

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Meridian Energy Limited	228.96	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Oppose in part	Considers that the text in the introduction describing Wellington's coastline is only partially accurate. The description fails to acknowledge the presence of the turbines, roads and other built facilities in the West Wind and Mill Creek wind farms. Considers in this respect the description inaccurately depicts the actual character of the visible backdrop to the Moana Raukawa coastal environment as 'largely undeveloped'.	Retain the Introduction to the Coastal Environment chapter, with amendment.	Accept in part	Yes
Meridian Energy Limited	228.97	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Amend	Considers that the text in the introduction describing Wellington's coastline is only partially accurate. The description fails to acknowledge the presence of the turbines, roads and other built facilities in the West Wind and Mill Creek wind farms. Considers in this respect the description inaccurately depicts the actual character of the visible backdrop to the Moana Raukawa coastal environment as 'largely undeveloped'.	Amend the text description in the introduction to read as follows (or similar) to acknowledge the presence of the built structures in the West Wind and Mill Creek wind farms: Wellington City's coastline extends for over 100 kilometers kilometres . The western and southern parts of this coastline are largely undeveloped. Narrow shore platforms and steep escarpment and cliff faces are typical along this part of the coastline, where exposure to rigorous environmental conditions has helped shape rugged landforms. <u>Many areas of Wellington's rural coastal environment are largely undeveloped (for example, the west-facing and south-facing escarpments adjacent to Raukawa Moana (Cook's Strait) west of Owhiro Bay). Parts of the rural environment above the coastal escarpments have been modified by development (for example, by the establishment of the West Wind and Mill Creek wind farms which now form part of the existing environment). At the same time</u> The urban areas of the coastal environment have been heavily modified, with public roads present nearly the entire length of the coastline around the harbour from Sinclair Head to Petone, with <u>and</u> residential and commercial development having modified the natural character throughout this area.	Accept in part	Yes
Meridian Energy Limited	228.98	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Oppose in part	Considers the intention of the Plan to be that the rules for renewable electricity generation activities, structures and buildings would be wholly contained in the REG chapter. Meridian supports this approach. Considers The note in the preamble is not entirely helpful in clarifying this. Considers the standards listed for activities in the coastal environment are entirely inappropriate for renewable electricity generation activities and structures and should not be construed as a 'permitted baseline' for renewable electricity generation activities there, and particularly not for existing wind farms.	Retain the Introduction to the Coastal Environment Rules chapter with amendment.	Accept in part	Yes
Meridian Energy Limited	228.99	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Amend	Considers the intention of the Plan to be that the rules for renewable electricity generation activities, structures and buildings would be wholly contained in the REG chapter. Meridian supports this approach. Considers The note in the preamble is not entirely helpful in clarifying this. Considers the standards listed for activities in the coastal environment are entirely inappropriate for renewable electricity generation activities and structures and should not be construed as a 'permitted baseline' for renewable electricity generation activities there, and particularly not for existing wind farms.	Amend the Introduction to Chapter CE Coastal Environment Rules, by inserting, under the heading 'Other relevant District Plan provisions', the following (or similar) clarification note: <u>The rules applicable to renewable electricity generation activities in the coastal environment, including in areas of high and very high coastal natural character, are contained in Chapter REG Renewable Electricity Generation. The rules in Chapter CE Coastal Environment do not apply to renewable electricity generation activities in the coastal environment, including in areas of high and very high coastal natural character in the coastal environment.</u>	Accept in part	Yes
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.42	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Oppose in part	Opposes parts of the Coastal Overlay as it relates to part of the exiting Horokiwi quarry site. An amended boundary is sought given the nature of the existing quarrying activities undertaken and modified nature of the environment. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachments]	Seeks that the coastal environment line as it relates to Horokiwi is amended. [Refer to original submission, including figure and attachments]	Accept in part	Yes

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Poneke Architects	292.4	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Oppose	Opposes the Coastal Environment provisions as these are too broad and will effectively stop development in Wellington.	Delete the Coastal Environment chapter in its entirety. [Inferred decision requested]	Reject	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.19	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain the 'Coastal Environment' chapter as notified.	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.21	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Oppose	Activities related to mining and quarrying within the coastal environment mentioned in CE-P9 are opposed.	Seeks that Mining and quarrying activities within the coastal environment not be permitted. [Inferred decision requested]	Reject	No
Horokiwi Quarries Limited	FS28.12	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Oppose	Policy CE-P9 provides a policy framework for quarrying and mining activities within the coastal environment as opposed to activity status. The reasoning for the relief sought by the submitter is not clear.	Disallow	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.22	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Oppose	Mining and quarrying activities within the coastal environment mentioned in CE-R10 and CE-R11 are opposed.	Not specified	Reject	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.290	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Support in part	General comment on all rules - Notes policy 13 NZCPS requires that significant adverse effects are avoided in all natural character areas in the coastal environment, not only high natural character. As such, for any rule that applies to areas of high natural character, Forest & Bird seeks that it applies in any area of natural character.	Amend all rules to refer to all areas of "natural character", not only areas of "high natural character".	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.82	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Accept	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.148	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Oppose	Considers that the original submission point refers to 'all rules'. Meridian notes that the structure of the Plan is that the rules that apply to renewable electricity generation activities are entirely contained in the REG chapter (and Meridian has requested the insertion of notes that clarify this intention throughout the Plan). The original submission point is opposed to the extent that it seeks to cut across the Plan's intention.	Disallow / Disallow the requested amendments as they relate to the REG chapter.	Accept	No
CentrePort Limited	402.113	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Amend	Considers that there are also objectives and policies relating to the Port Zone which are relevant to the Coastal Environment chapter.	Amend explanatory statement in the introduction of the Coastal Environment chapter as follows: Provisions relating to infrastructure within the coastal environment are located in the INF-CE sub-chapter and in the Special Purpose Port Zone. The provisions in the INF-CE chapter apply in addition to the general provisions of the infrastructure chapter.	Accept in part	Yes
CentrePort Limited	402.114	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Support in part	Considers that there are also objectives and policies relating to the Port Zone which are relevant to the Coastal Environment chapter.	Retain the explanatory statement in the introduction of the Coastal Environment chapter, with amendment.	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.284	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Support in part	Supports the recognition afforded to Wellington International Airport within the introductory text. Considers that the introductory text should also reference the relevant enabling provisions within the NZCPS relating to the operational and functional needs of infrastructure. The area of Natural Open Space Zone between Lyall Bay and Moa Point is highly modified and includes an extensive seawall that is located within the coastal margin. This wall protects regionally significant infrastructure from coastal erosion, including the WCC wastewater network and Wellington International Airport. It also protects Moa Point Road. As per the approach used for the Port and City Centre Zone, the relevant coastal margin provisions should not apply to this area.	Retain Coastal Environment chapter introduction as notified, and seeks amendment.	Accept in part	Yes

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.65	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Oppose	Considers that Natural Open Space Zone between Lyall Bay and Moa Point should be retained within the Airport Zone. All amended changes are not required. The airport is a natural coastal environment. It is a complex environment which requires the NZCPS and Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement for land below MHWS.	Disallow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.285	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Amend	<p>Supports the recognition afforded to Wellington International Airport within the introductory text.</p> <p>Considers that the introductory text should also reference the relevant enabling provisions within the NZCPS relating to the operational and functional needs of infrastructure.</p> <p>The area of Natural Open Space Zone between Lyall Bay and Moa Point is highly modified and includes an extensive seawall that is located within the coastal margin. This wall protects regionally significant infrastructure from coastal erosion, including the WCC wastewater network and Wellington International Airport. It also protects Moa Point Road. As per the approach used for the Port and City Centre Zone, the relevant coastal margin provisions should not apply to this area.</p>	<p>Amend Coastal Environment chapter introduction as follows:</p> <p>...</p> <p>The coastal and riparian margin provisions do not apply in highly modified areas like the <u>Airport Zone, Port Zone, the City Centre Zone, or the area of Natural Open Space Zone located between Lyall Bay and Moa Point.</u></p> <p>...</p> <p>Any activities within the City Centre Zone or are associated with the Wellington Airport, operational port activities, passenger port facilities and rail activities are assessed against their own specific objectives, policies and rules <u>contained in Part 3</u>. This is in recognition of the social and economic benefits these activities have and that their position in the City is largely fixed <u>as well as the policy directives of the NZCPS and RPS that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure.</u></p> <p>...</p>	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.66	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Oppose	Considers that Natural Open Space Zone between Lyall Bay and Moa Point should be retained within the Airport Zone. All amended changes are not required. The airport is a natural coastal environment. It is a complex environment which requires the NZCPS and Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement for land below MHWS.	Disallow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.286	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Oppose	<p>Submitter acknowledges its siting within the coastal environment, as defined by the NZCPS and the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement.</p> <p>Submitter expresses concern that the complex relationship between the Coastal Environment, Infrastructure and Airport Zone provisions creates an inefficient consenting pathway for airport and airport related activities.</p>	Opposes the Coastal Environment overlay at the Airport Zone.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.287	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Amend	<p>Submitter acknowledges its siting within the coastal environment, as defined by the NZCPS and the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement.</p> <p>Submitter expresses concern that the complex relationship between the Coastal Environment, Infrastructure and Airport Zone provisions creates an inefficient consenting pathway for airport and airport related activities.</p>	Seeks that the Coastal Environment overlay is removed from the Airport Zone.	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.288	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Amend	<p>Submitter acknowledges its siting within the coastal environment, as defined by the NZCPS and the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement.</p> <p>Submitter expresses concern that the complex relationship between the Coastal Environment, Infrastructure and Airport Zone provisions creates an inefficient consenting pathway for airport and airport related activities.</p>	Seeks that the relationship and consenting pathway for activities within the coastal environment (insofar as they relate to activities undertaken within the Airport Zone) are enabled, streamlined and reflective of the existing environment.	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.289	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Amend	<p>Considers that the Coastal Environment chapter unnecessarily duplicates controls found elsewhere in the PDP.</p> <p>[See original submission for full reason]</p>	Seeks that the coastal environment chapter and the associated infrastructure within the coastal environment chapter should be reworked to focus on effects that specifically relate to the coastal environment and have not already been addressed, or cannot otherwise be addressed, by the underlying land use zone.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.290	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / General CE	Amend	<p>Considers that the Coastal Environment chapter unnecessarily duplicates controls found elsewhere in the PDP.</p> <p>[See original submission for full reason]</p>	Seeks that the coastal environment chapter is amended to give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those provisions that recognise the functional and operational requirements of activities (such as infrastructure) to locate within these areas and the associated management of effects.	Reject	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.291	General District wide Matters / Coastal	Amend	Considers the plan does not appear to include provisions to give effect to policy 13(1)(b) NZCPS. Seeks new objective, policy, and rules to protect areas of outstanding natural character in the coastal environment, in accordance with policy 13 NZCPS.	Add new objective CE-OX, policy CE-PX, and rule CE-RX to give effect to policy 13(1)(b) of the NZ Coastal Policy Statement to protect areas of outstanding natural character in the coastal environment.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.83	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / New CE	Oppose	<p>WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure; 	Disallow	Accept	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.149	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / New CE	Oppose	Considers that the framework of this Plan is that ONFLs, including ONFLs in the coastal environment, are identified in the relevant Schedule and the objectives and policies are set out in the NFL chapter. The NFL chapter policies already provide the protection requested.	Disallow	Accept	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.292	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / New CE	Amend	Seeks a new policy for outstanding natural character in the coastal environment to give effect to policy 13(1)(a) NZCPS. We also seek rules to give effect to that policy. Considers this section of the plan should also deal with areas of outstanding natural character in the landward coastal environment. It does not appear to be dealt with in the Natural Character chapter, which is only about rivers and riparian margins.	Add new policy CE-PX and rule CE-Rx to give effect to policy 13(1)(a) of the NZ Coastal Policy statement with regarding to outstanding natural character in the coastal environment and landward coastal environment.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.84	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / New CE	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Accept	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.150	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / New CE	Oppose	Considers that the framework of this Plan is that ONFLs, including ONFLs in the coastal environment, are identified in the relevant Schedule and the objectives and policies are set out in the NFL chapter. The NFL chapter policies already provide the protection requested.	Disallow	Accept	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.293	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / New CE	Amend	Seeks a new policy to give effect to policy 11 outside of SNAs. Notes that policy 11 is partially given effect to in the coastal environment by way of the ECO chapter policies, however, those policies only apply to identified SNAs. There may be other areas in the coastal environment, particularly within areas of natural character, that have biodiversity that is required to be protected under policy 11. Therefore considers a separate policy is required to ensure that policy 11 is given effect to in these areas.	Add new policy CE-PX to give effect to policy 11 of the NZ Coastal Policy Statement outside Significant Natural Areas and within the coastal environment.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.85	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / New CE	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Accept	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.151	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / New CE	Oppose	Considers that NZCPS Policy 11 addresses significant indigenous biological diversity in the coastal environment. The ECO chapter addresses NZCPS Policy 11 matters in relation to activities within SNAs in the coastal environment. Meridian accepts that the ECO chapter does not address NZCPS Policy 11 values outside SNAs. Considers that it is not possible to comment in any further detail in the absence of any explicit wording proposal. It may be that amendment to the ECO chapter is necessary to address this point.	Not specified / Seeks that any amendments be detailed for consideration.	Accept	No
Meridian Energy Limited	228.100	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-01	Oppose in part	Considers that together with the description of the natural character of the coastal environment along Raukawa Moana in Schedule SCHED10, Objective CE-01 (Coastal environment) fails to acknowledge the presence of the existing modifications (including buildings and structures) made by the West Wind and Mill Creek wind farms. Seeks amendments to more accurately describe the modified natural character of these parts of the coastal environment in SCHED10 (Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes), in the description of the coastal environment in Chapter CE Coastal Environment and in the objectives of Chapter CE Coastal Environment, including CE-01.	Retain Objective CE-01 (Coastal environment) with amendment to acknowledge the presence of the built structures in the West Wind and Mill Creek wind farms:	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	228.101	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-01	Amend	Considers that together with the description of the natural character of the coastal environment along Raukawa Moana in Schedule SCHED10 (Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes), Objective CE-01 (Coastal environment) fails to acknowledge the presence of the existing modifications (including buildings and structures) made by the West Wind and Mill Creek wind farms. Seeks amendments to more accurately describe the modified natural character of these parts of the coastal environment in SCHED10, in the description of the coastal environment in Chapter CE Coastal Environment and in the objectives of Chapter CE Coastal Environment, including CE-01.	Amend Objective CE-01 (Coastal environment) to read as follows (or similar) to acknowledge the presence of the built structures in the West Wind and Mill Creek wind farms: The natural character and qualities that contribute to the natural character within the landward extent of the coastal environment are maintained and, where appropriate, restored or enhanced, <u>recognising the presence of existing renewable electricity generation activities and the importance of the renewable electricity generation resource in the coastal environment.</u>	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	228.102	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-01	Amend	Considers that together with the description of the natural character of the coastal environment along Raukawa Moana in Schedule SCHED10 (Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes), Objective CE-01 (Coastal environment) fails to acknowledge the presence of the existing modifications (including buildings and structures) made by the West Wind and Mill Creek wind farms. Seeks amendments to more accurately describe the modified natural character of these parts of the coastal environment in SCHED10, in the description of the coastal environment in Chapter CE Coastal Environment and in the objectives of Chapter CE Coastal Environment, including CE-01.	Add into the Chapter CE Coastal Environment or Chapter REG Renewable Electricity Generation, as a second option to amending Objective CE-01, a new separate objective that acknowledges the presence of and recognises the benefits of the existing wind farms within the coastal environment and within the backdrop to the mapped coastal environment as follows (or similar to achieve the same outcome): <u>Objective [XX-01]:</u> <u>The benefits of the existing wind farms along Wellington's coastline are recognised and their generation capacity is optimised.</u>	Reject	No
