

## Erratum to Mr Josh Patterson's Right of Reply

### Replacement Paragraph 8

Paragraph 8 had an error that altered the meaning from what was intended, and the corrected version is as follows:

8           In recommending their proposed height increases, Kāinga Ora have relied heavily on Policy 3 of the NPS-UD which, among other matters, states that at least six storeys should be enabled within at least a walkable catchment of rapid transit stops, the edge of city centre zones, and the edge of the metropolitan centre zones. I consider that Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities have not given enough consideration to other parts of the NPS-UD and the purpose of the RMA. In addition, I cannot see any evidence that Kāinga Ora have considered Policy 3(d) of the NPS-UD. This states that within neighbourhood centre zones, local centre zones, and town centre zones (or equivalent), building heights and densities should be commensurate with the level of commercial activity and community services that are offered. In many cases, as described in what follows, Kāinga Ora have proposed large height increases and expansions to the high-density zones in and around centres which cannot accommodate the level of intensification proposed. An example of this is height increases around Khandallah and Ngaio where the centres are limited in scale. As a result, I consider that Kāinga Ora have proposed heights and densities well in excess of what policy 3(d) suggests is appropriate for these centres.