Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Richard Herbert	No	/Provision Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that SNAs should be reinstated on residential zones as originally proposed in earlier drafts of the Proposed	Reinstate Significant Natural Areas for Medium Density Residential Zones.	Recommendations	
		Values / Ecosystems and		District Plan, and prior to the Councillor Amendment to remove SNAs from Residential zones in June 2022.			
		Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO		SNAs on Medium Residential Zones are supported. [Refer to original submission for full reason]			
		y deneral ceo					
	360.3	Natural and Environmental		Considers that protection of the biodiversity and ecology is important.		Reject	No
		Values / Ecosystems and		These SNA's risk encroachment upon and destruction if not specifically protected given the planned development of the			
Heidi Snelson, Aman Hunt, Chia Hunt, Ela Hunt		Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO		site, shows an urban road being built across an area marked SNA.			
lant, chia hant, cia hant		/ General ECO					
teve West	276.16	Natural and Environmental	Amend	[Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment].	Seeks absolute protection of the Significant Natural Areas present at 395 Middleton Road. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment].	Accept in part	No
iceve west		Values / Ecosystems and	Amenu	(Refer to original submission for full reason, including accaciment).	(Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment).		
		Indigenous Biodiversity					
		/ General ECO					
	2.2			14 7 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Accept in part	No
Steve West		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Amend	[Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment].	Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private land, incentives should be offered to compensate for loss of land value, to avoid landowners either removing natives, or reducing their environmental efforts to protect that		
		Indigenous Biodiversity			bush. Providing incentives for new planting of natives so as to avoid a reduction in food sources for native birds and		
		/ General ECO			indigenous biodiversity.		
	2.3					Accept in part	No
teve West		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Oppose	Considers that the SNA rules are unworkable and have resulted in owners pre-emptively removing vegetation before the plan was notified. Because they are generic they do not suit urban land which have unique characteristics on each site,	Seeks that the Council work with private landowners to develop rules unique to specific properties rather than blanket		
		Indigenous Biodiversity		such as views, slopes and development potential.	runu.		
		/ General ECO					
	2.4					Reject	No
iteve West		Natural and Environmental	Support	Considers that SNAs on private urban land affect a large number of landowners but contribute little total area of	Supports that Significant Natural Areas do not apply to private residential land. [Inferred decision requested]		
		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	1	vegetation. This creates increased work for Council staff and challenges to intensify housing within urban boundaries as some of the undeveloped city land will include native bush.			
		/ General ECO					
	2.5					Accent	No
Steve West	2.3	Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that SNA criteria should be clarified so that it is more representative of Wellington's biodiversity. Much	Seeks that the Council have set its own specific criteria, rather than using those set by the Greater	Accept	110
		Values / Ecosystems and		vegetation that is being identified as significant are common native species. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Wellington Regional Council, to reflect Wellington's natural and built-up environment.		
		Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO		Considers that the criteria being used by WCC for assessing for SNAs are broad with large areas of commonly found bush being captured by the policy settings as a result. [Refer to original submission for full reason]			
		,					
	2.6					Accept in part	No
Steve West		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Not specified	Considers that the rules create significant legal risk for landowners if they misunderstand those rules, are unaware of future rule updates, or if the SNA boundaries are imprecisely defined.	Not specified.		
		Indigenous Biodiversity		ratore rule aplates, or in the silve boundaries are imprecisely defined.			
		/ General ECO					
	2.7					Accept in part	No
Steve West		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Amend	Considers that alternative options should be pursued to manage indigenous biodiversity on private land. SNA provisions in the district plan including: making signing up to SNA rules a voluntary option, Council negotiate	Seeks that alternative policy options are explored: a) Remove all private land from the Significant Natural Area requirement.		
		Indigenous Biodiversity		SNA provisions in the district plan including: making signing up to SNA rules a voluntary option, Council negotiate purchase of land or seek agreement from owner on rules, use QEII tools, and establish a city wide campaign of native	 a) Remove all private land from the Significant Natural Area requirement. b) Make signing up to Significant Natural Areas on private land voluntary, and if coupled with Council incentives would 		
		/ General ECO		planting.	see some landowners signing up to lock in their Significant Natural Area for future generations.		
					c) If there were a significant area of private land (say more than one hectare) the Council could negotiate with that landowner to seek agreement on how to protect this area, or even to purchase the land for addition to the Council's		
					land reserves.		
					d) The Council could accept all types of protection including QEII and title conservation covenants. This would require		
					the Council to create more nuanced maps with multiple protection measures shown (including Significant Natural Areas) as a measure of the protected indigenous biodiversity areas.		
					 e) Establish a program to encourage city wide planting of suitable natives to provide a broad spread 		
					of food across the city.		
	2.8					Accept in part	No
Shannon Andrews		Natural and Environmental	Support	Supports WCC's decision to exclude SNAs from residential zones.	Retain as notified.		
		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	1				
		/ General ECO					
	12.1					Accept	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Peter Kelly	No	/Provision Natural and Environmental	Support	Councillors have a democratic mandate to balance the interests of WCC residents against the important natural	Supports the Proposed District Plan as notified - with no Significant Natural Areas on residentially zoned land.	Recommendations	
,		Values / Ecosystems and	. pp	environment values represented by significant natural areas (SNAs). The Proposed Rules are essentially the Officer Draft			
		Indigenous Biodiversity	1	Rules, but with the SNA designation removed from all residential zoned land.			
		/ General ECO	1				
Second and I	16.2	Natural and Faulance 11		Annulase she she DNN dans as identify why shipple has been an and an and	Marke and a second status of shireds baseds as	Accept	No
Barry Insull		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Amend	Considers that the PDP does not identify why shingle beaches are endangered. At several points it is recorded that shingle beaches are endangered e.g. site 122 (Tounge Point). Given numerous shingle	Clarify the endangered status of shingle beaches.		
		Indigenous Biodiversity		beaches exist between Owhiro Bay and Makara perhaps it would be helpful to explain both why such a designation exists			
		/ General ECO	1	and set out what mitigation/enhancement measures are being undertaken and by whom.			
			1				
	L		1				
Barry Insull	32.1	Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that the PDP does not identify what mitigation/enhancement measures are being undertaken to protect	Seeks that the PDP make mention of what mitigation and enhancement measures to protect shingle beaches are being	Accept in part	No
,		Values / Ecosystems and	. amentu	shingle beaches.	undertaken.		
		Indigenous Biodiversity	1	At several points it is recorded that shingle beaches are endangered e.g. site 122 (Tounge Point). Given numerous shingle			
		/ General ECO		beaches exist between Owhiro Bay and Makara perhaps it would be helpful to explain both why such a designation exists			

Barry Insull		Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that the PDP does not identify who is undertaking mitigation/enhancement measures to protect shingle	Seeks that the PDP make mention of who is undertaking mitigation and enhancement measures to protect shingle		
		Values / Ecosystems and		beaches.	beaches.		
		Indigenous Biodiversity		At several points it is recorded that shingle beaches are endangered e.g. site 122 (Tounge Point). Given numerous shingle			
		/ General ECO		beaches exist between Owhiro Bay and Makara perhaps it would be helpful to explain both why such a designation exists			
				and set out what mitigation/enhancement measures are being undertaken and by whom.			
	32.3					Reject	No
Hugh Good		Natural and Environmental	Not specified	Not opposed to attempts to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land.	Not Specified		
		Values / Ecosystems and					
		Indigenous Biodiversity					
		/ General ECO					
	90.3					Reject	No
Capital Kiwi Trust Board		Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that there is a level of concern across landowners in the Capital Kiwi project area around the potential for	Clarify the intent of the Significant Natural Area policy to provide assurance that Significant Natural Areas will not, and		
		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity		SNAs to be declared over their properties in the future should kiwi either be released onto their land or be ranging onto	cannot, be created through native bird species being released onto, or visiting landowners' properties as a result of their commitment to predator control.		
		/ General ECO		tnem. In particular, concern is around any potential to unreasonably limit, restrict or prevent operations or developments on	their commitment to predator control.		
		, deneral ceo		their land.			
				Considers that the Capital Kiwi project would not be possible without the trust and support of a wide range of rural			
				private landowners.			
				Considers that based discussions with the Minister of Conservation, and officials in DOC (Director General and Head of			
				Policy), GWRC, and WCC, each party makes it clear that the declaration of SNAs on private land as the result of having			
				North Island brown kiwi on their land is not a possible outcome. [Refer to submission for full reasons].			
				[Keter to submission for full reasons].			
	I		1				
	91.1					Reject	No
Airways Corporation of		Part 2 / Natural and	Support	Policy 23 of the Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region (RPS) sets out the criteria for identifying significant			
New Zealand Limited		Environmental Values /		ecosystems and habitats (i.e. SNAs). Ecosystems and habitats will be considered significant if they meet one or more of	submission be allowed in part.		
		Ecosystems and Indigenous	6	the criteria listed under Policy 23. Point d of			
		Biodiversity / General ECO		Policy 23 states "provides seasonal or core habitat for protected or threatened indigenous species". The definition of			
		, General ECO		protected species under the RPS is "Species protected by the Wildlife Act 1953 and the Marine Mammals Protection Act 1978". Although North Island Brown Kiwi have a conservation status of "not threatened" under the NZ Threat			
				Classification System, they are "absolutely			
				protected" under the Wildlife Act 1953. As such, it is considered that the seasonal or core habitat of the North Island			
				Brown Kiwi (or any other protected indigenous species) would meet the criteria of a SNA under Policy 23 of the RPS and			
				would therefore be required to be scheduled in WCC's District Plan as a SNA. It is noted that areas used intermittently by			
				protected indigenous species may not meet the criteria of a SNA, however, could meet the criteria for a highly mobile			
				fauna area under the NPS-IB exposure draft. Note: The North Island Brown Kiwi has not been identified as highly mobile			
				fauna under Appendix 2 of the NPS-IB exposure draft.			
	FS106.1						
		Matural and Caulors stated	Currentia	Constanting and the second field and shares	Details Cinciples at Mathematican Associations with an endowerst	Reject	110
Oliver Sangster		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Support in nart	Generally supports the use of SNA provisions	Retain Significant Natural Area provisions with amendment.	Reject	
Oliver Sangster		Values / Ecosystems and	Support in part	Generally supports the use of SNA provisions	Retain Significant Natural Area provisions with amendment.	Rect	
Oliver Sangster		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Support in part	Generally supports the use of SNA provisions	Retain Significant Natural Area provisions with amendment.		
Oliver Sangster	112.0	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Support in part	Generally supports the use of SNA provisions	Retain Significant Natural Area provisions with amendment.	Accent in part	No
Oliver Sangster Submitter Name	<u>112.9</u> Sub No / Point	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Support in part Position		Retain Significant Natural Area provisions with amendment. Decisions Requested	Accept in part Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
Submitter Name	112.9 Sub No / Point No	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	part Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested		No Changes to PDP?
	112.9 Sub No / Point No	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental	part	Summary of Submission [Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about		NO Changes to PDP?
Submitter Name	112.9 Sub No / Point No	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	part Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested		No Changes to PDP?
Submitter Name	112.9 Sub No / Point No	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	part Position	Summary of Submission [Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about		No Changes to PDP?
Submitter Name	No	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	part Position	Summary of Submission [Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	NO Changes to PDP?
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster	112.9 Sub No / Point No 112.10	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	part Position Not specified	Summary of Submission [Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them.	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas.		No Changes to PDP? No
Submitter Name	No	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapster /Provision Natural and Environmental Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental	part Position Not specified	Summary of Submission [Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	NO Changes to PDP? NO
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster	No	Values / Ecosystems and indigencus Bioliversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter //rowikion Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	part Position Not specified	Summary of Submission [Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them.	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	No Changes to PDP? No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster	No	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapster /Provision Natural and Environmental Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental	part Position Not specified	Summary of Submission [Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them.	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	NO Changes to PDP? NO
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster	No	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO / General ECO / Natural and Environmental Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Unaligenous Biodiversity	part Position Not specified	Summary of Submission [Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them.	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	NO Changes to PDP? NO
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson	No	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Bioleversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter //rovision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	part Position Not specified Support	Summary of Submission [Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land.	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	NO Changes to PDP? NO NO
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster	No	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Bioldiversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter //Zrovision Natural and Environmental indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental	part Position Not specified Support	Summary of Submission [Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the WCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	No Changes to PDP? No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson	No	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Bioleversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter //rovision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	part Position Not specified Support	Summary of Submission [Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the WCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's initid on what value they place on conserving areas.	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	No Changes to PDP? No No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson	No	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Bioldiversity / General ECO Sub, part / Chapter //Zrovision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	part Position Not specified Support	Summary of Submission [Inferrer reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers at the WCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's mind on what value they place on conserving areas.	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	No Changes to PDP? No No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson	No	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Bioleversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter //rovision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	part Position Not specified Support	Summary of Submission Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the WCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's mind on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that if the council thinks that there is a net benefit to society from an SNA it should negotiate with the current owners over the imposition of controls and impose the costs of	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	No Changes to PDP? No No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson	No	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Bioldiversity / General ECO Sub, part / Chapter //Zrovision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	part Position Not specified Support	Summary of Submission [Inferrer reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers at the WCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's mind on what value they place on conserving areas.	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	NO Changes to PDP? NO
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson	No	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Bioldiversity / General ECO Sub, part / Chapter //Zrovision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	part Position Not specified Support	Summary of Submission Inferrer reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the WCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's mind on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that the council thinks that here is a net benefit to society from an SNA it should negotiate with the current owners over the imposition of controls and impose the costs of preservation on all readyses. If they out thinks the community will be at he costs sought by the landowner, then,	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	No Changes to PDP? No No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson	No	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Bioldiversity / General ECO Sub, part / Chapter //Zrovision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	part Position Not specified Support	Summary of Submission Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the WCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's mind on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that if the council thinks that there is a net benefit to societ from an SNA it should negotiate with the current owners over the imposition of controls and impose the costs of preservation on all ratepayes. If they don't think the community will bear the focus a SNA. It should negotiate with then, and sNA. It should negotiate with the indownery in the beart off the the alls not subject not SNA. It should negotiate on SNA.	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	No Changes to PDP? No No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson	No	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Bioldiversity / General ECO Sub, part / Chapter //Zrovision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	part Position Not specified Support	Summary of Submission Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the WCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's mind on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that if the council thinks that there is a net benefit to societ from an SNA it should negotiate with the current owners over the imposition of controls and impose the costs of preservation on all ratepayes. If they don't think the community will bear the focus a SNA. It should negotiate with then, and sNA. It should negotiate with the indownery in the beart off the the alls not subject not SNA. It should negotiate on SNA.	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	NO Changes to PDP?
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson	NO 112.10 120.4	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Bioldiversity / General ECO Sub, part / Chapter //Zrovision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	part Position Not specified Support	Summary of Submission Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the WCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's mind on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that if the council thinks that there is a net benefit to societ from an SNA it should negotiate with the current owners over the imposition of controls and impose the costs of preservation on all ratepayes. If they don't think the community will bear the focus a SNA. It should negotiate with then, and sNA. It should negotiate with the indownery in the beart off the the alls not subject not SNA. It should negotiate on SNA.	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations Accept in part Accept	No Changes to PDP? No No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson Thomas Brent Layton	No	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous tildevisity / General ECO Subspart / Chapter / Anonolion Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	part Position Not specified Support	Summary of Submission Inferred reasons) Considers that awareness is needed about SMAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the WCC thould abandon the SMA overlay and instaad enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's mind on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that the ecuced thick that there is a net benefit to society from an SMA it should negotiate with the current owners over the imposition of ontrols and impose the costs of presention on all readyness. If they don't think the community will be the cost sought by the landowner, then, cearly, the community (which includes the landowner) will be better off if the land is not subject to an SMA. Refer to original submission for full reasons).	Decidions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified. Adopt a policy of negotiating with current landowners for agreement to preserve significant natural areas.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	No Changes to PDP? No No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson	NO 112.10 120.4	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous tolkiversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter //rovision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous tolkiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Natural and Environmental	part Position Not specified Support Amend	Summary of Submission Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the WCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's mind on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that if the council thinks that there is a net benefit to societ from an SNA it should negotiate with the current owners over the imposition of controls and impose the costs of preservation on all ratepayes. If they don't think the community will bear the focus a SNA. It should negotiate with then, and sNA. It should negotiate with the indownery in the beart off the the alls not subject not SNA. It should negotiate on SNA.	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations Accept in part Accept	No Changes to PDP? No No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson Thomas Brent Layton	NO 112.10 120.4	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous tildevisity / General ECO Subspart / Chapter / Anonolion Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	part Position Not specified Support Amend	Summary of Submission Inferred reasons) Considers that awareness is needed about SMAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the WCC thould abandon the SMA overlay and instaad enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's mind on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that the ecuced thick that there is a net benefit to society from an SMA it should negotiate with the current owners over the imposition of ontrols and impose the costs of presention on all readyness. If they don't think the community will be the cost sought by the landowner, then, cearly, the community (which includes the landowner) will be better off if the land is not subject to an SMA. Refer to original submission for full reasons).	Decidions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified. Adopt a policy of negotiating with current landowners for agreement to preserve significant natural areas.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations Accept in part Accept	No Changes to PDP? No No No No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson Thomas Brent Layton	NO 112.10 120.4	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous tolewishy / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter Anatorial and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Values / Ecosystems and	part Position Not specified Support Amend	Summary of Submission Inferred reasons) Considers that awareness is needed about SMAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the WCC thould abandon the SMA overlay and instaad enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's mind on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that the ecuced thick that there is a net benefit to society from an SMA it should negotiate with the current owners over the imposition of ontrols and impose the costs of presention on all readyness. If they of thick the community will be the cost sought by the landowner, then, cearly, the community (which includes the landowner) will be better off if the land is not subject to an SMA. Refer to original submission for full reasons).	Decidions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified. Adopt a policy of negotiating with current landowners for agreement to preserve significant natural areas.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations Accept in part Accept	No Changes to PDP? No No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson Thomas Brent Layton	No 112.10 120.4 164.4	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Bioldiversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter //rovision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	part Position Not specified Support Amend	Summary of Submission Inferred reasons) Considers that awareness is needed about SMAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the WCC thould abandon the SMA overlay and instaad enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's mind on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that the ecuced thick that there is a net benefit to society from an SMA it should negotiate with the current owners over the imposition of ontrols and impose the costs of presention on all readyness. If they of thick the community will be the cost sought by the landowner, then, cearly, the community (which includes the landowner) will be better off if the land is not subject to an SMA. Refer to original submission for full reasons).	Decidions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified. Adopt a policy of negotiating with current landowners for agreement to preserve significant natural areas.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations Accept In part Accept Reject	No Changes to PDP? No No No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson Thomas Brent Layton Helen Grove	NO 112.10 120.4	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous tolevarity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter //rook/on Malues / Ecosystems and mdigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	part Position Not specified Support Amend Oppose	Summary of Submission Inferret reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the WCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's mind on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that if the council thinks that there is a net benefit to society from an SNA it should negotiate with the current owners over the motion of controls and importe the cost sought for the sought on the content of the council on the source of the council on the source. Deposes the Council confiscating land rights of private ownership in multiple ways, including SNAs.	Decisions Requested Seels that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified. Adopt a policy of negotiating with current landowners for agreement to preserve significant natural areas. Opposes Significant Natural Areas on urban or rural private land.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations Accept in part Accept	No Changes to PDP? No No No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson Thomas Brent Layton	No 112.10 120.4 164.4	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Subs part / Chapter // Crowision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Cosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental	part Position Not specified Support Amend Oppose	Summary of Submission Inferred reasons) Considers that awareness is needed about SMAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the WCC thould abandon the SMA overlay and instaad enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's mind on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that the ecuced thick that there is a net benefit to society from an SMA it should negotiate with the current owners over the imposition of ontrols and impose the costs of presention on all readyness. If they of thick the community will be the cost sought by the landowner, then, cearly, the community (which includes the landowner) will be better off if the land is not subject to an SMA. Refer to original submission for full reasons).	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified. Adopt a policy of negotiating with current landowners for agreement to preserve significant natural areas. Opposes Significant Natural Areas on urban or rural private land. Seeks that where "site specific" assessments are not completed then the status quo of the operative	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations Accept In part Accept Reject	No Changes to PDP? No No No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson Thomas Brent Layton Helen Grove	No 112.10 120.4 164.4	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Bioldiversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter //rovision Natural / Ecosystems and Natural / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	part Position Not specified Support Amend Oppose	Summary of Submission Inferret reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the WCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's mind on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that if the council thinks that there is a net benefit to society from an SNA it should negotiate with the current owners over the motion of controls and importe the cost sought for the sought on the content of the council on the source of the council on the source. Deposes the Council confiscating land rights of private ownership in multiple ways, including SNAs.	Decisions Requested Seels that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified. Adopt a policy of negotiating with current landowners for agreement to preserve significant natural areas. Opposes Significant Natural Areas on urban or rural private land.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations Accept In part Accept Reject	No Changes to PDP? No No No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson Thomas Brent Layton Helen Grove	No 112.10 120.4 164.4	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Subs part / Chapter // Crowision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Cosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental	part Position Not specified Support Amend Oppose	Summary of Submission Inferret reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the WCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's mind on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that if the council thinks that there is a net benefit to society from an SNA it should negotiate with the current owners over the motion of controls and importe the cost sought for the sought on the content of the council on the source of the council on the source. Deposes the Council confiscating land rights of private ownership in multiple ways, including SNAs.	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified. Adopt a policy of negotiating with current landowners for agreement to preserve significant natural areas. Opposes Significant Natural Areas on urban or rural private land. Seeks that where "site specific" assessments are not completed then the status quo of the operative	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations Accept In part Accept Reject	NO Changes to PDP? NO NO NO
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson Thomas Brent Layton Helen Grove	No 112.10 120.4 164.4	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Subs part / Chapter // Convoltom Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	part Position Not specified Support Amend Oppose	Summary of Submission Inferret reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the WCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's mind on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that if the council thinks that there is a net benefit to society from an SNA it should negotiate with the current owners over the motion of controls and importe the cost sought for the sought on the content of the council on the source of the council on the source. Deposes the Council confiscating land rights of private ownership in multiple ways, including SNAs.	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified. Adopt a policy of negotiating with current landowners for agreement to preserve significant natural areas. Opposes Significant Natural Areas on urban or rural private land. Seeks that where "site specific" assessments are not completed then the status quo of the operative	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations Accept In part Accept Reject Accept In part	No No No No No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson Thomas Brent Layton Helen Grove Boston Real Estate Limited	No 112.10 120.4 164.4	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter //rovivion Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	part Position Not specified Support Amend Amend Amend	Summary of Submission [Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the VCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's initid on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that if the council blinks that there is a net benefit to society from an SNA it should negotiate with the current owners over the inposition of ontices and impose the costs of preservation on all ratespaces. If they don't think the community will be atter off if the land owner, level, each owners were the landowner (will be better off if the land is not subject to an SNA. [Refer to original submission for full reasons]. Opposes the Council confiscating land rights of private ownership in multiple ways, including SNAs. [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified. Adopt a policy of negotiating with current landowners for agreement to preserve significant natural areas. Opposes Significant Natural Areas on urban or rural private land. Seeks that where "site specific" assessments are not completed then the status quo of the operative district plan should prevail.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations Accept In part Accept Reject	No Changes to PDP? No No No No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson Thomas Brent Layton Helen Grove	No 112.10 120.4 164.4	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Subspart / Chapter Antural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	part Position Not specified Support Amend Amend Amend	Summary of Submission Inferret reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the WCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's mind on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that if the council thinks that there is a net benefit to society from an SNA it should negotiate with the current owners over the motion of controls and importe the cost sought for the sought on the content of the council on the source of the council on the source. Deposes the Council confiscating land rights of private ownership in multiple ways, including SNAs.	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified. Adopt a policy of negotiating with current landowners for agreement to preserve significant natural areas. Opposes Significant Natural Areas on urban or rural private land. Seeks that where "site specific" assessments are not completed then the status quo of the operative	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations Accept In part Accept Reject Accept In part	No Changes to PDP? No No No No No No No No No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson Thomas Brent Layton Helen Grove Boston Real Estate Limited	No 112.10 120.4 164.4	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Bioldiversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter //rovision Natural and Ervironmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	part Position Not specified Support Amend Amend Amend	Summary of Submission [Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the VCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's initid on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that if the council blinks that there is a net benefit to society from an SNA it should negotiate with the current owners over the inposition of ontices and impose the costs of preservation on all ratespaces. If they don't think the community will be atter off if the land owner, level, each owners were the landowner (will be better off if the land is not subject to an SNA. [Refer to original submission for full reasons]. Opposes the Council confiscating land rights of private ownership in multiple ways, including SNAs. [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified. Adopt a policy of negotiating with current landowners for agreement to preserve significant natural areas. Opposes Significant Natural Areas on urban or rural private land. Seeks that where "site specific" assessments are not completed then the status quo of the operative district plan should prevail.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations Accept In part Accept Reject Accept In part	No Changes to PDP? No No No No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson Thomas Brent Layton Helen Grove Boston Real Estate Limited	No 112.10 120.4 164.4	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter Antonia and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	part Position Not specified Support Amend Amend Amend	Summary of Submission [Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the VCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's initid on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that if the council blinks that there is a net benefit to society from an SNA it should negotiate with the current owners over the inposition of ontices and impose the costs of preservation on all ratespaces. If they don't think the community will be atter off if the land owner, level, each owners were the landowner (will be better off if the land is not subject to an SNA. [Refer to original submission for full reasons]. Opposes the Council confiscating land rights of private ownership in multiple ways, including SNAs. [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified. Adopt a policy of negotiating with current landowners for agreement to preserve significant natural areas. Opposes Significant Natural Areas on urban or rural private land. Seeks that where "site specific" assessments are not completed then the status quo of the operative district plan should prevail.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations Accept In part Accept Reject Accept In part	No Changes to PDP? No No No No No No No No
Submitter Name Oliver Sangster Pam Wilson Thomas Brent Layton Helen Grove Boston Real Estate Limited	No 112.10 120.4 164.4	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Bioldiversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter //rovision Natural and Ervironmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	part Position Not specified Support Amend Amend Amend	Summary of Submission [Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the VCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's initid on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that if the council blinks that there is a net benefit to society from an SNA it should negotiate with the current owners over the inposition of controls and impose the costs of preservation on all ratespaces. If they don't think the community will be atter off if the land owner, level, each owners were the landowner j will be better off if the land is not subject to an SNA. (Refer to original submission for full reasons). Opposes the Council confiscating land rights of private ownership in multiple ways, including SNAs. [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission] Not submission [Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified. Adopt a policy of negotiating with current landowners for agreement to preserve significant natural areas. Opposes Significant Natural Areas on urban or rural private land. Seeks that where "site specific" assessments are not completed then the status quo of the operative district plan should prevail.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations Accept In part Accept Reject Accept In part	No Changes to PDP? No No No No
Submitter Name	No 112.10 120.4 164.4	Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Sub-part / Chapter Antonia and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	part Position Not specified Support Amend Amend Amend	Summary of Submission [Inferred reasons] Considers that awareness is needed about SNAs, what they do, their benefits, and how to work appropriately within and around them. Oppose any attempt to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land. Considers that the VCC should abandon the SNA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community's initid on what value they place on conserving areas. Considers that if the council blinks that there is a net benefit to society from an SNA it should negotiate with the current owners over the inposition of controls and impose the costs of preservation on all ratespaces. If they don't think the community will be atter off if the land owner, level, each owners were the landowner j will be better off if the land is not subject to an SNA. (Refer to original submission for full reasons). Opposes the Council confiscating land rights of private ownership in multiple ways, including SNAs. [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission] Not submission [Decisions Requested Seeks that the implementation of the Proposed District Plan be coupled with a strong public education campaign about Significant Natural Areas. Not specified. Adopt a policy of negotiating with current landowners for agreement to preserve significant natural areas. Opposes Significant Natural Areas on urban or rural private land. Seeks that where "site specific" assessments are not completed then the status quo of the operative district plan should prevail.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations Accept In part Accept Reject Accept In part	No Changes to PDP? No

Steve West		Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	Note: that original submitter states that "SNA on residential private property adjoining Typers Stream should be reinstated as they protect increasingly important haltat and biodiversity of the Wellington area. Considers that this would then enable those residents and the community to be supported in efforts to enhance those values." The original submitter also infers that without SNAs on private urban land, Wellington's indigenous biodiversity would be worse. Opposes the reinstantement of SNAs on residential private property in the Proposed District Plan (including around Types Stream) for the following reasons: – Indigenous biodiversity in Wellington has increased significantly over the last 20 years, without any need for SNAs. Raher volutariz, conservation efforts have been hagely accessful in Wellington Chr. – Considers that instead of supporting residents, oreasing SNAs on private urban land in the district plan will turn native boah into an expensive and unwinted burlen for many private urban land in the district plan will turn native boah into an expensive and unwinted burlen for many private urban land in the isotax volume value and goodwill in the process. There is real risk that indigenous biodiversity gains achieved over the last two decades will diminish over time should SNAs be created on private urban land.	Disallow		
Paul Blaschke	FS110.1	Part 2 / Natural and	Support	Support submission points made by a significant number of individuals and groups that essentially support the same	Allow	Accept	No
		Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO		proposition "Reinstate the overlay of all properly delineated SNAs or part SNAs on all relevant residential zoned properties".			
	FS129.10		-			Reject	No
Dominic Hurley	260.1	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	Considers that site owners will lose control and value of their land due to SNA's. SVA's on land will view owners to remove the native bush to avoid SNA status, having the opposite effect. Incentives should be offered instead.	Remove the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Chapter from the PDP.		Ne
Dominic Hurley	200.1	Natural and Environmental	Oppose	Considers that site owners will lose control and value of their land due to SNA's.	Seeks that Significant Natural Areas are not applied to residentially zoned private land in the future.	Reject	NO
	260.2	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO		SNA's on land will drive owners to remove the native bush to avoid SNA status, having the opposite effect. Incentives should be offered instead.		Point	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	No	/Provision Natural and Environmental	Amond	Considers that there is a restrictive policy and rule framework that would apply to SNAs (and in particular where the sites	Seeks that the Significant Natural Area overlay be amended as it relates to the Horokiwi quarry site including to remove	Recommendations	
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271 21	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Amend	Considers that there is a restrictive policy and rule tranework that would apply to SNAS (and in particular where the sites are within a Costal Environment overlay) and which to ensure any sites that are identified are in Environment overlay significant areas. Horokiw does have concerns with particular areas no both its site and on the adjoining land to the west, in terms of whether the biodiversity values ment the specific rarse basic plottified as SNAS also do not independent ecological assessment, Horokiwi seeks amendment to the SNA area identified. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachments]	Seeks that the significant Natural Area overlay be amended as it relates to the Horokiwi quarry site including to remove the SNA from the Horokiwi site which is subject to the existing use certificate reference 1048648. [Refer to original submission, including figure and attachments]	Accept in part	105
Director-General of	2/1.21	Part 2 / Natural and	Oppose	The methodology used to determine SNAs for the PDP should align with the criteria of Policy 23 of the Regional Policy	Disallow / Seeks that the submission is disallowed, unless it can be confirmed that the site does not meet the relevant	Accept in part	yes
Conservation	F\$106.2	Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO		Statement for the Wellington Region. It is also considered effective and efficient to align the review of Significant Natural Area provisions with the policy direction and requirements that are anticipated to come into effect during the PDP hearing process as set out in the exposure draft of the National Policy Statement on Indigenous Biodiversity (NPS-IB). Removal or amendments to the extent of any SNA is not supported without the site being ground-struthed by a suitably qualified exologistic to onfirm the accuracy of the current SNA mapping in Schedule S. The SNA opposed by Horokiw Quarries Lid should be ground-struthed before a determination is made to retain, amend, or remove the site/SNA from Schedule 8. If the site does not meet the SNA criteria under the NPS-IB exposure draft or the RPS, only then should it be removed.	SNA criteria		
Jane Hurley	13100.2	Natural and Environmental	Oppose	Opposes significant natural area controls, but if are included on residential land considers that the Council should at the	Seeks that compensation be provided to private landowners should residential zoned sites have significant natural area		
	286.1	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO		market price, and that that compensation should be determined at the point at which owners wish to sell their property, so that it reflects the actual market loss suffered at that point. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	controls applied.	Relact	No
Tawa Community Board	200.1	Natural and Environmental	Support	Supports the protection of our ecology on public land by the use of the Significant Natural Area (SNA).	Retain ECO Chapter as notified (with regards to Significant Natural Areas).	nejeet	
	204.40	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO		Considers that SNA's on private land may leave some property owners with unusable land, leading to financial hardship.		A	No
Tawa Community Board	234.10	Natural and Environmental	Not specified	Considers that SNA's on private land may leave some property owners with unusable land, leading to financial hardship.	Not specified.	Accept in part	no
	204.11	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO				Accept in part	No
Roland Sapsford	234.11	Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that Aro Valley's vegetation should be considered natural heritage. Aro Valley is recognised for the significant	Seeks that Aro Valley's vegetation be considered natural heritage and make creative use of planning tools to protect it.	Accept III part	NU
		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO		presence of vegetation within its urban fabric, along with its built environment. Significant Natural Areas are not the only tool at the Council's disposition. Creative use can be made of design controls which recognise the value of green space and enable design conversations about its retention.			
Wilma Sherwin	305.29	Natural and Environmental	Support	Opposes any attempts to re-instate Significant Natural Areas (SNA's) on private land.	Supports that Significant Natural Areas do not apply to private urban land. [Inferred decision requested]	Reject	No
winna Sherwin		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Support	איז	Janhhor iz mier zeknieranie wemper yn de produktien fan de internet in de internet oeropou (edingsted)		
Wilma Sherwin	306.4	Natural and Environmental	Support	Opposes any attempts to re-instate Significant Natural Areas (SNA's) on private land.	Supports that Significant Natural Areas do not apply to private rural land. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept	No
wiima snerwin		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Support	-υγμοσο any autoritytis to re-instance significant, institutial interest (SNAS 5) on private land.	-συφρωτις κικαι, σημιπικατις πατωταία κατέσος συ που αρμηγικο μητικάτε frural tando, [interred decision requested]		
Bruce Crothers	306.5	Natural and Environmental	Not specified	Considers that support for revegetation of marginal land and restoration of wetlands for biodiversity and carbon	Seeks that the council put in place the means to reduce emissions and ensure sequestration of carbon by restoring	Accept Reject	No
or oce ci otners		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO		Consider that support for revegedation of marginal land and restoration or weblank to resolversity and carbon sequestration should be continued. No uther draining or development on weblank. It is considered that if humans are to survive as a species for more than fifty years, the Council must put in place the means to reduce emissions and ensure carbon sequestration by restoring greenspaces and weblank, as per the PC report.		negees	
	319.9						No

Bruce Crothers		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	Opposes the draining or development of wetlands.	Not specified.	Reject	
	319.10						No
Bruce Crothers	319.11	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Amend	Considers that there should be a ban on the covering of waterways in green fields development.	Seeks a ban on covering waterways in greenfield development	Accept in part	No
Submitter Name		Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
	No	/Provision				Recommendations	
Bruce Crothers	319 12	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Amend	Considers the Queen's chain should be restored, possibly allowing for public walking network and wildlife corridor.	Seeks that the Queen's chain is restored.	Accept in part	No
Bruce Crothers		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Not specified	Considers that the Council needs to intervene on the noticeable biodiversity collapse by not allow the wholesale destruction of nature on land, in the air and sea, or any destruction of irreplaceable natural assets.	Seeks that Council protect nature on land, in the air and in the sea, as well as any irreplaceable natural assets.		
Roval Forest and Bird	319.13	Natural and Environmental	Not see al.	Generally supports the provisions in this chapter, subject to the submission points below. As discussed above, the SNA	Not specified.	Accept in part	No
Protection Society		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO		provisions applying to residential SNAs must be reinstated from the previous vection of the Plan. Reinsert all provisions relating to SNAs. Interdential zones, them the Dirict Plan and fidated 20, april 202 (ECO chapter is attached to this relation) with mendments is set out below. This includes any deleted references to residential SNAs or SSCHED 9. Urban Environment Aldoments that may have been included in other parts of the Plan. If this is not done, there will need to be an alternative rule or rules to protect significant blocknessity in residential areas, that still meets the requirements of the Act. Insert additional provisions in this chapter and in other relatent chapters to provide for Cound's function for the maintenance of indigenous blocknessity, including regulary methods to restrict vegetation chance and policy direction for assessments of effects on indigenous blocknessity. Include provisions to promote maintenance, restoration, and enhancement of areas within and beyond SNAs. These explorations to promote maintenance, restoration, and enhancement of areas within and beyond SNAs. These explorations to promote maintenance, restoration, and enhancement of areas within and beyond SNAs. These explorations to promote maintenance, restoration, and enhancement of areas within and beyond SNAs. These explorations of the residential SNAs. We seek that all references to ECO policies are reviewed to ensure that they are accurate.			
	345.171					Reject	No
Kåinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.157	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes amendments as this may impact on residential intensification outcomes.	Disallow	Account	No
Director-General of	1569.157	Part 2 / Natural and	Support	The reasoning and decision requested by the original submitter is supported.	Allow	Ассерг	NO
Conservation	FS106.3	Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO				Reject	No
Steve West	r5110.3	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	Disagree that reinstatement of residential SNAs in the Proposed District Plan is required for WCC to meets its obligations under section (c) of the RMA and policies 23/24 of the Regional Policy Statement for the following reasons: - Nether the RMA or RFS prescribe in detail how a council night give effect to the requirement to protect of areas of significant indigenous vegatation and significant habitato for indigenous fama. On note, In the 2015 Environment Court cancel barby meets 8 Brid and New Pymouth District Council the Environment Court Concluded Councils might conceivably meet MM Sections (c) duites through meets observations and meets, but in this case the non- regulatory methods relied on by the Council were insufficient to provide protection. - Alternative methods of compliance are available to WCC. - Indigenous biodivershy in Weilington (including on private urban Ind) has increased significantly over the last 20 years, including Zaalandia ad Predator Free, along with volutinary connexistion efforts in kits that genese activate and and Predator Free, along with volutinary connexistion efforts in kits that genese buddeness activered over the last two decades will diminish houdd SNAs be created on private urban Ind, and as a result would see both GWKC and WCC Inling to meet their regulatory obligations, including quarket the RMA. - Specifically for Captain Edward Daniell Drive, indigenous biodiversity is already protected under Section 3 of the Land Convalers stat as the NPSB Is in draft form and has no legal effect, and given how unopolator SNAs proved to be in submission on the draft district Japan, pushing now the charging SNA on private urban land before the policy statement is in effect would further undermine WCC's already poor relationship with impacted landowners. [Refer to further submission for full reason]	Ditallow / Seeks that part of submission be disallowed and that already protected land (such as Captain Edward Dainell Drive) be removed from Schedule 9, should residential SNAs be reinstated.	Arrest	No
Wellington City Council Environmental Reference Group	13110.3	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Support	Support the position that the SNA provisions applying to residential SNAs must be reinstated from the previous version of the Plan. Reinsert all provisions relating to SNAs in residential zones, from the District Plan draft dated 20 April 2022. Further support amendment to clarify the wider role of the Council maintaining biodiversity wider than SNAs. We support the submission optint and need an objective stating the district's followers its in maintane and enhanced.	Allow		110
	FS112.23	/ General ECO		Support the need for a new suite of objectives, policies, and rules to provide for protection of wetlands.		Reject	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
	NO	Provision				Recommendations	

Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Wellington City Council	345.172	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Support in part	Condex the introduction is allent on the Council function of maintaining biodiversity, which is wider than only protection SMAs. The purpose of this chapter is to identify significant natural areas within Wellington City to protect and maintain the remaining areas of indigenous biodiversity, and addition to the requirement to identify and protect significant natural areas, Council also has the job of maintaining biodiversity outside of significant natural areas. This chapter contains provisions which support that function.	Amend the Introduction to the Ecosystem: and Indigenous Biodiversity charger: The purpose of this chapter is to identify significant natural areas within Wellington City to protect and maintain the remaining areas of indigenous biodiversity. In addition to the requirement to. Identify and protect significant natural areas. Council also has the ide of maintaining biodiversity outside of significant natural areas. This despite contains environment the topology that function. 	reject	Ng
Environmental Reference Group	FS112.24	Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Jupport	the PBn. Bensert all provisions relating to SNAs in readential zones, from the District PBn dorft dated 20 April 2022. Further support amelment to darily the wider role of the council maintaining distributivity wide than SNAs. We support the submission point and need an objective stating the district's blockvershy is maintained and enhanced. Support the need for a new suite of objectives, policies, and rules to provide for protection of wetlands.		reject	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.144	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Support in part	Supports the identification of SNAs in the PDP in accordance with RPS Policies 23 and 24.	Retain provision, subject to amendments, as outlined other submission points.	Accept in part	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.145	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	Considers that in managing the effects of intensification on indigenous ecosystems and habitats, we recommend VCC includes additional controls for zones where intensification more court in area salicent to SNAs, caries that buffer zones and ecological corridors. Such areas contribute to the long-term viability and enhancement of SNAs. Greater Wellington seeks consideration of these measures in accordance with Policy 47(a) and (b) of the operative RPS.	Seeks to include any necessary consequential amendments to provide this direction.	Reject	No
Director-General of Conservation	F\$106.4	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Support	The reasoning and decision requested by the original submitter is supported.	Alow	Reject	No
Wellington City Council Environmental Reference Group	F5112.9	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Support	Support CWRC seeking that VVCC includes additional controls for zones where internalification may occur in areas adjacent to SNAs, such as buffer zones and ecological controlsrA, spee with them that such areas contributed to the long-term viability and enhancement of SNAs, and also give effect to Policy 47(a) and (b) of the operative RPS.	Alow	Reject	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.146	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	Though forester: Wellington supports WCC's identification of SNAs in line with RPS Policy 23, we oppose the omission of SNAs on private residential land from the Propose District Pina (POP) because: • the removal of identified SNAs from the PPO contradictory to national direction for indigenous biodiversity protection. Section 6(c) of the MX 1993 taste that the protection of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitas of indigenous fauna' is a matter of national importance, and that this matter must be recognised and provided for / yal persons sercing functions and powers under the RMA, including local authorities under Sections 30 and 31. • the removal of SNAs on private residential land from the PDP is contrary to Policy 24 of PIS- Policy 24 directs district councils to include in their district plans, policies, rules and methods to protect the indigenous ecosystem and habitats tidentified in accordance with policy 23. Policy 24 registre district councils to protect all areas identified in accordance with policy 23 through provisions in their district plans. • the removal of Statefield SNAs on private residential and from the PDP to be inconsistem with WCC's vision and sapirations for protecting and restoring the city's indigenous biodiversity. The Our Natural Capital: Wellington's Biodiversity strategy and Action Piars 2015 [1] tastes that WCC will private land and rare, threatened, or locally significant specier', and that it will build natural capital by 'respect[ing] the inportance of indigenous biodiversity to New Zasiand and the intrinsic right to exist'. We do not consider the exclusion of SNA on private residential land to align with this direction.	Seeks to apply SNAs to all zones as intended by section 6 of the RMA and Policy 24 of the RPS.	Reject	No
Director-General of Conservation	FS106.5	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Support	The reasoning and decision requested by the original submitter is supported.	Allow	Reject	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Steve West	No FS110.12	Part 2 / Natural and	Oppose	Do not agree that reinstatement of SNAs on residential private property in the PDP is required for	Disallow / Seeks that part of submission be disallowed and that already protected land (such as	Accept	No
Wellington City Council Environmental Reference Group	FS112.10	Environmental Values / Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Support	WCC to meets its obligations under section 6(c) of the RNA and policies 23/24 of the Regional Policy Support OWKC soportion to the omission of SNAs on private residential land from the Proposed District Plan (PDP) because: - the removal of locatified SNAs from the PDP is contradictory to the national direction for indigenous biodiversity protection; - a lato contrary to Policy 24 of RPS;and - a inconsistent with WCC's Our Natural Capital: Wellington's Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan	Captain Edward Dainell Drive) be removed from Schedule 9, should residential SNAs be reinstated. Allow	Reject	No
Wellington City Council Environmental Reference Group	F5112.27	Part 2 / Energy Infrastructure and Transport / Renewable Electricity Generation / REG-S3	Support	WCCERG agree with the submitter and oppose the omission of SNAs on private residential land from the Proposed District Plan (PDP).	Alow	Reject	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.147	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Amend	Policy IE: a Proposed RPS Change 1 directs district plans include policies, rule or methods to partner with mana whenua to managing indigenous blockversity values, where offstratis is required, this policy could be implemented by provisions requiring management plans for managing offset biodiversity areas and effects on significant areas. Monitoring requirements would form part of these plans and plan direction could encourage the adoption of mätauranga Milori in monitoring of indigenous species in relevant circumstances. Other relevant Proposed RPS Change 1 policies include Policy 47 and IE.2.	Seeks to Amend the PDP to require partnering with mana whemua in the management of activities that affect indigenous biodiversity. Consider the requirement for management plans for consents and within those management plans a requirement for enabling tangata whemua to exercise kaltiakitanga to monitor biodiversity.	Reject	No

Constraint Instrumental Value (Second Constraint) Instrumental Value (Second Co								
	Director-General of Conservation		Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Support	The reasoning and decision requested by the original submitter is supported.	Allow		
Handbar Handbar Improvementation Handbar Hand	Environmental Reference		Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Support	biodiversity. This is because Policy IE.1 of Proposed RPS Change 1 directs district plans to include policies, rules or	Allow	Reject Reject	No
Name Name Name Name Scher Stephen Sche Stephen<		F5138 25	Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Support	affect indigenous biodiversity. They also seek that the requirement for management plans for consents is considered, and within those management plans are requirement for exhibing tangata whenus to exercice katiskitanga to monitor biodiversity. Te Rünango o Toa Rangatra support this part of the submission because requiring partnership with mana whenua in the management of indigenous biodiversity will support katiskitanga. This will support mana whenua in building ther matauranga and kills in relation to monitoring and management of	Allow	Palart	No
Name	Greater Wellington	13138.23	Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that while mana whenua / tangata whenua exercising their role as kaitiaki have been provided for, we consider	Seeks to amend to provide for mana whenua / tangata whenua involvement in the mapping of indigenous biodiversity,	Reject	NO
Nexter Andread of Caracter Andread of Caracter Andread of Caracter Andread of Andread Andread Angree Angree An		254.440	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity		the policy requires amendment or a new policy inserted to specifically recognise mana whenua / tangata whenua involvement in the mapping of indigenous biodiversity, including to identify taonga species. This would be to have regard			
Hongers 10: Magers 20: Magers 20: Mage			Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Support	The reasoning and decision requested by the original submitter is supported.	ABow	Reject	No
Name			Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Support	biodiversity, including to identify taonga species Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira support this part of the submission because providing for mana whenua involvement in mapping indigenous biodiversity and taonga species will also support	Aðow	Reject	No
Director General differences Part 7 / Natural and Microsometral Junces Support The reasoning and discionin requested by the original submittrix is supported. Allow Allow Image: Comparison of the comparison of th			Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Amend	adverse effects on mahinga kai, other customary uses and access for these activities needs to be included as an			
Cursewardian Involume Status Processes of Marge Status Procestatus Procestatus Processes of		351.149					Reject	No
Solumiter Name Solu P/ Neurity No. Subject / Comparison Summary of Subjection Summary of Subjection Decision Requested De		55106.9	Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Support	The reasoning and decision requested by the original submitter is supported.	Allow	Reject	No
Image: Not Subject in the processing of the property in the provided to the Council's environments. Interest detection requested in the provided to the Council's environment committee from offices. "Wellington, will at herit" is what our unque capital environment and indigenous Biodiversity in the state and provided to the Council's environment and planning committee on June 23 2022. WCC Environmental Reference Group Natural and Environmental indigenous Biodiversity. General ECO Natural areas, and biophic environment committee from offices. "Wellington will at herit" bio throad detection registery deformance as a trip in provide property in the provided to the Council's environment and planning committee on June 23 2022. Reject No Detector General GCO 377.115 Part 2 / Natural areas, and biophic exported. The ontskee on provide to the RMA, not is it is also considened contrary to the moth state in the RMA, not is it	Submitter Name		Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Te Kamury Station Ltd Ratings Natural and Environmental Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Amend Status Status Ltd Ratings Considers that SNAs should not be on private property. Seeks that Significant Natural Areas on private property in both urban and rural indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Reject No WCC Environmental Reference Group Natural and Environmental Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO Amend Considers that SNAs identified in the draft district plan version provided to the Council's environments are keys preserving and enhancing significant natural areas with Borget and providing to the Council's environments are keys preserving and enhancing significant natural areas with Borget and a enviroi providing to the Council's environments are keys to human health and well- borg and area critical part of providing to sac tricit part of providing to find genous and trial areas and thoghensus, to simportant. Research shows that access to natural areas, and togeholders and with Horiget and Predicting Boldwershity. On this matter, Weilington as a city is paying and policy sugport to thes. The failure is in the Rahard to Weilingtonians, to simportant. Research shows that access to natural areas, and togeholders in readered lag and policy sugport to thes. The failure is the notice shows and the shows and the research shows that access to natural areas, and togeholdershity. On this matter, Weilington as a city is paying and policy sugport to thes. The failure is in the failure is in the failure is in the failure is in the RAhard Notice 3 State Brite, each is it gaing and policy sugport to thes. The failure is in the readered lag and policy sugport to the RAhard Notice 3 State on private proget on the Rahard Notice 3 State shows and the RAhard Notice 3 State on the RAhard Notice 3 State on private proget and the notice 3 State	Richard Herbert	No	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Amend			Recommendations	
Ratings Values / Ecosystems and Indigence. Biolowersity / General ECO Natural and Environmental Amend Amend Reference Group Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Natural and Environmental Amend Amend Considers that Schedule 8 should include all the SNA identified in the draft district plan version provided to the Council's environmental amend reference Group Natural and Environmental Nulues / Ecosystems and Values / Ecosystems and Values / Ecosystems and Undigence. Biolowersity / General ECO Considers that Schedule 8 should include all the SNA identified in the draft district plan version provided to the Council's environment committee on June 23 2022. Reject No Breference Group Values / Ecosystems and Values / Ecosystems and undigence. Biolowersity / General ECO Considers that Schedule 8 should include all the SNA identified in the draft district plan version are swill become increasingly important. Research shows that targe and the plan ing committee on June 23 2022. Reject No Director.General GCO 377.115 Pat 2 / Natural and Environmental and explaining consistent and regional plan. Final results in the shows that targe in provisions of GWRC's regional policy statement and regional plan. Allow Allow Reject No	To Manager Chatland Ind	360.2	Natural and Caulana metal	Amond	A secolular sheet ANA - sheetid a shiele as well as a second s	Production of Provide Network Network Access in a second Providence Alabama Access and solve a second state and sound	Reject	No
Reference Group Justy 2 Kzosytems and Indigenous Usaky 7 Kzosytems and Indigenous Vsaky 7 Kzosytems and Indigenous Vsaky 7 Kzosytems and Indigenous Indigenous Indigenous Internet Indigenous Usaky 7 Kzosytems and Indigenous Internet Indigenous Internet Indigenous Internet Indigenous Indigenous Internet Indigenous Internet Indigenous Internet Indigenous Indigenous Internet Indigenous Internet Indigenous Internet Indigenous Indigenous Internet Indigenous Internet Indigenous Indigenous Internet Indigenous Internet Indigenous Internet Indigenous Indigenous Internet Indigenous Internet Indigenous Internet Indigenous Internet Indigenous Indigenous Internet Indigenous Indigenous Internet Indigenous Internet Indigenous Indigenous Internet Indigenous Indigenous Internet Indigenous Indigenous Internet Indigenous	Ratings	362.4	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO			erwironnents. [inferred decision requested].	Reject	No
Director-General of Part 2 / Natural and Support The decision requested by the original submitter is supported. The omission of SNAs on private residential sites in the Allow Conservation Environmental Values / PDP is considered contrary to section 6(c) of the RNA and Policy 23 & 24 of the RPS. It is also considered contrary to the Allow Ecosystems and Indigenous NPS-16 exposure drift. It is need the time of this further submission the MP-31 exposure drift. It is need all effect, Environmental effect			Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Amend	provided to the Council's environment committee from officers. "Wellington, wild at heart "is what our unique capital city trades upon - and is the populations grows and utuban areas densify, preserving and enhancing significant natural areas will become increasingly important. Research shows that access to natural areas, and "biphilic" environments are keys to human health and well- being and are a critical part of protecting biodiversity. On this matter, Wellington as a city is playing a critical role in providing refuge for formerly at risk native birds, e.g. kaka, and with efforts such as the Haio Propid Protectiand Protector fore initiatives being undertaken by thousands of Wellingtonians, it is important our city's district plan provides legal and policy support to this. The failure to include SNA areas in residential anone mens that the district plan is not in accordance with section 6 of the MAA, not is living effect to exidential anone mens that the district plan is not in accordance with section 6 of the MAA, not is living effect to			
/ General ECO Belert No			Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Support	PDP is considered contrary to section 6(c) of the RMA and Policy 23 & 24 of the RPS. It is also considered contrary to the NPS-IB exposure draft. It is noted at the time of this further submission the NPS-IB exposure draft no legal effect,	Allow	Reject	No

Steve West		Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	The original submitter seeks that Schedule 9 of the draft district plan be reinstated (jadding back SNAs on private residential land), citing this exclusion is contradicory to both section 6(-) of the RMA and Policies 32/24 of the Regional Policy Statement. State West does not agree that reinstatement of SNAs on residential private property in the Proposed Datist (Flan is reguired for WCC in mesh its obligations under section 6(-) of the RMA and policies 23/24 of the Regional Policy Statement for the following reasons: - Vehicher the RMA or PSP prescribes in detail how a council might give effect to the requirement to protect of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna. On note, in the 2015 Environment Court case between Forces B kind and New Sympach District Council the Environment Court costs det Councils might conceinably meet BMA Section 6(-) distes through methods other than identification and rules, but in this case the non- regulatory methods relied on by the Council weight implicit bio protection. - any case, including though activities like Zealanda and Prediato Free, along with voluntary conservation efforts without any need for SNAs. These activities have combined significanity to the recover y dish site. He Kala, and proves the current voluntary conservation efforts are working well. - Ceating SNAs on our float and fauna, there is an if site functional goodwill in the process. So rather than providing legal protection for uffort and fauna, there is an if site fungeous blockievity gains ad-there it gains down there is gain faund to the district gain and there wigge and there in the fauna gain for many private urban land haves it most its funding than digenous blockievity gains ad-there down the site two decades will diminish over time should SNAs be created on private urban land.	Disallow / Seeks that SNAs on private urban land remain excluded from the Proposed District Plan.		
	F5110.18					Accept	No
Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Support in part	Supports the general direction of chapter, with amendments.	Retain ECO chapter with amendments.		
Taranaki Whânui ki te Upoko o te Ika	389.73	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	Opposes the zoning and extent of overlays proposed over Te Motu Kairang! / Miramar Peninsula, Mount Crawford. Submitters supports the protection of areas of significant indigenous vegetation as well as landscapes that have cultural, historical, spiritual and traditional significance to Taranaki Whänui, the identification and protection of environmental overlays in previously developed areas is of concerns to Faranaki Whänui to exercise tino rangattratanga over their ancestral lands.	Seeks that the zoning and extent of overlays proposed over Te Motu Kalrangi / Miramar Peninsula, Mount Crawford is removed; specifically at Part Lot 1 DP 4741, Section 4 SO 477035, PT LOT 1 DP 4741 - WELLINGTON PRISON, Section 1 SO 477035, Part Section 20 Watts Peninsula DIST.	Accept in part	NU
Submitter Name	389.74 Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Reject Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
Laurence Harger & Ingrid Kölle	No	/Provision Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	Taranaki Whänu has sold the land it owned at Shelly Bay to The Wellington Company for a large development which was consented via the Special Housing Accords Act, thus denying the community any say on the consenting process. Community involvement should be earned for the future though and the current DP height limit of 11 neres in some areas and the zero height limit in Open Space B land should remain. A recent poll has shown that the wider Wellington public want shelly buy included in a National Heritage Park centered on the 76 hectares of Watts Peninsul already designated for a reserve by the Government. Taranaki Whänu have trated Shelly Bay soldy as a commercial proposition despite diagreement by a large group of Its	Disallow / Seeks that the provisions relating to Shelly Bay in submission 389 are disallowed.	Recommendations	
	F52.9			members (Mau Whenia) who occupied the site and opposed its sale, wanting to uphold their cultural and spiritual connection to the fand. Mau Whenau continue to oppose the sale of the land at Shelly Bay and should be included by the council in all decisions taken about its future.		Accept	No
Laurence Harger & Ingrid Kölle	F52.9 F52.21	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	members (Mau Whenua) who occupied the site and opposed its sale, wanting to uphold their cultural and spiritual connection to the land. Mau Whenua continue to oppose the sale of the land at Shelly Bay and should be included by the	Disallow / Seeks that the part of the submission to remove the proposed zoning and overfays on Watts Peninsula be disallowed.	Accept	No

National Heritage Park, which would also include the 76 hectares of Watts Peninsuls are adde by the government as a reserve in 2011. Taranak Whanu have viewed Stelly Bays as a strictly commercial proposition and disavowed any cultural, historical and spiritual connection to the site. A substantial proportion of the liw (max whenua) have opposed and continue to oppose the sale of the site, and should be included by the council in all democratic decision making about the future of Shelly Bays.		
FSU3 SU3 Su3 <td>Accept Independent Hearings Panel</td> <td>No Changes to PDP?</td>	Accept Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
Mary Vamhum and Pull Num Markal and Environmental Mary Vamhum and Pull From 2011 the 76 hectares of Watts Peninsula has been set aside by the government as a reserve, to incorporate and Values / Cosystems and indigenous Solubientity / General ECO Disailow Disailow Values / Cosystems and indigenous Soluberity / General ECO From 2011 the 76 hectares of Watts Peninsula has been set aside by the government as a reserve, to incorporate and peninsula. Disailow Disailow Values / Cosystems and indigenous Soluberity / General ECO Submitter supports the romes should become a National Heritage Park. Submitter supports a conservation, model for development and management of this park, to include by government, counci, the local community, and ogranisations with as forest and Birl and Pediator Free Miraman. Disailow and Uses, history and landscape of Vatts Peninsula, a prominent feature of Tex Whangania-Fara. Supports transmithy Landscape of Vatts Peninsula, a prominent feature of Tex Whangania-Sara. Supports transmithy Landscape of Vatts Peninsula, a prominent feature of Tex Whangania-Sara. Supports transmithy Landscape of Vatts Peninsula, a prominent feature of Tex Whangania-Sara. Supports transmit provisions are with to more the mangalities match should see extensive planting and regeneration of native forest. New also	Texonmendations	
Buy Back the Bay Part 2 / Natural and Environment Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Oppose Submission 389 states as 3.5mbission Point, IT Transak Whanu upp oses the zoning and extent of overlays proposed over 1 Mouta Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Disallow Biodiversity / General ECO H Rohe Anapole Othagter as: Planning maps Pointing maps Disallow H Rohe Anapole Makin Natural Open Space Zone chapter • NgA Whit Topu I te Makori Natural Open Space Zone chapter • NgA Hanga Maori Natural Character chapter • NgA Hanga Maori Natural Character chapter • Te Antureio NgA Hange Maori Natural Character chapter • NgA Hange Maori Natural Character chapter • Tawaita Chastle Environment Chapter • Tawaita Lossis Liewronment Chapter • Diposes in Lossi Liewronment Chapter • Diposes in Lossi Submission 389 on these points, which appears to be a wholesale rejection of planning rules in these areas. Figure 1	Accept	No
Boy Back the Bay Dipose Unbristion 389 state: "Taranaki While" / File Beload) apportunities in Te Moto farangi: Taranaki While" and Park Dipose Boy Back the Bay Boy Back the Bay Dipose Unbristion 389 state: Taranaki While" / File Beload) apportunities in Te Moto farangi: Taranaki While" / File Beload) Dipose Dipose Moho Back the Bay Dipose Unbristion 389 state: Taranaki While" / File Beload) Dipose Dipose Moho Back the Bay Dipose Unbristion 389 state: Taranaki While" / File Beload) Dipose Dipose Book restript / General ECO Concerned to see that Taranaki While Include: maps to more relation with the Bays and the Bay and the Bay and the Bays	Accept	No
	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?