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.43	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-01	Support	Supports Objective CE-01 .	Retain CE-01 (Coastal environment) as notified.	Accept in part	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.294	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-01	Support	Supports this objective. However, the policies do not give effect to it, as they are limited to areas of high natural character only. Seeks that the policies give effect to this objective.	Retain CE-01 (Coastal environment) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.86	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-01	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Reject	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.196	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-01	Support in part	Support the intent of Objective CE-01 to preserve and protect natural character ratings across the landward extent of the coastal environment.	Retain provision, subject to amendments, as outlined other submission points.	Accept in part	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.197	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-01	Amend	Considers that rather than just in high natural character areas/sites of high natural character; this approach gives effect to the intent of NZCPS Policy 13(1)(b). However, we request amendments to the wording of Objective CE-01 to be more aligned with NZCPS Policies 13 and 14 consistently across the PDP, as provided in the requested amendments. This is important as 'preserved' and 'protected' are the terms used in NZCPS Policy 13 and section 6(a) of the RMA and are more directive than the term 'maintained' in terms of the outcome to be achieved. The submitter notes that the outcome of Objective CE-01 cannot currently be achieved by the plan provisions, given natural character ratings have not been scheduled at the area scale across the full extent of the coastal environment. The relevant policies (such as CE-P5) also do not seek to manage the effects of development on natural character values across the full extent of the landward coastal environment. Mapping and scheduling area scale natural character ratings will ensure the appropriate plan provisions are included in the approach to ensure CE-01 can be achieved and the provisions better give effect to NZCPS Policy 13(1)(b).	Seeks to amend to align with NZCPS Policies 13 and 15, specifically to reflect the requirement to "preserve" and "protect" natural character as follows. The natural character and qualities that contribute to the natural character within the landward extent of the coastal environment are maintained <u>preserved and protected</u> and, where appropriate, restored or enhanced <u>rehabilitated</u> .	Accept in part	Yes

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.152	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-O1	Oppose	Considers that it is not accurate to say that the NZCPS requires preservation in an absolute sense. The NZCPS qualifies its use of the word 'preserve' in accordance with s. 6 (a) of the RMA. If the word 'protected' is to be used, it should give proper effect to s. 6 (a) of the RMA and also include the words 'protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development'. The word 'maintain' works in the context of Objective CE-O1.	Disallow / Disallow the requested amendments unless the word 'protected' is qualified as intended by the RMA and includes the words 'protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development'. In the absence of explicit wording of requested relief, disallow the submission point's request for 'any other consequential amendments required'. There is simply insufficient detail.	Reject	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.198	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-O1	Amend	<p>Considers that rather than just in high natural character areas/sites of high natural character; this approach gives effect to the intent of NZCPS Policy 13(1)(b). However, we request amendments to the wording of Objective CE-O1 to be more aligned with NZCPS Policies 13 and 14 consistently across the PDP, as provided in the requested amendments. This is important as 'preserved' and 'protected' are the terms used in NZCPS Policy 13 and section 6(a) of the RMA and are more directive than the term 'maintained' in terms of the outcome to be achieved.</p> <p>The submitter notes that the outcome of Objective CE-O1 cannot currently be achieved by the plan provisions, given natural character ratings have not been scheduled at the area scale across the full extent of the coastal environment. The relevant policies (such as CE-PS) also do not seek to manage the effects of development on natural character values across the full extent of the landward coastal environment. Mapping and scheduling area scale natural character ratings will ensure the appropriate plan provisions are included in the approach to ensure CE-O1 can be achieved and the provisions better give effect to NZCPS Policy 13(1)(b).</p>	Seeks this includes any other consequential amendments required.	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.153	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-O1	Oppose	Considers that it is not accurate to say that the NZCPS requires preservation in an absolute sense. The NZCPS qualifies its use of the word 'preserve' in accordance with s. 6 (a) of the RMA. If the word 'protected' is to be used, it should give proper effect to s. 6 (a) of the RMA and also include the words 'protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development'. The word 'maintain' works in the context of Objective CE-O1.	Disallow / Disallow the requested amendments unless the word 'protected' is qualified as intended by the RMA and includes the words 'protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development'. In the absence of explicit wording of requested relief, disallow the submission point's request for 'any other consequential amendments required'. There is simply insufficient detail.	Accept	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.221	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-O1	Amend	Considers that it is important to ensure that, in the midst of an ecological emergency, the default attitude towards environmental protection is one of restoration.	<p>Amend CE-O1 (Coastal environment) as follows:</p> <p>"The natural character and qualities that contribute to the natural character within the landward extent of the coastal environment are maintained and, <u>where possible</u>, restored or enhanced".</p>	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.154	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-O1	Oppose	Meridian considers that the expression 'where practicable' or 'where appropriate' better gives effect to the RMA and higher order policy instruments than 'where possible' (which sets an unduly stringent requirement).	Disallow	Accept	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Director-General of Conservation	385.58	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-01	Support in part	Supports CE-01, however would request the addition of the word 'rehabilitated' to ensure the objective is in line with Policy 14 of the NZCPS which promotes either restoration or rehabilitation of the natural character of the coastal environment.	Supports objective CE-01 (Coastal environment) in part, but seeks amendment.	Accept	Yes
Director-General of Conservation	385.59	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-01	Amend	Supports CE-01, however would request the addition of the word 'rehabilitated' to ensure the objective is in line with Policy 14 of the NZCPS which promotes either restoration or rehabilitation of the natural character of the coastal environment.	Amend objective CE-01 (Coastal environment) as follows: The natural character and qualities that contribute to the natural character within the landward extent of the coastal environment are maintained and, where appropriate, restored, <u>rehabilitated</u> , or enhanced.	Accept	Yes
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.294	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-01	Support in part	Supports this objective to the extent that the natural character is recognised and addressed in the underlying land use zone and thus do not require an additional level of control within this chapter and other plan provisions recognise the importance of regionally significant infrastructure and the need to adversely affect natural character for operational or functional reasons.	Supports CE-01 (Coastal Environment) and seeks amendment.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.295	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-01	Amend	Supports this objective to the extent that the natural character is recognised and addressed in the underlying land use zone and thus do not require an additional level of control within this chapter and other plan provisions recognise the importance of regionally significant infrastructure and the need to adversely affect natural character for operational or functional reasons.	Seeks that CE-01 (Coastal Environment) is amended to be reworked to focus on effects that specifically relate to the coastal environment and have not already been addressed, or cannot otherwise be addressed, by the underlying land use zone. [Inferred Decision Requested]	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.296	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-01	Amend	Supports this objective to the extent that the natural character is recognised and addressed in the underlying land use zone and thus do not require an additional level of control within this chapter and other plan provisions recognise the importance of regionally significant infrastructure and the need to adversely affect natural character for operational or functional reasons.	Seeks that CE-01 (Coastal Environment) is amended to ensure the provisions give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those provisions that recognise the functional and operational requirements of activities (such as infrastructure) to locate within these areas and the associated management of effects. [Inferred Decision Requested]	Reject	No
Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	488.58	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-01	Support in part	Supports the chapter as it has good provisions which incorporate the protection of the coastal environment and protection from Coastal Hazards.	Retain CE-01 (Coastal Environment) as notified, subject to amendments in subsequent submission points	Accept	No
Meridian Energy Limited	228.103	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-02	Support in part	Considers that these [natural character areas] are identified in Schedule SCHED12 (High Coastal Natural Character Areas) as 'high' and 'very high'. There are no West Wind or Mill Creek wind farm turbines within the mapped overlays of 'high' or 'very high' coastal natural character but the wind farm structures are part of the visible backdrop to these 'high coastal natural character areas'. Considers the presence of these visible large structures needs to be acknowledged as existing and should not be considered inappropriate development. Considers the focus of Objective CE-O2 (High coastal natural character areas) should be on avoiding inappropriate subdivision, use and development within the mapped 'high coastal natural character areas'.	Retain Objective CE-02 (High coastal natural character areas) with amendment to acknowledge and recognise the existing West Wind and Mill Creek wind farms as legitimate, authorised and appropriate existing development established within the backdrop to areas of identified 'high coastal natural character':	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Meridian Energy Limited	228.104	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-O2	Amend	Considers that these [natural character areas] are identified in Schedule SCHED12 (High Coastal Natural Character Areas) as 'high' and 'very high'. There are no West Wind or Mill Creek wind farm turbines within the mapped overlays of 'high' or 'very high' coastal natural character but the wind farm structures are part of the visible backdrop to these 'high coastal natural character areas'. Considers the presence of these visible large structures needs to be acknowledged as existing and should not be considered inappropriate development. Considers the focus of Objective CE-O2 (High coastal natural character areas) should be on avoiding inappropriate subdivision, use and development within the mapped 'high coastal natural character areas'.	Amend Objective CE-O2 (High coastal natural character areas) in the following manner (or similar) or otherwise amend the Chapter CE Coastal Environment objectives to acknowledge and recognise the existing West Wind and Mill Creek wind farms as legitimate, authorised and appropriate existing development established within the backdrop to areas of identified 'high coastal natural character': The identified characteristics and values of areas of high coastal natural character areas in the landward extent of the coastal environment are preserved and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development <u>occurring within the mapped high coastal natural character areas</u> .	Reject	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.295	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-O2	Support in part	Considers that in order to give effect to NZCPS policy 13, this policy cannot be limited to areas of high natural character only. Seeks amendment to apply to all natural character in the landward extent of the coastal environment. Considers it also should not be limited to identified values. SCHED 12 provides only a very small amount of information about the values, and cannot be the basis for assessing protection. We therefore seek that reference to 'identified' values is deleted from this objective and all other provisions in this chapter. Considers SCHED 12 could be expanded to include a lot more detail on the values present.	Amend CE-O2 (High coastal natural character areas): The <u>identified</u> -characteristics and values of areas of high coastal natural character areas in the landward extent of the coastal environment are preserved and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.87	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-O2	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Accept	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.155	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-O2	Oppose	Considers that the focus of the objective is correctly on the identified characteristics and, consistent with the Objective's title, it should address the mapped areas of high coastal natural character.	Disallow	Accept	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.199	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-O2	Amend	Supports WCC's approach to protecting high natural character values in CEO2	Retain provision, subject to amendments, as outlined other submission points.	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.200	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-02	Amend	Considers to give effect to NZCPS Policy 13(1)(b), natural character is also required to be preserved "in all other areas of the coastal environment", rather than just sites of high natural character in isolation.	Amend CE-02 (High coastal natural character areas) to refer to sites of natural character, in addition to areas of high natural character as per requested drafting as follows: High Coastal natural character areas <u>Adverse effects on identified characteristics and values of sites and areas of high coastal natural character in the landward extent of the coastal environment are avoided.</u>	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.156	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-02	Oppose	Considers that the original submission point misrepresents the wording of NZCPS Policy 13. The NZCPS does not require absolute preservation of natural character and avoidance in all circumstances. Taken together, the policies of the CE chapter do implement the management hierarchy set out in NZCPS Policy 13 (i.e. avoid adverse effects in areas of outstanding natural character, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects on natural character in all other areas of the coastal environment). Meridian would support amendments that reflect the NZCPS management hierarchy.	Disallow	Accept	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.222	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-02	Support	Supports as the objective will benefit the coastal environment.	Retain CE-02 (High coastal natural character areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Director-General of Conservation	385.60	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-02	Support	Supports proposed Objective CE-02 (High coastal natural character areas).	Retain objective CE-02 (High coastal natural character areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	488.59	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-02	Support in part	Supports the chapter as it has good provisions which incorporate the protection of the coastal environment and protection from Coastal Hazards.	Retain CE-02 (High natural character areas) as notified, subject to amendments in subsequent submission points	Accept in part	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.296	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-03	Support	Supports the objective.	Retain CE-03 (Coastal margins and riparian margins) as notified.	Accept	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Limited	F536.88	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-03	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Reject	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.223	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-03	Support	Supports as the objective will benefit the coastal environment.	Retain CE-03 (Coastal margins and riparian margins) as notified.	Accept	No
Director-General of Conservation	385.61	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-03	Support	Supports proposed Objective CE-03 (Coastal margins and riparian margins).	Retain objective CE-03 (Coastal margins and riparian margins) as notified.	Accept	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.297	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-03	Support in part	Supports this objective to the extent that the protection of coastal margins are addressed in the underlying land use zone and thus do not require an additional level of control within this chapter and other plan provisions recognise the importance of regionally significant infrastructure and the need to adversely affect natural character for operational or functional reasons.	Supports CE-03 (Coastal Margins and riparian margins) and seeks amendment.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.298	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-03	Amend	Supports this objective to the extent that the protection of coastal margins are addressed in the underlying land use zone and thus do not require an additional level of control within this chapter and other plan provisions recognise the importance of regionally significant infrastructure and the need to adversely affect natural character for operational or functional reasons.	Seeks that CE-03 (Coastal Margins and riparian margins) is amended to be reworked to focus on effects that specifically relate to the coastal environment and have not already been addressed, or cannot otherwise be addressed, by the underlying land use zone. [Inferred decision requested] [See paragraphs 4.48 and 4.49 of the original submission].	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.299	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-03	Amend	Supports this objective to the extent that the protection of coastal margins are addressed in the underlying land use zone and thus do not require an additional level of control within this chapter and other plan provisions recognise the importance of regionally significant infrastructure and the need to adversely affect natural character for operational or functional reasons.	Seeks that CE-03 (Coastal Margins and riparian margins) is amended to ensure the provisions give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those provisions that recognise the functional and operational requirements of activities (such as infrastructure) to locate within these areas and the associated management of effects. [Inferred decision requested] [See paragraphs 4.48 and 4.49 of the original submission].	Reject	No
Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	488.60	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-03	Support in part	Supports the chapter as it has good provisions which incorporate the protection of the coastal environment and protection from Coastal Hazards.	Retain CE-03 (Coastal margins and riparian margins) as notified, subject to amendments in subsequent submission points	Accept in part	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.297	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-O4	Support	Supports the objective.	Retain CE-O4 (Customary Harvesting) as notified.	Accept	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.89	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-O4	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Reject	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.224	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-O4	Support	Supports as the objective will benefit the coastal environment. Particularly supports giving effect to Wellington City Council's Te Tiriti obligations.	Retain CE-O4 (Customary Harvesting) as notified.	Accept	No
Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	488.61	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-O4	Support in part	Supports the chapter as it has good provisions which incorporate the protection of the coastal environment and protection from Coastal Hazards.	Retain CE-O4 (Customary harvesting) as notified, subject to amendments in subsequent submission points	Accept in part	No
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.44	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P1	Support	Supports the identification and mapping of the landward extent of the coastal environment at the broad scale level at which it was determined. Notwithstanding its submission point seeking amendment to the identification and mapping of the landward extent of the coastal environment as it applies to the Horokiwi site.	Retain CE-P1 (Identification of the coastal environment and of high coastal natural character areas within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Aggregate and Quarry Association	303.16	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P1	Amend	Considers that CE-P1 only focuses on urban development and should be amended to refer to existing lawful activities such as quarries.	CE-P1 (Identification of the coastal environment and of high coastal natural character areas within the coastal environment) should be amended to refer to existing lawful activities such as quarries.	Reject	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.25	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P1	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain CE-P1 (Identification of the coastal environment and of high coastal natural character areas within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept in part	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.302	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P1	Support in part	Considers that this policy, or a new policy, needs to be amended to provide for the identification of outstanding areas of natural character in the coastal environment.	Amend CE-P1 (Identification of the coastal environment and of high coastal natural character areas within the coastal environment): 1. Identify and map the landward extent of the coastal environment. 2. Identify and map areas of very high and high natural character within the coastal environment and list the identified values in SCHED 12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas. 3. Identify and map areas of outstanding natural character in the coastal environment.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.94	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P1	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Accept	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.204	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P1	Amend	Considers that Natural character ratings have not been scheduled at the area scale across the full extent of the coastal environment. To give effect to Policies 13, 14 and 15 of the NZCPS, the area scale natural character ratings need to be included in the PDP.	Amend CE-P1 (Identification of the coastal environment and of high coastal natural character areas within the coastal environment) to widen the scope of the policy to also refer to area scale natural character ratings, as follows: Identification of the coastal environment and of high coastal natural character areas within the coastal environment 1. Identify and map the landward extent of the coastal environment. 2. Identify and map sites areas-of very high and high natural character and area scale natural character ratings within the coastal environment and list the identified values in SCHED 12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas.	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.157	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P1	Oppose	Considers that there is insufficient detail provided about 'area scale natural character ratings' to determine whether they should or should not be included in SCHED12. Any amendments should be advanced as a variation to the Proposed Plan.	Disallow	Accept	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.230	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P1	Support	Not specified.	Retain CE-P1 (Identification of the coastal environment and of high coastal natural character areas within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Meridian Energy Limited	228.105	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P2	Oppose in part	Considers that in the absence of any explicit recognition of the presence of the West Wind and Mill Creek wind farms, Policy CE-P2 (Use and development within the coastal environment) could be applied in a manner that restricts appropriate upgrading of those wind farms or the establishment of replacement wind turbines in appropriate locations.	Retain Policy CE-P2 (Use and development within the coastal environment) with amendment to provide for the existing wind farms along Wellington's coastline and provide for upgrading within those wind farms, including by the placement of replacement wind turbines.	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Meridian Energy Limited	228.106	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P2	Amend	Considers that in the absence of any explicit recognition of the presence of the West Wind and Mill Creek wind farms, Policy CE-P2 (Use and development within the coastal environment) could be applied in a manner that restricts appropriate upgrading of those wind farms or the establishment of replacement wind turbines in appropriate locations.	Amend Policy CE-P2 (Use and development within the coastal environment) to provide for the existing wind farms along Wellington's coastline and provide for upgrading within those wind farms, including by the placement of replacement wind turbines, by inserting the following (or similar) text: Provide for use and development in the landward extent of the coastal environment where it: 1. Consolidates existing urban areas; <u>or</u> 2. <u>Is necessary to enable the use, development, maintenance and upgrading of regionally significant infrastructure (including the repowering of existing wind farms by replacing and upgrading existing turbines and their support structures identified on the Plan Maps and associated electricity transmission facilities); and</u> 3. Does not establish new urban sprawl along the coastline;	Reject	No
