areas when there are	not specified.		
Go Media Ltd	236.17	General District wide	Oppose	no policies against billboards along other 50km/h roads. Opposes that the term "Visible" is not defined in SIGN-P2 and is open to interpretation.	Clarify the meaning of "Not visible" in SIGN-P2.5 (Digital and Illuminated Signs).	Reject.	No
do Media Etd	230.17	Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Орроѕе	Opposes that the term visione is not defined in signery and is open to interpretation.	cially the meaning of Not visible. In Signet 2.5 (Digital and munimated Signs).	Reject.	No.
Out of Home Media	284.14	General District wide	Support in	Supports SIGN-P2 in part. Supports the intent of SIGN-P2 which is to provide for digital and	Retain SIGN-P2 (Digital and illuminated signs), with amendment.	, rigion	
Association of Aotearoa		Matters / Signs / SIGN- P2	part	illuminated signs where the particular effects of such signs are appropriately managed.		Reject.	No.
Out of Home Media	284.15	General District wide	Oppose in	Opposes the proposed 'blanket' approach to digital or illuminated signs that are visible from a state	Retain SIGN-P2 (Digital and illuminated signs), with amendment.	,	
Association of Aotearoa		Matters / Signs / SIGN- P2	part	highway. Considers that as currently worded, SIGN-P2 has the outcome of not allowing digital and illuminated signs where they are visible from the State Highway. In the context of Wellington, the submitter considers that this will preclude a significant amount of business-zoned land from establishing digital and illuminated signs. The submitter considers that such an approach is unnecessarily onerous.			
				The submitter considers that there are no inherent differences between local roads and state highways which would otherwise result in digital or illuminated signs being unacceptable.			
				Rather, consistent with the "management" approach of the proposed objective, the submitter considers that it is appropriate that the policy seeks to ensure that digital and illuminated signs do not compromise the safety of the transport network.			
Out of Home Media	284.16	General District wide	Amend	Submitter does not support the proposed 'blanket' approach to digital or illuminated signs which are	Amend Policy SIGN-P2 (Digital and illuminated signs) as follows:	Reject.	No.
Association of Aotearoa		Matters / Signs / SIGN- P2		visible from a state highway. Submitter considers that as currently worded, SIGN-P2 has the outcome of not allowing digital and illuminated signs where they are visible from the State Highway.			
				In the context of Wellington, the submitter considers that this will preclude a significant amount of business-zoned land from establishing digital and illuminated signs.	Provide for digital and illuminated signs where: 1. The sign is compatible with the zone and any overlay; and		
				The submitter considers that such an approach is unnecessarily onerous.	2. The sign does not compromise aircraft safety or the safe and efficient functioning of the Airport; and		
				The submitter considers that there are no inherent differences between local roads and state highways which would otherwise result in digital or illuminated signs being unacceptable.	 The sign does not compromise traffic, pedestrian, or cycling safety; and Any light spill or glare effects are managed so they do not compromise amenity values, +and- 5. The sign is not visible from a state highway. 		
				Rather, consistent with the "management" approach of the proposed objective, the submitter considers that it is appropriate that the policy seeks to ensure that digital and illuminated signs do		Daine	No
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS103.45	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs /	Oppose	not compromise the safety of the transport network. Waka Kotahi seeks to maintain the safe and efficient function of the state highway network and for that reason considers it appropriate to maintain SIGN-P2 as originally drafted.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
	205.44	SIGN-P2	Command :		Date CICN D2 (District and illustrated sizes) with an and see	Accept.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor	285.14	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support in part	Supports SIGN-P2 in part. Supports the intent of SIGN-P2 which is to provide for digital and illuminated signs where the particular effects of such signs are appropriately managed.	Retain SIGN-P2 (Digital and illuminated signs), with amendment.	1	

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 10 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.15	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- P2	Oppose in part	Opposes the proposed 'blanket' approach to digital or illuminated signs that are visible from a state highway.			
				Considers that as currently worded, SIGN-P2 has the outcome of not allowing digital and illuminated signs where they are visible from the State Highway. In the context of Wellington, the submitter considers that this will preclude a significant amount of business-zoned land from establishing digital			
				and illuminated signs. The submitter considers that such an approach is unnecessarily onerous. The submitter considers that there are no inherent differences between local roads and state			
				highways which would otherwise result in digital or illuminated signs being unacceptable. Rather, consistent with the "management" approach of the proposed objective, the submitter			
				considers that it is appropriate that the policy seeks to ensure that digital and illuminated signs do not compromise the safety of the transport network.		Reject.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.16	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- P2	Amend	Submitter does not support the proposed 'blanker' approach to digital or illuminated signs which are visible from a state highway. Submitter considers that as currently worded, SIGN-P2 has the outcome of not allowing digital and illuminated signs where they are visible from the State Highway.			
				In the context of Wellington, the submitter considers that this will preclude a significant amount of business-zoned land from establishing digital and illuminated signs.	The sign is compatible with the zone and any overlay; and The sign does not compromise aircraft safety or the safe and efficient functioning of the Airport; and		
				The submitter considers that such an approach is unnecessarily onerous. The submitter considers that there are no inherent differences between local roads and state	3. The sign does not compromise traffic, pedestrian, or cycling safety; and 4. Any light spill or glare effects are managed so they do not compromise amenity values. ; and 5. The sign is not visible from a state highway.		
				highways which would otherwise result in digital or illuminated signs being unacceptable.	3. The Sign is not visible from a state-riighway.		
				Rather, consistent with the "management" approach of the proposed objective, the submitter considers that it is appropriate that the policy seeks to ensure that digital and illuminated signs do not compromise the safety of the transport network.		Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.37	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- P2	Support	Support	Retain SIGN-P2 (Digital and illuminated signs) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.171	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-P2	Oppose	WIAL opposes the relief sought as it is inconsistent with WIAL's primary submission.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Josephine Brien / Tim Bollinger	365.2	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- P2	Support	Supports the policy on Digital and illuminated signs that provides for the sign not to "compromise traffic, pedestrian or cycle safety", not include "any light spill or glare effects(or) compromise amenity values" and "The sign is not visible from a state highway". As State Highway 1 through Vivian Street appears to be a prime location for such signage, with a principal audience of drivers, the policy appears to be consistent with their immediate removal.	Retain SIGN-P2 (Digital and illuminated signs) as notified.		
Waka Kotahi	370.237	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Amend	Considers that the wording be amended to consider effects that are particularly significant with the nature of digital billboards – being the cumulative effects of multiple digital billboards in proximity to		Accept.	No.
		P2		each other and Waka Kotahi suggests they are not provided for in any high speed environments (70km/h or higher) as overseas research has found a statistically significant increase in injury crashes in high speed areas.	6. The sign is not visible from a state highway or any road with a speed limit of 70km/h or higher;	Reject.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	FS124.4	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-P2	Oppose	Lumo opposes the blanket approach to applying different and more onerous provisions for signs and billboards that are visible from a state highway or roads with a speed limit of 70km/h or higher. Lumo also does not agree that SIGN-P2 needs to be amended to address cumulative effects, as such effects are addressed by Policy SIGN-P1 (subject to the amendments sought by Lumo in its primary submission).	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Out Of Home Media Association of Aotearoa (OOHMAA)	FS125.4	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-P2	Oppose	OOHMAA opposes the blanket approach to applying different and more onerous provisions for signs and billiboards that are visible from a state highway or roads with a speed limit of 70km/h or higher. OOHMAA also does not agree that SIGN-P2 needs to be amended to address cumulative effects, as such effects are addressed by Policy SIGN-P1 (subject to the amendments sought by OOHMAA in its			
Go Media Ltd	236.18	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support	primary submission). Supports the recognition of the benefits of allowing additional signage to support sustainable long-term use of heritage sites.	Retain SIGN-P3.2 (Signs and Historic Heritage) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Wellington City Council	266.128	P3 General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Amend	Considers the wording between SIGN-P3 (Signs and historic heritage) and SIGN-R3 (does not match. Considers SIGN-P3 should be amended to state the full name of the Heritage Design Guide.	Amend SIGN-P3.1.f as follows:	Accept.	NO.
		P3		Refer to SIGN-R6 which is for signs on heritage buildings and refers to the Heritage Design Guide.	f. The sign fulfils the intent of the Heritage <u>Design Guide</u> and Signs Design Guides.		
						Accept in part.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 11 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Restaurant Brands	349.38	General District wide	Oppose	Oppose	Amend SIGN-P3 (signs and historic heritage) as follows:		
Limited		Matters / Signs / SIGN- P3		Restaurant Brands is opposed to the cross reference to the Signs Design Guide within the Policy. The			
				Design Guide does not appropriately recognise or provide for the requirements of activities.			
				The Design Guide reads as a set of rules to be complied with, rather than guidelines to inform the	1. The extent to which:		
				assessment of applications for resource consent and will result in an unnecessarily onerous and			
				unreasonable resource consent process.	f. The sign fulfils the intent of the Heritage- and Signs -Design Guides.		
				The Design Guide imposes certain outcomes that are required to be achieved in every circumstances			
				and results in a "pass/fail" assessment being applied, resulting in an unnecessarily onerous and unreasonable resource consent process.			
				·		Reject.	No.
Wellington International Airport	406.465	General District wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-		Opposes policy.	Opposes SIGN-P2 (Digital and illuminated signs) and seeks amendment.		
Ltd		P2	part	[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]		Reject.	No.
Wellington International Airport	406.466	General District wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-	Amend	Opposes policy.	Amend SIGN-P2 (Digital and illuminated signs) as follows:		
Ltd		P2		[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]	Digital and illuminated signs		
					Provide for digital and illuminated signs where:		
					5. The sign is not <u>directed at users of the visible from a state highway.</u>		
Wellington	406.467	General District wide	Oppose in	Opposes policy.	(Option A). Delete SIGN-P2 (Digital and illuminated signs) in its entirety. (Option B).	Reject.	No.
International Airport		Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-					
Ltd KiwiRail Holdings	408.114	P2 General District wide	Support	[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason] Supports policy which allows signs that do not compromise the efficiency of the transport network,	Retain SIGN-P3 (Signs and historic heritage) as notified.	Reject.	No.
Limited		Matters / Signs / SIGN-		or the safety of its users. Signs located on sites adjoining the transport network have a potential to			
Wellington Heritage	412.66	P3 General District wide	Amend	impact on the safe and efficient operation of the network. Considers that the rate of change should be a matter of discretion when assessing digital signage	Amend SIGN-P3 (Signs and historic heritage) as follows:	Accept.	No.
Professionals		Matters / Signs / SIGN-		believing that frequent and rapid change (minimal transitions) draws the eye and has the potential			
		P3		to detract from heritage buildings, structures and areas.	Enable signs on heritage buildings, heritage structures and within their sites, and within heritage areas to support wayfinding and interpretation and only allow signs for other purposes where they		
					do not detract from the identified heritage values, having regard to:		
					1. The extent to which:		
					a. The rate of change and transition between content on digital signs adversely affects heritage		
					values a b.Damage to heritage fabric, from methods of fixing, including supporting structures, cabling or		
					wiring is minimized or is reasonably reversible;		
Wellington Heritage	412.67	General District wide	Amend	Considers that considering the benefits of additional signage to support sustainable long-term use,	Amend SIGN-P3 (Signs and historic heritage) as follows:	Reject.	No.
Professionals		Matters / Signs / SIGN-		should be removed as this may lead to the proliferation of additional signage and should be			
		P3		removed.	Enable signs on heritage buildings, heritage structures and within their sites, and within heritage areas to support wayfinding and interpretation and only allow signs for other purposes where they		
					do not detract from the identified heritage values, having regard to:		
					1. The extent to which:		
					2. The benefits of allowing additional signage to support sustainable long term use.	Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands	349.39	General District wide	Oppose	Restaurant Brands is opposed to the cross reference to the Signs Design Guide within the Policy. The	Amend SIGN-P4 (Signs on scheduled archaeological sites and sites of significance to Māori) as follows:		
Limited		Matters / Signs / SIGN- P4		Design Guide does not appropriately recognise or provide for the requirements of activities.	TOHOWS.		
				The Design Guide reads as a set of rules to be complied with, rather than guidelines to inform the			
				assessment of applications for resource consent and will result in an unnecessarily onerous and unreasonable resource consent process.	1. The extent to which:		
				The Design Guide imposes certain outcomes that are required to be achieved in every circumstances and results in a "pass/fail" assessment being applied, resulting in an unnecessarily onerous and			
				unreasonable resource consent process.	g. The sign fulfils the intent of the Heritage and Signs Design Guides; and		
1						Reject.	No