Buy Back the Bay	F579.44	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	Refers to submission 389 states: Taranaki Whihuni opposes the extent of the proposed anoing of Shelly Bay Taikuru and the proposed height control limits: "Bay Back the Bays opposes the submission on both points." Specifically, the Submission 389 for Taranaki Whihuni seeks that: "I. The Mikod Use Zone is extended across the allotments" liturated in Figure Two below or amended to follow the extent of consented development area outline in the approved masterplan and engineering drawings. 2. The Hikod User Joan Sha Masterplan resource consent." Buy Back the Bays poposes both parts: buy Back the Bays note that netther part affects Taranaki Whihuni's commercial bor other interests. Considers that both parts only affect the tail apartment buildings planned by and for the exclusive commercial benefit of the Weilington Company, not the tassing of lower existing buildings that The Weilington Company has offered to Taranaki Whihuni as its stake in the project.	Disallow	Arrest	No
Lance Lones	F579.44	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	Te Motu Kairangi is very nearly an island, and as a result of the amazing work of Predator free Wellington, is in fact, nearly predator free, and uniquely able to support significant biodivently, Combined with the Ridgelines: and Hillops Overlay, and the Significant Natural Aris so verlay of this space, all otteres of both Wellington, and Anteora in general have an incredibly singular opportunity to support the development of native finar and fauna in one nearly configuous environment, a statuton which is unique within Wellington, and Anteora in general have an incredibly singular opportunity to support the development of native finar and fauna in one nearly configuous environment, a statuton which is unique within Wellington. And Antes pecies of hards to this area, from kered, to flocks of plivalawaka and til, kärearea hunting on the hilisdes and heard ruru calling in the evenings and morning. To remove the Open Space zoning. Significant Natural Areas and Special Amenity Landscape overlays for a significant patrion of this haltant would put these pecies at risk once again. Presents a unique opportunity to implement the Ministry for the Environment's Proposed National Policy Statement for indigenous Biodevently. This policy operavisively refers to uncent polit on the Handweit. The local community has superside the desire to work with and develop a master plan for the Watts Peninsula, but this visice has been represently denies by cound. Removing the protections put in place by the proposed district plan would once again disempower the greater community with no discussion. [Refer to further submission for full reason]	Disallow / Seeks that the current zoning and overlays as presented in the Proposed District Plan for the northern sections of Te Motix Rainardy / MiramarPeninsula be retained. In particular, that the Dpen Space zoning, Special Amenity Landscape, Natural Areas, and Ridgelines and Hilltops overlays are retained.		No
Andy Foster		Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	The submission from Taranski Whanui if accepted would remove all protections, many of them long standing and uncontested for decades, from Te Motu Kairangi // Watts Peninsula and make community involvement much less likely, and limit the need for community involvement. On these basis the submitter opposes Taranak Whanu's submission. Watts Peninsula is currently zoned Open Space B in the Operative (current) District Plan. It has been Open Space B for at least the last 30 years, and nobody has ever contested this. This includes both the Corrections and Defence Land. The Proposed District Plan keeps Watts as Open Space and within the Mügellines and Hillogs Overlay. It also adds Significant Natural Plans (for Diodensity) and a Special Annehy Landczeg Decause of Is high level of Indiscage importance) All of these are based on good evidence. Taranak Whanu wait all of those resistions removed, and the Corrections land at least resoned for medium density housing. It is unclear exactly how large an area they want to have resoned. Taranak Whanu expectation that there should be any development here. [gea or reasonable expectation hat there should be any development here. [gea original Further Submission for full reasoning]. [Inferred reference to submission 389.74]	Deallow		19 <u>.</u>
Taranaki Whānui ki te Upoko o te Ika	FS86.16 389.75	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Amend	Opposes the zoning and extent of overlays proposed over Te Motu Kairang / Miramar Peninsula, Mount Crawford. Subnitter supports the protection of areas of significant indigenous vegetation as well as indicapes that have cultural, historical, spiritual and traditional significance to Taranaki Whahuu, the identification and protection of environmental overlays in previously developed areas is of concern to Taranaki Whahuu. Concerns there is potential for these overlays to significantly restrict future development and opportunities for Taranaki Whahuu to exercise tino rangatiratanga over their ancestral lands.	Seeks that any other relief to enable Taranaki Whânui to exercise tino rangatiratanga over their properties in Te Motu Kairangi.	Accept	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Laurence Hanger & Ingrid Kolle	No	/ Norwicking Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	Tarnais Whan in has sold the land it owned at Shelly Bay to The Wellington Company for a large development which was consented via the Special Housing Accords Act, thus denying the community any say on the consenting process. Community involvement should be ensured for the future through and the current DP height limit of 11 metres in some areas and the zero height limit in Open Space B land should remain. A recent poll has shown that the wider Wellington public want Shelly buy included in a National Heritage Park centred on the 76 hectares of VAISTs bencius al aready designated for a reserve by the Government. Tarnaik Whani Whenaia Who occupied the site and opposed its sale, wanting to uphold their cultural and spiritual connection to the land. Mau Whenaia continue to oppose the sale of the land at Shelly Bay and should be included by the council in all decisions taken about its future.		Becommendations	

Laurence Harger & Ingrid Kölle	FS2.22	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	76 hectors of Watts Peninsub has been set aaide by the government as a reserve focused on protecting iw and military history sites and realing the value of her antural landscape of the areas. Japonet the establishment of such a reserve and would like to see it become part of the hastical landscape of the areas. Japonet by the Buy Back the Bay group. The zoning and overlay of the Proposed Birkt To Im nuck be kept If the reserve/hertBag parts. It is be a valide pattor. Taransit Whânú's requests would remove many protections that have been longstanding and unopposed for decades, which must survey hot occur without extension community engineem. Watts Peninaus, with is the save allowed hall lines visible from all over Wellington, should remain undeveloped, which might very well not be the case if the land is resoned.	disallowed.	Accept	No
Mary Varham and Paul O'Regan		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	Transiti Whanu has sold its holdings as Shelly Bay and are no longer, as claimed, "significant indowners". Their possible ownership interest in the penisula as a whole through high of First Refual as Conflee 10 the MTC Sword site as at alguent 75 hectares of Watts Penisula has been designated reserve by the government (the current landowner) and WCC since 2011. The local community. despite its active interest in and use of the bay, was shut out of all consultation during the resource consent process. Its circula that its behaviored in all future decision making. The current DP height limit of 11 metres in some areas and the zero height limit in Open Space B and is supported not only by the local community but by the wider Wellington public, as vedenced in the independent policonducted for the group Biy Back the Bay by Research XQ, which showed that 72% of Wellingtonians was Tshelly Bay included in a National Heritage arX, which would also include the 76 hectares of Watts Peninsuls set aside by the government as a reserve in 2011. Taranaki Whanu have viewed Shelly Bay as a StrCH commercial proposition and discowed any cultural, historical and controls to the site. A substantial proportion of the Iwi (maw whenua) have opposed and continue to oppose the sale of the site, and should be included by the council in all democratic decision making about the future of Shelly Bay.	Daallow		
	FS40.10					Accept	No
Mary Vanham and Paul O'Regan		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	From 2011 the 76 hectares of Watts Peninsula has been set aside by the government as a reserve, to incorporate and protect: will say will as military) sites and history. Submitter supports this as an appropriate and visionary plan for the submitter supports the proposal of buy Back the Bay group that the area should become a National Heritage Park. Submitter supports to a conservancy model for development and management of this park, to include iwi, government, council, the local community, and organisations such as forest and field and Perkator Free Miramar. Disallow all proposals by Tarnaki Whamui to remove the proposed noning and overlays. These provisions are vital to protect the natural visuals, history and indicase of Watts Peninsula, a promise feature of Te Miramar. Support retaining all provisions in the proposed dirict plan for Open Space B, fidgelines and Hillogs, Significant Natural Areas and Spacial Amenity Landrozape. We note the magnificent work done by Prediator Free Miramar: Protecting and enhancing the huge gains in bringing back bridife made should be a primary consideration. We also believe the peninsula should see extensive planting and regeneration of native forest.	Daallow		
Submitter Name	FS40.22 Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Accept Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
Buy Back the Bay	No F579.9	Provision Part 2, Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	Submission 389 states as a Submission Point, that "Taranaki Whānui opp oses the zoning and extent of overlays proposed over Te Micto Kairang / Miramar Poinniada, Mount Crawford." It lists the relevant DVP Chapter as: # Painning maps = He Rohe Ahoaho Maoi Natural Open Space Zone chapter = Hagi PuinaB attorpoint net Kanzon Loko Tarkstake Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter = Te Ahurei o Ngi Hanga Māori Natural Character chapter = Hagi PuinaB attorpoint net Kanzon Loko Tarkstake Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter = Te Ahurei o Ngi Hanga Māori Natural Character chapter = Hagi PuinaB attorpoint net Kanzon Loko Tarkstake Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter = Tahurei o Ngi Hanga Māori Natural Character chapter = Hagi Hanga Māori me Ngā Nahospe Hatural Factures and Landscapes chapter = Yagi PuinaB attorpoint Chapter Opposes in total Submission 389 on these points, which appears to be a wholesale rejection of planning rules in these areas.	Disaliow	Recommendations	No
Buy Back the Bay		Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	Submission 389 states: "Tranawai Whânu" 1 FRF (Bight of First Refusal) opportunities in Te Mota Karangi: Tarawai Whânui Avea significant interest in chellu kaiaraj winkin includes Mount Crawford and Wath Peninsula, these landboilings hold significant interest in culturally, socially, environmentally and commercially to Taranaki Whânui. These opportunities include the Mount Crawford Prison site as well as the 'Wath Peninsula' stess being 75.85 hectares of former Defence Land." Buy tack the Bays notes that the Submission does not include maps however they (Buy Back the Bays) are very concerned to see that Taranaki Whânui appears to be seeking possible commercial development of 75.85 hectares of former defence land on Wath Semiauxi. This appears to be heak of the long-promised Wath Peninsula park and major part of the proposed national heritage park. Buy tack the Bays storegly oppose recoming on Wath Seminus to facilitate any development there that is incompatible with take the site storegly opposed notion of the Wath Seminus and the facilitate any development there that is incompatible to make the seminative storegover the site opposed mains the site opposed math Seminus and and and part of the proposed national heritage park.	Daallow		

Buy Back the Bay		Part 2 /Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	Refers to submission 389 states: Taranaki Whànui oppoies the extent of the proposed noning of Shelly Bay Taikuru and the proposed height control limits." Buy Back the Bays opposes the submission on both points. Specifically, the Submission 389 for Taranaki Whànui aeks that: "1. The Mixed Use Zone is extended across the allotments illustrated in Figure Two below or amended to follow the extent of consented development area outlined in the approxed masterplan and engineering drawings. 2. The Height Control Area is amended to 27m being the maximum height of development consented under the Shelly Bay Matarahan resource common." Bay Back the Bays opposes both parts. Buy Back the Bays note that nether part affects Taranaki Whànu's commercial or commercial benefit of The Wellington Company, not the leasing of lower existing buildings that The Wellington Company has offered to Taranaki Whànui as its stake in the project.			
Submitter Name	FS79.45 Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Accept Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
Lance Lones	No	/Provision Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	Te Motu Kairang lis very nearly an Island, and as a result of the amazing work of Predator Free Wellington, is in fact, nearly predator free, and uniquely able to support significant biodiversity. Combined with the Ridgelines and Hillops Overlay, and the Significant Natural Areas overlay of this space, all ottexes of boot Wellington, and charcera in general have an incredibly singular opportunity to support the development of native fifter and fauna in one nearly configuous environment, a situation which is unique within Wellington. And Exists to the incredible return of many native species of birds to this area, from kerrel, to flocks of pivakawaka and Lij, karearea hunting on the hilisdes and heard run calling in the evenings and mornings. To remove the Open Space zoning, Significant Natural Areas and Special Amenity Landscape overlays for a significant portion of this halts would put these pecies at risk once again. Presents a unique opportunity to implement the Ministry for the Environment's Proposed National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity. This policy progressively refers to the concept of Te Risk to the Harakes. The local community has expressed the desire to work with and develop a master plan for the Watts Peninsula, but this voice has been repeatedly denied by council. Removing the rotections pa in in place by the proposed district plan would once again disempower the greater community with no discussion. [Refer to further submission for full reason]	Disallow / Seeks that the current zoning and overlays as presented in the Proposed District Plan for the northern sections of Te Motu Kairangi / MiramarPeninsula be retained. In particular, that the Open Space zoning. Special Amenity Landscape, Natural Areas, and Ridgelines and Hilltops overlays are retained.	Recommendations	
	FS81.11					Accept	No
Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd (Terawhiti Station)	411.4	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	SNAs are being arbitrarily being introduced without any consideration to the potential cost to the landowner that this policy will incur	Not specified.	Reject	No
VicLabour	414.23	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Amend	Considers Significant Natural Areas are important in order to protect our environment and native plantifie. Considers that while the dv is built denses, the environment and our wildlife should be protected. Considers that it is ironic that the argument for being anti-density is to protect the 'character' of our busing but yet there is no consideration for the 'character' of our nature, which is arguably much harder to restore than the character amenity gained from what the Council deems as character housing.	Seeks that significant natural areas provisions apply to residentially zoned sites.	Balart	No
Steve West	F5110.22	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	The original submitter states "it is incredibly disappointing that they [SNAs] have been removed for residential land considering this land is at great risk of being damaged further". Serve West does not support the reinstantement of SNAs on residential private property in the Proposed District Plan for the following reasons: – Indigenous biodiversity in Wellington (including on private urban land) has increased significantly over the last 20 years, through voluntary conservation and activities like Predator Free without any need for SNAs. – While recently there was reported Filling of some native teres, this was in response to the planned SNA introduction in the draft district plan. Arguably the biggest threat to indigenous biodiversity on private urban land in Wellington remains how landowness might respond to the reastion of SNAs, such as by reducing conservation efforts, in the face of their bush being turned into an expensive and unwanted burden.	Disallow	Accept	No
Johnsonville Community Association		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Support	Supports the protection of significant natural areas on public land within Weilington.	Not specified.		
	429.24					Accept in part	No
Johnsonville Community Association		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	Considers that unilaterally taking over of private property is a major intrusion on rights of the property owners, and may lead to removal of major natural areas on urban property. Opposes SNA's on private urban or rural land.	Opposes Significant Natural Areas on urban or rural private land.		inv.
Paul M Blaschke	429.25	Natural and Environmental	Support	Objectives, Policies and Rules in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity section are strongly supported, as they	Retain the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter as notified.	Accept in part	No
	1	Values / Ecosystems and	- apport	support a critical part of the city's overall vision and strategic objectives and are strongly supported by the overwhelming	and a second		
	435.5	Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO		majority of the city's residents.		Accept in part	No

Paul M Blaschke		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Amend	Considers that the Council should allow SNAs on residentially zoned properties. The Council should be consistent with its overall policy objectives and let its original decisions on SNAs stand on their merits. The decision from Council's Planning & Environmet Committee to remove SNAs from all residentially zoned properties on 23 June 202 to gopped. This decision renders the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity section much less effective than 1 could and should be. It greatly hinders the achievement of Council's Te Attawa bus busprint and other moves towards sustainability and realismon. It disadvantages the great majority of the city's residents except for a timy number of suburban residential landowners who become privileged over all others including other suburban residential landowners with portions of SNAs within their properties and who have welcomed on rot objected to the provisions. It overturns the very good process adopted by the council term and consultants who have planned and undertaken the SNAs uncreas and polices, incapable of being properly implemented, and perpetuates the uncertainty caused by lack of a comprehensive statutory process around significant areas and indigenous biodiversity. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Seeks to extend Significant Natural Areas to residentially zoned properties.		
	435.6					Reject	No
Paul M Blaschke		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Amend	Considers that the SNA regulatory framework in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity section should apply to SNAs on residentially zoned properties.	Seeks that Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity provisions apply to Significant Natural Areas on residentially zoned properties.		
Meredith Robertshawe	435.7	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Support	Supports the objectives and policies for Significant Natural Areas and support the intent of the provisions in the PDP.	Retain the objectives in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity (ECO) chapter as notified.	Reject	No
	444.1	-				Accept in part	No
Meredith Robertshawe	444.2	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Support	Supports the objectives and policies for Significant Natural Areas and support the intent of the provisions in the PDP.	Retain the policies in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity (ECO) chapter as notified. [Inferred Decision Requested]	Accept in part	No
Meredith Robertshawe		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Support	Supports the objectives and policies for Significant Natural Areas and support the intent of the provisions in the PDP.	Retain the provisions in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity (ECO) chapter as notified. [Inferred Decision Requested]		
Chris Horne, Sunita Singh,	444.3	Natural and Environmental	Support in	Supports the protection of Indigenous Biodiversity and Significant Natural Areas. [Refer to original submission for full	Retain Significant Natural Area provisions, with amendment. [Inferred decision requested]	Accept in part	No
Julia Stace, Paul Bell-Butler		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	part	reason]			
Chris Horne, Sunita Singh, Julia Stace, Paul Bell-Butler	456.3	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Amend	Considers that SNA-status should be restored to all residential-zoned properties. In particular considers that the Planning and Environment Committee vote to remove SNAs from all residential-zoned properties over-rode the purpose of the ECO chapter which is to identify significant natural areas within Wellington City in order to protect and maintain the remaining areas of indigenous biodiversity ² .	Amend mapping of Significant Natural Areas to include all residential-zoned properties.	Accept in part	No
Chris Horne, Sunita Singh, Julia Stace, Paul Bell-Butler	456.4	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Amend	Considers that there should be monitoring compliance by all owners of Significant Natural Areas with Council's objective of protecting those SNAs' indigenous ecosystems in perpetuity.	Seeks that a montioring programme for Significant Natural Areas is established. [Inferred decision requested]	Reject	No
Grant and Marilyn Griffiths,	456.5	Natural and Environmental	Oppose in	Opposes Significant Natural Areas on Private land.	Retain Significant Natural Area provisions with amendment below.	Accept in part	No
Griffiths Family Trust	460.2	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	part			Accept in part	No
Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Oppose	Opposes that significant natural areas provisions do not apply to residential zoned land.	Seeks that Significant Natural Area provisions are applied to all zones.		
Submitter Name	488.48 Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Reject Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird	No	/Provision Natural and Environmental	Amend	Protection of SNAs and encouragement of restoration by community groups etc is not enough to fulfil the Council's	Add a new objective to the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter:	Recommendations	······································
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society		Natural and Environmental Values/Ecosytems and Indigenous Biodiversity / New ECO	Amend	Protection of SNAs and encouragement of restoration by community groups etc. Is not enough to fulf the Council's obligation to maintain biodiversity under solit. (b)	Add a new objective to the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter: <u>ECOOX</u> <u>The District's indigenous Biodiversity is maintained and enhanced.</u>		
Meridian Energy Limited	345.173 FS101.117	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / New ECO	Support	Considers that the objective of maintaining and enhancing indigenous biodiversity at an overall District Scale is appropriate. Enhancement will not be achievable or appropriate in all dircumstances however and, consistent with the approach in Objective ECO-D1, the proposed objective would be improved by making it clear that enhancement should occur at the District-wide scale and where appropriate.	Allow / Amend provision to clarify that indigenous biodiversity is to be enhanced overall at District scale and where practicable – for example as follows (or similar wording): "The District's indigenous biodiversity is maintained and, where appropriate, enhanced".	Accept in part	Yes

Wellington City Council Environmental Reference		Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values /	Support	Support the position that the SNA provisions applying to residential SNAs must be reinstated from the previous version of the Plan. Reinsert all provisions relating to SNAs in residential zones. from the District Plan draft dated 20 April 2022.	Allow		
Group		Ecosystems and Indigenous		Further support amendment to clarify the wider role of the Council maintaining biodiversity wider than SNAs. We			
		Biodiversity		support the submission point and need an objective stating the district's biodiversity is maintained and enhanced.			
		/ General ECO		Support the need for a new suite of objectives, policies, and rules to provide for protection of wetlands.			
Royal Forest and Bird	F\$112.25	Natural and	Amend	Considers it is not clear that the plan adequately provides for the protection of wetlands. In relation	Add new suite of objectives, policies, and rules to provide for protection of wetlands.	Accept Reject	No
Protection Society	345.174	Environmental Values /	Amend	to wetlands, the Council has responsibilities in terms of integrated management and the		Reject	NO
Greater Wellington		Part 2 / Natural and	Support	Greater Wellington consider that the Proposed District Plan needs to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of urban	Allow / Seeks provisions that ensure urban development is located and designed in a way that protects wetlands in		
Regional Council		Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous		development on wetlands in order to give effect to the NPS-FM and have regard to Proposed RPS Change 1.	accordance with the NPS-FM and proposed RPS Change 1 FW 3.		
		Biodiversity					
	F584 99	/ New ECO				Reject	No
Director-General of		Part 2 / Natural and	Support	The reasoning and decision requested by the original submitter is supported.	Allow		
Conservation		Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous					
		Biodiversity					
	FS106.10	/ New ECO				Reject	No
Wellington City Council		Part 2 / Natural and	Support	Support the position that the SNA provisions applying to residential SNAs must be reinstated from the previous version of	Allow		
Environmental Reference Group		Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous		the Plan. Reinsert all provisions relating to SNAs in residential zones, from the District Plan draft dated 20 April 2022. Further support amendment to clarify the wider role of the Council maintaining biodiversity wider than SNAs. We			
		Biodiversity		support the submission point and need an objective stating the district's biodiversity is maintained and enhanced.			
		/ General ECO		Support the need for a new suite of objectives, policies, and rules to provide for protection of wetlands.			
	F\$112.26					Reject	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Amend	Considers the plan should include a policy aimed at identification of SNAs. This is important for where new SNAs are identified, for example as part of consenting processes.	Add new policy ECO-PX (Identification of Significant Natural Areas): Identify all areas with significant indigenous biodiversity values and list within SCHED8 and SCHED9.		
, contractive sectory		Indigenous Biodiversity	1		and provide for identification of additional areas with significant biodiversity values.		
		/ New ECO					
	345.175					Accept in part	Yes
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Steve West		Part 2 / Natural and	Oppose	The original submitter seeks to include a new policy aimed at identification of new SNAs and also for maintaining	Disallow		
		Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous		indigenous biodiversity in other areas, suggesting rules to limit removal of indigenous biodiversity in each area to under 200m2 in any 10-year period.			
		Biodiversity		Steve West does not support the identification and/or creation of SNAs under the district plan, particularly on private			
		/ New ECO		urban land, or provision of other overly restrictive rules (as suggested above) for the following reasons:			
				 Considers that through voluntary conservation programs and effort, the city's indigenous biodiversity (including on private urban land) has increased significantly over the last 20 years. This has occurred without any need for SNAs. 			
				- Considers that creating SNAs (and other overly restrictive rules), particularly on private urban land, in the district plan			
				will turn native bush into an expensive and unwanted burden for many private urban landowners, destroying landowner goodwill in the process. And as a result, planting of new trees (both indigenous and exotic) in the urban environment will			
				goodwill in the process. And as a result, planting of new trees (both indigenous and exotic) in the urban environment will likely diminish over time, both within the SNAs and elsewhere as other landowners in Wellington become aware of the			
				extent and onerous nature of the rules.			
				 Considers that by seeking regulatory outcomes for expanding SNA areas, rather than continuing to encourage and support voluntary conservation efforts there is real risk that indigenous biodiversity gains achieved over the last two 			
				decades will diminish over time.			
Royal Forest and Bird	FS110.5	Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers the Plan does not give effect to the Council's obligation to maintain indigenous biodiversity. Considers support	Add new policy ECO-PX (Maintaining Indigenous Biodiversity):	Reject	No
Protection Society		Values / Ecosystems and	Smend	for restoration is not sufficient (by way of ECO P4), and therefore seeks a new policy be added. Also seeks that the	1. To maintain indigenous biodiversity outside of SNAs by avoiding, remedying or mitigating the		
		Indigenous Biodiversity	1	relevant rules and their matters of discretion in this and other chapters refer back to this new policy.	adverse effects of subdivision, land use and development on indigenous biodiversity.		
		/ New ECO			 To have regard to the following potential adverse effects in considering subdivision, land use and development that may adversely affect indigenous 		
			1		ecosystems and habitats with indigenous biodiversity values:		
			1		a. Fragmentation of, or reduction in the extent of, indigenous vegetation or habitats of indigenous fauna;		
					b. Fragmentation or disruption of connections and linkages between ecosystems or habitats of indigenous fauna; c. Loss of, or damage to, buffering of ecosystems or habitats of indigenous fauna; and		
					d. Loss or reduction of rare or threatened indigenous species' populations or habitats.		
			1				
			1				
	345.176					Accept in part	Yes
Greater Wellington		Part 2 / Natural and	Support	Greater Wellington agree with the intent of the submission point but not the wording as drafted.	Allow / Support this submission point in part.		
Regional Council		Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous	_				
		Biodiversity					
	FS84.100	/ New ECO				Accept	No
Meridian Energy Limited		Part 2 / Natural and	Support	Considers that the requested additional policy should manage potential adverse effects in accordance with a	Allow / Seeks that if the requested additional policy is included, to ensure that it is amended to manage potential		
		Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous	_	management hierarchy, as signaled by the exposure draft NPS-Indigenous Biodiversity	adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity in accordance with an effects management hierarchy that focuses on the indigenous biodiversity values		
		Biodiversity			inuigenous orouiversity values.		
		/ New ECO					
	FS101.118					Accept	No

Steve West		Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Environmental values / Biolalversity / New ECO	Oppose	The original submitter seeks to include a new policy aimed at identification of new SNAs and also for maintaining indigenous biodiversity in other areas, suggesting rules to limit removal of indigenous biodiversity in each area to under 2007a Jan any 12-year period. Serve West does not support the alkonfunction and/or creation of SNAs under the listicit plan particularly on private under the server server and support the alkonfunction and/or creation of SNAs under the listicit plan particularly on private under the server server and server the latent plan particularly on private under the server server and server the latent plan particularly on private considers that through oblinating rough content plan private under alk of the server server and the server server server server server considers that the server server server server the latent plan particular on private under and the server server server server server considers that the server server server server the latent plan particular on private under and the server server server server server and the server server server server server and the server server server server server and the server server server server the server server server server server and server server server server server and server server server server server server and server server server server server and server server server server server and server server server server server server and server server server server server server and server server server server server and server server and server ser	Disallow		
Submitter Name	FS110.6 Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Reject Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	No	Provision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / New ECO	Amend	Considers including a policy to deal with the development of existing vacant lots, as was included in the plan version as at 20 April 2022 (Intached to this submission), which provided parameters around developing in existing vacant residential sites established prior to the notification of the DP where there is no suitable building platform available outside the SNA. Notes the date would need to be amended to reflect that the SNAs were not included at notification. We would support more protective parameters than those above, to ensure the SNA was protected to the greatest extent possible.	Provide for the development of existing vacant residential site established prior to the notification	Berommendations	
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.177	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / New ECO	Amend	Seeks additional rules to manage vegetation clearance outside SMAs. Considers the plan's provisions do not fulfil Council's requirement to maintain indigenous biodiversity. Regulating activities only in SMAs fails short of this function. This rule would into permitted indigenous vegetation removal to 200m 21 nany 30 year period. Where this PA was not met, it would become RDA, and the matters of discretion would need to reference the new policy aimed at the maintenance of biodiversity. This rule is particularly important given the deletion of the residential SMAs.	Add new rule ECO-RX to manage vegetation clearance outside Significant Natural Areas that permit indigenous vegetation removal to 200mL in any 10 year period. Where the Permitted activity status is not met, the activity would become a Restricted Discretionary stothy, and the matters of discretion would need to reference the new policy aimed at the maintenance of biodiversity.	Reject	No
Manialian Concess Lincided	345.178	Part 2 / Natural and	0	Annual and the table and an additional and a second all and the second all fadinesses bits diversity. The second all firsts are	Disellary / Costs Abox Abox associated any solar and disellary of the Kabox second ad solar and task dad As second Abo	Reject	no
Meridian Energy Limited	F5101.119	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / New ECO	Oppose	Considers that the requested additional rules would apply to any and all indigenous biodiversity. The proposed limits are undary restrictive in a rural setting and capture even indigenous vegetation that its planted rather than naturally occurring. The policy needs to give effect to clause (1) (b) of the objective of the exposure draft NPS/ndigenous Biodiversity (providing for social, economic wellbeing of people and communities) as well as protecting, maintaining and restoring indigenous	Deallow Seeks that the requested new rules are disallowed, or it the requested rules are included, to ensure the permitted activity standards provide the cleanance of indigenous expetation to the extent necessary to maintain existing lawfully established infrastructure, including regionally significant infrastructure.	Accept	No
Steve West	r5110.7	Part 2, Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / New ECO	Oppose	The original submitter seeks to include a new policy aimed at identification of new SNA and also for maintaining indigenous biodiversity in other areas, suggesting rules to limit removal of indigenous biodiversity in each area to under 200n2 in any 10-year period. Sterw West does not support the identification and/or creation of SNAs under the district plan, particularly on private urban land, or provision of other overly restrictive rules (as suggested above) for the following reasons: - Considers that through voluntary conservation programs and effort, the dry's indigenous biodiversity (including on private urban land) has increased significantly over the last Q years. This has occurred without any rules of for SNAs - Considers that creating SNAs (and other overly restrictive rules), particularly on private urban land, in the district plan, all turn narbe urban land in a perspective and unwarked budget of private urban land, more, become avaire of the good will turn narbe use urban loss an exerctive SNAs and elsenker as other landowners, become avaire of the eatern at onerous nature of the rules Considers that by seeking regulatory outcomes for expanding SNA areas, rather than continuing to encourage and support voluntary conservation efforts there is real risk that indigenous biodiversity gains achieved over the last two decades will diminish over time.	Deallow	Accept	No
Royal Forest and Bird		Natural and Environmental	Amend		Add new rule ECO-RX to manage vegetation clearance in all areas of the coastal environment.		
Protection Society	345.179	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / New ECO		natural character areas and outside SNAs. As submitted in the Coastal Environment chapter, the vegetation clearance rules should apply everywhere outside SNAs in the coastal environment, not only in high natural character areas, where CESI is met. We seek a rule that would also have effect outside the coastal environment. Trimming or removal of indigenous vegetation outside SNAs would be permitted if: - to address an imment threat to people or property provided that a standard is complied with, - for the operation or maintenance of lawfully established buildings, infrastructure, waking cycling or private vehicle access of fences or existing farming activities; and the removal does not exceed 200m2 per title as at notification. A new RDA would apply if those standards were not met.		Reject	Ng
Meridian Energy Limited	F\$101.120	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / New ECO	Oppose	Considers that the requested additional rules would apply to any and all indigenous biodiversity. The proposed limits are unduly restrictive in a rural setting and capture even indigenous vegetation that is planted rather than naturally occurring. The planty needs to give effect to clause (1) (b) of the objective of the exposure draft NSIndigenous Biodiversity (providing for social, economic wellbeing of people and communities) as well as protecting, maintaining and restoring indigenous biodiversity.	Disallow /S seeks that the requested new rules are disallowed, or if the requested rules are included, to ensure the permitted activity standards provide for the clearance of indigenous vegetation to the extent necessary to maintain existing lawfully established infrastructure, including regionally significant infrastructure.	Accept	No

Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Amend	We seek a rule that would also have effect outside the coastal environment. Trimming or removal of indigenous vegetation outside SNAs would be permitted if:	Add new rule ECO-RX to manage vegetation clearance outside Significant Natural Areas, with Permitted activity standards requiring the artivity to:		
Fiotection society		Indigenous Biodiversity		 to address an imminent threat to people or property provided that a standard is complied with, 	 address an imminent threat to people or property provided that a standard is complied with, 		
		/ New ECO		- for the operation or maintenance of lawfully established buildings, infrastructure, walking cycling or private vehicle	- before the operation or maintenance of lawfully established buildings, infrastructure, walking cycling or private		
				access or fences or existing farming activities; and the removal does not exceed 200m2 per title as at notification. A new	vehicle access or fences or existing farming activities; and the removal does not exceed 200m2 per title as at		
				RDA would apply if those standards were not met.	notification. Non-compliance with Permitted activity standards would default to a Restricted Discretionary activity.		
					non compliance with remitted dearing standards would deadle to a restricted districtionally deavity.		
	345.180					Reject	no
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand	NO.	Part 2 / Natural and	Oppose	Considers that on the basis SNA's are identified in the PDP (and have been comprehensively addressed) Transpower does	Disallow	REFORMERDATIONS	
Limited		Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous		not support the provision of a blanket rule to apply to all indigenous vegetation. While Transpower agrees that			
		Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity		indigenous vegetation outside an SNA has value, given the significant planning implications of imposing a rule to apply outside an SNA, a robust S32AA analysis is required as to the alternatives, cost and benefits, effectiveness and efficiency,			
		/ New ECO		risks and then a decision made about the most appropriate action. There is no higher order support for such a rule. The			
				PDP gives effect to Objective 16 and Policies 23 and 24 of the RPS and Section 6(c) of the RMA is confined to significant			
				indigenous vegetation. Given the robustness of the process in determining the SNAs (notwithstanding the Council decision to not notify SNA within urban area); the inclusion of a plan wide rule is not the most efficient and effective way			
				in which to give effect to the objectives of the PDP or the higher order RPS.			
	FS29.36					Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited		Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values /	Oppose	Considers that the requested additional rules would apply to any and all indigenous biodiversity. The proposed limits are unduly restrictive in a rural setting and capture even indigenous vegetation that is planted rather than naturally	Disallow / Seeks that the requested new rules are disallowed, or if the requested rules are included, to ensure the permitted activity standards provide for the clearance of indigenous vegetation to the extent necessary to maintain		
		Ecosystems and Indigenous		occurring. The policy needs to give effect to clause (1) (b) of the objective of the exposure draft NPSIndigenous	existing lawfully established infrastructure, including regionally significant infrastructure.		
		Biodiversity		Biodiversity (providing for social, economic wellbeing of people and communities) as well as protecting, maintaining and			
	FS101.121	/ New ECO		restoring indigenous		Reject	No
Royal Forest and Bird		Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that it is appropriate to include standards for residential SNA's in line with those in the Draft Plan.	Reinstate the Draft Plan's ECO standards for residential Significant Natural Areas.		
Protection Society		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity					
		/ New ECO					
	345 181	-				Polost	No
Steve West	343.181	Part 2 / Natural and	Oppose	Disagree that reinstatement of residential SNAs in the Proposed District Plan is required for WCC to meets its obligations	Disallow / Seeks that part of submission be disallowed and that already protected land (such as Captain Edward Dainell	Neject	NO
		Environmental Values /		under section 6(c) of the RMA and policies 23/24 of the Regional Policy Statement for the following reasons:	Drive) be removed from Schedule 9, should residential SNAs be reinstated.		
		Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity		 Neither the RMA or RPS prescribe in detail how a council might give effect to the requirement to protect of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna. On note, in the 2015 Environment Court 			
		/ New ECO		case between Forrest & Bird and New Plymouth District Council the Environment Court concluded Councils might			
				conceivably meet RMA Section 6(c) duties through methods other than identification and rules, but in this case the non-			
				regulatory methods relied on by the Council were insufficient to provide protection. - Alternative methods of compliance are available to WCC.			
				 Indigenous biodiversity in Wellington (including on private urban land) has increased significantly over the last 20 years, 			
				including Zealandia and Predator Free, along with voluntary conservation efforts without any need for SNAs.			
				- Creating SNAs on private urban land will turn native bush into an expensive and unwanted burden for many private			
				urban landowners , destroying landowner value and goodwill in the process. Real risk that indigenous biodiversity gains achieved over the last two decades will diminish should SNAs be created on private urban land, and as a result would see			
				both GWRC and WCC failing to meet their regulatory obligations, including under the RMA.			
				- Specifically for Captain Edward Daniell Drive, indigenous biodiversity is already protected under Section 3 of the Land			
				Covenant registered on the records of title. Considers that as the NPSIB is in draft form and has no legal effect, and given how unpopular SNAs proved to be in			
				submissions on the draft district plan, pushing on with creating SNA on private			
				urban land before the policy statement is in effect would further undermine WCC's already poor			
				relationship with impacted landowners. [Refer to further submission for full reason]			
Royal Forest and Bird	FS110.4	Natural and Environmental	Support in	It is not clear how there policies are given offert to in the sules. Socialize (in the ECO shorter)	Add now rule ECO BY to manage indigenous vegetation clearance outcide of Significant Matural Associations	Accept	No
Protection Society	1	Values / Ecosystems and	part	It is not clear how these policies are given effect to in the rules. Seeking (in the ECO chapter) a general indigenous vegetation clearance rule, outside of SNAs. Seek that this is applied in the rural zone, in order to maintain biodiversity.	Add new rule ECO-RX to manage indigenous vegetation clearance outside of Significant Natural Areas to maintain biodiversity.		
		Indigenous Biodiversity					
		/ New ECO					
	345.182					Reject	no
Transpower New Zealand		Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values /	Oppose	Considers that on the basis SNA's are identified in the PDP (and have been comprehensively addressed) Transpower does not support the provision of a blanket rule to apply to all indigenous vegetation. While Transpower agrees that	Disallow		
connect		Ecosystems and Indigenous		indigenous vegetation outside an SNA has value, given the significant planning implications of imposing a rule to apply			
		Biodiversity		outside an SNA, a robust S32AA analysis is required as to the alternatives, cost and benefits, effectiveness and efficiency,			
		/ New ECO		risks and then a decision made about the most appropriate action. There is no higher order support for such a rule. The PDP gives effect to Objective 16 and Policies 23 and 24 of the RPS and Section 6(c) of the RMA is confined to significant			
				Indigenous vegetation. Given the robustness of the process in determining the SNAs (notwithstanding the Council			
				decision to not notify SNA within urban area), the inclusion of a plan wide rule is not the most efficient and effective way			
				in which to give effect to the objectives of the PDP or the higher order RPS.			
	F\$29.37					accent	No
Submitter Name		Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	accept Independent Hearings Panel	NO Changes to PDP?
	No	/Provision	0			Recommendations	
Meridian Energy Limited		Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values /	Uppose	Considers that the requested additional rules would apply to any and all indigenous biodiversity. The proposed limits are unduly restrictive in a rural setting and capture even indigenous vegetation that is planted rather than naturally	Disallow / Seeks that the requested new rules are disallowed, or if the requested rules are included, to ensure the permitted activity standards provide for the clearance of indigenous vegetation to the extent necessary to maintain		
		Ecosystems and Indigenous		occurring. The policy needs to give effect to clause (1) (b) of the objective of the exposure draft NPSIndigenous	existing lawfully established infrastructure, including regionally significant infrastructure.		
		Biodiversity / New ECO		Biodiversity (providing for social, economic wellbeing of people and communities) as well as protecting, maintaining and			
	FS101.122	/ New ECO		restoring indigenous		accept	No

Greater Wellington Regional Council		Natural and Environmental Valles/Ecosytems and Indigenous Biodiversity / New ECO	Oppose	Considers that WCC has stated that wetlands are sufficiently covered by the National Environmental Standards for Freehwater 2020, the submitter does not support this view and considers that the POP has are ofe or integrated management of adverse effects on wetlands and their functions, including those wetlands not yet identified, under NPS- FA Clause 3.5. Under NPS-FM Section 3.5 the POP should contribute to the protection and enhancement of the health and wetl-bodies protections and review of Proposed RPS Clange 1. This approach would help to achieve NPS-FM Policies 6 and 2 and operative RPS policy 47. The POP should provide for identification and avoidance of waterways (both within and outside of SNAs) during structure planning and sub-division, such that waterways must be identified and protected prior to any development occurring. Greater Weilingshould ones not consider the freshwater direction in the design guides to provide sufficient certainty of protection and enhancement.	Add a policy and objective to protect and enhance the health and well-being of water bodies and freshwater ecosystems, incluing wetands, in the ECC ochapter. This should lead into rules in the subdivision of future urban zone chapters, requiring that waterways and wetlands have been identified for structure planning or subdivision prior to any development occurring.		
Director-General of	351.150	Natural and Environmental	Not specified	Considers that Policy 8 of the NPS-IB exposure draft seeks that "The importance of maintaining indigenous biodiversity	Add policy to require the protection of indigenous biodiversity outside of SNAs.	Reject	No
Conservation	385.35	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / New ECO		outside SINAs is recognised and provided for ⁴ . Given the NP5-IB is anticipated to be gazetted in December 2022, the Director-General submits that the Proposed District Plan should give effect to this national direction.		Accept in part	Yes
Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS29.2	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / New ECO	Oppose	Until such time as the NPS-IB is garetted, Transpower does not support the provision of policies to give effect to the NPS.	Disallow	Reject	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	FS85.1	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / New ECO	Support	Given the NP3-B is anticipated to be gasetted in December 2022, Forest & Bird agrees that the Proposed District Plan should give effect to this national direction.	Alow	Accept in part	No
Käinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.53	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / New ECO	Oppose	Further clarification is needed to understand the implications on land use opportunities of applying significant natural areas.	Disallow	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	F\$101.123	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / New ECO	Support	Considers that the requested policy should manage potential adverse effects in accordance with a management hierarchy, as signaled by the exposure draft NPS-indigenous Blodiversity.	Anneed / Seeks that if the additional policy is included, to ensure it is amended to manage potential adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity in accordance with an effects management hierarchy that focuses on the indigenous biodiversity values.	Accept in part	
Director-General of Conservation		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / New ECO	Amend	Consider addition of setback standard within the chapter. Under the current tandards, there is no setback requirement from a Significant Natural Area (SNA) which means development could be located directly against the boundary of a SNA. Adverse effects resulting from development within promitry to an SNA for being too close to their property. The provision of a baffer or setback hotween new development and SNAs will reduce the possibility of adverse effects an allow the consideration of effects/mitigation at resource consent stage in new development is proposed within the setback. Additionally, the addition of a development setback for SNAs would ensure the Proposed District Plan is in line with Policy 47, specifically point b, of the RPS.	Add standard which would manage development setbacks as follows: New buildings, building additions, structures, and swimming pools shall be setback 5m from the boundary of a Significant Natural Area.		
Greater Wellington Regional Council	385.36	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / New ECO	Support	Greater Wellington support the proposed setback provisions as one method to protect SNAs from the adverse effects of intensification.	Allow	Reject	No
Submitter Name	FS84.15 Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Reject Independent Hearings Panel	NO Changes to PDP?
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	No.	Provision Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / New ECO	Oppose	Kainga Ora does not support the proposed change requested and considers it is unnecessary to manage identified values.	Disallow	Recommendations	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.124	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / New ECO	Support	Considers that there may be circumstances where a 5m setback is not achievable due to legitimate functional or operational needs of infrastructure.	Amend / Seeks that if the requested standard is included, to ensure that there is provision for reduction of the setback distance where necessary to provide for existing lawfully established infrastructure and where functional or operational needs mean it is not practicable to achieve or maintain a 5m setback.	Reject	No
Wellington City Council Environmental Reference Group	FS112.28	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / New ECO	Support	Agree with the Director-General of Conservation to include a set-back standard where a development is adjacent to an SNU. This buffer provision will reduce the possibility of adverse effects by allowing consideration of effects/mitigation at resource consent stage. It also brings the Proposed District Plan in line with Policy 47, specifically point b, of the RPS.	Alow		
Transpower New Zealand Limited		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / General ECO	Support	Supports the introductory text which clarifies that provisions specific to infrastructure are addressed in the infrastructure chapter.	Retain the Introduction to the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter as notified.	Indicre	NG
	315.162					Accept in part	NO

		1					
Tyers Stream Group		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Amend ECO-O1 (Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate. restored) as follows:		
		Indigenous Biodiversity			Significant Natural Areas are protected		
		/ ECO-O1			from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored.		
	221.32					Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited		Part 2 / Natural and	Oppose	Considers that Part 2 of the RMA intends that significant natural areas should be protected from inappropriate	Disallow	nepter	110
		Environmental Values /		subdivision, use and development.			
		Ecosystems and Indigenous					
		Biodiversity / ECO-O1					
	FS101.125					Accept	No
Meridian Energy Limited		Natural and Environmental	Support in	Considers that it is the values of Significant Natural Areas that should be protected, not the geographic areas they	Retain Objective ECO-O1 (Significant natural areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development	,	
		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	part	occupy.	and where appropriate, restored) with amendment.		
		/ ECO-01					
Meridian Energy Limited	228.68	Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that it is the values of Significant Natural Areas that should be protected, not the geographic areas they	Amend Objective ECO-O1 (Significant natural areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and	Reject	No
wendan chergy chined		Values / Ecosystems and	Amenu	occupy.	development, and where appropriate, restored) as follows:		
		Indigenous Biodiversity			The ecological and indigenous biodiversity values of Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate		
		/ ECO-01			subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored.		
	228.69					Reject	No
Wellington Electricity Lines		Part 2 / Natural and	Support	WELL agree in principle with the submitter that it is the values of Significant Natural Areas that should be protected, not	Allow		
Limited (WELL)		Environmental Values /		the geographic areas they occupy. In this regard, WELL consider that the sought amendment to ECO-O1 is appropriate			
		Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity		and therefore is supported.			
		/ FCO-O1					
	FS27.7	,				Accept	No
Kilmarston Developments Limited and Kilmarston	1	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Support in	Considers that it is important that Council identified SNAs within the City in order to protect and maintain the remaining areas of indigenous biodiversity.	Retain ECO-O1 (Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored) as notified.		
Properties Limited		Indigenous Biodiversity	part	areas of indigenous biodiversity. However, this should not include areas earmarked for public access and roads. The Submitter accepts the overlay being	where appropriate, restored) as notified.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	/ ECO-O1		clipped to the proposed MRZ areas of their land, but not over the paper road and parts of the access.			
	290.34					Accept in part	
Royal Forest and Bird	250.34	Natural and Environmental	Support in	Notes that Section 6(c) does not include reference to 'inappropriate subdivision, use and development'.	Amend ECO-O1 as follows:	Accept in part	NO
Protection Society		Values / Ecosystems and	part		Significant Natural Areas are		
		Indigenous Biodiversity			protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored.		
		/ ECO-01					
	345.183					Reject	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Meridian Energy Limited	NO	Part 2 / Natural and	Oppose	Considers that the scheme of the RMA is that significant natural areas should be protected from inappropriate	Disallow	Recommendations	
-		Environmental Values /		subdivision, use and development.			
		Ecosystems and Indigenous		suburvaion, use and developmente			
		Biodiversity					
	FS101.126	Biodiversity / ECO-O1				Reject	No
WCC Environmental	F5101.126	Biodiversity / ECO-O1 Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the	Amend the wording "where appropriate) from ECO-01 [Significant Natural Areas are protected from isoporordize subfulsion, use 1 to "where possible"	Reject	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	FS101.126	Biodiversity / ECO-O1 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Amend		Amend the wording "where appropriate) from ECO-01 (Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible"	Reject	No
	F5101.126	Biodiversity / ECO-O1 Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance	Amend the wording "where appropriate) from ECO-01 (Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible"	Reject	No
		Biodiversity / ECO-O1 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Amend	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance	Amend the wording "where appropriate) from ECO-O1 (Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible"	Reject	No
	FS101.126	Biodiversity / ECO-O1 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Amend	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance	Amend the wording "where appropriate) from ECO-D1 [Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use] to "where possible"	Roject	No
Reference Group		Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01		Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration in all circumstances. Where possible' creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is "appropriate better gives effect to the exposure draft MPS-	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible"	Reject	No No
Reference Group		Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous		Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration in all circumstances. Where possible' creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is "appropriate" better pytes effect to the exosure draft NP5- indigenous Biodernity Ogietcive, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible"	Roject	No
Reference Group		Biodiversity /EC-01 Natural and Environmental Values /Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /EC-01 Part 2 / Natural and Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity		Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration in all circumstances. Where possible' creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is "appropriate better gives effect to the exposure draft MPS-	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible"	Reject Reject	No
Reference Group	377.116	Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous		Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration in all circumstances. Where possible' creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is "appropriate" better pytes effect to the exosure draft NP5- indigenous Biodernity Ogietcive, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible"	Roject	No No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited		Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01	Oppose	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration in all circumstances. Where possible' creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is "appropriate' better gives effect to the exposure draft NP5- intigenous Biodensity Ogiective, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources.	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow	Reject Reject Reject	No No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of	377.116	Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental	Оррозе	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration is all circumstances 'where possible' creates an unday stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is 'appropriate' better gives effect to the exposure draft NS- indigenous Biodiversity objective, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-01 and ECO-02) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow	Reject Reject	NG NG
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited	377.116	Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Oppose	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration in all circumstances. Where possible' creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is "appropriate' better gives effect to the exposure draft NP5- intigenous Biodensity Ogiective, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources.	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow	Reject	No No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of	377.116	Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental	Oppose	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration is all circumstances 'where possible' creates an unday stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is 'appropriate' better gives effect to the exposure draft NS- indigenous Biodiversity objective, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-01 and ECO-02) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow	Roject	No No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of	377.116 F5101.127	Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Oppose	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration is all circumstances 'where possible' creates an unday stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is 'appropriate' better gives effect to the exposure draft NS- indigenous Biodiversity objective, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-01 and ECO-02) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow	Reject	No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of	377.116	Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Oppose	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration is all circumstances 'where possible' creates an unday stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is 'appropriate' better gives effect to the exposure draft NS- indigenous Biodiversity objective, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-01 and ECO-02) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow	Reject	No No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation	377.116 F5101.127	Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01	Oppose Oppose in part	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration in all circumstances. Where possible' creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is "appropriate? better gives effect to the exposure draft RMS- indigenous Biodiversity objective, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-O1 and ECO-O2) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective.	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Opposes ECO-O1 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-O1 as follows: "Significant Natural Areas (<u>including those within the coastal environment</u>) are protected from inappropriate	Reject	No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation Director-General of	377.116 F5101.127	Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Oppose Oppose in part	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration in all circumstances. Where possible' creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is "appropriate" better prevs effect to the exposure draft NPS- indigenous Bloeksro Jogetche, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous blodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-O1 and ECO-O2) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-O1 & ECO-O2, however considers that as the objectives are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective.	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Opposes ECO-01 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-01 as follows:	Reject Reject Reject Accept	No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation Director-General of	377.116 F5101.127	Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01	Oppose Oppose in part	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration in all circumstances. Where than a possible creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is "appropriate better gives effect to the exposure draft NF5- indigenous Biodiversity objectives, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-O1 and ECO-O2) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-O1. & ECO-O2, however considers that as the objective. The suggested wording is in line with the XIZ25 including Policy? Which provides protection from inappropriate studies in a development in the areas through objectives and Policy 14 which provides protection from inappropriate studies in a development in the areas through objectives and Policy 14 which provides protection from inappropriate studies in a development in the areas through objectives and Policy 14 which provides protection from inappropriate studies in the advelopment in the areas through objectives and Policy 14 which provides protection from inappropriate studies and the studies of the intention of Dispersive ECO-O1.	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Opposes ECO-O1 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-O1 as follows: "Significant Natural Areas (<u>including those within the coastal environment</u>) are protected from inappropriate	Roject Roject Beject Accept	No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation Director-General of	377.116 F5101.127	Biodiversity / ECO-01 Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Oppose Oppose in part	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration in all circumstances. Where possible' creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is "appropriate" better prevs effect to the exposure draft NPS- indigenous Bloeksro Jogetche, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous blodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-O1 and ECO-O2) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-O1 & ECO-O2, however considers that as the objectives are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective.	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Opposes ECO-O1 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-O1 as follows: "Significant Natural Areas (<u>including those within the coastal environment</u>) are protected from inappropriate	Reject Reject Resect	No No No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation Director-General of	377.116 F5101.127	Biodiversity / ECO-01 Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Oppose Oppose in part	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration in all circumstances. Where that a possible creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is "appropriate better gives effect to the exposure draft NF5- indigenous Biodiversity objectives, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-01 and ECO-02) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-01. & ECO-02, however considers that as the objective. The suggested wording is in line with the XIZ25 including Policy? Which provides protection from inappropriate studies in a development in the areas through objectives and Policy 14 which provides protection from inappropriate studies in a development in the areas through objectives and Policy 14 which provides protection from inappropriate studies in the advelopment in the areas through objectives and Policy 14 which provides protection from inappropriate studies in the advelopment in the areas through objectives and Policy 14 which provides protection from inappropriate studies in the student of the intention of Dispersive ECO-01 and ECO-02, in which provides protection from inappropriate studies are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective.	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Opposes ECO-O1 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-O1 as follows: "Significant Natural Areas (<u>including those within the coastal environment</u>) are protected from inappropriate	Reject	No No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation Director-General of Conservation	377.116 F5101.127	Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Erwironmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Erwironmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Erwironmental Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01	Oppose Oppose in part Amend	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasion or restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration and all circumstances. Where possible 'creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is 'appropriate's better gives effect to the exposure draft NPS- Indigenous Biodiversity objectives, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-01 and ECO-02) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-01. & ECO-02, however considers that as the objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-01. & ECO-02, however considers that as the objective. The suggested wording is in line with the XZZS including Policy 7 which provides protection from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development in the areas through bectives and Policy 14 which promotes restoration or rehabilitation of the natural character of the coastal environment.	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Opposes ECO-01 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-01 as follows: "Significant Natural Areas (including those within the coastal environment) are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored <u>or rehabilitated</u> ."	Reject Reject Reject Accept Accept In part	No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation Director-General of Conservation Te Rünanga o Toa	377.116 F5101.127 J85.37	Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental	Oppose Oppose in part Amend	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration in all circumstances. Where that a possible creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is "appropriate better gives effect to the exposure draft NF5- indigenous Biodiversity objectives, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-01 and ECO-02) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-01. & ECO-02, however considers that as the objective. The suggested wording is in line with the XIZ25 including Policy? Which provides protection from inappropriate studies in a development in the areas through objectives and Policy 14 which provides protection from inappropriate studies in a development in the areas through objectives and Policy 14 which provides protection from inappropriate studies in the advelopment in the areas through objectives and Policy 14 which provides protection from inappropriate studies in the advelopment in the areas through objectives and Policy 14 which provides protection from inappropriate studies in the student of the intention of Dispersive ECO-01 and ECO-02, in which provides protection from inappropriate studies are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective.	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Opposes ECO-O1 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-O1 as follows: "Significant Natural Areas (Including those within the coastal environment) are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored or rehabilitated." Retain ECO-O1 (Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and	Reject Reject Reject Accept Accept in part	No No No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation Director-General of Conservation	377.116 F5101.127 J85.37	Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01	Oppose Oppose in part Amend	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasion or restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration and all circumstances. Where possible 'creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is 'appropriate's better gives effect to the exposure draft NPS- Indigenous Biodiversity objectives, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-01 and ECO-02) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-01. & ECO-02, however considers that as the objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-01. & ECO-02, however considers that as the objective. The suggested wording is in line with the XZZS including Policy 7 which provides protection from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development in the areas through bectives and Policy 14 which promotes restoration or rehabilitation of the natural character of the coastal environment.	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Opposes ECO-01 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-01 as follows: "Significant Natural Areas (including those within the coastal environment) are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored <u>or rehabilitated</u> ."	Reject Reject Reject Accept Accept in part	No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation Director-General of Conservation Te Rünanga o Toa	377.116 F5101.127 J85.37	Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01	Oppose Oppose in part Amend	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasion or restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration and all circumstances. Where possible 'creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is 'appropriate's better gives effect to the exposure draft NPS- Indigenous Biodiversity objectives, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-01 and ECO-02) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-01. & ECO-02, however considers that as the objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-01. & ECO-02, however considers that as the objective. The suggested wording is in line with the XZZS including Policy 7 which provides protection from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development in the areas through bectives and Policy 14 which promotes restoration or rehabilitation of the natural character of the coastal environment.	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Opposes ECO-O1 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-O1 as follows: "Significant Natural Areas (Including those within the coastal environment) are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored or rehabilitated." Retain ECO-O1 (Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and	Reject Reject Accept Accept Accept In part	No No No Yes
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation Director-General of Conservation Te Rünanga o Toa	377.116 F5101.127 J85.37 J85.38	Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01	Oppose Oppose in part Amend	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasion or restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration and all circumstances. Where possible 'creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is 'appropriate's better gives effect to the exposure draft NPS- Indigenous Biodiversity objectives, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-01 and ECO-02) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-01. & ECO-02, however considers that as the objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-01. & ECO-02, however considers that as the objective. The suggested wording is in line with the XZZS including Policy 7 which provides protection from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development in the areas through bectives and Policy 14 which promotes restoration or rehabilitation of the natural character of the coastal environment.	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Opposes ECO-O1 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-O1 as follows: "Significant Natural Areas (Including those within the coastal environment) are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored or rehabilitated." Retain ECO-O1 (Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and		No No No Yes
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation Director-General of Conservation Te Rûnanga o Toa Rangatira	377.116 F5101.127 J85.37	Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01	Oppose in part Amend Support in part	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Ooniders that restoration in all circumstances 'where possible' creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is 'agorogical' better gives effect to the exposure draft MS- tridgenous Biodiversity objective, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-O1 and ECO-O2) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The taggend wording is in the with the XZOS including Policy 7 and before and Policy 14 which promotes restoration or rehabilitation of the natural character of the coastal environment. Supports that the objective says that significant natural areas are protected from imapropriate land use	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Opposes ECO-O1 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-O1 as follows: "Significant Natural Areas (including those within the coastal environment) are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored <u>or rehabilitated</u> ." Retain ECO-O1 (Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored) with amendment below.	Reject Reject Reject Accept Accept in part Accept in part	No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation Director-General of Conservation Te Rünanga o Toa	377.116 F5101.127 J85.37 J85.38	Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01	Oppose Oppose in part Amend	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasion or restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration and all circumstances. Where possible 'creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is 'appropriate's better gives effect to the exposure draft NPS- Indigenous Biodiversity objectives, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-01 and ECO-02) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-01. & ECO-02, however considers that as the objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-01. & ECO-02, however considers that as the objective. The suggested wording is in line with the XZZS including Policy 7 which provides protection from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development in the areas through bectives and Policy 14 which promotes restoration or rehabilitation of the natural character of the coastal environment.	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Opposes ECO-O1 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-O1 as follows: "Significant Natural Areas (Including those within the coastal environment) are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored or rehabilitated." Retain ECO-O1 (Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and		No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation Director-General of Conservation Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira Te Rünanga o Toa Te Rünanga o Toa	377.116 F5101.127 J85.37 J85.38	Biodiversity /EC-O1 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /EC-O1 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /EC-O1 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /EC-O1 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Oppose in part Amend Support in part	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Ooniders that restoration in all circumstances 'where possible' creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is 'agorogical' better gives effect to the exposure draft MS- tridgenous Biodiversity objective, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-O1 and ECO-O2) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The taggend wording is in the with the XZOS including Policy 7 and before and Policy 14 which promotes restoration or rehabilitation of the natural character of the coastal environment. Supports that the objective says that significant natural areas are protected from imapropriate land use	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Opposes ECO-01 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-01 as follows: "Significant Natural Areas <u>including those within the coastal environmentil</u> are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored <u>or rehabilitated</u> ." Retain ECO-01 [Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored] with amendment below. Amend Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Objective 1 (Protection of significant natural areas) to mention		No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation Director-General of Conservation Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira Te Rünanga o Toa Te Rünanga o Toa	377.116 F5101.127 J85.37 J85.38	Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Values / Ecosystems and	Oppose in part Amend Support in part	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Ooniders that restoration in all circumstances 'where possible' creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is 'agorogical' better gives effect to the exposure draft MS- tridgenous Biodiversity objective, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-O1 and ECO-O2) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The taggend wording is in the with the XZOS including Policy 7 and before and Policy 14 which promotes restoration or rehabilitation of the natural character of the coastal environment. Supports that the objective says that significant natural areas are protected from imapropriate land use	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Opposes ECO-01 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-01 as follows: "Significant Natural Areas <u>including those within the coastal environmentil</u> are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored <u>or rehabilitated</u> ." Retain ECO-01 [Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored] with amendment below. Amend Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Objective 1 (Protection of significant natural areas) to mention		No No Yes No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation Director-General of Conservation Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira Te Rünanga o Toa	377.116 F5101.127 J85.37 J85.38	Biodiversity /EC-O1 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /EC-O1 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /EC-O1 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /EC-O1 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Oppose in part Amend Support in part	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Ooniders that restoration in all circumstances 'where possible' creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is 'agorogical' better gives effect to the exposure draft MS- tridgenous Biodiversity objective, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-O1 and ECO-O2) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The taggend wording is in the with the XZOS including Policy 7 and before and Policy 14 which promotes restoration or rehabilitation of the natural character of the coastal environment. Supports that the objective says that significant natural areas are protected from imapropriate land use	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Opposes ECO-01 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-01 as follows: "Significant Natural Areas <u>including those within the coastal environmentil</u> are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored <u>or rehabilitated</u> ." Retain ECO-01 [Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored] with amendment below. Amend Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Objective 1 (Protection of significant natural areas) to mention		No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation Director-General of Conservation Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira Victoria University of	377.116 F5101.127 385.37 385.38 488.49	Biodiversity / ECO-01 Natural and Erwironmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-01	Oppose in part Amend Support in part	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasion or restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration in all circumstances: Where possible' creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is "appropriate better gives effect to the exoposure draft NPS- indigenous Biodiversity objectives, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the ment or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-01 and ECO-02) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Suggested wording is in line with the XIZ25 including Policy 7 which provides protection from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development in these areas through bectives and Policy 14 which promotes restoration or rehabilitation of the natural character of the coastal environment. Supports that the objective says that significant natural areas are protected from innapropriate land use Considers that ECO-02 [Significant Natural Areas within the coastal environment are protected] supports the restriction	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Disallow Opposes ECO-O1 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-O1 as follows: "Significant Natural Areas (<u>including those within the coastal environment</u>) are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored <u>or rehabilitated</u> ," Retain ECO-01 (Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored) with amendment below. Amend Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity Objective 1 (Protection of significant natural areas) to mention protection from adverse effects of incompatible activities. Seeks that building activities around the coast are restricted to protect biodiversity, natural character, and amenty		No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation Director-General of Conservation Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira Victoria University of Victoria University of	377.116 F5101.127 385.37 385.38 488.49	Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Oppose in part Amend Support in part Amend	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration in all circumstances 'where possible' creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is 'appropriate' hetter gives effect to the exposure and rt NP- indigenous Biodiversity objective, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the ment or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-O1 and ECO-O2) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-O1 & ECO-O2, however considers that as the objectives are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-O1 & ECO-O2, however considers that as the objective are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-O1 & ECO-O2, however considers that as the objective are eaking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective and Policy 14 which promotes restoration or rehabilitation of the natural character of the coastal environment. Supports that the objective says that significant natural areas are protected from innapropriate land use Considers that ECO-O2 (Significant Natural Areas within the coastal environment are protected, supports the restriction of building activities around the coast.	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Opposes ECO-01 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-01 as follows: "Significant Natural Areas <u>including those within the coastal environment</u>] are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored <u>or rehabilitated</u> ." Retain ECO-01 (Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored) with amendment below. Amend Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Objective 1 (Protection of significant natural areas) to mention protection from adverse effects of incompatible activities.		No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation Director-General of Conservation Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira Victoria University of	377.116 F5101.127 385.37 385.38 488.49	Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Erwironmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Oppose in part Amend Support in part Amend	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasion or restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration in all circumstances: Where possible' cruates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is "appropriate better gives effect to the exopose draft NPS- Indigenous Biodiversity objective, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-01 and ECO-02) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Suggested wording is in line with the XC25 including Policy 7 which provides protection from inappropriate studivision, use and development in the arears through bectives and Policy 14 which promotes restoration or rehabilitation of the natural character of the coastal environment. Supports that the objective says that significant natural areas are protected from innappropriate land use Considers that ECO-02 [Significant Natural Areas within the coastal environment are protected,] supports the restriction of building activities around the coast.	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Disallow Opposes ECO-O1 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-O1 as follows: "Significant Natural Areas (<u>including those within the coastal environment</u>) are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored <u>or rehabilitated</u> ," Retain ECO-01 (Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored) with amendment below. Amend Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity Objective 1 (Protection of significant natural areas) to mention protection from adverse effects of incompatible activities. Seeks that building activities around the coast are restricted to protect biodiversity, natural character, and amenty		No
Reference Group Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation Director-General of Conservation Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira Victoria University of Victoria University of	377.116 55101.127 385.37 385.38 488.49 488.50	Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Oppose in part Amend Support in part Amend	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasis on restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration in all circumstances 'where possible' creates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is 'appropriate' hetter gives effect to the exposure and rt NP- indigenous Biodiversity objective, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the ment or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-O1 and ECO-O2) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-O1 & ECO-O2, however considers that as the objectives are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-O1 & ECO-O2, however considers that as the objective are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective ECO-O1 & ECO-O2, however considers that as the objective are eaking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Director-General is supportive of the intention of Objective and Policy 14 which promotes restoration or rehabilitation of the natural character of the coastal environment. Supports that the objective says that significant natural areas are protected from innapropriate land use Considers that ECO-O2 (Significant Natural Areas within the coastal environment are protected, supports the restriction of building activities around the coast.	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Disallow Opposes ECO-O1 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-O1 as follows: "Significant Natural Areas (<u>including those within the coastal environment</u>) are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored <u>or rehabilitated</u> ," Retain ECO-01 (Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored) with amendment below. Amend Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity Objective 1 (Protection of significant natural areas) to mention protection from adverse effects of incompatible activities. Seeks that building activities around the coast are restricted to protect biodiversity, natural character, and amenty		No No No No No
Meridian Energy Limited Director-General of Conservation Director-General of Conservation Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira Victoria University of	377.116 F5101.127 385.37 385.38 488.49	Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Erwironmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-01 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Oppose in part Amend Support in part Amend	Considers that it is crucial that SNAs are protected, as is required by law. By rephrasing this objective, it puts the emphasion or restoration as the default position, rather than a possible option. It is thought that this strikes the balance better between use and protection Considers that restoration in all circumstances: Where possible' cruates an unduly stringent requirement. Evaluation of what is "appropriate better gives effect to the exopose draft NPS- Indigenous Biodiversity objective, including providing for the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities and enabling consideration of the merit or restoring specific indigenous biodiversity resources. Considers that as the objectives (ECO-01 and ECO-02) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into one objective. The Suggested wording is in line with the XC25 including Policy 7 which provides protection from inappropriate studivision, use and development in the arears through bectives and Policy 14 which promotes restoration or rehabilitation of the natural character of the coastal environment. Supports that the objective says that significant natural areas are protected from innappropriate land use Considers that ECO-02 [Significant Natural Areas within the coastal environment are protected,] supports the restriction of building activities around the coast.	from inappropriate subdivision, use) to "where possible" Disallow Disallow Opposes ECO-O1 in its current form and seeks amendment. Amend Objective ECO-O1 as follows: "Significant Natural Areas (<u>including those within the coastal environment</u>) are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored <u>or rehabilitated</u> ," Retain ECO-01 (Significant Natural Areas are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and where appropriate, restored) with amendment below. Amend Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity Objective 1 (Protection of significant natural areas) to mention protection from adverse effects of incompatible activities. Seeks that building activities around the coast are restricted to protect biodiversity, natural character, and amenty		No No No No No

Victoria University of Wellington Students' Association Tyers Stream Group Meridian Energy Limited Meridian Energy Limited Meridian Energy Limited Kilmarston Developments Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited Protection Society	221.33 228.70 228.71 5ub No / Point	/Provision Natural and Environmental	Support Support in part Amend	Considers that ECO-02 (Significant Natural Areas within the coastal environment are protected.) supports the restriction of building activities around the coast. [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission] [Considers that it is the values of Significant Natural Areas that should be protected, not the geographic areas they occupy. [Considers that it is the values of Significant Natural Areas that should be protected, not the geographic areas they occupy.]	Seeks that building activities around the coast, and any expansion of the city including airport runway extensions, acknowledge the large range of indigenous birds nesting around the Põneke Wellington coastline. Retain ECO-02 (Significant Natural Areas within the coastal environment are protected) as notified. Retain Objective ECO-02 (Significant natural areas within the coastal environment) with amendment.	Accept in part	No
Tyers Stream Group Meridian Energy Limited Meridian Energy Limited Submitter Name Kinnarston Developments Limited and Kinnarston Properties Limited	221.33	/ EC-O2 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Bioliversity / EC-O2 Natural and Environmental Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Bioliversity / EC-O2 Natural and Environmental Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Support in part	Considers that it is the values of Significant Natural Areas that should be protected, not the geographic areas they occupy.		Accept in part Reject	No No
Meridian Energy Limited Meridian Energy Limited Solumitter Name Kinnarston Developments Limited and Kinnarston Properties Limited	221.33	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / EC-02 Natural and Environmental Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-02 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-02 Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Support in part	Considers that it is the values of Significant Natural Areas that should be protected, not the geographic areas they occupy.		Accept in part	No No
Meridian Energy Limited Meridian Energy Limited Solumitter Name Kinnarston Developments Limited and Kinnarston Properties Limited	221.33	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Bioliversity / ECO-02 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Bioliversity / ECO-02 Natural and Environmental Indigenous Bioliversity / ECO-02 Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Support in part	Considers that it is the values of Significant Natural Areas that should be protected, not the geographic areas they occupy.		Accept in part	No No
Meridian Energy Limited Meridian Energy Limited Solumitter Name Kinnarston Developments Limited and Kinnarston Properties Limited	228.70	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Bioliversity / ECO-02 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Bioliversity / ECO-02 Natural and Environmental Indigenous Bioliversity / ECO-02 Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	Support in part	Considers that it is the values of Significant Natural Areas that should be protected, not the geographic areas they occupy.		Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited Submitter Name Klimarston Developments Limited and Klimarston Properties Limited	228.70	Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-02 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-02 Natural and Environmental Natural and Environmental Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	part	occupy.	Retain Objective ECO-O2 (Significant natural areas within the coastal environment) with amendment.	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited Submitter Name Klimarston Developments Limited and Klimarston Properties Limited	228.70	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-02 Natural and Environmental Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-02 Sub-part / Chapter /provision Natural and Environmental	part	occupy.	Retain Objective ECO-02 (Sgnificant natural areas within the coastal environment) with amendment.	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited Submitter Name Klimarston Developments Limited and Klimarston Properties Limited	228.70	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / EC-O2 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / EC-O2 Sub-part / Chapter / Provision Natural and Environmental	part	occupy.	Retain Objective ECO-O2 [Significant natural areas within the coastal environment] with amendment.	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited Submitter Name Klimarston Developments Limited and Klimarston Properties Limited	228.71	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / EC-O2 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / EC-O2 Sub-part / Chapter / Provision Natural and Environmental	part	occupy.	Retain Objective ECO-02 (Significant natural areas within the coastal environment) with amendment.		
Submitter Name Klimarston Developments Limited and Klimarston Properties Limited Royal Forest and Bird	228.71	Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-02 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-02 Sub-part / Chapter Provision Natural and Environmental					
Submitter Name Klimarston Developments Limited and Klimarston Properties Limited Royal Forest and Bird	228.71	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-O2 Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that it is the values of Significant Natural Areas that should be protected, not the geographic areas they			1
Submitter Name Klimarston Developments Limited and Klimarston Properties Limited Royal Forest and Bird	228.71	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-O2 Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that it is the values of Significant Natural Areas that should be protected, not the geographic areas they		1	
Submitter Name Klimarston Developments Limited and Klimarston Properties Limited Royal Forest and Bird		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-O2 Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that it is the values of Significant Natural Areas that should be protected, not the geographic areas they		Reject	No
Kilmarston Developments Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited Royal Forest and Bird		Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-O2 Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental		occupy.	Amend Objective ECO-02 (Significant natural areas within the coastal environment) as follows: <u>The ecological and indigenous biodiversity values of</u> Significant Natural Areas within the coastal environment are		
Kilmarston Developments Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited Royal Forest and Bird		Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental			protected.		
Kilmarston Developments Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited Royal Forest and Bird		/Provision Natural and Environmental					
Kilmarston Developments Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited Royal Forest and Bird		/Provision Natural and Environmental				Reject	No
Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited Royal Forest and Bird	No	/Provision Natural and Environmental	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited Royal Forest and Bird			Support in	Considers that it is important that Council identified SNAs within the City in order to protect and maintain the remaining	Retain ECO-O2 (Significant Natural Areas within the coastal environment are protected) as notified.	Recommendations	
Royal Forest and Bird		Values / Ecosystems and	part	areas of indigenous biodiversity.	Recam ECO-O2 (Significant Natural Areas within the coastal environment are protected) as notified.		
		Indigenous Biodiversity		However, this should not include areas earmarked for public access and roads. The Submitter accepts the overlay being			
		/ ECO-02		clipped to the proposed MRZ areas of their land, but not over the paper road and parts of the access.			
	290.35	Natural and Environmental	Support	Generally supports ECO 02	Retain ECO-O2 as notified.	Reject	No
		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	sapport	Generally supports ECO-O2.	netani ECONDE as nUUNEO.		1
		Indigenous Biodiversity					
		/ ECO-O2					
Greater Wellington	345.184	Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that the wording used for the coastal environment should differ from that in ECO-O1.	Seeks to amend wording to 'protected and, where appropriate, restored' or	Reject	No
Greater Weilington Regional Council		Values / Ecosystems and	Amend	Considers that the wording used for the coastal environment should differ from that in ECU-U1.	seeks to amend wording to "protected and, where appropriate, restored" or remove the objective.		
-		Indigenous Biodiversity					
		/ ECO-O2					
Meridian Energy Limited	351.151	Part 2 / Natural and		Meridian supports the proposed wording, which includes 'where appropriate, restored'.	Amend / Allow the requested amendment, provided it includes the words 'and, where appropriate, restored'.	Accept in part	No
Meridian Energy Limited		Environmental Values /	Support	Meridian supports the proposed wording, which includes "where appropriate, restored".	Amend / Allow the requested amendment, provided it includes the words and, where appropriate, restored .		
		Ecosystems and Indigenous					
		Biodiversity / ECO-O2					
	FS101 128	/ 200-02					
WCC Environmental	FS101.128	Natural and Environmental	Support	ECO-O2 is supported as it is vital to ensuring SNAs are protected.	Retain ECO-O2 (Significant Natural Areas within the coastal environment are protected). As notified.	Accept	No
Reference Group		Values / Ecosystems and					
		Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-O2					
		,					
Director-General of	377.117	Natural and Environmental	Oppose in	Considers that as the objectives (ECO-O1 and ECO-O2) are seeking the same outcome, they should be incorporated into	Delete objective ECO-O2 in its entirety, on the grounds that ECO-O1 is amended.	Reject	NO
Conservation		Values / Ecosystems and	part	one objective.			
		Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-O2					
	385.39	,				Account	Vor
Tyers Stream Group	363.35	Natural and Environmental	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain ECO-O3 (Significant Natural Areas are protected from the adverse effects of plantation forestry activities) as	Ассерс	105
		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity			notified.		
		/ FCO-O3					
	221 34	,				A A	N -
Kilmarston Developments	221.34	Natural and Environmental	Support in	Considers that it is important that Council identified SNAs within the City in order to protect and maintain the remaining	Retain ECO-O3 (Significant Natural Areas are protected from the adverse effects of plantation forestry activities) as	Accept	NO
Limited and Kilmarston	1	Values / Ecosystems and	part	areas of indigenous biodiversity.	notified.		
Properties Limited		Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-O3		However, this should not include areas earmarked for public access and roads. The Submitter accepts the overlay being clipped to the proposed MRZ areas of their land, but not over the paper road and parts of the access.			
	200.26					Accept	No
Royal Forest and Bird	230.30	Natural and Environmental	Support	Generally supports ECO-O3.	Retain ECO-O3 as notified.	mugt	
Protection Society	1	Values / Ecosystems and					
		Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-O3					
	345 185					Accent	No
WCC Environmental	343.103	Natural and Environmental	Support	ECO-O3 is supported as it is vital to ensuring SNAs are protected.	Retain ECO-O3 (Significant Natural Areas are protected from the adverse effects of plantation forestry activities) as	mugt	
Reference Group	1	Values / Ecosystems and			notified.		
		Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-O3					
	377.118					Accept	No
	3//.118	Natural and Environmental	Support in	Supports mention of protection from the effects of plantation forestry.	Retain ECO-O3 (Significant Natural Areas are protected from the adverse effects of plantation forestry activities.) as	Accept	no
Te Rünanga o Toa		Values / Ecosystems and	part		notified.		
Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira		Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-O3					
Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira		,				Accept	No
Te Rûnanga o Toa Rangatira	499 51	Natural and Environmental	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain ECO-O4 (Significant Natural Areas are maintained or restored by mana whenua in accordance with	Procept	no
Te Rúnanga o Toa Rangatira Tyers Stream Group	488.51	Values / Ecosystems and			kaitiakitanga) as notified.	1	1 1
Rangatira	488.51					1	1
Rangatira	488.51	Indigenous Biodiversity					
Rangatira	488.51					Accept	No
Rangatira	488.51 221.35 Sub No / Point	Indigenous Biodiversity	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Accept Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?