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.45	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P2	Support in part	Supports CE-P2 in part - largely accepts CE-P2 but seeks recognition of those existing activities which are lawfully established.	Not specified.	Reject	No
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.46	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P2	Amend	Considers that CE-P2 recognises existing activities which are lawfully established.	Amend Policy CE-P2 (Use and development within the coastal environment) as follows: Provide for use and development in the landward extent of the coastal environment where it: 1. 2. 3. <u>Relates to an existing lawfully established activity</u>	Reject	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.26	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P2	Amend	Considers that CE-P2 should be amended to have a third bullet point related to the Sustainability, Resilience and Climate Change chapter. Namely, the "level of uncertainty about the full extent of the impacts of climate change and sea level rise. This means the planning framework needs to retain a level of flexibility to enable the City to adapt in response to changing circumstances." The bullet point given relating to use and development of the coastal environment should clearly state the level of uncertainty and need for caution, climate change adaptation and in some areas retreat.	Amend CE-P2 (Use and development within the coastal environment) as follows: Provide for use and development in the landward extent of the coastal environment where it: 1. Consolidates existing urban areas; and 2. Does not establish new urban sprawl along the coastline. 3. <u>Takes into consideration the level of uncertainty about the full extent of the impacts of climate change (storm surges and coastal inundation) and sea level rise.</u>	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	F536.136	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P2	Oppose	Considers that such matters are dealt with via the relevant natural hazard provisions of the Proposed Plan.	Disallow	Accept	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.303	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P2	Support in part	Considers the policy should be amended to be less definitive about providing for use and development. in all cases. That is because several other factors will need to be considered, other than the two listed in the policy.	Amend CE-P2 (Use and development within the coastal environment): <u>Consider p</u> Provide for use and development in the landward extent of the coastal environment where it: 1. Consolidates existing urban areas; and 2. Does not establish new urban sprawl along the coastline.	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.95	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P2	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Accept	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.158	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P2	Oppose	Considers that inserting the words 'consider providing' for use and development distorts the actual purpose of this policy (which is to make clear where use and development will be provided for).	Disallow	Accept	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.304	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P2	Support in part	It will also need to be amended if CE-P5 continues to apply only to areas of high natural character, rather than any area of natural character. If P5 remains unchanged, this policy will need to be significantly amended to ensure it gives effect to policy 13 NZCPS, and directs avoidance of significant adverse effects.	If relief sought on CE-P5 (Use and development in high coastal natural character areas) is not made, amend CE-P2 (Use and development within the coastal environment) to give effect to policy 13 of NZ Coastal Policy Statement with regard to avoiding significant adverse effects.	Reject	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.205	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P2	Support	Considers that this approach is appropriate.	Retain CE-P2 (Use and development within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept in part	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.231	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P2	Amend	Considers it important that the environmental significance of the coastal environment is recognised.	Amend CE-P2 (Use and development within the coastal environment) to add a third point, as follows: <u>3. Does not adversely affect the environmental values of the coastal environment</u>	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.137	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P2	Oppose	Considers that the term "environmental values" is too broad and encapsulates both natural and physical resources. The intent of the changes are therefore not clear. Furthermore, it is inappropriate to require land use and development to avoid adversely affecting all effects, irrespective of their nature, scale or extent.	Disallow	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.159	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P2	Oppose	Considers that the proposed additional text is not appropriate because it is not clear what is meant by 'environmental values'.	Disallow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.304	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P2	Support in part	Supports this objective to the extent that the use and development of the coastal environment, as set out in this policy, is addressed in the underlying land use zone and thus do not require an additional level of control within this chapter.	Supports CE-P2 (Use and development within the coastal environment) and seeks amendment.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.305	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P2	Amend	Supports this objective to the extent that the use and development of the coastal environment, as set out in this policy, is addressed in the underlying land use zone and thus do not require an additional level of control within this chapter.	Seeks that CE-P2 (Use and development within the coastal environment) is amended to be reworked to focus on effects that specifically relate to the coastal environment and have not already been addressed, or cannot otherwise be addressed, by the underlying land use zone. [Inferred decision requested] [See paragraph 4.48 and 4.49 of the original submission]	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.306	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P2	Amend	Supports this objective to the extent that the use and development of the coastal environment, as set out in this policy, is addressed in the underlying land use zone and thus do not require an additional level of control within this chapter.	Seeks that CE-P2 (Use and development within the coastal environment) is amended to ensure the provisions give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those provisions that recognise the functional and operational requirements of activities (such as infrastructure) to locate within these areas and the associated management of effects. [Inferred decision requested] [See paragraph 4.48 and 4.49 of the original submission]	Reject	No
Grant Birkinshaw	52.6	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P3	Support	CE-P3 is supported.	Retain CE-P3 (Restoration and enhancement within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.27	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P3	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain CE-P3 (Restoration and enhancement within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.305	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P3	Support	Supports the policy.	Retain CE-P3 (Restoration and enhancement within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.206	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P3	Support in part	Supports the overall intent of CE-P3 to restore natural character.	Retain CE-P3 (Restoration and enhancement within the coastal environment) with amendment.	Accept in part	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.207	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P3	Amend	Considers that natural character ratings have not been scheduled at the area scale across the full extent of the coastal environment. To give effect to Policies 13, 14 and 15 of the NZCPS, and assist with identification of the appropriate areas to restore, the area scale natural character ratings need to be included in the PDP and referred to in this policy.	Amend CE-P3 (Restoration and enhancement within the coastal environment) as follows: Provide for restoration or rehabilitation of the natural character values and coastal and riparian margins within the landward extent of the coastal environment by: 1. Recognising the values present that could be enhanced <u>restored in areas of low and moderate natural character</u> ; 2. Encouraging natural regeneration of indigenous species, including where practical the removal of pest species; 3. Rehabilitating dunes or other natural coastal features or processes; 4. Restoring or protecting riparian and coastal margins; 5. Removing redundant structures that do not have heritage or amenity value; 6. Modifying structures that interfere with coastal or ecosystem processes; or 7. Providing for mana whenua to exercise their responsibilities as kaitiaki to protect, restore and maintain <u>values in the coastal environment</u> areas of indigenous biodiversity .	Accept in part	Yes
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.232	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P3	Support	Important to empower and allow the betterment of the coastal environment.	Retain CE-P3 (Restoration and enhancement within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Director-General of Conservation	385.62	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P3	Support	Supports proposed policy CE-P3 (Restoration and enhancement within the coastal environment).	Retain policy CE-P3 (Restoration and enhancement within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.307	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P3	Oppose	As drafted, this policy has broad application within the entire coastal environment, despite generally being focussed on matters within the coastal margins. Providing for the restoration and rehabilitation of 'natural character values' within the landward extent of the coastal environment is inappropriate in areas that are highly modified and otherwise urbanised environments. Considers that this policy should be refined to apply to the coastal margins only.	Opposes CE-P3 (Restoration and enhancement within the coastal environment) and seeks amendment.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.308	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P3	Amend	As drafted, this policy has broad application within the entire coastal environment, despite generally being focussed on matters within the coastal margins. Providing for the restoration and rehabilitation of 'natural character values' within the landward extent of the coastal environment is inappropriate in areas that are highly modified and otherwise urbanised environments. Considers that this policy should be refined to apply to the coastal margins only.	Amend CE-P3 (Restoration and enhancement within the coastal environment) as follows: Provide for restoration or rehabilitation of the natural character values <u>within the</u> and coastal and riparian margins within the landward extent of the coastal environment <u>where appropriate</u> by: ...	Reject	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.306	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P4	Support	Supports the policy.	Retain CE-P4 (Customary harvesting within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.233	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P4	Support	Ensures WCC is acting in accordance with Te Tiriti obligations.	Retain CE-P4 (Customary harvesting within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept	No
Meridian Energy Limited	228.107	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P5	Support in part	Considers that the 'or' in the preamble to Policy CE-P5 (Use and development in high coastal natural character areas) should probably be 'and' to capture all areas of 'high coastal natural character'. Although the mapped extent of 'high coastal natural character areas' currently avoids the footprint of turbines and associated facilities within the West Wind and Mill Creek wind farms, Meridian seeks to ensure that any earthworks or other activities associated with any future upgrading or repowering of turbines within these wind farms is not unduly restricted. Importantly, Policy CE-P5 applies to development within mapped areas of 'high coastal natural character'. The last clause (f) of Policy CE-P5 assumes that rehabilitation planting will always be appropriate or relevant for natural character. It may not be. Indeed, in some situations (such as rock faces) planting may conflict with the significant natural character values. In any event, Chapter ECO Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity includes a mitigation hierarchy which can address the need (or not) for rehabilitation planting. Clause (f) is not necessary and should be deleted.	Retain Policy CE-P5 (Use and development in high coastal natural character areas) with amendment.	Accept in part	Yes
Meridian Energy Limited	228.108	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P5	Amend	Considers that the 'or' in the preamble to Policy CE-P5 (Use and development in high coastal natural character areas) should probably be 'and' to capture all areas of 'high coastal natural character'. Although the mapped extent of 'high coastal natural character areas' currently avoids the footprint of turbines and associated facilities within the West Wind and Mill Creek wind farms, Meridian seeks to ensure that any earthworks or other activities associated with any future upgrading or repowering of turbines within these wind farms is not unduly restricted. Importantly, Policy CE-P5 applies to development within mapped areas of 'high coastal natural character'. The last clause (f) of Policy CE-P5 assumes that rehabilitation planting will always be appropriate or relevant for natural character. It may not be. Indeed, in some situations (such as rock faces) planting may conflict with the significant natural character values. In any event, Chapter ECO Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity includes a mitigation hierarchy which can address the need (or not) for rehabilitation planting. Clause (f) is not necessary and should be deleted.	Amend Policy CE-P5 (Use and development in high coastal natural character areas) (which applies only to use and development within mapped areas of 'high coastal natural character') as follows: Provide for use and development in areas of very high or <u>and</u> high coastal natural character in the coastal environment where: 1. Any <u>Significant</u> adverse effects on the identified values described in SCHED13 are avoided and any other adverse effects on the identified values described in SCHED13 are avoided, remedied or mitigated; <u>and</u> 2. It can be demonstrated that: a. The particular values and characteristics of the areas of very high or high coastal natural character as identified in SCHED13 are protected from inappropriate use and development, considering the extent to which the values and characteristics of the area are vulnerable to change including the effects of climate change and other natural processes; b. Any proposed earthworks, building platforms and buildings or structures are of a scale and prominence that respects the identified values and the design and development integrates with the existing landform and dominant character of the area, <u>recognising the functional and operational needs of renewable electricity generation activities</u> ; c. There is a functional or operational need for the activity to locate in the area; or d. The duration and nature of adverse effects are limited; <u>e. The use and development will upgrade, repower or replace existing renewable electricity generation assets and enable more effective use of natural resources for renewable electricity generation;</u> f. There are no reasonably <u>practicable</u> practical alternative locations that are outside of the coastal environment or are less vulnerable to change; and g. Restoration or rehabilitation planting of indigenous species will be incorporated to mitigate any adverse effects.	Accept in part	Yes

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Yvonne Weeber	340.28	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P5	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain CE-P5 (Use and development in high coastal natural character areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.307	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P5	Support in part	<p>Considers the policy does not give effect to NZCPS policy 13(1)(b), which requires that significant adverse effects are avoided on all areas of natural character of the coastal environment (other than outstanding). This policy only requires avoidance of significant adverse effects on identified areas of high natural character in SCHED 12.</p> <p>Seeks that this policy be amended to apply to all areas of natural character in the coastal environment, other than any areas of outstanding natural character.</p> <p>Delete the word 'identified' from 1. and 2.a.</p> <p>Add the word 'and' at the end of 1., to make clear that both 1. and 2. must be fulfilled.</p> <p>Amend 2.a. to make it clear that the consideration of the extent to which the values and characteristics are vulnerable to change etc is part of the consideration of appropriateness, but not all of it. This can be achieved by adding the words 'including by' before 'considering'.</p> <p>Change 'respects' in 2.b. to 'protects'.</p> <p>Delete 'operational' in 2.d. This test is far too broad.</p> <p>Add a clause providing that use or development will only be allowed where the natural character values of the area are retained.</p>	<p>Amend CE-P5 (Use and development in high coastal natural character areas) to give effect to policy 13(1)(b) of NZ Coastal Policy Statement and:</p> <p>Only allow use and development in high coastal natural character areas in the coastal environment where:</p> <p>1. Any significant adverse effects on the identified values described in SCHED12 are avoided and any other adverse effects on the identified values described in SCHED12 are avoided remedied or mitigated;</p> <p>2. It can be demonstrated that:</p> <p>a. The particular values and characteristics of the high coastal natural character areas as identified in SCHED12 are protected from inappropriate use and development, including by considering the extent to which the values and characteristics of the area are vulnerable to change including the effects of climate change and other natural processes;</p> <p>b. Any proposed earthworks, building platforms and buildings or structures are of a scale and prominence that respects-protects the identified values and the design and development integrates with the existing landform and dominant character of the area;</p> <p>c. The duration and nature of adverse effects are limited;</p> <p>d. There is a functional or operational need for the activity to locate in the area;</p> <p>e. There are no reasonably practical alternative locations that are outside of the high coastal natural character areas or are less vulnerable to change; and</p> <p>f. Restoration or rehabilitation planting of indigenous species will be incorporated to mitigate any adverse effects.</p> <p>g. Use and development will only be allowed where natural character values of the area are retained.</p>	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.96	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P5	Oppose	<p>WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably:</p> <p>1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified;</p> <p>2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and,</p> <p>3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;</p>	Disallow	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.160	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P5	Oppose	Meridian considers its proposed amended wording in submission point 221.108 more appropriately gives effect to the RMA and higher order policy instruments.	Disallow	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.208	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P5	Amend	Considers the policy does not give effect to NZCPS Policy 13(1)(b) which is to avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of activities on natural character in all other areas which are not outstanding, rather than just in sites of high natural character. The policy needs be amended so that clause 1 applies to natural character in all areas of the coastal environment.	Amend CE-P5 (Use and development in high coastal natural character areas) to manage effects across all coastal natural character areas as follows: CE-P5 Use and development in high coastal natural character areas Only allow use and development in high coastal natural character areas in the coastal environment where: ...	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.161	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P5	Oppose	Meridian understands the point GWRC is trying to make (that effects on all scales of natural character in the coastal environment must be managed in accordance with NZCPS Policy 13). Consider that however, this Plan doesn't identify other 'natural character areas', so the requested relief will not achieve that outcome. Policy CE-P1 and P7, together, implement NZCPS Policy 13 and this Plan does not identify any areas of outstanding natural character in the coastal environment.	Disallow	Accept	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.234	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P5	Amend	The submitter notes that the coastal environment is home to indigenous biodiversity and that should be provided for in the District Plan.	Amend CE-P5 (Use and development in high coastal natural character areas) to add another point after Point 1, as follows: ... <u>2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity are applied in accordance with ECO-P2.</u>	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.138	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P5	Oppose	Considers that it is inappropriate to require land use and development to avoid adversely effecting all effects, irrespective of their nature, scale or extent.	Disallow	Accept	No
