

**BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT HEARINGS PANEL AT WELLINGTON CITY I MUANGĀ
KAIKŌMIHANA WHAKAWĀ MOTUHAKE NGĀMOTU**

UNDER THE Resource Management Act 1991

IN THE MATTER OF the hearing of submissions on the Proposed Wellington City Plan

(Hearing Stream 3)

**REBUTTAL EVIDENCE OF AMANDA MULLIGAN AND MICHAEL KELLY ON
BEHALF OF WELLINGTON HERITAGE PROFESSIONALS**

1. We have read the evidence provided by Frank Costello for Go Media.
2. We note that Go Media seeks to change G22 in the heritage design guide from:
 - a. G22. Illuminated and digital signs should be carefully considered. If signs are to be lit, it is recommended that they are illuminated by external lighting.
3. to:
 - a. G22. Illuminated and digital signs can support the use and maintenance of heritage buildings. Methods to incorporate digital signs within a heritage building may include locating the sign below parapets, utilising screens of less than 500mm thickness and including historical site imagery on rotations.
4. While we agree that illuminated and digital signs can (in some instances) support heritage buildings, the adverse effects they have on heritage buildings and heritage areas can be significant.
5. Therefore our view is that G22 should be retained as notified.

Amanda Mulligan

3 May 2023

Michael Kelly

3 May 2023