Kilmarston Developments	1		a				
Kilmarston Developments		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Support in	Considers that It is important that Council identified SNAs within the City in order to protect and maintain the remaining areas of indigenous biodiversity.	Retain ECO-O4 (Significant Natural Areas are maintained or restored by mana whenua in accordance with kaitiakitanga) as notified.		
Properties Limited		Indigenous Biodiversity		However, this should not include areas earmarked for public access and roads. The Submitter accepts the overlay being			
		/ ECO-O4		clipped to the proposed MRZ areas of their land, but not over the paper road and parts of the access.			
	290.37					Accept	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Support	Generally supports ECO-O4.	Retain ECO-04 (Significant Natural Areas are maintained or restored by mana whenua in accordance with kaitiakitanga) as notified.		
Protection society		Indigenous Biodiversity			Kaluakitanga) as notineo.		
		/ ECO-O4					
	345.186					Accept	No
Greater Wellington		Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that the wording, 'maintain and restore' is inconsistent with 'protect and restore' in ECO-	Seeks to amend wording in ECO-O4 (Significant Natural Areas are maintained or restored by mana		
Regional Council		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity		O1 and the related policy ECO-P4.	whenua in accordance with kaitiakitanga) to 'protect and restore'.		
		/ ECO-O4					
	351.152					Reject	No
WCC Environmental		Natural and Environmental	Support	Supports as the objective is vital to ensuring SNAs are protected. Particularly supports ECO-O4 (Significant Natural Areas	Retain ECO-O4 (Significant Natural Areas are maintained or restored by mana whenua in accordance with		
Reference Group		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity		are maintained or restored by mana whenua in accordance with kaitiakitanga) as it further Wellington City Council's Te Tiriti obligations.	kaitiakitanga) as notified.		
		/ ECO-O4		The outgoing.			
	377.119					Accept	No
Tyers Stream Group		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain ECO-P1 (Protection of significant natural areas) as notified.		
		Indigenous Biodiversity					
		/ ECO-P1					
	221.36					Accept in part	No
Meridian Energy Limited		Natural and Environmental	Oppose in	Considers that the mitigation hierarchy created by Policy ECO-P1 (Protection of significant natural areas) should focus	Retain Policy ECO-P1 (Protection of significant natural areas) with amendment.		
		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	part	biodiversity and compensation initiatives at adverse effects that are more than minor (not all residual adverse effects). Considers the word 'only' in clauses 4 and 5 is unnecessary because the circumstances when			
		/ ECO-P1		biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation will be considered are set out in APP2			
				(Biodiversity offsetting) and APP3 (Biodiversity compensation). Considers the word 'only' adds no value to the principles in APP2 and APP3.			
				value to the principles in APP2 and APP3.			
	228.72					Accept in part	No
Meridian Energy Limited		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Amend	Considers that the mitigation hierarchy created by Policy ECO-P1 (Protection of significant natural areas) should focus biodiversity and compensation initiatives at adverse effects that are more than minor (not all residual adverse effects).	Amend Policy ECO-P1 (Protection of significant natural areas) as follows: Protect the biodiversity values of the identified significant natural areas within SCHED8 by requiring subdivision, use		
		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity		biodiversity and compensation initiatives at adverse effects that are more than minor (not all residual adverse effects). Considers the word 'only' in clauses 4 and 5 is unnecessary because the circumstances when	Protect the biodiversity values of the identified significant natural areas within SCHED8 by requiring subdivision, use and development to:		
		/ ECO-P1		biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation will be considered are set out in APP2	1. Avoid adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values where practicable;		
				(Biodiversity offsetting) and APP3 (Biodiversity compensation). Considers the word 'only' adds no value to the orinciples in APP2 and APP3.	 Minimise adverse effects on the biodiversity values where avoidance is not practicable; Where practicable, remedy adverse effects on the biodiversity values where they cannot be avoided or minimised; 		
				value to the principles in APP2 and APP3.	 <u>where practicable, remedy</u> adverse effects on the biodiversity values where they cannot be avoided or minimised; Where residual adverse effects cannot be avoided, mitigated or remedied, 		
					Only consider biodiversity offsetting for any residual adverse effects that are more than minor cannot otherwise be av		
					olded, minimised or remedied and where the principles of APP2 — Biodiversity Offsetting are met; and		
					 Only <u>If biodiversity offsetting of more than minor residual adverse effects is not practicable</u> consider biodiversity com 		
					pensation after first considering biodiversity offsetting and where the principles of APP3—Biodiversity Compensation a		
					re met.		
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	228.73	Natural and Environmental	Support		Datala FCO D1 /Datastica of alm/Paratastantastantastanta	Accept in part	Yes
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd		Values / Ecosystems and	Support	Supports ECO-P1 as it reflects the common mitigation hierarchy approach to biodiversity. Depending on the identification of specific SNA areas, Horokiwi is not opposed to the policy. The references to 'where practicable' and ability for	Recam ECO-P1 (Protection of significant natural areas) as notified.		
		Indigenous Biodiversity		offsetting are supported.			
		/ ECO-P1					
	271.22					Accept in part	No
Aggregate and Quarry Association		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Support	ECO-P1 is supported as it provides for an effects management hierarchy for land development, including offsetting and compensation, within Significant Natural Areas.	Retain ECO-P1 (Protection of significant natural areas) as notified.		
		Indigenous Biodiversity	1	and parameters and an agriculture tracket an an and			
		/ ECO-P1					
	303.14					Accept in part	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand	190	Provision Natural and Environmental	Support	Considers policy INF-ECO-P36 and P37 requires application of the effects management hierarchy (which is incorrectly	Retain Policy ECO-P1 (Protection of significant natural areas) as notified, subject to deletion of reference to the policy	Recommendations	
Limited		Values / Ecosystems and		referenced as ECO-P2 within the aforementioned policies) to the upgrade and development of the National Grid.	within INF-ECO-P36 and INF-ECO-P37.		
		Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P1		Submitter notes that in its comments on INF-ECO-P36 and P37 deletion of the cross reference to Policy ECO-P1 is sought. Submitter is not opposed to ECO-P1 (and is supportive of the mitigation hierarchy approach within ECO-P1 on the basis			
		/		biodiversity offsets and compensation are only a consideration as opposed to a mandatory requirement). However, given			
				the uncertainty as to what form ECO-P1 will take and the significant implications of any policy changes to policy ECO-P1			
				to the National Grid, Transpower seeks to include Significant Natural Areas within the 'seek to avoid' policy directive of the sought National Grid specific			
				policies, as opposed to being subject to ECO-P1. By deleting the ECO specific clause within INF-ECO-P36 and P37, the			
			1	policy directive would be to 'seek to avoid' the SNA's as applying to the National Grid. Considers this would be the most		1	
			1	efficient and effective solution in respect of the NPS-ET. While NPS-ET Policy 8 does not specifically reference indigenous biodiversity, given the high value		1	
			1	of the areas within the policy, considers it would be consistent to include SNA's within the sought "seek to avoid" policy			
				directive. Considers the inclusion would be consistent for the intent of the NPS-ET to provide a comprehensive enabling			
			1	regime for the National Grid recognising its national significance, and for the 'seek to avoid' policy to address RMA section 6 matters in a consistent			
		1	l I	manner. Considers policies 1-5 of the NPS-ET require some tempering of plan provisions that may otherwise be applied		1	
				to the National Grid, in order to provide for the need to operate, maintain, develop and upgrade the electricity			
				to the National Grid, in order to provide for the need to operate, maintain, develop and upgrade the electricity transmission network as a matter of national significance.			
	315.163					Accept in part	No

Protection Society	345.187	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P1		provides an example of how this can be achieved it is currently not clear that ECO-P5 would apply as a first step in the Coastain environment. As buch, a specific clause is required. The SMA provisions should apply to any area of significant specific clause is required. The SMA provisions also need to apply to the deleted SCHED9 areas. This can be achieved by ourselved for scample with the consenting provisions should need to be apply to the deleted SCHED9 areas. This can be achieved by entered for scample with above to incorporate SCHED 8, SCHED9, and any other area that meets the Policy 218 SC training to the SMA entered to apply to the deleted SCHED9 areas. This can be achieved by provision in the Plan that refers to SCHED8, to also refer to SCHED9 and any area that meets the Policy 218 SC training to the SCHED8 (predered option); or - Amonding every provision in the Plan that refers to SCHED8, to also refer to SCHED9 and any area that meets Policy 23 RPS circles. The effects management hierarchy in ECO-P1 only requires for avoidance of effects where practicable. That low standards is not sufficient to ensure the requirements. We seek changes to meet these requirements. We note that the recent PCIE to the Poririza DP includes such initias. The effects management hierarchy in this policy uses the term "minimised" rather than the RMA term "mitigated". The term mitigation come directly from STMA. There is exerting alongide avoidance and remediation for managing adverse effects of avoidance and networking the term "minimised" and the RMA term "mitigated". The term mitigation come directly from STMA. There is entensive jurigrounderse on what it means and how it sits within the RMA's syntem alongide avoidance and remediation for managing adverse effects of avoidance and remediation, will preserve case law and 	Protect the biodiversity values of the information of provident means within 50HE00 by requiring sublimition, use and development tee 1. A construct the information of the information biodiversity in the coastal anxiety and the extent taked in ECO PS; 2. Avoid the following adverse differs on indigenous biodiversity values; 3. Example to a formation of the exostal anxiety of the exostal and exostal anxiety of the exostal anxiety of the exostal anxiety of the exostal and exostal anxiety of the exostal and exostal and exostal anxiety of the exostal anxiety of the exostal and exostal and exostal and exostal anxiety of the exostal and exostal exostal and exostal and exostal and exostal		
Meridian Energy Limited	F\$101 129	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P1	Oppose	The proposed amendment conflicts, in part, with the amendments Meridian seeks to policy ECO-P1.	Disallow / Allow the amendements only to the extent that they align with the amendments requested by Meridian in its submission point 228.73.		
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351 153	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P1	Amend	The wording 'where practicable' is unnecessary in clause 1 as it is restated in clause 2.	Seeks to amend wording to remove 'where practicable' from clause 1.		
Meridian Energy Limited	351.153 F5101.130	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P1	Oppose	Considers that the deletion of 'where practicable' from clause 3 would not give effect to the objective of the NPS indigenous Biodiversity (it would fail to consider clause [1] (b)). The words' where practicable' in clause 2 of Policy ECO- P1 address the subsequent step in the hierarchy (not the first 'avoid' step).	Disallow	Accept in part	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
WCC Environmental	No	/Provision Natural and Environmental	Amend	In relation to Point 3, the submitter considers that environmental damage cannot be remedied in a way that is different	Amend Point 3 of ECO-P1 (Protection of significant natural areas) to be clearer as to how remedving may exist, or	Recommendations	
Reference Group		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P1		to biodiversity offsetting.	remove entirely.		
Meridian Energy Limited	377.120 F5101.131	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P1	Oppose	Considers that it is relevant to include 'remediation' in the mitigation hierarchy, even if it is unlikely to be employed in most cases. Biodiversity compensation is a valid response, endorsed by the exposure draft NPS-indigenous Biodiversity and numerous Environment Court decisions.	Disallow	Reject	No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	F5126.216	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P1	Oppose	The NV opposes this submission point as the current policy provides an appropriate hierarchy for avoiding, minimising, remedying, offenting and compositoring for biodiversity volueis. Compensation is an appropriate mechanism to be considered that can lead to enhanced biodiversity outcomes		Accept	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	F\$128.216	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P1	Oppose	Ryman opposes this submission point as the current policy provides an appropriate hierarchy for avoiding, minimising, remedying, offsetting and compensating for biodiversity values. Compensation is an appropriate mechanism to be considered that can lead to enhanced biodiversity outcomes	Deallow	Accept	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.121	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P1	Amend	Considers that biodiversity compensation should not be available. It should not be possible for destruction of biodiversity to be available at a price.		Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.132	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P1	Oppose	Consider Stat: It is relevant to include "remediation" in the mitigation hierarchy, even if It is unlikely to be employed in most cases. Biodership compensation is a valid response, endorsed by the exposure draft NPS-indigenous Biodiversity and numerous Environment Court decisions.	Osallow	Accept	No
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS126.217	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P1	Oppose	The NV opposes this submission point as the current policy provides an appropriate hierarchy for avoiding, minimising, remedying, offenting and componsition (for biodiversity) values. Compensation is an appropriate mechanism to be considered that can lead to enhanced biodiversity outcomes		Accept	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited		Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous	Oppose	Ryman opposes this submission point as the current policy provides an appropriate hierarchy for avoiding, minimising, remedying, offsetting and compensating for biodiversity values. Compensation is an appropriate mechanism to be considered that can lead to enhanced biodiversity outcomes	Disallow		

			a :	A LE REAL PERMIT A PROVINCE AND A REAL AND A			
Director-General of Conservation		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P1	Oppose in part	Considers that the use of policy that implements an effects management hierarchy is supported, provided that, Policy ECO-P1 is amended to be in line with the wording in the exposure draft for the National Policy Statement on Indigenous Biodiversity.	Opposes in part ECO-P1 (Protection of significant natural areas), in its current form and seeks amendment.		
Director-General of	385.40	Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that the use of policy that implements an effects management hierarchy is supported, provided that, Policy	Amend Policy ECO-P1 (Protection of significant natural areas) to be in line with the wording set out in the exposure	Accept	Yes
Conservation		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P1	Amena	Colliders that the case to pointy that implements an elects management, the artisty is subpoliced, provided una, young ECO-21 is amended to be in line with the wording in the exposure draft for the National Policy Statement on Indigenous Bodiversity.	Amend von y CO-21 (Protection of significant natural areas) to be in line with the working set out in the exposure draft for the National Policy Statement on Indigenous Biodiversity.		
	385.41	Part 2 / Natural and		Meridian agrees that any amendments to the wording of ECO objectives and policies must be aligned with the NPS-		Accept	Yes
Meridian Energy Limited	F\$101.133	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P1	Support	Meridian agrees that any amendments to the wording of ECD objectives and policies must be aligned with the MPS- Indigenous Biodiversity once that is gazetted. The issue will be in the timing of the Plan hearings/decisions and gazettal of the NPS.	Allow	Arrent	Ves
Paul M Blaschke	15101.133	Natural and Environmental	Support	ECO-P1 is particularly supported.	Retain ECO-P1 (Protection of significant natural areas) as notified.	Ассерг	tes
	435.8	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P1				Accept in part	
Submitter Name		Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Tyers Stream Group	No	/Provision Natural and Environmental	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain ECO-P2 (Appropriate vegetation removal in significant natural areas) as notified.	Recommendations	
ryers stream Group	221.37	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P2	Support	(no specinic reason given beyond becalon requested - relet to original sournasion)	netam ELO+2 (Appropriate vegetation removal in significant natural areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Meridian Energy Limited	221.37	Natural and Environmental	Support in	Considers that the removal of vegetation may also be appropriate where necessary to provide for the functional or	Retain Policy ECO-P2 (Appropriate vegetation removal in significant natural areas) with amendment.	Accept in part	NO
	228.74	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P2	part	operational needs of regionally significant infrastructure, including vegetation removal from around structures.		Accept in part	No
Meridian Energy Limited		Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that the removal of vegetation may also be appropriate where necessary to provide for the functional or	Amend Policy ECO-P2 (Appropriate vegetation removal in significant natural areas) as follows (or similar to provide for		
		Value, / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P2		operational needs of regionally significant infrastructure, including vegetation removal from around structures.	the functional and operational needs of regionally significant infrastructure): Enable vegetation removal within significant natural areas identified within SCHEDB where it is of a scale and nature that maintains the biodiversity values, including to provide for: 1. Safe operation of roads, tracks and access ways; or 2. Safe operation of roads, tracks and access ways; or 3. <u>Junctional or coarticular levels in operations, maintaining, repairing, or upgrading regionally</u> <u>significant infrastructure, or</u> 3. <u>4</u> . –		
Wellington Electricity Lines	228.75	Part 2 / Natural and	Support		Allow	Accept in part	No
Limited (WELL)	FS27.8	Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P2	Support	WELL support the submission point in that the removal of vegetation may also be appropriate where necessary to provide for the functional or operational needs of regionality significant infrastructure. WELL is guided by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003, and therefore consider that the submission point to amend Policy ECO-P2 so as to allow appropriate vegetation removal in SNA areas is appropriate for the safe and secure supply of electricity.	ALLW	Accept	No
Director-General of		Part 2 / Natural and	Oppose	Infrastructure in relation to SNAs is detailed under the "Infrastructure – Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity" chapter	Disallow		
Conservation	FS106.11	Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P2		of the PDP. To avoid confusion, it should continue to be included in a separate chapter and not referenced in the "Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity" chapter.		Reject	No
Fire and Emergency New Zealand		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P2	Support	Supports the policy as it enables the removal of vegetation within significant natural areas to reduce wildfire risk through the removal of highly flammable vegetation near existing residential units or on rural property	Retain ECO-P2 (appropriate vegetation removal in significant natural areas) as notified.		
Aggregate and Quarry	273.102	Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that ECO-P2 is unlikely to apply to quarrying activities. Adding a point that enables vegetation clearance where	Amend ECO-P2 (Appropriate vegetation removal in significant natural areas) to add a sub-point enabling vegetation	Accept in part	No
Association		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P2	PUTCH	Consider of the existing activity is a legal activity will achieve the right balance between protection of appropriate vegetation and allowing essential economic activities.	Clearance where the existing activity is a legal activity.		
Director-General of	303.15	Part 2 / Natural and	Oppose	It is unclear what the submitter considers to be a legal activity. However, if an activity is able to take place by an	Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Conservation	FS106.12	Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P2		approved resource consent, by being a Permitted Activity, or by having existing use rights, then the activity is already able to go ahead, and this wording is therefore not necessary in the POP. If the proposed vegetation dearance is not enabled by one of the points above, it should be considered separately under the District Plan and not enabled.		Accept	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P2	Not specified	Considers that, on the basis ECO-P2 is not applicable to infrastructure, Transpower is neutral on the policy. However, if the intent is that it does and should apply to the National Grid, seeks amendment to recognise vegetation removal to enable the safe and efficient operation and maintenance of the National Grid. [position is specified as neutral]	Retain Policy ECO-P2 (Appropriate vegetation removal in significant natural areas). [Subject to amendment if the intent is that the policy applies to the National Grid]		
Transpower New Zealand	315.164	Natural and Environmental	Not coocie	Considers that. on the basis ECO-P2 is not applicable to Infrastructure. Transpower is neutral on the policy. However, if	Seeks that if the intent is that Policy ECO-P2 (Appropriate vegetation removal in significant natural areas) applies to the	Accept in part	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P2	not specified	Lonsoler's that, on the basis LoU-92 is not applicable to initiastructure, ir inspower's neutral on the point, in <i>Newer</i> , in the intent is that is does and should apply to the National Grid, seeks amendment to recongrise vegetation removal to enable the safe and efficient operation and maintenance of the National Grid. [position is specified as neutral]	Seeks that in the interk is that Policy FLU-92 (polypopriate vegetation removal in significant natural areas) appness to the National Gird, it is amended to recognise vegetation removal to enable the safe and efficient operation and maintenance of the National Gird.		
Submitter Name	315.165 Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Accept in part Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
Submitter Name	No	/Provision	- osition-			Recommendations	changes to PDP:

Link Bar Note State								•
Image: Simple state Image: Simple state<	Royal Forest and Bird		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Support in	Considers the policy should not start from a point of enabling because this policy will be considered when consenting the listed activities where they are no longer permitted; the matters of discretion for ECO B14, 15, 22, 32 refer to this	Amend ECO-P2 (Appropriate vegetation removal in significant natural areas): Consider enabling		
No. N			Indigenous Biodiversity		policy. Considers it is not clear whether all or some of these references are in error, because of the deletion of some			
Image:			/ ECO-P2	1	policies just prior to notification. Considers it is not appropriate to provide for new roads etc through SNAs as of right,	at maintains the biodiversity values, including to provide for:		
AIM A					to in this policy. The rules provide for restoration activities, not conservation activities. If 'conservation activities' is to be			
A. 10 A. 10 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>retained, see submission point on its definition. Considers the list should be exhaustive, so that it only provides for the</td><td>3. Restoration and conservation activities including plant and animal pest control activities; or</td><td></td><td></td></td<>				1	retained, see submission point on its definition. Considers the list should be exhaustive, so that it only provides for the	3. Restoration and conservation activities including plant and animal pest control activities; or		
Image: state I				1	intended activities.	 Natural hazard management activities; or 		
No. No.								
Add Mark 1985 Add Mark				1				
Add Mark 1985 Add Mark								
Add Mark 1985 Add Mark		245 199					Accent in part	No
Mathematical state Note of the state	re and Emergency New	345.188	Part 2 / Natural and	Oppose	Fire and Emerency consider that amending the wording of ECO-P2, as sought by the RFBPS, to 'consider enabling' the	Disallow / Retain ECO-P2 as notified.	Accept in part	NO
Number of the second	lealand				removal of highly flammable vegetation could be problematic for reducing the risk of wildfire and would be inconsistent			
Alt of the second se					with the provisions of the Fire and Emergency New Zealand Act 2017.			
View Net Picture Note of a control for the set of a cont								
Image: Problem (Control Control Contro Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control	1eridian Energy Limited	FS14.4	Part 2 / Natural and	Oppose	Considers that the policy is deliberately enabling: it provides the policy 'hook' for the rules and standards managing	Allow / Seeks that part of the submission be allowed to include the insertion of roads, and otherwise and, otherwise.	Reject	No
Name Name Note and the second			Environmental Values /		vegetation clearance. Inserting the words 'consider enabling' is at odds with			
Name Name Note Nam								
UNDER UNDER UNDER UNDER UNDER UNDER UNDER UNDER INFORMATION CONTRACT UNDER UNDE					220.7.3 supports inclusion of the word including , mention agrees the policy should be addressing roads.			
Interpretation Inter	ul M Blaschke	FS101.134		Support	ECO-P2 is particualrly supported.	Retain ECO-P2 (Appropriate vegetation removal in significant natural areas) as ontified	Accept in part	No
No. No. No. No. No. 100	a anderne		Values / Ecosystems and	pport	and the spectrum of the second s	need a contract of the second se		
No.N				1				
Display Fig			/ CCU-P2					
gen km km <t< td=""><td>lo Rúnanza o Toa</td><td>435.9</td><td>Natural and Environmental</td><td>Amond</td><td>No conside cores alway beyond decision convected _ refer to acidinal submission]</td><td>Amond policy FCO 02 (Appropriate versitation removal in clasificant extural areas) to:</td><td>Accept in part</td><td>No</td></t<>	lo Rúnanza o Toa	435.9	Natural and Environmental	Amond	No conside cores alway beyond decision convected _ refer to acidinal submission]	Amond policy FCO 02 (Appropriate versitation removal in clasificant extural areas) to:	Accept in part	No
Image: Spectra Market Spectra Marke	Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira			Amend	Ino specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Enable vegetation removal within significant natural areas identified within SCHED8 where it is of a scale and nature		
Image: state in the state			Indigenous Biodiversity	1		that maintains the biodiversity values, including to provide for:		
Image: Provide state st			/ ECO-P2	1		1. Maintenance around existing buildings; or 2. Safe operation of roads, tracks and access ways; or		
Image: series of the series						3. Restoration and conservation activities including plant and animal pest control activities; or		
Image: Signed						4. Natural hazard management activities; or		
Image: state								
Note Note Note Note Note Note Note Strame 1 Add of feature Note Note Note Note Strame 1 Add of feature Note Note Note Note Strame 1 Add of feature Note Note Note Note Strame 1 Note Note Note Note Note Strame 1 Note Note Note Note Note Strame 1 Note Note Note Note Note Note Note						6.		
Act of the second s								
 In Stram Group In Super Figure F						-use).		
x 15 kmm Group x 10 mm Nuclei and for inversionality Nuclei (Schoolen Hall (Schoolen Hall) (Schoolen Hall) (Schoole								
Applicity		488.52	1				Accept in part	Yes
Alian Image: I	yers Stream Group			Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain ECO-P3 (Subdivision, use and development in significant natural areas) as notified.		
No. No. No. No. No. Addit for grand Inditi for				1				
number and momental data frameworks in burget Number and momental is upper 1 Number and momental is upper 1 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
Name		221.38					Reject	No
Image: Note State Note State <td>Meridian Energy Limited</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Support</td> <td>Considers Policy ECO-P3 (Subdivision, use and development in significant natural areas) gives effect to the objectives.</td> <td>Retain Policy ECO-P3 (Subdivision, use and development in significant natural areas) as notified.</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Meridian Energy Limited			Support	Considers Policy ECO-P3 (Subdivision, use and development in significant natural areas) gives effect to the objectives.	Retain Policy ECO-P3 (Subdivision, use and development in significant natural areas) as notified.		
Incom Incom Incom Incom Incom Incom Incom Incom Incom volue during tube Incom Nature information Spect								
Name Name Number of the standard sector								
Name Name Number / Longertum Number / Longerum Nume		228.76					Poloct	No
Name Value / Longiture Image: Instruction	Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	£20.70		Support		Retain ECO-P3 (Subdivision, use and development in significant natural areas) as notified.	nopou	
Inclusion Inclu			Values / Ecosystems and		in which to			
P17.25 Intert Aug P17.2 (A) By P1 Object Networks Part P1 Object Networks			Indigenous Biodiversity / FCO-P3	1	address the effects of activities within an SNA.			
No. Number Number <td></td> <td>274 22</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Delet</td> <td></td>		274 22		1			Delet	
Non-	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
tection Society Nulsey, FConyterns and Indeproses. Biodiversity, subject of the biolower frage on the policy and traces that need policy and the policy subject on the the policy		No	/Provision				Recommendations	
Image: Indegenous Blook/estive seek the following dange to the polici. i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and i. Applies the effects management hierarchy gonzoatin BCD-P21; and P21; and	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society			support in part	considers the policy should not start from a presumption of allowing activities. It should also include SNAs in SCHED8, 9 and areas that meet Policy 23 criteria that have not yet been defined, as per the relief sought for the SNA definition. We			
Ast 189 Ast 180	·····,		Indigenous Biodiversity		seek the following change to the pōtai:	1. Applies the effects management hierarchy approach in ECO-P21; and		
Image: space of the space		1	/ ECO-P3			2. Demonstrates that it is appropriate, including by taking into account the findings of an ecological		
shifts shifts shifts shifts shifts shifts shifts shift		1						
ships ships <td< td=""><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>giving effect to NZCPS policy 11, ECO P5.</td><td></td><td></td><td> </td></td<>		1			giving effect to NZCPS policy 11, ECO P5.			
state state <td< td=""><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>Paragraph 2 suggests the activity will be demonstrated to be appropriate solely by considering an</td><td>to achieve no net loss of biodiversity values of the identified significant natural area; and</td><td></td><td> </td></td<>		1			Paragraph 2 suggests the activity will be demonstrated to be appropriate solely by considering an	to achieve no net loss of biodiversity values of the identified significant natural area; and		
ship		1			ecologistis report. A report is not the only consideration in determining appropriateness. Paragraph 3 needs amendment as it could be taken to suggest that no net loss via offsetting is the end analishere and the needs to be	 crisures that the ecological processes, functions and integrity of the significant natural area are maintained. 		
sk1.89 Part 2 / Natural good Consider the policy should apply to areas dentified in the Schedule. The proposed amendments unnecessarily apply and to not require the submission be allowed to indude correction of the ECO-P1 policy reference and, replaced amendments. Reject No ridinal Energy Limited Part 2 / Natural Option Convient the policy should apply to areas dentified in the Schedule. The proposed amendments unnecessarily apply any and do not require the submission be allowed to indude correction of the ECO-P1 policy reference and, replaced amendments. No CE Durinomental Web Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3 SMA must be protected usually, however, this policy refresce and interests in use and interests in usenterests in use and interests in use and inter		1			some effects built into the effects management hierarchy that must be avoided (in line with submission points above).			
345.189 Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Econsistent and indigenous solutions Considers that the policy should apply to areas identified in the Schedule. The proposed amendments unnecessarily emplificance dements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the emplificance dements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the emplificance dements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the emplificance dements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the emplificance dements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the emplificance dements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the emplificance dements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the emplificance dements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the emplificance dements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the emplificance dements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the emplificance dements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the emplificance dements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the emplificance dements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the emplificance dements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the emplificance dements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the emplificance dements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the emplificance dements of the APP2 and APP3 management h				1				
rdian Energy Limited Regy Limited Part 2 / Natural and Oppose Consisters that the policy thould apply to areas identical to the Schedule. The proposed amendments unecessarily a line of the submission of the PP2 and PP3 maagement hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the embellishment proposed in Policy ECO-P3 in Subwesty, / ECO-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / Eco-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / Eco-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / Eco-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / Eco-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / Eco-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / Eco-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / Eco-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / Eco-P3 and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / Eco-P3 and Evolution And					paragraph 4 are what we have sought for inclusion in ECO-P1, albeit expressed as adverse effects that must be avoided.			
rdian Energy Limited Part 2 / Natural and Oppose Consister that the policy tould apply to areas identical to the Schedule. The proposed amendments unnecessarily embedded in Policy ECO-P3 policy reference and, Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3 C Environmental exerce Group Ratural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3 SNA must be protected usually, however, this policy strikes a fair balance between interests in use and interests in use				1				
rdian Energy Limited Part 2 / Natural and Oppose Consister Start the policy choids apply to areas identical in the Schedule. The proposed amendments unnecessarily embedded in Policy ECO-P3 policy reference and, Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3 C Environmental Values / Start all and Environmental Values / Exosystems and policy ECO-P3. Share the protected usually, however, this policy strikes a fair balance between interests in use and interests in u				1				
rdian Energy Limited Part 2 / Natural and Oppose Consister that the policy double apply to areas identical to the Schedule. The proposed amendments unnecessarily embedded in Policy ECO-P3 policy reference and, Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3 C Environmental Values / School Stockwessity / ECO-P3 State protection is this important that perfected usually, however, this policy strikes a fair balance between interests in use and in				1			Rolast	No
Environmental Value / Ecoystems and Individues / FS101 replicate elements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the mebelishment proposed in Policy ECO-P3). other policy ECO-P3. other policy ECO-P3. Environmental rence Group Natural and Environmental Values / ECO-P3 SMAT wat be protected usually, however, this policy strikes a fair balance between interests in use and interests in herarchy is applied. Relati ECO-P3 (Subdivision, use and development in significant natural areas) as notified. Relect yes		245 190					neject	110
Biodyce	Meridian Energy Limited	345.189	Part 2 / Natural and	Oppose	Considers that the policy should apply to areas identified in the Schedule. The proposed amendments unnecessarily	Allow / Seeks that part of the submission be allowed to include correction of the ECO-P1 policy reference and.		
/ ECO-P3 / ECO-P3 Reject res C Environmental reserves Group Natural and Environmental registros Biodiversity (ECO-P3) Naturation protection, 1tb important that the effects management herarchy is applied. Relation ECO-P3 (Subdivision, use and development in significant natural areas) as notified. Heiset Heiset Heiset	Meridian Energy Limited	345.189	Environmental Values /	Oppose	replicate elements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the			
FXDID FXDID FXDID Rest Rest Yes CE Environmental rence Group Natural and Environmental Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and indigenous Bioliversity / ECO-P3 SAAs must be protected usually, however, this policy strikes a fair balance between interests in use and interests in protection. It is important that the effects management herarchy is applied. Retain ECO-P3 (Subdivision, use and development in significant natural areas) as notified. Retext Yes	Meridian Energy Limited	345.189	Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous	Oppose	replicate elements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the			
erence Group Values, Ecosystems and protection. It is important that the effects management indigenous Biodiversity hierarchy is applied. / ECO-P3	Meridian Energy Limited	345.189	Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Oppose	replicate elements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the			
Indigenous Blodiversity hierarchy is applied. / ECD-P3		345.189 F\$101.135	Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3	Oppose Support	replicate elements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the embellishment proposed in Policy ECO-P3).	otherwise, disallow the requested amendments.	Reject	Yes
	Meridian Energy Limited WCC Environmental Reference Group	345.189 F5101.135	Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Oppose Support	replicate elements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the embellishment proposed in Policy ECO+3). SNAs must be protected usually, however, this policy strikes a fair balance between interests in use and interests in protection. It is important that the effects management	otherwise, disallow the requested amendments.	Reject	Yes
377.122 Reject No	WCC Environmental	345.189 FS101.135	Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Oppose Support	replicate elements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the embellishment proposed in Policy ECO+3). SNAs must be protected usually, however, this policy strikes a fair balance between interests in use and interests in protection. It is important that the effects management	otherwise, disallow the requested amendments.	Reject	Yes
	WCC Environmental	F\$101.135	Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P3 Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Oppose Support	replicate elements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the embellishment proposed in Policy ECO+3). SNAs must be protected usually, however, this policy strikes a fair balance between interests in use and interests in protection. It is important that the effects management	otherwise, disallow the requested amendments.	Reject	Yes

Director-General of	1	Natural and Environmental	Amend	Policy ECO-P3 references the effects management hierarchy approach in ECO-P2, however the effects management	Amend Policy ECO-P3 as follows:		1
Director-General of Conservation		Values / Ecosystems and	Amend	Policy ECO-P3 references the effects management hierarchy approach in ECO-P2, however the effects management approach is referenced ECO-P1.	Amend Policy ECO-P3 as follows: "Applies the effects management hierarchy approach in ECO-P21; and"		
		Indigenous Biodiversity					
		/ ECO-P3					
	385.42					Accept in part	Yes
Paul M Blaschke		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Support	ECO-P3 is particualrly supported.	Retain ECO-P3 (Subdivision, use and development in significant natural areas) as notified.		
		Indigenous Biodiversity					
		/ ECO-P3					
	435.10					Reject	No
Paul Van Houtte		Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that free roaming of cats should be restricted in ECO-P4 due to their killing of native birds and lizards when	Seeks that ECO-P4 (Protection and restoration initiatives) be amended to restrict free roaming of cats.		
		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity		roaming, and for their spread of the toxoplasmosis disease.			
		/ ECO-P4					
	92.1					Reject	No
Victoria University of		Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that ECO-P4 should be amended to allow for the practice of rahui to be implemented when there is a threat to			
Wellington Students' Association		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity		biodiversity from human activity. This is an important addition as rähui is an important part of Mäori conservation practice. This will allow certain protected species to thrive and be free from	practice of råhul to be implemented when there is a threat to biodiversity from human activity.		
		/ ECO-P4		human interference for brief periods when there may be a threat of particular vulnerability.			
	123.41					Reject	No
Tyers Stream Group		Natural and Environmental	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain ECO-P4 (Protection and restoration initiatives) as notified.		
		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity					
		/ ECO-P4					
	221.39					Accept	No
Meridian Energy Limited		Natural and Environmental	Support in	Supports Policy ECO-P4 (Protection and restoration initiatives), provided the amendments requested to the mitigation	Retain Policy ECO-P4 (Protection and restoration initiatives), provided the amendments requested to Policy ECO-P1		
		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	part	hierarchy in Policy ECO-P1 (Protection of significant natural areas) are adopted.	(Protection of significant natural areas) are adopted.		
		/ ECO-P4					
	228 77					Accent in part	No
Royal Forest and Bird	220.77	Natural and Environmental	Support	Supports that this policy is not limited to restoration in SNAs.	Retain ECO-P4 (Protection and restoration initiatives) as notified.	Accept in part	NO
Protection Society		Values / Ecosystems and					
		Indigenous Biodiversity / FCO-P4					
	345 190	,				Accent	
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	NO Changes to PDP?
	No	/Provision				Recommendations	-
WCC Environmental Reference Group		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Amend	Generally supportive and particularly supportive of the recognition of mana whenua and land owners as key players in the wording. However, the submitter considers it vital that SNAs are not only protected but also restored.	Amend ECO-P4 (Protection and restoration initiatives) to add a fourth point as follows: 4. Where possible, recognise and assist with the financial costs associated with protection and		
		Indigenous Biodiversity		······································	restoration initiatives incurred by mana whenua, landowners and community groups.		
		/ ECO-P4					
	377.123					Reject	No
Steve West		Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values /	Support	The original submitter seeks that where possible WCC recognises and assists with the financial costs associated with orotection and restoration initiatives incurred by landowners.	Allow / Seeks that if SNAs are implemented on private urban land that WCC establish a full compensation scheme to offset the losses that impacted landowners will face because of SNAs being created.		
		Ecosystems and Indigenous		Steve West does not support the creation of SNAs on private urban land, if these are included, Steve West support the	onset the losses that impacted landowners will lace because of slives being created.		
		Biodiversity		requirement for WCC to provide compensation, including for:			
		/ ECO-P4		Substantial annual rates relief to fully compensate the landowner for costs incurred with protecting the SNA area. Fully covering resource consent and ecologist reports, where required to support ongoing maintenance of the SNA.			
				- Full compensation to the landowner at time of sale for the loss of land value (for the first sale of the private urban SNA).			
				Noting that Darroch has assessed these losses could be up to 30%			
Te Rünanga o Toa	FS110.20	Part 2 / Natural and	Support	The submitter seeks for ECO-P4 (protection and restoration initiatives) to be amended with the addition of a fourth point	Allow	Reject	No
Rangatira		Environmental Values /	,,	as follows: where possible, recognise and assist with the financial costs associated with protection and restoration			
		Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity		initiatives incurred by mana whenua, landowners and community groups. Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira support this			
		/ ECO-P4		submission because supporting these initiative would support protection and restoration which aligns with tikanga Māori. This would also support tino			
		,		rangatiratanga and the ability for mana whenua to achieve their aspirations in relation to protecting and restoring te			
				talao.			
Tvers Stream Group	FS138.27	Natural and Environmental	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain ECO-P5 (Significant natural areas within the coastal environment) as notified.	Reject	No
	1	Values / Ecosystems and		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	1	Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P5					
		, 20075					
Meridian Energy Limited	221.40	Natural and Environmental	Support in	Considers that policy ECO-P5 (Significant natural areas within the coastal environment) gives effect to the NZCPS.	Retain Policy ECO-P5 (Significant natural areas within the coastal environment) with amendment.	Accept in part	NO
	1	Values / Ecosystems and	part	Considers that there is a minor editorial amendment required in clause 3 and there appears to be an error in the			
	1	Indigenous Biodiversity		reference to Policy ECO-P2 (Appropriate vegetation removal in			
	1	/ ECO-P5		significant natural areas). It may be that this should be 'ECO-P1' (Protection of significant natural areas) (i.e. the mitigation hierarchy).			
Meridian Energy Limited	228.78	Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that policy ECO-P5 (Significant natural areas within the coastal environment) gives effect to the NZCPS.	Amend Policy ECO-P5 (Significant natural areas within the coastal environment) as follows (or similar):	Accept in part	No
menual chergy cimited	1	Values / Ecosystems and	sinenu	Considers that there is a minor editorial amendment required in clause 3 and there appears to be an error in the	Only allow activities within an identified significant natural area within SCHED8 in the coastal environment where it can		
	1	Indigenous Biodiversity		reference to Policy ECO-P2 (Appropriate vegetation removal in	be demonstrated that they;		
	1	/ ECO-P5		significant natural areas). It may be that this should be 'ECO-P1' (Protection of significant natural areas) (i.e. the mitigation hierarchy).	 Avoid adverse effects on the matters in Policy 11(a) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010; Avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of activities on the matters in 		
				areas) (i.e. the mitigation metarchy).	Policy 11(b) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010; and		
					3. Protects the other indigenous biodiversity values in accordance with ECO P1 ECO P2.		
	1						
			1	1	1		
	220 70						M

Horokiwi Quarries Ltd		Natural and Environmental	Oppose	Considers that given the nature of the existing quarrying activities undertaken and modified nature of the environment, parts of the Coastal Overlay as it relates to part of the exiting quarry site is opposed.	Seeks that the Significant Natural Area overlay and Coastal Environment overlay be amended as they relate to the		
		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity		parts of the coastal Overlay as it relates to part of the exiting quarry site is opposed.	Horokiwi quarry site. [Refer to original submission, including figure and attachments]		
		/ ECO-P5			[Refer to original submission, including right e and attachments]		
		,					
	271.24					Accept in part	Yes
Director-General of Conservation		Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values /	Oppose	The methodology used to determine the extent of the Coastal Environment for the PDP should align with the criteria under Policy 1 of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement (NZCPS). Removal or amendments to the extent of any	Disallow / Seeks that the submission is disallowed, unless it can be confirmed that the site does not meet the Coastal Environment criteria under Policy 1 of the NZCPS		
conservation		Ecosystems and Indigenous		Coastal Environment area is not supported without the site being ground-truthed by a suitably qualified ecologist to	Environment criteria under Policy 1 of the N2CP3		
		Biodiversity		confirm the accuracy of the current Coastal Environment mapping. The Coastal Environment area opposed by Horokiwi			
		/ ECO-P5		Quarries Ltd should be ground-truthed and assessed by WCC before a determination is made to retain, amend, or			
				remove the Coastal Environment area. If the site does not meet the Coastal Environment criteria under Policy 1 of the			
				NZCPS, only then should it be removed.			
	FS106.13					Accept in part	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird	NO	Natural and Environmental	Support in	Considers the policy should refer to ECO-P1. In order to give effect to the NZCPS, this policy needs to apply in all zones,	Amend ECO-P5 (Significant natural areas within the coastal environment):	Recommendations	
Protection Society		Values / Ecosystems and	part	including residential. As noted above, this can be achieved by amending the definition of SNA to include SCHED8 and 9,	Only allow activities within		
		Indigenous Biodiversity		and to any other area that meets Policy 23 RPS. The relationship between this policy and the general effects management	an identified significant natural area within SCHED8 in the coastal environment where it can be demonstrated that they		
		/ ECO-P5		hierarchy needs to be more clear. Currently the policies could allow for an argument that policy 11 NZCPS values could	*		
				be managed in accordance with the general effects management hierarchy, which is incorrect.	1. Avoid adverse effects on the matters in Policy 11(a) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010;		
				Amendments are sought to this policy and to ECO P1 above to achieve this.	 Avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of activities on the matters in Policy 11(b) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010; and 		
					2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010		
	345.191					Accept in part	Yes
Meridian Energy Limited		Part 2 / Natural and	Oppose	Considers that the policy should apply to areas identified in the Schedule. The proposed amendments unnecessarily	Allow / Seeks that part of the submission be allowed to include correction of the ECO-P1 policy reference and,		
-		Environmental Values /		replicate elements of the APP2 and APP3 management hierarchies (which apply anyway and do not require the	otherwise, disallow the requested amendments.		
		Ecosystems and Indigenous		embellishment proposed in Policy ECO-P3).			
		Biodiversity					
	FS101.136	/ ECO-P5				Accept	Yes
WCC Environmental		Natural and Environmental	Support	Supportive as the Policy is consistent with national direction and it ensures the protection of coastal SNAs in accordance	Retain ECO-P5 (Significant natural areas within the coastal environment) as notified.		
Reference Group	1	Values / Ecosystems and	1	with the NZCPS.			
	1	Indigenous Biodiversity					
	1	/ ECO-P5					
	377.124					Accept in part	No
Director-General of	1	Natural and Environmental	Support	Supports proposed Policy ECO-P5 (Significant natural areas within the coastal environment).	Retain policy ECO-P5 (Significant natural areas within the coastal environment) as notified.		
Conservation		Values / Ecosystems and					
		Indigenous Biodiversity					
		/ ECO-P5					
	385.43					Accept in part	No
Tyers Stream Group	303.43	Natural and Environmental	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain ECO-P6 (New plantation forestry) as notified.	Accept in part	10
		Values / Ecosystems and					
		Indigenous Biodiversity					
		/ ECO-P6					
	221.41					Accept in part	No
Royal Forest and Bird		Natural and Environmental	Support	Supports the policy.	Retain ECO-P6 (New plantation forestry) as notified.		
Protection Society		Values / Ecosystems and					
		Indigenous Biodiversity					
		/ ECO-P6					
	345.192					Accept in part	No
WCC Environmental		Natural and Environmental	Support	The submitter supports the intention that SNAs must be protected from new plantation forestry.	Retain ECO-P6 (new plantation forestry) as notified.		
Reference Group		Values / Ecosystems and					
		Indigenous Biodiversity					
		/ ECO-P6					
	377.125					Accept in part	No
Tyers Stream Group		Natural and Environmental	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain ECO-P7 (Existing plantation forestry) as notified.		
		Values / Ecosystems and					
		Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P7					
1	1	/ 00.07/	1			1	
	221.42					Accept in part	No
Royal Forest and Bird	1	Natural and Environmental	Oppose	Considers it is not clear what activities this provision is intended to provide a policy basis for. While Forest & Bird may be	Delete ECO-P7 (Existing plantation forestry).		
Protection Society	1	Values / Ecosystems and	1	able to support a policy such as this one, without the context of what rules/activities the policy provides for, the policy is		1	
	1	Indigenous Biodiversity / FCO-P7	1	opposed.		1	
	1	/ ECO-P/					
	345.193		I			Reject	No
Royal Forest and Bird	1	Natural and Environmental	Amend	If the relief sought above to delete ECO-P7 is not accepted, seeks deletion of the word "identified" in the policy.	Amend ECO-P7 (Existing plantation forestry):	I	
Protection Society	1	Values / Ecosystems and			Provide for existing plantation forestry and associated activities where these maintain or restore the		
	1	Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-P7	1		nacinanica anoanyci sity valiaca or significant natural arcas.	1	
1	1	,	1			1	
	345.194	1	ļ			Accept	Yes
	345.194			Considers that ECO-R1 (Trimming, pruning or removal of vegetation within a significant natural area) is too restrictive.	Not specified.	1	
Steve West	345.194	Natural and Environmental	Not specified				
Steve West	345.194	Values / Ecosystems and	Not specified	Native trees can grow over 15m tall and are not suited to small plots of urban land. The rules do not account for regular			
Steve West	345.194		Not specified	Native trees can grow over 15m tall and are not suited to small plots of urban land. The rules do not account for regular trimming which is important for maintaining bush in an urban environment.			
Steve West	345.194	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Not specified	Native trees can grow over 15m tall and are not suited to small plots of urban land. The rules do not account for regular			
	2.9	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Not specified	Native trees can grow over 15m tall and are not suited to small plots of urban land. The rules do not account for regular trimming which is important for maintaining bush in an urban environment.		Accept in part	ves
Steve West Submitter Name	2.9 Sub No / Point	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1 Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Native trees can grow over 15m tall and are not suited to small plots of urban land. The rules do not account for regular	Decisions Requested	Accept in part Independent Hearings Panel Percentrevend Vicence	ves Changes to PDP?
	2.9	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Position Amend	Native frees can grow over 15m tall and are not suited to small plots of urban land. The rules do not account for regular trimming which is important for maintaining bush in an urban environment.			ves Changes to PDP?
Submitter Name	2.9	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1 Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Position Amend	Native trees can grow over 15m tall and are not suited to small plots of urban land. The rules do not account for regular trimming which is important for maintaining bush in an urban environment. Summary of Submission Councilors have a democratic mandate to balance the interests of WCC residents against the important natural environment vulues represented by significant natural a ress (SNAs). The Proposed Rules are essentially the Officer Draft	If the Proposed District Plan is amended to allow Significant Natural Areas on residentially zoned land: Seeks that changes are made to ECO (Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity) to give effect to the following provision:		Yes Changes to PDP?
Submitter Name	2.9	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1 Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Position Amend	Native trees can grow over 13m tall and are not suited to small plots of urban land. The rules do not account for regular trimming which is important for maintaining bush in an urban environment. Summary of Submission Councillors have a democratic mandate to balance the interests of WCC residents against the important natural environment values represented by significant natural areas (SNAS). The Proposed Rules are essentially the Officer Draft Rules, but with the NA designation removed from all residential anced land.	If the Proposed District Plan is amended to allow Significant Natural Areas on residentially zoned land: Seeks that changes are made to ECO (Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity) to give effect to the following provision: Add an amended Draft District Plan ECO-744:		ves Changes to PDP?
Submitter Name	2.9	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1 Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Position Amend	Native trees can grow over 15m tall and are not suited to small plots of urban land. The rules do not account for regular trimming which is important for maintaining bush in an urban environment. Summary of Submission Councilions have a democratic mandate to balance the interests of WCC residents against the important natural environment values represented by significant natural areas (SMA). The Proposed Rules are essentially the Officer Drate Rules, but with the SNA designation removed from all residential zoned land.	If the Proposed District Plan is amended to allow Significant Natural Areas on residentially zoned land: Seeks that changes are made to ECO (Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity) to give effect to the following provision:		Yes Changes to PDP?
Submitter Name	2.9	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1 Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Position Amend	Native trees can grow over 13m tall and are not suited to small plots of urban land. The rules do not account for regular trimming which is important for maintaining bush in an urban environment. Summary of Submission Councillors have a democratic mandate to balance the interests of WCC residents against the important natural environment values represented by significant natural areas (SNAS). The Proposed Rules are essentially the Officer Draft Rules, but with the NA designation removed from all residential anced land.	If the Proposed District Plan is amended to allow Significant Natural Areas on residentially zoned land: Seeks that changes are made to ECO (Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity) to give effect to the following provision: Add an amended Draft District Plan ECO-744:		ves Changes to PDP?
Submitter Name	2.9	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1 Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Position Amend	Native trees can grow over 15m tall and are not suited to small plots of urban land. The rules do not account for regular trimming which is important for maintaining bush in an urban environment. Summary of Submission Councilions have a democratic mandate to balance the interests of WCC residents against the important natural environment values represented by significant natural areas (SMA). The Proposed Rules are essentially the Officer Drate Rules, but with the SNA designation removed from all residential zoned land.	If the Proposed District Plan is amended to allow Significant Natural Areas on residentially zoned land: Seeks that changes are made to ECO (Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity) to give effect to the following provision: Add an amended Draft District Plan ECO-744:		ves Changes to PDP?
Submitter Name	2.9	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1 Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Position Amend	Native trees can grow over 15m tall and are not suited to small plots of urban land. The rules do not account for regular trimming which is important for maintaining bush in an urban environment. Summary of Submission Councilions have a democratic mandate to balance the interests of WCC residents against the important natural environment values represented by significant natural areas (SMA). The Proposed Rules are essentially the Officer Drate Rules, but with the SNA designation removed from all residential zoned land.	If the Proposed District Plan is amended to allow Significant Natural Areas on residentially zoned land: Seeks that changes are made to ECO (Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity) to give effect to the following provision: Add an amended Draft District Plan ECO-744:		ves Changes to PDP?
Submitter Name	2.9	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1 Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Position Amend	Native trees can grow over 15m tall and are not suited to small plots of urban land. The rules do not account for regular trimming which is important for maintaining bush in an urban environment. Summary of Submission Councilions have a democratic mandate to balance the interests of WCC residents against the important natural environment values represented by significant natural areas (SMA). The Proposed Rules are essentially the Officer Drate Rules, but with the SNA designation removed from all residential zoned land.	If the Proposed District Plan is amended to allow Significant Natural Areas on residentially zoned land: Seeks that changes are made to ECO (Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity) to give effect to the following provision: Add an amended Draft District Plan ECO-744:		VES Changes to PDP?
Submitter Name	2.9	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1 Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Position Amend	Native trees can grow over 15m tall and are not suited to small plots of urban land. The rules do not account for regular trimming which is important for maintaining bush in an urban environment. Summary of Submission Councilions have a democratic mandate to balance the interests of WCC residents against the important natural environment values represented by significant natural areas (SMA). The Proposed Rules are essentially the Officer Drate Rules, but with the SNA designation removed from all residential zoned land.	If the Proposed District Plan is amended to allow Significant Natural Areas on residentially zoned land: Seeks that changes are made to ECO (Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity) to give effect to the following provision: Add an amended Draft District Plan ECO-744:		195 Changes to PDP?
Submitter Name	2.9	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1 Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Position Amend	Native trees can grow over 15m tall and are not suited to small plots of urban land. The rules do not account for regular trimming which is important for maintaining bush in an urban environment. Summary of Submission Councilions have a democratic mandate to balance the interests of WCC residents against the important natural environment values represented by significant natural areas (SMA). The Proposed Rules are essentially the Officer Drate Rules, but with the SNA designation removed from all residential zoned land.	If the Proposed District Plan is amended to allow Significant Natural Areas on residentially zoned land: Seeks that changes are made to ECO (Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity) to give effect to the following provision: Add an amended Draft District Plan ECO-744:		195 Changes to PDP?
Submitter Name	2.9	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1 Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Position Amend	Native trees can grow over 15m tall and are not suited to small plots of urban land. The rules do not account for regular trimming which is important for maintaining bush in an urban environment. Summary of Submission Councilions have a democratic mandate to balance the interests of WCC residents against the important natural environment values represented by significant natural areas (SMA). The Proposed Rules are essentially the Officer Drate Rules, but with the SNA designation removed from all residential zoned land.	If the Proposed District Plan is amended to allow Significant Natural Areas on residentially zoned land: Seeks that changes are made to ECO (Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity) to give effect to the following provision: Add an amended Draft District Plan ECO-744:		res Changes to POP?
Submitter Name	2.9	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1 Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Position Amend	Native trees can grow over 15m tall and are not suited to small plots of urban land. The rules do not account for regular trimming which is important for maintaining bush in an urban environment. Summary of Submission Councilions have a democratic mandate to balance the interests of WCC residents against the important natural environment values represented by significant natural areas (SMA). The Proposed Rules are essentially the Officer Drate Rules, but with the SNA designation removed from all residential zoned land.	If the Proposed District Plan is amended to allow Significant Natural Areas on residentially zoned land: Seeks that changes are made to ECO (Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity) to give effect to the following provision: Add an amended Draft District Plan ECO-744:		THES Changes to PDP?