Director-General of Conservation	385.63	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P5	Support	Supports proposed policy CE-P5 (Use and development in high coastal natural character areas).	Retain policy CE-P5 (Use and development in high coastal natural character areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Ministry of Education	400.62	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P5	Support	Supports CE-P5 as the submitter recognises the importance of high coastal natural character areas. The submitter supports the provision as proposed as it allows for development where there is a functional and operational need in these areas. The Ministry may at times need to locate educational facilities in these areas to meet the needs of existing communities. Where required, development of these facilities would be sympathetic to the surrounding landscape as required by this policy.	Retain CE-P5 (Use and development in high coastal natural character areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.133	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P6	Support	Supports the policy as it allows use and development within coastal and riparian margins inside of the Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone or Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area.	Retain CE-P6 (Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment - located inside Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone, or Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area) as notified.	Accept in part	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Yvonne Weeber	340.29	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P6	Not specified	[No specific reason given - refer to original submission].	Not specified.	Reject	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.308	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P6	Oppose in part	Considers the policy should not be a blanket enabling policy as it needs to recognise that there may be limits to development in those areas. At the very least, the policy should refer to potential limits on the use of these areas in accordance with policies 11, 13 and 15 NZCPS (and the policies in this plan that give effect to those policies). The requirements of the NZCPS do not stop applying because a zone has been assigned to an area	Amend CE-P6 (Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment – located inside the Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone or Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area): <u>Consider providing</u> for use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment where it is located in the highly modified Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone or City Centre Zone or Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area, <u>with reference to limits on use in these areas in accordance with policies 11, 13, and 15 of the NZ Coastal Policy Statement.</u>	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.97	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P6	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Accept	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.235	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P6	Support	CE-P6 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.	Retain CE-P6 (Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment – located inside the Port Zone...) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.309	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P6	Oppose	Opposes this policy to the extent that it does not recognise or provide for the existing hard engineering structures located between Lyall Bay and Moa Point which protect regionally significant infrastructure, including WCC's wastewater network and Wellington International Airport, as well as Moa Point Road, from the effects of coastal erosion. [See paragraphs 4.40 to 4.45 of original submission for full reason]	Opposes CE-P6 (Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment – located inside the Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone or Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area) and seeks amendment.	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.68	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P6	Oppose	Considers that Natural Open Space Zone between Lyall Bay and Moa Point should be retained within the Airport Zone. All amended changes are not required. The airport is a natural coastal environment. It is a complex environment which requires the NZCPS and Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement for land below MHWS.	Disallow	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.310	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P6	Amend	Opposes this policy to the extent that it does not recognise or provide for the existing hard engineering structures located between Lyall Bay and Moa Point which protect regionally significant infrastructure, including WCC's wastewater network and Wellington International Airport, as well as Moa Point Road, from the effects of coastal erosion. [See paragraphs 4.40 to 4.45 of original submission for full reason]	Either delete or amend CE-P6 (Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment – located inside the Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone or Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area) as follows: CE-P6 Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment – located inside the Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone or Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area <u>or the Natural Open Space zone between Lyall Bay and Moa Point</u> Provide for use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment where it is located in the highly modified Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone or City Centre Zone, or Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area <u>or the area of Natural Open Space Zone located between Lyall Bay and Moa Point.</u>	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.69	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P6	Oppose	Considers that Natural Open Space Zone between Lyall Bay and Moa Point should be retained within the Airport Zone. All amended changes are not required. The airport is a natural coastal environment. It is a complex environment which requires the NZCPS and Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement for land below MHWS.	Disallow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.311	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P6	Amend	Opposes this policy to the extent that it does not recognise or provide for the existing hard engineering structures located between Lyall Bay and Moa Point which protect regionally significant infrastructure, including WCC's wastewater network and Wellington International Airport, as well as Moa Point Road, from the effects of coastal erosion. [See paragraphs 4.40 to 4.45 of original submission for full reason]	If CE-P6 (Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment – located inside the Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone or Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area) is not amended: Seeks that CE-P6 (Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment – located inside the Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone or Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area) is amended to give relief to a similar effect if the area of Natural Open Space zoned land is rezoned to an alternative zoning.	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.70	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P6	Oppose	Considers that Natural Open Space Zone between Lyall Bay and Moa Point should be retained within the Airport Zone. All amended changes are not required. The airport is a natural coastal environment. It is a complex environment which requires the NZCPS and Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement for land below MHWS.	Disallow	Accept	Yes
Guardians of the Bays	452.21	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P6	Not specified	Submitter is 'neutral' on provision. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Not specified.	No specific relief sought	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.134	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P7	Support	Supports the policy as it allows use and development within coastal and riparian margins outside of the Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone or Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area where it can be demonstrated there is an operational and functional need for the activity in the area.	Retain CE-P7 (Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment - located outside Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone, or Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area) as notified.	Accept in part	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Yvonne Weeber	340.30	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P7	Support	CE-P7 is supported, but it is noted that the policy conflicts with INF-CE-P21 and other coastal policies in relationship to the term 'upgrading'.	Retain CE-P7 (Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment – located outside the Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone and the Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.309	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P7	Support in part	Supports the policy in part. Considers 2.d. is not clear as to which effects are being mitigated. It appears that the Plan's approach is to only deal with natural character effects in this chapter, and have the biodiversity policies applying separately. However, 2.d. is not clear on that, and should refer specifically to 'natural character effects'. This policy needs to recognise that there may be limits to development in those areas. At the very least, the policy should refer to potential limits on the use of these areas in accordance with policies 11, 13 and 15 NZCPS (and the policies in this plan that give effect to those policies). The requirements of the NZCPS do not stop applying because a zone has or has not been assigned to an area. The policy should be amended to add a clause providing that use or development will only be allowed where the natural character values of the area are retained.	Amend CE-P7 (Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment – located outside the Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone and the Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area): Only allow use and development within coastal and riparian margins in the coastal environment outside of the Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone or the Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area where: 1. Any significant adverse effects on the natural character of the coastal environment are avoided and any other adverse effects on the natural character of the coastal environment are avoided, remedied or mitigated; and 2. It can be demonstrated that: a. Any proposed earthworks, building platform, building or structure are able to integrate with the existing landform, do not dominate the natural character of the area and do not limit or prevent public access to, along or adjacent to the coast and waterbodies; b. There is a functional or operational need for the activity to locate within the coastal or riparian margin; c. There are no reasonably practical alternative locations that are outside of the coastal or riparian margins or are less vulnerable to change; and d. Restoration or rehabilitation planting of indigenous species will be incorporated to mitigate any adverse effects on natural character. <u>e. Use and development will only be allowed where the natural character values of the area are retained.</u> <u>f. Are within potential development limits in these areas in accordance with policies 11, 13, and 15 of the NZ Coastal Policy Statement.</u>	Accept in part	Yes
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.98	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P7	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Accept in part	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.162	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P7	Oppose	Considers that the requested additional text is not necessary because the Plan already provides for those matters, including in the wording of Policy CE-P7 (1) and (2).	Disallow	Accept in part	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.236	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P7	Support	CE-P7 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.	Retain CE-P7 (Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment – located outside the Port Zone...) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Director-General of Conservation	385.64	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P7	Support	Supports proposed policy CE-P7 (Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment – located outside the Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone and the Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area).	Retain policy CE-P7 (Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment – located outside the Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone and the Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.312	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P7	Oppose	Opposes this policy to the extent that it does not recognise or provide for the existing hard engineering structures located between Lyall Bay and Moa Point which protect regionally significant infrastructure, including WCC’s wastewater network and Wellington International Airport, as well as Moa Point Road, from the effects of coastal erosion. [See paragraphs 4.40 to 4.45 of original submission for full reason]	Opposes CE-P7 (Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment) and seeks amendment.	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.71	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P7	Oppose	Considers that Natural Open Space Zone between Lyall Bay and Moa Point should be retained within the Airport Zone. All amended changes are not required. The airport is a natural coastal environment. It is a complex environment which requires the NZCPS and Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement for land below MHWS.	Disallow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.313	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P7	Amend	Opposes this policy to the extent that it does not recognise or provide for the existing hard engineering structures located between Lyall Bay and Moa Point which protect regionally significant infrastructure, including WCC’s wastewater network and Wellington International Airport, as well as Moa Point Road, from the effects of coastal erosion. [See paragraphs 4.40 to 4.45 of original submission for full reason]	Either delete, or amend CE-P7 (Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment) as follows: CE-P7 Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment – located outside the Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone, and the Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area or the area of Natural Open Space Zone located between Lyall Bay and Moa Point. Only allow use and development within coastal and riparian margins in the coastal environment outside of the Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone, the Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area or the area of Natural Open Space zoned land between Lyall Bay and Moa Point where ... 2. It can be demonstrated that: a. Any proposed earthworks, building platform, building or structure are able to integrate with the existing landform, do not dominate the natural character of the area and where appropriate do not limit or prevent public access to, along or adjacent to the coast and waterbodies; ... d. Where appropriate Restoration or rehabilitation planting of indigenous species will be incorporated to mitigate any adverse effects. ...	Accept in part	Yes

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.72	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P7	Oppose	Considers that Natural Open Space Zone between Lyall Bay and Moa Point should be retained within the Airport Zone. All amended changes are not required. The airport is a natural coastal environment. It is a complex environment which requires the NZCPS and Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement for land below MHWS.	Disallow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.314	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P7	Amend	Opposes this policy to the extent that it does not recognise or provide for the existing hard engineering structures located between Lyall Bay and Moa Point which protect regionally significant infrastructure, including WCC's wastewater network and Wellington International Airport, as well as Moa Point Road, from the effects of coastal erosion. [See paragraphs 4.40 to 4.45 of original submission for full reason]	If CE-P7 (Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment – located outside the Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone and the Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area) is not amended: Seeks that CE-P7 (Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment – located outside the Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone and the Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area) is amended to give relief to a similar effect if the area of Natural Open Space zoned land is rezoned to an alternative zoning.	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.73	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P7	Oppose	Considers that Natural Open Space Zone between Lyall Bay and Moa Point should be retained within the Airport Zone. All amended changes are not required. The airport is a natural coastal environment. It is a complex environment which requires the NZCPS and Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement for land below MHWS.	Disallow	Accept	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.22	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P7	Support	Supports CE-P7 (Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment – located outside the Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone and the Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area).	Retain CE-P7 (Use and development within coastal margins and riparian margins in the coastal environment – located outside the Port Zone, Airport Zone, Stadium Zone, Waterfront Zone, City Centre Zone and the Evans Bay Marine Recreation Area) as notified.	Accept in part	Yes
Meridian Energy Limited	228.109	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P8	Support in part	Considers that policy CE-P8 (Vegetation removal within the coastal environment) is potentially restrictive of vegetation removal that is necessary to support regionally significant infrastructure and needs to be amended to recognise and provide for the particular operational and functional needs of regionally significant infrastructure.	Retain Policy CE-P8 (Vegetation removal within the coastal environment) with amendment.	Accept in part	Yes
Meridian Energy Limited	228.110	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P8	Amend	Considers that policy CE-P8 (Vegetation removal within the coastal environment) is potentially restrictive of vegetation removal that is necessary to support regionally significant infrastructure and needs to be amended to recognise and provide for the particular operational and functional needs of regionally significant infrastructure.	Amend Policy CE-P8 (Vegetation removal within the coastal environment) as follows: Manage the removal of vegetation in the coastal environment as follows: 1. Allow for the removal of vegetation in the coastal environment outside of areas of very high or high coastal natural character. 2. Allow for the removal of exotic vegetation in the coastal environment within areas of very high or high coastal natural character. 3. Only allow for the removal of indigenous vegetation in the coastal environment within areas of very high or high coastal natural character that: a. Is of a scale that maintains the identified values; or b. Is associated with ongoing maintenance of existing public accessways; or c. <u>Is necessary to enable the operation, maintenance, repair or upgrading of regionally significant infrastructure.</u>	Accept in part	Yes

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.63	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P8	Support	Supports recognition that vegetation clearance can be necessary for the safe and efficient operation of the rail corridor Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington City; and (f) is the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA.	Allow	Accept in part	No
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.47	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P8	Support	Supports the ability to remove vegetation in the coastal environment outside of areas of very high or high coastal natural character.	Retain CE-P8 (Vegetation removal within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.135	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P8	Support in part	Supports the policy as it manages the removal of vegetation in the coastal environment. It is however important that property owners and occupiers are able to remove flammable vegetation, as required, to provide sufficient clearance to mitigate the potential for fire risk/spread between flammable vegetation and property. This is particularly important where a property is located outside of a reticulated water network and any surrounding environment includes vegetation.	Retain CE-P8 (Vegetation removal within the coastal environment), with amendment.	Accept in part	Yes
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.136	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P8	Amend	Supports the policy as it manages the removal of vegetation in the coastal environment. It is however important that property owners and occupiers are able to remove flammable vegetation, as required, to provide sufficient clearance to mitigate the potential for fire risk/spread between flammable vegetation and property. This is particularly important where a property is located outside of a reticulated water network and any surrounding environment includes vegetation.	Amend CE-P8 (Vegetation removal within the coastal environment) as follows: Manage the removal of vegetation in the coastal environment as follows: ... 3. Only allow for the removal of indigenous vegetation in the coastal environment within high coastal natural character areas that: ... b. Is associated with ongoing maintenance of existing public accessways; <u>or</u> c. It is necessary to avoid loss of life, injury or serious damage to property, including from the risk of fire.	Accept in part	Yes