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 12 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika	389.88	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Amend	Considers that SIGN-P4 does not provide any specificity to bilingual signage or signage displaying Te Reo Māori.	Seeks amendment to SIGN-P4 (Signs on scheduled archaeological sites and sites of significance to Māori) to include a requirement for Taranaki Whānui to lead the decision-making around what is appropriate regarding bilingual signage and appropriate naming opportunities.	Reject.	No.
Wellington Heritage Professionals	412.68	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- P4	Amend	Considers that the rate of change should be a matter of discretion when assessing digital signage believing that frequent and rapid change (minimal transitions) draws the eye and has the potential to detract from heritage buildings, structures and areas.	Amend SIGN-P4 (Signs on scheduled archaeological sites and sites of significance to Māori) as follows:	nejec.	140.
					Enable signs that relate to safety and interpretation within the extent of scheduled archaeological sites and sites of significance, and only allow other signs that do not detract from the identified archaeological values, having regard to:		
					The extent to which: The rate of change and transition between content on digital signs adversely affects heritage values.		
					<u>values:</u> ab_Land disturbance required for the sign and impacts on archaeological features is minimised;		
						Reject.	No.
Wellington Heritage Professionals	412.69	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- P4	Amend	Considers that considering the benefits of additional signage to support sustainable long-term use, should be removed as this may lead to the proliferation of additional signage and should be removed.	Amend SIGN-P4 (Signs on scheduled archaeological sites and sites of significance to Māori) as follows:		
					Enable signs on heritage buildings, heritage structures and within their sites, and within heritage areas to support wayfinding and interpretation and only allow signs for other purposes where they do not detract from the identified heritage values, having regard to:		
					1. The extent to which:		
Restaurant Brands	349.40	General District wide	Cunnort	Support	The benefits of allowing additional signage to support sustainable long term use. Retain SIGN-P5 (Wellington Regional Stadium signs) as notified.	Reject.	No.
Limited	349.40	Matters / Signs / SIGN- P5	Support	Support	Retain Sish-P5 (Weilington Regional Stadium signs) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.41	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support	Support	Retain SIGN-P6 (Airport Zone Signage) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Wellington International Airport	406.468	General District wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-	Support	Signs are a common feature of Airports.	Retain SIGN-P6 (Airport Zone Signage) as notified.	лесере	NO.
Ltd		P6		Supports Policy SIGN-P6 as recognises that signage with the Airport Zone needs to be designed to be lin keep with the character of the area and not create a traffic hazard.		Accept.	No.
Massey University	253.4	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain SIGN-R1.1 (Official signs) as notified.		
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.164	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- R1	Support	Supports the rule as it makes an allowance for the display of signage in all zones as a permitted or restricted discretionary activity where required or provided for under any statute or regulation or are otherwise related to aspects of public safety, in accordance with the definition of 'Official Signs'.	Retain SIGN-R1 as notified.	Accept.	No.
		N1		are otherwise related to aspects of public salety, in accordance with the definition of Official signs .		Accept.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.238	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support	Support rule as notified which provides for official signs as a permitted activity	Retain SIGN-R1 (Official signs) as notified.	Accept.	No.
KiwiRail Holdings	FS72.83	Part 2 / General District	Support	Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable	Allow	Ассерс.	No.
Limited		wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-R1		management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy			
				Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington			
				City; and (f) is the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA.		Accept.	No.
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel	372.104	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- R1	Support	SIGN-R1 is supported, subject to compliance with Standards. This is important in relation to the range of signage required for public safety at petroleum industry sites and branding associated with service stations.	Retain SIGN-R1 (Official signs) as notified.		
Companies)						Accept.	No.
Wellington International Airport Itd	406.469	General District wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN- R1	Oppose in part	Opposes these rules to the extent that they cross reference SIGN-514.	Opposes SIGN-R1 (Official signs) and seeks amendment.	Painet	No
Ltu		L/T		[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]		Reject.	INU.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 13 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport	406.470	General District wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-	Amend	Opposes these rules to the extent that they cross reference SIGN-S14.	Seeks that SIGN-R1 (Official signs) is amended to exclude application in the Airport Zone. (Option A).		
Itd		R1		[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]		Reject.	No.
Wellington	406.471	General District wide	Oppose in	Opposes these rules to the extent that they cross reference SIGN-S14.	Delete SIGN-R1 (Official signs) in its entirety (Option B).	,	
International Airport		Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-					
Ltd		R1		[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]		Reject.	No.
Massey University	253.5	General District wide	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain SIGN-R2.1 (Temporary signs) as notified.		
,		Matters / Signs / SIGN-		, ,	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
		R2				Accept.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.239	General District wide	Oppose	The submitter does not support temporary signs as a permitted activity on the state	Seeks to amend SIGN-R2 (Temporary signs) to ensure that the rule does not apply to the state		
		Matters / Signs / SIGN-		highway. Any temporary signs should require the approval of Waka Kotahi, Waka Kotahi suggest tha	t highway		
		R2		SIGN-S10 is amended to exclude signs that are oriented to be read from state highway.			
						Reject.	No.
Wellington	406.472	General District wide	Oppose in	Opposes these rules to the extent that they cross reference SIGN-S14.	Opposes SIGN-R2 (Temporary Signage) and seeks amendment.		
International Airport		Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-	part				
Ltd		R2		[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]		Reject.	No.
Wellington	406.473	General District wide	Amend	Opposes these rules to the extent that they cross reference SIGN-S14.	Seeks that SIGN-R2 (Temporary Signs) is amended to exclude application in the Airport Zone. (Option		
International Airport		Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-			A).		
Ltd		R2		[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]		Reject.	No.
Wellington	406.474	General District wide	Oppose in	Opposes these rules to the extent that they cross reference SIGN-S14.	Delete SIGN-R2 (Temporary Signs) in its entirety. (Option B).		
International Airport		Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-	part				
Ltd		R2		[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]		Reject.	No.
Out of Home Media	284.17	General District wide	Amend	Submitter does not support the use of a default Restricted Discretionary activity status for digital	Amend SIGN-R3 (On-site signs) to include reference to SIGN-S8 (Digital signs) as follows:		
Association of		Matters / Signs / SIGN-		signs.			
Aotearoa		R3			1. Activity Status: Permitted		
				There is no justifiable rationale to classify digital signs in a different manner to static signs, noting			
				that there are comprehensive standards proposed (see rows below) that control the scale, location,	Where:		
				design and operation of all signs, and specific standards which apply to the manner in which digital			
				signs are to be operated.	a. Compliance is achieved with:		
				With standards in place, the submitter considers that the Proposed Plan can make provision for	i. SIGN-S1;		
				digital signs as a permitted activity, within zones in which billboards are characteristically	ii. SiGN-S2;		
				compatible. In doing so, the submitter considers that Rule SIGN-R5 (Digital signs) can be deleted,	iii. SIGN-S3;		
				with standard SIGN-S8 being incorporated into Rules SIGN-R3 (On-site signs) and SIGN-R4 (Third	iv. SIGN-S4;		
				party advertising).	v. SIGN-S5;		
				[F-17]	vi. SIGN-S7;		
					vii. SIGN-S8;		
					viii. SIGN-S9; and		
					ix. SIGN-S11.		
					2. Activity status: Permitted		
					Where:		
					a. Compliance is achieved with:		
					i. SIGN-S8; and		
					ii. SIGN-S14.	Reject.	No.
Waka Kotahi NZ	FS103.46	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Waka Kotahi supports the wording of SIGN-R3 as notified, and considers it is appropriate to address	Disallow		
Transport Agency		wide Matters / Signs /		digital billboards in a separate rule, and that digital signs require consent due to the range of adverse	e		
		SIGN-R3		effects they may have on traffic safety (due primarily to potential glare and driver distraction).			
						Accept.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 14 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Lumo Digital Outdoor	285.17	General District wide	Amend	\	Amend SIGN-R3 (On-site signs) to include reference to SIGN-S8 (Digital signs) as follows:		
Limited		Matters / Signs / SIGN-			1. Activity Status: Permitted		
		K3			Where:		
					a. Compliance is achieved with:		
					i. SIGN-S1;		
					ii. SIGN-S2;		
					iii. SIGN-S3;		
					iv. SIGN-S4; v. SIGN-S5;		
					vi. SIGN-55;		
					vii. SIGN-S8;		
					viii. SIGN-S9; and		
					ix. SIGN-S11.		
					2. Activity status: Permitted		
					Where:		
					a. Compliance is achieved with: i. SIGN-S8; and		
					ii. SiGN-514.	Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands	349.42	General District wide	Support in	Restaurant Brands is opposed to the cross reference to the Signs Design Guide within the Policy. The			
Limited		Matters / Signs / SIGN-	part	Design Guide does not appropriately recognise or provide for the requirements of activities.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
		R3					
				The Design Guide reads as a set of rules to be complied with, rather than guidelines to inform the			
				assessment of applications for resource consent and will result in an unnecessarily onerous and	Matters of discretion are:		
				unreasonable resource consent process.			
				The Design Guide imposes certain outcomes that are required to be achieved in every circumstances			
				and results in a "pass/fail" assessment being applied, resulting in an unnecessarily onerous and	2. The Signs Design Guide; and		
				unreasonable resource consent process.			
				'		Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands	349.43	General District wide		Support with amendment	Amend SIGN-R3 to remove the reference to the Signs Design Guide, as follows.		
Limited		Matters / Signs / SIGN-	part		3. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where:		
		R3		Opposed to the cross reference to the Signs Design Guide within the Policy. The Design Guide does	a. Compliance with the requirements of SIGN-R3.1 cannot be achieved.		
				not appropriately recognise or provide for the requirements of activities.	Matters of discretion are:		
				The Design Guide reads as a set of rules to be complied with, rather than guidelines to inform the	1. The matters in SIGN-P1, SIGN-P2, SIGN-P3 and SIGN-P6; and		
				assessment of applications for resource consent and will result in an unnecessarily onerous and	2. The Signs Design Guide; and		
				unreasonable resource consent process.	3. The extent and effect of non-compliance with any relevant standard and the matters as specified		
					in the associated assessment criteria for the infringed standards.		
				The Design Guide imposes certain outcomes that are required to be achieved in every circumstances			
				and results in a "pass/fail" assessment being applied, resulting in an unnecessarily onerous and			l I
Retirement Villages	350.80	General District wide	Amend	unreasonable resource consent process. Supports permitted activity status for signs, but considers the applicable standards are too	Amend Sign-P1 so signs are not required to comply with all of the listed matters, particularly (4) and	Reject.	No.
Association of New	330.00	Matters / Signs / SIGN-	, anchu	restrictive. For example, under SIGN-S1 and S2 a retirement village would be restricted to one sign of			
Zealand Incorporated		R3		less than 1.5m2. Considers that this provision is not sufficient for wayfinding to a village.			
,				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Reject.	No.
Woolworths New	359.39	General District wide	Amend	Considers that the matters listed in SIGN-R3.3 refer to the Signs Design Guide which, while	Seeks that SIGN-R3.3 (On-site signs) is clarified with a straightforward assessment and clear		
Zealand		Matters / Signs / SIGN-		containing generally standard and well-established signage protocols, does introduce uncertainty	discretion parameters.		
		R3		and relatively broad scope on what should be a straightforward assessment with clear discretion parameters.		Reject	No.
BP Oil New Zealand,	372.105	General District wide	Support	SIGN-R3 is supported, subject to compliance with Standards. This is important in relation to the	Retain SIGN-R3 (On-site signs) as notified.	Reject.	INO.
Mobil Oil New Zealand	3.2.103	Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Зарроге	range of signage required for public safety at petroleum industry sites and branding associated with	Tectum start his fort site signs) as notified.		
Limited and Z Energy		R3		service stations.			
Limited (the Fuel							
Companies)						Accept.	No.
Wellington	406.475	General District wide		Opposes these rules to the extent that they cross reference SIGN-S14.	Opposes SIGN-R3 (On-site signs) and seeks amendment.		
International Airport Ltd		Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-	part	Considers that that while Rule SIGN-R3(3) is intended to apply to the Airport Zone, as drafted it does			
	1	lua .	1				
Ltu			ı				
Ltd				not engage the Airport Zone rules. An amendment is therefore required to Rule SIGN-R3(3)(a) to cross reference SIGN-R3.2.			
Ltd				not engage the Airport Zone rules. An amendment is therefore required to Kule SiGN-RS(3)(a) to cross reference SiGN-R3.2.			