Peter Kelly		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Blodiversity / ECO-R1	Amend	Councilors have a democratic mandate to balance the interests of WCC residents against the important natural environment values processed tables are essentially the Officer Draft Rules, but with the SNA designation removed from all residential zoned land. Requests that if SNAs are returned to residentially zoned land, the provisions in the draft District Plan are reinstated and fine-tuned.	If the Proposed District Plan is amended to allow Significant Natural Areas on residentially coned land: Seeks that changes are made to ECO (Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity) to give effect to the following provision: Add Draft District Plan ECO-R1: c) where trimming or removal of vegetation is required to allow subdivision approved under SUB R- 1. within an Significant Natural Area that minimises vegetation loss.		
	16.4					Reject	No
Oliver Sangster		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Amend	Considers that it is important to strike a balance to ensure people can trend to growing buch in dose prowimity to existing buildings (e.g., houses) and structures (including underground pipes) to prevent damage due to tree roots growing around pipes and foundations, dead aranches failing on roofs etc. Accordingly, the PDP should include provisions that this kind of maintenance be permitted to a reasonable level.	account for damage to underground property (e.g. pipes/foundations/driveways) from growing tree roots (whether		
Tyers Stream Group	112.11	Natural and Environmental	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain ECO-R1 (Trimming, pruning or removal of vegetation within a significant natural area) as notified.	Accept in part	No
ryers stream Group		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Support	(no specinic reason given beyond becalori requesizo - reler la original submission)	necam ELO-R L (rimming, pruning of removar or vegeta oon wurnt a significant natural area) as notines.		
	221.43					Accept in part	No
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Amend	Consider that in its current drafting, the activity status for works within a SNA outside the CE, that are not provided for within R11 or R11, is not clears as rules R14, R15 and R12 all apply to vegetation within the CE. R11 and yapplies to certain activities. Horokwi understands the intent of the rule ECO-R14 and 1.5 may be that if you do not meet R11 or R12 and you are not facting any RVCSP Soliey T110 matters, you are permitted regardless of whether you are within or outside the CE. However, this is not clear and open to interpretation. As proposed, the catacad rule approach does not work for vegetation work outside the CE in that there is no clear activity status and ECO-R1 is open to interpretation issues.	Seeks amendment to the activity status within ECO-R1 from non-complying under clause 6. to discretionary if a amendments sought to the areas identified as 3NA (as outlined in Appendic V of the submission) and amendment to the Coastal Environment Boundary (as identified in Appendix D of the submission) are not accepted.		
	271.25					Accept in part	No
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Amend	Considers that in its current drafting, the activity status for works within a SNA outside the CE, that are not provided for within R11 or R12, is not clear as rules R14, R15 and R16 all apply to vegetation within the CE, R13 and yapplies to certain activities. Horokiwi understands the intent of the rule ECO-R14 and 15 may be that if you do not meet R11 ao R12 and you are not affecting any NZCPS policy 11(a) matters, you are permitted regardless of whether you are within or outside the CE. However, this is not clear and open to interpretation. As proposed, the cascade rule approach does not work for vegetation work outside the CE in that there is no clear activity status and ECO-R1 is open to interpretation issues.	Seeks an amendment to ECO-411 (Trimming, pruning or removal of vegetation within a significant natural area) to clarify the activity status for trimming, pruning or removal of vegetation within a significant natural area that is not within the Coastal Environment and does not comply with ECO-R1.1 or ECO-R1.2.		
Fire and Emergency New	271.26	Natural and Environmental	Support in	Supports the preventative mitigation of fire risk to property and life through providing for the clearance of vegetation as	Supports ECO-R1 (Trimming, pruning or removal of vegetation within a significant natural area) with amendment.	Accept in part	No
Zealand		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	part	supports one preventance minigation on the task to property and the modulin providing of one clear and to vegetation as a permitted activity (all cones) in circumstances where FENS is required to remove expectation for the upposes of extinguishing or preventing the spread of fire or, where a notice has been served on a landholder to clear vegetation from a firebreak, in accordance with relevant sections of the FENZ Act.	Supports CU-RL (Timming, pruning or removal or vegetapon wurin a signinicani, natural area) wuri ameroment.		
Fire and Emergency New	273.103	Natural and Environmental	Amend	Supports the preventative mitigation of fire risk to property and life through providing for the clearance of vegetation as	Amend ECO-R1 (Trimming, pruning or removal of vegetation within a significant natural area) as follows:	Accept in part	No
Zealand		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1		a permitted activity (all zones) in circumstances where FENZ is required to remove vegetation for the purposes of extinguishing or preventing the spread of fiber or, where a notice has been served on a landholder to clear vegetation from a firebreak, in accordance with relevant sections of the FENZ Act.	 Activity status: Permitted Where: Trade the ongoing restoration work within the Zealandia sanctuary where undertaken by the Karori Sanctuary inclusion: Trust.on vit. To enable the maintenance of public walking or cycling tracks and parks maintenance and repair undertaken by the Bepartment of Conservation, a Regional or Territorial Authority, or their approved contractor, and in accordance with ECO-S21_021 vit. This meeting and the property including from the risk of fire. 		
	273.104		Position			Accept in part Independent Hearings Panel	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.195	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Support in part	Supports that the rules under ECD-R1 apply to 'segretation' within SNAs, not only indigenous wegetation. That is appropriate because evolts vegetation can provide significant habitat, and also can contribute to the occustem functioning of the SNA. Comment on each section of the rule are set out in the following submission points.	Not specified.	Accept	Yes
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.137	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Not specified	Considers that the original submission does not specify the relief requested. Any amendments need to give effect to the NPS-Indigenous Blodiversity.	Allow / Seeks that the submission point be allowed to the extent that the amendments are necessary to give effect to the NPS-Indigenous Biodiversity.	Accept	No
Steve West		Part 2, Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Oppose	The original submitter seeks to include non-indigenous vegetation (other than pext plants) into the proposed trumming standards for SNAs and where an achoric lis required this a Technician Arborist, rather than a Work's Arborist or a suitably qualified achorist as defined currently. Snew West does not support these reproposals for the following reasons: - The trimming standards for native trees in the SNA, already places a very heavy burden on landowners through the requirements for a resource consent and ecologist's report. Adding each vegetation and even more stringent requirements for the type of arborist used to those requirements will further magnify this burden. - New Zoaland antime tree sare barge mang grow over STan III and are not well suited to private unan land, if left unchosked. The reality is that trimming of both native and exotic trees is an important part of maintaining bush in the urban environment. - Planting of new trees (both indigenous and exotic) in the urban environment will likely diminish over time, both within the SNA areas and elsewhere as other landowners in Wellington become aware of the extent and onerous nature of the rules.	Daallow		

Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society		Natural and Environmental Values/Ecosytems and Indigenous Biodiversity /ECO-R1	Support in part	Seeks that Council consider whether any activities should be permitted in residential arcsa; given our submission that residential XNAs multi be reinserted. The April 2022 version of the plan (attached Pack or timming or clearance for maintenance of buildings, within 5m of the building; and trimming or pruning only to maintain sanlight where a standard was completed with then called ECO-54, which regulated how trimming was to occur, and no branche its stand Somm wide, and over 50mm wide needs to be done by a works arborit and WCC notified prior). In residential areas, we would accept a PA for maintenance or regular of services (beforeons, wastewater etc), however for installation we submit this is better as a controlled activity, and for existing residential units only. This allows the Council more control over where and how the services are installation, to stand the sets to the absolute minimum. Providing for it as a PA does not encourage this. For services to residential units that are not existing at the time of plan notification, a higher consenting standard schould appt, at the stR DA. There was ato a PA for a private access track, provided it complied with a standard (no wide than 1m, no trees removed where they have a trunk diameter exceeding that in Schedul can 1.4. am bove ground). We submit this would be better as a controlled activity, to give the Council greater opportunity to ensure that any higher value parts of the SNA are avoided.	Reinstate the Draft Plan's provisions for trimming, pruning, clearance, and maintenance of buildings in Residential Areas, given the submitter is seeking to reinstate residential Significant Natural Areas.		
	345.196					Reject	No
Steve West	F\$110.11	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Oppose	The original submitter also seeks to amend the rules that were provided for allowing landowners to create some access tracks on privale unables TAKI hand, to make these a controlled activity. Steve West does not support this, and considers that it is unreasonable to expect private landowners to obtain a resource consent (presumably with an ecologist's report) to create a track on their land. Considers that the tracks created have allowed for placement of preditor traps and weed eradication, but without these tracks, neither would have occurred due to the difficult site access.	Disallow	Accept	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Support in part	Considers the rule should refer to "lawfully established" public roads.	Amend ECO-R1 (Trimming, pruning or removal of vegetation within a significant natural area): 1. Activity Status: Permited Where: a. The trimming, pruning or removal of vegetation is to: 1. Ensure the operation of any <u>lawfully established</u> formed public road or rail corridor, private access leg, driveway or right of way where removal of vegetation is limited to within the formed width of the road, rail corridor or access; or		
	345 197					Accent in part	
Submitter Name		Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested		Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.198	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Oppose in part	Considers that new fences can involve the clearing of very large amounts of significant vegetation, and without some kind of limit, this activity is not appropriate as a PA. It should become a discretoriary activity. The amount of allowed trimming/removal for maintenance should also be limited to what is strictly necessary, given that it could cover a very large area. We see that the rule is calified to ensure that the Zm limit is the total allowed; rather than Zm on ethers side of the fonce. Paragraph (ii) should also include a limit, that the removal/trimming is only what is strictly necessary. Opposes the PA in (i) applying to new carss: tracks, this activity should be discretionary. Queries whether this provision was intended to use the defined term 'access strip'?	Amend ECO-R1 (Trimming, pruning or removal of vegetation within a significant natural area): 2. Activity Status: Permitted Where: a. The trimming or removal of vegetation is to: (: construct new permitted frences for stock-or pest atminal exclusion from areas or maintenance of existing fences for st <u>actions annihild</u> exclusion provided the trimming or removal of any vegetation does not exceed 2m in width <u>Lim may</u> <u>actions annihild</u> exclusion provided the trimming or removal of any vegetation does not exceed 2m in width <u>Lim may</u> <u>actions annihild</u> exclusion provided the trimming or the status of any vegetation does not exceed 2m in width <u>Lim may</u> <u>actions annihild</u> exclusion provided that the tremoval or the status of the maintenance; or 10. Maintain an exclusion of an external wall or roof of a residential unit that existed at 18 July 2022; or 11. To create a method within 10m of an external wall or roof of a residential unit that existed at 18 July 2022; or No. Maintain, agende or create a new <u>an</u> access track for agricultural, pastoral or horticultural activities in accordance with hECO 43.	Accept in part	Yes
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Oppose	Considers the activity has the potential to remove large amounts of significant vegetation or habitat, even where the ECO S4 is applied. It is not appropriate to be a controlled activity, as the Council will not be able to refuse consent, regardless of the effects. In the coastal environment, providing for this activity as a controlled activity fails to give effect to policy 11 NZCPS.	Amend ECC-R1.3 (Trimming, pruning or removal of vegetation within a significant natural area) to a higher activity status to align with policy 11 of NZ Coastal Policy Statement.		
	345.199					Accept in part	Yes
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosytems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Support in part	Notes the rule appears to refer to ECO-P2 in error. Considers this rule should not be limited to excluding situations where policy 11(a) (XCS) is engaged because both paragraphs (a) and (b) of policy 11 rule); require a different management approach than is set out in the effects management hierarchy of ECO-P1. Under ECO-P1, adverse effects only need to be avoided where practicable. That is contrary to the policy 11(a) requirement to avoid certain effects, and also to the policy 11(b) requirement to avoid significant adverse effects. Support matter of discretion reference to ECO-P1 (assuming that was intended)	Amend ECO-R1 (Trimming, pruning or removal of vegetation within a significant natural area): S. Activity stats: Restricted discretomy Where: a. Compliance with any of the requirements of ECO-R1.1 cannot be achieved; and b. The significant natural area does one to contain any matter is defined in Poloy 1169 of the New Zealand Costal Policy Statement 2010 where located within the coastal environment. Matters of discretion are: The matters in ECO-P21, ECO-P23 and ECO-P4, and The extent and effect of non-compliance with any relevant standard not met as specified in the associated assessment criteria for the infninged_standard.		
	345.200					Reject	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.201	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Support in part	Notes the rule appears to refer to ECO-P2 in error. Considers this rule should not be limited to excluding situations where policy 11(a) NC2F is engaged because to thip paragraphs [a) and (b) of policy 11 and (b) require affered management approach than is set out in the effects management hierarchy of ECO-P1. Under ECO-P1 adverse effects only need to be avoided where practicable. That is contrary to the policy 11(a) requirement to avoid errain effects, and also to the policy 11(b) requirement to avoid significant adverse effects. Support matter of discretion reference to ECO-P1 (assuming that was intended)	Amend ECO-R1 (frimming, pruning or removal of vegetation within a significant natural area): S. Activity status: Restricted discretomy Where: a. Compliance with any of the requirements of ECO-R1.1 cannot be achieved; and b. The significant natural area does not contain any matter is identified in Policy 116/jo14the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 where located within the coastal environment: Matters of discretion are: The matters in ECO-P21, ECO-P3 and ECO-P4, and The extent and effect of ron-compliance with any relevant standard not met as specified in the associated assessment criteria for the infringed_iandard.	Accept in part	Yes

·							
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Amend	Supports non-complying status attaching to this activity. Opposes the application of this rule being limited to policy 11(a) IACCS situations: Considers non-complying status should also paply where policy 11(b) is engaged. Also opposes the application of the effects management hierarchy in ECO-P1 applying to biodiversity that is required to be protected in accordance with policy 11(a) or (NJCS's site heplicry requires that adverse effects (a) (a)printCan adverse effects (b) avoided, whereas ECO-P1 only requires avoidance of adverse effects where practicable. Considers the provisions need to be clear that the policy applying to the coastal environment (currently ECO P5) applies as a first step for these activities.	6. Activity status: Non Complying Where: a. Compliance with the requirements of ECO-11.1 or ECO-R1.2 or ECO-R1.4 cannot be achieved; and b. The significant natural area includes matters identified in Policy		
WCC Environmental Reference Group	345.202	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Amend	The submitter considers these rules largely strike a good balance between protection and use, however, in the interests of the primacy of indigenous biodiversity, we propose changing the activity status of RL4 and RL5.	Amend ECD-R1.4. (Trimming, pruning or removal of vegetation within a significant natural area) from Restricted Discretionary to Non-Complying.	Accept in part	No
Submitter Name		Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	No FS126.218	/Provision Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Oppose	The RVA opposes this submission point on the basis that it has the potential to affect the consenting of retirement villages and is too stringent a control.	Disallow	Recommendations	No
Ryman Healthcare Limited	F5128.218	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Oppose	hymon opposes this submission point on the basis that it has the potential to affect the consenting of retirement villages and is too stringent a control.	Disallow	Reject	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.127	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Amend	The submitter considers these nules largely strike a good balance between protection and use, however, in the interests of the primacy of indigenous biodiversity, we propose changing the activity status of R1.4 and R1.5.	Amend ECO.R1.5. [(Trimming, pruning or removal of vegetation within a significant natural area) from Restricted Discretionary to Non-Complying.	Accept in part	
The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated		Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Oppose	The RVA opposes this submission point on the basis that it has the potential to affect the consenting of retirement villages and is too stringent a control.	Disallow		10
Ryman Healthcare Limited	F5126.219	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Oppose	Ryman opposes this submission point on the basis that it has the potential to affect the consenting of retirement villages and is too stringent a control.	Disallow	Reject	No
Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne	F5128.219	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R1	Support	Supports ECO-R1.a.vi. as notified. Considers that this allows for adequate biosecurity and proactive work to protect the integrity of the predator-proof fence and to miligate the biosecurity risk. It also enables occasional trimming specific areas to allow interpretation, enable viewsheds, or to maintain wetland areas.	Retain ECO-R1.a.vl. (Trimming or Removal of Indigenous Vegetation within a Significant Natural Area) as notified.	Reject	No
Tyers Stream Group	221 44	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R2	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain ECO-R2 (Removal of non-indigenous vegetation within a significant natural area) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345 203	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R2	Support in part	Supports this Permitted activity being limited to pest plants. Non-indigenous vegetation can provide habitat for indigenous fauna, and can otherwise form part of the ecosystem making up the SNA, and should not be able to be removed as of right. Considers this PA would be better incorporated Into ECOR1. Jugiven the issue below. Vegetation removal that did not comply with it would then become RDA (under ECO R1.4), or non-complying (under ECO R1.6).	Amend ECO-R2.1 (Removal of non-indigenous vegetation within a significant natural area) to be incorporated within ECO-R1 (Trimming, pruning or removal of vegetation within a significant natural area).	Reject	Vez
Steve West		Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R2	Oppose	The original submitter seeks to include non-indigenous vegetation (other than pest plants) into the proposed trimming standards for SNAs and where an arborits is required this be a Technicalian Arborits, rather than a Works Arborits or a listably qualified aborts as defined currently. SMS: The standards of the proposed trimming resons: Understanding and the plants are there are the standards and the standards and the standards and the indiverse through the requirements for a stronger consorting resons: Understandards for the type of arborits used to those requirements will further magnify this burden. - New Zoahand nature treas are targer (many grow over Stand III) and are not vestigated to private unan land, if left unchastered. The reality is that trimming of both native and exotic trees is an important part of maintaining bush in the unchastered. The result (shoth indigenous and exot), in the urban environment will likely diminish over time, both within the when environment.	Disallow		
Submitter Name	FS110.9 Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Reject Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
submitter Name	No	/Provision	Position	Summary of Summission	occisions nequested	Recommendations	changes to PDP?

	1						
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R2	Support in part	Notes the rule appears to refer to ECD-P2 in error. Consider it is also not clear whether the reference to ECD-P4 is therefore also in error – it appears that the appropriate references in the matters of discretion should be ECD-P1 and ECD-P3. If that is the case, we support those references.	Amend FCD-92.2 [Removal of non-indigenous vegetation within a significant natural area): 2. Activity Status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. Compliance is not achieved with ECO-92.1 Matters of discretion are: The matters in ECO-921 and ECO-943. Section 88 information requirements for applications: Applications for activities within an identified significant natural area must provide, in addition to the standard		
					regimeations for activity within a the significant general match in the property of the property of the standard information regimeents, an ecological assessment in accordance with APIS 1. Identifying the indigenous biodiversity values and potential impacts from the proposal; and 2. Demonstrating that effects management hierarchy at ECO-P2 has been applied.		
	345 204					Reject	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R2	Support in part	Considers is not clear when this rule would apply. The rules in ECO-R1 already appropriately apply to the removal of all vegetation, including exotic vegetation. This rule states that it applies when compliance with ECO-R2.1 is not ablened. That suggests that the exotic vegetation at sues is not a peer plant. But if that is the ease, it is already regulated by ECO R1. As noted above, we suggest that the PA ECO R2.1 is incorporated into ECO R2.1.1 it would then default to R0A under ECO R1.4 where the vegetation was not a peer plant. This will that benefit of enging the required protections for the coastal environment, which are absent from this rule. ECO R2.2 could then be defeted. If this rule is retained, we seek that it replicates the approach eff CCO R1.1, it that it does not apply where policy. IN 2CPS is relevant. We also seek an accompanying non-complying rule, to replicate ECO R1.6. That rule should refer to the coastal environment policy, ECO-P5, in the information requirements	Amend 2CD-R2.2 (Removal of non-indigenous vegetation within a significant natural area) to be incorporated within ECO-R1 (Trimming, pruning or removal of vegetation within a significant natural area). Add new parallel non-complying rule to ECO-R1.6.		10
	345.205					Reject	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.128	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R2	Amend	Considers that non-indigenous and old-growth vegetation (such as Pinus radiata) can be important habitat for indigenous species (such as Nestor meridionalis). It is important that removal of these large individuals is considered in that context.	Amend ECD-R2.2 (Removal of non-indigenous vegetation within a significant natural area) as follows: Matters of discretion are: The matters in <u>ECD-P1</u> _ECD-P2 and ECD-P4.		
Steve West	577.128	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R3	Not specified	Considers that requirements such as needing "eco-sourced local indigenous" plants, will further discourage native planting.	Not specified.	Reject	NO
	2.10					Reject	No
Nga Kaimanaaki o te Waimapihi		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R3	Amend	Considers that we need to preserve and restore indigenous native fauna. As well as preying on our native birds, cats also eat a large number of our native lizards and wêtâ (which are still in decline).	Seeks amendment to ECO-#3 (Restoration and maintenance of a significant natural area) to add provisions that restrict pets from roaming in Significant Natural Areas.		
	215.2					Reject	No
Tyers Stream Group		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R3	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain ECO-R3 (Restoration and maintenance of a significant natural area) as notified.		
	221.45					Accept in part	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R3	Support in part	Notes this provision (and others) refers to 'identified values'. It is not clear what these are. The descriptions in SCHED 8 are often brief and high level. We seek that a greater level of detail for each SNA is provided in the schedules.	Clarify ECO-R3 (Restoration and maintenance of a significant natural area) to provide further detail on "identified values".		
Submitter Name	345.206 Sub No / Point		a. 111		Derisions Requested	Reject	No
	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission		Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.207	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R3	Support in part	Considers the matters of discretion also need to refer to the policy giving effect to policy 11 NZCPS, currently ECO-PS.	Amend ECO-R3 (Restoration and maintenance of a significant natural area): 2. Activity status: Restricted Discriburgy Where: 3. Compliance with the requirements of ECO-R3.1 cannot be achieved Matters of discretion are: The matters in ECO-P2 and ECO-P4 Section 88 information requirements for applications: Applications for activities within an identified significant natural area must provide, in addition to the standard widomation requirements, an ecological assessment in ancordance with APP15: 1. Identifying the indigenous biodiversity values and potential impacts from the proposal; and 2. Demonstrating that effects in management hierarchy at ECO-P2 has been applied; and 3. Demonstrating the effects of the proposal jive effect to ECO-P3 in relation the <i>requirements of</i> . Policy 11 of the NZ Coastal Policy Statement. Retain ECO-R3 (Restoration and maintenance of a significant natural area) as notified.	Accept in part	Yes
Reference Group	377 129	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R3		framework to do so.		Accept in part	No
Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R3	Amend	Considers that ECO-R3 should be amended with an additional clause that enables Zealandia operations to continue, as per other areas in the plan. Considers that ECO-R3 may limit activities such as reintroductions of fauna species, and other related activities, as Zealandia Te Mára a Táne is not subject to the Reserves Act, Conservation Act nor the Queen Elizabet the Second National Trust Act.	Amend ECO-R3 (Restoration and Maintenance of a Significant Natural Area) by adding a clause that enables the ongoing restoration work within the Zealandia sanctuary where undertaken by the Karori Sanctuary Trust.	needer in part	no .
Tyers Stream Group	486.2	Natural and Environmental	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain ECO-R4 (New plantation forestry within a significant natural area) as notified.	Accept	165
		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R4					
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	221.46	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-R4	Support	Supports the rule.	Retain ECO-R4 (New plantation forestry within a significant natural area) as notified.	Accept in part	No
	345.208					Accept in part	No

International State Note State								
Cal Mark Note	WCC Environmental Reference Group		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Support	removal of vegetation. The submitter considers these standards are clear and comprehensive and strike a good balance	Retain ECO-R4 (New plantation forestry within a significant natural area) as notified.		
Cal Mark Note								
Image: Note of the second s		377.130					Accept in part	No
Nome of any set	Tyers Stream Group		Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]			
Name Note	Roval Forest and Bird	221.47	Natural and Environmental	Support in	Supports this standard, with the following amendment - Notes that both 'Technician Arborist' and 'Works Arborist' are	Amend ECO-S1 (Trimming, pruning or removal where there is the imminent threat to the safety of people or property):	Accept in part	No
Name Op/Leg Notation Name	Protection Society	345 209	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	part	defined in the Interpretation section of this Plan. Paragraph 3 of this standard should use the defined term 'Technician Arborist', as the definition requires the skills appropriate for risk assessment relevant to this activity. It is also clearer to		Palart	No
Image: Signed in the activity of the section of the sectin of the	Submitter Name		Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Image: Signed in the activity of the section of the sectin of the		No	/Provision				Recommendations	-
Name Weight Could Note of the forward forward forward for some of the second forward for the possible of the second forward for some of the possible o		55110 10	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity		standards for SNAs and where an arborist is required this be a Technical Arborist, rather than a Works Arborist or a starbally qualified arborist as defined currently. Steve West does not support these proposals for the following reasons: The trimming standards for natve terms in the SNA, already places a very heavy burden on landowners through the requirements for a resource consent and ecologist's report. Adding exotic vegetation and even more stringert requirements for a tressure consent and ecologist's report. Adding exotic vegetation and even more stringert requirements for the type of abroist used to those requirements Will further magnify this burden. New Zealand native trees are large (many grow over 15m tail) and are not well suited to private urban land, if left unchecked. The results is that trimming to both natve and exott trees is an inportant part of maintaining bush in the urban environment.		brant	No
Number of the second	Greater Wellington	-5110.10	Natural and Environmental	Amend	Vegetation trimming standards and rules should be amended so that they also apply to both indigenous and non-	Seeks to amend standard (where relevant) to change 'indigenous vegetation' to 'vegetation'.	Accept	NO
Net With Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark	Regional Council		Indigenous Biodiversity		areas, except where otherwise specified for restoration or other purposes. Any non-indigenous plants within SNAs that are not pest plants may provide significant habitat for indigenous biodiversity such as birds, bats and lizards. This understanding is recognised in section 6(c) of the Act which directs the			
Involumental Value// involumental Value//		351.154					Accept	Yes
reference Group values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biolowersity / ECO 51 values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biolowersity / ECO 51 values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biolowersity / ECO 52 values / Ecosystems and indigenous Biolowersity / ECO 52 Noter and Environmental indigenous Biolo	Steve West	15110.14	Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-S1		Indigenous vegetation. The submitter has also recommended additional controls be applied in areas adjacent to SMAs, such as buffer anomal endogolial controls. Do not support these proposals for the following reasons: — The trimming standards, while intended to protect native trees, places a very heavy burden on bindowners through the requirements for a resource consent and ecologist's report. Adding exotic vegetation to those requirements would add to this burden. — New Zealand native trees are burge (many grow over 15m tall) and are not well suited to private urban land, if left unchecked. The results is that trimming is an inportant part of maintaining bubin the urban evolvement. — The likelihood of urban native bush being cleared by landowners prior to the district plan coming into effect will be magnified by including exotics. Whether urban bub means or is removed will likely come down to whether the landowner loves their bush more than they hate SMAs, or if they hate SMAs more than they love their bush. — Safarding of mere Sighch indigenous and exot(z) in the urban environment will likely diminish ow whether the landowner loves their bush more than they hate SMAs, or if they hate SMAs more than they love their bush. — Safart for dime verses (both indigenous and exot(z) in the urban environment will likely diminish ow them, both whether indigenous blokiversity gains were squandered through implementation of the poorly developed SMA policy. [Refer to further submission for full reason]		Reject	Ng
yes y	WCC Environmental Reference Group		Values / Ecosystems and	support	removal of vegetation. The submitter considers these standards are clear and comprehensive and strike a good balance			
Yales Natural and Environmental Support [No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission] Retain ECo-52 (Vegetation removal associated with maintenance or repair of public walking and cycling tracks including parks maintenance and repair) as notified. Amend ECO-52 (Vegetation removal associated with maintenance or repair of public walking and cycling tracks including parks maintenance or repair of public walking and cycling tracks including parks maintenance and repair) No yula Forest and Bio Natural and Environmental Support Considers the standard could be more clear as to how much clearance is allowed. Amend ECO-52 (Vegetation removal associated with maintenance or repair of public walking and cycling tracks including parks maintenance and repair): No yula Forest and Bio Considers the standard could be more clear as to how much clearance is allowed. Amend ECO-52 (Vegetation removal associated with maintenance or repair of public walking and cycling tracks including parks maintenance and repair): No yula Forest and Bio Considers the standard could be more clear as to how much clearance is allowed. Amend ECO-52 (Vegetation removal associated with maintenance or repair of public walking and cycling tracks including parks maintenance and repair): No yula Forest and Bio Considers the standard could be more clear as to how much clearance is allowed. Amend ECO-52 (Vegetation removal associated with maintenance or repair of public walking and cycling tracks including parks maintenance and repair): No yula Forest and Bio </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>Indigenous Biodiversity</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			Indigenous Biodiversity					
value Value Vestigation Value Costs Costs Note value Values Costs Costs Note Note Note value Values Values Costs Note Note Note value Values Values Costs Note Note Note	Tyers Stream Group	3/7.131	Natural and Environmental	Support	No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission	Retain ECO-S2 (Vegetation removal associated with maintenance or repair of public walking and cyrling tracks	Accept in part	NO
yal Forest and Bird cyale Forest and Bird votection Society 1 Albuszer Zeossiens and part indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-S2		221.48	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity				Accent in part	No
	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	a. 1.40	Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Support in part	Considers the standard could be more clear as to how much clearance is allowed.	including parks maintenance and repair): Vegetation removal <u>or trimming</u> must:	records as full t	
Sub No / Point Sub-part / Chapter Position Summary of Submission Decisions Requested Independent Hearings Panel Chapters to PDP?								Yes
	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?

		-			-		
Greater Weilington Regional Council	351.155	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-S2	Amend	Vegetation trimming standards and rules should be amended so that they also apply to both indigenous and non- indigenous vegetation. This would marke it clear that all vegetation (safe from pare plants) is to be protected in these areas, except where otherwise specified for restoration or other purposes. Any non-indigenous plants within SNAs that are not pest plants may provide significant habitat for indigenous bodiversity such a birds, bats and itards. This understanding is recognised in section 6(c) of the Atwich directs the protection of the "significant habitats of indigenous fauna" not the significant indigenous habitats of indigenous fauna.	Seeks to amend standard (where relevant) to change "indigenous vegetation" to 'vegetation'.	Accept	Yes
Steve West	5110.15	Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / / ECO-52	Oppose	The submitter wells to inclue non-indigenous regretation into the proposed trimming standards for SNAs, in addition to indigenous vegetation. The submitter has also recommended additional controls be applied in areas adjacent to SNAs, in addition to such as buffer zones and ecological corridors. Do not support these proposits for the following reasons: - The trimming standards, while intended to protect native trees, places a very heavy burden on landowners through the requirements for a resource conset and ecologic's report. Adding excit vegetation to those requirements would add to this burden. - New Zealand native trees are large (many grow over 15m Tali) and are not well suited to private urban land, if left unchecked. The reality is that trimming is an important part of maintaining bush in the urban environment. - The likelihood of urban native bush bug cleared by landowners prior to the district plan coming in effect will be magnified by including exotics. Whether urban bush remains or is removed will likely come some some time, both within the SNA areas and leaviewer as other indowners in welling to be cented and oncous nature of the rules. - Planting of new trees (both Indigenous and exotc) in the urban environment will likely diminish over time, both within the SNA areas and leaviewer as other leadowers in the leadower of the exotent and corson stature of the rules. - Rather than becoming a world-renowned "nature city", Wellington could well become known as "the exotic city", where indigenous biodiversity gains were signandered through implementation of the poorly developed SNA policy. [Refer to further submission for full reason]	Daallow	Reject	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.132	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-S2	Support	Considers that protection and restoration must come first in SNAs, but recognises there will be essential needs for the removal of vegetation. The submitter considers these standards are clear and comprehensive and strike a good balance between the two interests.	Retain ECD-S2 (Vegetation removal associated with maintenance or repair of public walking and cycling tracks including parks maintenance and repair) as notified.	Accept in part	
Tyers Stream Group	221.49	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-S3	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain ECO-53 (Vegetation removal associated with farm access tracks) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.211	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-S3	Support in part	Supports the standard; though notes opposition to new tracks being a Permitted activity noted in previous submission points on ECO rules.	Retain ECO-53 (Vegetation removal associated with farm access tracks) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-S3	Amend	Vegetation trimming standards and rules should be amended so that they also apply to both indigenous and non- indigenous vegetation. This would make it clear that all vegetation (aside from pact plants) is to be protected in thes areas, except where otherwise specified for restoration or other purposes. Any non-indigenous plants within SNAs that are not pest plants may provide significant habitat for indigenous bodiversity such a suiting, based mail starts. This understanding is recognised in section (c) of the Aut which directs the protection of the "significant habitats of indigenous fauna" not the significant indigenous habitats of indigenous fauna.	Seeks to amend standard (where relevant) to change 'indigenous vegetation' to 'vegetation'.		
Submitter Name	351.156 Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Accept Independent Hearings Panel	Yes Changes to PDP?
Steve West	Un	Providion Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-53	Oppose	The subhitter isels to include non-indigenous vegetation into the proposed trimming standards for SNAs, in addition to indigenous vegetation. The subhitter has also recommended additional controls be applied in areas adjacent to SNAs, such as buffer anomal end cological controls. Do not support these proposeds for the following reasons: The trimming standards, while intended to protect native trees, places a very heavy burden on landowners through the requirements for a resource consent and ecologits' seport. Adding exotic vegetation to those requirements would add to this burden. • New Zealand native trees are large (many grow over 15m tall) and are not well suited to private urban land, if left unchecked. The result is that trimming is an inportant part of maintaining built hey during the verse magnified by including exotics. Whether urban but hemains or is removed will likely come down to whether the landowner loves their bush nore than they hate SNAs, or if they hate SNAs more than and plove beir bush. • Particip direct thes (both indigenous ad exot(c) in the urban environment will likely diminish one whether the landowner loves their bush nore than they hate SNAs, or or they hate SNAs more than they love their bush. • Particip direct thes (both indigenous ad exot(c) in the urban environment will likely diminish one then, both within the SNA areas and elsewhere as other landowners in Wellington become aware of the extent and onerous nature of the rule. • Rather than becoming a world-renowned "nature city". Wellington could well become known as "the exotic city", (keler to further submission for full reason)		Becommendations	
WCC Environmental	FS110.16	Natural and Environmental	Support	Consider that extension and extension must come first in \$155. In the consideration will be according to the second	Batala ECO \$2 Monotation computed according with from spaces to also a settle of	Reject	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377 133	Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity / ECO-S3	Support	Considers that protection and restoration must come first in SNAs, but recognises there will be essential needs for the removal of vegetation. The submitter considers these standards are clear and comprehensive and strike a good balance between the two interests.	Retain ECO-53 (Vegetation removal associated with farm access tracks) as notified.		
	3//.155	1		1		Accept in part	NO ON

Tyers Stream Group						1	
		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]	Retain ECO-54 (Vegetation removal associated with upgrading of existing and creation of new public walking and cycling tracks and associated buildings and structures) as notified.		
	1	Indigenous Biodiversity			eyening a deve and associated duitalligs and structures) as notified.		
		/ ECO-S4		1		L	
oval Forest and Bird	221.50	Natural and Environmental	Support in	Supports the standard with minor amendment, though notes opposition to new tracks being a Permitted activity noted in	Amond ECO \$4 (Versitation removal accordated with versiding of existing and creation of new public walking and	Reject	No
Protection Society		Values / Ecosystems and	part	previous submission points on ECO rules.	cycling tracks and associated buildings and structures):		
forcerion boulety		Indigenous Biodiversity	pure	rendu submission points on eeo rules.	Vegetation removal or trimming must:		
	L	/ ECO-S4		1	1. Not be greater that 2.5m in width in total, to accommodate the track		
	345.212					Reject	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council		Natural and Environmental Values / Ecosystems and	Amend	Vegetation trimming standards and rules should be amended so that they also apply to both indigenous and non- indigenous vegetation. This would make it clear that all vegetation (aside from pest plants) is to be protected in these	Seeks to amend standard (where relevant) to change 'indigenous vegetation' to 'vegetation'.		
Kegional Council		Indigenous Biodiversity		indigenous vegetation. This would make it clear that all vegetation (aside from pest plants) is to be protected in these areas, except where otherwise specified for restoration or other purposes.			
		/ ECO-S4		areas, except where otherwise specified for restoration or other purposes. Any non-indigenous plants within SNAs that are not pest plants may provide significant habitat for indigenous			
		/ 200-34		biodiversity such as birds, bats and lizards. This understanding is recognised in section 6(c) of the Act which directs the			
				protection of the "significant habitats of indigenous fauna" not the significant indigenous habitats of indigenous fauna.			
	351.157					Reject	No
ubmitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
	No	/Provision				Recommendations	
teve West		Part 2 / Natural and Environmental Values /	Oppose	The submitter seeks to include non-indigenous vegetation into the proposed trimming standards for SNAs, in addition to	Disallow		
		Environmental Values / Ecosystems and Indigenous		indigenous vegetation. The submitter has also recommended additional controls be applied in areas adjacent to SNAs, such as buffer zones and ecological corridors.			
		Biodiversity		Do not support these proposals for the following reasons:			
		/ ECO-S4		The trimming standards, while intended to protect native trees, places a very heavy burden on landowners through the			
		,		requirements for a resource consent and ecologist's report. Adding exotic vegetation to those requirements would add			
				to this burden.			
				New Zealand native trees are large (many grow over 15m tall) and are not well suited to private urban land. If left			
				unchecked. The reality is that trimming is an important part of maintaining bush in the urban environment.			
				- The likelihood of urban native bush being cleared by landowners prior to the district plan coming into effect will be			
				magnified by including exotics. Whether urban bush remains or is removed will likely come down to whether the			
				landowner loves their bush more than they hate SNAs, or if they hate SNAs more than they love their bush.			
				- Planting of new trees (both indigenous and exotic) in the urban environment will likely diminish over time, both within			
				the SNA areas and elsewhere as other landowners in Wellington become aware of the extent and onerous nature of the			
				rules.			
				- Rather than becoming a world-renowned "nature city", Wellington could well become known as "the exotic city",			
				where indigenous biodiversity gains were squandered through implementation of the poorly developed SNA policy.			
				[Refer to further submission for full reason]			
	FS110.17					Reject	No
WCC Environmental		Natural and Environmental	Amend	Considers that it is vital that any new tracks and associated buildings and structures are well considered from an	Amend ECO-S4 (Vegetation removal associated with upgrading of existing and creation of new public walking and		
Reference Group		Values / Ecosystems and		ecological perspective, to avoid high-value biodiversity being inadvertently damaged.	cycling tracks and associated buildings and structures) as follows:		
		Indigenous Biodiversity			Split ECO-S4 into two new standards, reading:		
		/ ECO-S4					
					ECO-S4: vegetation removal associated with upgrading of existing public walking and cycling tracks		
					and associated buildings and structures		
					Vegetation removal must:		
					1. Not be greater than 2.5m in width to accommodate the track and associated track		
					structures; and		
					Not be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures.		
					ECO-S5: Vegetation removal must:		
					1. Not be greater than 2.5m in width to accommodate the track and associated track		
					structures;		
					2. Not be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and		
					 Not be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and Demonstrate that it is appropriate by taking into account the findings of an ecological assessment 		
					2. Not be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and	-	
					 Not be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and Demonstrate that it is appropriate by taking into account the findings of an ecological assessment 	-	
	377.134				 Not be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and Demonstrate that it is appropriate by taking into account the findings of an ecological assessment 	Reject	No
Iohn Bryce	377.134			Considers that if SNAs are to be on residential properties, there should be a comprehensive and meaningful strategy to	 Not be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and Demonstrate that it is appropriate by taking into account the findings of an ecological assessment 	Reject	No
Iohn Bryce	377.134			incentivize willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have	 Not be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and Demonstrate that it is appropriate by taking into account the findings of an ecological assessment 	Reject	No
iohn Bryce	377.134			incentivize willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant natural features and not just be any area observed on an aerial photograph to be covered in native plants,	 Not be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and Demonstrate that it is appropriate by taking into account the findings of an ecological assessment 	Reject	No
iohn Bryce	377.134	Open Space and Recreation		incentivize willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant natural features and not just be any area observed on an aerial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential property represented less than 2% of Wellington's	 Not be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and Demonstrate that it is appropriate by taking into account the findings of an ecological assessment 	e Beject	No
ohn Bryce	377.134	Zones / Natural Open		Incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant natural features and not just be any area observed on an areial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential property represented less than 25 of Weilington's MASA. If WCC incentives are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential in the series of the se	 Not be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and Demonstrate that it is appropriate by taking into account the findings of an ecological assessment 	Reject	No
ohn Bryce	377.134			Incentive: willing private participation in the reconing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have agnificant natural features and not just be any area observed on an earial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential property represented less than 2% of Wellington's SNAs. If VCC incentives are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "may tapel" will willingt participate in the NAS process, while the remaining rateparese who do not sufficient to a sufficient to participate in the NAS process, while the remaining rateparese who do not sufficient to the participate in the sufficient to a sufficient	 Not be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and Demonstrate that it is appropriate by taking into account the findings of an ecological assessment 	Reject	No
ohn Bryce	377.134	Zones / Natural Open		Incertivize willing private participation in the reconing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant stuart leaf testures and not just be any area observed on an aerial photograph to be covered in atthe plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential property represented less than 25 of Wellington's SNAs. If WC: Increments are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNAs process, while the remaining ratespayers who do not agree with the imposition of SNA dergisation on their property, would respresent as in portion of total SNAs in the statespace in the second se	 Not be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and Demonstrate that it is appropriate by taking into account the findings of an ecological assessment 	Reject	No
ohn Bryce	377.134	Zones / Natural Open		Incentive willing private participation in the recoing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant stuarts attain stuch to sub any area observed on an aerial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serial Nahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential property represented less than 2% of Wellington's SNAs. If WCC incentives are sufficient to outweigh loss of property digits caused by the imposition of SNAs or esciential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the XNA process, while the remaining rate payers who do not agree with the imposition of SNA designation on their property, would represent a tiny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington, lifett or original submission for full	2. Note key greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any andilary buildings or structures; and 3. Demonstrate that is accordiate by using into account the findings of an ecological assessment for the activity in accordance with APP15.	Reject	No
iohn Bryce	377.134	Zones / Natural Open	Amend	Incertivize willing private participation in the reconing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant stuart leaf testures and not just be any area observed on an aerial photograph to be covered in atthe plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential property represented less than 25 of Wellington's SNAs. If WC: Increments are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNAs process, while the remaining ratespayers who do not agree with the imposition of SNA dergisation on their property, would respresent as in portion of total SNAs in the statespace in the second se	2. Note be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or any ancillary buildings of an ecological assessment for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to	Reject	Ng
	377.134	Zones / Natural Open	Amend	incentive: willing private participation in the recoing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant catural teatures and not just be any area doscreated on a nearial photograph to be covered in cative plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential property represented less than 2% of Wellington's SNAs. If VCC incentives are sufficient to outware plants plants of property and the remaining rategories will be property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the NAS process, while the remaining rategories with odo not their property, most of SNAs designation on their property, would represent a tiny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	2. Note key greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any andilary buildings or structures; and 3. Demonstrate that is accordiate by using into account the findings of an ecological assessment for the activity in accordance with APP15.	Roject .	No
iohn Bryce	377.134	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and	Amend	Incentive willing private participation in the recoing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant outual features and not just be any area observed on an aerial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serul Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential groenty represented less than 2% of Wellington's SNAs. If WCC Incentives are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNA process, while the remaining rate party sets who do not agree with the imposition of SNA designation on their property, would represent a tiny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington. [Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature lefentified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals	2. Note be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or ancurures; and 3. Demostrate that its approprise building to account the findings of an ecological assessment for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas.	Reject	No
	354.2	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ	Amend	Incentive willing private participation in the recoing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant stuart later and not just be any area observed on an aerial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serul Mahoe. SNA originally proposed for private residential groenty represented less than 2% of Wellington's SNAs. If WCC Incentives are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNA process, while the remaining rate payses who do not agree with the imposition of SNA designation on their property, would represent a tiny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington. [Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature lefentified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals	2. Note be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or any ancillary buildings of an ecological assessment for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to	Roject	No
ohn Bryce	377.134 354.2 354.3	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ	Amend	Incentrive willing private participation in the recoining of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant natural factures and not just be any area observed on an earial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential property represented less than 25 of Wellington's SNAs. If WC: Increases and the conversion of the strange property right scaused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNAs process, while the remaining ratespayers who do not agree with the imposition of SNA derivation on their property, would represent a tiny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington, Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature identified as being of genuine "National Significance"	2. Note be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or an utures; and 3. Demostrate that its approprise building to account the findings of an ecological assessment for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the reasoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas do not apply to private residentially zoned land, whould have a support to have a support to private residential private participation in the reasoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas.	Reject Beject Accept in part	No No
	354.2	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General MOS7 Open Space and	Amend Support	Incentive willing private participation in the recoing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant stuart later and not just be any area observed on an aerial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serul Mahoe. SNA originally proposed for private residential groenty represented less than 2% of Wellington's SNAs. If WCC Incentives are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNA process, while the remaining rate payses who do not agree with the imposition of SNA designation on their property, would represent a tiny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington. [Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature lefentified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals	2. Note kereater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or an ucurues; and. 3. Demonstrate that its apportate building into account the findings of an ecological assessment for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be publy to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be imposed, site coverage rules be put in place to limit buildings to a	Reject Reject Accept in part	No
ohn Bryce	354.2	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General MNS7 Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural	Amend Support	Incentive willing private participation in the recoing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant stuart later and not just be any area observed on an aerial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serul Mahoe. SNA originally proposed for private residential groenty represented less than 2% of Wellington's SNAs. If WCC Incentives are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNA process, while the remaining rate payses who do not agree with the imposition of SNA designation on their property, would represent a tiny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington. [Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature lefentified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals	2. Note be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or an utures; and 3. Demostrate that its approprise building to account the findings of an ecological assessment for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the reasoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas do not apply to private residentially zoned land, whould have a support to have a support to private residential private participation in the reasoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas.	Reject Beject Accept in part	No No
ohn Bryce	354.2	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General MOS7 Open Space and	Amend Support	Incertive willing private participation in the reconing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant natural factures and not just be any area observed on an aerial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential property represented less than 32% of Wellington's SNAs. HVCC Incentives are sufficient to outwelphot sof property rights caused by the imposition of SNA denotes areas and the outwelphot sof property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNAs process, while the remaining ratespayers who do not agree with the imposition of SNA dergustion on their property, would represent a liny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington, Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature identified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals should not be made to bear the cost of the public benefit of SNA against their will.	2. Note kereater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or an ucurues; and. 3. Demonstrate that its apportate building into account the findings of an ecological assessment for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be publy to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be imposed, site coverage rules be put in place to limit buildings to a	Reject Reject Accept in part	No
ohn Bryce	354.2 354.3 354.4	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NNSZ Open Space Zone / Natural Open Space	Amend Support	Incentive willing private participation in the recoing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant stuart later start on story but be any area docevered on an aerial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential groperty represented less than 2% of Wellington's SNAs. If WCC Incentives are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNA process, while the remaining rate payses who do not agree with the imposition of SNA designation on their property, would represent a tiny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington. [Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature identified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals should not be made to bear the cost of the public benefit of SNA against their will. No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	2. Note be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and. 3. Benometrate that is apportise to waiting into account the findings of an ecological assessment. for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be portivate residentially zoned land, where the individual areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be portivate residentially zoned land without landowners' consent. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to be imposed, site coverage rules be put in place to limit buildings to a maximum allowable percentage of a residential site include any Significant Natural Areas of the site.	Reject Beject Accept in part Reject	No No No
ohn Bryce ohn Bryce ioyal Forest and Bird	354.2	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General MICSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Tone / General MICSZ Interpretat ion Subpart /	Amend Support Support in oart	Incertive willing private participation in the reconing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant natural factures and not just be any area observed on an aerial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential property represented less than 32% of Wellington's SNAs. HVCC Incentives are sufficient to outwelphot sof property rights caused by the imposition of SNA denotes areas and the outwelphot sof property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNAs process, while the remaining ratespayers who do not agree with the imposition of SNA dergustion on their property, would represent a liny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington, Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature identified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals should not be made to bear the cost of the public benefit of SNA against their will.	2. Note kereater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or an ucurues; and. 3. Demonstrate that its apportate building into account the findings of an ecological assessment for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be publy to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be imposed, site coverage rules be put in place to limit buildings to a	Roject Roject Accept in part Reject	No No No
ohn Bryce	354.2 354.3 354.4	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Interpretat ion Subpart / Interpretat ion General /	Amend Support Amend part	Incentive willing private participation in the recoing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant stuart later start on story but be any area docevered on an aerial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential groperty represented less than 2% of Wellington's SNAs. If WCC Incentives are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNA process, while the remaining rate payses who do not agree with the imposition of SNA designation on their property, would represent a tiny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington. [Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature identified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals should not be made to bear the cost of the public benefit of SNA against their will. No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	2. Note be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and. 3. Benometrate that is apportise to waiting into account the findings of an ecological assessment. for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be portivate residentially zoned land, where the individual areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be portivate residentially zoned land without landowners' consent. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to be imposed, site coverage rules be put in place to limit buildings to a maximum allowable percentage of a residential site include any Significant Natural Areas of the site.	Reject Reject Accept in part Reject	No No No
ohn Bryce ohn Bryce koyal Forest and Bird	354.2 354.3 354.4	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General N/SZ Tones / General N/SZ Interpretat ion Subpart / Interpretat ion Subpart / Interpretat ion		Incentive willing private participation in the recoing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant stuart later start on story but be any area docevered on an aerial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential groperty represented less than 2% of Wellington's SNAs. If WCC Incentives are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNA process, while the remaining rate payses who do not agree with the imposition of SNA designation on their property, would represent a tiny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington. [Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature identified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals should not be made to bear the cost of the public benefit of SNA against their will. No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	2. Note be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and. 3. Benometrate that is apportise to waiting into account the findings of an ecological assessment. for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be portivate residentially zoned land, where the individual areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be portivate residentially zoned land without landowners' consent. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to be imposed, site coverage rules be put in place to limit buildings to a maximum allowable percentage of a residential site include any Significant Natural Areas of the site.	Reject Reject Accept in part Reject	No No No
ohn Bryce ohn Bryce koyal Forest and Bird	354.2 354.3 354.4	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Interpretat ion Subpart / Interpretat ion General /		Incentive willing private participation in the recoing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant stuart later start on story but be any area docevered on an aerial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential groperty represented less than 2% of Wellington's SNAs. If WCC Incentives are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNA process, while the remaining rate payses who do not agree with the imposition of SNA designation on their property, would represent a tiny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington. [Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature identified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals should not be made to bear the cost of the public benefit of SNA against their will. No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	2. Note be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and. 3. Benometrate that is apportise to waiting into account the findings of an ecological assessment. for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be portivate residentially zoned land, where the individual areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be portivate residentially zoned land without landowners' consent. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to be imposed, site coverage rules be put in place to limit buildings to a maximum allowable percentage of a residential site include any Significant Natural Areas of the site.	Reject Beject Accept in part Aniect	No No No
ohn Bryce ohn Bryce koyal Forest and Bird	354.2 354.3 354.4	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General N/SZ Tones / General N/SZ Interpretat ion Subpart / Interpretat ion Subpart / Interpretat ion		Incentive willing private participation in the recoing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant stuart later start on story but be any area docevered on an aerial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential groperty represented less than 2% of Wellington's SNAs. If WCC Incentives are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNA process, while the remaining rate payses who do not agree with the imposition of SNA designation on their property, would represent a tiny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington. [Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature identified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals should not be made to bear the cost of the public benefit of SNA against their will. No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	2. Note be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and. 3. Benometrate that is apportise to waiting into account the findings of an ecological assessment. for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be portivate residentially zoned land, where the individual areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be portivate residentially zoned land without landowners' consent. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to be imposed, site coverage rules be put in place to limit buildings to a maximum allowable percentage of a residential site include any Significant Natural Areas of the site.	Reject Reject Accept in part Reject	No No No
ohn Bryce ohn Bryce koyal Forest and Bird	354.2 354.3 354.4	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General N/SZ Tones / General N/SZ Interpretat ion Subpart / Interpretat ion Subpart / Interpretat ion		Incentive willing private participation in the recoing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant stuart later start on story but be any area docevered on an aerial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential groperty represented less than 2% of Wellington's SNAs. If WCC Incentives are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNA process, while the remaining rate payses who do not agree with the imposition of SNA designation on their property, would represent a tiny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington. [Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature identified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals should not be made to bear the cost of the public benefit of SNA against their will. No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	2. Note be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or ancurures; and. 3. Benometrate that is apportise to waiking into account the findings of an ecological assessment. for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be portivate residentially zoned land, where the individual areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be portivate residentially zoned land without landowners' consent. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to be imposed, site coverage rules be put in place to limit buildings to a maximum allowable percentage of a residential site include any Significant Natural Areas of the site.	Reject Beject Accept in part Beject	No No No
ohn Bryce ohn Bryce koyal Forest and Bird	354.2 354.3 354.4	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General N/SZ Tones / General N/SZ Interpretat ion Subpart / Interpretat ion Subpart / Interpretat ion		Incentive willing private participation in the recoing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant stuart later start on story but be any area docevered on an aerial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential groperty represented less than 2% of Wellington's SNAs. If WCC Incentives are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNA process, while the remaining rate payses who do not agree with the imposition of SNA designation on their property, would represent a tiny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington. [Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature identified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals should not be made to bear the cost of the public benefit of SNA against their will. No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	2. Note be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or ancurures; and. 3. Benometrate that is apportise to waiking into account the findings of an ecological assessment. for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be portivate residentially zoned land, where the individual areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be portivate residentially zoned land without landowners' consent. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to be imposed, site coverage rules be put in place to limit buildings to a maximum allowable percentage of a residential site include any Significant Natural Areas of the site.	Reject Reject Accept in part Reject	No No No
ohn Bryce ohn Bryce koyal Forest and Bird	354.2 354.3 354.4	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General N/SZ Tones / General N/SZ Interpretat ion Subpart / Interpretat ion Subpart / Interpretat ion		Incentive willing private participation in the recoing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant stuart later start on story but be any area docevered on an aerial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential groperty represented less than 2% of Wellington's SNAs. If WCC Incentives are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNA process, while the remaining rate payses who do not agree with the imposition of SNA designation on their property, would represent a tiny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington. [Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature identified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals should not be made to bear the cost of the public benefit of SNA against their will. No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	2. Note be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or ancurures; and. 3. Benometrate that is apportise to waiking into account the findings of an ecological assessment. for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be portivate residentially zoned land, where the individual areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be portivate residentially zoned land without landowners' consent. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to be imposed, site coverage rules be put in place to limit buildings to a maximum allowable percentage of a residential site include any Significant Natural Areas of the site.	Reject Reject Accept In part Belect	No
ohn Bryce ohn Bryce toyal Forest and Bird Trotection Society	354.2 354.3 354.4 345.3	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Open Space Space Space Zone / General NOSZ Interpretat ion Subpart / Interpretat ion General / Interpretat ion General	part	Incentive willing private participation in the reconing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have " significant natural factures and not just be any area observed on an aerial photograph to be coveed in native plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential property represented less than 2% of Wellington's SNAs. HVC: Cincentives are sufficient to outwelphots of property rights caused by the imposition of SNA denotes areas with the imposition of SNA denotes and the strange property rights caused by the imposition of SNA denotes wellington. [Refer to original submission for full reason]. Cenders that natural environmental feature identified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals should not be made to bear the cost of the public benefit of SNA against their will. No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]. Generally supports these provisions as drafted, except for the definitions noted below.	2. Note kereter than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or aroutures; and. 3. Demonstret hat it is apportise to valing into account the findings of an ecological assessment for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to bapply to private residentially zoned land, whout landowners' consent. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to be imposed, site coverage rules be put in place to limit buildings to a maximum allowable percentage of a residential site include any Significant Natural Area of the site. Retain "Interpretation" section as notified, except for the definitions submitted on below.	Beject	N0 N0 N0 N0
ohn Bryce ohn Bryce Royal Forest and Bird	354.2 354.3 354.4	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General N/SZ Tones / General N/SZ Interpretat ion Subpart / Interpretat ion Subpart / Interpretat ion		Incentive willing private participation in the recoing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have significant stuart later start on story but be any area docevered on an aerial photograph to be covered in native plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential groperty represented less than 2% of Wellington's SNAs. If WCC Incentives are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNA process, while the remaining rate payses who do not agree with the imposition of SNA designation on their property, would represent a tiny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington. [Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature identified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals should not be made to bear the cost of the public benefit of SNA against their will. No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	2. Note be greater than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or ancurures; and. 3. Benometrate that is apportise to waiking into account the findings of an ecological assessment. for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be portivate residentially zoned land, where the individual areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be portivate residentially zoned land without landowners' consent. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to be imposed, site coverage rules be put in place to limit buildings to a maximum allowable percentage of a residential site include any Significant Natural Areas of the site.	Reject Re	No No No No Changes to PDP?
ohn Bryce ohn Bryce toyal Forest and Bird trotection Society ubmitter Name	354.2 354.3 354.4 345.3	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Open Space Space Space Zone / General NOSZ Interpretat ion Subpart / Interpretat ion General / Interpretat ion General	part	Incertive willing private participation in the recoing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have " significant natural features and not just be any area observed on an aerial photograph to be coveed in native plants, such as serial Nahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential property represented less than 2% of Weilington's SNAs. HVCC Incentives are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNA doen residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNAs process, while the remaining ratespayers who do not agree with the imposition of SNA deviation on their property, would represent a liny portion of the total SNAs in Weilington, Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature identified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals should not be made to bear the cost of the public benefit of SNA against their will. No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]. Generally supports these provisions as drafted, except for the definitions noted below.	2. Note kereter than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or aroutures; and. 3. Demonstret hat it is apportise to valing into account the findings of an ecological assessment for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to bapply to private residentially zoned land, whout landowners' consent. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to be imposed, site coverage rules be put in place to limit buildings to a maximum allowable percentage of a residential site include any Significant Natural Area of the site. Retain "Interpretation" section as notified, except for the definitions submitted on below.	Beject	NO NO NO Changes to PDP?
ohn Bryce ohn Bryce oyal Forest and Bird orotection Society ubmitter Name	354.2 354.3 354.4 345.3 345.3	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space John Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Tone / General NOSZ Interpretat ion Subpart / Interpretat ion General / Interpretat ion General Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	part	Incertive willing private participation in the recoing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have " significant natural features and not just be any area observed on an aerial photograph to be coveed in native plants, such as serial Nahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential property represented less than 2% of Weilington's SNAs. HVCC Incentives are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNA doen residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNAs process, while the remaining ratespayers who do not agree with the imposition of SNA deviation on their property, would represent a liny portion of the total SNAs in Weilington, Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature identified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals should not be made to bear the cost of the public benefit of SNA against their will. No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]. Generally supports these provisions as drafted, except for the definitions noted below.	2. Note kereter than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and. 3. Demonstret hat it is apportise to valing into account the findings of an ecological assessment. for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be poly to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be imposed, site coverage rules be put in place to limit buildings to a maximum allowable percentage of a residential site include any Significant Natural Area in the total area of the site. Retain "Interpretation" section as notified, except for the definitions submitted on below. Decidions Requested	Beject	No No No Changes to PDP?
Iohn Bryce Iohn Bryce Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Submitter Name Director-Ceneral of	354.2 354.3 354.4 345.3 345.3	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space / Natural Open Space Zones / Natural Open Space Zones / Natural Open Space / Natural Open Space Zones / Natural Open Space / Nat	part	Incertivite willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have " significant natural factures and not just be any area observed on an aerial photopyrafh to be covered in natve plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential property represented less than 25 of Wellington's SNAs. If WC: Increases are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNAs process, while the remaining ratespayers who do not agree with the imposition of SNA degration on their property, would represent a tiny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington, [Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature identified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals should not be made to bear the cost of the public benefit of SNA against their will. Into specific reason alwan beyond decision requested - rafer to original submission]. Generally supports these provisions as drafted, except for the definitions noted below.	2. Note begreter than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and. 3. Demonstrate that is apportise to waiking into account the findings of an ecological assessment for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be imposed, the coverage node be put in place to limit buildings to a maximum allowable percentage of a residential size include any Significant Natural Area. Retain "Interpretation" section as notified, except for the definitions submitted on below. Decidions Requested Seeks that an additional definition is	Beject	NO NO NO Changes to PDP?
ohn Bryce ohn Bryce toyal Forest and Brd Protection Society submitter Name Director- General of	354.2 354.3 354.4 345.3 345.3	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space John Gase and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Interpretat ion Subpart / Interpretat ion Subpart / General	part	Incertifyice willing private participation in the reconing of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have " significant natural features and not just be any area observed on an aerial photograph to be coveed in native plants, such as serial Nahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential property represented less than 2% of Weilington's SNAs. HVCC Incentives are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNA denot property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNAs process, while the remaining ratespayers who do not agree with the imposition of SNA denotation on their property, would represent a liny portion of the total SNAs in Weilington. [Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature identified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals should not be made to bear the cost of the public benefit of SNA against their will. No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]. Generally supports these provisions as drafted, except for the definitions noted below.	2. Note kereter than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and. 3. Demonstrate that is apportise to valing into account the findings of an ecological assessment. for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be imposed, site coverage rules be put in place to limit buildings to a maximum allowable percentage of a residential site include any Significant Natural Area of the site. Retain "Interpretation" section as notified, except for the definitions submitted on below. Decidions Requested Seeks that additional definition is include for a "restoration or model".	Beject	No No No Changes to PDP?
Iohn Bryce Iohn Bryce Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Submitter Name Director-Ceneral of	354.2 354.3 354.4 345.3 345.3	Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Open Space and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space John Gase and Recreation Zones / Natural Open Space Zone / General NOSZ Interpretat ion Subpart / Interpretat ion Subpart / General	part	Incertifyize willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to SNA. These properties should have " significant natural factures and not just be any area observed on an aerial photopyraph to be covered in natve plants, such as serial Mahoe. SNAs originally proposed for private residential property represented less than 32% of Wellington's SNAs. If WC: Increases are sufficient to outweigh loss of property rights caused by the imposition of SNAs on residential property, then "most people" will willingly participate in the SNAs process, while the remaining ratespayers who do not agree with the imposition of SNA degration on their property, would represent a tiny portion of the total SNAs in Wellington, [Refer to original submission for full reason] Considers that natural environmental feature identified as being of genuine "National Significance" on private property should not be designated an SNA without willing consent of the landowner. Private individuals should not be made to bear the cost of the public benefit of SNA against their will. ING specific reason plaven beyond decision requested - refer to original submission]. Generally supports these provisions as drafted, except for the definitions noted below.	2. Note perseter than 5m2 in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures; and. 3. Demonstrate that is apportise to valing into account the findings of an ecological assessment for the activity in accordance with APP15. Seeks that if Significant Natural Areas are to apply to private residentially zoned land, incentives should be offered to incentivise willing private participation in the rezoning of residential areas to Significant Natural Areas. Supports that Significant Natural Areas are to be imposed, the coverage needs by our indice to limit buildings to a maximum allowable percentage of a residential size include any Significant Natural Area. Retain "Interpretation" section as notified, except for the definitions submitted on below. Decidions Requested Seeks that an additional definition is include for a 'restoration or emplancement activity in relation to	Beject	No No No Oranges to PDP?

Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS84.14	Part 1 / Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions	Support	Greater Wellington agree that the proposed definitions of 'restored' and 'restoration' do not adequately support the interpretation of the plan provisions. The terms are also not consistent with the regional plan.	Allow / Seeks definitions that are either consistent with the regional plan or a new definition for 'Restoration or Enhancement Activity'.		
		/ New					
		definition					
						Accept in part	
						Accept in part	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.16	Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions	Support	[no specific reason provided, see full submission]	Retain the definition of Biodiversity Compensation as notified.		
Linited		/ BIODIVERS ITY COMPENS					
		ATION					
						Accept in part	No
Royal Forest and Bird	345.4	Interpretat ion Subpart /	Oppose in	Considers compensation is not an appropriate management tool for significant biodiversity, particularly in the context of	Delete definition of "biodiversity compensation".	Acceptingur	no -
Protection Society		Definitions / BIODIVERS ITY COMPENS	part	an effects management hierarchy that lacks any requirement to avoid particular effects and therefore seeks that this definition be deleted. Notes that if the definition does remain, seeks that it requires no net loss and preferably a net gain.			
		ATION		This is more clear than the standard of 'disproportionately positive'.			
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	F\$72.3	Part 1 / Introductio n and	Oppose	Rejects the deletion of the definition of 'Biodiversity compensation' as this definition assists with the interpretations and	Disallow	Reject	110
		General Provisions		implementation of ECP-P1.			
		/ Definitions / Biodiversit y Compensa	_	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions			
		tion		of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater			
				Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse			
				effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of people of Wellington City;			
				and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA.			
						Accept	
Constanting	FS84.96	Dent & Classes	C		Alland Carlo aka deficiales for Mindlessels, Caracanatical		No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS84.96	Part 1 / Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions	Support	Greater Wellington support the amendment of the "Biodiversity compensation" definition to require "no net loss and preferably a net gain" for clarification and to have regard to Proposed RPS	Allow / Seeks the definition for 'Biodiversity Compensation' be amended to ensure 'no net loss and preferably a net gain'		
-		/ BIODIVERS ITY COMPENS		Change 1.			
		ATION					
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.2	Part 1 / Interpretat ion	Oppose	Considers that compensation is an appropriate management tool, including for effects on significant biodiversity, within	Nisellau.	Accept in part	No
Wendian chergy chinted	13101.2	Subpart / Definitions	oppose	an effects management hierarchy. Meridian considers that the definition should be retained and require no net loss and	Disaliow		
		/ BIODIVERS ITY COMPENS ATION		preferably a net gain.			
		ATION					
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	NO Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird	No 345.5	/Provision Interpretat ion Subpart /	Oppose in	Considers compensation is not an appropriate management tool for significant biodiversity, particularly in the context of	Amend definition of "biodiversity compensation" to require no net loss and preferably a net gain, instead of	Recommendations	-
Protection Society	340.0	Definitions	part	an effects management hierarchy that lacks any requirement to avoid particular effects and therefore seeks that this	"Disproportionately positive"		
		/ BIODIVERS ITY COMPENS ATION		definition be deleted. Notes that if the definition does remain, seeks that it requires no net loss and preferably a net gain.	" The goal of biodiversity compensation is to achieve an outcome for indigenous biodiversity values that is		
		ATION	1	This is more clear than the standard of 'disproportionately positive'.	disproportionately positive relative to the values lost of no net loss and preferably a net gain."		
1							
1							
1							
1							
1						Accept	
Greater Wellington	F\$84.97	Part 1 / Interpretat ion	Support	Greater Wellington support the amendment of the "Biodiversity compensation" definition to	Allow / Seeks the definition for 'Biodiversity Compensation' be amended to ensure 'no net loss and preferably a net		Yes
Regional Council	. 304.37	Subpart / Definitions	Sapport	require "no net loss and preferably a net gain" for clarification and to have regard to Proposed RPS	gain'		
		/ BIODIVERS ITY COMPENS ATION		Change 1.			
		Anoid	_				
			_				
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.3	Part 1 / Interpretat ion	Oppose	Considers that compensation is an appropriate management tool, including for effects on significant biodiversity, within	Disallow	Accept	NO
		Subpart / Definitions		an effects management hierarchy. Meridian considers that the definition should be retained and require no net loss and			
		/ BIODIVERS ITY COMPENS ATION	_	preferably a net gain.			
						Balact	No

Name								1
International International International International International International International International International International International International International International International International International International International International International <td></td> <td>385.11</td> <td>Interpretat ion Subpart /</td> <td>Support</td> <td>Supports the proposed definition of Biodiversity Compensation.</td> <td>Retain the definition of 'Biodiversity Compensation' as notified.</td> <td></td> <td></td>		385.11	Interpretat ion Subpart /	Support	Supports the proposed definition of Biodiversity Compensation.	Retain the definition of 'Biodiversity Compensation' as notified.		
Image: Second	Conservation							
Name No. No. Note in the interaction is a product of the interaction i			ATION					
Name No. No. Note in the interaction is a product of the interaction i								
Name No. No. Note in the interaction is a product of the interaction i								
Name No. No. Note in the interaction is a product of the interaction i								
Name No. No. Note in the interaction is a product of the interaction i							Accept in part	No
MAI Image: State S	Transpower New Zealand	315.17	Interpretat ion Subpart /	Support	Supports the definition in respect of the reference to achieving the goal of no net loss, as opposed to a requirement for a	Retain the definition of Biodiversity Offsetting as notified.	Preceptini pure	NO
Image: Section of the section of t	Limited				net gain.			
In Production In P								
Name Name <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>G</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>			G					
Name Name <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>G</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>			G					
Name Name <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>								
Name Name <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>								
remonitorian in a second reserver in a second reser							Accept in part	No
Note - Second Processing Ref. Ref. Processing R		345.6		Support	supports the definition.	Retain the definition of biodiversity offsetting as notified.		
Image: Second of the second	Trotection Society		/ BIODIVERS ITY					
Bits of control Bits of co			OFFSETTIN					
Bits of control Bits of co			G					
Bits of control Bits of co								
Bits of control Bits of co								
Constraint Image: Source of the second							Accept in part	No
Image: Second	Director- General of	385.12		Support	Supports the proposed definition of Biodiversity Offsetting.	Retain the definition of 'Biodiversity Offsetting' as notified.		
Image: Section Sectin Sectin Section Section Section Section Section Section Section	Conservation							
Image: Section of Sectin of Section of Section of Section of Section of Section of		1	OFFSETTIN					
Bind Partial State Partial State <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>G</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>			G					
Bind Partial State Partial State <th< td=""><td> </td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td></th<>		1					1	
Bind Partial State Partial State <th< td=""><td> </td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td></th<>		1					1	
Bind Partial State Partial State <th< td=""><td> </td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Accept in part</td><td>No</td></th<>		1					Accept in part	No
Repart Cond No Matrix Mark No Market Matrix Matrix Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark	Greater Wellington	351.38	Interpretat ion Subpart /	Amend	Considers it is appropriate to define a drain, particularly where it forms part of a drainage network such as that operated	Seeks to amend the Definition of 'Drain' to align with regional plan definition.	Accept in part	NO
Addition Addition Note Part of the standard st	Regional Council		Definitions		by Greater Wellington. It is slightly inconsistent with the regional plan definition.			
Abs Abs <td></td> <td></td> <td>/ DRAIN</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			/ DRAIN					
Description Market							Reject	
Description Market								No
Constraintion Instrument Notice instrument Instrument Instrument Instrument Note Note Registree and Berlin Note Note Note Note Note Note Note Registree and Berlin Note Note <td< td=""><td>Submitter Name</td><td>Sub No / Point</td><td>Sub-part / Chapter</td><td>Position</td><td>Summary of Submission</td><td>Decisions Requested</td><td>Independent Hearings Panel</td><td>Changes to PDP?</td></td<>	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
No. 1 No. 1 <td< td=""><td>Director- General of</td><td>385.14</td><td></td><td>Support</td><td>Supports the proposed definition of Eco-Sourced Local Indigenous Vegetation.</td><td>Retain the definition of 'Eco-Sourced Local Indigenous Vegetation' as notified.</td><td>Not the second second</td><td></td></td<>	Director- General of	385.14		Support	Supports the proposed definition of Eco-Sourced Local Indigenous Vegetation.	Retain the definition of 'Eco-Sourced Local Indigenous Vegetation' as notified.	Not the second	
Instruction loop Instruction loop <td< td=""><td>Conservation</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Conservation							
Instrumentation								
Bits B Bits B<								
Bits B Bits B<			INDIGENO US VEGETATI					
Bits B Bits B<			INDIGENO US VEGETATI					
Bits B Bits B<			INDIGENO US VEGETATI					
Bits B Bits B<			INDIGENO US VEGETATI					
Inductions Participation Parited Parited			INDIGENO US VEGETATI					
Includence Non-Windows Image: Non-Windows Image: Non-Windows Non-			INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON				Accept	No
Note	Royal Forest and Bird	345.8	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON	Oppose in	Oppose the exclusion for indigenous vegetation as defined in and regulated by the NESPF. The NES-PF allows for plans to	Amend the definition of "indigenous vegetation":	Accept	No
Interpretation Subpart Protection Subpa	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.8	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions	Oppose in part	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for NZCPS and that would not be possible with this definition in	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district.	Accept	No
Interpretation Subpart Protection Subpa		345.8	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions / INDIGENO US VEGETATI	Oppose in part	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for NZCPS and that would not be possible with this definition in	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district.	Accept	No
Interpretation Subpart Protection Subpa		345.8	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions / INDIGENO US VEGETATI	Oppose in part	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for NZCPS and that would not be possible with this definition in	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district.	Accept	No
Interpretation Subpart Protection Subpa		345.8	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions / INDIGENO US VEGETATI	Oppose in part	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for NZCPS and that would not be possible with this definition in	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district.	Accept	No
Production Society Protection Prote		345.8	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions / INDIGENO US VEGETATI	Oppose in part	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for NZCPS and that would not be possible with this definition in	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district.		No
Production Society Protection Prote		345.8	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions / INDIGENO US VEGETATI	Oppose in part	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for NZCPS and that would not be possible with this definition in	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district.		No
Note Name Name <th< td=""><td>Protection Society</td><td></td><td>INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / INDICENO US VEGETATI ON</td><td>part</td><td>be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for NZCPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.</td><td>Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous-Vegetation does not include—"indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF.</td><td></td><td>Ng Yes</td></th<>	Protection Society		INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / INDICENO US VEGETATI ON	part	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for NZCPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous-Vegetation does not include—"indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF.		Ng Yes
Royal Forcet and Bird Protection Society BS-11 Protection Society Interpretation Subpart / Protection Society Oppose in part Considers the definition of restoration and therefore seeks that this definition is aneredial demonstration for restoration? Amend definition of restoration? Number of the society and reinstatement, and/or by resonand by elements that defact from its britage value, <u>or the rebuiltation of restoration?</u> elements that defact from its britage value, <u>or the rebuiltation of restoration?</u> Restoration of restoration? Restorestoration? Restoration?	Protection Society		INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / INDICENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretation Subpart / Definitions	part	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for NZCPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous-Vegetation does not include—"indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF.		No
Royal Forcet and Bird Protection Society BS-11 Protection Society Interpretation Subpart / Protection Society Oppose in part Considers the definition of restoration and therefore seeks that this definition is aneredial demonstration for restoration? Amend definition of restoration? Number of the society and reinstatement, and/or by resonand by elements that defact from its britage value, <u>or the rebuiltation of restoration?</u> elements that defact from its britage value, <u>or the rebuiltation of restoration?</u> Restoration of restoration? Restorestoration? Restoration?	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird		INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / INDICENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretation Subpart / Definitions	part	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for NZCPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous-Vegetation does not include—"indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF.		No
Production Society Definitions part Fundamental production of the standard of the main all conditions and failural processes that woold encoded with regional plan definition of "restored" as notified. Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by ressembly and reinstandement, and/or by removal and removal andefinition of	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird		INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / INDICENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretation Subpart / Definitions	part	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for NZCPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous-Vegetation does not include—"indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF.	Accept in part	N0 Yes
kps k	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.9	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /PEST	part Support	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for X2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous-Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF. Retain the definition of "pest" as notified.	Accept in part	No
Image: series wellingtion Image: series wellingtion Image: series wellingtion Image: series wellingtion Series wellingtion of "restored" to align with regional plan definition of "restored" to align with regional plan definition. Series wellingtion Series wellingtion Series wellingtion of "restored" to align with regional plan definition. Series wellingtion Series wellingtion of "restored" to align with regional plan definition. Series wellingtion Series wellingtion of "restored" to align with regional plan definition. Series wellingtion of "restored" to align with regional plan definition. Series wellingtion of "restored" to align with regional plan definition. Series wellingtion of "restored" to align with regional plan definition. Series wellingtion of "restored" to align with regional plan definition. Series wellingtion of "restored" to align with regional plan definition. Series wellingtion of "restored" to align with regional plan definition. Series wellingtion of "restored" to align with regional plan definition. Series wellingtion of "restored" to align with regional plan definition. Series wellingtion of "restored" to align with regional plan definition. Series wellingtion of "restored" to align with regional plan definition. Series wellingtion of "restored" to align with regional plan definition. Se	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird	345.9	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /PEST Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions	part Support	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for X2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous - Vegetation does not include: "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF. Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of	Accept in part	N0 Yes N0
Image: series with the series of the seri	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird	345.9	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /PEST Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions	part Support	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for X2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous -Vegetation does not include - "indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF. Betain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of elements that detext from its herizaview. <u>or the rehabilitation of sites, habitats or</u>	Accept in part	No
Note Note Note Note Note Note Note Note Notal Forest and Bird Interpretation Subpart Support Support <td< td=""><td>Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird</td><td>345.9</td><td>INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /PEST Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions</td><td>part Support</td><td>be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for X2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.</td><td>Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous - Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF, Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of elements that detract from its heritage value, <u>or the rehabilitation of itses, habitats or</u> gosystems to upport indigenous (from and funar, cosystem functions and natural processes that would.</td><td>Accept in part</td><td>No</td></td<>	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird	345.9	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /PEST Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions	part Support	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for X2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous - Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF, Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of elements that detract from its heritage value, <u>or the rehabilitation of itses, habitats or</u> gosystems to upport indigenous (from and funar, cosystem functions and natural processes that would.	Accept in part	No
Note Note Note Note Note Note Note Note Notal Forest and Bird Interpretation Subpart Support Support <td< td=""><td>Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird</td><td>345.9</td><td>INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /PEST Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions</td><td>part Support</td><td>be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for X2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.</td><td>Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous - Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF, Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of elements that detract from its heritage value, <u>or the rehabilitation of itses, habitats or</u> gosystems to upport indigenous (from and funar, cosystem functions and natural processes that would.</td><td>Accept in part</td><td>N0 Yes No</td></td<>	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird	345.9	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /PEST Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions	part Support	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for X2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous - Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF, Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of elements that detract from its heritage value, <u>or the rehabilitation of itses, habitats or</u> gosystems to upport indigenous (from and funar, cosystem functions and natural processes that would.	Accept in part	N0 Yes No
Note Note Note Note Note Note Note Note Notal Forest and Bird Interpretation Subpart Support Support <td< td=""><td>Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird</td><td>345.9</td><td>INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /PEST Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions</td><td>part Support</td><td>be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for X2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.</td><td>Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous - Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF, Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of elements that detract from its heritage value, <u>or the rehabilitation of itses, habitats or</u> gosystems to upport indigenous (from and funar, cosystem functions and natural processes that would.</td><td>Accept in part</td><td>No</td></td<>	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird	345.9	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /PEST Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions	part Support	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for X2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous - Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF, Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of elements that detract from its heritage value, <u>or the rehabilitation of itses, habitats or</u> gosystems to upport indigenous (from and funar, cosystem functions and natural processes that would.	Accept in part	No
Note Note Note Note Note Note Note Note Notal Forest and Bird Interpretation Subpart Support Support <td< td=""><td>Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird</td><td>345.9</td><td>INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /PEST Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions</td><td>part Support</td><td>be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for X2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.</td><td>Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous - Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF, Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of elements that detract from its heritage value, <u>or the rehabilitation of itses, habitats or</u> gosystems to upport indigenous (from and funar, cosystem functions and natural processes that would.</td><td>Accept in part</td><td>Ng Yes Ng</td></td<>	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird	345.9	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /PEST Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions	part Support	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for X2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous - Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF, Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of elements that detract from its heritage value, <u>or the rehabilitation of itses, habitats or</u> gosystems to upport indigenous (from and funar, cosystem functions and natural processes that would.	Accept in part	Ng Yes Ng
Note Note Note Note Note Note Note Note Notal Forest and Bird Interpretation Subpart Support Support <td< td=""><td>Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird</td><td>345.9</td><td>INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /PEST Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions</td><td>part Support</td><td>be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for X2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.</td><td>Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous - Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF, Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of elements that detract from its heritage value, <u>or the rehabilitation of itses, habitats or</u> gosystems to upport indigenous (from and funar, cosystem functions and natural processes that would.</td><td>Accept in part</td><td>N0 Yes N0</td></td<>	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird	345.9	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /PEST Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions	part Support	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for X2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous - Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF, Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of elements that detract from its heritage value, <u>or the rehabilitation of itses, habitats or</u> gosystems to upport indigenous (from and funar, cosystem functions and natural processes that would.	Accept in part	N0 Yes N0
Note Note Note Note Note Note Note Note Notal Forest and Bird Interpretation Subpart Support Support <td< td=""><td>Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird</td><td>345.9</td><td>INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /PEST Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions</td><td>part Support</td><td>be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for X2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.</td><td>Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous - Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF, Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of elements that detract from its heritage value, <u>or the rehabilitation of itses, habitats or</u> gosystems to upport indigenous (from and funar, cosystem functions and natural processes that would.</td><td>Accept in part</td><td>No</td></td<>	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird	345.9	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /PEST Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions	part Support	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for X2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous - Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF, Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of elements that detract from its heritage value, <u>or the rehabilitation of itses, habitats or</u> gosystems to upport indigenous (from and funar, cosystem functions and natural processes that would.	Accept in part	No
Protection Society Pellinitions Protection Society Pression RED Streater Wellington 151.47 Interpretation Solupart / Regional Council Nmm Streater Wellington Regional Council Streater Wellington (Pressioner Pressioner Pr	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird	345.9	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /PEST Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions	part Support	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for X2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous - Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF, Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of elements that detract from its heritage value, <u>or the rehabilitation of itses, habitats or</u> gosystems to upport indigenous (from and funar, cosystem functions and natural processes that would.	Accept in part	N0 Ves N0
Protection Society Pellinitions Protection Society Pression RED Streater Wellington 151.47 Interpretation Solupart / Regional Council Nmm Streater Wellington Regional Council Streater Wellington (Pressioner Pressioner Pr	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird	345.9	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /PEST Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions	part Support	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for X2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous - Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF, Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of elements that detract from its heritage value, <u>or the rehabilitation of itses, habitats or</u> gosystems to upport indigenous (from and funar, cosystem functions and natural processes that would.	Accept in part	No Ves No
RESTORED RESTORED Restored Restored Restored Restored Restored Restored Restored Greater Wellington Regional Council 351.47 Interpretation Subpart/ Pefinitions RESTORED Amend hertage but is inconsistently named Considers the definition of 'restoration' relating to natural hertage but is inconsistently named Seeks to amend the Definition of 'Restored' to align with regional plan definition. No	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.9	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions / PEST Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions / RESTORATI ON	part Support Oppose in part	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for NZCPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted. Supports the definition.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigeneus-Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF. Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration". Means an after ation to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of demonst that derivat from its heritage value, <u>or the rehabilitation of sites, habitats or</u> scounterns to augoort indigenous, flora and frawa, ecosystem functions, and natural processes that would billurably occur in the scoustern and, locality.	Accept in part	No Yes No
Greater Wellington Legional Council Base A Considers the definition aligns with regional plan definition of 'restoration' relating to natural heritage but is inconsistently named Considered to align with regional plan definition.	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird	345.9	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions / RESTORATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions / RESTORATI ON	part Support Oppose in part	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for NZCPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted. Supports the definition.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigeneus-Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF. Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration". Means an after ation to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of demonst that derivat from its heritage value, <u>or the rehabilitation of sites, habitats or</u> scounterns to augoort indigenous, flora and frawa, ecosystem functions, and natural processes that would billurably occur in the scoustern and, locality.	Accept in part	N0 Yes N0 N0
Greater Wellington Legional Council Base A Considers the definition aligns with regional plan definition of 'restoration' relating to natural heritage but is inconsistently named Considered to align with regional plan definition.	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird	345.9	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions / RESTORATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions / RESTORATI ON	part Support Oppose in part	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for NZCPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted. Supports the definition.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigeneus-Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF. Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration". Means an after ation to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of demonst that derivat from its heritage value, <u>or the rehabilitation of sites, habitats or</u> scounterns to augoort indigenous, flora and frawa, ecosystem functions, and natural processes that would billurably occur in the scoustern and, locality.	Accept in part	No
Greater Wellington Legional Council Base A Considers the definition aligns with regional plan definition of 'restoration' relating to natural heritage but is inconsistently named Considered to align with regional plan definition.	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird	345.9	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions / RESTORATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions / RESTORATI ON	part Support Oppose in part	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for NZCPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted. Supports the definition.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigeneus-Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF. Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration". Means an after ation to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of demonst that derivat from its heritage value, <u>or the rehabilitation of sites, habitats or</u> scounterns to augoort indigenous, flora and frawa, ecosystem functions, and natural processes that would billurably occur in the scoustern and, locality.	Accept in part	N0 Ves N0
Regional Council Definitions heritage but is inconsistently named	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Protection Society	345.9	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions / RESTORATI ON Interpretat Ion Subpart / Definitions / RESTORATI ON	part Support Oppose in part	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for NZCPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted. Supports the definition.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigeneus-Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF. Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration". Means an after ation to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by removal of demonst that derivat from its heritage value, <u>or the rehabilitation of sites, habitats or</u> scounterns to augoort indigenous, flora and frawa, ecosystem functions, and natural processes that would billurably occur in the scoustern and, locality.	Accept in part	N0 Yes N0
/ RESTORED	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.9 345.11 345.12	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions / PEST / RESTORATI ON Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions / RESTORATI ON	part Support Oppose in part Support	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for NZCPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted. Supports the definition. Considers the definition does not apply easily to ecological restoration and therefore seeks that this definition is amended Supports the definition.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous-Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF. Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by reenoval of elements that destruction to include. The relation of sites, habitato or associatems to support indigenous, floor and fauna, ecosystem functions, and natural processes that would naturally occur in the ecosystem and, locality. Retain the definition of "restored" as notified.	Accept in part	N0 Yes N0 N0
	Protection Society Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Greater Wellington	345.9 345.11 345.12	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretation Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / RESTORATION Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / RESTORATION Interpretation Subpart / Definitions / RESTORATION	part Support Oppose in part Support	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for N2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted. Supports the definition. Considers the definition does not apply easily to ecological restoration and therefore seeks that this definition is amended Supports the definition. Considers the definition. Considers the definition.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous-Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF. Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by reenoval of elements that destruction to include. The relation of sites, habitato or associatems to support indigenous, floor and fauna, ecosystem functions, and natural processes that would naturally occur in the ecosystem and, locality. Retain the definition of "restored" as notified.	Accept in part	N0 Ves N0 N0
Reject	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.9 345.11 345.12	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions / PEST Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions / RESTORATI ON Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions / RESTORATI ON	part Support Oppose in part Support	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for N2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted. Supports the definition. Considers the definition does not apply easily to ecological restoration and therefore seeks that this definition is amended Supports the definition. Considers the definition. Considers the definition.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous-Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF. Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by reenoval of elements that destruction to include. The relation of sites, habitato or associatems to support indigenous, floor and fauna, ecosystem functions, and natural processes that would naturally occur in the ecosystem and, locality. Retain the definition of "restored" as notified.	Accept in part	No
Neject No.	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Greater Wellington	345.9 345.11 345.12	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions / PEST Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions / RESTORATI ON Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions / RESTORATI ON	part Support Oppose in part Support	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for N2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted. Supports the definition. Considers the definition does not apply easily to ecological restoration and therefore seeks that this definition is amended Supports the definition. Considers the definition. Considers the definition.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous-Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF. Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by reenoval of elements that destruction to include. The relation of sites, habitato or associatems to support indigenous, floor and fauna, ecosystem functions, and natural processes that would naturally occur in the ecosystem and, locality. Retain the definition of "restored" as notified.	Accept in part	N0 N0 N0 N0
	Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Greater Wellington	345.9 345.11 345.12	INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions /INDIGENO US VEGETATI ON Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions / PEST Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions / RESTORATI ON Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions / RESTORATI ON	part Support Oppose in part Support	be more stringent to protect significant biodiversity and for N2CPS and that would not be possible with this definition in place. We therefore seek that the second sentence of this definition is deleted. Supports the definition. Considers the definition does not apply easily to ecological restoration and therefore seeks that this definition is amended Supports the definition. Considers the definition. Considers the definition.	Means vegetation or plant species, including trees, which are native to Wellington district. Indigenous-Vegetation does not include. "Indigenous vegetation" as defined in and regulated by the NESPF. Retain the definition of "pest" as notified. Amend definition of "restoration": Means an alteration to return a place to a known earlier form, by reassembly and reinstatement, and/or by reenoval of elements that destruction to include. The relation of sites, habitato or associatems to support indigenous, floor and fauna, ecosystem functions, and natural processes that would naturally occur in the ecosystem and, locality. Retain the definition of "restored" as notified.	Accept in part Accept in part Accept in part Accept in part	N0 Yes N0 N0

Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.35	Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions	Support	Supports the identification of such areas on the basis it assists plan users and provides clarity on the application of the plan provisions that relate to the definition.	Retain the definition of Significant Natural Area as notified.		
	1	/ SIGNIFICA NT NATURAL					
		AREA					
						Accept	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird	345.14	Interpretat ion Subpart /	Support in	Considers the definition should also include areas of significant biodiversity values that meet Policy 23 RPS criteria, but	Amend definition of "significant natural area":	Recommendations	
Protection Society		Definitions / SIGNIFICA NT NATURAL	part	that are not yet on Schedule 8, for example where they are discovered as part of a consenting process. It also needs to include reference to the deleted SCHED9 – Urban Environment Allotments. Notes that the plan refers to SNAs is varying	Means an area of significant indigenous vegetation or significant habitat of indigenous fauna <u>that</u> meets any of the criteria in Policy 23 of the Wellington Regional Policy Statement, whether identified in SCHED8 -		
		AREA		ways in different chapters.	Significant Natural Areas, SCHED9- Urban Environment Allotments, or as part of a consenting		
				Some chapters simply use the term 'Significant Natural Area', while others refer to SCHED 8. We seek that the defined term is used throughout the plan.	process.		
						Reject	
						Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.11	Part 1 / Interpretat ion Subpart / Definitions	Oppose	Meridian understands the desire to ensure all 'significant natural areas' are captured but the request erodes the certainty provided by the definition. Considers that plan users and resource users need the certainty of knowing, in any given	Disallow		
		/ SIGNIFICA NT NATURAL		situation, what and where significant natural areas are located.			
		AREA					
Peter Kelly	16.1	Whole PDP	Support	Councillors have a democratic mandate to balance the interests of WCC residents against the important natural	If the Proposed District Plan is amended to allow Significant Natural Areas on residentially zoned land:	Reject	No
	10.1	/ Whole PDP /		environment values represented by significant natural areas (SNAs). The Proposed Rules are essentially the Officer Draft	······································		
		Whole PDP		Rules, but with the SNA designation removed from all residential zoned land		Reject	
				Requests that if SNAs are returned to residentially zoned land, the provisions in the draft District Plan are reinstated and fine-tuned.	Seeks that the proposed District Plan gives effect to paragraph 6 of the amendment in the name of Councillors Jenny Condie and		
				tine-tuned.	Rebecca Matthews.		
Greater Wellington	351.14	Whole PDP	Amend	Considers that throughout the plan ECO-P2 is incorrectly referred to, where reference should be made instead to ECO-P1			No
Regional Council	351.14	/ Whole PDP /	Amend	Considers that throughout the plan ECO-P2 is incorrectly referred to, where reference should be made instead to ECO-P1	 Seeks to amend incorrect ELO-P2 (Appropriate vegetation removal in significant natural areas) cross- references to ECO-P1 (Protection of 		
		Whole PDP			significant natural areas).		
						Accept in part	
Director- General of	385.1	Whole PDP	Oppose in	Considers that the Proposed District Plan does not adequately give effect to the NPS-FM.	Opposes in part to the Proposed District Plan in its current form and seeks amendment.		Yes
Conservation		/ Whole PDP / Whole PDP	part	For example, there is a lack of objectives, policies, and methods that protect wetlands. At feedback stage for the Draft District Plan 2021, the Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC) sought a new objective for wetlands to protect			
		Whole PDP		waterways and wetlands. The Council rejected this feedback on			
				the basis that "wetlands jurisdiction falls within NPS-FW [NPS-FM] and Greater Wellington Regional Council jurisdiction".			
				Guidance prepared by the Ministry for the Environment2 (MfE) specifies that "territorial authorities must include		Reject	
				objectives, policies, and methods in their district plans to promote positive effects, and avoid, remedy, or mitigate			
				adverse effects (including cumulative effects), of urban development on the health and well-being of water bodies, freshwater ecosystems, and receiving environments".			
Director- General of	385.2	Whole PDP	Amend	Considers that the Proposed District Plan does not adequately give effect to the NPS-FM.	Seeks that there are additional objectives, policies, and methods to promote positive effects, and avoid, remedy, or		No
Conservation		/ Whole PDP / Whole PDP		For example, there is a lack of objectives, policies, and methods that protect wetlands. At feedback stage for the Draft	mitigate adverse effects (including cumulative effects), of urban development on the health and well-being of water		
	1	WHOLE PUP	1	District Plan 2021, the Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC) sought a new objective for wetlands to protect waterways and wetlands. The Council rejected this feedback on	bodies, freshwater ecosystems, and receiving environments (including wetlands).		
	1		1	the basis that "wetlands jurisdiction falls within NPS-FW [NPS-FM] and Greater Wellington Regional Council jurisdiction".			
				Guidance prepared by the Ministry for the Environment2 (MfE) specifies that "territorial authorities must include			
				objectives, policies, and methods in their district plans to promote positive effects, and avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects (including cumulative effects), of urban development on the health and well-being of water bodies.			
				freshwater ecosystems, and receiving environments".			
				It is noted that MfE requires the Council to notify changes to regional policy statements, regional plans, and district plans		Reject	
				to give effect to the new NPS-FM 2020 by 31 December 20244. To avoid an additional plan change, it would be prudent			
				for the Council to incorporate this national direction into the Proposed District Plan.			
	1		1				
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
Greater Wellington	No FS84.11	/Provision General / Whole PDP	Support	Greater Wellington strongly support requests to amend the Proposed District Plan to promote positive effects and avoid,		Recommendations	
Regional Council		/ Whole PDP /	Support	remedy or mitigate adverse effects of urban development on freshwater and welcome working with WCC to give effect			
		Whole PD		to the NPSFM.			
						Reject	No
Director- General of	385.4	Whole PDP	Amend	Considers that the Proposed District Plan does not adequately give effect to the NPS-FM.	Seeks that the Council work with GWRC to identify any additional sites/areas that should be protected under the		
Conservation		/ Whole PDP / Whole PDP		For example, there is a lack of objectives, policies, and methods that protect wetlands. At feedback stage for the Draft District Plan 2021, the Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC) sought a new objective for wetlands to protect	Proposed District Plan and RPS in line with the NPS-FM.		
		WHOLE PUP		waterways and wetlands. The Council rejected this feedback on			
	1		1	the basis that "wetlands jurisdiction falls within NPS-FW [NPS-FM] and Greater Wellington Regional Council jurisdiction".		A	
	1		1	Guidance prepared by the Ministry for the Environment2 (MfE) specifies that "territorial authorities must include		Accept	
				objectives, policies, and methods in their district plans to promote positive effects, and avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects (including cumulative effects), of urban development on the health and well-being of water bodies,			
1	1	1		adverse enects (including cumulative ellects), or urban development on the health and well-being of water bodies,			
				freshwater ecosystems, and receiving environments".			

				It is noted that ME requires the Council to notify changes to regional policy statements, regional plans, and district plans to give effect to the new NPS-FM 2020 by 31 December 20244. To avoid an additional plan change, it would be prudent for the Council to incorporate this national direction into the Proposed District Plan.			No
Director-General of Conservation	385.5	Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP	Amend	Considers that the Proposed District Plan does not adequately give effect to the NPS-FAL. For sample, there is a lack of objectives, policies, and methods that protect wetlands. At feedback stage for the Draft District Plan 2021, the Grater Wellington Regional Council (GWRE) sought a new objective for wetlands to protect worknows and wetlands. The Council registed this feedback on the basis that "wetlands jurisdiction fails within NPS-FW (NPS-FM) and Greater Wellington Regional Council jurisdiction". Guidance prepared by the Ministry for the Environment2 (MEE) specifies that "territorial authorities must include objectives, policies, and methods in their district plans to promote positive effects, and avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects (inclung cumulater effects), of urban development on the health and well-being of water bodies, freshwater ecosystems, and receiving environments". It is noted that ME requires the Council to notify changes to regional policy statements, regional plans, and district plans to give effect to then wPS-FM 2020 by 1 December 2024. To avoid an additional plan change, it would be prudent for the Council to incorporate this national direction into the Proposed District Plan.	Seeks that any policy and rules in relation to wetlands are in line with the NZCPS (New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010).	Accept	Ng
Director- General of Conservation	385.6	Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP	Amend	Considers that it would be effective and efficient to align the review of the Proposed District Plan provisions with the policy direction and requirements antioplated under the PKPS. It, a avoid an additional plan change. The NPS-IB currently has no legal effect; however, it is expected to come into effect in December 2022 during the Proposed District Plan further submissions and hearing process.	Seeks that the Council undertalies a review of the NPS-IB exposure draft (or the soon to be gazetted NPS-IB document) to confirm the Proposed District Plan is giving effect to this national direction.	Accept in part	
Director- General of Conservation	385.7	Whole PDP / Whole PDP / Whole PDP	Amend	Considers that it would be effective and efficient to align the review of the Proposed District Plan provisions with the policy direction and requirements anticipated under the NP5-IB, to avoid an additional plan change. The NP5-IB currently has no legal effect; however, it is expected to come into effect in December	Seeks that the Proposed District Plan should be updated to give effect to the NPS-IB where required.	Accept in part	NO
Steve West	2.1	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	2022 during the Proposed District Plan further submissions and hearing process. Mapping of SNA boundaries in Wellington run through the middle of bushy areas. The use of imprecise maps to show where the SNA boundaries are is inappropriate, these boundaries require proper markings.	Seeks that accurate cadastral markings of the Significant Natural Area boundaries are provided so all parties are clear about the boundaries and where the proposed District Plan rules will apply.	Reject	Yes
Oliver Sangster	112.4	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Generally supports the use of SNA provisions, including on private land across contiguous vegetation areas to protect indigenous biodiversity.	Amend mapping of Significant Natural Areas to include privately owned land. [Inferred Decision Requested]	Reject	
Oliver Sangster	112.5	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Not specified	Considers that, should landowners object to specific SNA provisions on their land due to low accuracy of maps/modelling, the council adjust the SNA maps to improve their accuracy in relation to what exists "on the ground".	Seeks that the accuracy of the mapping of Significant Natural Area on private land be improved.	Reject	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
Paul Blaschke	No FS129.5	/Provision General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Agrees with the general thrust of this submission point that the accuracy of the mapping of SNAs on all land (not just private land) must be high, and where there is low accuracy in relation to what exists" on the ground, then this should be tractified before the D is finalised and becomes Operative. Suscumes this ground ruthing process can occur once a specific point of low accuracy is identified - not as a blanket withdrawal of all SNAs on private land.	Allow / Seeks that the accuracy of the mapping of all SNAs is improved where a specific problem is identified.	Reject	No
Nga Kaimanaaki o te Waimapihi	215.1	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that we need to preserve and restore indigenous native fauna. As well as preving on our native birds, cats also eat a large number of our native lizards and wetä (which are still in decline).	Add a buffer area around significant natural areas to support recovering populations of endangered (once locally extinct) endemic species where pets would not be allowed to roam.	Reject	
Käinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.86	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	Käinga Ora opposes this submission due to concerns about the implications for enabling housing intensification, particularly as other submitters seek that urban significant natural areas are also identified. Käinga Ora notes that the District Plan cannot manage domestic animals.	Disallow	Accept	No
Te Marama Ltd	337.1	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that Item WCDS4 [Makara Peak] should be removed from SCHEDB as It imposes an SMA on Te Marama property, WCDS4 states "Much of the site is WCC public land" and SMAs being imposed on public land is not opposed.	Remove SNA overlay at Lot 6 DP 477282 and 171 South Makara Road (Part Section 16 Makara DIST).	Reject	No
M&P Makara Family Trust	F541.21	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	The FDR as notified allows for 10 guests per night in temporary accommodation for one residential unit, and any number greater than this is sufficiently covered by the discribing framework. The FDR as notified retains the existing one household ger allotment which is sufficient to encourage larger blocks to place that unit where it is most easily accessible or useful for their purposes. Any number greater than this is sufficiently covered by the resource content framework. M&P Trust also submits that the intent of the subdivision provisions in the Rural Area, including their time-related requirement, is to anticipate a lower rate of residential development in than urban areas. Allowing more than one residential unit per allotment defeasi the purpose of these subdivision provisions. Any number greater than this is sufficiently covered by the resource consent framework.	Deallow	Reject	No

Regional Council	351.31	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	Though Greater Weilington supports WCC's identification of SNAs in line with RPS Policy 23, we oppose the omission of SNAs on privater esidential laind from the Proposed District Pan (POP) because: * the removal of identified SNAs from the PDP contradictory to national direction for indigenous biodiversity protection. Section 6(c) of the MX 1993 states that the protection of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous Guaru's is a matter of national importance, and that this matter must be recognised and provided for by all persons serecising functions and powers under the RMA, including local authorities under Sections 30 and 31. • the removal of SNAs on privater existential laind from the PDP is contrary to Policy 24 of PIS. Policy 24 directs district coundits to include in their district plans. Policy 24 coll esistent counsils to protect the indigenous ecosystem and habitats identified in accordance with policy 23. Policy 24 regimes district councils to protect the indigenous ecosystem and habitats identified in accordance with policy 23. 2010; 24 regular edistrict councils to protect the indigenous ecosystem and habitats identified in accordance with policy 23. 2010; 24 regular edistrict councils to potential areas identified in accordance with policy 23 through provisions in their district glans. • the removal of thermited SNAs on private residential laid from the PDP to be inconsistem with WCC's vision and apprators for protecting and restoring the cityl indigenous biodiversity. The Our Natural Capital: Weilington's Biodiversity strategy and Action PIRa 2013 (splass first MCC will protect biodiversity by focusing on the protection of priority biodiversity sites on public and private lunal and rest, threatened, coll significant species', and that rit unillui natural capital by "respecting) the importance of indigenous biodiversity to New Zasiland and is intrinsic right to exist'. We do not consider the exclusion of SNA on private residential land to	Seeks to apply SNAs to all zones as intended by section 6 of the RMA and Policy 24 of the RPS.	Reject	No
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.72	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Wellington Civic Trust supports this submission as the existence of SNAs on private land contributes considerably to the character, amenity and attractiveness of the city, as well as its ecological sustainability and climate change resilience.	Allow	Reject	
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird	No FS85.10	/Provision General / Whole PDP	Support	As per Forest & Bird's original submission, exclusion of SNAs from all zones is contrary to section 6	Allow	Recommendations	
Protection Society of New Zealand Inc		/ Whole PDP / Whole PDP	apport	As per roles, as end a su grant submission, exclusion of swes from an cones is contrary to section o of the RMA and Policy 24 of the RPS.		Reject	No
Kåinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.14	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	Kalinga Ora supports the identification and mapping of SMAs as individual overlays in the District Plan. However, Käinga Ora does not support blankt application of SMAs on residential zones. [Inferred reference to submission 351.31]	Osallow	Accept	
Paul Blaschke	FS129.4	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Support all submissions requesting the reinstatement of identified and verified Significant Natural Area status on all properties whether public or private and whether residentially or nurally zoned. This is for the reasons stated insubmission point 110.1 and most fully stated by submission point 351.31.	Allow / As per submission point 351.31, and "Reinstate the overlay of all properly delineated SNAs or part SNAs on all relevant residential zoned properties, and the regulatory framework in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity section to those SNAs or SNA proportions affected." (my previous submission points 110.1 and 110.2)	Reject	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.32	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers the primary function of mapping area scale natural character ratings (low – high) in the PDP is to ensure applicants on on that work on spart of applicants for resource consent, to give Hiet to N2CPS Policy 13(1)(b). It would not be efficient or effective to require applicants for resource consent, to give Hiet to N2CPS Policy 13(1)(b). It would not be efficient or effective to require applicants for resource consent to give Hiet to N2CPS Policy 13(1)(b), would not be efficient or effective to require applicants for resource consent to give Hiet to N2CPS Policy 13(1)(b), would not be efficient or effective to require applicants for resource consent to give Hiet to N2CPS Policy PDP. Mapping the full range of natural character areas in the PDP also provides more certainty to applicant/developers on areas that are more suitable/for development based on an improved understanding of the natural character values present.	Seeks to map natural character ratings at all levels (low, moderate, high) at the wider area scale in Schedule 12, as undertaken in the 2016 Boffa Miskell natural character assessment.	Reject	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.33	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	Considers the proposed mapping approach is not appropriate to achieve CE-O1, does not fully incorporate the 2016 Boffa Miskell assessment, and will be less effective in giving effect to NZCPS 13(1)(b).	Map area scale natural character ratings (in addition to the sites of high and very high natural character already included in the proposed approach) identified in Boffa Mikkell's natural character assessment (2016).	Rojant	No
Richard Herbert	360.1	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Supports the retention of SNAs as proposed originally, before the Councillor amendment to remove SNAs from residential zones in June 2022.	Amend Significant Natural Areas to re-instate on Residential Zones, as proposed prior to the Councillor Amendment to remove Significant Natural Areas from Residential Zones in June 2022.	Reject	NO
Te Kamaru Station Ltd	362.1	Mapping / Mapping	Amend	Considers that SNAs should not be on private property.	Seeks Significant Natural Areas layer to remove Significant Natural Areas on private property in both urban and rural		No
Ratings		General / Mapping General			environments. [Inferred decision requested].	Reject	
M&P Makara Family Trust		Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose Position	The PDP as notified allows for 10 guests per right in temporary accommodation for one residential unit, and any number greater than this is sufficiently covered by the discretionary framework. The PDP as notified relations the assing one household per additionent which is sufficient to encourage larger blocks to place that unit where it is most easily accessible or useful for their purposes. Any number greater than this is sufficiently covered by the resource consent framework. M&P Trust also submits that the intent of the subdivision provisions in the Rural Area, including their time-related regulament, is to anticipate a lower rate of residential development in than urban areas. Allowing more than one residential unit per alloment defaults the purpose of these subdivision provisions. Any number greater than this is sufficiently covered by the resource consent framework.	Disallow Deckions Requested	Accept	No.
Submitter Name	No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions negalested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	Changes to PDP?

Te Kamaru Station Ltd :	362.2	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that items WC037, WC042, WC049, WC059, WC139, WC120 and WC121 should be removed from SCH08 as it is abirtraryl imposed. The imposition of SNAs will put at risk the voluntary and co-operative conservation programme Te Kamaru Station has made with Capital Kiwi. The programme works to ensure the rural landscape is fit for the return of Kiwi. Regative impacts from this SNA may lead Te Kamaru Station to withdraw from the programme, should the legislative risk remain or worsen.	Remove SNA overlay at: - fee Simple, 1: - fee Simple, 1: - fee Simple, 1: - fee Simple, 1: - feet Section 18, 7: 7: 7: 7: 8, 84 - Fart Section 13, 7: 7: 8, 94. 6: 065, 7: 7: 7: 7: 8, 95. 4: Terawhit District - feet Section 18, 7: 7: 7: 8, 94. 7: Terawhit District - feet Section 18, 7: 7: 7: 7: 7: 7: 7: 7: 7: 7: 7: 7: 7:	Reject	No
WCC Environmental Reference Group	377.3	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that Schedule Bishould include all the SNAs identified in the farsh district plan version provided to the Cound's environment committer from offleers. "Weilington, wild a heart' is what our unique capital city trades upon - and as the population grows and urban areas densify, preserving and enhancing significant natural areas will become increasingly important. Research boths matter, Weilington as a city playing a critical role in providing refuge for formerly at risk native birds, e.g. kaka, and with efforts such as the failor Project and Predictor Free initiatives being undertaken by thousands of Weilingtonans, tit is important our city is district plan provides legal and policy support to this. It failure to include SNA areas in residential zones means that the district plan is not in accordance with section 6 of the RMA, nor is it giving effect to relevant provisions of GWRC's regional policy statement and regional plan.	Seeks the Significant Natural Areas layers are added all the SNA areas in the residential zones recommended by officers in the draft district plan version provided to the Council's environment and planning committee on June 23, 2022.	Reject	No
Wellington Civic Trust	FS83.31	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Support	Wellington Civic Trust supports this submission as the existence of SNAs on private land contributes considerably to the character, amenity and attractiveness of the city, as well as its ecological sustainability and climate change resilience.	Allow	Reject	
Director- General of Conservation	385.8	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers necessary additional provisions to recognise that unmapped areas that meet SNA criteria are still to be managed appropriately as required by section 6(c) of the Resource Management Act 1991.	Amend the Proposed District Plan to recognise areas that are not mapped but meet the criteria for SNAs stated in the RPS are to be managed in accordance with section 6(c) of the Resource Management Act 1991.	Reject	10
			-		For example, wetlands and the habitats of At-Risk or Threatened indigenous fauna.	кејест	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited	1529.1	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	While Transpower understand the intent of the submission, it supports the identification and mapping on SMA's on the basis it provides certainty for plan users. On that basis, the relief sought by the submitter is opposed.	Daarow	Accept	No
Kåinga Ora – Homes and Communities	F\$89.52	General / Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Oppose	Further clarification is needed to understand the implications on land use opportunities of applying significant natural areas. Kilinga Ora supports the protection of the values of SNAs but seeks that these are mapped and identified in the District Plan.	Deallow	Accept	No
Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd (Terawhiti Station)	411.1	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Oppose SNAs on private property. Considers that sites have been incorrectly identified. Considers that a regulatory regime puts voluntary conservation programmes at risk. ISee original submission for full reasons!	[Inferred decision requested] Seeks that significant natural areas do not apply to privately owned land.	Accept in part	
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
	No 414.10	/Provision Mapping / Mapping	Amend	Considers Significant Natural Areas are important in order to protect our environment and native plantlife.	Seeks that significant natural areas provisions apply to residentially zoned sites.	Recommendations	
		General / Mapping General	- anne nd	Considers that while the city is built denser, the environment and our wildlife should be protected. Considers that it is ironic that the argument for being anti-density is to protect the 'character' of our housing but yet there is no consideration for the 'character' of our nature, which is arguably much harder to restore than the character amenity gained from what the Council deems as character' housing		Reject	No
Chris Horne, Sunita Singh, Julia Stace, Paul Bell- Butler	456.2	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that SNA-status should be restored to all residential-soned properties. In particular considers that the Planning and Environment Committee vote to traveness SNAs from all residential-soned properties over-root be purpose of the ECO chapter which " is to identify significant natural areas within Wellington City in order to protect and maintain the remaining areas in historicarchar"	Amend mapping of Significant Natural Areas to include all residential-zoned properties.	Reject	No
Griffiths, Griffiths Family Trust	460.1	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Opposes Significant Natural Areas on Private land.	Seeks to remove all Significant Natural Areas from Private Land.	Reject	No
Smith Geursen	475.1	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that parts of the area encompassed by WC135 in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas fit the description in WC135 and should be protected as a SNA. Considers that parts of the area encompassed by WC135 in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas appear to have not met the description in WC135 for decades and should not be protected as a part of the SNA.	Seeks that the mapping for the extent of the area encompassed by WC135 (Carey Gully scrub and shrubland, South Coast) in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas is altered to:	Accept in part	

				Considers that parts of the area encompassed by WC135 in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas appear to have not met the description in WC135 for decades and should not be protected as a part of the SNA. Considers that parts of the area encompassed by WC135 in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas appear to have not met the description in WC135 for decades and should not be protected as a part of the SNA.	 Encompass the 3m+ vegetation that is north and west of the loop shaped farm track; and Also encompass the stand of 3m+ vegetation in the centre to the south of the site. 		
				Considers that some parts of the site have been cleared recently, as a complying activity, and as such do not represent the habitat that would benefit from protection. These areas should be excluded from the SNA as the ecological value is now largely lost.	The new boundaries suggested for WC13S are approximated in Figure 8 in the submission.		
				[Refer to original submission for full detail, including diagrams].			ves
John Mulholland	497.2	Mapping / Mapping General / Mapping General	Amend	Considers that parts of the area encompassed by WC135 in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas fit the description in WC135 and should be protected as a SNA.	Seeks that the mapping for the extent of the area encompassed by WC135 (Carey Gully scrub and shrubland, South Coast) in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas is altered to:		
				Considers that parts of the area encompassed by WC135 in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas appear to have not met the description in WC135 for decades and should not be protected as a part of the SNA.			
				Considers that parts of the area encompassed by WC135 in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas appear to have not met the description in WC135 for decades and should not be protected as a part of the SMA. Considers that parts of the area encompassed by WC135 in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas appear to have not met the description in WC135 for decades and should not be protected as a part of the SMA.	- Encompass the 3m+ vegetation that is north and west of the loop shaped farm track; and - Also encompass the stand of 3m+ vegetation in the centre to the south of the site.	Accept in part	
				Considers that some parts of the site have been cleared recently, as a complying activity, and as such do not represent the habitat that would benefit from protection. These areas should be excluded from the SNA as the ecological value is now largely lost.	The new boundaries suggested for WC135 (Carey Gully scrub and shrubland, South Coast) are approximated in Figure 8 in the submission.		
				[Refer to original submission for full detail, including diagrams].			
Rod Halliday	25.10	Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Amend	Considers that the mapped SNAs within the Lincolnshire development area that have already been consented for	Amend the Significant Natural Area overlay of the Lincolnshire Farm Development Area to remove those Significant		yes
				earthworks and subdivision under SR416511 have already been identified to achieve development so it makes no sense to keep them. [Refer to map in original submission for details]	Natural Areas already consented for earthworks and subdivision under the resource consent WCC SR No. 416511.		
						Accept in part	Vac
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	Changes to PDP?
Thomas Brent Layton	164.3	Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Amend	Considers that the SNA overlay should be removed from the mapping.	Remove the Significant Natural Areas overlay from the mapping.		
						Reject	No
Karepa Dell Developments	241.3	Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Oppose	Opposes the Significant Natural Area overlay applying to 11 Makomako Road.	Opposes Significant Natural Area overlay applying to 11 Makomako Road.		
						Accept	No
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.9	Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Amend	Considers that there is a restrictive policy and rule framework that would apply to SNAs (and in particular where the sites are within a Coastal Environment overlay) and wishes to ensure any sites that are identified are in fact warranted as	Seeks that the Significant Natural Area overlay be amended as it relates to the Horokiwi quarry site including to remove the SNA from the Horokiwi site which is subject to the existing use certificate reference 1048648.		
				significant areas. Horokiwi does have concerns with particular areas on both its site and on the adjoining land to the west, in terms of whether the biodiversity values merit the specific areas being identified as SNAs. Based on the independent ecological assessment, horokiwi seeks amendment to the SNA area identified. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachments]	une ane menti die i norodani alle ameri d'angest de die calong die se dimane recht die anedere.		
				west, in terms of whether the biodiversity values merit the specific areas being identified as SNAs. Based on the independent ecological assessment, Horokiwi seeks amendment to the SNA area identified. [Refer to original submission	[Refer to original submission, including figure and attachments]	Accept in part	
Kilmarston Developments	290.13	Mapping / AllOverlays	Amend	west, in terms of whether the blodiwersity values merit the specific areas being identified as SNAs. Based on the independent ecological assessment, horokiwi seeks amendment to the SNA area identified. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachments] Considers the removal of Significant Natural Area (SNA) overlay from this area appropriate as this will potentially be		Accept in part	Yes
Kilmarston Developments Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited	290.13	Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Amend	west, in terms of whether the biodiversity values merit the specific areas being identified as SNAs. Based on the independent ecological assessment, Horokiwi seeks amendment to the SNA area identified. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachments]	[Refer to original submission, including figure and attachments]	Accept in part	Yes
Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited		/ Overlays General		west, in terms of whether the blodiwersity values merit the specific areas being identified as SNAs. Based on the independent ecological assessment, Horokiwi seeks amendment to the SNA area identified. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachments] Considers the removal of Significant Natural Area (SNA) overlay from this area appropriate as this will potentially be restrictive of development.	[Refer to original submission, including figure and attachments] Seeks to remove proposed Significant Natural Area (SNA) from the overlay from Huntleigh Park Way (Road).	Accept in part	Yes
Limited and Kilmarston	290.13 FS46.11	Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General General / Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Amend Oppose	avest, in terms of whether the biodiversity values merit the specific areas being identified as SNAC. Based on the independent ecological assessment, horokius iseeks amendment to the SNA area identified. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachments] Considers the removal of Significant Natural Area (SNA) overlay from this area appropriate as this will potentially be restrictive of development. Copposes the proposal to lift the SNA overlay on the unformed portion of Huntleigh Park Way. This land is owned by WCC for public use. If Kilmarson Development were to resubmit a new landus/s/subdivision plan access to that subdivision atthemeds area deformed and compared to that existion that exists. As permission from WCC would be required to form the road to support abdivision atthemeds and material portion will be of their equations and additionation and access to that subdivision atthemeds and addition and and a portion for WCC would be required to form the road to support subdivision atthematics and additional portion more than existence would be portion of Ittine for the road to support subdivision atthematics and endingent as portion for WCC would be required to form the road to support subdivision atthematics and additional tape of resource concent for vegetation charame would be of Ittite	[Refer to original submission, including figure and attachments]		Yes
Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited		/ Overlays General General / Mapping / AllOverlays		 avest, in terms of whether the biodiversity values merit the specific areas being identified as SNA: Based on the independent ecological assessment, Horokiwi seeks amendment to the SNA area identified. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachments] Considers the removal of Significant Natural Area (SNA) overlay from this area appropriate as this will potentially be restrictive of development. Opposes the proposal to lift the SNA overlay on the unformed portion of Huntleigh Park Way. This land is owned by WCC for public use. If Kilmarston Development were to resubmit a new landus/subdivision plan access to that subdivision using Huntleigh Park Way can be considered as part of the process with a better understanding of the value of the vegetation that exists on that stark. Age emission from WCC would be exacted to a support 	[Refer to original submission, including figure and attachments] Seeks to remove proposed Significant Natural Area (SNA) from the overlay from Huntleigh Park Way (Road). Disallow // Disallow that part of the submission that seeks to enable a large reervoir to be built in NOSZ or on land that		Ves No No
Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited Adam Groenewegen	FS46.11	/ Overlays General General / Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Oppose	west, in terms of whether the biodiversity values merit the specific areas being identified as SMAC. Based on the independent ecological assessment, horizolais seeks amendment to the SNA area identified. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachments] Considers the removal of Significant Natural Area (SNA) overlay from this area appropriate as this will potentially be restrictive of development. Opposes the proposal to lift the SNA overlay on the unformed portion of Humfleigh Park Way. This land is owned by WCC for public use. If Kimatoh Development were to resubmit a new landus/fublidition plan access to that subdivision using function that suits. As permission from WCC would be required to form the road to support addivision are attworks an additional step of resource consent for vegetation clearance would be of little consequence.	[Refer to original submission, including figure and attachments] Seeks to remove proposed Significant Natural Area (SNA) from the overlay from Huntleigh Park Way (Road). Disallow / Disallow that part of the submission that seeks to enable a large reervoir to be built in NOSZ or on land that is proposed to be NOSZ.	Reject Accept	Yes
Limited and Kilinarston Properties Limited Adam Groenewegen Jo McKenzie	FS46.11 FS64.11	/ Overlays General General / Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General General / Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Oppose Oppose	avest, in terms of whether the biodiversity values merit the specific areas being identified as SNA: Based on the indegendent exclosional assessmet. Neurolwis seeks amendment to the SNA area identified. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachments] Considers the removal of Significant Natural Area (SNA) overlay from this area appropriate as this will potentially be restrictive of development. Opposes the proposal to lift the SNA overlay on the unformed portion of Huntleigh Park Way. This land is overed by the values of the values	[Refer to original submission, including figure and attachments] Seeks to remove proposed Significant Natural Area (SNA) from the overlay from Huntleigh Park Way (Road). Disallow / Disallow that part of the submission that seeks to enable a large reervoir to be built in NOSZ or on land that is proposed to be NOSZ.		Ves
Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited Adam Groenewegen	FS46.11	/ Overlays General General / Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General General / Mapping / AllOverlays	Oppose	avest, in terms of whether the biodiversity values merit the specific areas being identified as SNA: Based on the independent exclosional assessment, Neurolwis seeks amendment to the SNA area identified. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachments] Considers the removal of Significant Natural Area (SNA) overlay from this area appropriate as this will potentially be restrictive of development. Opposes the proposal to lift the SNA overlay on the unformed portion of Huntleigh Park Way. This land is owned by WCC for public use. If Kinanston Development new Londows and point of a considers at the state of the specific and the specific assignment. Any other and to support abdivision and through an Arway can be considered as put of the process with a better understanding of the value of the vegetation that exits on that sits. As permission from WCC would be required to furth area to support abdivision and through an ArWay to or lossor considered as put of the process with a better understanding of the value of the vegetation that exits on that sits. As permission from WCC would be required to furth length Park Way. This land is owned by WCC for public use. Considers that it Nillanarkon out be better understanding of the value of little exits on that sits. As permission from WCC would be required to furth the cut so that sits of the process with a better understanding of the value of little exits on that sits on the sits on the sits on the site or development were resulted to an additional site of results and batter of the process with a better understanding of the value of the vegetation that exits on that sites and the site or development for expectation that exits on that site. As permission from WCC would be required to fort the process with a better understanding of the value of the vegetation that exits on that sites and the site or development were resulted to an other original submission for were the original submission for vegetation.	[Refer to original submission, including figure and attachments] Seeks to remove proposed Significant Natural Area (SNA) from the overlay from Huntleigh Park Way (Road). Disallow / Disallow that part of the submission that seeks to enable a large reervoir to be built in NOSZ or on land that is proposed to be NOSZ.	Reject Accept	Yes No No
Limited and Klinarston Properties Limited Adam Groenewegen Jo McKenzie Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	F\$46.11 F\$64.11 F\$85.23	/ Overlays General General / Mapping / AilOverlays / Overlays General General / Mapping / AilOverlays / Overlays General General / Mapping / Ail Overlays / Overlays	Oppose Oppose	avest, in terms of whether the biodiversity values merit the specific areas being identified as SNA: Based on the indegendent exclosional assessmet. Neurolwis seeks amendment to the SNA area identified. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachments] Considers the removal of Significant Natural Area (SNA) overlay from this area appropriate as this will potentially be restrictive of development. Supposes the proposal to lift the SNA overlay on the unformed portion of Huntleigh Park Way. This land is owned by the values of the value of the values	[Refer to original submission, including figure and attachments] Seeks to remove proposed Significant Natural Area (SNA) from the overlay from Huntleigh Park Way (Road). Disallow / Disallow that part of the submission that seeks to enable a large reervoir to be built in NOSZ or on land that is proposed to be NOSZ.	Reject Accept	Yes
Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited Adam Groenewegen Jo McKenzie Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New	FS46.11 FS64.11	/ Overlays General General / Mapping / AilOverlays / Overlays General General / Mapping / AilOverlays / Overlays General General / Mapping / Ail Overlays / Overlays	Oppose Oppose	avest, in terms of whether the biodiversity values merit the specific areas being identified as SNA: Based on the indegendent exclosional assessmet. Neurolwis seeks amendment to the SNA area identified. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachments] Considers the removal of Significant Natural Area (SNA) overlay from this area appropriate as this will potentially be restrictive of development. Supposes the proposal to lift the SNA overlay on the unformed portion of Huntleigh Park Way. This land is owned by the values of the value of the values	[Refer to original submission, including figure and attachments] Seeks to remove proposed Significant Natural Area (SNA) from the overlay from Huntleigh Park Way (Road). Disallow / Disallow that part of the submission that seeks to enable a large reservoir to be built in NOSZ or on land that is proposed to be NOSZ.	Reject Accept Accept	Yes No No No

			1	[See original Further Submission for full reasoning]. [Inferred reference to submission 290.13]			
				[See original Purtner submission for full reasoning]. [Interfed reference to submission 250-15]			No
Kilmarston Developments Limited and Kilmarston Properties Limited	290.17	Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Amend	Considers that it is important that Council identified SNAs within the City in order to protect and maintain the remaining areas of indigenous biodiversity. However, this should not include areas examated for public access and roads. The Submitter accepts the overlay being clipped to the proposed MRZ areas of their land, but not over the paper road and parts of the access.	Remove significant natural area overlay from paper road identified as Huntleigh Park Way.	Reject	
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
	No	/Provision			· ·	Recommendations	changes to For .
Adam Groenewegen	FS46.12	General / Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Oppose	Opposes the proposal to little SNA overlay on the unformed portion of Huntleigh Park Way. This land is owned by WCC for public use. If Kilmarston Development were to resubmit a new landuse/subdivision plan access to that subdivision using Huntleigh Park Way can be considered as part of the process with a better understanding of the value of the vegetation that exists on that site. As permission from WCC would be required to form the road to support subdivision astronomers an additional step of resource consent for vegetation clearance would be of little consequence.	Disallow / Disallow that part of the submission that seeks to enable a large reervoir to be built in NOSZ or on land that is proposed to be NOSZ.	Accept	No
Jo McKenzie	FS64.12	General / Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Oppose	Io McKenzie oppose the proposal to lift the SMA overlay on the unformed particle of Hintingh Park Way. This land is owned by WCC for public use. Considers that if klimistoot Development ever existimit a new landwic/bubbision public access to that subdivision using Huntleigh Park Way can be considered as part of the process with a better understanding of the value of the vegetation that exists on that site. Considers that as permission from WCC would be required to form the road to support subdivision earthworks an additional step of resource consent for vegetation clearance would be of their romenuance would be of their romenuance.	Distallow / Distallow the part of the submission that seeks to enable a large reservoir to be built in a NOSZ or on land that is proposed to be NOSZ.	Accept	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	FS85.27	General / Mapping / All Overlays / Overlays General	Oppose	As per above. We oppose artitrary removal of the SNA overlay from the Huntleigh Park Way paper road on the basis that with inconvenient and may potentially be restrictive at development. Due process needs to be undertaken to ensure compliance with s6(c) and relevant RPS policies.	Osaflow	Accept	
Andy Foster	FS86.51	General / Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Oppose	Considers that it is not reasonable to allow for housing development to intrude into the land zoned Open Space and Rural in the Operative Plan. The landscape impacts would be substantial, both of any housing and of the roading access. The impacts on vegetation would also be significant. Notes that the area of both at the bottom of the site, immediately adjacent to and climbing up from Silverstream Road is of particularly high quality. The concept of putting housing or an access road through it would be entirely unreasonable. For all these reasons Andy Foster opposes any development in this area beyond a carefuly designed reservoir. [See original Further Submission for full reasoning]. [Inferred reference to submission 290.17]	Dsallow	Accept	No
Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd	411.3	Mapping / AllOverlays	Oppose	Considers that WCC's landscape-scale overlays are an afront to Terawhiti Station.	Not specified		No
(Terawhiti Station)		/ Overlays General		Considers that the Council is not cooperative. Right from the very start of the SNA process all the overlays were set down. Cosniders decisions are already determined. [See original submission for full reasons]		Reject	No
Paul M Blaschke	435.3	Mapping / AllOverlays / Overlays General	Amend	Considers that the SNA overlay should extend to residentially zoned areas.	Extend the Significant Natural Area overlay to relevant residentially zoned properties.	Reject	
Tyers Stream Group	221.4	Other / Other / Other	Amend	TSG has been in contact with other community bodies which have explained how they can assist in development and provision of walking access, but only where this can be identified and connected through Council action. At present, the Tyers Stream Reserve is not adequately connected to residential Khandallah.	Seeks that public access to, along and within Tyers Stream Reserve be developed by WCC in line with its policies on public access.	Accept in part	
Heidi Snelson, Aman Hunt, Chia Hunt, Ela Hunt	276.1	Other / Other / Other	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer back to original submission]	Seeks planting around natural water courses and on steep contours to maintain the steep hillsides under severe weather events.	Reject	No
Heidi Snelson, Aman Hunt,	276.2	Other / Other / Other	Amend	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer back to original submission]	Seeks protection of the Porirua Stream.		No
Chia Hunt, Ela Hunt						Accept in part	No
Heidi Snelson, Aman Hunt, Chia Hunt, Ela Hunt	276.4	Other / Other / Other	Amend	(No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer back to original submission)	Seeks protection of the Te Awarua-o- Porirua Harbour.	Accept in part	No
Tawa Community Board	294.2	Other / Other / Other	Not specified	Considers that passing the baton to GWRC in providing the minimum setback measure does not address the problems caused by the intersection between GWRC and WCC responsibilities in this transitional space along the stream edge.	Seeks that the Proposed District Plan includes more stringent measures to provide greater protection against increased erosion events along the Porirua Stream.	Accept in part	
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
	No	/Provision				Recommendations	
Cheryl Robilliard	409.2	Other / Other / Other	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Seeks the relief requested by submitter Paul Forrest with respect to ecosystems in the context of densification and green corridors and biodiversity within the inner city and inner city suburbs Mt Victoria and Newtown.		
						Accept	No

					-		
Paul M Blaschke Chris Horne, Sunita Singh, Julia Stace, Paul Bel- Butler Submitter Name Meridian Energy Umited	435.1 456.1 50b No / Point No. 228.115	Other / Other / Other Other / Other / Other Other / Other / Other Sub-part / Chapter Appendice s / APP2	Oppose Support Position Support in part	The decision from Council's Planning & Environment Committee to remove SNAs from all residentially zoned properties on 23 June 2022 is opposed. This decision renders the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity section much less effective than it could and should be	Opposes the decision from Council's Planning & Environment Committee to remove Significant Natural Areas from all residentially zoned properties. Not specified. Decisions Requested Retain APP2 - Biodiversity Offsetting with amendment.	Reject Accept Independent Hearings Panel Brownwandstrong	No No Changes to PDP?
		Biodiversit y Offsetting		and the mesagement hierarchy is actually set out in Policy ECO P1 (Protection of significant natural areas). Considers that the policy framework and APP2 (Bioddwersity offsetting) (should apply biodiversity offsetting to residual adverse effects that are more than ninor. Sme amendments are appropriate to align APP2 to the approach adopted in the Proposed Natural Resources Plan.		Accept in part	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited	F529.13	Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting	Oppose	Transpower support the progosed framework of principles for the use of blockversity offsets, which is in line with the guidance document "Biodiversity Offsetting under the Resource Management Act"	Alow	Accept in part	No
	228.116	Appendice 5 Subpart / Appendice 5 APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting	Amend	Considers the PRin includes the defined term 'biodewrsity offsetting' is the Appendix should use consistent language. Considers the refreence to Policy CEO-2 (Appropriate vegetation removal in applicant natural areas). The policy and the management hierarchy is actually set out in Policy ECO-91 (Protection of significant natural areas). The policy framework and P22 (Biodwrsity) offsetting) (should appli) biodwrsity offsetting to residual adverse effects that are more than minor. Some amendments are appropriate to align APP2 to the approach adopted in the Proposed Natural Resources Plan.	Amend AP2 - Biodiversity Offsetting, In the following (or similar) way: The following sets out a framework of principles for the work - blandwersity offsets. These principles will be used when assessing the adequacy of proposals for the design and implementation of offsetting as part of resource consent applications. 1. Adherence to the effects management hierarchy: The proposed biodiversity offset will be assessed in accordance with the management hierarchy set out in <u>ECO-P1</u> _EGO- P3. Howbook doministed for have been sequentially exhausted. Any proposal for a biodiversity offset will demonstra te how it addresses the	Reject	No
	228.117	Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting	Amend	Considers clarification of the expression 'trading up' is required.	Clarify the expression 'trading up' in APP2 - Biodiversity Offsetting.	Reject	No
Claire Nolan, James Fraser, Biddy Bunzl, Margaret Franken, Michelle Wolland, and Lee Muir		Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer back to original submission]	Retain Appendix 2 Biodiversity Offsetting as notified.	Accept in part	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.397	Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting	Support in part	Supports the provisions of APP2, except as set out below. Support the mandatory requirement that any offset proposal must comply with the principles in APP2	Not specified.	Accept	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
	No	Provision				Recommendations	

Reyal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.398	Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting	Support in part	Lumits to offsetting, we generally support this principle. This is a cocial principle, given the risks and uncertaintes associated with offsetting. As such, it is very important that this principle is as clear as possible. The wording must avoid any argument that offsetting is available, even where there is irreplaceable or vulnerable blodiversity affected.	Amend AP2 (Biodiversity offsetting): 2. Units to offsetting: <u>effects must be avoided where:</u> <u>effects must be avoided where:</u> <u>Annotation of the setting bedressing and they are adversely affected then they will be permanently lost. These <u>and the setting bedressing bedressing and they are adversely affected then they will be permanently lost. These <u>and the setting bedressing bedressing bedressing and they are adversely affected then they will be permanently lost. These <u>and the setting bedressing bedressing bedressing bedressing and the setting bedressing bedressing bedressing</u> <u>a The bolivers within a control the offset bedressing of the irreplaceability or universities</u> <u>and the setting bedressing bedressing bedressing bedressing bedressing bedressing</u>. <u>A the setting bedressing bedressing bedressing bedressing bedressing bedressing</u> <u>and the setting bedressing bedressing bedressing bedressing bedressing bedressing</u>. <u>A the setting bedressing bedress</u></u></u></u>		
Meridian Energy Limited	F5101.169	Part 4 / Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting	Oppose	Meridian considers the wording amendments proposed by its submission point 228.116, refined where necessary to give effect to the NPS-indigenous Biodiversity (when that is gazetted), more appropriately give effect to the RMA and higher order policy instruments.	Disallow	Reject Accept in part	No
Royal Forest and Bird : Protection Society	345.399	Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting	Support in part	Long-term outcomes: we gave the last clause of this principle: 'including through the use of sdaptive management where necessary'. This is an unusual addition to this principle, and in our view it may increase the uncertainty inherent in offseting, that an overall ecologically positive outcome will be achieved. As such, we oppose the inclusion of these words.	Amend AP2 (Biodiversity offseting): 7. Long-term outcomes: The biodiversity offset must be managed to secure outcomes of the activity that last at least as long as the impacts, and preferably perpetuity, including through the use of adaptive management where necessary:	Reject	Ng
	F\$101.170	Part 4 / Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting	Oppose	Meridian considers the wording amendments proposed by its submission point 228.116, refined where necessary to give effect to the NPS-indigenous Biodiversity (when that is gazetted), more appropriately give effect to the RMA and higher order policy instruments.		Accept in part	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird .	345.400	Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting	Support in part	Supports in principle, but a requirement to "minimise" delay between loss and gains is unders and inadequiet to ensite good indigenous biodivarishy advances. There will be a politor de holfwar a dy eleven the loss and gains the test likely the outcome will be positive of achieve an equit. In addition, delay increases risk that the offset will not be provided at all. Ideally an offset would be initiated before the loss occurs so that it gets a "head start". Sometimes, however, this may not be estable, for example if the offset site would be initiated by the activity it is offset ing. The Otago Regional Policy Statement provides one way of overcoming these issues but avoiding the uncertainty of a principle requiring minimisation. It requires that the offset better used by its the less the escars to the there essary to delay the the best possible biodiversity outcome, or at most the term. As such, a final backstop is required.	Amend AP2 (lincinversity offsetting): 8. Time lags: The data between loss of indigenous biodiversity at the impact site and gain or maturity of indigenous biodiversity at the offset site must be minimised the biodiversity authentset, nessantly to achieve the best, possible biodiversity auteoms and must not exceed the consent period, et <u>32 years</u> whichever is shorter set that pairs are achieved within the concent period and <u>any time lag</u> , must <u>b</u> gidentified within the biodiversity offset management plan.	Reject	
							No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.171	Part 4 / Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting	Oppose	Meridian considers the wording amendments proposed by its submission point 228.116, refined where necessary to give effect to the NFS-indigenous Biodiversity (when that is gazetted), more appropriately give effect to the RMA and higher order policy instruments.	Disallow		
						Accept in part	No

Subjort / Appendices / effect to the NPS indigenous Biodiversity (when that is gazetted), more appropriately give effect to the RMA and higher order policy instruments. ender policy instruments. ender policy instruments. Accept in part Accept in part No	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Meridian Energy Limited	345.401 F\$101.172	Appendice s Subpart, / Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting Part: 4 / Appendice s	Support in part	Consider to funding up in and appropriate to include in offsetting principles. It is contrary to the requirement that the offset is like for like. It is not an accepted offsetting principle, although may be appropriate for compensation. We seek that this principle is deleted from APP2. Meridian considers the wording amendments proposed by its submission point 228.116, refined where necessary to give	9-Trading up: Whan trading up forms part of an offset, the proposal must -demonstrate that the indigenous biodiversi Vervluers gained are demonstrately of higher value than -those loss, and the values lost are not indigenous taus that are listed as -Threatened, At risk or Data deficient- in the New Zealand Threat-Classification System lists; or -considered valuerable or -irreplaceable:	Reject	No
Appropriate for a field of the second for appropriate for a second source to be determined. Intervention	mension energy ennice		Subpart / Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting		effect to the NPS-indigenous Biodiversity (when that is gazetted), more appropriately give effect to the RMA and higher order policy instruments.			No
Participation Partitent Participation Participatio	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Image: Space / Appendix s/ VP	Protection Society		Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting	part		11. Proposing a biodiversity offset: A proposed biodiversity offset must include a specific biodiversity offset management plant, that: a .sets out baseline information on the indigenous biodiversity that is potentially impacted by the proposed activity at both the door and recipient sites, and both the door and arccipient sites, and b. Demonstrates how the requirements set out in this schedule <u>are met, and how they</u> will be carried out, and cleantifies the monotring approach that will be used to enconstrate how the principles set out in this schedule will be fulfilled over an appropriate timeframe in accordance with the <u>orinciples set out above</u> .	Reject	No
Image Appendice			Subpart / Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting		effect to the NPS-Indigenous Biodiversity (when that is gazetted), more appropriately give effect to the RMA and higher order policy instruments.		Accept in part	No
Regional Council Appendices f / APP2 Biodiversity offsetting Image: Policy 2 in Proposed RPS Change 1. Image: Policy 2 in Proposed RPS Change 1. </td <td>Regional Council</td> <td></td> <td>Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Accept</td> <td>No</td>	Regional Council		Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting				Accept	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council 31.328 Appendices Subpart / Appendices Subpart / Greater Wellington Appendice Subpart / Appendice Subpart / Biodiversity offsetting Amend Consider It should state the long-term outcome must be at least a 10 percent biodiversity gain or benefit to have regar is not appropriate. Seeks the appendix should set out the limitations where biodiversity offsetting is not appropriate. Mend Consider It should state the long-term outcome must be at least a 10 percent biodiversity gain or benefit to have regar Seeks the appendix should set out the limitations where biodiversity offsetting is not appropriate. No	Regional Council		Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting		to Policy 24 in Proposed RPS Change 1.	10 percent net biodiversity gain.	Reject	No
Regional Council Appendice s / APP2 to Policy24 in Proposed RPS Change 1. is not appropriate.	Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.174	Subpart / Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y	Oppose	Meridian opposes the requiested requirement for a +10% net biodiversity gain.	Disallow	Accept	No
NO	Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.328	Appendice s / APP2		to Policy 24 in Proposed RPS Change 1.		Accept	No
Submitter Name Sib No / Point Submotings Panel Pointsion Decisions Requested Independent Hearings Panel Changes to P	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?

Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.175	Part 4 / Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting	Oppose	Meridian opposes the requested requirement for a +10% net biodiversity gain. The submission does not detail the wording proposed for setting out the limitations on biodiversity offsetting.	Disallow		
						Reject	No
wcc	377.515	Appendice s Subpart /	Support	Considers biodiversity offsetting to be a less-than-ideal solution, the reality is that it will be necessary at times, and these	Retain APP2 (Biodiversity Offsetting) as notified.		NO
Environmental Reference Group		Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting		principles ensure that environmental damage will be minimised.			
						Accept in part	
Paul Blaschke	F\$129.11	Part 4 / Appendice s	Support	Support submission points made by a significant number of individuals and groups that essentially support the same	Allow		No
Fabi biascrike	13123.11	Subpart / Appendice s /	Support	proposition "Reinstate the overlay of all properly delineated SNAs or part SNAs on all relevant residential zoned	ANDW		
		APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting		properties".			
		-					
						Accept in part	
Director- General of	385.84	Appendice s Subpart /	Support	Supports the proposed framework of principles for the use of biodiversity offsets, which is in line	Retain APP2 Biodiversity Offsetting as notified.		No
Conservation		Appendice s / APP2 Biodiversit y Offsetting		with the guidance document "Biodiversity Offsetting under the Resource Management Act".			
		biodiversity offsetting					
						Accept in part	
Taranaki Whānui ki te	389.134	Appendice s Subpart /	Not specified	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission].	Seeks that there is awareness of the impending National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity.		No
Upoko o te Ika		Appendice s / APP2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	[Inferred decision requested]		
		Biodiversit y Offsetting					
						Accept	
						· ·	No
Meridian Energy Limited	228.118	Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP3	Amend	Considers clarification of the expression 'trading up' is required.	Clarify the expression 'trading up' in APP3 - Biodiversity Compensation.		
		Biodiversit y Compensa					
		uon					
						Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	228.119	Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP3	Support in	The reference to Policy ECO-P2 may be incorrect and the management hierarchy is actually set out in Policy ECO-P1. The policy framework and APP3 should allow consideration of biodiversity compensation where necessary to address	Retain APP3-Biodiversity Compensation with amendment.		
		Biodiversit y Compensa	parc	residual adverse effects that are more than minor.			
		tion		Some amendments are appropriate to align APP3 to the approach adopted in the Proposed Natural Resources Plan.			
						Reject	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Meridian Energy Limited	228.120	/Provision Appendice s Subpart /	Amend	The reference to Policy ECO-P2 may be incorrect and the management hierarchy is actually set out in Policy ECO-P1. The	Amend APP3-Biodiversity Compensation as follows (or similar):	Recommendations	
		Appendice s / APP3 Biodiversit y Compensa		policy framework and APP3 should allow consideration of biodiversity compensation where necessary to address residual adverse effects that are more than minor.	The following sets out a framework of principles for the use of biodiversity compensation. Principles must be complied with for an action to qualify as biodiversity compensation.		
		tion		Some amendments are appropriate to align APP3 to the approach adopted in the Proposed Natural Resources Plan.	with for an action to quality as blockersity compensation.		
						Accept in part	
					 Adherence to effects management hierarchy: Biodiversity compensation is a commitment to redress residual adverse effects that are more than minor. It must only be contemplated after the management hierarchy steps in ECO. 		
					P1 660-		
					P2 have been demonstrated to have been sequentially exhausted and thus applies only to residual adverse effects on in digenous biodiversity that are more than minor.		
					 Limits to biodiversity compensation: In deciding whether biodiversity compensation is appropriate, a decision-maker 		
					must		
							¥
Claire Nolan, James Fraser,	275.37	Appendice s Subpart /	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer back to original submission]	Retain Appendix 3 Biodiversity Compensation as notified.		in .
Biddy Bunzl, Margaret Franken, Michelle		Appendice s / APP3 Biodiversit y Compensa					
Wolland, and		tion					
Lee Muir							
1	1		1	1	1	1	
						Accept in part	

Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.403	Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP3 Biodiversit y Compensa tion	Support in part	Opposes the use of compensation as a management approach for indigenous biodiversity. As such, we seek the deletion of this Appendiu, and the provisions elsewhere in the Plan providing for compensation.	Delete APP3 (Biodiversity compensation).		
						Accept in part	¥
Transpower New Zealand Limited	F529.38	Part 4 / Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP3 Biodiversit y Compensa tion	Oppose	Transpower supports the recognition of compensation and the provision of schedule APP3.	Disallow		765
						Accept in part	
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.176	Part 4 / Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP3 Biodiversit y Compensa tion	Oppose	Considers that biodiversity compensation is a valid response, endorsed by the exposure draft NPS-Indigenous Biodiversity and numerous Environment Court decisions. Meridian considers the wording amendments proposed by its submission point 22.120, crifiend when encessary to give effect to the NPS-Indigenous Biodiversity (when that is gazetted), more appropriately give effect to the RMA and higher order policy instruments.	Disallow / Disallow the requested deletion of APP3. Disallow the requested amendments to APP3.		No
Royal Forest and Bird	345 404	Annendice s Subpart /	Support in	However, if compensation is to be retained, we support the mandatory application of principles to its use, and support	Amend APP3 (Biodiversity compensation):	Accept in part	No
Protection Society		Appendice s social (/ Appendice s / APP3 Biodiversit y Compensa tion	part	reversery, in compensation is our entented, we applied the manufactor approximation of principles to its day, and applied this appendix, while exception of the bedrow comments. PGal: query why the pGal is different from the offset appendix.	Anissis on 2 geodenesisty Compensation): Polaci	Accept in part	Yes
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Meridian Energy Limited	No FS101.177	Provision Part 4 / Appendice s	Oppose	Considers that biodiversity compensation is a valid response, endorsed by the exposure draft NPS- Indigenous	Disallow / Disallow the requested deletion of APP3.	Recommendations	
		Subpart / Appendice s / APP3 Biodiversit y Compensa tion		Biodiversity and numerous Environment Court decisions. Meridian considers the wording amendments proposed by its submission point 22.120, refined where necessary to give reflect to the NF-Shalgenous Biodiversity (when that is gazetted), more appropriately give effect to the RMA and higher order policy instruments.	Disallow the requested amendments to APP3.		
Royal Forest and Bird	345.405	Appendice s Subpart /	Support in	However, if compensation is to be retained, we support the mandatory application of principles to its use, and support	Amend APP3 (Biodiversity compensation):	Accept in part	No
Protection Society		Appendice s / APP3 Biodiversit y Compensa tion	part	It is appendix, with the exception of the below comments. Limits to biodiversity compensation can again, this is a crucial principle, and must be absolutely clear. The drafting of this principle includes a confusing standard of appropriateness, as well as a direction to consider the principle'. The "first to offsetting" principle is intended to operate as a simple limit, if certain features are present. Incorporating test of approprinciple, which is to set out statustores where compensation simply wort the available. It is allon on to something to simply be 'considered', it is a test that must be met is compensation is allowed. The reason this principle exists is to afleguard against some of the worts outcome that can be associated with compensation – because compensation is allowed. The reason this principle exists is to a sleguard against some of the worts outcome the sand, and says that some things are to preciso to apply this approach to. It 'thet' as a prior step, before compensation can eve be considered. The amended wording below avoids an argument that a value can till be offset, depite this irreplacable or volumerable tasts. In our certaine and along the limits to consent applicants will use when the wording of the 'limits to offsetting' principle is drafted along the lines of the current wording. As such, we seek the following amendments:	 Linits to biodiversity compensation: in deciding whether - biodiversity earnersation is appropriate, a decision- maker must-consider the principle harmany-indigenous diddiversity values are not able to be obcomponised for -bear weisiodiversity compensation, is not available, and the activity: causing the residual adverse effects must be availed wherei 	Reject	
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.178	Part 4 / Appendice s	Oppose	Considers that biodiversity compensation is a valid response, endorsed by the exposure draft NPS- Indigenous	Disallow / Disallow the requested deletion of APP3.		No
		Subpart / Appendice s / APP3 Biodiversit y Compensa tion		Biodiversity and numerous Environment Court decisions. Meridian considers the wording amendments proposed by its submission point 22.212, orfiend where necessary to give effect to the NF-Shelgenous Biodiversity (when that is gazetted), more appropriately give effect to the RMA and higher order policy instruments.	Disallow the requested amendments to APP3.		
Royal Forest and Bird	345.406	Appendice s Subpart /	Support in	However, if compensation is to be retained, we support the mandatory application of principles to its use, and support	Amend APP3 (Biodiversity compensation):	Accept in part	No
noya robes, and and Protection Society		Appendice 5 subjet (/ Appendice 5 APP3 Biodiversit y Compensa tion	part	nowever, in compensations to be recarried, we support the manuatory application of principles to its use, and support this appendix, while exception of the bedow comments. Scale of biodiversity compensation: in general we support this principle, but it needs amendment to ensure it is consistent with Courd's obligation to maintain indigenous biodiversity. That obligation requires no net loss of biodiversity, rather than the vague standard of "proportionality".	Amend ways (anounce sity compensation): 3. Scale of boldwards) compensation in the values to be lost through the activity to which the boldwards of the values and the boldwards of the boldwards of the boldwards of the boldwards of the proportionate to be advarce affects are indegenous boldwards values as, between the values lost through the activity and the values gained, through the biodiversity, compensation,		
1			1			Reject	

Meridian Energy Limited	F\$101.179	Part 4 / Appendice s	Oppose	Considers that biodiversity compensation is a valid response, endorsed by the exposure draft NPS- Indigenous	Disallow / Disallow the requested deletion of APP3.		
mendian energy chines	15101.175	Subpart / Appendice s /	oppose	Biodiversity and numerous Environment Court decisions. Meridian considers the wording amendments proposed by its	Disallow the requested amendments to APP3.		
		APP3 Biodiversit y Compensa		submission point 228.120, refined where necessary to give effect to the NPS-Indigenous Biodiversity (when that is gazetted), more appropriately give effect to the RMA and higher order policy instruments.			
		tion					
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Accept Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
	No	/Provision	1 Outlott			Recommendations	changes to PDP:
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.407	Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP3	Support in part	However, if compensation is to be retained, we support the mandatory application of principles to its use, and support this appendix, with the exception of the below comments.	Amend APP3 (Biodiversity compensation): 7. Time lags: The delay between loss of indigenous biodiversity at the impact site and gain or maturity of indigenous		
		Biodiversit y Compensa		Time lags: we seek amendments for the reasons set out in relation to APP2 above. We seek the following amendment:	biodiversity at the compensation site must be minimised the shortest necessary to achieve the best possible biodiversity		
		don			minimisco de sito de se de servero de s		
					outcome and must not exceed the consent period or 35 years whichever is shorter.	Reject	
					so that gains are achieved within the consent period and <u>Any. time lag must be identified within the biodiversity offset</u> management plan.		
					management plan.		
1			1				
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.180	Part 4 / Appendice s	Oppose	Considers that biodiversity compensation is a valid response, endorsed by the exposure draft NPS- Indigenous	Disallow / Disallow the requested deletion of APP3.		NO
		Subpart / Appendice s / APP3 Biodiversit y		Biodiversity and numerous Environment Court decisions. Meridian considers the wording amendments proposed by its submission point 228.120, refined where necessary to give effect to the NPS-Indigenous Biodiversity (when that is	Disallow the requested amendments to APP3.		
		Compensa		gazetted), more appropriately give effect to the RMA and higher order policy instruments.			
		tion					
Royal Forest and Bird	345.408	Appendice s Subpart /	Support in	However, if compensation is to be retained, we support the mandatory application of principles to its use, and support	Amend APP3 (Biodiversity compensation) to add new principle:	Accept	No
Protection Society		Appendice s / APP3 Biodiversit y Compensa	part	this appendix, with the exception of the below comments. Proposing biodiversity compensation: we seek a new principle to replicate principle 11 of APP2.	10. Proposing a biodiversity offset: A proposed biodiversity offset must include a specific biodiversity offset management plan, that:		
		tion		Proposing biodiversity compensation, we seek a new principle to replicate principle 11 of XFP2.	a. Sets out baseline information on the indigenous biodiversity that is potentially impacted by the proposed		
					activity at both the donor and recipient sites, and b. Demonstrates how the requirements set out in this schedule will be carried out, and		
					c. Identifies the monitoring approach, that will be used to demonstrate how the principles set out in this schedule will be fulfilled over an appropriate, timeframe.		
					will be fulfilled over an appropriate_timeframe.		
						Reject	
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.181	Part 4 / Appendice s	Oppose	Considers that biodiversity compensation is a valid response, endorsed by the exposure draft NPS- Indigenous	Disallow / Disallow the requested deletion of APP3.		No
mendian chergy chilled	. 5101.101	Subpart / Appendice s /	oppose	Biodiversity and numerous Environment Court decisions. Meridian considers the wording amendments proposed by its	Disallow / Disallow the requested detection of APP3. Disallow the requested amendments to APP3.		
		APP3 Biodiversit y Compensa		submission point 228.120, refined where necessary to give effect to the NPS-Indigenous Biodiversity (when that is gazetted), more appropriately give effect to the RMA and higher order policy instruments.			
		tion					
Submitter Name	Sub No / Polat	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Reject Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
	No	/Provision				Recommendations	changes to PDP?
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.329	Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP3	Amend	Considers that amendments are required to principle 3. The positive effects offered should outweigh the adverse effects incurred. This recognises the inherent risks and uncertainty of compensation, thus aiming for an overall net gain from the	2. Scale of biodiversity compensation: The values to be lost through the activity to which the biodiversity compensation		
1		Biodiversit y Compensa	1	exchange (though not in the strict technical sense of offsetting as these are like-for-unlike exchanges). This approach would align with that suggested in the definition for biodiversity compensation provided in this plan (see comment	applies must be addressed by positive effects to indigenous biodiversity that are proportionate to <u>outweigh</u> the adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity.		
1		urunt .	1	would align with that suggested in the definition for biodiversity compensation provided in this plan (see comment above) and with the approach taken in the NRP and in the in the NPS-IB exposure draft	Circo or mogenetic Dioureraty.		
1			1				
1			1				
						Accept	
							Yes
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.330	Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP3	Amend	Principle 8 is redundant for managing biodiversity compensation exchanges as it essentially just specifies what the limits of biodiversity compensation are. Compensation exchanges are always like for unlike.	Seeks to delete principle 8 (Trading up).		
		Biodiversit y Compensa					
		tion					
1			1			Reject	No
h							

							,
	351.331	Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP3	Amend	Considers that principle 2 should be amended to incorporate direction from principle 8 into the limits of offsetting under the Plan			
Regional Council		Appendice s / APP3 Biodiversit y Compensa		the Plan	 Limits to biodiversity compensation: In deciding whether biodiversity compensation is appropriate, a decision-maker must consider the principle that many indigenous biodiversity values are not able to be compensated for because: a. 		
		tion			The indigenous biodiversity affected is irreplaceable or vulnerable:		
		don			ba. The values lost are not indigenous taxa that are listed as Threatened, At-risk or Data deficient		
					in the New Zealand Threat Classification System lists;		
					b. There are no technically".		
						Reject	
						nejeet	
Director- General of	385.85	Appendice s Subpart /	Support	Supports the proposed framework of principles for the use of biodiversity compensation, which is in	Retain APP3 Biodiversity Compensation as notified.		NO
Conservation	505.05	Appendice s / APP3		line with the guidance document "Biodiversity Offsetting under the Resource Management Act".			
		Biodiversit y Compensa					
		tion					
						Accept in part	
Claire Nolan, James Fraser	275.49	Appendice s Subpart /	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer back to original submission]	Retain Appendix 15 Ecological Assessment as notified.	1	NO
Biddy Bunzl, Margaret	275.49	Appendice s / APP15	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer back to original submission]	Retain Appendix 15 Ecological Assessment as notified.		
Franken, Michelle Wolland,		Ecological Assessmen t	1				
and Lee Muir			1				
			1				
			1				
			1			Accept in part	
Roval Forest and Bird	345.409	Appendice s Subpart /	Support in	Conseque supports this paparolic houseurs considers it is relative a second second to show the show that the second s	Amond ADD15 Ecological Accorregate		No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	343.409	Appendice s Subpart / Appendice s / APP15	Support in part	Generally supports this appendix, however considers it is missing a requirement to clearly identify the potential effects of the proposal, including any cumulative effects. Supports paragraph 2(a) and	Amend APP15 - Ecological Assessment: 2. Identifying the biodiversity values and potential effects of the proposal, including cumulative effects.		
		Ecological Assessmen t		(b) but notes ECO P1 needs to be	including commune cileus.		
				amended to explicitly incorporate these concepts. We have sought amendments above to achieve this.			
						Accept in part	
Submitter Name			Position				Yes
	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	Changes to PDP?
Director- General of	385.86	Appendice s Subpart /	Support	Supports the proposed Ecological Assessment guidelines, which links to the guidance document	Retain APP15 Ecological Assessment as notified.		
Conservation		Appendice s / APP15		"Biodiversity Offsetting under the Resource Management Act"			
1		Ecological Assessmen t					
		Ecological Assessmen t					
		Ecological Assessmen t					
		Ecological Assessmen t				Accept in part	
		Ecological Assessmen t				Accept in part	No
David Edmonds	1.1	Schedules Subpart /	Support	Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact	Retain as notified with no Significant Natural Area overlay in residential areas.	Accept in part	No
David Edmonds	1.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules	Support	part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining	Retain as notified with no Significant Natural Area overlay in residential areas.	Accept in part	No
David Edmonds	1.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 –	Support	part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds.	Retain as notified with no Significant Natural Area overlay in residential areas.	Accept in part	No
David Edmonds	1.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules	Support	part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining	Retain as notified with no Significant Natural Area overlay in residential areas.	Accept in part	No
David Edmonds	1.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 –	Support	part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds.	Retain as notified with no Significant Natural Area overlay in residential areas.	Accept in part	No
David Edmonds	1.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 –	Support	part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds.	Retain as notified with no Significant Natural Area overlay in residential areas.	Accept in part	No
David Edmonds	1.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 –	Support	part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds.	Retain as notified with no Significant Natural Area overlay in residential areas.		No
David Edmonds David Edmonds	1.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart /	Support	part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA versional and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigl, Northland		No
		Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules		part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version and versions for por quality scrution and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigl, Northland		No
		Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules –		part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists foor quality scrut and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version y small and consists of foor quality scrut and weeds.	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigl, Northland		No No
		Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules		part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version and versions for por quality scrution and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigl, Northland		No
		Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules –		part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists foor quality scrut and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version y small and consists of foor quality scrut and weeds.	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigl, Northland		No No
		Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules –		part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists foor quality scrut and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version y small and consists of foor quality scrut and weeds.	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigl, Northland	Accept	No No
		Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules –		part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists foor quality scrut and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version y small and consists of foor quality scrut and weeds.	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigl, Northland		<u>No</u>
		Schedules Subpart / Schedules Significant Natural Areas Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas		part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality card and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment].	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (WC092)	Accept	No No Yes
David Edmonds	1.2	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / Schedules Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules	Amend	part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment].	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigl, Northland	Accept	N0 N0 Yes
David Edmonds	1.2	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules –	Amend	part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality carls and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the Northern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The area is mamnade. The land has high human impact and has never had livestock excluded from it.	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (WC092)	Accept	No
David Edmonds	1.2	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / Schedules Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules	Amend	part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment].	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (WC092)	Accept	No No
David Edmonds	1.2	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules –	Amend	part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality carls and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the Northern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The area is mamnade. The land has high human impact and has never had livestock excluded from it.	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (WC092)	Accept	No No Yes
David Edmonds	1.2	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules –	Amend	part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality carls and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the built environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the Northern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The area is mamnade. The land has high human impact and has never had livestock excluded from it.	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (WC092)	Accept Accept	N0 N0 Yes
David Edmonds	1.2	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules –	Amend	part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment].	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (WC092)	Accept	N0 N0 Yes
David Edmonds	1.2	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules –	Amend	part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists food poquily survival and veeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the NA version and the state of both and veeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the Northern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The sin anonade. The land has high human impact and has never had livestock excluded from it. There is no original or significant native flora in the area. [Refer to original submission for full details of current vegetation].	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (WC092)	Accept Accept	No
David Edmonds Aaron Chester	6.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / Schedules Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules / Schedules / Sch	Amend Support	part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists food quality scuta and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scuta and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the Northern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The area is mamnade. The land has high human impact and has never had livestock excluded from it. There is no original or significant native flora in the area. [Refer to original submission for full details of current vegetation]. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting of natives.	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (NCO92) Seeks that 215 Takapu Road is retained as notified - with no Significant Natural Area.	Accept Accept	No No Yes
David Edmonds	1.2	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules / Schedules	Amend	part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists foor opcuality surval and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version start full reason, including attachment]. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the Northern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The area is mamnade. The land has high human impact and has never had livestock excluded from it. There is no original or significant native flora in the area. [Refer to original submission for full details of current vegetation]. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting or faitives. Considers that the Suchern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's.	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (WC092)	Accept Accept	N0 N0 Yes
David Edmonds Aaron Chester	6.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / Schedules Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules / Schedules / Sch	Amend Support	part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists foor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incornect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact. part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incornect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact. part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the Northern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The area is mannade. The land has high human impact and has never hal livestock excluded from it. There is no original or significant native flora in the area. [Refer to original submission for full details of current vegetation]. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting of natives. Considers that the Southern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The area is that the Southern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's.	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (NCO92) Seeks that 215 Takapu Road is retained as notified - with no Significant Natural Area.	Accept Accept	N0 N0 Yes
David Edmonds Aaron Chester	6.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules Significant Natural Areas Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules / Schedules / Schedules / Schedules / Schedules / Schedules / Schedules / Schedules	Amend Support	part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists foor opcuality surval and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version start full reason, including attachment]. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the Northern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The area is mamnade. The land has high human impact and has never had livestock excluded from it. There is no original or significant native flora in the area. [Refer to original submission for full details of current vegetation]. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting or faitives. Considers that the Suchern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's.	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (NCO92) Seeks that 215 Takapu Road is retained as notified - with no Significant Natural Area.	Accept Accept	N0 N0 Yes
David Edmonds Aaron Chester	6.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Schedules Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules –	Amend Support	part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists foor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incornect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact. part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incornect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact. part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the Northern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The area is mannade. The land has high human impact and has never hal livestock excluded from it. There is no original or significant native flora in the area. [Refer to original submission for full details of current vegetation]. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting of natives. Considers that the Southern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The area is that the Southern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's.	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (NCO92) Seeks that 215 Takapu Road is retained as notified - with no Significant Natural Area.	Accept Accept	No Ves No
David Edmonds Aaron Chester	6.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Schedules Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules –	Amend Support	part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, garages and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists foor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incornect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact. part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incornect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact. part of the SNA very small and consists of poor quality scrub and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the Northern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The area is mannade. The land has high human impact and has never hal livestock excluded from it. There is no original or significant native flora in the area. [Refer to original submission for full details of current vegetation]. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting of natives. Considers that the Southern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The area is that the Southern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's.	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (NCO92) Seeks that 215 Takapu Road is retained as notified - with no Significant Natural Area.	Accept Accept Accept	N0 N0 Yes
David Edmonds Aaron Chester	6.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Schedules Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules –	Amend Support	part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists foor quality scrut and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the NAV systemal and consists foor quality scrut and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the Northern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The area is mannade. The land has high human impact and has never had livestock excluded from it. There is no original or significant native flora in the area. [Refer to original submission for full details of current vegetation]. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting of ratives. Considers that the Southern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The area is mannade. The land has high human impact and has never had livestock excluded from it. There is no original or significant native flora in the area.	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (NCO92) Seeks that 215 Takapu Road is retained as notified - with no Significant Natural Area.	Accept Accept	NO
David Edmonds Aaron Chester	6.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Schedules Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules –	Amend Support	part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists food pounding variand weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overfay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version y is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version y mail and consist of poor quality version and veeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the Northern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The area is mammade. The land has high human impact and has never had livestock excluded from it. There is no original or significant native flora in the area. [Refer to original submission for full details of current vegetation]. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting or ratives. Considers that the Southern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The area is no original or significant native flora in the area. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting or aspinficant native flora in the area. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting or significant native flora in the area.	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (NCO92) Seeks that 215 Takapu Road is retained as notified - with no Significant Natural Area.	Accept Accept Accept	No No Yes
David Edmonds Aaron Chester	6.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Sc	Amend Support	part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists foor quality scrut and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version state from full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the Northern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The sins anonade. The land has high human impact and has never had livestock excluded from it. There is no original or significant native flora in the area. [Refer to original submission for full details of current vegetation]. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting of natives. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting or significant native flora in the area. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting or natives.	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (WC092) Seeks that 215 Takapu Road is retained as notified - with no Significant Natural Area.	Accept Accept Accept	N0 N0 Yes N0
David Edmonds Aaron Chester	6.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules Significant Natural Areas Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart /	Amend Support	part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists food orquity scutuand weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consist of poor quality year land weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the Northern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The area is mammade. The land has high human impact and has never had livestock excluded from it. There is no original or significant native flora in the area. [Refer to original submission for full details of current vegetation]. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting or raignificant native flora in the area. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting or raignificant native flora in the area.	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (NCO92) Seeks that 215 Takapu Road is retained as notified - with no Significant Natural Area.	Accept Accept Accept	Ν0 Ν0 Ψes Ν0
David Edmonds Aaron Chester	6.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Sc	Amend Support	part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists foor quality scrut and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version state from the strees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version strees from the strees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version strees from the strees of the strees of the strees is mannade. The land has high human impact and has never had livestock excluded from it. There is no original or significant native flora in the area. [Refer to original submission for full details of current vegetation]. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting of ratives. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting of ratives. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting of ratives. Considers that the will not fragment the SNA area if the area at 170 Partivale Road is not classified as a SNA. [Refer to original submission for SNA coordinates].	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (WC092) Seeks that 215 Takapu Road is retained as notified - with no Significant Natural Area.	Accept Accept Accept	<u>Νο</u> Νο Υνες
David Edmonds Aaron Chester	6.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / Schedules / Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / Schedu	Amend Support	part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists food orquity scutuand weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consist of poor quality year land weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the Northern part SNA on 215 Takapu Road does not meet any of the 5 criteria used to assign SNA's. The area is mammade. The land has high human impact and has never had livestock excluded from it. There is no original or significant native flora in the area. [Refer to original submission for full details of current vegetation]. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting or raignificant native flora in the area. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting or raignificant native flora in the area.	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (WC092) Seeks that 215 Takapu Road is retained as notified - with no Significant Natural Area.	Accept Accept Accept	No
David Edmonds Aaron Chester	6.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules Significant Natural Areas Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / S	Amend Support	part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists foor quality scrut and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version state from the strees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version strees from the strees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version strees from the strees of the strees of the strees is mannade. The land has high human impact and has never had livestock excluded from it. There is no original or significant native flora in the area. [Refer to original submission for full details of current vegetation]. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting of ratives. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting of ratives. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting of ratives. Considers that the will not fragment the SNA area if the area at 170 Partivale Road is not classified as a SNA. [Refer to original submission for SNA coordinates].	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (WC092) Seeks that 215 Takapu Road is retained as notified - with no Significant Natural Area.	Accept Accept Accept	N0 N0 Yes N0
David Edmonds Aaron Chester	6.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules Significant Natural Areas Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / S	Amend Support	part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists foor quality scrut and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version state from the strees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version strees from the strees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version strees from the strees of the strees of the strees is mannade. The land has high human impact and has never had livestock excluded from it. There is no original or significant native flora in the area. [Refer to original submission for full details of current vegetation]. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting of ratives. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting of ratives. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting of ratives. Considers that the will not fragment the SNA area if the area at 170 Partivale Road is not classified as a SNA. [Refer to original submission for SNA coordinates].	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (WC092) Seeks that 215 Takapu Road is retained as notified - with no Significant Natural Area.	Accept Accept Accept Accept	NO
David Edmonds Aaron Chester	6.1	Schedules Subpart / Schedules Significant Natural Areas Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / S	Amend Support	part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA very small and consists foor quality scrut and weeds. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachment]. Considers that the extent of the SNA overlay is incorrect and should be amended. The land covered by the SNA is in fact part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the bulk environment - the trees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version state from the strees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version strees from the strees cover footpaths, driveways, granges and a cable car landing area. The remaining part of the SNA version strees from the strees of the strees of the strees is mannade. The land has high human impact and has never had livestock excluded from it. There is no original or significant native flora in the area. [Refer to original submission for full details of current vegetation]. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting of ratives. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting of ratives. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting of ratives. Considers that the summark and has high human impact and has never had livestock excluded from it. There is no original or significant native flora in the area. The SNA will prevent the construction of a planned disabled access to the house for elderly relatives and the intended planting of ratives. Consider	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from the legal road outside 2 & 4 Governor Rd and 6 & 8 The Rigi, Northland (WC092) Seeks that 215 Takapu Road is retained as notified - with no Significant Natural Area.	Accept Accept Accept	NO

l i	1	1	1	1	1	1	I. I
Barry Insull	32.9	Schedules Subpart /	Amend	WC144 (Wellington coastal cliffs scrub and shrubland) makes no mention of the Red Rocks Historic Reserve designation	Seeks that WC144 (South Wellington coastal cliffs scrub and shrubland) have a reference to the site's Historic Reserve		No
		Schedules		and should be amended.	designation.		
		/ SCHED8 -					
		Significant Natural Areas					
						Reject	
							No
Barry Insull	32.10	Schedules Subpart / Schedules	Not specified	Considers that in WC144 (Wellington coastal cliffs scrub and shrubland), Sinclair Head could comprise two reserves depending on what defines the feature.	Not Specified.		
		/ SCHED8 -		[refer to original submission]			
		Significant Natural Areas					
						Reject	
							No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Barry Insull	No 32.11	/Provision Schedules Subpart /	Amend	The Site Summary in WC144 (Wellington coastal cliffs scrub and shrubland) does not describe the purpose of specialist	Seeks that WC144 (South Wellington coastal cliffs scrub and shrubland) have a reference to the purpose of specialist	Recommendations	
		Schedules		reserves in the area, namely Pariwhero / Red Rocks and Sinclair Head / Te Rimurapa Scientific Reserves and should be	reserves.		
		/ SCHED8 -		amended.			
		Significant Natural Areas					
						Reject	
							No
Barry Insull	32.12	Schedules Subpart / Schedules	Amend	The Site Summary in WC122 does not list bird species similarly to WC144 and should be amended to match WC144.	Seeks that WC122 (Tongue Point coastal platform) make mention of bird species in the area to match WC144 (South Wellington coastal cliffs scrub and shrubland).		
	1	/ SCHED8 –	1		reenington coastar CIII's SCLOD and Strubiand).		
	1	Significant Natural Areas	1				
						accept	
							Vec
Barry Insull	32.13	Schedules Subpart /	Amend	The Site Summary in WC146 does not list bird species similarly to WC144 and should be amended to match WC144.	Seeks that WC146 (Karori Stream estuary) make mention of bird species in the area to match WC144 (South		100
		Schedules			Wellington coastal cliffs scrub and shrubland).		
		/ SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas					
		Significant Natural Areas					
						accept	
							yes
Barry Insull	32.14	Schedules Subpart / Schedules	Support	Supports that credit is being given to the Wellington Cross Country Vehicle Club in WC144 in relation to their conservation input to protect and enhance the covenanted Kinnoull dunes. The club has been active in a number of like	Not specified.		
		/ SCHED8 -		activities for many years.			
		Significant Natural Areas					
						Accept	
							No
Barry Insull	32.15	Schedules Subpart /	Amend	Considers that the Coastal Cliffs East of Karori Stream Estuary does not qualify as a historic habitat for Long Bay Beach	Seeks that language in Site Summary of WC144 (South Wellington coastal cliffs scrub and shrubland) be amended to		
		Schedules / SCHED8 –		Weevil.	remove mention of "the only known North Island population of speargrass weevil (Lyperoblus huttonii)". [Inferred decision requested]		
		Significant Natural Areas			[interied decision requested]		
						accept in part	
lan Law	101.8	Schedules Subpart /	Support	Opposes any attempts to reinstate Significant Natural Areas on private land.	Retain SCHED8 (Significant Natural Areas) as notified - with no SNA's on private land.		yes
		Schedules	. pp				
	1	/ SCHED8 -	1				
		Significant Natural Areas					
	1		1				
						Accept	
			-				No
Janice Young	140.8	Schedules Subpart / Schedules	Oppose	Opposes the reinstatement of Significant Natural Areas on private land.	Retain SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas as notified (with no Significant Natural Areas on private land).		
		/ SCHED8 -					
	1	Significant Natural Areas	1				
	1		1				
						Accept	
	1		1				No
Sarah Packman and Simon	150.1	Schedules Subpart /	Support	Supports the removal of the SNA on 65A Holloway Road, Aro Valley.	Retain SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas as notified (with no SNA on 65A Holloway Road).		
Fern	1	Schedules / SCHED8 -	1	The submitter would like to have options available in the future to build or garden on this area.			
		/ SUHED8 – Significant Natural Areas					
	1		1				
	1		1			Accept	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
	No	/Provision				Recommendations	
David Stevens	151.18	Schedules Subpart / Schedules	Support	Supports no SNAs on private residential land as notified. Considers that most SNAs, which rightly need to be protected, are not on private residential land. Reinstating SNAs on	Retain SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas as notified (with no SNAs on private residential land).		
		schedules				1	
		/ SCHED8 -		private land would impinge on homeowners' rights to enjoy their property as they wish and would be a disincentive to			
		/ SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas		private land would impinge on homeowners' rights to enjoy their property as they wish and would be a disincentive to further protection of native flora. Many parts of the proposed SNAs on private land are not significant native bush areas			
				private land would impinge on homeowners' rights to enjoy their property as they wish and would be a disincentive to further protection of native flora. Many parts of the proposed SNAs on private land are not significant native bush areas but just happen to show up green in aerial photographs.			
				further protection of native flora. Many parts of the proposed SNAs on private land are not significant native bush areas		Accept	

David Stevens	151.19	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Oppose	Opposes reinstatement of SMAs on private residential land. Considers that most SMAs, which rightly need to be protected, are not on private residential land. Reinstating SMAs on private land would impinge on honeowners' rights to enjoy their property as they wish and would be a disincentive to further protection of native flora. Many parts of the proposed SMAs on private land are not significant native bush areas but just happen to show up green in aerial photographs.	Seeks that Significant Natural Areas are not included on residential land.	Accept	
							No
M&P Makara Family Trust	159.13	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Amend	Considers that the area of SNA WC042 should be amended by removing a portion of gully land to the south of the stream running uphill (refer to submission for illustration). The gully in question can not justfibble be included as an SNA, and no ecological study that would suggest It should be has been provided. The gully has been partially frenced in recent years so It can regenerate and it has a pond or wetland area that was created when a residential and farm access read was constructed, but it is not of a standard that would warrant inclusion, largely consisting of manuka, mahoe and punga, as are many scrub areas in Makara that are not otherwise included as SNA's.	Amend the area covered by SNA WCO42 (Scrub along Makara Stream tributary Quartz Hill No2) by removing a portion of gully land to the south of the stream running uphill. [Refer to submission for illustration of area].		
				The submission does not object to the majority area of land in this SNA being included. The s32 report on the previous submission concerning this location misrepresents the objection as being to the inclusion of all SNA areas on the property.		Reject	no
Thomas Brent Layton	164.7	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Oppose	Considers that the WCC should abandon the SMA overlay and instead enter into negotiations. This will focus WCC and the community: and on what value they place on concerving areas. Considers that if the cource if thinks that there is a net benefit to society from an SNA it should negotiate with the current owners over the imposition of controls and impose the cost of preservation on all ratepayers. If they don't think the community will bear the costs sought by the landowner, then, clearly, the community (which includes the landowner) will be better off if the land is not subject to an SNA.	Remove the Significant Natural Area overlays from the Proposed District Plan.	Reject	
				[Refer to original submission for full reasons].			No
Trelissick Park Group	168.27	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Amend	Considers that Hele Reserve (in Ngalo) should be included in SCHEIDS "Supplicant Natural Areas. Considers that Hele degradation of the terms in the Kalwahawahara catchment from sformwater and slips like the recent Wilton Park slump, causing downstream sliting is a concern. Mitigation following increasingly frequent storm water events needs to be a priority to maintain the stream ecosystem.	Amend SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas to include Heke Reserve (in Ngalo).		
						Reject	
Helen Grove	197.3	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support in part	Supports the removal of SNAs on private property from urban land. [Refer to original submission for full reasons].	Retain SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas, with respect to not having Significant Natural Areas on private residential land. [Inferred decision requested].		10
Helen Grove	197.4	Schedules Subpart /	Amend	Considers that SNAs should be removed from private rural land.	Amend SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas, to remove Significant Natural Areas from private rural land.	Accept	No
need done		Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	, and a			Reject	
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
Boston Real Estate Limited	No 220.4	/Provision Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Amend	Considers that the planning and Environment Committee deemed that the SNAs will apply to public and rural land. As this land is currently held in private ownership and zoned business and outer residential, the SNA cannot apply to this property. Relatedly considers that the areas zoned Natural Open Space should be recored from subject to the such the SNA should be removed from this part of the property. Considers that the information base for the Councils approach to SNAs is flawed and inaccurate.	Remove Significant Natural Area overlay from 62 Kalwharawhara Road (WC079).	Becommondations.	
Tvers Stream Group	221.81	Schedules Subpart /	Support	Considers that the site does not have significant ecological value. (Refer to original submission for full reason) Supports the classification of WC114 (Tyers Stream) as an SNA.	Retain the significant natural area WC114 (Tvers Stream) as notified.		yes
ryeis Stream Group	221.81	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	anthour	Supports the classification of w.L.1.14 (1945 Stream) is an SMA. Considers that the description of the SNA includes the significance of the Tyers Stream Reserve SNA for both land and instream flora and fauna.	ncam ur agumann nauan died WL14 (19th 30 6df) da Roureu.	Accept	
Tyers Stream Group	221.82	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Amend	Considers that the neighbouring SNA's protect the increasingly important habitat and biodiversity of the Wellington area. The Reserve and those parts of the SNA on private land adjuining the reserve and stream, form an important part of the ecological corridor from Wellington Harbour to Khandallah Park, and beyond including Huntleigh Park, Otar//Wilton's Bush and Zealandia.	Amend SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas to include significant natural areas on privately owned residentially zoned properties.	Reject	No
				The SNAs on private property also provide an ecological buffer and increase the area of continuous vegetation thereby increasing the biological carrying capacity of the area and its biodiversity potential.			No

Steve West	F5110.2	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules /SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Oppose	Notes that original submitter states that "SMAs on residential private property adjoining Typers Stream should be evintated as they protect increasingly important habitat and bodiversity of the Wellington area. Considers that this would then enable those residents and the community to be usponted in efforts to enhance these values. "The original submitter also infers that without SNAs on private urban land, Wellington's indigenous biodiversity would be worse. Opposes the reinstanement of SNAs on residential private property in the Proposed District Plan (including around Types Stream) for the following reasons: Indigenous biodiversity in Wellington has increased significantly over the bat 20 years, without any need for SNAs. Rather voluntary conservation efforts have been hugely successful in Wellington City. - Considers that instead of supporting residents, creating SNAs on private urban land in the district plan will turn native bush into an expensive and unwanted burden for many private urban land over the last two decades will deminsh over time should SNAs be created on private urban land.	Dsallow	Accept	No
Russell Taylor	224.5	Schedules Subpart /	Oppose	Opposes SNAs on private land	Only apply SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas to publicly owned land.		
	224.3	Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	oppose		(inferred decision requested)	accept in part	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Karepa Dell Developments	241.4	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Considers that the imposition of a significant Kaurul Area over the property at 11 Makionako Road is an urreasonable means of meeting council's obligation to recognize and provide for significant areas under Section 6 of the MA. Considers that relance on the Wildlands report in not justified given the high-level nature of the report, and no no-tite audits have occursed to ground truth the classification. Considers there is no evidence to show the level plant types and brids are located on the site, and as no site-specific assessment has been completed, then the status quo (i.e. no SNA) hould prevall. Considers the SNA is unersonable as it follows property boundaries: rather than physical realities, the SNA is located in an urban area, data discontinuities exit. Notes the Wildlands report states that the significance is likely, but further work to confirm this is required. Contends that other ecological analysis do not place the same importance on the area as the Wildlands report (Ets Park and WMH N2). Considers the Darroch valuation report to justify approach. Daroch being workin their area of expertise, relance on overses data, the report being out of date (2019), and the wide range of valuation outcomes. Considers the SNA knowes property rights from noversa and sets significant bush removal in bas been undertaken in source. Considers the SNA thereowes property rights from noversa states sill sality in the future area nonalise in the analysis e.g. the SNA covers a road and bridge, silf-camb bas herein value classificant bush removal in the intervences and versels and protect primes the source as sill require bush is a liability in the future and will have the work ange of Navcovers sever lines that will require bush clearance to maintain, it encompasses known areas of veeds and pests, and covers a stormwater detention point that has likely resulted in some measure of contamination.	Retain SCHEDB as notified - with no Significant Natural Area applying to 11 Malkomako Road.		
						Accept	No
Dominic Hurley	260.3	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Oppose	Considers That site owners will lose control and value of their land due to SNA's. SNA's on land will drive owners to enowe the native bush to avoid SNA status, having the opposite effect. Incentives should be offered instead.	Remove the Significant Natural Areas overlays from the PDP.	Reject	No
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.93	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Amend	Oppose the extent of WELD9 and seeks amendment to the boundary of SNA area WELD9. [Refer to Figure 6 of the original submission for detail of the amended SNA boundary sought]. Considers that the removal of these areas from the wider SNA would not remove any value special to this area or diminish in a meaningful way the size or viability of the WELD9 SNA, or faunal resource. It also does not change or affect buffering or connectivity.	Amend extent of WC109 (Cast: escarpment broadleaved forest, Hutt Road between Ngauranga and Horokiwi) in SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas. [Refer to original submission for attachments, including Figure 6 showing detail of the amended SNA boundary sought].		
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	271.94	Schedules Subpart /	Amend	Considers that there is a restrictive policy and rule framework that would apply to SNAs (and in particular where the sites	Seaks that the Significant Natural Area overlay be amended on it relates to the Herekiul overousite includion to	accept in part	yes
		Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	. shorta	are within a Coastal Environment overlay) and visites to ensure any sites that are identified are in fact warranted as significant areas. Horokiwi does have concerns with particular areas on both its site and on the adjoining land to the	Sees that the agrinuant hatting when you annote as it reaces to the notowing any site including to remove the SNA from the Horokiwi site which is subject to the existing use certificate reference 1048648.		
				west, in terms of whether the biodiversity values ment the specific areas being identified as SNAs. Based on the Independent ecological assessment. Netrolwis weeks amendment to the SNA area identified. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachments]	[Refer to original submission, including figure and attachments]	accept in part	yes
Onsiow Residents Community Association	283.15	Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / ScheDa – Significant Natural Areas	Support	west, in terms of whether the biodiversity values merit the specific areas being identified as SNAs. Based on the independent ecological assessment, Horokiwi seeks amendment to the SNA area identified. [Refer to original submission		accept in part	yes
	283.15	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 –	Support Support	 weet, in terms of whether the biodiversity values ment the specific areas being identified as SMAs, Based on the independent ecological assessmet. Mchorolwis seeks amendment to the SMA area identified. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachments] Considers that significant Natural Areas on residential land can risk property owners removing the native bush to avoid status as an SMA. There are very few SMA's on residential land and the amount protected does not outweigh the loss to property value and further loss to bediversity. Refer to original submission for full reason] Opposes significant natural area controls in residential areas and seeks that are not applied in residential areas as per the Considied ecision to notify the plan. Considers that SMA: go against the principles of natural justice and are unconstitutional. penalize people who have taken care of bush and incentivies clearing. are a form of thet 	Retain SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas as notified (with no Significant Natural Areas on private residential land).		yes No
Community Association	286.2	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules –		week, in terms of whether the biodiversity values ment the specific areas being identified as SMAs, Based on the independent ecological assessmet. Horiolwis weeks amendment to the SMA area identified. [Refer to original submission for full reason, including attachments] Considers that significant Natural Areas on residential land can risk property owners removing the native bush to avoid status as an SMA. There are very few SMA's on residential land can risk property owners removing the native bush to avoid status as an SMA. There are very few SMA's on residential land and the amount protected does not outweigh the loss to property value and further loss to biodiversity. Opposes significant natural area controls in residential areas and seeks that are not applied in residential areas as per the Considied decision to notify the plan. Considiers that SMA: - go against the principles of natural justice and are unconstitutional. - penalize people who have taken can can bush and incertifications.	Retain SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas as notified (with no Significant Natural Areas on private residential land).	Accept	yes No No Changes to PDP2

Tawa Community Board	294.19	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Amend	Considers that there are a number of currently zoned rural areas which under the Proposed District Plan will become residential type areas and the SNA protections will then not apply. These areas include the Upper Stebbings Valley Development area (including the area above Redwood Bubu currently in private hands), and a number of large lot properties that were previously rural and are proposed to be designated as large lot residential zone, which includes those sections above the Peterhouse Steret. Westhaven for and Westwood Read on the western hills of Tawa, and also Gladys Scott, Bing Lucas Drive and Woodburn Drive properties on the eastern hills of Tawa. The removal of the SNA designations on these properties puts at risk the removal of a large proportion of the green space.	Seeks that residential properties that were zoned as Rural under the Operative District Plan but have been rezoned to a Residential Zone in the Proposed District Plan retain their Significant Natural Areas.	Reject	
				landscape outlook that Tawa residents enjoy. It also risks being a pathway to allowing further intensive development on these sections. Such development would also place these scheeper siope areas at risk to prester erosion effects, alipages (both from rain and seismic effects), and lead to even more flooding and sediment of the Porirua Stream and Porirua Harbour.			No
Paul Blaschke	F5129.6	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Agrees this important rider for sites reasoned from Nural to Residential in the PDP. These particular sites not only have the important amening and landscape and out there values identified in the submission but have important ecological and connectivity values that are critical to retain within this expanding residential area.		Reject	No
Te Marama Ltd	337.15	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Amend	Considers that SNAs should not be on private property.	Amend SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas to not include SNAs on private property. [Inferred decision requested].	Reject	No
Te Marama Ltd	337.16	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Amend	Considers that Item WC054 (Malara Peak) should be removed from SCHEDB as it imposes an SNA on Te Marama property. WC054 states "Much of the site is WCC public land" and SNAs being imposed on public land is not opposed.	Amend SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas to remove, Item WCD54 (Makara Peak to not include 171 South Makara Road (Part Section 16 Makara DIST) and Lot 6 DP477282.	Reject	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.140	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	SCHEDB is generally supported.	Retain SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas as notified	Accept in part	No
Paul Blaschke	F5129.14	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Support tabmission points made by a significant number of individuals and groups that essentially support the same proposition "Reinstate the overlay of all properly delineated SNAs or part SNAs on all relevant residential zoned properties".	Allow	Accept in part	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.141	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Supports the inclusion of WCI44 - South Wellington coastal cliffs scrub and shrubland in SCHEDB. Considers that these cliffs represent the most significant coastal habitat of Wellington City.	Retain WC144 - South Wellington coastal cliffs scrub and shrubland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Yvonne Weeber	340.142	Provision Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC147 - Owhiro Bay and shore platform in SCHED8.	Retain WC147 - Owhiro Bay and shore platform in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.143	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC148 - Island Bay foreshore including Sirens Rock and Island Bay dunes in SCHED8.	Retain WC148 - Island Bay foreshore including Sirens Rock and Island Bay dunes in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.144	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC149 - Houghton Bay foreshore including Elidon Point, Princess rock stacks and Princess Bay dunes in SCHED8.	Retain WC149 -Houghton Bay foreshore including Elsdon Point, Princess rock stacks and Princess Bay dunes in SCHED8 Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	No

	-						
Yvonne Weeber	340.145	Schedules Subpart /	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC150 - Te Raekaihau Point Princess Bay in SCHED8.	Retain WC150 - Te Raekalhau Point Princess Bay in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.		
		Schedules					
		/ SCHED8 -					
		Significant Natural Areas					
						Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.146	Schedules Subpart /	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC151 - Waitaha Cove duneland in SCHED8.	Retain WC151 - Waltaha Cove duneland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.		
		Schedules					
		/ SCHED8 –					
		Significant Natural Areas					
						Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.147	Schedules Subpart /	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC152 - Dorrie Leslie Park rocky coast in SCHED8.	Retain WC152 - Dorrie Leslie Park rocky coast in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.		
		Schedules					
		/ SCHED8 –					
		Significant Natural Areas					
	1	1	1			Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.148	Schedules Subpart /	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC153 - Strathmore coastal shrubland in SCHED8.	Retain WC153 - Strathmore coastal shrubland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.		
	1	Schedules	1				
	1	/ SCHED8 -	1				
1	1	Significant Natural Areas	1			1	
	1		1				
1	1	1	1			1	
	1	1	1			1	
	1		1				
1	1	1	1			Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.149	Schedules Subpart /	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC154 - Moa Point coastal platform and shrubland in SCHED8.	Retain WC154 - Moa Point coastal platform and shrubland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.		
		Schedules					
		/ SCHED8 -					
		Significant Natural Areas					
						Accept	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
	No	/Provision				Recommendations	
Yvonne Weeber	No 340.150	Schedules Subpart /	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC155 - Palmer Head rocky coast and Tarakena Bay duneland in SCHED8.	Retain WC155 - Palmer Head rocky coast and Tarakena Bay duneland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Recommendations	
Yvonne Weeber	340.150	Schedules	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC155 - Palmer Head rocky coast and Tarakena Bay duneland in SCHED8.	Retain WC155 - Palmer Head rocky coast and Tarakena Bay duneland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Recommendations	
Yvonne Weeber	No 340.150	Schedules / SCHED8 –	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC155 - Palmer Head rocky coast and Tarakena Bay duneland in SCHED8.	Retain WC155 - Palmer Head rocky coast and Tarakena Bay duneland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Recommendations	
Yvonne Weeber	No 340.150	Schedules	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC155 - Palmer Head rocky coast and Tarakena Bay duneland in SCHEDB.	Retain WC155 - Palmer Head rocky coast and Tarakena Bay duneland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Recommendations	
Yvonne Weeber	No 340.150	Schedules / SCHED8 –	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC15S - Palmer Head rocky coast and Tarakena Bay duneland in SCHED8.	Retain WC155 - Palmer Head rocky coast and Tarakena Bay duneland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Recommendations	
Yvonne Weeber	No 340.150	Schedules / SCHED8 –	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC155 - Palmer Head rocky coast and Tarakena Bay duneland in SCHEDB.	Retain WC155 - Palmer Head rocky coast and Tarakena Bay duneland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Recommendations	
Yvonne Weeber	No 340.150	Schedules / SCHED8 –	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC155 - Palmer Head rocky coast and Tarakena Bay duneland in SCHED8.	Retain WC155 - Palmer Head rocky coast and Tarakena Bay duneland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	ilerommondations	
Yvonne Weeber	Nn 340.150	Schedules / SCHED8 –	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC155 - Palmer Head rocky coast and Tarakena Bay duneland in SCHED8.	Retain WC155 - Palmer Head rocky coast and Tarakena Bay duneland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	ileronmondations	
		Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas				Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber Yvonne Weeber	Nn 340.150 340.151	Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart /	Support Support	Supports the inclusion of WC15S - Palmer Head rocky coast and Tarakena Bay duneland in SCHEDB. Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB.	Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8	Accept	No
		Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules				Accept	No
		Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / / SCHED8 –			Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8	Accept	No
		Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules			Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8	Accept	No
		Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / / SCHED8 –			Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8	Accept	No
		Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / / SCHED8 –			Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8	Accept	No
		Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / / SCHED8 –			Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8	Accept	No
		Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / / SCHED8 –			Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.151	Schedules / ScHEDB - Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / ScHEDB - Significant Natural Areas	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8.	Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	No
		Schedules / ScHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart /			Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.151	Schedules / SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart /	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8.	Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	No No
Yvonne Weeber	340.151	Schedules J SchEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules J SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules –	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8.	Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.151	Schedules / SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart /	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8.	Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	No No
Yvonne Weeber	340.151	Schedules J SchEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules J SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules –	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8.	Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	ND
Yvonne Weeber	340.151	Schedules J SchEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules J SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules –	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8.	Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	No No
Yvonne Weeber	340.151	Schedules J SchEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules J SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules –	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8.	Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.151	Schedules J SchEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules J SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules –	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8.	Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.		No
Yvonne Weeber Yvonne Weeber	340.151 340.152	Schedules J ScheDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules J ScheDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Schedules J ScheDa – Significant Natural Areas	Support Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB.	Retain WCISG - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas. Retain WCIS7 - Point Dorset coastal shrubland and duneland in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber	340.151	Schedules Schedules Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Significant Natural Areas Schedules Sc	Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8.	Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.		No
Yvonne Weeber Yvonne Weeber	340.151 340.152	Schedules J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart /	Support Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB.	Retain WCISG - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas. Retain WCIS7 - Point Dorset coastal shrubland and duneland in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas.		No
Yvonne Weeber Yvonne Weeber	340.151 340.152	Schedules Schedules Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Sched	Support Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB.	Retain WCISG - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas. Retain WCIS7 - Point Dorset coastal shrubland and duneland in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas.		No No
Yvonne Weeber Yvonne Weeber	340.151 340.152	Schedules J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart / Schedules Subpart /	Support Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB.	Retain WCISG - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas. Retain WCIS7 - Point Dorset coastal shrubland and duneland in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas.		No
Yvonne Weeber Yvonne Weeber	340.151 340.152	Schedules Schedules Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Sched	Support Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB.	Retain WCISG - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas. Retain WCIS7 - Point Dorset coastal shrubland and duneland in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas.		NO NO
Yuonne Weeber	340.151 340.152	Schedules Schedules Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Sched	Support Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB.	Retain WCISG - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas. Retain WCIS7 - Point Dorset coastal shrubland and duneland in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas.		No
Yvonne Weeber Yvonne Weeber	340.151 340.152	Schedules Schedules Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Sched	Support Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB.	Retain WCISG - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas. Retain WCIS7 - Point Dorset coastal shrubland and duneland in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas.		NO NO
Yuonne Weeber	340.151 340.152	Schedules Schedules Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Sched	Support Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB.	Retain WCISG - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas. Retain WCIS7 - Point Dorset coastal shrubland and duneland in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber Yvonne Weeber	340.151 340.152 340.153	Schedules J SchEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules	Support Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB. Supports the inclusion of WC157 - Point Dorset coastal shrubland and duneland in SCHEDB.	Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8 - Sgnificant Natural Areas. Retain WC157 - Point Dorset coastal shrubland and dureland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas. Retain WC174 - Taputeranga Island in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.		NO
Yvonne Weeber Yvonne Weeber	340.151 340.152	Schedules J SchEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules J SchEDB – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules J SchEDB – Significant Natural Areas	Support Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB.	Retain WCISG - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas. Retain WCIS7 - Point Dorset coastal shrubland and duneland in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber Yvonne Weeber	340.151 340.152 340.153	Schedules Schedules Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Schedule	Support Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB. Supports the inclusion of WC157 - Point Dorset coastal shrubland and duneland in SCHEDB.	Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8 - Sgnificant Natural Areas. Retain WC157 - Point Dorset coastal shrubland and dureland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas. Retain WC174 - Taputeranga Island in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	NO
Yvonne Weeber Yvonne Weeber	340.151 340.152 340.153	Schedules J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / SchedUles J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / SchedUles J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas	Support Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB.	Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8 - Sgnificant Natural Areas. Retain WC157 - Point Dorset coastal shrubland and dureland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas. Retain WC174 - Taputeranga Island in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	No
Yvonne Weeber Yvonne Weeber	340.151 340.152 340.153	Schedules Schedules Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Subpart / Schedules Schedules Schedule	Support Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB.	Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8 - Sgnificant Natural Areas. Retain WC157 - Point Dorset coastal shrubland and dureland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas. Retain WC174 - Taputeranga Island in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	NO
Yvonne Weeber Yvonne Weeber	340.151 340.152 340.153	Schedules J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / SchedUles J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / SchedUles J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas	Support Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB.	Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8 - Sgnificant Natural Areas. Retain WC157 - Point Dorset coastal shrubland and dureland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas. Retain WC174 - Taputeranga Island in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	No
Vionne Weeber Vionne Weeber	340.151 340.152 340.153	Schedules J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / SchedUles J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / SchedUles J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas	Support Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB.	Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8 - Sgnificant Natural Areas. Retain WC157 - Point Dorset coastal shrubland and dureland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas. Retain WC174 - Taputeranga Island in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	NO
Vionne Weeber Vionne Weeber	340.151 340.152 340.153	Schedules J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / SchedUles J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / SchedUles J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas	Support Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB.	Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8 - Sgnificant Natural Areas. Retain WC157 - Point Dorset coastal shrubland and dureland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas. Retain WC174 - Taputeranga Island in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	No
Vionne Weeber Vionne Weeber	340.151 340.152 340.153	Schedules J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / Schedules J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / SchedUles J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas Schedules Subpart / SchedUles J SchetDa – Significant Natural Areas	Support Support	Supports the inclusion of WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHEDB.	Retain WC156 - Breaker Bay coastal scrub and forest remnants in SCHED8 - Sgnificant Natural Areas. Retain WC157 - Point Dorset coastal shrubland and dureland in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas. Retain WC174 - Taputeranga Island in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept	NO