Yvonne Weeber	340.31	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P8	Amend	Considers that CE-P8 should be amended to consider coastal erosion and other environmental, social and cultural benefits of both indigenous and exotic vegetation in the coastal environment.	Amend CE-P8 (Vegetation removal within the coastal environment) to consider coastal erosion and other environmental, social and cultural benefits of both indigenous and exotic vegetation in the coastal environment.	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.310	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P8	Support in part	Opposes the policy direction that provides generally for vegetation removal outside of high natural character areas. Opposes the policy direction that provides for exotic vegetation removal in high natural character areas. Exotic vegetation can contribute to natural character, and can also have ecosystem and habitat values. Supports the policy direction that vegetation removal within the coastal environment should be limited. However, this needs to be amended to apply to any area of natural character in the coastal environment, not just areas of high natural character. Limiting protections to high natural character areas only is inconsistent with policy 13 NZCPS. As discussed above, there is a lack of protection for SNAs in the coastal environment that are urban allotments. This policy was clearly intended to deal with natural character effects. However, in the absence of protections for these SNAs, the policy becomes even more important.	Amend CE-P8 (Vegetation removal within the coastal environment): <u>Only allow for vegetation clearance in the coastal environment where:</u> <u>a. The removal is of a scale that retains the biodiversity and natural character values of the area; and</u> <u>b. Is associated with ongoing maintenance of existing public accessways; and</u> <u>c. The removal does not contravene policy 11 or 13 NZCPS.</u> Manage the removal of vegetation in the coastal environment as follows: a. Allow for the removal of vegetation in the coastal environment outside of high coastal natural character areas; b. Allow for the removal of exotic vegetation in the coastal environment within high coastal natural character areas; and c. Only allow for the removal of indigenous vegetation in the coastal environment within high coastal natural character areas that: a. Is of a scale that maintains the identified values; or b. Is associated with ongoing maintenance of existing public accessways.	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.163	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P8	Oppose	Meridian considers its proposed amended wording in submission point 221.110 more appropriately gives effect to the RMA and higher order policy instruments.	Disallow	Accept	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.209	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P8	Amend	Considers the policy does not give effect to NZCPS Policy 13(1)(b) which is to avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of activities on natural character in areas which are not outstanding, rather than just in sites of high natural character in isolation. Furthermore, allowing for the removal of indigenous vegetation in areas of low and moderate natural character could lead to a reduction in natural character and would not give effect to CE-O1.	Amend CE-P8 (Vegetation removal within the coastal environment) as follows: Manage the removal of vegetation in the coastal environment as follows: 1. Allow for the removal of <u>exotic</u> vegetation in the coastal environment outside of high coastal natural character <u>sites and areas</u> ; 2. Allow for the removal of exotic vegetation in the coastal environment within high coastal natural character <u>sites and areas</u> ; and 3. Only allow for the removal of indigenous vegetation in the coastal environment within high coastal natural character <u>sites and areas</u> that: a. Is of a scale that maintains the identified values; or b. Is associated with ongoing maintenance of existing public accessways.	Accept in part	Yes
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.164	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P8	Oppose	Considers that the requested amendments are not necessary because Policy CE-P1 and P7, together, implement NZCPS Policy 13.	Disallow	Accept	No
Waka Kotahi	370.200	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P8	Support in part	Support in principle.	Retain CE-P8 (Vegetation removal within the coastal environment), subject to amendments.	Accept in part	Yes

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Waka Kotahi	370.201	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P8	Amend	Considers that policy should be amended to provide for indigenous vegetation removal for the maintenance of public roads as well as accessways, to align with CE-R6 and CE-S1.	Amend CE-P8 (Vegetation removal within the coastal environment) as follows: ... 3. Only allow for the removal of indigenous vegetation in the coastal environment within high coastal natural character areas that: a. Is of a scale that maintains the identified values; or b. Is associated with ongoing maintenance of existing public accessways <u>and public roads</u> .	Accept in part	Yes
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.237	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P8	Support	CE-P8 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.	Retain CE-P8 (Vegetation removal within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.23	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P8	Amend	Considers the removal of vegetation within the coastal environment unless adequate consideration has been made of coastal erosion and other environmental, social and cultural benefits of the vegetation. Coastal vegetation is often difficult to grow and maintain. While it may just look weedy and unkempt it has many important benefits to the environment. Changes are necessary to give effect to the NZCPS and Greater Wellington Regional Council Proposed RPS - Plan Change 1.	Amend CE-P8 (Vegetation removal within the coastal environment) to consider coastal erosion and other environmental, social and cultural benefits of both indigenous and exotic vegetation in the coastal environment in a manner consistent with the direction in the Proposed RPS -PC1 (and draft NPS-1B).	Reject	No
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.48	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P9	Support	Supports that CE-P9 recognises existing quarry activities, and their expansion. The policy recognises the importance and role of existing quarry activities and provides a policy pathway for their expansion (outside of high coastal natural character areas and outside of coastal and riparian margins). Notwithstanding the sought amendment to the CE line as it relates to the Horokiwi site, the submitter supports policy CE-P9.	Retain CE-P9 (Mining and quarrying activities within the coastal environment) as notified, with amendments to the Coastal Environment Overlay.	Reject	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.311	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P9	Oppose in part	Opposes the blanket provision (in 1.) for existing activities, as this suggests their effects would not need to be considered if they require consenting. Opposes because it is inconsistent with the NZCPS requirement to avoid significant adverse effects on all areas of natural character, not only high natural character. Support 3, but this should not be limited to areas of high natural character. Supports paragraph 4.	Amend CE-P9 (Mining and quarrying activities within the coastal environment): Manage mining and quarrying activities within the coastal environment as follows: 1. Allow for established mining and quarrying activities in the Coastal Environment <u>where their effects can be managed in accordance with the objectives and policies of this Plan;</u> 2. Only allow for the extension of established mining and quarrying activities or new quarrying and mining activities where it is located outside of high coastal natural character areas and outside of coastal and riparian margins and any potential adverse effects can be avoided, remedied or mitigated; 3. Avoid the extension of established mining and quarrying activities and the establishment of new mining and quarrying within high coastal natural character areas and within coastal and riparian margins in the coastal environment; and 4. Avoid the establishment of new mining and quarrying activities within the coastal environment	Accept in part	Yes
Horokiwi Quarries Limited	FS28.8	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P9	Oppose	Policy NFL-P9 is specific to mining and quarrying, and in relation to the Horokiwi site, is supported in that it recognises existing quarry activities, and their expansion. Specific to Horokiwi, the policy recognises the importance and role of existing quarry activities and provides a policy pathway for their expansion (outside of high coastal natural character areas and outside of coastal and riparian margins).	Disallow	Accept in part	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.238	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P9	Support	CE-P9 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.	Retain CE-P9 (Mining and quarrying activities within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Meridian Energy Limited	228.111	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P10	Oppose	Considers that Policy CE-P10 (Inappropriate activities within the coastal environment) provides no guidance on what is considered 'inappropriate' in the coastal environment. This submission point is not saying that inappropriate activities should be allowed in the coastal environment. Rather, the point is that there is already sufficient useful guidance in other policies about what is considered inappropriate. Considers Policy CE-P10 adds no value and should be deleted.	Delete policy CE-P10 (Inappropriate activities within the coastal environment) in its entirety.	Reject	No
Wellington City Council	266.111	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P10	Amend	Considers commas need to be added to the Policy for clarification purposes.	Amend CE-P10 (Inappropriate activities within the coastal environment) as follows: Avoid the establishment of activities that are incompatible with, or detrimental to, the natural character and qualities within the landward extent of the coastal environment.	Reject	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.32	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P10	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Retain CE-P10 (Inappropriate activities within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.312	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P10	Support	Supports the policy.	Retain CE-P10 (Inappropriate activities within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept in part	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.239	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P10	Support	CE-P10 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.	Retain CE-P10 (Inappropriate activities within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Director-General of Conservation	385.65	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P10	Support	Supports proposed policy CE-P10 (Inappropriate activities within the coastal environment).	Retain policy CE-P10 (Inappropriate activities within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept in part	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.315	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P10	Oppose	Opposes this policy. It is inappropriate for such a directive policy to apply to such a large and generally urbanised area, with highly variable levels of 'natural character and quality'. The extent to which an activity is 'incompatible with or detrimental to' with its surrounding environment, including its potential effects on coastal environment is addressed within the underlying land use zone provisions and the various natural environment overlays within the Proposed Plan.	Delete CE-P10 (Inappropriate activities within the coastal environment) in its entirety.	Reject	No
Fabric Property Limited	425.35	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P10	Not specified	Considers Policy CE10.3 is similarly restrictive and equally fails to recognise that a significant portion of the CBD is subject to High Hazard Areas under the Coastal Hazard Overlays. This policy fails to recognise that there is already significant investment in the CBD, and is inconsistent with CE-O8, which is to provide for activities in the City Centre Zone which do not increase the risk to people, property or infrastructure. It is also inappropriate for this policy to apply to tsunami risk.	Not specified.	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.24	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-P10	Support	Supports CE-P10 (Inappropriate activities within the coastal environment).	Retain CE-P10 (Inappropriate activities within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.329	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R1	Support	Supports the rule.	Retain CE-R1 (Customary harvesting by tangata whenua within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.182	Part 4 / Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP3 Biodiversity Compensation	Oppose	Meridian considers the wording amendments proposed by its submission point 228.120, refined where necessary to give effect to the NPS-Indigenous Biodiversity (when that is gazetted), more appropriately give effect to the RMA and higher order policy instruments.	Disallow	Reject	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.256	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R1	Support	CE-R1 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.	Retain CE-R1 (Customary harvesting by tangata whenua within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.46	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R2	Support	CE-R2 is supported. The restoration and enhancement of the coastal environment is supported.	Retain CE-R2 (Restoration and enhancement activities within the coastal environment: 1. Outside of high coastal natural character areas; and 2. Outside of coastal and riparian margins) as notified.	Accept	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.330	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R2	Oppose	Considers it is not clear what activity this is permitting. Either delete this PA, or include much more detail as to what is intended. Only permit activities that are consistent with the requirement to protect the coastal environment's natural character, biodiversity and landscapes. Note that all areas of natural character are required to have significant adverse effects avoided. In our view the requirements of CE-R3.1 should apply in all areas of the coastal environment. Further, as noted above, the definition of restoration is not necessarily applicable to all types of restoration.	Delete CE-R2 (Restoration and enhancement activities within the coastal environment).	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.183	Part 4 / Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP3 Biodiversity Compensation	Oppose	Meridian considers the wording amendments proposed by its submission point 228.120, refined where necessary to give effect to the NPS-Indigenous Biodiversity (when that is gazetted), more appropriately give effect to the RMA and higher order policy instruments.	Disallow	Accept	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.331	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R2	Oppose	Considers it is not clear what activity this is permitting. Either delete this PA, or include much more detail as to what is intended. Only permit activities that are consistent with the requirement to protect the coastal environment's natural character, biodiversity and landscapes. Note that all areas of natural character are required to have significant adverse effects avoided. In our view the requirements of CE-R3.1 should apply in all areas of the coastal environment. Further, as noted above, the definition of restoration is not necessarily applicable to all types of restoration.	Clarify CE-R2 (Restoration and enhancement activities within the coastal environment) to provide more detail on intent of rule.	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.184	Part 4 / Appendices Subpart / Appendices / APP3 Biodiversity Compensation	Oppose	Meridian considers the wording amendments proposed by its submission point 228.120, refined where necessary to give effect to the NPS-Indigenous Biodiversity (when that is gazetted), more appropriately give effect to the RMA and higher order policy instruments.	Disallow	Accept	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.257	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R2	Support	CE-R2 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.	Retain CE-R2 (Restoration and enhancement activities within the coastal environment: Outside of high coastal natural character areas; and...) as notified.	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.47	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R3	Support	CE-R3 is supported. The restoration and enhancement of the coastal environment is supported.	Retain CE-R3 (Restoration and enhancement activities within the coastal environment: 1. Within high coastal natural character areas; or 2. Within coastal or riparian margins) as notified.	Accept	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.332	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R3	Support in part	Considers these requirements should apply in all areas of the coastal environment, as well as in riparian margins	Amend CE-R3 (Restoration and enhancement activities within the coastal environment) to apply in all areas of the coastal environment and riparian margins.	Reject	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.258	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R3	Support	CE-R3 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.	Retain CE-R3 (Restoration and enhancement activities within the coastal environment: Within high coastal natural character areas; or...) as notified.	Accept	No
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.49	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R4	Support	Supports a permitted activity rule (with no controls) for vegetation trimming or removal noting that vegetation trimming or removal within an SNA within the CE is managed under the ECO chapter of the PDP.	Retain CE-R4 (Vegetation trimming or removal within the coastal environment, outside of high coastal natural character areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.142	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R4	Support	Supports the rule as it permits the trimming or removal of vegetation within the coastal environment.	Retain CE-R4 (Vegetation trimming or removal within the coastal environment, outside of high natural character areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.48	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R4	Oppose	CE-R4 is opposed, as it generally make vegetation trimming and removal permitted. Coastal environment vegetation takes a long time to grow in extreme environments and needs to have a higher level of protection than what is being proposed in the Plan.	Not specified.	Accept in part	Yes
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.333	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R4	Oppose	Opposes this rule, given the requirement in policy 13 NZCPS to avoid significant adverse effects on all areas of natural character. It is also unclear why this rule does not exclude significant natural areas, as the other rules in this part do. Vegetation outside SNAs is also important for the maintenance of biodiversity. Seeks that this PA is deleted.	Delete CE-R4 (Vegetation trimming or removal within the coastal environment, outside of high coastal natural character areas).	Reject	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.259	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R4	Support	CE-R4 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.	Retain CE-R4 (Vegetation trimming or removal within the coastal environment, outside of high coastal natural character areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.334	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R4	Oppose	CE-R4 is inefficient and should be addressed to the extent relevant within the underlying zone provisions.	Delete CE-R4 (Vegetation trimming or removal within the coastal environment, outside of high coastal natural character areas) in it's entirety.	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.143	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R5	Support	Supports the rule as it permits the trimming or removal of vegetation within the coastal environment.	Retain CE-R5 (Exotic vegetation trimming or removal within the coastal environment, within high coastal natural character areas but outside of a significant natural area) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.49	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R5	Oppose	CE-R5 is opposed, as it generally make vegetation trimming and removal permitted. Coastal environment vegetation takes a long time to grow in extreme environments and needs to have a higher level of protection than what is being proposed in the Plan.	Not specified.	Reject	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.334	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R5	Oppose	Considers exotic vegetation can form part of natural character, and can also contribute to the maintenance of biodiversity. We therefore seek that this PA is deleted.	Delete CE-R5 (Exotic vegetation trimming or removal within the coastal environment, within high coastal natural character areas but outside of an significant natural area).	Reject	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.260	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R5	Support	CE-R5 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.	Retain CE-R5 (Exotic vegetation trimming or removal within the coastal environment, within high coastal natural character areas but outside of an significant natural area) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.144	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R6	Support	Supports the rule as it permits the trimming or removal of vegetation within the coastal environment.	Retain CE-R6 (Indigenous vegetation trimming or removal within the coastal environment, within high coastal natural character areas but outside of significant natural area) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.50	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R6	Oppose	CE-R6 is opposed, as it generally make vegetation trimming and removal permitted. Coastal environment vegetation takes a long time to grow in extreme environments and needs to have a higher level of protection than what is being proposed in the Plan.	Not specified.	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.335	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R6	Support in part	<p>Considers that if there are to be PAs for vegetation removal or trimming in the coastal environment, it is appropriate that there are standards that apply to that permitted activity. We therefore seek that this rule applies more broadly to the whole coastal environment, outside of SNAs (and that the activities managed by R4 and R5 are instead managed by this PA). It should also apply to exotic vegetation.</p> <p>In terms of the requirements of CE-S1: We support the general 50m2 limit. The exemption in c. would allow for a large amount of vegetation removal, and we seek that it is brought into line with the 10m distance in the ECO rules.</p> <p>In terms of the assessment criteria, we oppose this being limited to 'identified' coastal natural character values, particularly in the context of this plan only identifying areas of high natural character.</p> <p>We also seek that biodiversity values are part of the assessment criteria. This is because of the absence of provisions to maintain biodiversity outside of SNAs in the ECO chapter.</p>	<p>Amend CE-R6 (Indigenous vegetation trimming or removal within the coastal environment, within high coastal natural character areas but outside of significant natural area):</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance with CE-S1 is achieved [amend exemption in CE-S1.c to 10m]</p> <p>2. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. Compliance with the requirements of CE-R6.1.a cannot be achieved. Matters of discretion are: 1. The extent and effect of non-compliance with any relevant standard as specified in the associated assessment criteria for the infringed standard; and 2. The matters in CE-P8 [note proposed deletion of "identified" values in the policy] 3. Biodiversity values.</p>	Reject	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.336	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R6	Support in part	<p>Considers the matters of discretion should cross reference the new ECO policy (sought above) aimed at the maintenance of biodiversity outside of SNAs. It should also refer to the new policy (sought above) to ensure that policy 11 NZCPS is given effect to, outside of SNAs. It should also reference relevant ECO policies.</p>	<p>Amend CE-R6 (Indigenous vegetation trimming or removal within the coastal environment, within high coastal natural character areas but outside of significant natural area):</p> <p>2. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. Compliance with the requirements of CE-R6.1.a cannot be achieved. Matters of discretion are: 1. The extent and effect of non-compliance with any relevant standard as specified in the associated assessment criteria for the infringed standard; and 2. The matters in CE-P8 [add cross reference to relevant ECO policies, new policy ECO-PX relating to maintenance of biodiversity outside Significant Natural Areas and new policy CE-PX relating to giving effect to policy 11 of NZ Coastal Policy statement outside of Significant Natural Areas]</p>	Reject	No