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 15 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport	406.476	General District wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-	Amend	Opposes these rules to the extent that they cross reference SIGN-S14.	Amend SIGN-R3 (On-site signs) as follows:		
Ltd		R3		Considers that that while Rule SIGN-R3(3) is intended to apply to the Airport Zone, as drafted it does not engage the Airport Zone rules. An amendment is therefore required to Rule SIGN-R3(3)(a) to cross reference SIGN-R3.2.	3. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary		
				[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]	3. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where:		
					a. Compliance with the requirements of SIGN-R3.1 or <u>SIGN-R3.2</u> cannot be achieved.		
					Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters in SIGN-P1, SIGN-P2, SIGN-P3 and SIGN-P6; and		
					1. The matters in Sign-P2, Sign-P2, Sign-P3 and Sign-P6, and 2. The Signs Design Guide; and 3-		
					The extent and effect of non-compliance with any relevant standard and the matters as specified in the associated assessment criteria for the infringed standards.		
					(Option A).	Reject.	No.
Wellington International Airport	406.477	General District wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-	Amend	Opposes these rules to the extent that they cross reference SIGN-S14.	If SIGN-R3 (On-site Signs) is not amended:		
Ltd		R3		Considers that that while Rule SIGN-R3(3) is intended to apply to the Airport Zone, as drafted it does not engage the Airport Zone rules. An amendment is therefore required to Rule SIGN-R3(3)(a) to	Seeks that SIGN-R3 is amended to exluce application in the Airport Zone.		
				cross reference SIGN-R3.2.	(Option B).		
Wellington	406.478	General District wide	Oppose in	[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason] Opposes these rules to the extent that they cross reference SIGN-S14.	Delete SIGN-R3 (On-site signs) in its entirety. (Option C).	Reject.	No.
International Airport	406.478	Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-		Opposes these rules to the extent that they cross reference Sign-514. Considers that that while Rule SIGN-R3(3) is intended to apply to the Airport Zone, as drafted it does			
				not engage the Airport Zone rules. An amendment is therefore required to Rule SIGN-R3(3)(a) to cross reference SIGN-R3.2.			
Go Media Ltd	236.19	General District wide	Support	[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason] [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain SIGN-R4 (Third-party signs) as notified with regard to the zones in which it applies to.	Reject.	No.
		Matters / Signs / SIGN- R4				Accept.	No
Massey University	253.6	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain SIGN-R4.1 (Third-party signs) as notified.		
Out of Home Media	284.18	R4 General District wide	Support	Supports the permitted activity status applied to third party signs, where they are designed to	Seeks to retain SIGN-R4.1 (Third-party signs) as notified	Accept.	No.
Association of Aotearoa		Matters / Signs / SIGN- R4		comply with relevant standards. The submitter supports these provisions because the submitter considers that they will:	[Inferred decision requested]		
				-Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA; -Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District,			
				particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and -Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.		Accept.	No.
Out of Home Media	284.19	General District wide	Support	Supports SIGN-R4's permitted activity status for 'third-party signs' in the specified zones. Third party	Retain SIGN-R4.1 (Third-party signs) as notified.	Ассерс.	INO.
Association of Aotearoa		Matters / Signs / SIGN- R4		signs are a common element within commercial and industrial environments, as well as in other locations which have a zone which provides for particular activities (for example, airports, hospitals, etc.).			
				Third-party signs can be readily designed, sited and operated to integrate with the characteristics of such environments, and a permitted activity status (which is subject to compliance with specified			
				standards) is an appropriate and efficient method to provide for this outcome.			
Out of Home Media Association of	284.20	General District wide	Support	Supports SIGN-R4's Restricted Discretionary activity status applying to third-party signs in	Retain SIGN-R4.2 (Third-party signs) as notified.	Accept.	No.
Aotearoa		Matters / Signs / SIGN- R4		commercial, industrial and 'special purpose' zones, where such signs infringe a relevant standard. The nature of the potential adverse effects resulting from an infringement with a standard can be			
				readily predicted, and as such it is appropriate to limit the consideration of adverse effects.		Accept.	No.
Out of Home Media Association of	284.21	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support	Supports SIGN-R4's Discretionary activity status applying to third-party signs in other zones which are potentially more 'sensitive' to the adverse effects of such signage.	Retain SIGN-R4.3 (Third-party signs) as notified.	песери	ino.
Aotearoa		R4		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Accept.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 16 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Out of Home Media Association of	284.22	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Amend	Submitter does not support the use of a default Restricted Discretionary activity status for digital signs.	Amend SIGN-R4 (Third-party signs) to include SIGN-S8 (Digital signs) as follows:		
Aotearoa		R4		There is no justifiable rationale to classify digital signs in a different manner to static signs, noting	1. Activity status: Permitted		
				that there are comprehensive standards proposed (see rows below) that control the scale, location,	Where:		
				design and operation of all signs, and specific standards which apply to the manner in which digital signs are to be operated.	a. Compliance is achieved with:		
				signs are to be operated.	a. Compilance is achieved with.		
				With standards in place, the submitter considers that the Proposed Plan can make provision for digital signs as a permitted activity, within zones in which billboards are characteristically	i. SIGN-S1; ii. SIGN-S2;		
				compatible. In doing so, the submitter considers that Rule SIGN-R5 (Digital signs) can be deleted,	iii. SiGN-52;		
				with standard SIGN-S8 being incorporated into Rules SIGN-R3 (On-site signs) and SIGN-R4 (Third	iv. SIGN-S4;		
				party advertising).	v. SIGN-S5; vi. SIGN-S6; vii. SIGN-S7;		
					viii. <u>SIGN-S8;</u>		
					ix. SIGN-S9; x. SIGN-S11; and		
					xi. SIGN-S14.		
						Reject.	No.
Waka Kotahi NZ	FS103.47	Part 2 / General District	Oppose		Disallow		
Transport Agency		wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-R4		digital billboards in a separate rule, and that digital signs require consent due to the range of adverse effects they may have on traffic safety (due primarily to potential glare and driver distraction).			
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Accept.	Yes.
Out of Home Media Association of	284.23	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Amend	Submitter does not support the use of a default Restricted Discretionary activity status for digital signs.	Amend SIGN-R4 (Third-party signs) as follows:		
Aotearoa		R4			2 Activity status: Restricted Discretionary		
				There is no justifiable rationale to classify digital signs in a different manner to static signs, noting that there are comprehensive standards proposed (see rows below) that control the scale, location,	Where:		
				design and operation of all signs, and specific standards which apply to the manner in which digital			
				signs are to be operated.	a. Compliance cannot be achieved with the requirements of SIGN-R4.1.a.i. to SIGN-R4.1.a.xi (excluding SIGNR4.1.a.xi and SIGNS14.7).		
				With standards in place, the submitter considers that the Proposed Plan can make provision for	(challing storm in many stories and stories are stories and stories are stories and storie		
				digital signs as a permitted activity, within zones in which billboards are characteristically compatible. In doing so, the submitter considers that Rule SIGN-R5 (Digital signs) can be deleted,	Matters of discretion are:		
				with standard SIGN-S8 being incorporated into Rules SIGN-R3 (On-site signs) and SIGN-R4 (Third	1. The matters in SIGN-P1, SIGN-P2, SIGN-P3 and SIGN-P6; and		
				party advertising).	2. The Signs Design Guide; and		
					3 2. The extent and effect of non-compliance with any relevant standard and the matters as specified in the associated assessment criteria for the infringed standards.	Reject.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor	285.18	General District wide	Support	Supports the permitted activity status applied to third party signs, where they are designed to	Seeks to retain SIGN-R4.1 (Third-party signs) as notified	,	
Limited		Matters / Signs / SIGN- R4		comply with relevant standards. The submitter supports these provisions because the submitter considers that they will:	[Inferred decision requested]		
				-Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA;	,		
				-Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District, particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and			
				-Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the			
Lumo Digital Outdoor	285.19	General District wide	Support	efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means. Supports SIGN-R4's permitted activity status for 'third-party signs' in the specified zones. Third party	Datain SICN DA 1 (Third narty signs) as natified	Accept.	No.
Limited	205.19	Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support	signs are a common element within commercial and industrial environments, as well as in other	Retain Sign-R4.1 (Tilira-party Signs) as notified.		
		R4		locations which have a zone which provides for particular activities (for example, airports, hospitals,			
				etc.).			
				Third-party signs can be readily designed, sited and operated to integrate with the characteristics of			
				such environments, and a permitted activity status (which is subject to compliance with specified standards) is an appropriate and efficient method to provide for this outcome.			
						Accept.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.20	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support	Supports SIGN-R4's Restricted Discretionary activity status applying to third-party signs in commercial, industrial and 'special purpose' zones, where such signs infringe a relevant standard.	Retain SIGN-R4.2 (Third-party signs) as notified.		
		R4					
				The nature of the potential adverse effects resulting from an infringement with a standard can be readily predicted, and as such it is appropriate to limit the consideration of adverse effects.			
						Accept.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.21	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support	Supports SIGN-R4's Discretionary activity status applying to third-party signs in other zones which are potentially more 'sensitive' to the adverse effects of such signage.	Retain SIGN-R4.3 (Third-party signs) as notified.		
		R4				Accept.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 17 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.22	General District wide	Amend	Submitter does not support the use of a default Restricted Discretionary activity status for digital signs.	Amend SIGN-R4 (Third-party signs) to include SIGN-S8 (Digital signs) as follows:		
Limited		Matters / Signs / SIGN- R4		signs. There is no justifiable rationale to classify digital signs in a different manner to static signs, noting that there are comprehensive standards proposed (see rows below) that control the scale, location, design and operation of all signs, and specific standards which apply to the manner in which digital signs are to be operated. With standards in place, the submitter considers that the Proposed Plan can make provision for digital signs as a permitted activity, within zones in which billboards are characteristically compatible. In doing so, the submitter considers that Rule SIGN-R5 (Digital signs) can be deleted, with standard SIGN-S8 being incorporated into Rules SIGN-R3 (On-site signs) and SIGN-R4 (Third party advertising).	1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance is achieved with: i. SIGN-51; iii. SIGN-52; iii. SIGN-53; iv. SIGN-54; v. SIGN-55; vi. SIGN-56; vii. SIGN-57; viii. SIGN-57; viii. SIGN-59; ix. SIGN-59; x. SIGN-59; x. SIGN-511; and		
					xi. SIGN-S14.		
Lumo Digital Outdoor	285.23	General District wide	Amend	Submitter does not support the use of a default Restricted Discretionary activity status for digital	Amend SIGN-R4 (Third-party signs) as follows:	Reject.	No.
Limited		Matters / Signs / SIGN- R4		signs. There is no justifiable rationale to classify digital signs in a different manner to static signs, noting that there are comprehensive standards proposed (see rows below) that control the scale, location, design and operation of all signs, and specific standards which apply to the manner in which digital signs are to be operated. With standards in place, the submitter considers that the Proposed Plan can make provision for digital signs as a permitted activity, within zones in which billboards are characteristically compatible. In doing so, the submitter considers that Rule SIGN-RS (Digital signs) can be deleted, with standard SIGN-S8 being incorporated into Rules SIGN-R3 (On-site signs) and SIGN-R4 (Third	2 Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. Compliance cannot be achieved with the requirements of SIGN-R4.1.a.i. to SIGN-R4.1.a.xi (excluding SIGN R4.1.a.xi and SIGN S14.7). Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters in SIGN-P1, SIGN-P2, SIGN-P3 and SIGN-P6; 2. The Signs Design Guide; and 3. The extent and effect of non-compliance with any relevant standard and the matters as specified in the associated assessment criteria for the infringed standards.	Reject.	No.
Wellington	406.479	General District wide	Oppose	party advertising). Opposes these rules to the extent that they cross reference SIGN-S14.	Opposes SIGN-R4 (Third party signs) and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
International Airport Ltd		Matters / SIGNS / SIGN- R4		Considers that the non-complying activity status, as set out in Rule SIGN(4) for the Miramar South Precinct and the associated notification clause in inappropriate in the context of an Airport Zone and has not been adequately justified in terms of section 32 of the RMA and is inconsistent with SIGN P6 WIAL also notes that the only other signage with a similar status relates to digital signage with the sensitive land use zones such as residential, open space and rural zones. No other signage provisions are subject to a similar notification clause.			
				Considers that that a restricted discretionary activity status for non-compliance with SIGN-S14.7 (insofar as they relate to third party signage) would be more appropriate and that the notification clause should be deleted, with the necessity or otherwise of public notification assessed under the notification provisions of the RMA.			
Wellington	406.480	General District wide	Oppose	[See paragraph 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason] Opposes these rules to the extent that they cross reference SIGN-S14.	Opposes SIGN-R4 (Third party signs) and seeks amendment.	Reject.	No.
International Airport Ltd		Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-R4		Considers that the non-complying activity status, as set out in Rule SIGN(4) for the Miramar South Precinct and the associated notification clause is inappropriate in the context of an Airport Zone and has not been adequately justified in terms of section 32 of the RMA and is inconsistent with SIGN P6 WIAL also notes that the only other signage with a similar status relates to digital signage with the sensitive land use zones such as residential, open space and rural zones. No other signage provisions are subject to a similar notification clause.			
				Considers that that a restricted discretionary activity status for non-compliance with SIGN-514.7 (insofar as they relate to third party signage) would be more appropriate and that the notification clause should be deleted, with the necessity or otherwise of public notification assessed under the notification provisions of the RMA.			
Wellington	406.481	General District wide	Amend	[See paragraph 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason] Requests deletion of RULE SIGN-R4.4	Delete SIGN-R4.4 (Third-party signs), non-complying activity status.	Reject.	No.
International Airport		Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-				Reject.	No.
Go Media Ltd	236.20	General District wide	Support in part	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain Restricted Discretionary status in SIGN-R5 (Digital Signs) as notified, if the Proposed District Plan retains separate rules for digital signs.	neject.	110.
		R5			To the state of th	Accept in part.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 18 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.24	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- R5	Oppose	Opposes the discretionary activity status for digital signs which do not comply with any relevant standard. The submitter opposes this provision because the submitter considers that they will not: -Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA; -Finable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District, particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and -Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.	Opposes the Restricted Discretionary activity status at SIGN-R5 (Digital Signs) for signs that are designed and operated to comply with relevant standards.	Reject.	No.
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.25	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- R5	Oppose	Submitter does not support the use of a default Restricted Discretionary activity status for digital signs. There is no justifiable rationale to classify digital signs in a different manner to static signs, noting that there are comprehensive standards proposed (see rows below) that control the scale, location, design and operation of all signs, and specific standards which apply to the manner in which digital signs are to be operated. With standards in place, the submitter considers that the Proposed Plan can make provision for digital signs as a permitted activity, within zones in which billboards are characteristically compatible. In doing so, the submitter considers that Rule SIGN-RS (Digital signs) can be deleted, with standard SIGN-SB being incorporated into Rules SIGN-R3 (On-site signs) and SIGN-R4 (Third party advertising).	Delete SIGN-R5 (Digital signs) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Waka Kotahi NZ	FS103.48	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Waka Kotahi supports having a separate rule for digital signage, and considers it appropriate that it	Disallow	Reject.	NO.
Transport Agency		wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-R5		be a restricted discretionary activity due to the range of adverse effects they may have on traffic safety (due primarily to potential glare and driver distraction).		Accept.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.24	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- RS	Oppose	Opposes the discretionary activity status for digital signs which do not comply with any relevant standard. The submitter opposes this provision because the submitter considers that they will not: -Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA; -Faable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District, particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and -Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.	Opposes the Restricted Discretionary activity status at SIGN-R5 (Digital Signs) for signs that are designed and operated to comply with relevant standards.	Reject.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.25	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- R5	Oppose	Submitter does not support the use of a default Restricted Discretionary activity status for digital signs. There is no justifiable rationale to classify digital signs in a different manner to static signs, noting that there are comprehensive standards proposed (see rows below) that control the scale, location, design and operation of all signs, and specific standards which apply to the manner in which digital signs are to be operated. With standards in place, the submitter considers that the Proposed Plan can make provision for digital signs as a permitted activity, within zones in which billboards are characteristically compatible. In doing so, the submitter considers that Rule SIGN-RS (Digital signs) can be deleted, with standard SIGN-RS being incorporated into Rules SIGN-R3 (On-site signs) and SIGN-R4 (Third party advertising).	Delete SIGN-R5 (Digital signs) in its entirety.	Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands	349.44	General District wide	Oppose	Oppose	Amend SIGN-R5 (Digital Signs) as follows:	Reject.	NO.
Limited		Matters / Signs / SIGN-R5		Restaurant Brands' menu boards are digital, and despite being small scale in nature and typically located to the rear of the drive-through restaurant activity, will require resource consent in every instance. Such a requirement is inefficient and unduly onerous. Restaurant Brands is opposed to the cross reference to the Signs Design Guide within the Policy. The Design Guide does not appropriately recognise or provide for the requirements of activities. The Design Guide reads as a set of rules to be complied with, rather than guidelines to inform the assessment of applications for resource consent and will result in an unnecessarily onerous and unreasonable resource consent process. The Design Guide imposes certain outcomes that are required to be achieved in every circumstances and results in a "pass/fail" assessment being applied, resulting in an unnecessarily onerous and unreasonable resource consent process.	a. Compliance is achieved with: i vii SIGN-58; viii SIGN-59; and	Reject.	No
Wellington	406.482	General District wide	Oppose in	Opposes SIGN-R5 insofar as it relates to the Airport Zone.	Opposes SIGN-R5 (Digital Signs) and seeks amendment.	кејест.	INO.
International Airport Ltd		Matters / SIGNS / SIGN- R5	part	[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]		Reject.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 19 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport	406.483	General District wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-	Amend	Opposes SIGN-R5 insofar as it relates to the Airport Zone.	Amend SIGN-R5 (Digital Signs) as follows:		
Ltd		R5		[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]	Seeks that SIGN-R5 is amended to make digital signage controlled within the Airport Zone where it complies with the relevant standards. (Option A).	Reject.	No.
Wellington International Airport	406.484	General District wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-	Oppose in	Opposes SIGN-R5 insofar as it relates to the Airport Zone.	Delete SIGN-R5 (Digital Signs) in its entirety. (Option B).		
Ltd		R5		[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]		Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.45	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support in part	Support with amendment	Amend SIGN-R6 (Signs on heritage buildings, heritage structures and their sites, or on a site within a heritage area) as follows:		
		R6		Opposed to the cross reference to the Signs Design Guide within the Policy. The Design Guide does not appropriately recognise or provide for the requirements of activities.			
				The Design Guide reads as a set of rules to be complied with, rather than guidelines to inform the assessment of applications for resource consent and will result in an unnecessarily onerous and unreasonable resource consent process.	Matters of discretion are:		
				The Design Guide imposes certain outcomes that are required to be achieved in every circumstances and results in a "pass/fail" assessment being applied, resulting in an unnecessarily onerous and unreasonable resource consent process.	2. The Signs Design Guide and the Heritage Design Guide.	Reject.	No
Restaurant Brands	349.46	General District wide	Support in	Support with amendment	Amend SIGN-R6 (Signs on heritage buildings, heritage structures and their sites, or on a site within a	Reject.	INO.
Limited	3-3.40	Matters / Signs / SIGN-	part	Support with uncounterfit	heritage area) as follows:		
		R6		Opposed to the cross reference to the Signs Design Guide within the Policy. The Design Guide does not appropriately recognise or provide for the requirements of activities.			
				The Design Guide reads as a set of rules to be complied with, rather than guidelines to inform the assessment of applications for resource consent and will result in an unnecessarily onerous and	Matters of discretion are:		
				unreasonable resource consent process.	The Signs Design Guide and the Heritage Design Guide.		
				The Design Guide imposes certain outcomes that are required to be achieved in every circumstances and results in a "pass/fail" assessment being applied, resulting in an unnecessarily onerous and lunreasonable resource consent process.		Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands	349.47	General District wide		Support with amendment	Amend SIGN-R7 (Signs within the extent of a scheduled archaeological site or site of significance to		
Limited		Matters / Signs / SIGN- R7	part	Opposed to the cross reference to the Signs Design Guide within the Policy. The Design Guide does not appropriately recognise or provide for the requirements of activities.	Māori) as follows:		
				The Design Guide reads as a set of rules to be complied with, rather than guidelines to inform the	Matters of discretion are:		
				assessment of applications for resource consent and will result in an unnecessarily onerous and unreasonable resource consent process.	The Signs Design Guide and the Heritage Design Guide.		
				The Design Guide imposes certain outcomes that are required to be achieved in every circumstances and results in a "pass/fail" assessment being applied, resulting in an unnecessarily onerous and			
Taranaki Whānui ki te	389.89	General District wide	A d	unreasonable resource consent process.	Code About CICAL D.7 /Cical with in About	Reject.	No.
Upoko o te Ika	309.09	Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Seeks that SIGN-R7 (Signs within the extent of a scheduled archaeological site or site of significance to Māori) is amended to include proximity to sites and areas of significance to Māori.	Reject.	No
Taranaki Whānui ki te	389.90	General District wide	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Seeks amendments to matters of discretion to include engagement with Taranaki Whānui.	,	
Upoko o te Ika		Matters / Signs / SIGN- R7			[Inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.48	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support	Support	Retain SIGN-R8 (All other signs) as notified.	neject.	NO.
		R8				Accept.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.240	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- RR	Support	Support activity status of discretionary for signs not provided for.	Retain SIGN-R8 (All other signs) as notified.	Accept	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor	FS124.5	Part 2 / General District	Support	In Lumo's opinion, a discretionary activity status is appropriate for any sign not otherwise provided	Allow	Accept.	INU.
Limited	3124.3	wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-R8	Зарроге	for. Such an activity status allows any relevant effect to be appropriately assessed.		Accept.	No.
Out Of Home Media Association of	FS125.5	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs /	Support	In OOHMAA's opinion, a discretionary activity status is appropriate for any sign not otherwise provided for. Such an activity status allows any relevant effect to be appropriately assessed.	Allow		
Aotearoa (OOHMAA)		SIGN-R8				Accept.	No.
Go Media Ltd	236.21	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S1	Amend	Considers that the 5m2 area of a single sign in the NCZ, LCZ, NCZ zones could have a significant limitation on the ability of business owners to display signs and also limit the ability of a business or building owner to generate additional income from third party signage without the requirement for	Seeks that the Sm2 area limit on signs in SIGN-S1.1.c.i (Maximum area of any sign (Neighbourhood Centre Zone, Local Centre Zone, Commercial Zone, Metropolitan Centre Zone(is increased.		
				a resource consent.	[Inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
	1	1		l .	1	meject.	110.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 20 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Go Media Ltd	236.22	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S1	Amend	Considers that there is no evidence that signs of Sm2 or larger is more dangerous for traffic.	Seeks that the 5m2 area limit on signs in SIGN-51.1.f.i (Maximum area of any sign (facing the State Highway Network)) is increased.		
		-			[Inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
Massey University	253.7	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support in part	Supports the provision but considers that signage in the Tertiary Education Zone has been excluded.	Retain SIGN-S1 (Maximum area of any sign) with amendment.	A	V
Massey University	253.8	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S1	Amend	Considers that signage in the Tertiary Education Zone has been excluded, so it is recommended that it is added to Standard SIGN-S1(1)(b).	Amend SIGN-S1 (Maximum area of any sign) as follows:	Accept in part.	Yes.
		51			b. City Centre Zone Mixed Use Zone General Industrial Zone <u>Tertiary Education Zone.</u>	Accept in part.	Yes.
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.26	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support in part	Supports SIGN-S1 in part.	Retain SIGN-S1 (Maximum area of any sign) with amendments.	Reject.	No.
Out of Home Media Association of	284.27	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Amend	Submitter generally supports Standard SIGN-S1, with the exception of the control which applies in the Metropolitan Centre Zone, and to signs facing a State Highway.	Amend SIGN-S1 (Maximum area of any sign) as follows:	Reject.	NO.
Aotearoa		S1		The submitter considers that the characteristics of the Metropolitan Centre zone are comparable to			
				those of the City Centre and Mixed Use Zones, in that the Metropolitan Centre zone anticipates and provides for a broad range of activities and a high scale of development. Notably, these zones all anticipate a mix of activities, including residential activity. Accordingly, the submitter submits that	b. City Centre Zone Mixed Use Zone General Industrial Zone Metropolitan Centre Zone i. The area of a single sign must not exceed 20m2. c. Neighbourhood Centre Zone Local Centre Zone Commercial Zone Metropolitan Centre Zone		
				the standards for signs within these zones should be consistent.	i. The area of a single sign must not exceed 5m2	Reject.	No.
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.28	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S1	Amend	Submitter generally supports Standard SIGN-S1, with the exception of the control which applies in the Metropolitan Centre Zone, and to signs facing a State Highway.	Amend SiGN-S1 (Maximum area of any sign) as follows: 1. The following maximum sign areas for any sign must be complied with:		
roccurou				The submitter considers that there is no justifiable rationale for applying different standards to the design of signs which face a State Highway, compared with signs which face a local road.	f. Signs facing the State Highway Network		
					i. The area of a single sign must not exceed 5m2.	Reject.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.26	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support in part	Supports SIGN-S1 in part.	Retain SIGN-S1 (Maximum area of any sign) with amendments.		
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.27	S1 General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Amend	Submitter generally supports Standard SIGN-S1, with the exception of the control which applies in the Metropolitan Centre Zone, and to signs facing a State Highway.	Amend SIGN-S1 (Maximum area of any sign) as follows:	Reject.	No
		S1		The submitter considers that the characteristics of the Metropolitan Centre zone are comparable to	The following maximum sign areas for any sign must be complied with:		
				those of the City Centre and Mixed Use Zones, in that the Metropolitan Centre zone anticipates and provides for a broad range of activities and a high scale of development. Notably, these zones all	i. The area of a single sign must not exceed 20m2.		
				anticipate a mix of activities, including residential activity. Accordingly, the submitter submits that the standards for signs within these zones should be consistent.	 L. Neighbourhood Centre Zone Local Centre Zone Commercial Zone Metropolitan Centre Zone The area of a single sign must not exceed 5m2. 	Reject.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.28	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Amend	Submitter generally supports Standard SIGN-S1, with the exception of the control which applies in the Metropolitan Centre Zone, and to signs facing a State Highway.	Amend SIGN-S1 (Maximum area of any sign) as follows:		
		S1		The submitter considers that there is no justifiable rationale for applying different standards to the design of signs which face a State Highway, compared with signs which face a local road.	The following maximum sign areas for any sign must be complied with: F.Signs facing the State Highway Network		
					i. The area of a single sign must not exceed 5m2- 	Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.49	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S1	Oppose	Oppose The permitted standard does not provide for the reasonable needs of Restaurant Brands' activities,	Amend SIGN-S1 (Maximum area of any sign) as follows: c. Neighbourhood Centre Zone i. the area of a single sign must not		
		31		are impracticable and too enough in the context of the scale and form of development that is enabled to occur by the District Plan, and will result in too many signs requiring resource consent.	Local Centre Zone		
					Metropolitan Centre Zone		
					f. Signs facing the State Highway Network i. The area of a single sign must not		
D 11 (127)	250.5	la Initia			exceed 5m2 <u>10m2.</u>	Reject.	No.
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.81	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S1	Oppose in part	Supports permitted activity status for signs, but considers the applicable standards are too restrictive. For example, under SIGN-S1 and S2 a retirement village would be restricted to one sign o less than 1.5m2. Considers that this provision is not sufficient for wayfinding to a village.	Opposes SIGN-S1 (Maximum area of any sign) and seeks amendment f		
zeaianu mcorporated		31		pess than 1.3m2. Considers that this provision is not sufficient for wayiniding to a Village.		Reject.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 21 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.82	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S1	Amend	Supports permitted activity status for signs, but considers the applicable standards are too restrictive. For example, under SIGN-51 and S2 a retirement village would be restricted to one sign o less than 1.5m2. Considers that this provision is not sufficient for wayfinding to a village.	Amend SIGN-S1 (Maximum area of any sign) to provide for two signs up to 3m2 per site for fretirement villages.		
Waka Kotahi	370.241	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support in part	Supports 5m2 maximum area for signs oriented to be read from the state highway network and seeks amendment.	Retain SIGN-S1 (Maximum area of any signs) with amendment.	Reject.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	FS124.6	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S1	Oppose	Lumo opposes the relief sought, insofar as it is inconsistent with the relief sought by Lumo's primary submission.	Disallow	Accept. Reject.	No.
Out Of Home Media Association of Aotearoa (OOHMAA)	FS125.6	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S1	Oppose	OOHMAA opposes the relief sought, insofar as it is inconsistent with the relief sought by OOHMAA's primary submission.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.242	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S1	Amend	The submitter prefers the wording 'oriented to be read from' rather than 'facing' the state highway as it is clearer. Therefore request that the wording is amended accordingly	Amend SIGN-S1 (Maximum area of any signs) as follows: 1. The following maximum sign areas for any sign must be complied with: f, signs oriented to be read from facing the State Highway Network	Accept.	Yes.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	FS124.7	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S1	Oppose	In its primary submission, Lumo has sought to delete Rule SIGN-S1.1.f. Lumo opposes the relief sought by Waka Kotahi to amend this rule.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Out Of Home Media Association of Aotearoa (OOHMAA)	FS125.7	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S1	Oppose	In its primary submission, OOHMAA has sought to delete Rule SIGN-S1.1.f. OOHMAA opposes the relief sought by Waka Kotahi to amend this rule.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
CentrePort Limited	402.139	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S1	Oppose in part	No provision for Special Purpose Port Zone in standard. This should be treated the same as The City Centre and General Industrial Zones.	Opposes SIGN-S1 (Maximum area of any sign) and seeks amendment.	Accept.	Yes.
CentrePort Limited	402.140	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S1	Amend	No provision for Special Purpose Port Zone in standard. This should be treated the same as The City Centre and General Industrial Zones.	Amend SIGN-S1.1.b (Maximum area of any sign) as follows: b. City Centre Zone Mixed Use Zone General Industrial Zone Special Purpose Port Zone	Accept.	Yes.
Go Media Ltd	236.23	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S2	Amend	Considers that the maximum sign area in the commercial and mixed-use zones under standard SIGN S2.b is not evidence-based. The proposed 10% maximum total area of signs does not recognise the fact that sign area is just one factor in visual amenity and could have a significant limitation on the ability of business owners to display signs, for instance within Cuba Street where are some single level buildings. It will also limit the ability of a business or building owner to generate additional income from third party signage without the requirement for a resource consent. The shape, framing and way in which the sign integrates into a building façade are some additional factors that affect the visual impact of billboards.	[Inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
Go Media Ltd	236.24	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S2	Amend	Considers that the term "Facing" at SIGN-52 is undefined and is open to interpretation. A sign angled away, but still with some area visible, from a State Highway may be considered under this standard despite the visual effects being significantly different.	Clarify the meaning of "Facing" in SIGN-S2.1.e (Maximum total area of signs).	nejett.	NO.
Go Media Ltd	236.25	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Not specified	Considers SIGN-S2.1.e is unreasonable because the angle of a sign is not sufficient to cause an adverse effect. Distance to a sign is also a significant factor in the visual effect of a sign.	Not specified.	Accept. Reject.	Yes.
Massey University	253.9	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S2	Support in part	Supports the provision but considers that signage in the Tertiary Education Zone has been excluded.	Retain SIGN-S2 (Maximum total area of signs) with amendment.	Accept.	Yes.
Massey University	253.10	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S2	Amend	Considers that signage in the Tertiary Education Zone has been excluded, so it is recommended that it is added to Standard SIGN-S2(1)(b).	Amend SIGN-S2 (Maximum total area of signs) as follows: b. City Centre Zone Neighbourhood Centre Zone Local Centre Zone Mixed Use Zone Commercial Zone General Industrial Zone Tertiary Education Zone	Accept.	Yes.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 22 of 37