Hamma Karina Jam Sala Sala Jam S								
Interfactor Set 0 Mark Instrument Set 0 Mark Instrument Name Name Antificity Instrument Mark Instrument	Yvonne Weeber	340.155	Schedules / SCHED8 –	Support	practices of sand removal and sculpturing have stopped and dune planting and management have increased. Both plants such as pingao and spinfex have enable the dune to be stable for a number of years. Ongoing restoration planting and management is required to increase the distribution of dune planting between Maranui Suf Club building and the	Retain WC176 - Lyail Bay dunes in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas.	Arcent in part	No
Number Numer Numer Numer <td>Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society</td> <td>345.411</td> <td>Schedules / SCHED8 –</td> <td>Support</td> <td>Supports this schedule.</td> <td>Retain SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas as notified.</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.411	Schedules / SCHED8 –	Support	Supports this schedule.	Retain SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas as notified.		
No. No. <td>Submitter Name</td> <td>Sub No / Point</td> <td>Sub-part / Chapter</td> <td>Position</td> <td>Summary of Submission</td> <td>Decisions Requested</td> <td>Independent Hearings Panel</td> <td>NO Changes to PDP?</td>	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	NO Changes to PDP?
Number Version Version <th< td=""><td>Grester Wellington Regional Council</td><td>In 351.345</td><td>Schedules / SCHED8 –</td><td>Oppose</td><td>SNAs on private residential land from the Proposed bisnict Plan (PDP) because: the removal of identified SNAs from the PDP contradiction to national direction for indigenous biodiversity protection. Section 6(c) of the RNA 1993 states that 'the protection of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitato for indigenous faunt 's a matter of national importance, and that this matter must be 'recognised and provided for 'by all persons exercising functions and powers under the RNA, licituding local automater esidential land from the PDP is contrary to Policy 24 of PSP. Policy 24 directs district councils to include in their district plans policies, rules and methods to protect the indigenous councils and identified in accordance with policy 32. Policy 24 requires district councils to protect all areas identified in accordance with policy 31 through provisions in their district plans. • the removal of Hantified SNAs on private residential land from the PDP to be inconsistem with VCCS' vision and signifators for protecting and restoring the ciry's indigenous biodiversity. The Our Natural Capital Weilingtor's Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan 2015[1] states that WCC will private land and rare, threatened, or locally significant species', and that twill build natural capital by 'respect[ing the importance of indigenous biodiversity. In towards and that twill build natural capital by 'respect[ing the importance of indigenous biodiversity. In the variat, will weild matural capital by 'respect[ing the importance of indigenous biodiversity. In the variat, weils, we consider the recursion of which and and rare, threatened, or locally significant species', and that twill build natural capital by 'respect[ing the importance of indigenous biodiversity. In the variat, weils, we consider the exclusion of which and and rare, threatened, or locally significant species', and that twill build natural capital by 'respect[ing the importance of indigenous biodinversity.</td><td>Seeks to apply SNAs to all zones as intended by section 6 of the RMA and Policy 24 of the RPS.</td><td>Rerennendations</td><td></td></th<>	Grester Wellington Regional Council	In 351.345	Schedules / SCHED8 –	Oppose	SNAs on private residential land from the Proposed bisnict Plan (PDP) because: the removal of identified SNAs from the PDP contradiction to national direction for indigenous biodiversity protection. Section 6(c) of the RNA 1993 states that 'the protection of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitato for indigenous faunt 's a matter of national importance, and that this matter must be 'recognised and provided for 'by all persons exercising functions and powers under the RNA, licituding local automater esidential land from the PDP is contrary to Policy 24 of PSP. Policy 24 directs district councils to include in their district plans policies, rules and methods to protect the indigenous councils and identified in accordance with policy 32. Policy 24 requires district councils to protect all areas identified in accordance with policy 31 through provisions in their district plans. • the removal of Hantified SNAs on private residential land from the PDP to be inconsistem with VCCS' vision and signifators for protecting and restoring the ciry's indigenous biodiversity. The Our Natural Capital Weilingtor's Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan 2015[1] states that WCC will private land and rare, threatened, or locally significant species', and that twill build natural capital by 'respect[ing the importance of indigenous biodiversity. In towards and that twill build natural capital by 'respect[ing the importance of indigenous biodiversity. In the variat, will weild matural capital by 'respect[ing the importance of indigenous biodiversity. In the variat, weils, we consider the recursion of which and and rare, threatened, or locally significant species', and that twill build natural capital by 'respect[ing the importance of indigenous biodiversity. In the variat, weils, we consider the exclusion of which and and rare, threatened, or locally significant species', and that twill build natural capital by 'respect[ing the importance of indigenous biodinversity.	Seeks to apply SNAs to all zones as intended by section 6 of the RMA and Policy 24 of the RPS.	Rerennendations	
Number Version Version <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>Reject</td><td>No</td></th<>				-			Reject	No
Append Config Image: Append Picture Appen			Schedules	Oppose	WCC to most r its obligations under section $f(s)$ of the RMA and policies 32 (24 of the Regional Policy	submission be disallowed and that	Accept in part	NO
Image with line in the line line in the lin	Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.346	Schedules / SCHED8 –		Supports WCC's identification and scheduling of SNAs in the PDP as per Policy 23 and 24 of the RPS.	Retain provision, subject to amendments, as outlined other submission points.	Arroant in part	No
where Sub Ap/roit Sub-spatr (Ospetr Submit (Ospetr) Submit (Ospe	Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.347	Schedules / SCHED8 –	Amend		areas of significant bird habitat in parts of Island Bay, Lyall Bay, Owhiro Bay, Tongue Point, Makara Estuary and Pipinui Point South; and active and stabilised dunelands in Worser Bay (southern end), Seatoun Beach, Churchill Park, Island Bay (north area,	relet	79
y/Setdues y/Se y/Setdues y/Setdues y/Setdues y/Setdues y/Setdues y/Setdues y/Setdues y/Setdues y/Setdues	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.192	Schedules / SCHED8 –	Support		Disallow	relect	00
	Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.185	/ Schedules / SCHED8 –	Oppose	detail in the submission of the	Disallow / In the absence of specific detail, disallow the requested additions to SCHED8.	TOPLY	110
							reject	no

			r				
Greater Wellington	351.348	Schedules Subpart / Schedules	Amend	Seeks that several site summaries for SNAs incorrectly refer to a Greater Wellington	Seeks to amend site descriptions for SNAs so that 'Key Native Ecosystem sites' are referred to instead of		
Regional Council		/ SCHED8 –		'Biodiversity Management Area'. The correct term is 'Key Native Ecosystem' site.	'Biodiversity Management Areas', e.g., "Parts of this site are included in		
		Significant Natural Areas			a GWRC Biodiversity Management		
		Significant Natural Areas			Area Kev Native Ecosystem area".		
						reject	no
Greater Wellington	351.349	Schedules Subpart /	Amend	Seeks that several site summaries for SNAs incorrectly refer to a Greater Wellington	Seeks for WCC to consider capturing all areas identified as, or overlapping with, Key Native Ecosystem (KNE) as SNAs in		
Regional Council		Schedules / SCHED8 -		'Biodiversity Management Area'. The correct term is 'Key Native Ecosystem' site.	Appendix 8 (Quantitive wind study and qualitative wind assessment - modelling and reporting).		
		Significant Natural Areas					
		Significant Natural Arcus					
						reject	no
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.350	Schedules Subpart / Schedules	Amend	Seeks that several site summaries for SNAs incorrectly refer to a Greater Wellington 'Biodiversity Management Area'. The correct term is 'Key Native Ecosystem' site.	Seeks for SNA sites name should, where possible, align with the KNE site that they are within.		
Regional Council		/ SCHED8 –		Biodiversity Management Area . The correct term is key Native Ecosystem site.			
		Significant Natural Areas					
Richard Herbert	360.12	Schedules Subpart /	e		Retain SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas with amendment.	reject	no
nicitaro nerbert	300.12	Schedules Subpart /	Support in part	Supports the provision of significant natural areas to protect the residual indigenous ecosystems and green areas in the context of the wellbeing of the wider population of the city build landscape, and the mitigation of climate change effects.	netani achicoo - aigninicani ilditirdi Areda With differitiment.	1	
	1	/ SCHED8 -		teng a second population of the sty solid innocept, and the mitigation of callete change effects.		1	
		Significant Natural Areas				1	
						1	
						1	
	1					1 1	
						format in part	
Richard Herbert	360.13	Schedules Subpart /	Amend	Considers that SNAs should be reinstated on residential zones as originally proposed in earlier drafts of the Proposed	Reinstate Significant Natural Areas in Medium Density Residential Zones and Large Lot Residential Zones.	Accept in part	INU
Nichard Herbert	300.13	Schedules Subpart /	Amenu	District Plan, and prior to the Councillor Amendment to remove SNAs from Residential zones in June 2022.	Reinstate Significant Natural Areas in Medium Density Residential Zones and targe for Residential Zones.		
		/ SCHED8 -		SNAs on Medium Residential Zones and Large Lot Residential Zones are supported. [Refer to original submission for full			
		Significant Natural Areas		reason]			
						Poloct	No
Paul Blaschke	FS129.9	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart	Support	Support submission points made by a significant number of individuals and groups that essentially support the same	Allow	Reject	No
		/ Schedules		proposition "Reinstate the overlay of all properly delineated SNAs or part SNAs on all relevant residential zoned			
		/ SCHED8 -		properties".			
		Significant Natural Areas				1	
						1	
						1	
Te Kamaru Station Ltd	362.20	Schedules Subpart /	Amend	Considers that SNAs should not be on private property.	Amend SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas to remove Significant Natural Areas on private property in both urban and		
Ratings		Schedules			rural environments.		
-		/ SCHED8 -			[Inferred decision requested].		
		Significant Natural Areas					
						Reject	No
Te Kamaru Station Ltd	362.21	Schedules Subpart /	Amend	Considers that Item WC037 (Side gully off Shepherds Gully, Terawhiti Station) should be removed from SCHED8 as it is	Delete Item WC037 (Side gully off Shepherds Gully, Terawhiti Station) from SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	1	
Ratings		Schedules		arbitrarily imposed. The imposition of SNAs will put at risk the voluntary and co- operative conservation programme Te		1	
	1	/ SCHED8 -	1	Kamaru Station has made with Capital Kiwi. The programme works to ensure the rural landscape is fit for the return of		1	
		Significant Natural Areas		kiwi. Negative impacts from this SNA may lead Te Kamaru Station to withdraw from the programme, should the legislative risk remain or worsen.		1	
	1	1	1	regionative risk remain of worsen.		1	
						1	
						1	
					<u> </u>	Reject	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Te Kamaru Station Ltd	No 362.22	/Provision Schedules Subpart /	Amend	Considers that Item WC042 (Scrub along Makara Stream tributary Quartz Hill No2) should be removed from SCHED8 as it	Delete Item WC042 (Scrub along Makaza Stream telbutan Quarta Hill No2) from SCUED2 - Classificant Matural Assoc	Recommendations	
Ratings	302.22	Schedules Subpart /	Antenu	is arbitrarily imposed. The imposition of SNAs will put at risk the voluntary quartz Hill NO2) should be removed from SCHED8 as it	Delete Item WC042 (Scrub along Makara Stream tributary Quartz Hill No2) from SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	1	
		/ SCHED8 -		Kamaru Station has made with Capital Kiwi. The programme works to ensure the rural landscape is fit for the return of		1	
		Significant Natural Areas		kiwi. Negative impacts from this SNA may lead Te Kamaru Station to withdraw from the programme, should the		1	
	1		1	legislative risk remain or worsen.		1	
	1	1	1			1	
						1	
						Delet	N-
	362.23	Schedules Subpart /	Amend	Considers that Item WC047 (Terawhiti Station shrubland) should be removed from SCHED8 as it is arbitrarily imposed.	Delete Item WC047 (Terawhiti Station shrubland) from SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	Reject	INU
Te Kamaru Station Ltd			amenu	Considers that item WCU47 (Terawhiti Station shrubland) should be removed from SCHEU8 as it is arbitrarily imposed. The imposition of SNAs will put at risk the voluntary and co-operative conservation programme Te Kamaru Station has	ociece nem web-v (renawing station singularity) nom ochED8 - Significant Natural Areas.	1	
Te Kamaru Station Ltd Ratings	362.23						
Te Kamaru Station Ltd Ratings	362.23	Schedules / SCHED8 –		made with Capital Kiwi. The programme works to ensure the rural landscape is fit for the return of kiwi. Negative impacts			I
	362.23	/ SCHED8 -		made with Capital Kiwi. The programme works to ensure the rural landscape is fit for the return of kiwi. Negative impacts			
	362.23						
	362.23	/ SCHED8 -		made with Capital Kiwi. The programme works to ensure the rural landscape is fit for the return of kiwi. Negative impacts from this SNA may lead Te Kamaru Station to withdraw from the programme, should the legislative risk remain or			
	362.23	/ SCHED8 -		made with Capital Kiwi. The programme works to ensure the rural landscape is fit for the return of kiwi. Negative impacts from this SNA may lead Te Kamaru Station to withdraw from the programme, should the legislative risk remain or			
	362.23	/ SCHED8 -		made with Capital Kiwi. The programme works to ensure the rural landscape is fit for the return of kiwi. Negative impacts from this SNA may lead Te Kamaru Station to withdraw from the programme, should the legislative risk remain or			

						-	
Te Kamaru Station Ltd Ratings	362.24	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Amend	Considers that Item WC049 (Terawhiti Farm Road forest remnanci) should be removed from SCHEDB as it is arbitrarily imposed. The imposition of SNAs will be at risk the volument and co-operative conservation programme Te Kamaru Station has made with Capital Kiwi. The programme works to ensure the rural landscape is fit for the return of kiwi. Negative impact and this SNA may lead Te Kamaru Station to withdraw from the programme, should the legislative risk remain or worsen.	Delete Item WCD49 (Terawhiti Farm Road forest remnants) from SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.		
						Reject	No
Te Kamaru Station Ltd Ratings	362.25	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Amend	Consider shat Item WCD50 (Diterange Bay Road forest remand) should be removed from SCHEDB as it is arbitrarily imposed. The imposition of SHAA will put a trick the volument and co-perative conservation programme Te Kamaru Station has made with Capital Kowi. The programme works to ensure the rural landscape is fit for the return of kiw. Negative impacts that SHAA may lead Te Kamaru Station to withdraw from the programme, should the legislative risk remain or worsen.	Delete Item WCD5D (Oteranga Bay Road forest remnant) from SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas.		
Te Kamaru Station Ltd		Schedules Subpart /	Amond	Consider the law WASTA Aller and I ald will all Access from Terrichal for Valuated to service dama (CUPA)	Delete Mars MC110 (Millow stand in olde sollt) of Assessment Stars Terroriski Sta 1 Stars COMPON, Claudious Matural	Reject	No
Te Kamaru Station Lto Ratings	362.26	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Amend	Consider that Item WC119 (Nikau stand in side gub) off Oteranga Strm, Terawholt Sh.) should be removed from SCHEDB as it is arbitrarily modes. The imposition of SNAs will gut arisis the volutary and co-genetive conservation programme Te Kamaru Station has made with Capital Kiwi. The programme works to ensure the rural landscape is fit for the return of Kiwi. Negative impacts from this SNA may lead Te Kamaru Station to withdraw from the programme, should the legislative risk remain or worsen.	Deetee teen WCL19 (wikau stand in side guiy on Uteranga Strm, Lerawint Stri.) from SCHEUB - Significant Natural Areas		
						Reject	No
Ratings	362.27	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Amend	Considers that item WC120 (Nikau and broadleaf forest side guly of South Karofi golf course) should be removed from SCHEB as it is abritarily imposed. The imposition of SNAs will put at risk the voluntary and co-perturbe conservation programme Te Kamaru Station has made with Capital Kiwi. The programme works to ensure the rural landscape is fit for the return of Kiwi. Regaritie impacts from this SNA may lead Te Kamaru Station to withdraw from the programme, should the legislative risk remain or worsen.	Delete Item WC120 (Nikau and broadleaf forest side gully of South Karori golf course) from SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas.	Reject	No
Te Kamaru Station Ltd	362.28	Schedules Subpart /	Amend	Considers that Item WC121 (Tawa forest remnant Karori Golf Course, South Makara Road) should be removed from	Delete Item WC121 (Tawa forest remnant Karori Golf Course, South Makara Road) from SCHED8 - Significant Natural		
Ratings		Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas		SCHEDB as it is arbitrarly imposed. The imposition of SNAs will put at risk the voluntary and or operative conservation programme Te Karmar Station has made with capital kinv. The programme works to ensure the rouri allockape is fit for the return of kiwi. Negative impacts from this SNA may lead Te Kamaru Station to withdraw from the programme, should the legislative risk remain or worsen.	Areas.	Reject	No
WCC	377.517	Schedules Subpart /	Support in	Generally supportive but oppose the removal of residential SNAs.	Seeks to retain SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas with amendments.	Reject	110
Environmental Reference Group		Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	part			Accept in part	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Paul Blaschke	Nn F\$129.12	/Provision Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Support submission points made by a significant number of individuals and groups that essentially support the same proposition 'Reinstate the overlay of all properly delineated SNAs or part SNAs on all relevant residential zoned properties''.	Allow	Recommendations Reject	No
wcc	377.518	Schedules Subpart /	Amend	Considers that Schedule 8 should include all the SNAs identified in the draft district plan version	Amend SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas to add all the SNA areas in the residential zones recommended by officers in		
Environmental Reference Group		Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas		provided to the Counci's environment committee from officers. "Wellington, wild at heart's what our unique capital city trades upon - and set he population grows and urban areas density, preserving and enhancing significant natural areas will become increasingly important. Research shows that access to natural areas, and "Disphilic environments are keys to human health and well- being and are a critical part of protecting biodiversity. On this matter, Wellingtons as a city laping a critical role in providing refuge for formerly at risk native birds, e.g. kaka, and with efforts such as the table Project and Prediator Free initiatives being undertaken by thousands of Wellingtonians, it is important our city's district plan provides legial and policy support to this. Healtwe to include SNA areas in residential Zones: means that the district plan is not in accordance with section 6 of the RMA, nor is it giving effect to relevant provisions of GWIRC's regional policy statement and regional plan.	the draft district plan version provided to the Council's environment and planning committee on June 23 2022.		

Steve West	F\$110.19	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SOHED8 – Significant Natural Areas		The original submitter seeks that Schedule 9 of the draft district plan be reinstated (adding back SMAs on private residential land), citing the exclusion is contradictory to both section 6(c) of the RMA and Policies 32/24 of the Regional Policy Statement. Stere West does not agree that reinstatement of SMAs on residential private property in the Proposed District Plan is regulated for WCD meets its obligations under section 6(c) of the RMA and Policies 32/24 of the Regional Policy Statement for the following reasons: - Netherth teRMA or RFS prescribes under section 6(c) of the RMA and Policies 32/24 of the Regional Policy Statement for the following reasons: - Netherth teRMA or RFS prescribes in detail how a council might give effect to the requirement to protect of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitast of findigenous fauna. On note, in the 2015 Environment C ourt case between Forest B aid and New Mymounh District Council the Environment Court conclude Locancis might conceivably meet RMA Section 6(c) dutes through methods other than identification and rules, but in this case the teno regulatory methoding though archites Bezalandia and Predicator free, ading with voluntary conservation efforts and the state and the RFS prescribes have contributed significantly outer the tat 20 years, incliquenus biodensity in Wellingtion (including on private urban land) has increased significantly over the last 20 years, incliquenus biodensity in the RFS and the state of the state of the RFS and Polycone the act 20 years, incliquenus biodensity in the RFS and the state of the state of the state of the state any need for SNAs. These activations have contributed significantly to the recovery 0 birds like the Kala, and proves the control or RFS and Rms. There is an RFS that the indigenous biodensity gains achieved over the last two decades will diminish over time should SNAs he created on private urban land. Refer to further submission for full reason]	Disallow / Seeks that SNAs on private urban land remain excluded from the Proposed District Plan.	Accept	No
Paul Blaschke	FS129.13	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Support submission points made by a significant number of individuals and groups that essentially support the same proposition "Reinstate the overlay of all properly delineated SNAs or part SNAs on all relevant residential zoned properties".	Allow	Reject	No
Director- General of Conservation	385.88	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Supports the Council to identify, map and protect the 177 identified Significant Natural Areas under SCHEDB, in line with section 6 of the RMA.	Supports Council's efforts to identify, map and protect the 177 identified Significant Natural Areas under SCHEDB.	Accept	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Director-General of Conservation	385.89	Schedules Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Oppose in part	Considers that there are likely to be significantly more wetland SNAs identified if the Policy 6 of the NPS-FM, Policy 23 of the NPS, and section 6(a) of the IMAA were applied. The SNA report proposed for the Wellignen City Proposed District Plan does not reference the NPS-FM. There are six SNAs that reference wetlands out of the notified 377 within the Plan.	Seeks that all wetlands within Wellington City's boundaries should be properly identified and protected in accordance with the NP5-FM 2020.	Reject	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS84.13	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Greater Wellington consider that the Proposed District Plan needs to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of urban development on wellands in order to give effect to the NP5+FM and have regard to Proposed RP5 Change 1.	Allow / Supports the submission in part and seeks provisions that ensure urban development is located and designed in a way that protects wetlands in accordance with the NPS- FM and Proposed RPS change 1 FW 3.	Roject	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	FS85.2	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Wetlands that meet the definition of SNA should be protected at the District level.	Allow	Reject	No
Protection Society of New	FS85.2 FS112.29 385.90	/ Schedules / SCHED8 –	Support Support	Wetlands that meet the definition of SNA should be protected at the District level. Agree with the Director-General of Conservation that the proposed district plan needs to better reflect Policy 6 of the NPS FM, Policy 23 of the RPS; and seek to protect wetlands within Wellington City Council's boundaries. Considers that there are likely to be significantly more wetland SNAs identified if the Policy 6 of the NPS FM, Policy 23 of	Allow	Reject Reject	No

		-					
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	FS85.3	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Wetlands that meet the definition of SNA should be protected at the District level.	Alow	Reject	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Director-General of Conservation	385.91	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Oppose	Oppose Council decision on 23 June 2022 at the Planning and Environment Committee on significant natural areas applying to residential and. Considers actions to be contrary to section 6(c) of the RNA and Polices 28 & 24 of the RPs. The SNAs on pravate lind were originally proposed to be included In SCHED9 as 'Urban Environment Allotments' in accordance with the requirements of section 76 of the RNA. The section 32 report for Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity still effects to SCHED9 as 'Urban Environment Allotments', through in the Proposed District Plans, SCHED9 is instead listed as 'Indigenous Tree Sizes'. Considers that the removal of SNAs on private residential land will also be contrary to the exposure draft for the National Policy Statement for indigenous Biodiversity. It is noted that this document has no legal effect, however, it is expected to considers that the December 2022 during the further submissions and hearing process for the Proposed District Plan. Is considered effective and efficient to align the review of the Proposed District Plan as not plan effective and efficient to align the review of the Proposed District Plan provisions with the policy direction and requirements anticipated under the National Policy Statement on Indigenous Biodiversity.	Opposes significant natural areas not applying to residential land, seeks amendment.		
Constant Mallington	FC04.3C	Dent & / Colordular Col	Current	Provide Mallington strength commutable schwelering and anne Abek scholler PALA Scene of the Science of the Scie	Allow	Reject	No
Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS84.16	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Greater Wellington strongly support this submission and agree that excluding SMAs from residential land, particularly without any other protections, does not achieve Part 2 of the RMA or give effect to the Operative RPS.		Reject	
Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.55	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules	Oppose	Further clarification is needed to understand the implications on land use opportunities of applying significant natural areas	Disallow	Accept in part	No
		/ SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas		Kilings Or a supports the protection of the values of SNAs but seeks that these are mapped and identified in the District Plan.			
Steve West	P5110.21	Part 4, Schedules Subpart / Schedules /SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Oppose	Seew West does not agree that reinstatement of SNAs on residential private property in the Proposed District Plan is required for VCC invests to shippings under section 6(c) of the BNA and policies 32/32 of the Regional Policy Statement for the following reasons: - Section 5 of the RAN requires balancing of physical and natural resources, as well as enabling persons to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being, and for their health and stafety. By narrowky quoting section 6(c) the content of needing to balance; outcomes has been lost. - Neither the RNA or IPS proscribe in detail how a council might give effect to the requirement to protection of areas of significant hedgeneous vegetation and significant health and to indigenous fama. Or notes, in the 2015 Environment Court care between Fornest & Bird and New Pymouth District Council the Environment Court concluded Councils might and the strate of the MA Section 6(c) due through multiple bird of the might give effect to the requirement to protection of regulatory methods relied on by the Council weight strate the strate of the st	Diallow / Seeks that the submission be rejected in part and seeks that: - When identfrips (ground training individual SMA that WCC is required to provide accurate catastral markings of the SNA boundary and set individual land policies and nakes in conjunction with each Individue "In a way that will limit landowner losses while providing the required indigenous biodiversity protection. - SNAs on private turban land remain excluded from the Proposed District Plan. - Aiready protected land (such as Captain Edward Dainell Drive) be removed from Schedule 9, should residential SNAs be reinstated.	Accept in part	No
Paul Blaschke	F5129.7	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Support submission points made by a significant number of individuals and groups that essentially support the same proposition 'Reinstate the overlay of all properly delineated SNAs or part SNAs on all relevant residential zoned properties'.	Allow	Reject	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
	No	/Provision				Recommendations	

				-	-		
Director-General of Conservation	385.92	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Oppose	Oppose Council decision on 23 June 2022 at the Planning and Environment Committee on significant natural areas applying to reidential land. SNAs are considered a 'qualifying matter' under the National Policy Statement for Urban Development (INPS-UD), meaning that the interestification requirements of the NPS-UD should not apply in these areas. The new Medium and High Density Reidential Zones of the Proposed District Plan include enabling Standards to provide for intensification and increased housing oportunities in accordance with the requirements of the NPS-UDB, however SNAs have been removed from the private land in these zones. The submitter holds concerns that the NPS-UD roles will have legal effect or become operative, before the missing SNAs are incorporated into the District Plan which could lead to the inappropriate removal of significant holdgenous sub- and the loss of applicant habitats of indigenous sub-as. Yew without the coulderation of the NPS-UD rules, there is concern that inappropriate development will take place in residential areas that should be protected by the SNA status.	Opposes significant natural areas not applying to residential land, seeks amendment.	Roject	No
Käinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS89.56	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Oppose	Further clarification is needed to understand the implications on land use opportunities of applying significant natural areas. Kinga Ora supports the protection of the values of SNAs but seeks that these are mapped and identified in the District Plan.	Disallow	Accept	No
Wellington City Council Environmental Reference Group	FS112.30	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Agree with the Director-General of Conservation that there is a very real risk of inappropriate development in residential areas in new medium and high density zones (in accordance with the NFS-UD) by SNAs in residential areas not being included: this reflects that SNAs are a 'qualifying matter' under the NFS-UD.	Allow	Reject	No
Paul Blaschke	FS129.8	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Support submission points made by a significant number of individuals and groups that essentially support the same proportion "Reinstate the overlay of all properly delineated SNAs or part SNAs on all relevant residential zoned properties".	Allow	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.549	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Oppose	Opposes the mapped extent of the Lyall Bay and Moa Point Dunes. [See paragraphs 4.50 to 4.53 of original submission for full reason]	Delete item WC175 (Moa Point Gravel Dunes) from SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.188	Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Oppose	Considers these are significant natural areas of Wellington City. The local community have undertaken significant coastal restoration of the sand dunes at Lyall Bay and predator control of both areas. The sand dunes on Lyall Bay are a significant barrier to sale level fra eard docastal inundation for both the community and the airport. The Moa Point dunes also protect the road, coastal community and airport. Removal from any zone in this area should not occur.	Disallow	Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.550	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Oppose	Opposes the mapped extent of the Lyall Bay and Moa Point Dunes. [See paragraphs 4.50 to 4.53 of original submission for full reason]	Delete item WC176 (Lyall Bay Dunes) from SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas.	Accept in part	Yes
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Guardians of the Bays Inc	No FS44.189	/Provision Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Oppose	Considers these are significant natural areas of Wellington City. The local community have undertaken significant coastal restoration of the sand dunes at Lyall Bay and predator control of both areas. The sand dunes on Lyall Bay are a significant barrier to sea level rise and coastal inundation for both the community and the airport. The Moa Point dunes also protect the road, coastal community and airport. Removal from any zone in this area should not occur.	Disallow	Recommendations Accept in part	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.551	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Amend	There are two SMAs identified in the vicinity of the Alryport. These are WC175 and WC176 the analysis of the site acknowledges that the assurpment was based on a dexison panylysis and these site requires lite visit. The submitter considers that the presence of the SMA in such close proximity to the runway poses a potential risk to aircraft due to some of the bird species that reside in this area. While WMA has mechanisms in place to a citrely manage such threats to aircraft and species that reside in this area. While WMA has mechanisms in place to a citrely manage such threats to aircraft in dose proximity to the Airport and instead encourage them to locate elsewhere within the coastal environment.	Requests that the following SNAs are deleted in their entirety from SCHED8: - The Mos Point Care Duras (WC1276): - The Lyall Bay Gravel Duras (WC1276). The submitter notes that a site visit is needed for these sites if they are to be included in the SCHED8. (Option A).	Accept in part	Yes
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.190	Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Oppose	Considers these are significant natural areas of Wellington City. The local community have undertaken significant coastal restoration of the and unset style lay and predator coartio of both areas. The stand dunse in style lill by are a significant barrier to sea level rise and coastal inundation for both the community and the airport. The Mos Point dunes also protect the road, coastal community and airport. Removal from any zone in this area should not occur.	Disallow	Accept in part	No

Wellington International	406.552	Schedules Subnart /	Amend	There are two SNAs identified in the vicinity of the Airport. These are WC175 and WC176. The analysis of the site	Should the SNAs remain in the plan, the submitter seeks that the relevant infrastructure provisions of the Proposed	Relect	No
Airport Ltd	400.332	Schedules Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Amenu	There are two dwork showing in the walking to the walport in neither the VCL2 and WCL2 in the analysis of the same acknowledges that the assessment was based on a desktop analysis and these sites require site values. The submitter considers that the presence of the SNA in such close proximity to the runway poses a potential risk to arcraft due to some of the bird species that revise this that was a walking the mechanism in place to actively manage such threast to aircraft safety. WAL considers it is more appropriate to avoid enhancing habitats that have the potential to create a risk to aircraft in close proximity to the Airport and instead encourage them to locate elsewhere within the coastal environment.	anound use avoir entain in the plant, the soundies been used to be been in mass occure providents of the Proposed Plan provide a positial consenting parkay for the positial removal of vegation within these ANAs where necessary to protect the safe operation and functioning of regionally significant infrastructure. (Option B).	nejeti	NO
Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS44.191	Mapping / Rezone / Rezone	Oppose	Considers that Significant Natural Areas should be in close vicinity of the airport. The airport in the 'vicinity' of the SNA's should not be a reason for their removal. Measures such as bird control should be the method of reducing bird strike rather than requiring the removal of SNA.	Deallow	Accept	No
Cheryl Robilliard	409.8	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	[No specific reason given beyond decision requested - see original submission]	Retain SCHEDB - Significant natural areas as notified. [Inferred decision requested]		
						Accept in part	No
Terawhiti Farming Co.Ltd (Terawhiti Station)	411.26	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Amend	Oppose SNAs on private property. Considers that as have been incorrectly identified. Considers that a regulatory regime puts voluntary conservation programmes at risk. [See original submission for full reasons]	Seeks that SNA overlays WC030, WC031, WC033, WC172, WC121 are removed from the following title: Fee Simple, 1/1, Lot 40 Deposited Plan 375401 and Section 1-4, 8, 10-13, 134, 14-16, 19-26, 26, 29-23, 5-15-2, 55-59, 94, 17, 17, 17, 98, 99, 98 Transwhil Distric and Part Section 9, 33, 50, 54, 60-64, 73, 75 Terawhilt District and Lot 3 Deposited Plan 5864 and Lot 3 Deposited Plan 5864 and Lot 3 Deposited Plan 5864 and Lot 3		
Submitter Name Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd (Terawhiti Station)	Sub No / Point No 411.27	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision Schedules Subpart / Schedules	Position	Summary of Submission Oppore SNAs on private property. Considers that as have been incorrectly identified.	Decisions Requested [Inferred decision requested] Seeks that significant natural areas do not apply to privately owned land.	Béléct Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	No Changes to PDP?
(Terawniu Station)		/ SCHED8 – / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas		Considers that are pulse over in incorrectly demined. Considers that a regulatory regime puts voluntary conservation programmes at risk. [See original submission for full reasons]		Reject	No
Penny Griffith	418.6	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Supports the removal of SNA's from residentially zoned land.	Retain SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas as notified (With no Significant Natural Areas on residentially zoned land).	Accept	No
Johnsonville Community Association	429.43	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 –	Support in part	Considers that unilaterally taking over of private property is a major intrusion on rights of the property owners, and may lead to removal of major natural areas on urban property. Opposes SNA's on private urban or urual land.	Retain SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas, with respect to not having Signigicant Natural Areas on private urban property. [Inferred decision requested].	Process	
		/ Scheus – Significant Natural Areas					

Paul M Blaschke	435.13	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Amend	Considers that the Council should allow SNAs on residentially zoned properties. The Council should be consistent with its overall policy objectives and letts original decisions on SNAs stand on their ments. The decision from Council's Planning & Environment Committee to remove SNAs from all residentially zoned properties on 23 June 2023 to gooped. This decision renders the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity section much less effective than it could and should be. It greatly hinders the achievement of Council's Te Atakura blueprint and other moves towards sustainability and resilience.	Seeks to extend Significant Natural Areas to residentially zoned properties.		
				who become privileged over all others including other suburban residential landowners with portions of SMAs within their properties and who have vedeomed on or objected to the provisions. It overturns: the very good process adopted by the council items and consultants who have planned and undertaken the SMA survey and policy development. Finally, it renders ECO-01, ECO-11, ECO-12, and ECO-13, and the rules supporting these objectives and policels, ncapable of being properly inplemented, and EcO-24, and EC			
						Reject	
Paul M Blaschke	435.14	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	SCHED8 is strongly supported for its general direction.	Retain SCHEDB -Significant Natural Areas as notified.	Neject	NO
						Accept	No
Guardians of the Bays	452.99	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Supports the inclusion WC153 Strathmore coastal shrubland in Schedule 8 of the significant natural areas.	Retain WC153 Strathmore coastal shrubland in Schedule 8 of the significant natural areas as notified.	Arrent	
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Gu+B847:1852ardians of the Bays	452.100	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Supports the inclusion WCIS4 Moa Point coastal platform and shrubland in Schedule 8 of the significant natural areas.	Retain WCI54 Moa Point coastal platform and shrubland in Schedule 8 of the significant natural areas as notified.		
Guardians of the Bays		Schedules Subpart /	Support	Supports the inclusion WC175 Moa Point gravel dunes in Schedule 8 of the significant natural areas.	Retain WC175 Moa Point gravel dunes in Schedule 8 of the significant natural areas as notified.	Accept	No
ouardians of the bays	452.101	Schedules Subjart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Sopports the inclusion with 175 mole roung pravel upno in schedule e unite significant natural areas.	necam well i's wild eroning even dones in schedule o or the significant natural areas as notified.		
Guardians of the Bays	452.102	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Support	Supports the inclusion WC176 Lyall Bay dunes in Schedule 8 of the significant natural areas.	Retain WC176 Lyall Bay dunes in Schedule 8 of the significant natural areas as notified.	Accept in part	HD
	460.3	Schedules Subpart /	Amend	Opposes Significant Natural Areas on Private land.	Seeks to remove all Significant Natural Areas from Private Land.	Accept in part	no
Griffiths Family Trust		Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas					
Smith Geursen	475.2	Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED8 – Significant Natural Areas	Not specified	Considers that it could be argued that an area of land should not have special environmental protections (SNA) based on aspirational outcomes (possible regeneration of certain species) unless it had been carefully assessed by an ecologist to confirm that it was desired native species that would likely become dominant (rather than invasive ones).	Not specified.	Reject	No
1	1		1			Accept in part	NO

Smith Geursen	475.3	Schedules Subpart / Schedules	Amend	Considers that parts of the area encompassed by WC135 in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas fit the description in WC135 and should be protected as a SNA.	Seeks that the extent of the area encompassed by WC135 (Carey Gully scrub and shrubland, South Coast) in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas is altered to:		
		/ SCHEDB – Significant Natural Areas		Considers that parts of the area encompassed by WC135 in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas appear to have not met the description in WC135 for decades and should not be protected as a part of the SVA. Considers that parts of the area encompassed by WC135 in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas appear to have not met the description in WC135 for decades and should not be protected as a part of the SVA. Considers that parts of the area encompassed by WC135 in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas appear to have not met the description in WC135 for decades and should not be protected as a part of the SVA. Considers that some parts of the site have been decared resently, as a compling activity, and as such do not represent the halts that would benefit from protection. These areas should be excluded from the SVA as the ecological value is now largely loss. [Refer to original submission for full detail, including diagrams].	 Encompass the 3m - vegetation that is north and west of the loop shaped farm track; and Aloc encompass the stand of 3m - vegetation in the centre to the south of the site. (The new boundaries suggested for WC135 (Carey Gully scrub and shrubland, South Coast) are approximated in Figure 8 in the submission] 		
mitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Accept in part Independent Hearings Panel	Yes Changes to PDP?
	No 497.3	/Provision Schedules Subpart /	Amend	Considers that parts of the area encompassed by WC135 in SCHED8 - Significant Natural Areas fit the description in	Seeks that the extent of the area encompassed by WC135 (Carey Gully scrub and shrubland. South Coast) in SCHED8 -	Recommendations	
		Schedules / SchEDB – Significant Natural Areas		WC135 and should be protected as 3 SMA. Considers that pairs of the area encompassed by WC135 in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas appear to have not met the description in WC135 for decades and should not be protected as a part of the SNA. Considers that pairs of the area encompassed by WC135 in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas appear to have not met the description in WC135 for decades and should not be protected as a part of the SNA. Considers that pairs of the area encompassed by WC135 in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas appear to have not met the description in WC135 for decades and should not be protected as a part of the SNA. Considers that pairs of the area encompassed by WC135 in SCHEDB - Significant Natural Areas appear to have not met the description in WC135 for decades and should not be protected as a part of the SNA. Considers that pairs of the site have been decader decader. Js as complying activity, and as such do not represent the habitat that would benefit from protection. These areas should be excluded from the SNA as the ecological value is now largely lot. [Refer to original submission for full detail, including diagrams].	Significant Natural Areas is a latered to: - économass the sum vegataion that is north and west of the loop shaped farm track; and - Aloe encompass the stand of am- vegataion in the centre to the south of the site. The new boundaries suggested for WC135 (Carey Gully scrub and shrubland, South Coast) are approximated in Figure 8 in the submission.		
oyal Forest and Bird	345.412	Schedules Subpart /	Oppose in	Seeks reinstatement of SCHED 9 – Urban Environment Allotments that was included in the draft plan as at 20 April 2022,	Reinstate SCHED9 - Urban Environment Allotments from the Draft District Plan.	Accept in part	Yes
rotection Society		Schedules / SCHED9 – Indigenous Tree Sizes	part	so that all areas of significant biodiversity in residential areas are identified and listed appropriately in the plan, to meet the requirements of s6(c) and s76.		Palort	No
äinga Ora – Homes and iommunities	FS89.159	Part 4 / Schedules Subpart / Schedules / SCHED9 – Indigenous Tree Sizes	Oppose	Käinga Gra opposes amendments as this may impact on residential intensification outcomes.	Disallow		
ricLabour	414.61	Schedules Subpart /	Amend	Considers Significant Natural Areas are important in order to protect our environment and native plantlife.	Seeks that singificant natural areas provisions apply to residentially zoned sites.	Accept	No
		Schedules / SCHED9 – Indigenous Tree Sizes		Considers that while the city is built dense, the environment and our wildlife should be protected. Considers that it is inoric hat the argument for being and-tensity is to protect the character' of our housing but yet there is no consideration for the 'character' of our nature, which is arguably much harder to restore than the character amenity gained from what the Council deems as character housing.		Relect	No
leridian Energy Limited	228.27	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Oppose in nart	Considers that the Introduction to the INF-ECO chapter should include, under the heading 'Other relevant District Plan provisions', a statement that seeks to clarify the interaction between the INF-ECO chapter and other Plan chapters.	Retain the Introduction to Chapter INF-ECO with amendment.		
		Transport Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / General INF-ECO	Lingt r	provision", a statement that seeks to clamy the interaction between the INF-ECO chapter and other Plan chapters. Meridian understood the intention of the Plan to be that the uicks for reevable electricity generation activities, structures and buildings would be wholly contained in the REG chapter. Meridian supports this approach. Considers the note in the preamble is not entrely helpful in carding this. Meridian accepts that the objectives and policies of the ECO Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodivensity chapter are applicable to renewable electricity generation activities. Noveer, considers the activation's general infrastructure activities in the INF-ECO chapter are entirely inappropriate for renewable electricity generation activities and structures and studied not bound to a generative da a generative daseline' for renewable electricity generation activities here, and particularly not for existing wind farms.		Arrent in part	Yee
ubmitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Accept in part Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?

Transpower New Zealand	115 104	Energy infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / General INF-ECO Energy Infrastruct ure and	Amend	Considers That the introduction to the IM-ECO chapter should include, under the heading "Other relevant District Man provision", a Statisment that sets to chain the interaction between the IM-ECO chapter and other then chapters. Meridian understood the intention of the Plan to be that the rules for reservable electricity generation activities, structures and buildings would be wholly contained in the REG chapter. Meridian supports this Meridian accepts that the objectives and policies of the ECO coxystems and indigenous. Biodiversity chapter are applicable to renevable electricity generation activities. However, considers the standard's listed for general infrastructures and should not be construed as a "permitted baseline" for renevable electricity generation activities there, and structures and should not be construed as a "permitted baseline" for renevable electricity generation activities there, and particularly not for existing wind farms.	Anead the introduction to Chapter INI-ECO, by investing under the heading "Other criteria District Flow provision", the following for similar) darification note: The noise applicable to renewable, electricity generation activities are contained in Chapter BEG Renewable. Electricity Generation The Inde in: Other INI-EGO and Independent Comparison and Indigenous. Biodiversity do not apply to, renewable electricity generation, activities, Seeks to amend the Infrastructure - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity (INI-ECO) chapter provisions to recommise	Accept in part	Yes
Limited		Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / General INF-ECO		vegetation removal: Transpower's maintenance and climate change adaptation activities will involve making foundations stronger/biger, and relocating assist famong other things). Transpower is required to clear paths and undertake vegetation trimming/clearance to: • Provide access to the lines and support structure assets, including for fault response purposes; • Enable maintenance of support structure assets, including for fault response purposes; • Reconductor lines. Transpower has a cyclical maintenance programme, but typically inspections can occur any time between 6 and 18 months: the decision to trim or clear vegetation on inspections and population frequiement of the support structure, nature of the vegetation, landowner relationships, and the operational requirement of the save. The requirement to provide solfield in Clearance under the lines is regulatory enginement of the factor (19) Hazard from trees) Regulations 2003. Clearance is regulatory engineement of the Exercitive Hazard from teels an SNA which is a "Natural Area" for the purpose of the National Fanderds for Electricity Transmission Activities (NESLAT), Considers that is important that it is recognised in the PP that some of these excitives with here an vectorial or to the functioning of the National Grid, and should be provided for.	and provide for the National Grid as set out in subsequent submission points.	Accept in Part	Yes
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.105	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / General INF-ECO	Amend	Supports the introductory text but seeks clarification that the National Grid is subject to specific policies and rules and the general sub-chapter provisions do not apply.	Amend the introduction to the Infrastructure - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity (INF-ECD) as follows: This sub-hapter applies to infrastructure within Natural Features and Landscape Overlays. It applies in addition to the principal Infrastructure Chapter. Included within the sub-hapter are provisions specific to the National (oriflex) and Gas Transmission. Pipelines Corridor (GTPC). For the avoidance of doubt, other sub-chapter policies and rules within this sub- chapter do an dapt to the National Grid. Note: The objectives of the Infrastructure Chapter apply.		
						Accept in Part	Yes
Submitter Name	No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested		Yes Changes to PDP?
Submitter Name Transpower New Zealand Limited	Sub No / Point No 315.106	Sub-part / Chapter //trovision Energy infrastruct ure and Transport / infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / General INF-ECO	Position Oppose in part	Summary of Submission Considers that on the basis INF-ECD-R44 is to be amended [as requested in other submission point regarding this rule] so it does not apply to the National Grid, considers Standard S19 will not be applicable to the National Grid. If the intent is for S19 to apply to the National Grid, considers Standard S19 will not be applicable to the National Grid. The intent is wegation works for existing National Grid information and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.		Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	Yes Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand	No	/Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y /	Position Oppose in part Amend	Considers that on the basis INF-ECO-R44 is to be amended [as requested in other submission point regarding this rule] so It does not apply to the National Grid, considers Standard S19 will not be applicable to the National Grid. If the intent is for S19 opply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the submitter considers the NESETA manages wegation works for existing National Grid Infinities works and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid.	Opposes reference to INF-ECD-S19 (Trimming or removal of indigenous vegetation or trees within a significant natural area) in any National Grid specific rules.		Yes Changes to PDP? Yes

Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.108	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / General INF-ECO	Oppose in part	Consider that on the basis INFECCD-R48 is to be amended so it does not apply to the National Grid, INFECCD-S20 will not be applicable to the stational Grid. If the intent is for INFECS 202 to apply to the National Grid Transgower opposes its application as it duplicates the NESETA and adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Opposes reference to INF-ECO-S20 (Earthworks within a significant natural area) in any National Grid specific rules.		
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.109	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / General INF-ECO	Amend	Considers that on the basis INF-ECO-R44 is to be amended so it does not apply to the National Grid, Standard S20 will not be applicable to the National Grid. If the intent is for S20 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as it duplicates the NESETA and adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Seeks to delete reference to INF-ECO- 520 (Earthworks within a significant natural area) from any National Grid specific rules.	Accept	Yes
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.57	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport /Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / General INF-ECO	Support in part	Notes that the introduction to this sub-chapter states that the objectives of the infrastructure chapter apply. Further, that this sub-chapter applies in addition to the infrastructure chapter. That means that both the policies in the infrastructure chapter as well as shown in this sub-chapter will apply to use and development in SMAs. Forest & Bird's overarching submission for this chapter is that the provisions should be no less protective than those in the ECO chapter. The provisions in this chapter should mirror the ECO provisions, with the amendments made as sought by F&B in respect of that chapter.	Amend chapter to mirror ECO - Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity chapter to apply a similar level of protection.	Accept	Yes
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Accept in part Independent Hearings Panel	Yes Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand Limited	Nn F529.22 F536.71	Drivition Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / General INF-ECO	Oppose	Given the general nature of the relief sought, Transpower opposes the submission point.	Disallow / Seeks that submission is disallowed in part in so far as the relief sought is inconsistent with that sought in Transpower's submission.	Recommendations	No
Airport Limited		Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / General INF-ECO	oppose	WAL opposes the neiler sought by the submitter as it is appropriate for a different planning framework to apply for regionally significant infrastructure in regionation of the sought, cultural and economic benefits it provides for the community and the operational and locational constraints of that infrastructure.		Accept in part	No
Meridian Energy Umited	FS101.48	Part 2, Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / General INF-ECO	Oppose	Meridian does not agree that the objectives for significant natural areas (in the SNA chapter) should be replicated in this INF-ECO chapter.	Deallow	Accept in part	Ng
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS103.10	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct recoystem s and Biodiversit y / General INF-ECO	Oppose	The purpose of this chapter is evidently to help understand the balance and reconcile the need to provide for infrastructure with the need to protect areas of imporant biodiversity. It is therefore not appropriate to mirror the level of stringency in the ECO chapter as applied more broadly.	Disalilow		

			-				
WCC 3 Environmental Reference Group	377.39	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / General INF-ECO	Support	Supportive of the entire sub-chapter as it is logical and strikes a good balance between use and protection.	Retain the Infrastructure (Ecosystems and Biodiversity) chapter as notified.		
Submitter Name 5	Sub No / Point No	/Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Accept in Part Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	No Changes to PDP?
faranaki Whânui ki te 3 Jpoko o te ika	389.57	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / General INF-ECO	Amend	(No specific reason given beyond decision requested - refer to original submission).	Amend 'Other relevant District Plan provisions' to include Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori chapter.		
Sreater Wellington F	FS84.120	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / General INF-ECO	Support	Greater Wellington support the inclusion and protection of SASM.	Allow / Seek provisions which protect SASM.	ner.	No
Transpower New Zealand 3	315.110	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / New INF-ECO	Amend	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation trimming/charance necessary for the sef and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid, including [but not limited b] trimming that may be required by the Exercitly (Haras Korn Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for desarrance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpower to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NFS-F. Considers the policy is directive with all and any adversely affect the blolwersity values' does not give effect to the NFS-F. Considers the policy is directed such that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Conderger that such a requirement is oneous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure which will often have safety requirements and obligations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpower eases amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Grid and ensuring safe and necessary vegetation dearance distances. (Refer to original submission for full reason)	Add a new Kational Grid specific policy as follows: INFING-22 Ocerations, and maintenance and minor upgrade of the National Grid Provide for the operation, maintenance and minor upgrade of the National Grid while managing the adverse effects of these activities.		
Transpower 3	315.111	Energy	Amend	The submitter does not oppose the identification of SNAs, but considers the policy approach has to	Add new National Grid specific policy	Accept in Part Accept in Part	Yes Yes
	345.58	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / New INF-ECO	Amend	Considers Policy 11 of NZCP5 is not given effect to by the current policies. Seeks new policy to do so.	Add new policy INF-ECO-PX (All Infrastructure activities in the coastal environment): Only allow activities within a significant natural area in the coastal environment where it can be geomotrated but they: 1. Avoid adverse effects on the matters in Policy 11(a) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement, 2010; 2. Avoid adverse effects and avoid, remody or mixtate other adverse effects of activities on the matters in Policy 11(b) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010; and 3. Protect other indigenous, biodiversity values in accordance, with EcO-P1		
						Balact	No

FS29.23	Part 2, Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport I (Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / New INF-ECO	Oppose	In its submission Transpower seeks a specific National Grid policy approach to give effect to the NPSET and the NZCPS.	Deallow / Seeks that submission is disallowed in part in so far as the relief sought is inconsistent with that sought in Transpower's submission.	Accept	No
	ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / New INF-ECO				Accept	No
406.143	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / New INF-ECO	Amend	areas where necessary to provide for the safe and/or efficient operation of regionally significant infrastructure. [See paragraphs 4.50 to 4.55 in original submission for full reason]	Add new policy as follows: MEFCOP38 proprints vegetation removal in significant natural areas Enable vegetation removal is required to provide for the ongoing and safe, operation of regionally significant_ infrastructure; and, 2. Any adverse facts on indigenous, biodiversity values within a, significant natural area are_ considered in accordance with ECO_P1.	Reject	No
F\$72.32	Part 2 / District- Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / New INF-ECO	Support	Support provisions that allow for vegetation remoul within SMA's as required for the safe and efficient operation of regionally significant infrastructures such as rail. Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chy, and is therefore consistent with PAT 2 and other provision of the Resource Management Act 1992 (IRMA) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act); (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably forsteable needs of fluure generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential advece affects on the environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington City; and (f) is the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA.	Añow	Reect	No
FS101.50	Part 2, Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport I (Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / New INF-ECO	Support	Meridian agrees that the additional policy and proposed new rule, providing for appropriate vegetation removal in significant natural areas, have merit.	Allow	Reject	No
Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
No 406.144	/Provision	Amend		Add new rule as follows:	Recommendations	
	rransport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / New INF-ECO		areas where necessary to provide for the safe and/or efficient operation of regionally significant infrastructure. [See paragraphs 4.50 to 4.55 in original submission for full reason]	Autorities to solution as MIZones Removal of vestetation within significant natural areas to protect, regionally significant infrastructure 1. Activity Status: Restricted, discretionary Matters of discretion are: 3. The matters in MIX-SCO-38 1. The matters in MIX-SCO-38		
	No	Image: Image of the image o	Image: Provide and State Scope Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct are and financy of the scope of t	Image: Section of Transport Image: Section of Transport 1321.40 Image: Section of Transport 1321.40	Instrume Instrume Instrume Instrume Instrume T12.102 P12.7 (any bit word) word fragers P12.8 (any bi	In the Notice of Section 1 Instrumentation 1 Instrumentation 1 Instrumentation 1 712.9 A1 Section 1 A1 Section 1 And Instrumentation 1 712.9 A1 Section 1 A1 Section 1 And Instrumentation 1 712.9 A1 Section 1 And Instrumentation 1 Instrumentation 1 712.9 A1 Section 1 And Instrumentation 1 Instrumentation 1 712.9 And Section 1 And Instrumentation 1 Instrumentation 1 712.9 And Section 1 And Instrumentation 1 Instrumentation 1 712.9 And Section 1 And Instrumentation 1 Instrumentation 1 712.9 And Section 1 And Section 1 Instrumentation 1 Instrumentation 1 712.9 And Section 1 And Section 1 Instrumentation 1 Instrumentation 1 712.9 And Section 1 And Section 1 Instrumentation 1 Instrumentation 1 712.9 And Section 1 And Section 1 Instrumentation 1 Instrumentation 1 712.9 And Section 1 And Method 1 Instrumentation 1 Instrumentation 1 712.9 And Section 1 And Method 1 Instrumentation 1 Instrumentation 1 712.9 <t< td=""></t<>

KlwiRall Holdings Limited	F572.33	Part 2 / District- Wide Matter / Forergy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / New INF-ECO	Support	Supports provisions that allow for vegetation removal within SM/3 as required for the safe and efficient operation of regionally aginfunct infrastructure such as rail. Considers the relief sought should be allowed because it will (a) will promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chr, and is therefore consistent with PA12 and other provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMM) and the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment Act 2021 (Amendment Act), (b) is consistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will meet the reasonably forseeable needs of future generations; (d) will avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of Wellington Chy; and (f) is the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA.	Allow		
						Relect	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.51	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / New INF-ECO	Support	Meridian agrees that the additional policy and proposed new rule, providing for appropriate vegetation removal in significant natural areas, have merit.	Allow / Allow the requested addition of the policy and rule.		
Royal Forest and Bird	345.59	Energy Infrastruct ure and	Support	Supports the policy.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as	Reject	No
Protection Society		Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P33			notified.	Accept in part	No
Waka Kotahi	370.114	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Support	Support this policy as under the maintenance and repair definition it 'means any work or activity necessary to continue the operation or functioning of existing infrastructure.' Waka Kotahi consider this sufficient to cover off health and safety			
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P33		risks such as vegetation control to preserve sight lines.		Arrent in part	
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested		Changes to PDP?
Waka Kotahi	No 370.115	Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and	Support	These provisions provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as	Recommendations	
		Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodulversit y / INF- ECO-P33		areas and values.	notified.	Accept in part	No
Director- General of Conservation	385.29	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Support	Supports proposed policy INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area).	Retain policy INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.		
		/infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P33				Accept in part	No
Wellington International	406.145	Energy Infrastruct ure and	Oppose in	Opposes INF-ECO-P33	Opposes INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) in		
Airport Ltd		Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodulversit y / INF- ECO-P33	part	[See paragraphs 4.49 to 4.54 of original submission for full reason]	part and seeks amendment.	Reject	No

		1					
	406.146	Energy Infrastruct ure and	Amend	Opposes INF-ECO-P33	Amend INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as		
Airport Ltd		Transport		[See paragraphs 4.49 to 4.54 of original submission for full reason]	follows:		
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem			Provide for the operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within significant natural areas		
		s and Biodiversit y / INF-			where the activity, including associated earthworks, not adversely affect the biodiversity values. It can be demonstrate		
		ECO-P33			ed that 1. There is an operational need or functional need that means the infrastructure's location cannot be		
					practicably avoided; and 2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are applied in		
					accordance with ECO-P1.		
					accordance with ECOPT.		
						Accept in part	Yes
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.52	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct	Oppose	Meridian considers Policy INF-ECO-P33 is appropriate and necessary, to provide for existing lawfully authorised	Amend / Amend provision to disallow requested deletion, but allow in part the requested amendments (clause 2).		
		ure and Transport		infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be			
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem		managed in accordance with Policy ECO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational			
		s and Biodiversit y / INF-		considerations may not be relevant.			
		ECO-P33					
						Accept in part	Yes
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
	No	/Provision				Recommendations	
Wellington International	406.147	Energy Infrastruct ure and	Amend	Opposes INF-ECO-P33 [See paragraphs 4.49 to 4.54 of original submission for full reason]	Delete INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) in		
Airport Ltd		Transport		[See paragraphs 4.49 to 4.54 of original submission for full reason]	its entirety.		
1							
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem					
		s and Biodiversit y / INF-					
		s and Biodiversit y / INF-					
		s and Biodiversit y / INF-					
		s and Biodiversit y / INF-					
		s and Biodiversit y / INF-					
		s and Biodiversit y / INF-					
		s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P33				Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	F\$101.53	s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct	Oppose	Meridian considers Policy INF-ECO-P33 is appropriate and necessary, to provide for existing lawfully authorised	Amend / Amend provision to disallow requested deletion, but allow in part the requested amendments (diause 2).	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.53	s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Оррозе	infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be	Amend / Amend provision to disallow requested deletion, but allow in part the requested amendments (dause 2).	Relect	No
Meridian Energy Limited	F\$101.53	s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem	Oppose	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy ECO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational	Amend / Amend provision to disallow requested deletion, but allow in part the requested amendments (clause 2).	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	F\$101.53	s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Oppose	infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be	Amend / Amend provision to disallow requested deletion, but allow in part the requested amendments (dause 2).	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	F\$101.53	s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem	Oppose	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy ECO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational	Amend / Amend provision to disallow requested deletion, but allow in part the requested amendments (clause 2).	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	F5101.53	s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Oppose	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy ECO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational	Amend / Amend provision to disallow requested deletion, but allow in part the requested amendment: (clause 2).	Rélect	No
Meridian Energy Limited	F\$101.53	s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Oppose	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy ECO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational	Amend / Amend provision to disallow requested deletion, but allow in part the requested amendments (clause 2).	Reject	NO
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.53	s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Oppose	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy ECO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational	Amend / Amend provision to disallow requested deletion, but allow in part the requested amendment: (clause 2).	Rélect	No
Meridian Energy Limited	F\$101.53	s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Oppose	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy ECO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational	Amend / Amend provision to disallow requested deletion, but allow in part the requested amendments (clause 2).	Reject	<u>No</u>
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.53	s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Oppose	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy ECO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational	Amend / Amend provision to disallow requisited deletion, but allow in part the requested amendment: (clause 2).	Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.53	s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Oppose	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy ECO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational	Amend / Amend provision to disallow requested deletion, but allow in part the requested amendments (clause 2).	Reject	No
		s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33		Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CCO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.		Reject	No
Meridian Energy Limited	P\$101.53 408.65	s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport J Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and	Oppose	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy ECO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as	Reject Reject	No
		s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport		Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clusice 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO2+3). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.		Reject Reject	No
		s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem		Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as	Reject Reject	No No
		s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem and Biodiversity / INF-		Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clusice 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO2+3). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as	Reject Reject	No No
		s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem		Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as	Reject	No No
		s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem and Biodiversity / INF-		Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as	Reject	No No
		s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem and Biodiversity / INF-		Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as	Reject	No No
		s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem and Biodiversity / INF-		Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as	Reject	No
		s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem and Biodiversity / INF-		Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as	Reject	No
		s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem and Biodiversity / INF-		Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as	Reject	No
KlwiRall Holdings Limited	408.65	s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33	Support	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clusice 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECC-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.	Roject Roject Accept in part	No No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited		s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and	Support Oppose in	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO-PJ). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.	Reject Reject Accept in part	No No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.65	s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Support	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clusice 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO-P1). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECC-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.	Roject Roject Accept in part	No No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.65	s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure cosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33	Support Oppose in	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO-PJ). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.	Reject Reject Accept in part	No No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.65	s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Cosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Support Oppose in	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO-PJ). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.	Roject Roject Accept in part	N0 N0
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.65	s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure cosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33	Support Oppose in	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO-PJ). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.	Reject Reject Accept in part	NO NO
KlwiRall Holdings Limited	408.65	s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Cosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Support Oppose in	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO-PJ). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.	Roject Roject Accept in part	N0 N0
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.65	s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Cosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Support Oppose in	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO-P3). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.	Reject Reject Accept in part	ND ND
KlwiRall Holdings Limited	408.65	s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Cosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Support Oppose in	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO-P3). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.	Roject Roject	N0 N0
KlwiRall Holdings Limited	408.65	s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Cosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Support Oppose in	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO-P3). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.	Reject Reject	No No
KlwiRall Holdings Limited	408.65	s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Cosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Support Oppose in	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO-P3). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.	Reject Reject Accept in part	NO NO NO
KlwiRall Holdings Limited	408.65	s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Cosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P33 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Support Oppose in	Infrastructure, so opposes its deletion. Meridian supports the proposed clause 2 (which requires adverse effects to be managed in accordance with Policy CO-P3). The policy applies to existing infrastructure such that the locational considerations may not be relevant.	Retain INF-ECO-P33 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.	Reject Reject Accept in part	NO NO