Waka Kotahi	370.202	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R6	Support	<p>Support permitted activity standard for indigenous vegetation removal subject to compliance with CE-S1 as it provides for removal of indigenous vegetation as a permitted activity where it is necessary for the safe and efficient operation of any formed public road</p>	<p>Retain CE-R6 (Indigenous vegetation trimming or removal within the coastal environment, within high coastal natural character areas but outside of significant natural area) as notified.</p>	Accept in part	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.261	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R6	Support	<p>CE-R6 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.</p>	<p>Retain CE-R6 (Indigenous vegetation trimming or removal within the coastal environment, within high coastal natural character areas but outside of significant natural area) as notified.</p>	Accept in part	No
Director-General of Conservation	385.66	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R6	Oppose	<p>The rule CE-R6 needs to be aligned with the wording of Policy 11 of the NZCPS and should be amended.</p>	<p>Opposes rule CE-R6 (Indigenous vegetation trimming or removal within the coastal environment, within high coastal natural character areas but outside of significant natural area) in its current form and seeks amendment.</p>	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Director-General of Conservation	385.67	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R6	Amend	<p>The rule CE-R6 needs to be aligned with the wording of Policy 11 of the NZCPS and should be amended.</p> <p>Submitter requests the wording under this rule and standard are amended to be in line with Policy 11 of the NZCPS which seeks to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • avoid adverse effects of activities on indigenous ecosystems and vegetation types that are threatened in the coastal environment, or are naturally rare; and • avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of activities on areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation in the coastal environment; and • avoid adverse effects of activities on indigenous taxa that are listed as threatened or at risk in the New Zealand Threat Classification System lists; and • avoid adverse effects of activities on habitats of indigenous species where the species are at the limit of their natural range, or are naturally rare. 	<p>Seeks to amend the CE-R6 (Indigenous vegetation trimming or removal within the coastal environment, within high coastal natural character areas but outside of significant natural area) to be aligned with Policy 11 of the NZCPS.</p> <p>To ensure the rules/standards do not impact the following as a Permitted Activity: threatened or naturally rare vegetation types, threatened or at risk indigenous species, and the habitats of indigenous species.</p>	Reject	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.51	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R7	Oppose	CE-R7 is opposed as it is generally very permissive for a list of activities that have not been listed in the plan.	Not specified.	Reject	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.337	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R7	Oppose	Considers it is generally inappropriate to have PAs in the coastal environment, particularly in the context of a plan that only identifies high natural character areas. This does not give effect to policy 13 NZCPS. Seeks that this PA is deleted.	Delete CE-R7 (Any activity not otherwise listed as permitted, restricted discretionary, discretionary or non-complying within the coastal environment but: Outside of high coastal natural character areas; and Outside of coastal or riparian margins).	Reject	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.338	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R7	Oppose	Considers RD may be more appropriate. Ensure that the matters of discretion refer to a broader range of policies than only CE P2 and P10. Those policies are very narrow, and do not allow the decision maker adequate scope to consider the effects. Because it is unclear what activity will be considered under this rule, the matters of discretion may need to reference all the policies of the CE chapter, and also the ECO chapter.	<p>Amend CE-R7 (Any activity not otherwise listed as permitted, restricted discretionary, discretionary or non-complying within the coastal environment but: Outside of high coastal natural character areas; and Outside of coastal or riparian margins):</p> <p>1. Activity status: <u>Permitted Restricted Discretionary</u></p> <p>Where: Compliance is achieved with the rules and standards for activities in the underlying zones. <u>Matters of discretion:</u> 1. The matters in CE-P1 to CE-P26, and ECO-P1 to ECO-P7.</p>	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.115	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R7	Oppose	<p>WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure; 	Disallow	Accept	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.225	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R7	Amend	Considers that provision has been made to control subdivision, use and development, however, we consider amendment would give effect fully to Policy 3 of the Operative RPS and support plan users by providing clarification and assisting interpretation.	Amend CE-R7.2 (Any activity not otherwise listed as permitted, restricted discretionary, discretionary or non-complying within the coastal environment but: Outside of high coastal natural character areas; and Outside of coastal or riparian margins) by Adding reference to the use of design guides to support implementation.	Accept in part	Yes
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.262	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R7	Support	CE-R7 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.	Retain CE-R7 (Any activity not otherwise listed as permitted, restricted discretionary, discretionary or non-complying within the coastal environment but: Outside of high coastal natural character areas; and...) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.335	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R7	Oppose	This rule is inefficient and does not relate to effects management within the Coastal Environment. Instead, the triggers are non-compliance with rules or standards of the underlying land use zone. Considers that this rule should be deleted. If consideration of coastal environment provisions is relevant to a restricted discretionary activity within the underlying land use zone, this should instead be referenced within those matters of discretion. [See paragraphs 4.30 to 4.31 and 4.48 of original submission for full reason]	Delete CE-R7 (Any activity not otherwise listed as permitted, restricted discretionary, discretionary or non-complying within the coastal environment) in it's entirety.	Reject	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.52	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R8	Oppose	CE-R8 is opposed as it is generally very permissive for a list of activities that have not been listed in the plan.	Not specified.	Reject	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.339	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R8	Oppose	Opposed to PA status for activities in the coastal environment within coastal or riparian margins. In our view, it would be more appropriate to apply discretionary or noncomplying status to this activity in all zones.	Amend CE-R8 (Any activity not otherwise listed as permitted, restricted discretionary or non-complying within the coastal environment, within coastal or riparian margins): 1. Activity status:- <u>Permitted Discretionary</u>	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.116	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R8	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Accept	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.340	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R8	Oppose	If a RD rule is to remain for certain zones, it should reference more policies aimed at protecting natural character and maintaining and protecting biodiversity.	Amend CE-R8 (Any activity not otherwise listed as permitted, restricted discretionary or non-complying within the coastal environment, within coastal or riparian margins): 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance is achieved with the rules and standards for land use activities in the underlying zones. 2. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: Compliance with the requirements of CE-R8.1.a cannot be achieved; Matters of discretion are: The matters in CE-P6, PA-P1, PA-P2 and PA-P3 <u>[and all other policies protecting natural character and maintaining and protecting biodiversity]</u> .	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.117	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R8	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Accept	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.263	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R8	Support	CE-R8 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.	Retain CE-R8 (Any activity not otherwise listed as permitted, restricted discretionary or non-complying within the coastal environment, within coastal or riparian margins) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.336	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R8	Oppose	This rule is inefficient and does not relate to effects management within the Coastal Environment. Instead, the triggers are non-compliance with rules or standards of the underlying land use zone. Considers that this rule should be deleted. If consideration of coastal environment provisions is relevant to a restricted discretionary activity within the underlying land use zone, this should instead be referenced within those matters of discretion. [See paragraphs 4.30 to 4.31 and 4.48 of original submission for full reason]	Delete CE-R8 (Any activity not otherwise listed as permitted, restricted discretionary or non-complying within the coastal environment, within coastal or riparian margins) in it's entirety.	Reject	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.53	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R9	Oppose	CE-R9 is opposed as it is generally very permissive for a list of activities that have not been listed in the plan.	Not specified.	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.341	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R9	Oppose	Seeks to amend to non-complying status.	Amend CE-R9 (Any activity not otherwise listed as permitted, restricted discretionary or discretionary within the coastal environment, within high coastal natural character areas): 1. Activity status: Discretionary <u>Non-complying</u>	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.118	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R9	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Accept	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.264	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R9	Support	CE-R9 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.	Retain CE-R9 (Any activity not otherwise listed as permitted, restricted discretionary or discretionary within the coastal environment, within high coastal natural character areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.50	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R10	Support	Supports rule CE-R10 and in particular the restricted discretionary activity status.	Retain CE-R10 (Extension of existing mining and quarrying activities within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.54	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R10	Oppose	CE-R10 is opposed as it relates to the mining and quarrying activities within the coastal environment, which is generally opposed.	Not specified.	Reject	No
Horokiwi Quarries Limited	FS28.13	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R10	Oppose	The relief sought by the submitter is not clear. Rule CE-R10 provides a restricted discretionary activity and non-complying activity status and therefore provides a consenting and assessment process and framework.	Disallow	Accept	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.342	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R10	Oppose	Considers the activity should be non-complying, particularly in the context of this Plan's approach of only identifying high natural character areas, and the requirements of policy 13 NZCPS.	Amend CE-R10 (Extension of existing mining and quarrying activities within the coastal environment): 1. Activity status: Restricted-Discretionary <u>Non-complying</u>	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Horokiwi Quarries Limited	FS28.9	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R10	Oppose	The PDP restricted discretionary activity status is supported and provides an appropriate framework in which to consider the effects of existing quarry and mining activities.	Disallow	Accept	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.119	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R10	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Accept	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.265	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R10	Amend	CE-R10 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.	Amend CE-R10 (Extension of existing mining and quarrying activities within the coastal environment) to add the following matter of discretion: <u>"the long-term emissions profile of such an activity, in particular the impact of such an emissions profile on future generations."</u>	Reject	No
Horokiwi Quarries Limited	FS28.10	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R11	Oppose	The matter is not an appropriate matter of discretion. It is not clear if the matter is restricted to the activity itself or the end use to the extracted material.	Disallow	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.55	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R11	Oppose	CE-R11 is opposed as it relates to the mining and quarrying activities within the coastal environment, which is generally opposed.	Not specified.	Reject	No
Horokiwi Quarries Limited	FS28.14	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R11	Oppose	The relief sought by the submitter is not clear. Rule CE-R11 provides a NC activity status and therefore provides a consenting and assessment process and framework.	Disallow	Accept	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.343	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R11	Support	Supports the rule.	Retain CE-R11 (New quarrying and mining activities and new plantation forestry within the coastal environment) as notified.	Accept	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.120	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R11	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Reject	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.266	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R11	Amend	CE-R11 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.	Amend the activity status of CE-R11 (New quarrying and mining activities and new plantation forestry within the coastal environment) to prohibited.	Reject	No
Horokiwi Quarries Limited	FS28.11	Part 3 / Residential Zones / Medium Density Residential Zone / MRZ-PREC02	Oppose	The non-complying activity status within the PDP is supported and provides a comprehensive assessment framework in which to consider new quarry and mining activities. A prohibited activity status would not allow for consideration of the nature of the activity or environment in which it is proposed.	Disallow	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.56	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R12	Oppose	CE-R12 is generally supported, but it is unclear where the Low Coastal Hazard Area, Medium Coastal Hazard Area and the High Coastal Hazard Area are in the coastal environment. These terms do not appear on the Wellington City Proposed District Plan maps. Submission may be amended in the future.	Retain CE-R12 (Construction, addition or alteration of buildings and structures, within the coastal environment: 1. Outside of high coastal natural character areas; and 2. Outside of coastal and riparian margins) as notified.	Accept in part	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.344	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R12	Oppose	Considers it inappropriate to have PAs for activities that may have significant adverse effects on natural character, i.e. outside the identified high natural character areas. Delete this PA. Ensure that the RD matters of discretion reference policies aimed at protecting natural character and maintaining and protecting biodiversity.	Amend CE-R12 (Construction, addition or alteration of buildings and structures, within the coastal environment outside of high coastal natural character areas; and outside of coastal and riparian margins): 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance is achieved with the rules and standards for buildings and structures in the underlying zones: 2. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. Compliance with the requirements of CE-R12.1.a cannot be achieved. Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters in CE-P2, PA-P1, PA-P2 and PA-P3 [add references to policies protecting natural character and maintaining and protecting indigenous biodiversity].	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.121	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R12	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Accept	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.267	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R12	Support	CE-R12 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.	Retain CE-R12 (Construction, addition or alteration of buildings and structures, within the coastal environment: Outside of high coastal natural character areas; and...) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.261	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R12	Oppose in part	CE-R12 is opposed as it stands and should be redrafted.	Opposes CE-R12 (Construction, addition or alteration of buildings and structures, within the coastal environment: - Outside of high coastal natural character areas; and - Outside of coastal and riparian margins.) and seeks amendment.	Reject	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.262	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R12	Amend	Considers that CE-R12 should be redrafted to include permitted activity criteria which relate to the coastal environment, and the outcomes of this chapter which are trying to be achieved. The permitted activity criteria relate to the development standards of the underlying zoning as opposed to any coastal environment criteria. As such, it is not clear what this rule is trying to achieve until the matters of discretion are applied.	Seeks that CE-R12 (Construction, addition or alteration of buildings and structures, within the coastal environment: - Outside of high coastal natural character areas; and - Outside of coastal and riparian margins.) is redrafted to include permitted activity criteria which relate to the coastal environment, and the outcomes of this chapter which are trying to be achieved.	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Ministry of Education	400.69	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R12	Support	Supports CE-R12 as the submitter supports the permitted activity standards and matters of discretion as proposed.	Retain CE-R12 (Construction, addition or alteration of buildings and structures, within the coastal environment: Outside of high coastal natural character area...) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.337	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R12	Oppose	<p>This rule is inefficient and does not relate to effects management within the Coastal Environment. Instead, the triggers are non-compliance with rules or standards of the underlying land use zone.</p> <p>Considers that this rule should be deleted. If consideration of coastal environment provisions is relevant to a restricted discretionary activity within the underlying land use zone, this should instead be referenced within those matters of discretion.</p> <p>Considers that the matters of discretion listed under CE-R12 include policies relating to Public Access along coastal margins. This rule does not apply to coastal margins.</p> <p>[See paragraphs 4.30 to 4.31 and 4.48 of original submission for full reason]</p>	Delete CE-R12 (Construction, addition or alteration of buildings and structures, within the coastal environment) in it's entirety.	Reject	No