Restaurant Brands 349 Limited	49.50	/Provision					Changes to PDP?
		General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S2	Oppose	The permitted standard does not provide for the reasonable needs of Restaurant Brands' activities, are impracticable and too onerous in the context of the scale and form of development that is enabled to occur by the District Plan, and will result in too many signs requiring resource consent.	Amend SIGN-S2 (Maximum total area of signs) as follows:		
					c. City Centre Zone i. The maximum total area of signage Neighbourhood Centre Zone affixed to an elevation of a building Local Centre Zone or structure must not exceed 10% Mixed Use Zone 20% of the total area of the Commercial Zone elevation.		
					General Industrial Zone		
						Reject.	No.
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	350.83	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S2	Oppose in part	Supports permitted activity status for signs, but considers the applicable standards are too restrictive. For example, under SIGN-S1 and S2 a retirement village would be restricted to one sign or less than 1.5m2. Considers that this provision is not sufficient for wayfinding to a village.	Opposes SIGN-S2 (Maximum total area of signs) and seeks amendment.		
D 11 115H 25						Reject.	No.
Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	50.84	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S2	Amend	Supports permitted activity status for signs, but considers the applicable standards are too restrictive. For example, under SIGN-S1 and S2 a retirement village would be restricted to one sign or less than 1.5m2. Considers that this provision is not sufficient for wayfinding to a village.	Amend SIGN-52 (Maximum total area of signs) to provide for two signs up to 3m2 per site for f retirement villages.		
						Reject.	No.
Waka Kotahi 370	370.243	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S2	Support in part	Support 5m2 maximum area for signs oriented to be read from the state highway network and seeks amendment.	Retain SIGN-S2 (Maximum area of any signs) with amendment.	Accept in part.	No.
		Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Lumo opposes a blanket approach to applying more onerous provisions for signs and billboards that	Disallow	7 tecept in parti	,,,,,
Out Of Home Media FS1		wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S2	0	are visible from a state highway.	District	Accept in part.	No.
Association of	5125.8	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs /	Oppose	OOHMAA opposes a blanket approach to applying more onerous provisions for signs and billboards that are visible from a state highway.	Disallow		
Aotearoa (OOHMAA)		SIGN-S2		, ,		Accept in part.	No.
Waka Kotahi 370	370.244	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S2	Amend	The submitter prefers the wording 'oriented to be read from' rather than 'facing' the state highway as it is clearer. Therefore request that the wording is amended accordingly	Amend SIGN-S2 (Maximum number of signs) as follows: 1. The following maximum total area of signs per site must be complied with:		
					e. signs <u>oriented to be read from facing the State Highway Network, including on-ramps and off</u>		
Lumo Digital Outdoor FS1	S124.9	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Lumo opposes a blanket approach to applying more onerous provisions for signs and billboards that	ramps Disallow	Accept in part.	Yes.
Limited		wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S2		are visible from a state highway.		Reject.	No.
Out Of Home Media Association of Aotearoa (OOHMAA)	S125.9	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S2	Oppose	OOHMAA opposes a blanket approach to applying more onerous provisions for signs and billboards that are visible from a state highway.	Disallow	Reject.	No.
	102.141	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Oppose in part	No provision for Special Purpose Port Zone in standard. This should be treated the same as The City Centre and General Industrial Zones.	Opposes SIGN-S2 (Maximum total area of signs) and seeks amendment.	reject.	NO.
	100.440	S2			1 100000041/44 :	Accept.	Yes.
CentrePort Limited 402	02.142	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S2	Amend	No provision for Special Purpose Port Zone in standard. This should be treated the same as The City Centre and General Industrial Zones.	Amend SIGN-S2.1.b (Maximum total area of signs) as follows: b. City Centre Zone		
					Neighbourhood Centre Zone Local Centre Zone		
					Mixed Use Zone Commercial Zone General Industrial Zone		
					Special Purpose Port Zone	Accept.	Yes.
Go Media Ltd 236	36.26	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S4	Amend	Considers that the 4m maximum height for a freestanding sign in the NCZ, LCZ and MCZ is unduly restrictive given the existing urban form of some of these areas and the permitted building heights within them.	Seeks that the height limit standards for free-standing signs in the commercial, mixed-use and industrial zones (SIGN-S4(a) and (b)) (Maximum height of free-standing signs) are increased.		
				For example, Rule 6.8.4.1.1 P15(b) of the Christchurch District Plan applies a maximum height limit o 9m.	f		
				Further, the rule is overly restrictive when the new residential intensification measures allow building heights of more than 90m is some Central City areas.		Reject.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 23 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Massey University	253.11	General District wide	Support in	Supports the provision but considers that signage in the Tertiary Education Zone has been excluded.	Retain SIGN-S4 (Maximum height of freestanding signs) with amendment:		
		Matters / Signs / SIGN-	part				
		S4					
					b. Commercial Zone General Industrial Zone <u>Tertiary Education Zone</u> .		
Massey University	253.12	General District wide	Amend	Considers that signage in the Tertiary Education Zone has been excluded, so it is recommended that	Amend SIGN-S4 (Maximum height of freestanding signs) as follows:	Accept in part.	Yes.
iviassey offiversity	255.12	Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Amena	it is added to Standard SIGN-S4(1)(b).	Afficial state 54 (Maximum reight of recistariants signs) as follows.		
		S4					
					b. Commercial Zone General Industrial Zone <u>Tertiary Education Zone.</u>		
						Accept in part.	Yes.
Out of Home Media	284.29	General District wide	Amend	The submitter considers that for the reasons set out in Row 3 of their submission [refer to original	Amend SIGN-S4 (Maximum height of freestanding signs) as follows:		
Association of Aotearoa		Matters / Signs / SIGN- S4		submission for full reasons], the standards which apply to signs in the Commercial Zone, Mixed Use Zone, City Centre Zone and Metropolitan Centre Zone should be consistent, as these zones share	The following maximum sign areas for any sign must be complied with:		
/ lotearoa				similar characteristics with regards to the nature and mix of activities that are anticipated, and the	2. The following maximum sign areas for any sign mast be complied with		
				scale of development that is provided for.	a.		
				The submitter considers that the height of signs within the Mixed Use Zone, City Centre Zone and Metropolitan Centre zone is more appropriately limited to 8m (as is to apply to the Commercial	Metropolitan Centre Zone Mixed Use Zone		
				Zone), as opposed to the proposed 4m height control (which applies to more 'sensitive'	Open Space Zone		
				environments/zones). The submitter considers that such a height is consistent with the provision for	City Centre Zone		
				free-standing signs in the Central Area Zone in the Operative Wellington District Plan, which has			
				appropriately managed the scale of signage.	D		
					City Centre Zone		
					Metropolitan Centre Zone		
Lucia Dicital Outdoor	285.29	Conserved District wilds	Amend	The submitted and the short for the surrounding to the Day 2 of the insulation for first and sinisis.	Mixed Use Zone Amend SIGN-S4 (Maximum height of freestanding signs) as follows:	Reject.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.29	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Amena	The submitter considers that for the reasons set out in Row 3 of their submission [refer to original submission for full reasons], the standards which apply to signs in the Commercial Zone, Mixed Use	Amend SiGN-54 (Maximum neight of freestanding signs) as follows:		
		S4		Zone, City Centre Zone and Metropolitan Centre Zone should be consistent, as these zones share	1. The following maximum sign areas for any sign must be complied with:		
				similar characteristics with regards to the nature and mix of activities that are anticipated, and the			
				scale of development that is provided for.	a.		
				The submitter considers that the height of signs within the Mixed Use Zone, City Centre Zone and	Metropolitan Centre Zone		
				Metropolitan Centre zone is more appropriately limited to 8m (as is to apply to the Commercial	Mixed Use Zone		
				Zone), as opposed to the proposed 4m height control (which applies to more 'sensitive'	Open Space Zone		
				environments/zones). The submitter considers that such a height is consistent with the provision for free-standing signs in the Central Area Zone in the Operative Wellington District Plan, which has	City Centre Zone		
				appropriately managed the scale of signage.	b.		
					<u>City Centre Zone</u>		
					Metropolitan Centre Zone Mixed Use Zone	Reject.	No.
Woolworths New	359.40	General District wide	Amend	Considers that the proposed 4m height restriction in the Centre Zones is more restrictive in terms of		Reject.	NO.
Zealand		Matters / Signs / SIGN-		permitted area and thresholds than the existing District Plan provisions and should be amended to			
		S4		8m. Signage should be of a scale commensurate to enabled building height to ensure an appropriate			
				relationship between the structures. Given heights in all CMUZ are increasing under the PDP, the height of signage should not be reduced. Signage should be considered acceptable in commercial	The maximum height of any freestanding sign must not exceed 4m 8m.		
				zones in principle, with some limitation on size and location where it may adversely affect sensitive			
				areas, including heritage, character or residential areas.			
	1					Reject.	No.
CentrePort Limited	402.143	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Oppose in	No provision for Special Purpose Port Zone in standard. This should be treated the same as General Industrial Zones.	Opposes SIGN-S4 (Maximum height of freestanding signs) and seeks amendment.		
		S4	part	iniuustiai zones.		Accept.	Yes.
CentrePort Limited	402.144	General District wide	Amend	No provision for Special Purpose Port Zone in standard. This should be treated the same as General	Amend SIGN-S4.1.b (Maximum height of freestanding signs) as follows:		
		Matters / Signs / SIGN-		Industrial Zones.			
		S4			b. Commercial Zone		
					General Industrial Zone Special Purpose Port Zone	Accept.	Yes.
Go Media Ltd	236.27	General District wide	Amend	Considers that "Plain Wall Surface" in SIGN-S5.1 is open to interpretation.	Clarify "Plain Wall Surface" meaning in SIGN-S5.1 (Maximum height of free-standing signs).		1.03.
		Matters / Signs / SIGN-					
		S5				Reject.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 24 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Go Media Ltd	236.28	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Amend	Considers that the meaning of "Facing" and "Visible" in SIGN-S5.4 is open to interpretation.	Clarify the meaning of "Facing" and "Visible" in SIGN-S5.4 (Maximum height of free-standing signs)		
		S5				Accept in part.	Yes.
Go Media Ltd	236.29	General District wide	Not	Considers that the restriction on internally illuminated signs in SIGN-S5 is without evidential basis.	Not specified.		
		Matters / Signs / SIGN- S5	specified	External lighting may be significantly brighter or more distracting than internally illuminated signs.			
				Measurable variables such as lux spill are a more appropriate quantitative method of measurement.			
				invessurable variables such as fux spin are a more appropriate quantitative method of measurement.			
				Given the suggested matters of discretion include 'The impact of the sign on traffic, pedestrian and			
				cycling safety' there is not any apparent advantage to elevating internally illuminated signs which faces the state highway network, or is visible from any intersection with the state highway' to being			
				discretionary.			
						Reject.	No.
Massey University	253.13	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain SIGN-S5 (Signs located on a building or structures) as notified.		
		S5				Accept in part.	No.
Out of Home Media Association of	284.30	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Amend	The submitter considers that for the reasons set out in Row 3 of their submission [refer to original submission for full reasons], they do not support rules or standards which seek to manage the design	Amend SIGN-S5 (Signs located on a building or structure) as follows:		
Aotearoa		S5		or luminance of signs which face a State Highway, as compared to the manner in which signs facing	Signs located on a building or structure		
				other roads are to be managed.			
					The sign must only be displayed on plain wall surfaces or fences. The sign must not obscure windows or architectural features.		
					The sign must not project above the highest part of the building or structure.		
					4. Where the sign is facing the state highway network, or is visible from any intersection with the	Deleat	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor	285.30	General District wide	Amend	The submitter considers that for the reasons set out in Row 3 of their submission [refer to original	state highway, the sign must not be internally illuminated. Amend SIGN-S5 (Signs located on a building or structure) as follows:	Reject.	NO.
Limited		Matters / Signs / SIGN-		submission for full reasons], they do not support rules or standards which seek to manage the design			
		S5		or luminance of signs which face a State Highway, as compared to the manner in which signs facing other roads are to be managed.	Signs located on a building or structure		
				other rodus are to be managed.	The sign must only be displayed on plain wall surfaces or fences.		
					2. The sign must not obscure windows or architectural features.		
					The sign must not project above the highest part of the building or structure. Where the sign is facing the state highway network, or is visible from any intersection with the		
					state highway, the sign must not be internally illuminated.	Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands	349.51	General District wide	Oppose	Oppose	Amend SIGN-S5 (Signs located on a building or structure) as follows:		
Limited		Matters / Signs / SIGN-		The restriction on illuminated signs that face the state highway network, or are visible from any			
				intersection with the state highway, is impracticable and too onerous [within] the context of the			
				nature of development that is enabled to occur by the District Plan, and will result in too many signs		Reject	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.245	General District wide	Support in	requiring resource consent. The submitter supports controls on illumination for any signage visible from the state highway,	state highway, the sign must not be internally illuminated. Not specified.	Reject.	NO.
		Matters / Signs / SIGN-	part	requests amendments to the wording.			
Lumo Digital Outdoor	FS124.10	Part 2 / General District	Onnose	Lumo opposes a blanket approach to applying more onerous provisions for signs and billboards that	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes.
Limited		wide Matters / Signs /		are visible from a state highway.			
Out Of Home Media	FS125.10	SIGN-S5 Part 2 / General District	Onnoco	OOHMAA opposes a blanket approach to applying more onerous provisions for signs and billboards	Dicallow	Reject.	No.
Association of	13123.10	wide Matters / Signs /	Oppose	that are visible from a state highway.			
Aotearoa (OOHMAA)	270.245	SIGN-S5				Reject.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.246	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Amend	The submitter prefers the wording 'oriented to be read from' rather than 'facing' the state highway as it is clearer. Therefore request that the wording is amended accordingly	Amend SIGN-S5 (Signs located on a building or structure) as follows:		
		S5		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4. Where the sign <u>oriented to be read from facing</u> the State Highway Network, <u>including on-ramps</u>		
					and off-ramps, or is visible from any intersection with the state highway, the sign must not be internally illuminated.	Accept in part.	Yes.
Lumo Digital Outdoor	FS124.11	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Lumo opposes a blanket approach to applying more onerous provisions for signs and billboards that	0 1 0 1	лесерс пі рате.	103.
Limited		wide Matters / Signs /		are visible from a state highway. In its primary submission, Lumo has requested that Rule SIGN-S5.4			
Out Of Home Media	FS125.11	SIGN-S5 Part 2 / General District	Onnose	be deleted. OHMAA opposes a blanket approach to applying more onerous provisions for signs and billboards	Disallow	Reject.	No.
Association of	13123.11	wide Matters / Signs /	Oppose	that are visible from a state highway. In its primary submission, OOHMAA has requested that Rule			
Aotearoa (OOHMAA)		SIGN-S5		SIGN S5.4 be deleted.		Reject.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 25 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.485	General District wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN- 55	Oppose	Opposes SiGN-S5 (Signs located on a building or structure) insofar as it relates to the Airport Zone. Considers that many airport buildings are utilitarian in appearance due to their operational or functional requirements. Signs can therefore enhance the facade and appearance of the building. [See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]	Opposes SIGN-55 (Signs located on a building or structure) and seeks amendment.		
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.486	General District wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN- S5	Amend	Opposes SIGN-S5 (Signs located on a building or structure) insofar as it relates to the Airport Zone. Considers that many airport buildings are utilitarian in appearance due to their operational or functional requirements. Signs can therefore enhance the facade and appearance of the building. [See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]	Seeks that SIGN-S5 (Signs located on a building or structure) is amended to exclude its application to the Airport Zone.	Reject.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.247	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support in part	SIGN-56 is supported, but an amendment is sought.	Retain SIGN-S6 (Verandah Signs) with amendment.	Reject.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	FS124.12	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S6		Lumo opposes a blanket approach to applying more onerous provisions for signs and billboards that are visible from a state highway.		Accept.	No.
Out Of Home Media Association of Aotearoa (OOHMAA)	FS125.12	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S6		OOHMAA opposes a blanket approach to applying more onerous provisions for signs and billboards that are visible from a state highway.		Accept.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.248	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S6	Amend	Considers that as with SIGN-55, there should be similar controls on illumination for Verandah signs that are oriented to be read from the State Highway network.	Amend SIGN-S6 (Verandah Signs) as follows: 4. Where the sign oriented to be read from facing the State Highway Network, including on-ramps and off-ramps, or is visible from any intersection with the state highway, the sign must not be internally illuminated.	Reject.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	FS124.13	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S6	Oppose	Lumo opposes a blanket approach to applying more onerous provisions for signs and billboards that are visible from a state highway.		Accept.	No.
Out Of Home Media Association of Aotearoa (OOHMAA)	FS125.13	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S6	Oppose	OOHMAA opposes a blanket approach to applying more onerous provisions for signs and billboards that are visible from a state highway.	Disallow	Accept.	No.
Paul Van Houtte	92.7	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S7	Amend	Considers that digitally internally illuminated signs for commercial purposes should not be permitted in Wellington or at least not visible from any road. They are distracting, compromise amenity values and are highly imposing as they are difficult to ignore.	Seeks that SIGN-57 (Traffic Safety) is amended to prevent digital signs being visible from any road. [inferred decision requested]	Reject.	No.
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.31	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S7	Support in part	Supports SIGN-57 in part. The submitter supports the intention to apply standards to manage the potential traffic safety effects from signs.	Retain SIGN-57 (Traffic safety) with amendments.	Accept in part.	Yes.
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.32	General District wide Matters / Signs / SiGN- S7	Amend	Considers that SIGN-57 which seeks to require minimum setback distances between signs which are within 10m of a legal road is too onerous and impracticable to comply with, particularly in urban environments where the density and 'spacing' of commercial activities will invariably result in closely spaced signage, and will lead to inefficient resource consent processes.		Accept in part.	Yes.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.31	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S7	Support in part	Supports SIGN-57 in part. The submitter supports the intention to apply standards to manage the potential traffic safety effects from signs.	Retain SIGN-57 (Traffic safety) with amendments.	Accept in part.	Yes.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 26 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.32	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S7	Amend	Considers that SIGN-S7 which seeks to require minimum setback distances between signs which are within 10m of a legal road is too onerous and impracticable to comply with, particularly in urban environments where the density and 'spacing' of commercial activities will invariably result in closely spaced signage, and will lead to inefficient resource consent processes.	Amend SIGN-57 (Traffic safety) as follows: 1. Where any sign is located adjacent to any road, the sign, including the operation of any electronic display, must not contain any flashing or moving lights. 2. Where any sign is located within 100m of an intersection and visible from a legal road, the sign, including the operation of any electronic display, must only contain static messaging and images. Table 11 - SIGN: Minimum lettering heights Table 11 - SIGN: Minimum lettering heights Table 12 - SIGN: Minimum Separation Distances from Other Signs below. Table 12 - SIGN: Minimum separation distances from other signs Speed limit of road (KM/H) Minimum separation distances from 0 > 80 200	Accept in part.	Yes.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.52	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S7	Oppose	Oppose The minimum separation distances between signs are impracticable and too onerous in an urban environment and will result in too many signs requiring resource consent.	Amend SIGN-S7 (Traffic Safety) as follows: 7. All signs within 10m of a legal road must comply with the minimum setback distances from other signs in Table 12 – SIGN: Minimum Separation Distances from Other Signs below. [Delete Table 12 - SIGN: Minimum Separation Distances from Other Signs in its entirety]	Accept in part.	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.249	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support in part	SIGN-57 is supported as it includes standards relating to traffic safety, but amendments are sought.	Retain SIGN-57 (Traffic safety) with amendment.	Accept in part.	Yes.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	FS124.14	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-57	Oppose	Lumo opposes the amendments sought by the submission. The submission seeks adjustments to Standard SIGN S7, which have the effect of restricting the types of signs which are orientated to be read from a state highway to static signs only (and not digital signs). In its submission, Waka Kotahi states "Standard S7.2 is unclear – Waka Kotahi understands this to mean that digital signs are not permitted within 100m of an intersection, which is supported and is consistent with the targets in the RLPT. If this is the intention, this should be reflected in SIGN-S8.1" Lumo does not agree that the intent of Standard SIGN S7 is to restrict digital signs which are located within 100m of an intersection. Rather, the standard is intended to manage particular types of content (such as flashing or moving lights, or moving images). The amendments sought by the submission are not appropriate or necessary relative to the purpose of the standard.	Disallow	Accept in part.	No.
Out Of Home Media Association of Aotearoa (OOHMAA)	FS125.14	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-57	Oppose	OOHMAA opposes the amendments sought by the submission. The submission seeks adjustments to Standard SIGN S7, which have the effect of restricting the types of signs which are orientated to be read from a state highway to static signs only (and not digital signs). In its submission, Waka Kotahi states "Standard S7.2 is unclear — Waka Kotahi understands this to mean that digital signs are not permitted within 100m of an intersection, which is supported and is consistent with the targets in the RLTP. If this is the intention, this should be reflected in SIGN-S8.1" OOHMAA does not agree that the intent of Standard SIGN-S7 is to restrict digital signs which are located within 100m of an intersection. Rather, the standard is intended to manage particular types of content (such as flashing or moving lights, or moving images). The amendments sought by the submission are not appropriate or necessary relative to the purpose of the standard.	Disallow	Accept in part.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.250	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S7	Amend	Considers Standard 57.2 is unclear – Waka Kotahi understands this to mean that digital signs are not permitted within 100m of an intersection, which is supported and is consistent with the targets in the RLPT. If this is the intention, this should be reflected in SIGN-58.1 57.7 is supported to manage the cumulative effects of advertising signage.	Amend SIGN-S7 (Traffic safety) as follows: 1. Where any sign is <u>oriented to be read from located adjacent to</u> any road, the sign must not contain any flashing or moving lights. 2. Where any sign is located within 100m of an intersection and visible <u>oriented to be read</u> from a legal road, the sign must <u>not</u> be <u>digital-only-contains static messaging and images</u> . 3. Signs must not be shaped or use images or colours, including changeable messages, that could be mistaken for a traffic control device in colour, shape or appearance	Accept in part.	Yes.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 27 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Lumo Digital Outdoor	FS124.15	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Lumo opposes the amendments sought by the submission.	Disallow		
Limited		wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S7		The submission seeks adjustments to Standard SIGN S7, which have the effect of restricting the			
				types of signs which are orientated to be read from a state highway to static signs only (and not			
				digital signs). In its submission, Waka Kotahi states "Standard S7.2 is unclear – Waka Kotahi			
				understands this to mean that digital signs are not permitted within 100m of an intersection, which is supported and is consistent with the targets in the RLPT. If this is the intention, this should be			
				reflected in SIGN-S8.1"Lumo does not agree that the intent of Standard SIGN S7 is to restrict digital			
				signs which are located within 100m of an intersection. Rather, the standard is intended to manage			
				particular types of content (such as flashing or moving lights, or moving images). The amendments sought by the submission are not appropriate or necessary relative to the purpose of the standard.			
				sought by the submission are not appropriate of necessary relative to the purpose of the standard.		Accept in part.	No.
Out Of Home Media	FS125.15	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	OOHMAA opposes the amendments sought by the submission.	Disallow		
Association of Aotearoa (OOHMAA)		wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S7		The submission seeks adjustments to Standard SIGN S7, which have the effect of restricting the			
Acteurou (Cornvian)		31014 37		types of signs which are orientated to be read from a state highway to static signs only (and not			
				digital signs). In its submission, Waka Kotahi states "Standard S7.2 is unclear – Waka Kotahi			
				understands this to mean that digital signs are not permitted within 100m of an intersection, which is supported and is consistent with the targets in the RLTP. If this is the intention, this should be			
				reflected in SIGN-S8.1" OOHMAA does not agree that the intent of Standard SIGN-S7 is to restrict			
				digital signs which are located within 100m of an intersection. Rather, the standard is intended to			
				manage particular types of content (such as flashing or moving lights, or moving images). The			
				amendments sought by the submission are not appropriate or necessary relative to the purpose of the standard.		Accept in part.	No.
KiwiRail Holdings	408.115	General District wide	Support	Supports clause 4 and 5 which ensures signs do obstruct the line of sign of any rail crossing or impair	Retain SIGN-S7 (Traffic safety) as notified.		
Limited		Matters / Signs / SIGN-		the view of any railway sign or signal.		A tit	No.
Go Media Ltd	236.30	General District wide	Support	Supports SIGN-S8.1.a to SIGN-S8.1.d and SIGN-S8.1.h.	Retain SIGN-S8.1 (Digital Signs) points a to d, and h as notified.	Accept in part.	No.
		Matters / Signs / SIGN-					
Go Media Ltd	236.31	S8 General District wide	Oppose	Considers that the content on billboards should only be restricted where evidence shows that there	Delete CICAL CO. 4 (Dielte) Ciency anists and a significant sets of the section to	Accept in part.	No.
Go iviedia Ltd	236.31	Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Oppose	is a real risk to safety.	Delete Sign-58.1 (Digital Signs) points e to g in their entirety.		
		S8					
				Content restrictions are likely to be a non-resource management issue and therefore should not be			
				included in planning provisions.			
				Some text on signs is legally required, but not generally intended to be read. This may include			
				references to terms and conditions or qualifiers to the product advertised.			
				The restrictions on content and character volume on digital signs (SIGN-S8(1)(e) and (f)) are			
				inappropriate and lack evidential basis across all zones. These should be removed. There is no reason			
				to exclude, for example, web addresses but to include a company slogan.			
				Further, the proposed standards will restrict the ability of community groups to advertise their			
				contact details and event locations to the community.		Reject.	No.
Go Media Ltd	236.32	General District wide	Oppose in	Opposes 15 second dwell time at SIGN-S8.2.b for signs on roads with a speed limit less than or equal			
		Matters / Signs / SIGN-	part	to 80km/h as 8 seconds is a generally accepted standard.	speed areas.	Reject.	No.
Go Media Ltd	236.33	General District wide	Oppose in	Opposes 35 second dwell time at SIGN-S8.2.b for signs on roads with a speed limit greater than	Seeks that the 35 second dwell time specified in SIGN-S8.2.b (Digital Signs) is deleted.	nejecti	110.
		Matters / Signs / SIGN-	part	80km/h as 8 seconds is a generally accepted standard.			
Go Media Ltd	236.34	General District wide	Support in	Supports a 0.5s transition time between images in SIGN-58.2.c.	Amend SIGN-S8.2.c (Digital Signs) as follows:	Reject.	No.
GO IVICUIA ELA	250.54	Matters / Signs / SIGN-	part	Supports a 0.33 transition time between images in State 30.2.c.	America Story Soczec (original signs) as follows:		
		S8		Considers that the requirement that images transition to another image within 0.1 to 0.5 seconds	Digital Signs		
				without flashing, blinking, fading, scrolling, or dissolving encourages almost instantaneous changes from one image to another. In practice such immediate change is more likely to be noticed by a			
				passer-by than a cross fade between images, as one image fades at the same time as another image			
				fades out.	2. Each image on a digital sign shall:		
					c. Transition to another image within 0.1 to 0.5 seconds; and		
I	1		1		[Inferred decision requested]	Accept	Yes