Fabric Property Limited	425.44	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R12	Support	<p>Properties at 1 Grey Street, 20 Customhouse Quay, 215 Lambton Quay and 33 Customhouse Quay are also located in the coastal environment.</p> <p>Supports enabling construction, additions or alterations to buildings in the coastal environment as a permitted activity, or otherwise as a restricted discretionary activity where there is non-compliance with the zone. It is appropriate to enable development in the coastal environment that consolidates existing urban areas, consistent with CE-P2.</p>	Retain CE-R12 (Construction, addition or alteration of buildings) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.145	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R13	Support	Supports the rule as the rule framework for the construction, addition, or alteration of buildings and structures within the coastal environment does not hinder FENZ's ability to establish fire stations within the coastal environment.	Retain CE-R13 (Construction, addition, or alteration of buildings and structures within the coastal environment, within high coastal natural character areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.57	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R13	Support	CE-R13 is generally supported, but it is unclear where the Low Coastal Hazard Area, Medium Coastal Hazard Area and the High Coastal Hazard Area are in the coastal environment. These terms do not appear on the Wellington City Proposed District Plan maps. Submission may be amended in the future.	Retain CE-R13 (Construction, addition or alteration of buildings and structures within the coastal environment, within high coastal natural character areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.345	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R13	Support in part	<p>Seeks extension this rule to apply anywhere in the coastal environment, because of the requirements of policy 13 NZCPS in respect of all areas of natural character.</p> <p>Matters of discretion should reference policies that are aimed at the protection of natural character in the coastal environment, not only in high natural character areas, as well as policies aimed at protecting and maintaining biodiversity</p>	<p>Amend CE-R13 (Construction, addition or alteration of buildings and structures within the coastal environment, within high coastal natural character areas):</p> <p>...</p> <p>Matters of discretion are:</p> <p>1. The matters in CE-P2, PA-P1, PA-P2 and PA-P3 [add references to policies protecting natural character and maintaining and protecting indigenous biodiversity]...</p>	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.122	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R13	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Accept	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.268	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R13	Support	CE-R13 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.	Retain CE-R13 (Construction, addition or alteration of buildings and structures within the coastal environment, within high coastal natural character areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.146	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R14	Support	Supports the rule as the rule framework for the construction, addition, or alteration of buildings and structures within the coastal environment does not hinder FENZ's ability to establish fire stations within the coastal environment.	Retain CE-R14 (Additions and alterations to existing buildings and structures within the coastal environment: within coastal or riparian margins) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.58	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R14	Support	CE-R14 is generally supported, but it is unclear where the Low Coastal Hazard Area, Medium Coastal Hazard Area and the High Coastal Hazard Area are in the coastal environment. These terms do not appear on the Wellington City Proposed District Plan maps. Submission may be amended in the future.	Retain CE-R14 (Additions and alterations to existing buildings and structures within in the coastal environment: - Within coastal or riparian margins) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.346	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R14	Oppose	Considers it is inappropriate to be managed by a PA. Delete the PA. Matters of discretion should reference policies that are aimed at the protection of natural character in the coastal environment, not only in high natural character areas, as well as policies aimed at protecting and maintaining biodiversity.	Amend CE-R14 (Additions and alterations to existing buildings and structures within in the coastal environment within coastal or riparian margins): 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance is achieved with the rules and standards for buildings and structures in the underlying zones. 2. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: Compliance with the requirements of CE-R14.1.a cannot be achieved; Matters of discretion are: The matters in CE-P2, PA-P1, PA-P2 and PA-P3 <u>[add references to policies protecting natural character and maintaining and protecting indigenous biodiversity]</u>	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Limited	F536.123	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R14	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Accept	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.269	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R14	Support	CE-R14 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.	Retain CE-R14 (Additions and alterations to existing buildings and structures within in the coastal environment: Within coastal or riparian margins) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.263	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R14	Oppose in part	CE-R14 is opposed as it stands and should be redrafted.	Opposes CE-R14 (Additions and alterations to existing buildings and structures within in the coastal environment: - Within coastal or riparian margins) and seeks amendment.	Reject	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.264	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R14	Amend	Considers that CE-R14 should be redrafted to include permitted activity criteria which relate to the coastal environment, and the outcomes of this chapter which are trying to be achieved. The permitted activity criteria relate to the development standards of the underlying zoning as opposed to any coastal environment criteria. As such, it is not clear what this rule is trying to achieve until the matters of discretion are applied.	Seeks that CE-R14 (Additions and alterations to existing buildings and structures within in the coastal environment: - Within coastal or riparian margins) is redrafted to include permitted activity criteria which relate to the coastal environment, and the outcomes of this chapter which are trying to be achieved.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.338	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R14	Oppose	This rule is inefficient and does not relate to effects management within the Coastal Environment. Instead, the triggers are non-compliance with rules or standards of the underlying land use zone. Considers that this rule should be deleted. If consideration of coastal environment provisions is relevant to a restricted discretionary activity within the underlying land use zone, this should instead be referenced within those matters of discretion. [See paragraphs 4.30 to 4.31 and 4.48 of original submission for full reason]	Delete CE-R14 (Additions and alterations to existing buildings and structures within in the coastal environment) in it's entirety.	Reject	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.147	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R15	Support	Supports the rule as the rule framework for the construction, addition, or alteration of buildings and structures within the coastal environment does not hinder FENZ's ability to establish fire stations within the coastal environment.	Retain CE-R15 (Construction of new buildings and structures within the coastal environment and within coastal or riparian margins) as notified.	Accept in part	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Yvonne Weeber	340.59	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R15	Support	CE-R15 is generally supported, but it is unclear where the Low Coastal Hazard Area, Medium Coastal Hazard Area and the High Coastal Hazard Area are in the coastal environment. These terms do not appear on the Wellington City Proposed District Plan maps. Submission may be amended in the future.	Retain CE-R15 (Construction of new buildings and structures within in the coastal environment and within coastal or riparian margins) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.347	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R15	Oppose	Considers it is inappropriate to be managed by a PA. Delete the PA. Matters of discretion should reference policies that are aimed at the protection of natural character in the coastal environment, not only in high natural character areas, as well as policies aimed at protecting and maintaining biodiversity.	Amend CE-R15 (Construction of new buildings and structures within in the coastal environment and within coastal or riparian margins): 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Compliance is achieved with the rules and standards for buildings and structures in the underlying zones. 2. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. Compliance with the requirements of CE-R15.1.a cannot be achieved. Matters of discretion are: The matters in CE-P7, PA-P1, PA-P2 and PA-P3 [add references to policies protecting natural character and maintaining and protecting indigenous biodiversity]	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.124	Part 2 / General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R15	Oppose	WIAL opposes all of the identified submissions made on the Coastal Environment chapter to the extent that they are inconsistent with the concerns raised by WIAL with respect to this chapter. Notably: 1. The Coastal Environment has been broadly mapped, with its corresponding policy directives applying to large urban areas of the District which are highly modified; 2. The chapter, as notified, duplicates controls found within other chapters of the Proposed Plan. This chapter should only focus on those provisions that cannot otherwise be addressed by the underlying zone provisions; and, 3. The chapter does not adequately give effect to all relevant parts of the NZCPS, including those that recognise and provide for the functional and operational needs of infrastructure;	Disallow	Accept	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.270	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R15	Support	CE-R15 is supported as it is considered logical and beneficial.	Retain CE-R15 (Construction of new buildings and structures within in the coastal environment and within coastal or riparian margins) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.265	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R15	Oppose in part	CE-R15 is opposed as it stands and should be redrafted.	Opposes CE-R15 (Construction of new buildings and structures within in the coastal environment and within coastal or riparian margins) and seeks amendment.	Reject	No
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	391.266	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R15	Amend	Considers that CE-R15 should be redrafted to include permitted activity criteria which relate to the coastal environment, and the outcomes of this chapter which are trying to be achieved. The permitted activity criteria relate to the development standards of the underlying zoning as opposed to any coastal environment criteria. As such, it is not clear what this rule is trying to achieve until the matters of discretion are applied.	Seeks that CE-R15 (Construction of new buildings and structures within in the coastal environment and within coastal or riparian margins) is redrafted to include permitted activity criteria which relate to the coastal environment, and the outcomes of this chapter which are trying to be achieved.	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Ministry of Education	400.70	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R15	Support	Supports CE-R15 as the submitter supports the permitted activity standards and matters of discretion as proposed.	Retain CE-R15 (Construction of new buildings and structures within in the coastal environment and within coastal or riparian margins) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.339	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-R15	Oppose	<p>This rule is inefficient and does not relate to effects management within the Coastal Environment. Instead, the triggers are non-compliance with rules or standards of the underlying land use zone.</p> <p>Considers that this rule should be deleted. If consideration of coastal environment provisions is relevant to a restricted discretionary activity within the underlying land use zone, this should instead be referenced within those matters of discretion.</p> <p>[See paragraphs 4.30 to 4.31 and 4.48 of original submission for full reason]</p>	Delete CE-R15 (Construction of new buildings and structures within in the coastal environment and within coastal or riparian margins) in it's entirety.	Reject	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.153	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-S1	Support in part	<p>Supports the standard as it enables preventative mitigation of fire risk to property and life through providing for the clearance of vegetation as a permitted activity in circumstances where FENZ is required to remove vegetation for the purposes of extinguishing or preventing the spread of fire or, where a notice has been served on a landholder to clear vegetation from a firebreak, in accordance with relevant sections of the FENZ Act.</p> <p>It is however important that property owners and occupiers are able to remove flammable vegetation, as required, to provide sufficient clearance to mitigate the potential for fire risk/spread between flammable vegetation and property. This is particularly important where a property is located outside of a reticulated water network and the surrounding environment includes native bush.</p>	Supports CE-S1 (Indigenous vegetation trimming or removal within the coastal environment and within high coastal natural character areas), with amendment.	Accept in part	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.154	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-S1	Amend	<p>Supports the standard as it enables preventative mitigation of fire risk to property and life through providing for the clearance of vegetation as a permitted activity in circumstances where FENZ is required to remove vegetation for the purposes of extinguishing or preventing the spread of fire or, where a notice has been served on a landholder to clear vegetation from a firebreak, in accordance with relevant sections of the FENZ Act.</p> <p>It is however important that property owners and occupiers are able to remove flammable vegetation, as required, to provide sufficient clearance to mitigate the potential for fire risk/spread between flammable vegetation and property. This is particularly important where a property is located outside of a reticulated water network and the surrounding environment includes native bush.</p>	<p>Amend CE-S1 (Indigenous vegetation trimming or removal within the coastal environment and within high coastal natural character areas) as follows:</p> <p>The following as exempt from the maximum permitted area of removal:</p> <p>...</p> <p>Vegetation removal that is required in accordance with sections 43 or 64 of the Fire and Emergency New Zealand Act 2017; and Customary harvesting; and h. It is necessary to avoid loss of life, injury or serious damage to property, including from the risk of fire.</p>	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Yvonne Weeber	340.72	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-S1	Amend	Considers that the assessment criteria in CE-S1 should be amended to prevent all indigenous vegetation trimming and removal within the high natural character areas of the coastal environment without a full management plan.	Amend CE-S1 (Indigenous vegetation trimming or removal within in the coastal environment and within high coastal natural character areas) as follows: ... Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed: 1. The effects on identified coastal natural character values and measures proposed to avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse effects. 2. <u>A management plan for the removal, revegetation and ongoing management of the high coastal natural character area.</u>	Reject	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.360	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-S1	Support in part	Supports the general 50m2 limit. Considers the exemption in c. would allow for a large amount of vegetation removal, and seeks that it is brought into line with the 10m distance in the ECO rules. In terms of the assessment criteria, opposes this being limited to 'identified' coastal natural character values, particularly in the context of this plan only identifying areas of high natural character. The identified values in the schedule are also very brief. We also seek that biodiversity values (including those required to be protected by policy 11 NZCPS) are part of the assessment criteria. This is because of the absence of provisions to maintain biodiversity outside of SNAs in the ECO chapter.	Amend CE-S1 (Indigenous vegetation trimming or removal within in the coastal environment and within high coastal natural character areas): 1. Indigenous vegetation removal must not exceed 50m2 in total area per 12 month contiguous period per site. The following are exempt from the maximum permitted area of removal: a. Vegetation removal addressing an imminent threat to people or property represented by deadwood, diseased or dying vegetation; b. Vegetation removal that is necessary to ensure the safe and efficient operation of any formed public road or access; c. Vegetation removal within 35 <u>10</u> m from the external wall of an existing building; d. Vegetation removal within 1m width either side of an existing fence or other structure; e. Vegetation removal of 2.5m in total width for maintenance of public access track (where undertaken by WCC, GWRC or their agents); f. Vegetation removal that is required in accordance with sections 43 or 64 of the Fire and Emergency New Zealand Act 2017; and g. Customary harvesting. Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed: 1. The effects on identified coastal natural character values and measures proposed to avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse effects. 2. <u>Biodiversity values included those protected by policy 11 of NZ Coastal Policy Statement.</u>	Accept in part	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.203	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-S1	Support	Support wording as notified as it provides for removal of indigenous vegetation as a permitted activity where it is necessary for the safe and efficient operation of any formed public road.	Retain CE-S1 (Indigenous vegetation trimming or removal within in the coastal environment and within high coastal natural character areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Director-General of Conservation	385.68	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-S1	Oppose	The rule CE-S1 needs to be aligned with the wording of Policy 11 of the NZCPS and should be amended.	Opposes rule CE-S1 (Indigenous vegetation trimming or removal within in the coastal environment and within high coastal natural character areas) in its current form and seeks amendment.	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Director-General of Conservation	385.69	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-S1	Amend	<p>The rule CE-S1 needs to be aligned with the wording of Policy 11 of the NZCPS and should be amended.</p> <p>Submitter requests the wording under this rule and standard are amended to be in line with Policy 11 of the NZCPS which seeks to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • avoid adverse effects of activities on indigenous ecosystems and vegetation types that are threatened in the coastal environment, or are naturally rare; and • avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of activities on areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation in the coastal environment; and • avoid adverse effects of activities on indigenous taxa that are listed as threatened or at risk in the New Zealand Threat Classification System lists; and • avoid adverse effects of activities on habitats of indigenous species where the species are at the limit of their natural range, or are naturally rare. 	<p>Seeks to amend the CE-S1 (Indigenous vegetation trimming or removal within in the coastal environment and within high coastal natural character areas) to be aligned with Policy 11 of the NZCPS.</p> <p>To ensure the rules/standards do not impact the following as a Permitted Activity: threatened or naturally rare vegetation types, threatened or at risk indigenous species, and the habitats of indigenous species.</p>	Reject	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.155	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-S2	Support in part	<p>Considers FENZ may have an operational and functional need to establish and operate fire stations in the coastal environment. Fire stations tend to have a maximum height of 8-9m and a gross floor area greater than 50m2. As such, FENZ seeks to exclude emergency service facilities from CE-S2.</p>	<p>Supports CE-S2 (New buildings and structures within the coastal environment and within high coastal natural character areas), with amendment.</p>	Reject	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.156	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-S2	Amend	<p>Considers FENZ may have an operational and functional need to establish and operate fire stations in the coastal environment. Fire stations tend to have a maximum height of 8-9m and a gross floor area greater than 50m2. As such, FENZ seeks to exclude emergency service facilities from CE-S2.</p>	<p>Amend CE-S2 (New buildings and structures within the coastal environment and within high coastal natural character areas) as follows:</p> <p>...</p> <p><u>Note: Emergency service facilities are exempt.</u></p>	Reject	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.73	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-S2	Amend	<p>Considers that CE-S2 should be amended so that design standards are considered in relationship to where they are seen from and what they will look like. New buildings and structures within the coastal environment and within high coastal natural character areas should be built and designed in a manner that fits in to the high coastal natural character.</p>	<p>Amend CE-S2 (New buildings and structures within the coastal environment and within high coastal natural character areas) as follows:</p> <p>Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Whether the building or structure and its scale and location is integrated into the landform to limit prominence and protect the identified values and characteristics and any measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse effects; and 2. The effect of the reflectivity and colour of external materials on the identified values and characteristics; 3. <u>Views of the buildings and their form and colour in relationship to the high coastal natural character areas</u> 	Reject	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.226	General District wide Matters / Coastal Environment / CE-S2	Amend	<p>Considers that buildings or structures in sites of high natural character do not exceed the relevant standards. Request these amendments to ensure the proposed approach gives effect to NZCPS Policy 13(1)(b). Seeks any consequential relief as is necessary to achieve consistency with the above and to satisfy the concerns</p>	<p>Amend CE-S2 (New buildings and structures within the coastal environment and within high coastal natural character areas) as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Buildings or structures in high coastal natural character <u>sites and areas</u> must not exceed: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. A maximum height of 5m above ground level; and b. A gross floor area of 50m2 2. The exterior façade and roof must be finished in a colour that is contained within Groups A, B or C of BS5252 and that does not exceed a reflectance value of 30%. (Note: Some colours in Groups A, B or C of BS5252 have a reflectance value of over 30% and are therefore not compliant.) 	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.18	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / COASTAL ENVIRONMENT	Support	Supports the identification of the Coastal Environment on the basis it assists plan users and provides clarity on the application of the plan provisions that relate to the definition.	Retain the definition (and identification) of Coastal Environment.	Accept in part	No
CentrePort Limited	402.5	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / COASTAL ENVIRONMENT	Support	Support the intent of this definition.	Retain the definition of 'Coastal Environment' as notified.	Accept	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.15	Part 1/ Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / COASTAL HAZARD OVERLAY	Oppose	WIAL opposes this submission to the extent that it conflicts with WIAL's primary submission which seeks to remove the application of the tsunami coastal hazard overlays.	Disallow	Reject	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.19	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / COASTAL MARGIN	Amend	Supports the provision of a definition as it assists plan users and provides clarity on the application of the plan provisions that relate to the definition. However, considers it is not clear from the definition or the planning maps where the CMA line is (and therefore where the 10m extends from). Considers clarification is needed otherwise the definition potentially adds more confusion. This is particularly the case for more dynamic coastal environments where the CMA is not readily identifiable. Given the policy implications of defining the coastal margin (in that clarity is required as to where the line applies to enable efficient and effective plan implementation.	Seeks to amend the definition of 'Coastal Margin' to clearly define the CMA line, and clearly identify on the planning maps.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Limited	FS36.17	Part 1/ Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / COASTAL HAZARD OVERLAY	Support	WIAL supports the provision of a definition as it assists plan users and provides clarity on the application of the plan provisions that relate to the definition. WIAL submits that given the policy implications of defining the coastal margin, clarity is required as to where the line applies to enable efficient and effective plan implementation.	Allow	Reject	No