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 28 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.33	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S8	Support in part	Supports SiGN-S8 in part. The submitter supports the principle of prescribing parameters for the operation of digital signs and billboards. Standards which are specific to digital signs will ensure that the particular effects that might be generated by unregulated digital signs will be avoided, and provide the Council with the means to undertake enforcement against digital signs which are being operated in a non-compliant manner.	Retain SIGN-S8 (Digital signs), with amendments.		
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.34	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S8	Amend	The submitter considers that several of the proposed standards within SIGN-S8 are overly onerous, and are not justifiably necessary. The submitter opposes SIGN-S8.1.e for the following reasons: - The submitter considers that a standard restricting the use of contact details is presumably premised on a belief that a driver of a vehicle may be inclined to either hold their attention on the message for a dangerous length of time, or that such a detail may incite a driver to reach for a pen o another method of recording the contact detail. Contact details have been regularly used within advertising signs and billboards for decades, and there have been no known or recorded safety effects that have resulted from this practice. -Further, the submitter notes that such a standard has not been proposed for 'third-party signs' (which are not digital signs). -The submitter considers that there is no difference between the method of display (between digital and 'static' signs) which would result in the display of a contact detail being overly distractive on a digital sign.	e. Contain phone numbers, email addresses, web addresses, physical addresses or contact details;	Accept.	Yes.
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.35	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S8	Amend	The submitter opposes SIGN-S8.1.f for the following reasons: -This standard seeks to restrict the number of characters of a message. The submitter considers that this is an overly prescriptive standard which is difficult to interpret or to enforce, as an advertising message may involve various components (text, images, graphics), all of which may include words or phrases which would contribute to the number of characters within the overall message, but which are not required to be read by a viewer for the overall message to be understood and assimilated. -The submitter considers that the character limit is understood to be based on research that has been undertaken on the speed at which a driver can read text, however there are concerns with the methodologies of this research, and its literal application to real-world activities such as advertising messages which do not generally rely on viewers to read each and every word within a message.	All zones Digital Signs 1. Digital signs must not: f. Contain more than 40 characters; or		No.
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.36	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S8	Amend	The submitter opposes SIGN-S8.1.g. The submitter does not support the proposed 'blanket' approach to digital or illuminated signs which are visible from a state highway [Refer to original submission for full reason].	Amend SIGN-S8 (Digital signs) as follows: All zones Digital Signs 1. Digital signs must not: g. Be-located adjacent to a State Highway	Reject.	No.
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.37	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S8	Amend	The submitter opposes SIGN-S8.2.b. The submitter considers that Digital billboards are typically operated to display a message for a length of eight seconds, which has been an industry standard since the first digital billboards were established in New Zealand in 2013. Research has been undertaken which demonstrates that there is no measurable difference in effect on driver performance resulting from the dwell time for the display of digital messages [Refer to original submission for full reason].	Amend SIGN-S8 (Digital signs) as follows: All zones Digital Signs 2. Each image on a digital sign shall: a. Be static only; b. Be displayed for a minimum of ±5 g seconds for roads with posted speed limits of less than and equal to 80km/h and a minimum of 35 seconds for roads with a posted speed limit of greater than 80km/h;	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 29 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.38	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S8	Amend	The submitter opposes SIGN-S8.2.d for the following reasons: - The submitter considers that SIGN-S8.2.d. counteracts the intent of SIGN-S8.2.c., which requires messages to transition from one to the next within 0.1 and 0.5 seconds. However, Standard 2.d. states that the transition cannot involve flashing, blinking, fading, scrolling, or dissolving.	Amend SIGN-S8 (Digital signs) as follows: All zones		
				'The submitter considers that it is standard industry practice for messages to transition by way of a 'dissolve' (which typically lasts 0.5 seconds), which involves one message fading out while the next message fades in at the same time, which provides a 'soft' transition effect which avoids undue	Digital Signs		
				distraction or catching someone's attention. - The submitter considers that SIGN-S8.2.d., as it is drafted, would preclude the use of the most effective transition (in terms of its effectiveness at avoiding adverse effects). The submitter	2. Each image on a digital sign shall:		
				considers that this standard should not preclude the use of a 'dissolve' transition.	To the base of the	Deicat	No.
Out of Home Media Association of Aotearoa	284.39	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S8	Amend	Seeks amendment to SIGN-S8.4 to refer to 'digital'.	d. Transition to another image without flashing, blinking, fading, or scrolling, or dissolving. Amend SIGN-S8 (Digital signs) as follows: All zones	Reject.	No.
Actedioa					Digital Signs 4. Illumination of any <u>digital</u> sign shall:		
Lumo Digital Outdoor	285.33	General District wide	Support in	Supports SIGN-S8 in part. The submitter supports the principle of prescribing parameters for the	Retain SIGN-S8 (Digital signs), with amendments.	Accept.	Yes.
Limited		Matters / Signs / SIGN- S8	part	operation of digital signs and billboards. Standards which are specific to digital signs will ensure that the particular effects that might be			
				generated by unregulated digital signs will be avoided, and provide the Council with the means to undertake enforcement against digital signs which are being operated in a non-compliant manner.		Reject.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor	285.34	General District wide	Amend	The submitter considers that several of the proposed standards within SIGN-58 are overly onerous,	Amend SIGN-S8 (Digital signs) as follows:	Reject.	NO.
Limited		Matters / Signs / SIGN- S8		and are not justifiably necessary. The submitter opposes SIGN-S8.1.e for the following reasons:	All zones		
				- The submitter considers that a standard restricting the use of contact details is presumably premised on a belief that a driver of a vehicle may be inclined to either hold their attention on the message for a dangerous length of time, or that such a detail may incite a driver to reach for a pen o			
				another method of recording the contact detail. Contact details have been regularly used within advertising signs and billboards for decades, and there have been no known or recorded safety effects that have resulted from this practice.	e. Contain phone numbers, email addresses, web addresses, physical addresses or contact details;		
				-Further, the submitter notes that such a standard has not been proposed for 'third-party signs' (which are not digital signs). - The submitter considers that there is no difference between the method of display (between digital signs).			
				and 'static' signs) which would result in the display of a contact detail being overly distractive on a digital sign.		Reject.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.35	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S8	Amend	The submitter opposes SIGN-S8.1.f for the following reasons: - This standard seeks to restrict the number of characters of a message. The submitter considers that this is an overly prescriptive standard which is difficult to interpret or to enforce, as an advertising	Amend SIGN-S8 (Digital signs) as follows: All zones	neject.	NO.
				message may involve various components (text, images, graphics), all of which may include words or phrases which would contribute to the number of characters within the overall message, but which are not required to be read by a viewer for the overall message to be understood and assimilated.			
				- The submitter considers that the character limit is understood to be based on research that has been undertaken on the speed at which a driver can read text, however there are concerns with the			
				methodologies of this research, and its literal application to real-world activities such as advertising messages which do not generally rely on viewers to read each and every word within a message.			
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.36	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Amend	The submitter opposes SIGN-S8.1.g. The submitter does not support the proposed 'blanket' approach to digital or illuminated signs which are visible from a state highway (Refer to original	Amend SIGN-S8 (Digital signs) as follows:	Reject.	No.
		S8		submission for full reason].	All zones Digital Signs		
					Digital signs must not: g. Be located adjacent to a State Highway.		
						Reject.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 30 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.37	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S8	Amend	The submitter opposes SIGN-S8.2.b. The submitter considers that Digital billboards are typically operated to display a message for a length of eight seconds, which has been an industry standard since the first digital billboards were established in New Zealand in 2013. Research has been undertaken which demonstrates that there is no measurable difference in effect on driver performance resulting from the dwell time for the display of digital messages [Refer to original submission for full reason].	Amend SIGN-S8 (Digital signs) as follows: All zones Digital Signs 2. Each image on a digital sign shall: a. Be static only; b. Be displayed for a minimum of 15 g seconds for roads with posted speed limits of less than and equal to 80km/h and a minimum of 35 seconds for roads with a posted speed limit of greater than 80km/h;	Reject.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.38	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S8	Amend	The submitter opposes SIGN-S8.2.d for the following reasons: - The submitter considers that SIGN-S8.2.d. counteracts the intent of SIGN-S8.2.c., which requires messages to transition from one to the next within 0.1 and 0.5 seconds. However, Standard 2.d. states that the transition cannot involve flashing, blinking, fading, scrolling, or dissolving. - The submitter considers that it is standard industry practice for messages to transition by way of a 'dissolve' (which typically lasts 0.5 seconds), which involves one message fading out while the next message fades in at the same time, which provides a 'soft' transition effect which avoids undue distraction or catching someone's attention. - The submitter considers that SIGN-S8.2.d., as it is drafted, would preclude the use of the most effective transition (in terms of its effectiveness at avoiding adverse effects). The submitter considers that this standard should not preclude the use of a 'dissolve' transition.	All zones All zones Digital Signs 2. Each image on a digital sign shall: d. Transition to another image without flashing, blinking, fading, or scrolling, or dissolving.		
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.39	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN- S8	Amend	Seeks amendment to SIGN-S8.4 to refer to 'digital'.	Amend SIGN-S8 (Digital signs) as follows: All zones Digital Signs 4. Illumination of any <u>digital</u> sign shall:	Accept.	Yes.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.53	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support	Support	Retain SIGN-S8 (Digital signs) as notified.	Accept in part.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.251	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support in part	SIGN-S8 is partially supported and amendments are sought.	Retain SIGN-S8 (Digital signs) with amendment.		
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	FS124.16	S8 Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S8	Oppose	Lumo opposes the amendments sought by the submission. In its primary submission, Lumo requests amendments to Standard SIGN-S8. The relief sought by Waka Kotahi is opposed, insofar as it is inconsistent with the relief sought by Lumo in its primary submission. In addition, Lumo opposes the additional standards sought by Waka Kotahi, which are unnecessarily onerous and difficult to enforce, particularly in respect of restricting billboards within 100m of an intersection or where another digital billboard is located within the driver's field of wision. Lumo opposes the standard requested by Waka Kotahi which would require the dwell time of each message displayed by a digital billboard to be determined by the proportion of drivers who could see an image change. Such an approach is variable and impractical to implement from an operational perspective, and is not justified relative to any adverse effects. Lumo supports the relief sought to delete the restriction on the use of a 'dissolve' between messages displayed on a digital billboard, consistent with the relief sought by Lumo in its primary submission.	Disallow	Accept in part.	Yes.
Out Of Home Media Association of Aotearoa (OOHMAA)	FS125.16	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S8	Oppose	OOHMAA opposes the amendments sought by the submission. In its primary submission, OOHMAA requests amendments to Standard SIGN-S8. The relief sought by Waka Kotahi is opposed, insofar as it is inconsistent with the relief sought by OOHMAA in its primary submission. In addition, OOHMAA opposes the additional standards sought by Waka Kotahi, which are unnecessarily onerous and difficult to enforce, particularly in respect of restricting billboards within 100m of an intersection or where another digital billboard is located within the driver's field of vision. OOHMAA opposes the standard requested by Waka Kotahi which would require the dwell time of each message displayed by a digital billboard to be determined by the proportion of drivers who could see an image change. Such an approach is variable and impractical to implement from an operational perspective, and is not justified relative to any adverse effects.OOHMAA supports the relief sought to delete the restriction on the use of a 'dissolve' between messages displayed on a digital billboard, consistent with the relief sought by OOHMAA in its primary submission.		Accept in part.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 31 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Waka Kotahi	370.252	General District wide Matters / Signs / SiGN- S8	Amend	Additions and changes are requested to manage the effects of digital billboards. As per the submitters interpretation of \$7.2, which the submitter supports, the standard should be amended to restrict digital billboards within 100m of an intersection. In addition, the submitter considers that drivers should not be able to see more than one digital billboard at any one time. Waka Kotahi recommends that no digital billboards are located in environments where the posted speed limit is 70km/h or higher, as evidence does find a statistically significant increase in crashes in the presence of digital billboards in higher speed environments. Waka Kotahi also Considers that dwell time should be determined based on the principle that no more than 5% of drivers should view an image change. 15 seconds may be appropriate in some circumstances, but each should be assessed on a case by case basis. Dissolving of images is preferred to flashing, blinking, fading, or scrolling.	Amend SIGN-S8 (Digital signs) as follows: 1. Digital signs must not: e. Contain phone numbers, email addresses, web addresses, physical addresses, e+contact details or loggos; f. Contain more than 40 characters; or g. Be oriented to be read from located adjacent to a State Highway, including on ramps and off ramps. h. Impair the ability of Air Traffic Control to guide aircraft, or pilots to operate aircraft. i. be located within 100m of an intersection j. be located where there are any other digital billboards in a driver's field of vision. k. be oriented to be read from any road where the posted speed limit exceeds 70km/h 2. Each image on a digital sign shall: a. Be static only; b. Be displayed for a minimum of 15 seconds for roads with posted speed limits of less than and equal to 80km/h, and an appropriate dwell time determined so that no more than 5 per cent of drivers are exposed to image changes, and a minimum of 35 seconds for roads with a posted speed limit of greater than 80km/h; c. Transition to another image within 0.1 to 0.5 seconds; and d. Transition to another image without flashing, blinking, fading, or scrolling, or dissolving.	Accept in part.	Yes.
KiwiRail Holdings	FS72.84	Part 2 / General District	Support	Supports restricting signs from being located within 100m of an intersection which includes level	Allow	Accept iii part.	163.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	F5124.17	wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S8 Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S8		crossings. Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington City; and (f) is the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Lumo opposes the amendments sought by the submission. In its primary submission, Lumo requests amendments to Standard SIGN-S8. The relief sought by Waka Kotahi is opposed, insofar as it is inconsistent with the relief sought by Lumo in its primary submission. In addition, Lumo opposes the additional standards sought by Waka Kotahi, which are unnecessarily onerous and difficult to enforce, particularly in respect of restricting billboards within 100m of an intersection or where another digital billiboard is located within the driver's field of vision. Lumo opposes the standard requested by Waka Kotahi which would require the dwell time of each message displayed by a digital billboard to be determined by the proportion of drivers who could see an image change. Such an approach is variable and impractical to implement from an operational perspective, and is not justified relative to any adverse effects. Lumo supports the relief sought to delete the restriction on the use of a 'dissolve' between messages displayed on a digital billboard, consistent with the relief sought by Lumo in its primary submission.	Disallow / Disallow the relief sought, except allow the relief sought in respect of deleting "or dissolving" in SIGN-S8.2.d.	Accept in part.	no.
Out Of Home Media Association of Aotearoa (OOHMAA)	FS125.17	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S8	Oppose	OOHMAA opposes the amendments sought by the submission. In its primary submission, OOHMAA requests amendments to Standard SIGN-S8. The relief sought by Waka Kotahi is opposed, insofar as it is inconsistent with the relief sought by OOHMAA in its primary submission. In addition, OOHMAA opposes the additional standards sought by Waka Kotahi, which are unnecessarily onerous and difficult to enforce, particularly in respect of restricting billboards within 100m of an intersection or where another digital billboard is located within the driver's field of vision. OOHMAA opposes the standard requested by Waka Kotahi which would require the dwell time of each message displayed by a digital billboard to be determined by the proportion of drivers who could see an image change. Such an approach is variable and impractical to implement from an operational perspective, and is not justified relative to any adverse effects.OOHMAA supports the relief sought to delete the restriction on the use of a 'dissolve' between messages displayed on a digital billboard, consistent with the relief sought by OOHMAA in its primary submission.		Accept in part.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 32 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington	406.487		Support in	Opposes SIGN-S5 (Signs located on a building or structure) insofar as it relates to the Airport Zone.	Retain SIGN-S8 (Digital Signs) as notified and seeks amendment.		
International Airport		Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-	part				
Ltd		S8		Considers that many airport buildings are utilitarian in appearance due to their operational or			
				functional requirements. Signs can therefore enhance the facade and appearance of the building.			
				[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]			
						Accept.	Yes.
Wellington	406.488	General District wide	Amend	Opposes SIGN-S5 (Signs located on a building or structure) insofar as it relates to the Airport Zone.	Amend SIGN-S8 (Digital Signs) as follows:	Necepti	103.
International Airport		Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-					
Ltd		S8		Considers that many airport buildings are utilitarian in appearance due to their operational or			
				functional requirements. Signs can therefore enhance the facade and appearance of the building.			
					Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed:		
				[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]			
					Visual amenity effects;		
					2. The impact effect of the sign on aircraft safety or the safe and efficient functioning of the Airport;		
					The impacteffect of the sign on traffic, pedestrian and cycling safety;		
					···		
						Accept.	Yes.
Out of Home Media	284.40	General District wide	Amend	Considers that SIGN-S9 standards for 'illuminated signs' are confusing and are difficult for the	Amend SIGN-S9 (Illuminated signs) as follows:		
Association of		Matters / Signs / SIGN-		average user of a District Plan to understand or to apply.			
Aotearoa		S9			All zones		
				The submitter considers it is more appropriate for a standard to establish a limit or threshold	Illuminated Signs		
				without reference to other technical standards.	Any illuminated sign must be designed, measured and assessed in accordance with AS/NZS- 4282:2019 Control of the obtrusive effects of outdoor lighting. Any illuminated sign which is lit		
				The submitter notes that the Auckland Unitary Plan contains standards to manage the effects of sign	ŭ ŭ <u></u>		
				illuminance. The submitter considers that these standards should be applied.	meerially or by excellent means (excluding digital signal) maste		
					a. Not be lit with an upwardly facing light source;		
				The submitter considers that SIGN-S9 also repeats the illumination standards for digital signs, which	b. Not exceed a luminance of 800cd/m2 when lit by an artificial light source between dusk and		
				are already provided within Rule SIGN-S8. Standard SIGN-S9 instead relates to the illumination of	dawn; or		
				non-digital signs.	c. Be designed to reduce any glare or direct view of the light source when viewed by an observer at		
					ground level 2 metres or more away from the illuminated sign.		
					2. The Light standards for the relevant zone in the Light Chapter must be met.		
					3. Illumination of any sign shall:		
					a Automatically adjust to allow for ambient light levels, and		
					a. Automatically adjust to allow for ambient light levels; and b. Not result in the illuminance of a roadway by over 4 lux in residential and rural areas and		
					20 lux in all other areas; and		
					c. Shall not exceed:		
					i . Daytime: 5,000cd/m2		
					ii. Dawn and dusk: 600cd/m2		
M/-l M-+-h: N7	FC402.40	D-+2/C D: : : :	0	Webs Katabi annual the modifies of CICN CO annuitation and annuitation to the	iii. Night time: 250cd/m2	Reject.	No.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS103.49	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs /	Oppose	Waka Kotahi supports the wording of SIGN-S9 as notified, and considers that illuminated signage should comply with the appropriate lighting standard AS/NZS4282:2019.	Disallow		

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 33 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.40	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Amend	Considers that SIGN-59 standards for 'Illuminated signs' are confusing and are difficult for the average user of a District Plan to understand or to apply.	Amend SIGN-S9 (Illuminated signs) as follows:		
		S9	1		All zones		
			1	The submitter considers it is more appropriate for a standard to establish a limit or threshold	Illuminated Signs		
				without reference to other technical standards.	1. Any illuminated sign must be designed, measured and assessed in accordance with AS/NZS-		
					4282:2019 Control of the obtrusive effects of outdoor lighting. Any illuminated sign which is lit		
				The submitter notes that the Auckland Unitary Plan contains standards to manage the effects of sign illuminance. The submitter considers that these standards should be applied.	internally or by external means (excluding digital signs), must:		
					a. Not be lit with an upwardly facing light source;		
				The submitter considers that SIGN-S9 also repeats the illumination standards for digital signs, which	b. Not exceed a luminance of 800cd/m2 when lit by an artificial light source between dusk and		
				are already provided within Rule SIGN-S8. Standard SIGN-S9 instead relates to the illumination of	dawn; or		
				non-digital signs.	c. Be designed to reduce any glare or direct view of the light source when viewed by an observer at		
					ground level 2 metres or more away from the illuminated sign.		
					2. The Light standards for the relevant zone in the Light Chapter must be met.		
1					3. Illumination of any sign shall:		
					a. Automatically adjust to allow for ambient light levels; and		
					b. Not result in the illuminance of a roadway by over 4 lux in residential and rural areas and		
					20 lux in all other areas; and		
					c. Shall not exceed:		
			1		i. Daytime: 5,000cd/m2		
					ii. Dawn and dusk: 600cd/m2		
					iii. Night time: 250cd/m2	Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands	349.54	General District wide	Support	Support	Retain SIGN-S9 (Illuminated signs) as notified.		
Limited		Matters / Signs / SIGN-					
		S9				Accept in part.	No.
Wellington	406.489	General District wide	Oppose in	Opposes SIGN-S9 in part.	Opposes SIGN-S9 (Illuminated Signs) and seeks amendment.		
International Airport		Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-	part	[5]			.,
Ltd	406 400	S9	A	[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]	Annual CICAL CO (III) and and Cicara and Cicara	Accept.	Yes.
Wellington International Airport	406.490	General District wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-	Amend	Opposes SIGN-S9 in part.	Amend SIGN-S9 (Illuminated Signs) as follows:		
Ltd		sq		[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]	SIGN-S9 Illuminated Signs		
				[See paragraphs 11265 to 11266 of original submission for fair reason]	STON SS Manimated Signs		
					4. Illuminated signs must not impair the ability of Air Traffic Control to guide aircraft, or pilots to		
					operate aircraft.		
					Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed:		
1			1		7. The timing and hours of operation of the sign. and		
					8. Any light spill or glare effects.		
			1		9. The timing and house of operation of the sign.		
			1		10. Any light spill or glare effects; and		
					11. The effect of the sign on aircraft safety or the efficient functioning of the Airport.	Accept.	Yes.
Airways Corporation of	FS105.22	Part 2 / General District	Support	This submission point is consistent with Airways' operations and its core functions.	Allow		
New Zealand Limited		wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-S9				Accept.	Yes.
Massey University	253.14	General District wide	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain SIGN-S10 (Temporary signs) as notified.	посери	1.03.
	-55.27	Matters / Signs / SIGN-	-appoin	pro- specific resident serving decision requested from to original submission;	The state of the s		
		S10	1			Accept.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.253	General District wide	Support in	SIGN-S10 is partially supported and amendments are sought.	Retain SIGN-S10 (Temporary signs) with amendment.	·	
		Matters / Signs / SIGN-	part	_			
		S10				Reject.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.254	General District wide	Amend	Does not support temporary signs visible from the state highway as a permitted activity and	Amend SIGN-S10 (Temporary signs) as follows:		
		Matters / Signs / SIGN-	1	therefore request that SIGN-S10 is amended to restrict signs visible from the State Highway that can			
		S10		occur without consent. 60 days is a long time for a sign to be permitted without the approval of	advertising, and for no longer than 60 days in total.		
			1	Waka Kotahi.	2. The sign must be removed within 7 days of the completion of the event or activity.		
	1				3. The sign must not be oriented to be read from any state highway including on ramps and off		
					ramps.	Reject.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 34 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	70.30	General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-	Support in part	Supports SIGN-S12 provided it aligns with SIGN-R6 (Signs on heritage buildings, heritage structures and their sites, or on a site within a heritage area) by including reference to heritage areas.	Retain SIGN-512 (Signs on a heritage building or heritage structure) with amendment.		
		S12				Accept.	Yes.
Onslow Historical Society	FS6.20	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs /	Support	OHS supports robust provisions for protecting historic heritage from inappropriate activities.	Allow		
		SIGN-S12				Accept.	Yes.
Historic Places Wellington Inc	FS111.19	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S12	Support	HPW supports robust provisions for protecting historic heritage from inappropriate activities.	Allow	Accept.	Yes.
Heritage New Zealand	70.31	General District wide	Amend	Seeks alignment between SIGN-R6 (Signs on heritage buildings, heritage structures and their sites, o	Amend SIGN-S12 (Signs on a heritage building or heritage structure) as follows:	Ассерс.	ies.
Pouhere Taonga	70.51	Matters / Signs / SIGN- S12	, unend	on a site within a heritage area) and SIGN-S12 by including reference to heritage areas.	SIGN-S12: Signs on a heritage building or heritage structure or within a heritage area.	Accept.	Yes.
Onslow Historical	FS6.21	Part 2 / General District	Support	OHS supports robust provisions for protecting historic heritage from inappropriate activities.	Allow	лесере.	103.
Society		wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S12		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Accept.	Yes.
Historic Places	FS111.20	Part 2 / General District	Support	HPW supports robust provisions for protecting historic heritage from inappropriate activities.	Allow		1.22
Wellington Inc		wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S12				Accept.	Yes.
Wellington City Council	266.129	General District wide	Amend	Considers clarification is needed to SIGN-S12 (Signs on a heritage building or heritage structure).	Amend SIGN-S12 (Signs on a heritage building) as follows:	·	
		Matters / Signs / SIGN- S12		Considers the term "interpretative content" should be replaced with "interpretation" to match wording in the PDP.	2. The sign displays only:		
					()		
					b. Interpretative content Interpretation about the values and history of the building/object.	Accept.	Yes.
Wellington City Council	266.130	General District wide	Amend	Considers SIGN-R7 (Signs within the extent of a scheduled archaeological site or site of significance	Amend title of SIGN-S13 (Permitted signs within the extent of a scheduled archaeological site) as		
		Matters / Signs / SIGN-		to Māori) relates to archaeological sites and sites and areas of significance to Māori, but the	follows:		
		S13		standard referenced in this rule only refers to archaeological sites. This needs to be amended to			
				include sites and areas of significance to Māori.	Permitted signs within the extent of a scheduled archaeological site <u>or site and area of significance</u> to Māori.		
					to Maori.	Accept.	Yes.
Waka Kotahi	370.255	General District wide	Support in	SIGN-S12 is partially supported and amendments are sought.	Retain SIGN-S12 (Signs on a heritage building or heritage structure) with amendment.	лесере.	103.
		Matters / Signs / SIGN-	part				
		S12				Reject.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor	FS124.18	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Lumo opposes a blanket approach to applying more onerous provisions for signs and billboards that	Disallow		
Limited		wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S12		are visible from a state highway.		Accept in part.	No.
Out Of Home Media	FS125.18	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	OOHMAA opposes a blanket approach to applying more onerous provisions for signs and billboards	Disallow	recept in part.	110.
Association of		wide Matters / Signs /		that are visible from a state highway.			
Aotearoa (OOHMAA)		SIGN-S12				Accept in part.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.256	General District wide	Amend	Considers that as with SIGN-S5, there should be similar controls in SIGN-S12 on illumination for signs	Amend SIGN-S12 (Signs on a heritage building or heritage structure) as follows:		
		Matters / Signs / SIGN- S12		on a heritage building that are oriented to be read from the state highway network.	Where the sign is oriented to be read from the state highway network including on-ramps and off-		
		312			ramps, or is visible from any intersection with the state highway, the sign must not be internally		
					illuminated.	Reject.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor	FS124.19	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Lumo opposes a blanket approach to applying more onerous provisions for signs and billboards that	Disallow		
Limited		wide Matters / Signs /		are visible from a state highway.			
Out Of Here 11	FC42F 40	SIGN-S12	0	OCUBARA	Disellen	Accept in part.	No.
Out Of Home Media Association of	FS125.19	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	OOHMAA opposes a blanket approach to applying more onerous provisions for signs and billboards	DISAHOW		
Association of Aotearoa (OOHMAA)		wide Matters / Signs / SIGN-S12		that are visible from a state highway.		Accept in part.	No.
Wellington Heritage	412.70	General District wide	Amend	Considers that digital signs should not be a permitted activity due to the additional adverse affects	Amend SIGN-S12 (Signs on a heritage building or heritage structure) as follows:	puri	
Professionals		Matters / Signs / SIGN-		of illumination levels and rates of change as compared to static signage.			
		S12			Only one <u>static</u> sign is installed:		
					1. The size of the sign does not exceed 0.5m2; and		
Destaurant 2	240.55	Consent Division 11	Comm.	Constant	Details CICAL CAD (Described since within the system of the last o	Reject.	No.
Restaurant Brands Limited	349.55	General District wide	Support	Support	Retain SIGN-S13 (Permitted signs within the extent of a scheduled archaeological site) as notified.		
Linneu		Matters / Signs / SIGN- S13				Accept in part.	No.
Taranaki Whānui ki te	389.91	General District wide	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Seeks that SIGN-S13 (Permitted signs within the extent of a scheduled archaeological site) is	, recept in part.	
Upoko o te Ika		Matters / Signs / SIGN-		and the state of t	amended to include proximity to sites and areas of significance to Māori.		
	1	S13	1	I and the second	The state of the s	Accept.	Yes.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 35 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington	406.491	General District wide	Oppose	Opposes SIGN-S14 (Airport Zone signs and billboards).	Opposes SIGN-S14 (Airport Zne signs and billboards) and seeks amendment.		
International Airport Ltd		Matters / SIGNS / SIGN- S14		Considers that the blanket requirement for there to be no signs within the Airport East Side Designation should be deleted as it is unduly onerous and does not recognise or provide for the operational needs of the airport within this area.			
				Considers that the standard should remove all references to designations. The rules of the signage chapter (and all chapters) need to be stand alone			
				Considers that the standard should not duplicate controls addressed by other standards (for example, SIGN-S6).			
				Considers that the rule should not include additional constraints that are not required by other signage rules for example, SIGN-S14(4) and (5).			
				[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]		Accept in part.	Yes.
Wellington International Airport	406.492	General District wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-	Amend	Opposes SIGN-S14 (Airport Zone signs and billboards).	(Option A).	Accept in part.	Yes.
Ltd		S14		Considers that the blanket requirement for there to be no signs within the Airport East Side	Amend SIGN-S14 (Airport Zne signs and billboards) as follows:		
Guardians of the Bays	FS44.131	Part 2 / General District	Support	Considers amendments need to occur and support the changes to the East Side Precinct wording	Allow/		
Inc		wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-S14		however the removal of references to the designation is a bigger question of clarification with Wellington City on how a designation and District Plan work together on signs.	Supports amendment to SIGN-S14 (Airport Zne signs and billboards) in the East Side precinct, with the addition of the word 'small' as follows:		
					1. Any sign within the East Side Precinct shall be limited to small official signs and signs associated		
					instructional or directional signage.	Accept in part	No.
Guardians of the Bays	FS44.132	Part 2 / General District	Oppose	Considers amendments need to occur and support the changes to the East Side Precinct wording	Disallow / Seeks that the remainder of the submission be disallowed.		
Inc		wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-S14		however the removal of references to the designation is a bigger question of clarification with Wellington City on how a designation and District Plan work together on signs.			
						Reject.	No.
Wellington International Airport	406.493	General District wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-		Opposes SIGN-S14 (Airport Zone signs and billboards).	Delete SIGN-S14 (Airport Zone Signs and billboards) in its entirey. (Option B).		
Ltd		S14	part	Considers that the blanket requirement for there to be no signs within the Airport East Side Designation should be deleted as it is unduly onerous and does not recognise or provide for the operational needs of the airport within this area.			
				Considers that the standard should remove all references to designations. The rules of the signage chapter (and all chapters) need to be stand alone			
				Considers that the standard should not duplicate controls addressed by other standards (for example, SIGN-S6).			
				Considers that the rule should not include additional constraints that are not required by other signage rules for example, SIGN-S14(4) and (5).			
				[See paragraphs 4.103 to 4.106 of original submission for full reason]		Reject.	No.
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.133	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-S14	Support	Considers amendments need to occur and support the changes to the East Side Precinct wording however the removal of references to the designation is a bigger question of clarification with Wellington City on how a designation and District Plan work together on signs.	Allow / Supports amendment to SIGN-S14 (Airport Zne signs and billboards) in the East Side precinct, with the addition of the word 'small' as follows:		
					1. Any sign within the East Side Precinct shall be limited to small official signs and signs associated		
Guardians of the Bays	FS44.134	Part 2 / General District	Onnese	Considers amendments need to occur and support the changes to the East Side Precinct wording	instructional or directional signage. Disallow / Seeks that the remainder of the submission be disallowed.	Accept in part.	No.
Inc	1344.134	wide Matters / SIGNS / SIGN-S14	Оррозе	Considers amendments need to occur and support the changes to the East side Precinct wording however the removal of references to the designation is a bigger question of clarification with Wellington City on how a designation and District Plan work together on signs.	orsanow / Secas triac the remainder of the submission be disallowed.		
Go Media Ltd	236.2	Interpretation Subpart /	Amond	Considers that "Plain Wall Surface" in SIGN-S5.1 is open to interpretation.	Seeks a new definition of PLAIN WALL SURFACE.	Reject.	No.
GO Media Etd	230.2	Definitions / New	мпепа	considers that main wall surface in Sign-55.1 is open to interpretation.		Reject.	No.
	270.27	definition			[Inferred decision requested]		
Waka Kotahi	370.20	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / DIGITAL SIGN	Amend	Considers that an amendment is needed to include and/or between electronic graphics and text using electronic screens to make it clear that the clauses are not necessarily conjunctive.	Amend the definition of 'Digital Sign' as follows: means a sign which displays electronic graphics and/or text using electronic screens. Digital Signs	Reject.	No.
					can include both moving and static signage.		
Waka Kotahi	370.23	Interpretation Subpart /	Support	Supports the definition of illuminated sign.	Retain the definition of 'Illuminated Sign' as notified.		1
		Definitions / ILLUMINATED SIGN				Accept.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 36 of 37