CentrePort Limited	402.7	Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / COASTAL MARGIN	Support	Support the intent of this definition.	Retain the definition of 'Coastal Margin' as notified.	Accept	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.15	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	Submitter acknowledges its siting within the coastal environment, as defined by the NZCPS and the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement. Submitter expresses concern that the complex relationship between the Coastal Environment, Infrastructure and Airport Zone provisions creates an inefficient consenting pathway for airport and airport related activities.	Opposes the Coastal Environment overlay at the Airport Zone.	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.178	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	Considers that Wellington International Airport is in the coastal environment. Considers that it is something they need to consider with all their decisions. Considers that removing the airport out of this overlay would be a nonsense when both ends of the airport north Evans Bay and south with Lyall Bay surrounded by sea.	Disallow / Retain the airport zone in the coastal environment overlay.	Accept	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.16	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Submitter acknowledges its siting within the coastal environment, as defined by the NZCPS and the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement. Submitter expresses concern that the complex relationship between the Coastal Environment, Infrastructure and Airport Zone provisions creates an inefficient consenting pathway for airport and airport related activities.	Seeks that the Coastal Environment overlay is removed from the Airport Zone.	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.179	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	Considers that Wellington International Airport is in the coastal environment. Considers that it is something they need to consider with all their decisions. Considers that removing the airport out of this overlay would be a nonsense when both ends of the airport north Evans Bay and south with Lyall Bay surrounded by sea.	Disallow / Retain the airport zone in the coastal environment overlay.	Accept	No
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.10	Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Amend	The Coastal Environment ("CE") overlay is identified over part of the Horokiwi site and adjoining properties. [Refer Figure 9 of the original submission]. Opposes parts of the Coastal Overlay as it relates to part of the exiting Horokiwi quarry site. An amended boundary is sought given the nature of the existing quarrying activities undertaken and modified nature of the environment. The site is not within any identified Schedule 11 Outstanding natural feature and landscape or Schedule 13 High or Very High Coastal Natural Character Area. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachments]	Seeks that the coastal environment line as it relates to Horokiwi is amended. [Refer to original submission, including figure and attachments]	Accept in part	Yes
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.11	Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Amend	Opposes that a portion of the Horokiwi site is within a special amenity landscape with a large portion within the coastal environment, and zoned General Rural. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachments]	Seeks that the coastal environment line as it relates to Horokiwi is amended. [Refer to original submission, including figure and attachments]	Accept in part	Yes
Aggregate and Quarry Association	303.7	Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Amend	Considers that the Coastal Environment overlay is a barrier to new or expanding quarries near State Highway 2, which runs along much of the available rocks of the Wellington faultline. In particular the overlay overlaps with the Quarry Zone and the Horokiwi Quarry site and needs to be adjusted to avoid interfering with new and existing workings.	Amend the Coastal Environment Overlay to remove overlaps with the Special Purpose Quarry Zone.	Accept in part	Yes

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Aggregate and Quarry Association	303.8	Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Amend	Considers that the Coastal Environment overlay is a barrier to new or expanding quarries near State Highway 2, which runs along much of the available rocks of the Wellington faultline. The overlay extends too far from the coast and does not provide the right balance between coastal protection and enabling access to aggregate.	Amend the Coastal Environment Overlay to enable access to aggregate further away from the coast.	Accept in part	Yes
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.383	Part 3 General / Part 3 General / Part 3 General	Support in part	For all zones, opposes any provisions that lessen the protection given to SNAs, OFLS, SALs, or areas of natural character in the coastal environment. We submit that all provisions in Zones still have to give effect to the requirements of the Act and national direction, including the NZCPS. Any exemptions from those requirements are opposed.	Amend all zones to remove any exemptions to requirements of national direction instruments, particularly the NZ Coastal Policy Statement.	Reject	No
Barry Insull	32.24	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Amend	The subtitle under SCHED12 (Sinclair Head / Te Rimurapa) is non-compliant with official dual English/Māori name legalised in 2008 and should be amended. The Te Reo name for Sinclair Head is "Te Rimurapa" and should be included in the subtitle.	Amend language in SCHED12 (High Coastal Natural Character Areas) to "Sinclair Head/ Te Rimurapa" instead of "Sinclair Head".	Accept	Yes
Barry Insull	32.25	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Amend	Considers that the Coastal Cliffs East of Karori Stream Estuary does not qualify as a historic habitat for Long Bay Beach Weevil. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Seeks that language in Key Values of Coastal Cliffs East of Karori Stream Estuary be amended to remove mention of "a historic habitat for Long Bay Beach Weevil". [Inferred decision requested]	Accept in part	Yes
John Tiley	142.31	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Amend	Considers that the lack of inclusion of the ridgelines and hilltops in the schedules and the title of NFL-P2 (Use and development within ridgeline and hilltops) demonstrates that ridgelines and hilltops are not protected to any significant degree. Considers that it is extraordinary that policies NFL-P3 to P7 set out how ONFL and SAL areas are subject to development, defying any reasonable expectation that such areas would be highly valued by the city and developments would be prohibited.	Seeks that the 18 ridgelines and hilltops (and Marshalls Ridge) are listed in either SCHED11 - Special Amenity Landscapes and/or SCHED12 - High Coastal Natural Character Areas.	Reject	No
Andy Foster	FS86.34	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Oppose	Supports Glenside Progressive Association's submission regarding the protection of Ridgelines citywide. [See original Further Submission for full reasoning]. [Inferred reference to submission 142.31].	Allow	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.190	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Oppose	Considers that there is no basis supplied for including the 18 identified ridgelines and hilltops as ‘high coastal natural coastal character areas’ in SCHED12.	Disallow	Accept	No
Churton Park Community Association	189.31	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Amend	<p>Considers that the lack of inclusion of the ridgelines and hilltops in the schedules and the title of NFL-P2 (Use and development within ridgeline and hilltops) demonstrates that ridgelines and hilltops are not protected to any significant degree.</p> <p>Considers that it is extraordinary that policies NFL-P3 to P7 set out how ONFL and SAL areas are subject to development, defying any reasonable expectation that such areas would be highly valued by the city and developments would be prohibited.</p>	Seeks that the 18 ridgelines and hilltops (and Marshalls Ridge) are listed in either SCHED11 - Special Amenity Landscapes and/or SCHED12 - High Coastal Natural Character Areas.	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.191	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Oppose	Considers that there is no basis supplied for including the 18 identified ridgelines and hilltops as ‘high coastal natural coastal character areas’ in SCHED12.	Disallow	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.157	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Support	Supports the inclusion of the Lyall Bay connection between Te Raekaihau and Hue tē Taka Peninsula/ Moa Point in SCHED12, as it is ranked as an important environmental, cultural and social connection, even with the disruption of the airport reclamation. Te importance of the southern coastline and the connections and the ranking of the high status of Hue tē Taka Peninsula / Moa Point is supported.	Retain the Lyall Bay connection between Te Raekaihau and Hue tē Taka Peninsula/ Moa Point in SCHED12 - High Coastal Natural Character Areas.	Accept	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.417	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Support in part	Considers the schedule does not give effect to Policy 13 of the NZCPS. The “Relevant values under Policy 13 of the NZCPS” as identified in SCHED12 are uncertain and do not provide the level of information required to determine whether the effects of an activity can be adequately avoided, remedied or mitigated. “High” and “Moderate” are not values.	Amend SCHED12 - High Coastal Natural Character Areas to give effect to policy 13 of the NZ Coastal Policy Statement.	Reject	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.192	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Oppose	Meridian agrees that the descriptions of values in SCHED12 are not helpful in guiding the policy framework. In the absence of any specific detail, it is not possible to comment further on the requested amendments.	Not specified / Seeks that any amendments to the SCHED12 descriptions be made available for consideration before inclusion in the Plan.	Accept	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.418	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Support in part	It is unclear what the relationship is between the sections “Relevant values under Policy 13 of the NZCPS” and “Key values” for each identified area. If these are connected, then it needs to be stated explicitly. Seek inclusion of the values of each High and Very High Coastal Natural Character Area in SCHED12 to give effect to Policy 13 of the NZCPS.	Clarify relationship in SCHED12 - High Coastal Natural Character Areas between “relevant values under policy 13 of the NZCPS” and the “key values” between each area.	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.193	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Oppose	Meridian agrees that the descriptions of values in SCHED12 are not helpful in guiding the policy framework. In the absence of any specific detail, it is not possible to comment further on the requested amendments.	Not specified / Seeks that any amendments to the SCHED12 descriptions be made available for consideration before inclusion in the Plan.	Accept	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.419	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Support in part	Seek inclusion of the values of each High and Very High Coastal Natural Character Area in SCHED12 to give effect to Policy 13 of the NZCPS.	Amend SCHED12 - High Coastal Natural Character Areas to include the values of each High and Very High Coastal Natural Area.	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.194	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Oppose	Meridian agrees that the descriptions of values in SCHED12 are not helpful in guiding the policy framework. In the absence of any specific detail, it is not possible to comment further on the requested amendments.	Not specified / Seeks that any amendments to the SCHED12 descriptions be made available for consideration before inclusion in the Plan.	Accept	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.351	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Amend	Considers that the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement (NZCPS) Policy 13(1)(a) requires that for areas of outstanding natural character, adverse effects are avoided. NZCPS Policy 13(1)(b) requires that for natural character in all other areas of the coastal environment, significant adverse effects are avoided, and all other adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated.	Seeks to amend SCHED12 - High Coastal Natural Character to the area identified in the 2016 Boffa Miskell coastal natural character assessment.	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.195	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Oppose	Meridian agrees that the descriptions of values in SCHED12 are not helpful in guiding the policy framework. In the absence of any specific detail, it is not possible to comment further on the requested amendments.	Not specified / Seeks that any amendments to the SCHED12 descriptions be made available for consideration before inclusion in the Plan.	Accept	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.352	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Support in part	Supports the work undertaken to identify and schedule sites of high natural character in the PDP.	Retain SCHED12 (High Coastal Natural Character Areas), subject to amendments, as outlined other submission points.	Accept in part	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.353	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Amend	Considers the primary function of mapping area scale natural character ratings (low – high) in the PDP is to ensure applicants do not have to undertake this work as part of applications for resource consent, to give effect to NZCPS Policy 13(1)(b). It would not be efficient or effective to require applicants for resource consent to undertake this step as part of a consent process, especially when the work has already been commissioned by WCC, presumably to be included in the PDP. Mapping the full range of natural character areas in the PDP also provides more certainty to applicants/developers on areas that are more suitable/less suitable for development based on an improved understanding of the natural character values present.	Seeks to schedule natural character ratings at all levels (low, moderate, high) at the wider area scale in Schedule 12, as undertaken in the 2016 Boffa Miskell natural character assessment.	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.196	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Oppose	Meridian agrees that the descriptions of values in SCHED12 are not helpful in guiding the policy framework. In the absence of any specific detail, it is not possible to comment further on the requested amendments.	Not specified / Seeks that any amendments to the SCHED12 descriptions be made available for consideration before inclusion in the Plan.	Accept	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.354	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Support in part	<p>The submitter is concerned that the wider area scale natural character assessment has not been scheduled in the PDP. Adverse effects on natural character cannot be managed at a site of high natural character (referred to in the 2016 Boffa Miskell natural character assessment as ‘components’) in isolation. They need to be considered in the broader context of the coastal environment, at the area scale in which the site of high natural character is located. This wider area-scale natural character rating should be at all natural character ratings levels (low-high) to provide the appropriate context to a site.</p> <p>A proposed activity in the site of high natural character needs to consider potential effects on both the specific site (what the PDP already contains in SCHED12) and the overall area scale rating, to give effect to NZCPS Policy 13(1)(b). This is because there also needs to be an assessment of whether there will be ‘significant adverse effects’ on natural character outside of the mapped sites of high natural character in the PDP. Undertaking this assessment would be best informed by an understanding of whether the broader area has been assessed as having low, moderate or high natural character. Conversely, for an activity not in a site of high natural character (as currently scheduled), the potential effects only need to be assessed on the overall area scale rating.</p>	<p>Seeks to amend the title of Schedule 12, so it refers to all coastal natural character areas, rather than areas of high natural character in isolation as follows:</p> <p>(SCHED 12 –High-Coastal Natural Character Areas)</p>	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.198	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart /Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Oppose	Considers that the objectives and policies that pertain to SCHED12 do not support deletion of ‘high’ from the title of the Schedule.	Disallow / Disallow the deletion of ‘high’	Accept	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.355	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Oppose	Considers the proposed mapping approach is not appropriate to achieve CE-O1, does not fully incorporate the 2016 Boffa Miskell assessment, and will be less effective in giving effect to NZCPS 13(1)(b).	Map area scale natural character ratings (in addition to the sites of high and very high natural character already included in the proposed approach) identified in Boffa Miskell’s natural character assessment (2016).	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.197	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart /Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Oppose	Meridian agrees that the descriptions of values in SCHED12 are not helpful in guiding the policy framework. In the absence of any specific detail, it is not possible to comment further on the requested amendments.	Not specified / Seeks that any amendments to the SCHED12 descriptions be made available for consideration before inclusion in the Plan.	Accept	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Director-General of Conservation	385.94	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Support	Supports the Council to identify, map and protect the natural character of the coastal environment in line with Policy 13 of the NZCPS.	Retain Schedule 12 (High Coastal Natural Character Areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd (Terawhiti Station)	411.30	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Oppose	Opposes the High Coastal Natural Character overlay as it relates to the submitter's property, but considers it more appropriate in terms of its scale, impact to the property, and view shafts from Cook Strait.	Delete Ōteranga Head / Outlook Hill from SCHED12 as an area of High Coastal Natural Character.	Reject	No
Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd (Terawhiti Station)	411.31	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Oppose	Opposes the High Coastal Natural Character overlay as it relates to the submitter's property, but considers it more appropriate in terms of its scale, impact to the property, and view shafts from Cook Strait.	Delete Terawhiti / Ohau Point from SCHED12 as an area of High Coastal Natural Character.	Reject	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.104	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Support	Supports supports the Lyall Bay connection between Te Raekaihau and Hue tē Taka Peninsula/ Moa Point being ranked as an important environmental, cultural and social connection	Retain Hue tē Taka Peninsula/ Moa Point on SCHED12 - High Coastal Natural Character Areas.	Accept	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.105	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED12 – High Coastal Natural Character Areas	Support	Supports the importance of the southern coastline and the connections and the ranking of the high status of Hue tē Taka Peninsula / Moa Point	Supports the importance of the southern coastline and the connections and the ranking of the high status of Hue tē Taka Peninsula / Moa Point	Accept	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.165	Natural and Environmental Values / Natural Character / NATC-R2	Amend	Considers that the construction of a structure (provided it is blocked off from human interference) in the coastal environment may provide roosting area for birds and thus improve the biotic values, but it may also have an impact on the abiotic and experiential values, thus may not restore the overall natural character rating of the wider character area.	Seeks to include permitted activity conditions to clarify which restoration activities are permitted.	Accept	No

Recommended Decisions on Submissions - CE

Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Panel Recommendation	Changes to PDP?
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.26	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement (NZCPS) Policy 13(1)(a) requires that for areas of outstanding natural character, adverse effects are avoided. NZCPS Policy 13(1)(b) requires that for natural character in all other areas of the coastal environment, significant adverse effects are avoided, and all other adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated.	Seeks to amend the High Coastal Natural Character layer to the area identified in the 2016 Boffa Miskell coastal natural character assessment.	Accept in part	Yes
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.32	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers the primary function of mapping area scale natural character ratings (low – high) in the PDP is to ensure applicants do not have to undertake this work as part of applications for resource consent, to give effect to NZCPS Policy 13(1)(b). It would not be efficient or effective to require applicants for resource consent to undertake this step as part of a consent process, especially when the work has already been commissioned by WCC, presumably to be included in the PDP. Mapping the full range of natural character areas in the PDP also provides more certainty to applicants/developers on areas that are more suitable/less suitable for development based on an improved understanding of the natural character values present.	Seeks to map natural character ratings at all levels (low, moderate, high) at the wider area scale in Schedule 12, as undertaken in the 2016 Boffa Miskell natural character assessment.	Accept in part	Yes
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.33	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	Considers the proposed mapping approach is not appropriate to achieve CE-O1, does not fully incorporate the 2016 Boffa Miskell assessment, and will be less effective in giving effect to NZCPS 13(1)(b).	Map area scale natural character ratings (in addition to the sites of high and very high natural character already included in the proposed approach) identified in Boffa Miskell's natural character assessment (2016).	Accept in part	Yes