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.12	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / OFFICIAL SIGN		Supports the definition of "official sign" as it aligns with HSNO, HSW Act 2015.	Retain the definition of "official sign" as notified.	Accept.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.26	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / OFFICIAL SIGN	Support in part	Supports the definition as it aligns with the NPS-UD.	Retain the definition of 'Official Sign', subject to amendments.	Accept in part.	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.27	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / OFFICIAL SIGN	l .	Considers that there are two definitions for official sign, and only one aligns with the national planning standard definitions.	Retain the definition of 'Official Sign' which aligns with the NPS-UD and delete the additional definition for 'Official Sign' as follows: means all signs required or provided for under any statute or regulation or are otherwise-related to aspects of public safety. Official signs include: traffic / pedestrian / cycling signs; airport signs; airport signs; port signs; and signs for the purpose of health and safety.	Accept in part.	No.
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.4	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / OFFICIAL SIGN		Supports the retention of one definition for 'Official sign' that aligns with the NPS-UD. Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington City; and (f) is the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA.		Reject.	No.
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.17	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / ONSITE SIGNS		[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain the Definition of 'On-site Signs' as notified.	Accept	No.
Waka Kotahi	370.35	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / SIGN	Support	Supports the definition of sign.	Retain the definition of 'Sign' as notified.	Accept	No.
BP Oil New Zealand, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited (the Fuel Companies)	372.20	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / SIGN	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain the Definition of 'Signs' as notified.	Accept	No.
Wellington City Counci	I 266.51	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / New definition	Amend	Considers there is no definition of "interpretation signs".	Add a new definition for 'Interpretation Signs' as follows: INTERPRETATION SIGNS means signs that provide information to the public on the environmental, historic, cultural or other values of an area, often with photos, drawings or maps. Consequential amendments throughout the PDP to reference new definition.	Accept.	Yes.

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 37 of 37

Wellington City Proposed District Plan

Hearing Stream 7- Signs - Further Submissions on Whole of Original Submission

Appendix B - Recommended Responses to Submissions and Further Submissions

Original Sub Number	Further Submitter Name	Further Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
284	JCDECAUX New Zealand Trading Limited	FS15.1		Supports the submission of Out of Home Media Association in its entirety for the following reasons: a. The PWDP proposed amendments to the signage provisions that are unduly prohibitive and will have a significant adverse effect on the out of home media / third party advertising (and the like) industry; and b. For all the reasons set out in OOHMAA's submission.	Allow the submission in its entirety.	Accept in part.	No.
284	Mediaworks Outdoor				Allow the submission in its entirety.	Accept in part.	No.
284	Simon	FS77.1			Allow the submission in its entirety.	Accept in part.	No.

Date of report: 20/02/2024
Page 1 of 27

Wellington City Proposed District Plan

Hearing Stream 7- Signs Design Guide

Appendix B

- Recommended Responses to Submissions and Further Submissions

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Go Media Ltd	236.37	Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Signs	Amend	Considers that requiring the confirmation of priority of principles in pre-application discussions will create ambiguity,	Seeks that the Signs Design Guide is amended to make prioritisation of each principle clear without owner or applicant having to enter preapplication discussions with the Council.		
		Design Guide				Accept in part.	Yes.
Go Media Ltd	236.38	Design Guides Subpart / Design Guides / Signs	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Seeks that G3 (Scale and Location of Signs) in the Signs Design Guide is amended to reflect that architectural features don't necessarily make a positive contribution to the building and local area.		
		Design Guide				Reject.	No.
Go Media Ltd	236.39	Design Guides Subpart /		Considers that the suggestion to proportion signs to grid locations as illustrated in Diagram 2 in Signs	Seeks that G3 (Scale and Location of Signs) in the Signs Design Guide is deleted or amended.		
		Design Guides / Signs Design Guide	specified	Design Guide can result in sign installations which alter the apparent proportion and form of the building.		Reject.	No.
Go Media Ltd	236.40	Design Guides Subpart /	Amond	Considers that G10 in the Signs Design Guide assumes that all architectural or other features of a	Seeks that G10 (Visual Dominance) in Signs Design Guide is deleted or amended to reflect that	Reject.	NO.
GO IVIEGIA ELU	230.40	Design Guides / Signs	Amenu	building make a contribution to the building or local area and this may not be the case.	architectural features don't necessarily make a positive contribution to the building and local area.		
		Design Guide		building make a contribution to the building of local area and this may not be the ease.	are intectaral reactives don't necessarily make a positive contribution to the ballating and local area.	Reject.	No.
Go Media Ltd	236.41	Design Guides Subpart /	Amend	Considers that G11 in the Signs Design Guide assumes that all architectural or other features of a	Seeks that G11 (Visual Dominance) in the Signs Design Guide is deleted or amended to reflect that	neject.	110.
		Design Guides / Signs		building make a contribution to the building or local area and this may not be the case.	architectural features don't necessarily make a positive contribution to the building and local area.		
		Design Guide				Reject.	No.
Go Media Ltd	236.42	Design Guides Subpart /	Amend	Considers that Guideline 15 may be appropriate response for some signs, but may not be the most	Seeks that G15 (Visual Dominance) in the Signs Design Guide is deleted or amended to make it only		
		Design Guides / Signs		appropriate response.	considered on a building by building basis.		
		Design Guide				Accept.	Yes.
Go Media Ltd	236.43	Design Guides Subpart /	Amend	Considers that different signs and designs may be appropriate, particularly on different elevations.	Seeks that G16 (Design Quality) in the Signs Design Guide is amended to be more specific to relate		
		Design Guides / Signs			more fully to the example within the Guideline.		
		Design Guide				Reject.	No.
Go Media Ltd	236.44	Design Guides Subpart /	Amend	Considers that G26 (Illuminated and digital signs) in the Signs Design Guide shows bias against	Seeks that G26 (Illuminated and digital signs) in the Signs Design Guide is amended to remove bias		
		Design Guides / Signs Design Guide		illuminated and digital signs.	and the potential for misinterpretation.	Reject.	No.
Out of Home Media	284.41	Design Guides Subpart /	Onnoco	Opposes parts of the Signs Design Guide that are not appropriately balanced to enable a site-by-site	Seeks that parts of the Signs Design Guide that are not appropriately balanced to enable a site-by-	Reject.	NO.
Association of	204.41	Design Guides / Signs	Oppose	consideration of signs and billboards relative to their context. The submitter opposes these	site consideration of signs and billboards relative to their context be deleted.		
Aotearoa		Design Guide		guidelines because the submitter considers that they will not:	site consideration of signs and binboards relative to their context be deleted.		
/ lotedrou		Design datae		-Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA;	[Inferred decision requested].		
				-Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District,	,		
				particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and			
				-Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the			
				efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.		Reject.	No.
Out of Home Media	284.42	Design Guides Subpart /	Oppose	Opposes the inclusion of a Design Guide for Signs for the following reasons:	Delete Signs Design Guide in Part 4 in its entirety.		
Association of		Design Guides / Signs		- 'Prioritisation' system between one and three dots, which considers establishes a set of 'pass or fail			
Aotearoa		Design Guide		requirements;			
				- Considers many of the guidelines replicate standards;			
				- Relationship between Design Guide and other statutory provisions of the District Plan will create an			
				overly complex framework; - 'Prioritisation system' will further restrict the design of signs rather than guiding their design.			
				- Prioritisation system will further restrict the design of signs rather than guiding their design.			
						Reject.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor	285.41	Design Guides Subpart /	Oppose	Opposes parts of the Signs Design Guide that are not appropriately balanced to enable a site-by-site	Seeks that parts of the Signs Design Guide that are not appropriately balanced to enable a site-by-	-,	
Limited		Design Guides / Signs		consideration of signs and billboards relative to their context. The submitter opposes these	site consideration of signs and billboards relative to their context be deleted.		
		Design Guide		guidelines because the submitter considers that they will not:			
				-Promote the sustainable management of resources and achieve the purpose of the RMA;	[Inferred decision requested].		
				-Enable the social, economic and cultural well-being of the community in the Wellington District,			
				particularly in terms of assisting the retention and generation of employment; and			
				-Represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions, having regard to the			
				efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means.		Reject.	No.
Lumo Digital Outdoor Limited	285.42	Design Guides Subpart /	Oppose	Opposes the inclusion of a Design Guide for Signs for the following reasons:	Delete Signs Design Guide in Part 4 in its entirety.		
Liniiteu		Design Guides / Signs	1	- 'Prioritisation' system between one and three dots, which considers establishes a set of 'pass or fail requirements;			
		Design Guide		- Considers many of the guidelines replicate standards;			
				- Relationship between Design Guide and other statutory provisions of the District Plan will create an			
				overly complex framework;			
				- 'Prioritisation system' will further restrict the design of signs rather than guiding their design.			

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 1 of 2

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Officers Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Restaurant Brands	349.1	Design Guides Subpart /	Oppose	Oppose	Delete Te Aratohu Hoahoa o Ngā Pokapū Whakamahinga Rau - Centres and Mixed Use Design Guide		
Limited		Design Guides / Signs			in its entirety.		
		Design Guide		The Signs Design Guide does not appropriately recognise or provide for the functional or operational			
				requirements of activities.			
				The Design Guide reads as a set of rules to be complied with, rather than guidelines to inform the			
				assessment of applications for resource consent and will result in an unnecessarily onerous and			
				unreasonable resource consent process.			
				The Design Guide imposes certain outcomes that are required to be achieved in every circumstances			
				and results in a "pass/fail" assessment being applied, resulting in an unnecessarily onerous and			
				unreasonable resource consent process.		Reject.	No.
Foodstuffs North Island	FS23.30	Design Guides Subpart /	Support	Submission point 349.1 supports FSNI submission points 476.1 and 476.102.	Allow	7	
		Design Guides / Signs					
		Design Guide				Reject.	No.
Taranaki Whānui ki te	389.139	Design Guides Subpart /	Amend	Considers that signs design guide does not contain any guidance on language used for signs or	Seeks to amend signs design guide, to include Taranaki Whānui in relation to te reo Māori and as		
Upoko o te Ika		Design Guides / Signs		requirements for use of Te Reo Māori.	first points of contact in relation to ahi kā and primary mana whenua status matters.		
		Design Guide				Reject.	No.
Wellington Heritage	412.96	Design Guides Subpart /	Amend	Considers that high illumination levels of digital signage can negatively impact historic heritage, in	Amend G28 of the Signs Design Guide to receive a three or two "point" rating.		
Professionals		Design Guides / Signs		addition to other matters such as driver and pedestrian distraction and that his guidance should be			
		Design Guide		strengthened.		Reject.	No.
Wellington Heritage	412.97	Design Guides Subpart /	Amend	Considers that a frequent rate of change (short dwell times) and rapid transition between content	Add a new guideline as G29 as follows with a three or two "point" rating:		
Professionals		Design Guides / Signs		can detract from heritage places,	"Ensure the rate of change and transition times are appropriate to the context of the sign"		
		Design Guide		as well as causing distraction from drivers.		Reject.	No.
Wellington Heritage	412.98	Design Guides Subpart /	Support	Supports the assessment of the visual impacts of signs from a "full range of distances", and the	Retain G9 of the Signsesign Guide as notified.		
Professionals		Design Guides / Signs		associated requirement to provide views of these in the application.			
		Design Guide				Accept.	No.
Go Media Ltd	236.35	Design Guides Subpart /	1	Considers that the signs design guide is broad and open to interpretation.	Not specified.		
		Design Guides / Design	specified			Reject.	No.
		Guides General					

Date of report: 20/02/2024 Page 2 of 2