	FS101.54		-				
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.54	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Support	Meridian agrees the correct reference is to Policy P1.	Allow / Allow the requested amendment (replacing ECO-P2 with ECO-P1)		
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem					
		s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P34					
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Accept in part Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
	No	/Provision				Recommendations	
Powerco Limited	127.32	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Oppose in part	Considers that this policy incorrectly cross-refers to the effects management hierarchy in Policy ECO-P2 (Appropriate vegetation removal in significant natural areas). This needs to be amended to ECO-P1 where the hierarchy sits.	Amend Policy INF-ECO-P34 (Upgrades to and new infrastructure in significant natural areas) as follows: Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas where it can be		
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem	-		demonstrated that:		
		s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P34			 There is an operational need or functional need that means the infrastructure's location cannot be avoided; and Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are applied in accordance with 		
					ECO-P1. ECO P2.		
						Accept in part	Yes
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.55	Energy Infrastruct ure and	Support	Meridian agrees the correct reference is to Policy P1.	Allow / Allow the requested amendment (replacing ECO-P2 with ECO-P1)		
		Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem					
		s and Biodiversit y / INF-					
		ECO-P34					
						Accent in part	No
Royal Forest and Bird	345.60	Energy Infrastruct ure and	Oppose in	Supports the requirement that ECO P1 is met, although this should be expressed more clearly. We have made	Amend INF-ECO-P34 (Upgrades to and new infrastructure in significant natural areas):		
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.60	Transport	Oppose in part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the	Consider allowing		
	345.60	Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-	Oppose in part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 in this policy, as it adds another standard that arguably will lessen the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very broad range	Consider allowing Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it ca nbe demonstrated that		
	345.60	Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem	Oppose in part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 n this policy, is it adds another standard that arguably will less the the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompases a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not accure, ECO P5 will also need to be	Consider allowing. Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it can a be demonstrated that I - There is an operational need that means the infrastructure's location cannot be - worded; and		
	345.60	Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-	Oppose in part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 in this policy, as it adds another standard that arguably will lessen the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very broad range	Consider allowing Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it ca nbe demonstrated that		
	345.60	Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-	Oppose in part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 n this policy, is it adds another standard that arguably will less the the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompases a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not accure, ECO P5 will also need to be	Consider allowing Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it ca not demonstructed that 1-There is an operational need or -functional need that means the infrastructure's location cannot be avoided; and 2. Any adverse fields on indigenous didiversity values within a significant natural area are managed		
	345.60	Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-	Oppose in part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 n this policy, is it adds another standard that arguably will less the the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompases a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not accure, ECO P5 will also need to be	Consider allowing Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it ca not demonstructed that 1-There is an operational need or -functional need that means the infrastructure's location cannot be avoided; and 2. Any adverse fields on indigenous didiversity values within a significant natural area are managed		
	345.60	Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-	Oppose in part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 n this policy, is it adds another standard that arguably will less the the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompases a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not accure, ECO P5 will also need to be	Consider allowing Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it ca not demonstructed that 1-There is an operational need or -functional need that means the infrastructure's location cannot be avoided; and 2. Any adverse fields on indigenous didiversity values within a significant natural area are managed		
	345.60	Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-	Oppose in part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 n this policy, is it adds another standard that arguably will less the the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompases a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not accure, ECO P5 will also need to be	Consider allowing Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it ca not demonstructed that 1-There is an operational need or -functional need that means the infrastructure's location cannot be avoided; and 2. Any adverse fields on indigenous didiversity values within a significant natural area are managed		
	345.60	Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-	Oppose in part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 n this policy, is it adds another standard that arguably will less the the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompases a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not accure, ECO P5 will also need to be	Consider allowing Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it ca not demonstructed that 1-There is an operational need or -functional need that means the infrastructure's location cannot be avoided; and 2. Any adverse fields on indigenous didiversity values within a significant natural area are managed		
	345.60	Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-	Oppose in part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 n this policy, is it adds another standard that arguably will less the the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompases a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not accure, ECO P5 will also need to be	Consider allowing Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it ca nab demonstructed that 1-There is an operational need or -functional need that means the infrastructure's location cannot be avoided; and 2. Any adverse fields on indigenous didiversity values within a significant natural area are managed		
	345.60	Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-	Oppose in part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 n this policy, is it adds another standard that arguably will less the the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompases a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not accure, ECO P5 will also need to be	Consider allowing Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it ca nab demonstructed that 1-There is an operational need or -functional need that means the infrastructure's location cannot be avoided; and 2. Any adverse fields on indigenous didiversity values within a significant natural area are managed	-	
	345.60	Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-	Oppose in part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 n this policy, is it adds another standard that arguably will less the the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompases a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not accure, ECO P5 will also need to be	Consider allowing Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it ca nab demonstructed that 1-There is an operational need or -functional need that means the infrastructure's location cannot be avoided; and 2. Any adverse fields on indigenous didiversity values within a significant natural area are managed	-	
Protection Society		Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECC-P34	part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclucion of paragraph 1 in this policy. Is adds another standard that arguably will leasn the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not occur, ECO P5 will also need to be referenced in this policy. Notes that the reference to ECO-P2 in this policy should be ECO-P1.	Consider allowing Allow for upgrade-to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it ea nbe demonstrated that the there is an operational need of understand need that means the infrastructure's location earnot be avoided, and 2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are managed applied in accordance with <u>ECO P21 and ECO P5</u> . –	- Accept in part	Yes
Protection Society Chorus New Zealand	345.60 F\$25.13	Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P34 Part 2 / Energy infrastruct	Oppose in part Oppose	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 in this policy. Is also another standard that arguably will leasen the protections required to given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not cource, ECO P5 will also need to be referenced in this policy. Notes that the reference to ECO-P2 in this policy should be ECO-P1.	Consider allowing Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it ca nab demonstructed that 1-There is an operational need or -functional need that means the infrastructure's location cannot be avoided; and 2. Any adverse fields on indigenous didiversity values within a significant natural area are managed	- Accept in part	Yes
Protection Society		Transport /infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P34 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport /infrastruct ecosystem	part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclucion of paragraph 1 in this policy. Is adds another standard that arguably will leasn the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not occur, ECO P5 will also need to be referenced in this policy. Notes that the reference to ECO-P2 in this policy should be ECO-P1.	Consider allowing Allow for upgrade-to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it ea nbe demonstrated that the there is an operational need of understand need that means the infrastructure's location earnot be avoided, and 2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are managed applied in accordance with <u>ECO P21 and ECO P5</u> . –	- Accept in part	Yes
Protection Society Protection Society Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark) and		Transport /infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport /Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 in this policy. Is also another standard that arguably will leasen the protections required to given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not cource, ECO P5 will also need to be referenced in this policy. Notes that the reference to ECO-P2 in this policy should be ECO-P1.	Consider allowing Allow for upgrade-to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it ea nbe demonstrated that the there is an operational need of understand need that means the infrastructure's location earnot be avoided, and 2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are managed applied in accordance with <u>ECO P21 and ECO P5</u> . –	Accept in part	Ves.
Protection Society Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus, Spark New Zealand Trading		Transport /infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P34 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport /infrastruct ecosystem	part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 in this policy. Is also another standard that arguably will leasen the protections required to given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not cource, ECO P5 will also need to be referenced in this policy. Notes that the reference to ECO-P2 in this policy should be ECO-P1.	Consider allowing Allow for upgrade-to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it ea nbe demonstrated that the there is an operational need of understand need that means the infrastructure's location earnot be avoided, and 2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are managed applied in accordance with <u>ECO P21 and ECO P5</u> . –	Accept in part	Yes
Protection Society Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark) and Yodafion Rew Zealand		Transport /infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport /Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 in this policy. Is also another standard that arguably will leasen the protections required to given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not cource, ECO P5 will also need to be referenced in this policy. Notes that the reference to ECO-P2 in this policy should be ECO-P1.	Consider allowing Allow for upgrade-to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it ea nbe demonstrated that the there is an operational need of understand need that means the infrastructure's location earnot be avoided, and 2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are managed applied in accordance with <u>ECO P21 and ECO P5</u> . –		Yes
Protection Society Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Spark New Zealand Trading Limited Spark, and VodaTone New Zealand Limited Spark		Transport /infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport /Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 in this policy. Is also another standard that arguably will lease the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not cource, ECO P5 will also need to be referenced in this policy. Notes that the reference to ECO-P2 in this policy should be ECO-P1.	Consider allowing Allow for upgrade-to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it ea nbe demonstrated that the there is an operational need of understand need that means the infrastructure's location earnot be avoided, and 2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are managed applied in accordance with <u>ECO P21 and ECO P5</u> . –	Accept in part	Yes
Protection Society Protection Society Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark), and Vodafore New Zealand Limited		Transport /infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport /Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 in this policy. Is also another standard that arguably will lease the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not cource, ECO P5 will also need to be referenced in this policy. Notes that the reference to ECO-P2 in this policy should be ECO-P1.	Consider allowing Allow for upgrade-to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it ea nbe demonstrated that the there is an operational need of understand need that means the infrastructure's location earnot be avoided, and 2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are managed applied in accordance with <u>ECO P21 and ECO P5</u> . –		Yes
Protection Society Protection Society Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark), and Vodafore New Zealand Limited		Transport /infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport /Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	part	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 in this policy. Is also another standard that arguably will lease the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not cource, ECO P5 will also need to be referenced in this policy. Notes that the reference to ECO-P2 in this policy should be ECO-P1.	Consider allowing Allow for upgrade-to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas <u>only</u> where it ea nbe demonstrated that the there is an operational need of understand need that means the infrastructure's location earnot be avoided, and 2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are managed applied in accordance with <u>ECO P21 and ECO P5</u> . –	- Accept in part	Yes
Protection Society Protection Society Chorus New Zesland Limited (Chorus), Spark New Zealand (Chorus), Spark Watafone New Zealand Limited (Vodafone)	92513	Transport /infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P34 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P34	part Oppose	submissions about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 in this policy. Is adds another standard that arguably will leave the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very howard range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not cource, ECO P5 will also need to be referenced in this policy. Notes that the reference to ECO-P2 in this policy should be ECO-P1.	Consider allowing. Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural arces <u>and</u> ; where it ea nb demonstrated that be there is no possition in edd or - functional need that means the - infrastructure's location samet be -wolded, and 2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are managed applied in secondance with <u>ECO 221. and ECO PS</u> . Disallow	Accept in part	Yes
Protection Society Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Spark New Zealand Wodafone New Zealand (Vodafone) Submitter Name	1525.13 Sub No / Point No	Transport //infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P34 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P34 Sub-part / Chapter / Provision	part Oppose Position	submission about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 in this policy. Is adds another standor that argueby will less the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very horad range of considerations. We have sough that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not cource, ECO P5 will also need to be referenced in this policy. Notes that the reference to ECO-P2 in this policy should be ECO-P1.	Consider allowing Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural arces <u>and</u> where it ea nb demonstrated that the "There is an operational need or "functional need that means the "infrastructure's location earnet be -wolded, and 2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are managed applied in secondance with <u>SEO 221. and ECO P5.</u> Disallow Decisions Requested		Yes No Changes to PDP?
Protection Society Protection Society Chorus New Zesland Limited (Chorus), Spark New Zealand (Chorus), Spark Watafone New Zealand Limited (Vodafone)	92513	Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34 Sub-part / Chapter //rovision	part Oppose	submission about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the incluion of paragraph 1 in this policy. Is also another standor that argueby will leave the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO-P5. If that does not cource, ECO P5 will also need to be referenced in this policy. Notes that the reference to ECO-P2 in this policy should be ECO-P1.	Consider allowing. Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural arces <u>and</u> ; where it ea nb demonstrated that be there is no possition in edd or - functional need that means the - infrastructure's location samet be -wolded, and 2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are managed applied in secondance with <u>ECO 221. and ECO PS</u> . Disallow	Accept in part	Yes No Changes to PDP?
Protection Society Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Spark New Zealand Wodafone New Zealand (Vodafone) Submitter Name	1525.13 Sub No / Point No	Transport //infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P34 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P34 Sub-part / Chapter //Provision Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	part Oppose Position	submission about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the inclusion of paragraph 1 in this policy. Is adds another standor that argueby will less the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very horad range of considerations. We have sough that ECO P1 reference ECO P5. If that does not cource, ECO P5 will also need to be referenced in this policy. Notes that the reference to ECO-P2 in this policy should be ECO-P1.	Consider allowing Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural arces <u>and</u> where it ea nb demonstrated that the "There is an operational need or "functional need that means the "infrastructure's location earnet be -wolded, and 2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are managed applied in secondance with <u>SEO 221. and ECO P5.</u> Disallow Decisions Requested	Accept in part	Yes No Changes to PDP?
Protection Society Protection Society Chorus New Zesland Limited (Chorus), Spark New Zesland (Chorus), Spark New Zesland Limited (Spark) and Vodafone) Submitter Name	1525.13 Sub No / Point No	Transport /infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34 Part 2 / Energy infrastruct /infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34 Sub-part / Chapter //Provision Part 2 / Energy infrastruct / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34	part Oppose Position	submission about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the incluion of paragraph 1 in this policy. Is also another standor that argueby will leave the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO-P5. If that does not cource, ECO P5 will also need to be referenced in this policy. Notes that the reference to ECO-P2 in this policy should be ECO-P1.	Consider allowing Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural arces <u>and</u> where it ea nb demonstrated that the "There is an operational need or "functional need that means the "infrastructure's location earnet be -wolded, and 2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are managed applied in secondance with <u>SEO 221. and ECO P5.</u> Disallow Decisions Requested	Accept in part	Ves No Changes to PDP?
Protection Society Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Spark New Zealand Wodafone New Zealand (Vodafone) Submitter Name	1525.13 Sub No / Point No	Transport /infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34 Sub-part / Chapter //rowition Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //infrastruct ure Ecosystem	part Oppose Position	submission about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the incluion of paragraph 1 in this policy. Is also another standor that argueby will leave the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO-P5. If that does not cource, ECO P5 will also need to be referenced in this policy. Notes that the reference to ECO-P2 in this policy should be ECO-P1.	Consider allowing Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural arces <u>and</u> where it ea nb demonstrated that the "There is an operational need or "functional need that means the "infrastructure's location earnet be -wolded, and 2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are managed applied in secondance with <u>SEO 221. and ECO P5.</u> Disallow Decisions Requested	Accept in part	Yes Changes to PDP?
Protection Society Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Spark New Zealand Wodafone New Zealand (Vodafone) Submitter Name	1525.13 Sub No / Point No	Transport /infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34 Part 2 / Energy infrastruct /infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34 Sub-part / Chapter //Provision Part 2 / Energy infrastruct / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34	part Oppose Position	submission about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the incluion of paragraph 1 in this policy. Is also another standor that argueby will leave the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO-P5. If that does not cource, ECO P5 will also need to be referenced in this policy. Notes that the reference to ECO-P2 in this policy should be ECO-P1.	Consider allowing Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural arces <u>and</u> where it ea nb demonstrated that the "There is an operational need or "functional need that means the "infrastructure's location earnet be -wolded, and 2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are managed applied in secondance with <u>SEO 221. and ECO P5.</u> Disallow Decisions Requested	Accept in part	Yes No Changes to PDP?
Protection Society Protection Society Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark) and Yodafone New Zealand Limited (Vodafone) Submitter Name	1525.13 Sub No / Point No	Transport /infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34 Part 2 / Energy infrastruct /infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34 Sub-part / Chapter //Provision Part 2 / Energy infrastruct / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34	part Oppose Position	submission about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the incluion of paragraph 1 in this policy. Is also another standor that argueby will leave the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO-P5. If that does not cource, ECO P5 will also need to be referenced in this policy. Notes that the reference to ECO-P2 in this policy should be ECO-P1.	Consider allowing Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural arces <u>and</u> where it ea nb demonstrated that the "There is an operational need or "functional need that means the "infrastructure's location earnet be -wolded, and 2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are managed applied in secondance with <u>SEO 221. and ECO P5.</u> Disallow Decisions Requested	Accept in part	Ves No Changes to PDP?
Protection Society Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Spark New Zealand Wodafone New Zealand (Vodafone) Submitter Name	1525.13 Sub No / Point No	Transport /infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34 Part 2 / Energy infrastruct /infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34 Sub-part / Chapter //Provision Part 2 / Energy infrastruct / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34	part Oppose Position	submission about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the incluion of paragraph 1 in this policy. Is also another standor that argueby will leave the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO-P5. If that does not cource, ECO P5 will also need to be referenced in this policy. Notes that the reference to ECO-P2 in this policy should be ECO-P1.	Consider allowing Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural arces <u>and</u> where it ea nb demonstrated that the "There is an operational need or "functional need that means the "infrastructure's location earnet be -wolded, and 2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are managed applied in secondance with <u>SEO 221. and ECO P5.</u> Disallow Decisions Requested	Accept in part	Yes No Changes to PDP?
Protection Society Chorus New Zesland Limited (Chorus), Spark New Zesland (Chorus), Spark New Zesland Trading Limited (Spark) and Vodatone) Submitter Name	1525.13 Sub No / Point No	Transport /infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34 Part 2 / Energy infrastruct /infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34 Sub-part / Chapter //Provision Part 2 / Energy infrastruct / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P34	part Oppose Position	submission about ECO-P1, and seek that those amendments are also given effect to via this policy. Opposes the incluion of paragraph 1 in this policy. Is also another standor that argueby will leave the protections required to be given by ECO-P1. If it is to remain, we oppose the reference to operational need, as that encompasses a very broad range of considerations. We have sought that ECO P1 reference ECO-P5. If that does not cource, ECO P5 will also need to be referenced in this policy. Notes that the reference to ECO-P2 in this policy should be ECO-P1.	Consider allowing Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural arces <u>and</u> where it ea nb demonstrated that the "There is an operational need or "functional need that means the "infrastructure's location earnet be -wolded, and 2. Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are managed applied in secondance with <u>SEO 221. and ECO P5.</u> Disallow Decisions Requested	Accept in part	Yes No Changes to PDP?

KiwiRali Holdings Limited	F572.34	Part 2 / District- Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P34	Oppose	Considers it appropriate to include operational and functional needs within this policy. These terms are well defined in relation to infrastructure activities and KuwRal seeks that the needs of infrastructure are recognised and provided for in policy. Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chy, and is therefore contrary to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RNA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not neet the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; (c) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the windomment; (c) will charable the social, economic and cultural wellensing of popular Vellenging Regionament; (c) will create the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA.	Disallow		
Meridian Energy Limited		Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P34		Meridian agrees the correct reference is to Policies P1 and P5.	Allow / Allow the requested amendment (replacing ECO-P2 with ECO-P1 and ECO-P5)	Accept in part	Yes
Waka Kotahi	370.116	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P34	Support	These provisions provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other areas and values.	Retain INF-ECC-P34 (Upgrades to and new infrastructure in significant natural areas) as notified.		
Director- General of Conservation	385.30	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P34	Support	Supports proposed policy INF-ECD-P34 (Upgrades to and new infrastructure in significant natural areas).	Retain policy INF-ECO-P34 (Upgrades to and new infrastructure in significant natural areas) as notified.	Accept in part	No
			a 11			Accept in part	No
Submitter Name	No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	Changes to PDP?
Airport Ltd	406.148	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P34		Opposes INF-ECD-P34 [See paragraphs 4.50 to 4.55 of original submission for full reason]	Opposes INI-ECO-P34 (Upgrades to and new infrastructure in significant natural areas as follows) and seeks amendment.	Reject	No
Wellington International Airport Ltd	406.149	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P34	Amend	Opposes INF-ECD-P14 [See paragraphs 4.50 to 4.55 of original submission for full reason]	Delete NF-ECO-P34 (Upgrades to and new infrastructure in significant natural areas as follows) in its entirety.	Reject	No

	-						
Wellington International	406.150	Energy Infrastruct ure and	Amend	Opposes INF-ECO-P34	Amend INF-ECO-P34 (Upgrades to and new infrastructure in significant natural areas as follows):		
Airport Ltd		Transport		[See paragraphs 4.50 to 4.55 of original submission for full reason]	Allow for upgrades to existing infrastructure and for new infrastructure within significant natural areas where it can be		
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-			demonstrated that: 1. There is an operational need or functional need that means the infrastructure's location cannot be		
		ECO-P34			practicably avoided; and		
		200134			 Any adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values within a significant natural area are applied in accordance with 		
					ECO-P2 ECO-P1:		
						Accept in Part	Yes
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.56	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct	Support	Meridian agrees the correct reference is to Policy P1.	Allow / Allow the requested amendment (replacing ECO-P2 with ECO-P1)		
		ure and Transport					
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem					
		s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P34					
		ECO-P34					
						Accept in part	Voc
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.66	Energy Infrastruct ure and	Support	Supports policy to allow for upgrades to and new infrastructure in SNAs.	Retain INF-ECO-P34 (Upgrades to and new infrastructure in significant natural areas) as notified.	Precipe as part	163
	1	Transport					
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem	1				
		s and Biodiversit y / INF-					
		ECO-P34					
						Accept in Part	NO
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Accept in Part Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
	No	/Provision				Accept in Part Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	NO Changes to PDP?
Submitter Name Transpower New Zealand Limited	Sub No / Point No 315.112	/Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and	Position Amend	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied].	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a	Accept in Part Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	NO Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand	No	/Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem				Accept in Part Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	No Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand	No	Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-		Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation trimming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid, including [but not limited to] trimming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards for Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for dearance	Amend policy INF-ECC-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance-and existing transmission lines within significant natural areas - where the a	Accept in Part Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	NO Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand	No	/Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem		Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid, including (but not limited to) timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the rigit infrastructure to undertake its operation,	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance-and-repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas-where the a elivity, including: associated earthwork, does net advectary affects the bidenesity vulues-white managing the observed.	Accept in Part Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	No Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand	No	Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-		Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation trimming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid, including (but not limited to) trimming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related; is the operational requirement for Geranze of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpower to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter condises the policy does not give effect to the NPS-FT. Considers the policy	Amend policy INF-ECC-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance-and existing transmission lines within significant natural areas - where the a	Accept in Part Independent Hearings Panel Berommendations	NO Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand	No	Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-		Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National offici, including (but not limited to) timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the rigit infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NPS-TL. Considers the policy directive within NH-EC-0245 bit works" do not adversely affect the bioMeersil yueler" does not give effect to the NPS-TL. Considers the policy does not give offect the bioMeersil yueler" does not give effect to the NPS-TL. Considers the policy directive within NH-EC-0245 bit works" do not adversely affect the bioMeersil yueler" does not give effect to the NH-EC-0245 bit works" do not adversely affect the bioMeersil yueler" does not give effect to the NPS-TL. Considers the policy directive within NH-EC-0245 bit works" do not adversely affect the bioMeersil yueler" does not give effect to the NH-EC-045 bit works with a considers the policy does not give the start of the NH-EC-045 bit works with the NH-EC-045 bit works with works with a considers the policy does not give the net of the NH-EC-045 bit works with a consider the net NH-EC-045 bit works with a consider the net of the NH-EC-045 bit works with a consider the net of the NH-EC-045 bit works with a consider the net of the NH-EC-045 bit works with a consider the net of the NH-EC-045 bit works with a consider the net of the NH-EC-045 bit works with a consider the net of the NH-EC-045 bit works with a consider the net of the NH-EC-045 bit works with a consider the net of the NH-EC-045 bit works with a consider the net of the NH-EC-045 bit works with a consider the net of the N	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance-and-repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas-where the a elivity, including: associated earthwork, does net advectary affects the bidenesity vulues-white managing the observed.	Accept in Part Independent Hearings Panel Berommendations	NO Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand	No	Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-		Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation trimming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and evelopment of the National Grick including (but not limited to) trimming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transporte to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submittee Transporte to access the grid infrastructure to the NFS-ET. Considers the policy is drafted sub-that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers the policy of the tail and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such a	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance-and-repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas-where the a elivity, including: associated earthwork, does net advectary affects the bidenesity vulues-white managing the observed.	Accept in Part Independent Hearings Panel Berommendations	NO Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand	No	Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-		Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National of icin, including (but not limited to) timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegatation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the rigit infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers the policy directive within NHC-OP35 that works" do not adversely flect the bioMersil youer's does not give effect to the NPS- ET. Considers the policy is drifted such that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that as ha requirement to norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure with with often have safety requirements and the provision of that all and any adverse effects to existing with often have safety requirements and the provision of the safety of the table scient gives are to be avoided. Considers that such a	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance-and-repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas-where the a elivity, including: associated earthwork, does net advectary affects the bidenesity vulues-white managing the observed.	Accept in Part Hearings Panel Independent Hearings Panel Recommandations	NO Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand	No	Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-		Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upguading and evelopment of the National of (in, including blut on thimled to) timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpower to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NFS-ET. Considers the policy is drifted sub-that all and any adversely affect the biodiversity values' does not give effect to the NFS- ET. Considers the policy is drifted sub-that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such a requirement is onerous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure which will often have safety requirements and obligations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpower esists meritanten to the policy to reflect the realities of	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance-and-repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas-where the a elivity, including: associated earthwork, does net advectary affects the bidenesity vulues-white managing the observed.	Accept in Part Independent Hearings Panel Becommendations	NO Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand	No	Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-		Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgating and evelopment of the National of (in, including blot number) to timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpower to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers the policy is drifted sub-that all and any adversely affect the biodiversity valuer' does not give effect to the NPS- ET. Considers the policy is drifted sub-that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such a requirement is onerous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure which will often have safety requirements and digitations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpower esis amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Grid and ensuring safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dotton A)	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance-and-repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas-where the a elivity, including: associated earthwork, does net advectary affects the bidenesity vulues-white managing the observed.	Accept in Part Hearings Panel Independent Hearings Panel Recommandations	NO Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand	No	Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-		Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and evelopment of the National offici, including (but not limited to) timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegatation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the rigit infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NFS-T. Considers the policy directive within NHC-OP35 that works" do not adversely flect the bioMersil youlds" does not give effect to the NFS- ET. Considers the policy is drafted such that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such a requirement is norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure with with often have astleyr equirements and obligations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpover seeks amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Grid and emaining aste and necessary vegetation of cancer distarces.	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance-and-repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas-where the a elivity, including: associated earthwork, does net advectary affects the biodeneity vulues-white managing the observed.	Accept in Part Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	NO Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand	No	Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-		Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgating and evelopment of the National of (in, including blot number) to timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpower to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers the policy is drifted sub-that all and any adversely affect the biodiversity valuer' does not give effect to the NPS- ET. Considers the policy is drifted sub-that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such a requirement is onerous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure which will often have safety requirements and digitations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpower esis amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Grid and ensuring safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dotton A)	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance-and-repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas-where the a elivity, including: associated earthwork, does net advectary affects the biodeneity vulues-white managing the observed.	Accept in Part Independent Hearings Panel Performandations	NO Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand	No	Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-		Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgating and evelopment of the National of (in, including blot number) to timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpower to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers the policy is drifted sub-that all and any adversely affect the biodiversity valuer' does not give effect to the NPS- ET. Considers the policy is drifted sub-that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such a requirement is onerous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure which will often have safety requirements and digitations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpower esis amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Grid and ensuring safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dotton A)	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance-and-repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas-where the a elivity, including: associated earthwork, does net advectary affects the biodeneity vulues-white managing the observed.	Accept in Part Hearings Panel Independent Hearings Panel Decommonds flows	NO Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand	No	Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-		Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgating and evelopment of the National of (in, including blot number) to timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpower to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers the policy is drifted sub-that all and any adversely affect the biodiversity valuer' does not give effect to the NPS- ET. Considers the policy is drifted sub-that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such a requirement is onerous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure which will often have safety requirements and digitations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpower esis amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Grid and ensuring safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dotton A)	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance-and-repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas-where the a elivity, including: associated earthwork, does net advectary affects the biodeneity vulues-white managing the observed.	Accept in Part Hearings Panel Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	NO Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand	No	Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-		Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgating and evelopment of the National of (in, including blot number) to timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpower to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers the policy is drifted sub-that all and any adversely affect the biodiversity valuer' does not give effect to the NPS- ET. Considers the policy is drifted sub-that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such a requirement is onerous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure which will often have safety requirements and digitations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpower esis amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Grid and ensuring safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dotton A)	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance-and-repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas-where the a elivity, including: associated earthwork, does net advectary affects the biodeneity vulues-white managing the observed.	Accept in Part Hearings Panel Independent Hearings Panel Involumentations	NO Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand	No	Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-		Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upguading and evelopment of the National of (in, including blot number) to timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpower to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers the policy is drifted sub-that all and any adversely affect the biodiversity valuer' does not give effect to the NPS- ET. Considers the policy is drifted sub-that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such a requirement is onerous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure which will often have safety requirements and digitations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpower esis amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Grid and ensuring safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dotton A)	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance-and-repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas-where the a elivity, including: associated earthwork, does net advectary affects the biodeneity vulues-white managing the observed.	Independent Hearings Panel Berommundations	No Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand Limited	Nn 315.112	/Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P35	Amend	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs (see full submission for image supplied). Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and edeelogient of the National offici, including (but not limited to) timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegatation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the rigit infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers the policy directive within NHC-OP35 that voirs' on or adversely flect the bioNersyl volust" does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers that such a requirement to norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure with will often have astept vegirements that obligations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpover seeks amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Gird and ensuing safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dption A) [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Amend policy IN-ECC-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance, and repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas-where the a dively, including: associated earthworks, does not adversely affect the biodiversity values: <u>while managing the adverse</u> <u>a effects of these activities</u> .	Accept in Part Accept in Part	No Changes to PDP? Yes
Transpower New Zealand	No	/ Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Amend	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upguading and evelopment of the National of (in, including blot number) to timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpower to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers the policy is drifted sub-that all and any adversely affect the biodiversity valuer' does not give effect to the NPS- ET. Considers the policy is drifted sub-that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such a requirement is onerous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure which will often have safety requirements and digitations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpower esis amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Grid and ensuring safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dotton A)	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance-and-repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas-where the a elivity, including: associated earthwork, does net advectary affects the biodeneity vulues-white managing the observed.	Independent Hearings Panel Berommundations	No Changes to PDP? Yes
Transpower New Zealand Limited	Nn 315.112	Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //infrastruct ure and Transport	Amend	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs (see full submission for image supplied). Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and edeelogient of the National offici, including (but not limited to) timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegatation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the rigit infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers the policy directive within NHC-OP35 that voirs' on or adversely flect the bioNersyl volust" does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers that such a requirement to norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure with will often have astept vegirements that obligations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpover seeks amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Gird and ensuing safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dption A) [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance, and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance; and repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas where the a dively. Industing: associated earthworks, does not adversely affect the biodiversity values: while managing the adverse e. <u>effects of these activities</u> .	Independent Hearings Panel Berommundations	No Changes to PDP? Yes
Transpower New Zealand Limited	Nn 315.112	/ Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Amend	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs (see full submission for image supplied). Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and edeelogient of the National offici, including (but not limited to) timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegatation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the rigit infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers the policy directive within NHC-OP35 that voirs' on or adversely flect the bioNersyl volust" does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers that such a requirement to norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure with will often have astept vegirements that obligations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpover seeks amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Gird and ensuing safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dption A) [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance, and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance; and repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas where the a dively. Industing: associated earthworks, does not adversely affect the biodiversity values: while managing the adverse e. <u>effects of these activities</u> .	Independent Hearings Panel Berommundations	No Changes to PDP? Yes
Transpower New Zealand Limited	Nn 315.112	Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //infrastruct ure and Transport	Amend	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs (see full submission for image supplied). Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and edeelogient of the National offici, including (but not limited to) timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegatation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the rigit infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers the policy directive within NHC-OP35 that voirs' on or adversely flect the bioNersyl volust" does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers that such a requirement to norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure with will often have astept vegirements that obligations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpover seeks amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Gird and ensuing safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dption A) [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance, and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance; and repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas where the a dively. Industing: associated earthworks, does not adversely affect the biodiversity values: while managing the adverse e. <u>effects of these activities</u> .	Independent Hearings Panel Berommundations	No Changes to PDP? Yes
Transpower New Zealand Limited	Nn 315.112	/ Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Amend	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs (see full submission for image supplied). Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and edeelogient of the National offici, including (but not limited to) timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegatation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the rigit infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers the policy directive within NHC-OP35 that voirs' on or adversely flect the bioNersyl volust" does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers that such a requirement to norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure with will often have astept vegirements that obligations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpover seeks amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Gird and ensuing safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dption A) [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance, and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance; and repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas where the a dively. Industing: associated earthworks, does not adversely affect the biodiversity values: while managing the adverse e. <u>effects of these activities</u> .	Independent Hearings Panel Berommundations	No Changes to PDP? Yes
Transpower New Zealand Limited	Nn 315.112	/ Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Amend	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs (see full submission for image supplied). Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and edeelogient of the National offici, including (but not limited to) timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegatation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the rigit infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers the policy directive within NHC-OP35 that voirs' on or adversely flect the bioNersyl volust" does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers that such a requirement to norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure with will often have astept vegirements that obligations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpover seeks amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Gird and ensuing safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dption A) [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance, and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance; and repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas where the a dively. Industing: associated earthworks, does not adversely affect the biodiversity values: while managing the adverse e. <u>effects of these activities</u> .	Independent Hearings Panel Berommundations	No Changes to PDP? Yes
Transpower New Zealand Limited	Nn 315.112	/ Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Amend	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs (see full submission for image supplied). Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and edeelogient of the National offici, including (but not limited to) timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegatation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the rigit infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers the policy directive within NHC-OP35 that voirs' on or adversely flect the bioNersyl volust" does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers that such a requirement to norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure with will often have astept vegirements that obligations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpover seeks amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Gird and ensuing safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dption A) [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance, and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance; and repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas where the a dively. Industing: associated earthworks, does not adversely affect the biodiversity values: while managing the adverse e. <u>effects of these activities</u> .	Independent Hearings Panel Berommundations	No Changes to PDP? Yes
Transpower New Zealand Limited	Nn 315.112	/ Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Amend	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs (see full submission for image supplied). Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and edeelogient of the National offici, including (but not limited to) timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegatation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the rigit infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers the policy directive within NHC-OP35 that voirs' on or adversely flect the bioNersyl volust" does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers that such a requirement to norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure with will often have astept vegirements that obligations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpover seeks amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Gird and ensuing safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dption A) [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance, and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance; and repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas where the a dively. Industing: associated earthworks, does not adversely affect the biodiversity values: while managing the adverse e. <u>effects of these activities</u> .	Independent Hearings Panel Berommundations	No Changes to PDP? Yes
Transpower New Zealand Limited	Nn 315.112	/ Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Eosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Amend	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs (see full submission for image supplied). Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National offici, including (but not limited to) timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegatation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the rigit infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Considers the policy directive within NHC-OP35 that voirs' on or adversely flect the bioNersyl voluer's does not give effect to the NPS-ET. Eff. Considers the policy is drafted such that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such a requirement is norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure with with often have astept englicements of maintaining the National Gird and ensuing safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dption A) [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Amend policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance, and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance; and repair and minor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas where the a dively. Industing: associated earthworks, does not adversely affect the biodiversity values: while managing the adverse e. <u>effects of these activities</u> .	Independent Hearings Panel Becommendations	No Changes to PDP? Yes
Transpower New Zealand Limited	Nn 315.112 345.61	Irrordvinn Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35	Amend Support	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs (see full submission for image supplied). Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National off, including (but not limited to) timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegatation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the rigit infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance, and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NFS-T. Considers the policy directive within NHC-OP351 that voirs' fon or adversely flect the bioMersi yuels" does not give effect to the NFS-T. Eff. Considers the policy is drafted such that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such an enguirement is norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure with with offen have affect requirements for actional Gird and ensuring safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Option A) [Refer to original submission for full reason] Supports the policy.	Amend policy IN-ECC-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid Infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance, and repair and mimor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas: where the a every, including: associated earthworks, does not adversely affect the biodiversity, values: <u>while managing the adverse</u> <u>a effects of these activities</u> .	Independent Hearings Panel Berommundations	No Changes to PDP? Yes
Transpower New Zealand Limited Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Transpower New Zealand	Nn 315.112 345.61	Arronovion Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport /Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport /Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct	Amend Support	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation trimming/clearna necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid, including (but number to limited to) trimming (but number) required by the Electricity (triazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance, and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy directive within NetCO-293 stut voice's for a daversary fact the biodiversity values' does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy EF. Considers the policy is drafted such that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such a neguriement is norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure which will onch have alleft requirements and obligations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpover seeks amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Grid and ensuing safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dption A) [Relef to onginal submission for full reason] Supports the policy. While Transpower supports the provision of a policy specific to the National Grid, in its submission Transpower seeks	Amend policy IN-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid Infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance; and repair on the source of the source	Independent Hearings Panel Becommendations	No Changes to PDP? Yes Yes
Transpower New Zealand Limited	Nn 315.112 345.61	Perevolvine Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct we and Transport Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct	Amend Support	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs (see full submission for image supplied). Transpower is required to undertake vegetation timming/dearance necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National off, including (but not limited to) timming that may be required by the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegatation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the rigit infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance, and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NFS-T. Considers the policy directive within NHC-OP351 that voirs' fon or adversely flect the bioMersi yuels" does not give effect to the NFS-T. Eff. Considers the policy is drafted such that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such an enguirement is norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure with with offen have affect requirements for actional Gird and ensuring safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Option A) [Refer to original submission for full reason] Supports the policy.	Amend policy IN-ECC-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid Infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance, and repair and mimor_upgrade of existing transmission lines within significant natural areas: where the a every, including: associated earthworks, does not adversely affect the biodiversity, values: <u>while managing the adverse</u> <u>a effects of these activities</u> .	Independent Hearings Panel Becommendations	No Changes to PDP? Yes
Transpower New Zealand Limited Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Transpower New Zealand	Nn 315.112 345.61	Irrordvine Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport /Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport /Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport /Infrastruct ure Ecosystem factore Ecosystem Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Amend Support	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation trimming/clearna necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid, including (but number to limited to) trimming (but number) required by the Electricity (triazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance, and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy directive within NetCO-293 stut voice's for a daversary fact the biodiversity values' does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy EF. Considers the policy is drafted such that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such a neguriement is norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure which will onch have alleft requirements and obligations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpover seeks amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Grid and ensuing safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dption A) [Relef to onginal submission for full reason] Supports the policy. While Transpower supports the provision of a policy specific to the National Grid, in its submission Transpower seeks	Amend policy IN-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid Infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance; and repair on the source of the source	Independent Hearings Panel Becommendations	No Changes to PDP? Yes
Transpower New Zealand Limited Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	Nn 315.112 345.61	Perevolvine Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct we and Transport Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct	Amend Support	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation trimming/clearna necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid, including (but number to limited to) trimming (but number) required by the Electricity (triazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance, and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy directive within NetCO-293 stut voice's for a daversary fact the biodiversity values' does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy EF. Considers the policy is drafted such that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such a neguriement is norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure which will onch have alleft requirements and obligations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpover seeks amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Grid and ensuing safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dption A) [Relef to onginal submission for full reason] Supports the policy. While Transpower supports the provision of a policy specific to the National Grid, in its submission Transpower seeks	Amend policy IN-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid Infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance; and repair on the source of the source	Independent Hearings Panel Becommendations	No Changes to PDP? Yes No
Transpower New Zealand Limited Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Transpower New Zealand	Nn 315.112 345.61	Perevision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35	Amend Support	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation trimming/clearna necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid, including (but number to limited to) trimming (but number) required by the Electricity (triazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance, and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy directive within NetCO-293 stut voice's for a daversary fact the biodiversity values' does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy EF. Considers the policy is drafted such that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such a neguriement is norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure which will onch have alleft requirements and obligations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpover seeks amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Grid and ensuing safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dption A) [Relef to onginal submission for full reason] Supports the policy. While Transpower supports the provision of a policy specific to the National Grid, in its submission Transpower seeks	Amend policy IN-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid Infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance; and repair on the source of the source	Independent Hearings Panel Becommendations	No Changes to PDP? Yes No
Transpower New Zealand Limited Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	Nn 315.112 345.61	Perevision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35	Amend Support	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation trimming/clearna necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid, including (but number to limited to) trimming (but number) required by the Electricity (triazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance, and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy directive within NetCO-293 stut voice's for a daversary fact the biodiversity values' does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy EF. Considers the policy is drafted such that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such a neguriement is norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure which will onch have alleft requirements and obligations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpover seeks amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Grid and ensuing safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dption A) [Relef to onginal submission for full reason] Supports the policy. While Transpower supports the provision of a policy specific to the National Grid, in its submission Transpower seeks	Amend policy IN-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid Infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance; and repair on the source of the source	Independent Hearings Panel Becommendations	No Changes to PDP? Yes No
Transpower New Zealand Limited Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Transpower New Zealand	Nn 315.112 345.61	Perevision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35	Amend Support	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation trimming/clearna necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid, including (but number to limited to) trimming (but number) required by the Electricity (triazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance, and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy directive within NetCO-293 stut voice's for a daversary fact the biodiversity values' does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy EF. Considers the policy is drafted such that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such a neguriement is norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure which will onch have alleft requirements and obligations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpover seeks amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Grid and ensuing safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dption A) [Relef to onginal submission for full reason] Supports the policy. While Transpower supports the provision of a policy specific to the National Grid, in its submission Transpower seeks	Amend policy IN-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid Infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance; and repair on the source of the source	Independent Hearings Panel Becommendations	No Dianges to PDP? Yes No
Transpower New Zealand Limited Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Transpower New Zealand	Nn 315.112 345.61	Perevision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35	Amend Support	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation trimming/clearna necessary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid, including (but number to limited to) trimming (but number) required by the Electricity (triazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance, and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy directive within NetCO-293 stut voice's for a daversary fact the biodiversity values' does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy EF. Considers the policy is drafted such that all and any adverse effects are to be avoided. Considers that such a neguriement is norous given the policy relates to existing infrastructure which will onch have alleft requirements and obligations in respect of adjacent vegetation. Transpover seeks amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Grid and ensuing safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dption A) [Relef to onginal submission for full reason] Supports the policy. While Transpower supports the provision of a policy specific to the National Grid, in its submission Transpower seeks	Amend policy IN-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid Infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance; and repair on the source of the source	Independent Hearings Panel Becommendations	No No
Transpower New Zealand Limited Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Transpower New Zealand	Nn 315.112 345.61	Perevision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35	Amend Support	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation trimming/clearna execssary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid, including (but number to limited to) trimming (but number) required by the Electricity (triazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance, and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy directive within NFCO-D35 that voice's for a daversary fact the biodiversity values' does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy effective within NFCO-D35 that voice's for a daversary fact the biodiversity values' does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy does not give the policy relates to existing infrastructure which will onch have a step vegetation. Transpover seeks amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Grid and ensuing safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dption A) [Relef to onginal submission for full reason] Supports the policy. Minie Transpower supports the provision of a policy specific to the National Grid, in its submission Transpower seeks	Amend policy IN-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid Infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance; and repair on the source of the source	Independent Hearings Panel Becommendations	No Dianges to PDP? Yes No
Transpower New Zealand Limited Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	Nn 315.112 345.61	Perevision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35	Amend Support	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation trimming/clearna execssary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid, including (but number to limited to) trimming (but number) required by the Electricity (triazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance, and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy directive within NFCO-D35 that voice's for a daversary fact the biodiversity values' does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy effective within NFCO-D35 that voice's for a daversary fact the biodiversity values' does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy does not give the policy relates to existing infrastructure which will onch have a step vegetation. Transpover seeks amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Grid and ensuing safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dption A) [Relef to onginal submission for full reason] Supports the policy. Minie Transpower supports the provision of a policy specific to the National Grid, in its submission Transpower seeks	Amend policy IN-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid Infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance; and repair on the source of the source	Independent Hearings Panel Becommendations	No Changes to PDP? Yes No
Transpower New Zealand Limited Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	Nn 315.112 345.61	Perevision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport //Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-P35	Amend Support	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNAs [see full submission for image supplied]. Transpower is required to undertake vegetation trimming/clearna execssary for the safe and efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid, including (but number to limited to) trimming (but number) required by the Electricity (triazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Related, is the operational requirement for clearance of vegetation on access tracks to enable Transpover to access the grid infrastructure to undertake its operation, maintenance, and upgrade. Submitter considers the policy does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy directive within NFCO-D35 that voice's for a daversary fact the biodiversity values' does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy effective within NFCO-D35 that voice's for a daversary fact the biodiversity values' does not give effect to the NFS-LT. Considers the policy does not give the policy relates to existing infrastructure which will onch have a step vegetation. Transpover seeks amendment to the policy to reflect the realities of maintaining the National Grid and ensuing safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances. (Dption A) [Relef to onginal submission for full reason] Supports the policy. Minie Transpower supports the provision of a policy specific to the National Grid, in its submission Transpower seeks	Amend policy IN-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid Infrastructure within a significant natural area) as follows: Provide for the operation, maintenance; and repair on the source of the source	Independent Hearings Panel Becommendations	No No

Waka Kotahi	370.117	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P35	Support	These providence provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other areas and values.	Retain INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid infrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.		
Director- General of	385.31	Energy Infrastruct ure and	Support	Supports proposed policy INF-ECO-P35 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid Infrastructure within		Reject	No
Conservation		Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P35		a significant natural area).	significant natural area) as notified.	Reject	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.113	Amoution Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P36	Oppose	Consists that Transpower base overlap assets within identified SNV: and is required to provide a reliable and diffuent transmission network. The SNV is across the edity are extensive and cover both the per space and rural environment. The Internet of the NPS-ET and NESTER is to provide a comprehensive regime for the National Grid recognizing its initiational inganipower has concerns INP 45C0-95 does not glue effect to the NPE-T. The costs references to the ECO bisectly transmover has concerns INP 45C0-95 does not glue effect to the NPE-T. The costs references to the ECO bisectly Transpower has concerns INP 45C0-95 does not glue effect to the NPE-T. The costs references to the ECO bisectly transpower has concerns INP 45C0-95 does not glue effect to the NPE-T. The costs references to the NPE-T. The transpower has concerns INP 45C0-95 does not glue effect to the NPE-T. The costs references to the NPE-T. The transpower has concerns INP 45C0-95 does not glue effect to the NPE-T. The transpower has the transpower has proposed to the cross reference be the CO palcing. It has reservations that a direct cross reference to general galdriss will not reflect the management hierarchy is not appropriate for all maintenance activities given the necessity of the works. Transpower has proposed an amended policy approach to give effect to the NPE-T. [Infer to original submission for full reason]	Opposes policy INF-ECO-P36 (Upgrading the National Grid within significant natural areas) and seeks amendment.	Geronmendallan	
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.114	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P36	Amend	Considers that Transpower has existing assets within identified SNA's and is required to provide a reliable and efficient transmission network. The SNA's across the dray are extensive and cover both the open space and rural environments. The intert of the VM-SF1 and VESTLA's to provide a comprehensive regime for the National Cenf creating transmost significance. Of relevance to the upgrading of the National Cenf Cenf Sector State (Sector Sector Secto	Amend policy INF-ECD-P36 (Upgrading the National Grid within significant natural areas) as follows: INF-ECD-P36 Upgrading the National Grid within significant natural areas provide for opgrading of the National Grid within significant natural areas and provide for the upgrading of the National Grid (NGI). Infrastructure within significant, natural areas: 1. Seek to avoid adverse effects on biodiversity values. 2. When considering major upgrades, 2. When considering major upgrades, bave regard to the extent to which adverse effects have been avoided, remedied or mitigated by the route, alte and method selection; 3. Recognite the constraints arising from the operational needs and functional needs of the National Grid, when considering measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate any adverse.	Accept in Part	
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.62	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Bioduversit y / INF- ECO-P36	Support	Notes incorrect reference to ECO-P2, considers ECO-P5 should also be referenced.	Amend INF-ECO-P36 (Upgrading the National Grid within significant natural areas): <u>Consider providing</u> Provide for upgrading of the National Grid within significant natural areas <u>polywhere it can be demonstrated that any</u> <u>adverse effects on indigenous</u> : <u>biodiversity are managed in accordance with</u> by applying the <u>effects management hier</u> ar dry in ECO T2<u>2</u> and ECO T5.		Yes
Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS29.25	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Oppose	While Transpower supports the provision of a policy specific to the National Grid, in its submission Transpower seeks amendment to the policy to give effect to the NPST and to ensure safe and necessary vegetation clearance distances.	- Disallow / Seeks that submission is disallowed in part in so far as the relief sought is inconsistent with that sought in Transpover's submission.	- Reject	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECOP36 Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Accept in Part Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
	No	Provision				Recommendations	

Waka Kotahi Director-General of Conservation Transpower New Zealand Umited Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	370.118 385.32 315.115 345.63	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport J Infrastruct ure Ecosystem S and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P36 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport J Infrastruct ure Ecosystem S and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P36 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport J Infrastruct ure Ecosystem S and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P37 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport J Infrastruct ure and Transport	Support Support		Retain INF-ECO-P36 (Upgrading the National Grid within significant natural areas) as notified. Retain policy INF-ECO-P36 (Upgrading the National Grid within significant natural areas) as notified. Delete INF-ECO-P37 (New development of National Grid within significant natural areas) in its entirety. [And add a new National Grid specific policy]	Reject	No
Conservation Transpower New Zealand Limited Royal Forest and Bird	315.115	Transport //infastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P36 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P37 Energy Infrastruct ure and	Oppose	The submitter does not oppose the identification of SNAs, but considers the policy approach has to be cognisant of the need to develop the National Grid and also give effect to the NFS-ET. Transpower proposes an amended policy approach specific to the National Grid in general. Considers that key to the approach is the recognition of the need to provide and enable the National Grid, whilst also providing a robust framework to manage effects. Specific UN-EC-0737, while transpower accepts the policy does have regard to the route, site and method selection process, and operational needs, considers they apply in context of the policy chapeau to 'give priority to avoiding adverse effects'. Submitter queries how the term "give priority to avoiding adverse effects' would be implemented and pure National Grid development to be considered in a comprehensive WF chapter). Considers this would earbe any new National Grid development to be considered in a comprehensive	Delete INF-ECO-P37 (New development of National Grid within significant natural areas) in its entirety.	Reject	No
Conservation Transpower New Zealand Limited Royal Forest and Bird	315.115	Transport //infastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P36 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-P37 Energy Infrastruct ure and	Oppose	The submitter does not oppose the identification of SNAs, but considers the policy approach has to be cognisant of the need to develop the National Grid and also give effect to the NFS-ET. Transpower proposes an amended policy approach specific to the National Grid in general. Considers that key to the approach is the recognition of the need to provide and enable the National Grid, whilst also providing a robust framework to manage effects. Specific UN-EC-0737, while transpower accepts the policy does have regard to the route, site and method selection process, and operational needs, considers they apply in context of the policy chapeau to 'give priority to avoiding adverse effects'. Submitter queries how the term "give priority to avoiding adverse effects' would be implemented and pure National Grid development to be considered in a comprehensive WF chapter). Considers this would earbe any new National Grid development to be considered in a comprehensive	Delete INF-ECO-P37 (New development of National Grid within significant natural areas) in its entirety.	Reject	No
Limited Royal Forest and Bird		Transport Jinfastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P37 Energy Infrastruct ure and		need to develop the National Grid and also give effect to the NPS-ET. Transpower proposes an amended policy approach ispecific to the National Grid ing neeral. Considers that key to the approach is the recognition of the need to provide and enable the National Grid, whilst also providing a robust framework to manage effects. Specific to NN-ECO-P37, while Transpower accepts the policy dess have regard to the route, site and method selection process, and operational needs, considers they apply in context of the policy dapeau to "give priority to avoiding adverse effects" sould be implemented. Queries of this requires avoiding a state default position. Transpower's preference is for development within SNA's to be addressed in the specific National Grid development policy Within the NHC chapter). Considers this would earbe any new National Grid development to be considered in a comprehensive		Reject	No
Limited Royal Forest and Bird		Transport Jinfastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P37 Energy Infrastruct ure and		need to develop the National Grid and also give effect to the NPS-ET. Transpower proposes an amended policy approach ispecific to the National Grid ing neeral. Considers that key to the approach is the recognition of the need to provide and enable the National Grid, whilst also providing a robust framework to manage effects. Specific to NN-ECO-P37, while Transpower accepts the policy dess have regard to the route, site and method selection process, and operational needs, considers they apply in context of the policy dapeau to "give priority to avoiding adverse effects" sould be implemented. Queries of this requires avoiding a state default position. Transpower's preference is for development within SNA's to be addressed in the specific National Grid development policy Within the NHC chapter). Considers this would earbe any new National Grid development to be considered in a comprehensive			
	345.63		Support in			Accept in Part	Vor
		Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-937	part	Notes incorrect reference to ECO-P2. Supports direction to give priority to avoiding advance effects. Considers this policy consisting, as in cover different and posterially conflicting alradvatis. Considers III would be simpler, and still meet the direction provided by the NPST require advances to ECO-P1, which contains an informatic conditionation of the extent to which avoided, remediad or minigrand. Notes II is also subject to part 2, including the requirement to protect significant indigenous biodiversity under s6(c).	Anned MN 4CO-937 (New development of National Grid within significant natural areas): (disp priority to avoiding adverse affects of the National Grid on significant natural areas by polying the effects management hierarchy in ECO-921 when located within significant natural areas, by 1. Hwange ergends the hearinst two which adverse offects have been avoided or milligated by the routerysite a effects selection and techniques and measures -proposed and c. Considering the constraints whitting from the operational needs of the National Grid, when con- sidering measures to avoid, -remedy or milligate any adverse-effects: 		
Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS29.26	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECOP37	Oppose	In its submission Transpower seeks deletion of reference to the National Grid within the rule given the NESETA prevails.	Disallow / Seeks that submission is disallowed in part in so far as the relief sought is inconsistent with that sought in Transpower's submission.	Reject	No
						Accept in Part	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.94	Econyclinia Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P37	Amend	Considers the wooding of this policy is inconsistent with the favoid, minimize, remedy direction of the effects management hierarchy in ECO-P1 and should be amended to be consistent.	Amend NE 5CD-937 (New development of National Grid within significant natural areas) to reference the effects management hierarchy and ensure consistency with the "avoid, minimise, remedy" direction in £CO-91 (Protection of significant natural areas).	Relat	No

Transpower New Zealand Limited	F529.3	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECOP37	Oppose	Oppose the submission in so far as it is inconsistent with the relief sought in the Transpower submission.	Dsallow		
Waka Kotahi	370.119	Energy Infrastruct ure and	Support	These provisions provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other	Retain INF-ECO-P37 (New development of National Grid within significant natural areas) as notified.	Accept in Part	No
	50115	/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P37	Jupper C	areas and values.			
Director- General of	385.33	Energy Infrastruct ure and	Support	Supports proposed policy INF-ECO-P37 (New development of National Grid within significant natural areas).	Retain policy INF-ECO-P37 (New development of National Grid within significant natural areas) as notified.	Reject	No
Conservation		Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P37				Reject	Ng
Royal Forest and Bird	345.64	Energy Infrastruct ure and		Notes INF-ECO-S19 provides for cutting new tracks up to 2.5m wide in SNAs. Considers this is not appropriate as a	Amend INF-ECO-R41 (Operation, maintenance, repair, and removal of existing infrastructure within a significant	Neject	NO
Protection Society		Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R41	part	permitted activity, and does not give effect to INF-ECO P33. Seeks either deletion of this activity from INF-ECO-S19, or amend this Permitted activity rule. Considers that new tracks should be a B0 activity. Notes INF-ECO-S20 is not clear as a twist whether it applies to earthworks for the maintenance of existing tracks, or for new tracks. Considers that new tracks should not be a Permitted activity as this does not give effect to INF-ECO P33. Considers that new tracks should be dearly excluded from being a Permitted activity.	natural areal to exclude new tracks from being a Permitted activity. Add new sub-rule making new tracks a Restricted Discretionary activity.	Relect	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.65	Jarovision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport I Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R41	Oppose in part		Amend NF-ECO-R41 (Operation, maintenance, repair, and removal of existing infrastructure within a significant natural area): 2. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. Compliance with any of the requirements of NF-ECO-R41.1 cannot be achieved; and a. Compliance with any of the requirements of NF-ECO-R41.1 cannot be achieved; and b. The significant natural area does, not control and many matters identified in. Delivo 11 of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Istement 2010 where located within the Coastal Invironment. Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters in NHE-CO 701 (or <u>Fifth Pack to ECO P11</u>) 2. The extent and effect of non-compliance with any relevant standard not met as specified in the associated assessment criteria for the infinged standard.	econical/21031	

Marketer Ale Marketer								
Image: Section of the section of th	Royal Forest and Bird	345.66	Energy Infrastruct ure and	Amend	Seeks new rule to give effect to policy 11 of NZCPS.	Add new rule INF-ECO-R41.3 (Operation, maintenance, repair, and removal of existing infrastructure within a		
Image: Second	Protection Society		Transport			significant natural area):		
Ale Mark Series Mark			/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem			 Activity status: Non Complying Where: 		
Number Numer Numer Numer <td></td> <td></td> <td>s and Biodiversit y / INF-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>a. Compliance with the requirements of INF-ECO R41.1 cannot be achieved; and</td> <td></td> <td></td>			s and Biodiversit y / INF-			a. Compliance with the requirements of INF-ECO R41.1 cannot be achieved; and		
Image: Section of the section of th			ECO-R41			b. The significant natural area_includes matters identified in Policy_11 of the New Zealand Coastal Policy_		
Image: Section of the section of t						Statement 2010 where located within the Coastal Environment. Section 88 information requirements for applications		
Note								
Image: Section of the section of t								
Image: Section of the section of t						1. Identifying the indigenous biodiversity values and potential impacts from the proposal; and		
Instruction Instrument Instru						2. Demonstrating that ECO P5 (or		
Instruction Instrument Instru								
Instruction Instrument Instru								
Instruction Instrument Instru								
Instruction Instrument Instru								
Instruction Instrument Instru								
Instruction Instrument Instru								
Instruction Instrument Instru								
Instruction Instrument Instru								
Instruction Instrument Instru								
Instruction Instrument Instru								
Instruction Instrument Instru								
Instruction Instrument Instru								
Instruction Instrument Instru								
Instruction Instrument Instru								
Instruction Instrument Instru								
Instruction Instrument Instru								
Instruction Instrument Instru								
Instruction Instrument Instru							Reject	No
Instruction Instrument Instru	Chorus New Zealand	F\$25.14	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct	Onnose	Non-complying activity status for operation, maintenance, repair and removal of infrastructure, from significant natural	Disallow		
Link Link Strager Junited as States				-ppor				
Instrument Image: Second								
Name No. Solar Solar <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
Ministry June								
Space Space <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>								
Image: April 1000 Image: April 10000 Image: April 100000 Image: Apri								
Number Numer Numer Numer <td>()</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	()							
Number Numer Numer Numer <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
Number Numer Numer Numer <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
Number Numer Numer Numer <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
Number Numer Numer Numer <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
Number Numer Numer Numer <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Accept</td> <td>No</td>							Accept	No
Number Numer Numer Numer <td>Submitter Name</td> <td>Sub No / Point</td> <td>Sub-part / Chapter</td> <td>Position</td> <td>Summary of Submission</td> <td>Decisions Requested</td> <td>Independent Hearings Panel</td> <td>Changes to PDP?</td>	Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
with a log in a l		No	/Provision				Recommendations	
Image: Section State Part of	Powerco Limited	FS61.14	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct	Oppose	Non-complying activity status for operation, maintenance, repair and removal of infrastructure from significant natural	Disallow		
Image: Application of the constrained o			ure and Transport		areas not meeting permitted activity standard is not supported. The current restricted discretionary activity status allows			
In all block or in / Arrow In all block or in / Arrow <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>								
Image:			s and Biodiversit y / INF-					
Matrix / Decg. Matrix			FCO 041					
Matrix / Decg. Matrix								
Matrix / Decg. Matrix			200-941					
Matrix / Decg. Matrix			200-941					
Matrix / Decg. Matrix			200-941					
Matrix / Decg. Matrix			200-741					
Matrix / Decg. Matrix			200-941					
Matrix / Decg. Matrix			ECO-P41					
Matrix / Decg. Matrix			EU-+41					
Matrix / Decg. Matrix			EU-#41				Accept	No
Image: Numper:	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	F\$72.35		Oppose	Considers the restricted discretionary status is acceptable where requirements of INF-ECO-R41.1 cannot be met.	Dsallow	Accept	No
Image: Numper:	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	F\$72.35	Part 2 / District- Wide	Oppose		Dsallow	Accept	No
Image: set in the set in	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	F\$72.35	Part 2 / District- Wide Matters / Energy,	Oppose	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural	Disallow	Accept	No
Image: space of the processing of the space of the properties of the pr	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	F\$72.35	Part 2 / District- Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and	Oppose	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions	Dsallow	Accept	No
Image: set in the set in the section of the integrate in the section is consolid data grapher of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section is consolid data grapher of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section is consolid data grapher of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section is consolid data grapher of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section is consolid data grapher of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section is consolid data grapher of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section is consolid data grapher of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section is consolid data grapher of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section 3.2 of the RMA Image: set in the section 3.2 of the RMA <td>KiwiRail Holdings Limited</td> <td>F\$72.35</td> <td>Part 2 / District- Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport</td> <td>Oppose</td> <td>Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contray rto, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater</td> <td>Disallow</td> <td>Accept</td> <td>No</td>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	F\$72.35	Part 2 / District- Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport	Oppose	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contray rto, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater	Disallow	Accept	No
with a body No Accept No Mandata Energy Limited 7501.38 Part 2 / Energy Minfatture (infrastructure will reage scaled on complying activity status. The rule in not necessary because, within 344a, most (infrastructure activity) status in a robust on testing activity status. The rule in not necessary because, within 344a, most (infrastructure activity) status in a robust on testing activity status. The rule in not necessary because, within 344a, most (infrastructure activity) status in a robust on testing activity status. The rule in not necessary because, within 344a, most (infrastructure activity) status in a robust on testing activity status. The rule in not necessary because, within 344a, most (infrastructure activity) status in a robust on testing activity status. The rule in not necessary because, within 344a, most (infrastructure activity) status in a robust on testing activity status. The rule in not necessary because, within 344a, most (infrastructure activity) status in a robust on testing infrastructure on testing infrastructure activity status in a robust on testing infrastructure within a ignificant materian and a societies of the rule infrastructure on testing infrastructure within a ignificant materian and a societies of the rule infrastructure on testing infrastructure within a ignificant materian and a societies of the rule infrastructure on testing infrastructure within a ignificant materian and a societies.	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	F\$72.35	Part 2 / District- Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem	Oppose	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chy, and is therefore contrary to or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RNA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greatent Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Linba Development 2020; (c) will not meet the	Dsallow	Accept	No
Image: Section 1 Section 2 Section	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	F\$72.35	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Oppose	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act, (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; (c) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse	Disallow	Accept	No
Image: heat properties Image: heat properties <theat properite<="" th=""> <theat properties<="" th=""> Im</theat></theat>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	F572.35	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Oppose	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chu, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the forsate Wellington Regional Policy Satement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; [c] will not meet the reasonably forse-saale needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will on eable the social, connomic and cultural welbeng of people of Wellington (Figure 1).	Dsallow	Accept	<u>ND</u>
Image: heat properties Image: heat properties <theat properite<="" th=""> <theat properties<="" th=""> Im</theat></theat>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	F\$72.35	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Oppose	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chu, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the forsate Wellington Regional Policy Satement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; [c] will not meet the reasonably forse-saale needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will on eable the social, connomic and cultural welbeng of people of Wellington (Figure 1).	Disallow	Accept	No
Image: heat properties Image: heat properties <theat properite<="" th=""> <theat properties<="" th=""> Im</theat></theat>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	F572.35	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Oppose	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chu, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the forsate Wellington Regional Policy Satement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; [c] will not meet the reasonably forse-saale needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will on eable the social, connomic and cultural welbeng of people of Wellington (Figure 1).	Dsallow	Accept	ND
Image: heat properties Image: heat properties <theat properite<="" th=""> <theat properties<="" th=""> Im</theat></theat>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	F572.35	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Oppose	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chu, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the forsate Wellington Regional Policy Satement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; [c] will not meet the reasonably forse-saale needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will on eable the social, connomic and cultural welbeng of people of Wellington (Figure 1).	Disallow	Accept	No
Image: heat properties Image: heat properties <theat properite<="" th=""> <theat properties<="" th=""> Im</theat></theat>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	F572.35	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Oppose	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chu, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the forsate Wellington Regional Policy Satement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; [c] will not meet the reasonably forse-saale needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will on enable the social, connomic and cultural welbeng of people of Wellington (Figure 1).	Dsallow	Accept	ND
Image: heat properties Image: heat properties <theat properite<="" th=""> <theat properties<="" th=""> Im</theat></theat>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	F572.35	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Oppose	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chu, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the forsate Wellington Regional Policy Satement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; [c] will not meet the reasonably forse-saale needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will on enable the social, connomic and cultural welbeng of people of Wellington (Figure 1).	Disallow	Accept	No
Image: heat properties Image: heat properties <theat properite<="" th=""> <theat properties<="" th=""> Im</theat></theat>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	F\$72.35	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Oppose	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chu, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the forsate Wellington Regional Policy Satement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; [c] will not meet the reasonably forse-saale needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will on enable the social, connomic and cultural welbeng of people of Wellington (Figure 1).	Dsallow	Accept	ND
Image: heat properties Image: heat properties <theat properite<="" th=""> <theat properties<="" th=""> Im</theat></theat>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	1572.35	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Oppose	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chu, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the forsate Wellington Regional Policy Satement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; [c] will not meet the reasonably forse-saale needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will on enable the social, connomic and cultural welbeng of people of Wellington (Figure 1).	Disallow	Accept	No
Image: heat properties Image: heat properties <theat properite<="" th=""> <theat properties<="" th=""> Im</theat></theat>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	F572.35	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF-	Oppose	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chu, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the forsate Wellington Regional Policy Satement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; [c] will not meet the reasonably forse-saale needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will on enable the social, connomic and cultural welbeng of people of Wellington (Figure 1).	Dsallow	Accept	<u>N0</u>
Image: here Image: here SNA of operation, maintenance and repair. Removal of infrastructure does not warrant noncomplying activity status. Its so for here is and solversity / NF- ECO-RA1. SNA of operation, maintenance and repair. Removal of infrastructure does not warrant noncomplying activity status. Its solversity status. Its solversity / NF- ECO-RA1. SNA of operation, maintenance and repair. Removal of infrastructure does not warrant noncomplying activity status. Its solversity / NF- ECO-RA1. Nonce Nonce Nonce Nonce Wake Xotah 370.120 Energy Infrastructure and solversity / NF- ECO-RA1. SNA of operation, maintenance infrastructure overlaps with other and solversity / NF- ECO-RA1. Retain NF-ECO-RA1.(Operation, maintenance, repair and removal of existing infrastructure within a significant natural and solversity / NF- ECO-RA1. Nonce Wake Xotah SNA of operation, maintenance infrastructure overlaps with other and solversity / NF- ECO-RA1. Retain NF-ECO-RA1.(Operation, maintenance, repair and removal of existing infrastructure within a significant natural and solversity / NF- ECO-RA1. Nonce Wake Xotah SNA of operation, maintenance, repair and removal of existing infrastructure within a significant natural and solversity / NF- ECO-RA1. Nonce Nonce Wake Xotah SNA of operation, maintenance, repair and removal of existing infrastructure within a significant natural and solversity / NF- ECO-RA1. Nonce Nonce Nonce			Part 2 / District- Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-R41		Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chr., and is therefore contrary to or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Reignon Palicy Statement and National Palicy Statement for Urban Development 2002. (c) will not meet the rassonably forsesable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the emoryment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and outland wellsneig of populor 40 Wellington CP), and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA.		Accept Accept	No
Image: second biodiversity / NF- Image: second biodiversity / NF- <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Part 2 / District- Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R41 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct</td><td></td><td>Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chy, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the foreater Wellington Regioned Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urbano Development 2020; [c] will not meet the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will not anable the social, encomic and cultural wellbeing of polegio Vellengtion (TL); and [f] is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most</td><td></td><td>Accept</td><td><u>N0</u></td></th<>			Part 2 / District- Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R41 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct		Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chy, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the foreater Wellington Regioned Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urbano Development 2020; [c] will not meet the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will not anable the social, encomic and cultural wellbeing of polegio Vellengtion (TL); and [f] is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most		Accept	<u>N0</u>
Image: CO-RA1 ECO-RA1 Eco-RA1 <theco-ra1< th=""> Eco-RA1 Eco-RA1<!--</td--><td></td><td></td><td>Part 2 / District- Wilde Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-R41 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport</td><td></td><td>Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chry, and is therefore contrary to or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the forsater Wellington Regional Palicy Statement and National Palicy Statement for Urbane Development 2020; [c] will not meet the rassonably forsesable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the emoty memory (e) will meal the value concernic and outland wellinging Oregoined Vellengtion (C), and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SWAs, most infrastructure will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent will manage effects on the values of the</td><td></td><td>Accept Accept</td><td>No No</td></theco-ra1<>			Part 2 / District- Wilde Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-R41 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport		Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chry, and is therefore contrary to or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the forsater Wellington Regional Palicy Statement and National Palicy Statement for Urbane Development 2020; [c] will not meet the rassonably forsesable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the emoty memory (e) will meal the value concernic and outland wellinging Oregoined Vellengtion (C), and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SWAs, most infrastructure will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent will manage effects on the values of the		Accept Accept	No No
Nake Kotahi Storage Support Transport Reservoicions provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other and provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other and provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other and provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other and provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other and provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other and provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other and provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other and provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other and provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other and provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other and provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other and provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other and provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other and provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other and provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other and provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other and provide clear guidance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with othere different intere			Part 2 / District- Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R41 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ecosystem		Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chy, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the foreater Wellington Regioned Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not anoid, comonic and cultural welbeing of policy of Wellington (Tity, and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most infrastructure will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent will manage effects on the values of the SMA of operation, maintennez, and regular. Removal of Infrastructure des not warrant noncomplying activity status.		Accept	<u>N0</u>
Transport / Infrastructure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-PA1			Part 2 / District- Wilde Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-841 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct are and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF-		Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chy, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the foreater Wellington Regioned Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not anoid, comonic and cultural welbeing of policy of Wellington (Tity, and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most infrastructure will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent will manage effects on the values of the SMA of operation, maintennez, and regular. Removal of Infrastructure des not warrant noncomplying activity status.		Accept	No No
Transport / Infrastructure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-PA1			Part 2 / District- Wilde Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-841 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct are and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF-		Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chy, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the foreater Wellington Regioned Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not anoid, comonic and cultural welbeing of policy of Wellington (Tity, and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most infrastructure will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent will manage effects on the values of the SMA of operation, maintennez, and regular. Removal of Infrastructure des not warrant noncomplying activity status.		Accept	<u>No</u>
Transport / Infrastructure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-PA1			Part 2 / District- Wilde Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-841 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct are and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF-		Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chy, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the foreater Wellington Regioned Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not anoid, comonic and cultural welbeing of policy of Wellington (Tity, and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most infrastructure will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent will manage effects on the values of the SMA of operation, maintennez, and regular. Removal of Infrastructure des not warrant noncomplying activity status.		Accept	No No
Transport / Infrastructure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-PA1			Part 2 / District- Wilde Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-841 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct are and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF-		Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chy, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the foreater Wellington Regioned Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not anoid, comonic and cultural welbeing of policy of Wellington (Tity, and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most infrastructure will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent will manage effects on the values of the SMA of operation, maintennez, and regular. Removal of Infrastructure des not warrant noncomplying activity status.		Accept	<u>N0</u>
Transport / Infrastructure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-PA1			Part 2 / District- Wilde Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-841 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct are and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF-		Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chy, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the foreater Wellington Regioned Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not anoid, comonic and cultural welbeing of policy of Wellington (Tity, and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most infrastructure will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent will manage effects on the values of the SMA of operation, maintennez, and regular. Removal of Infrastructure des not warrant noncomplying activity status.		Accept	No
Transport / Infrastructure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-PA1			Part 2 / District- Wilde Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-841 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct are and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF-		Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chy, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the foreater Wellington Regioned Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not anoid, comonic and cultural welbeing of policy of Wellington (Tity, and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most infrastructure will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent will manage effects on the values of the SMA of operation, maintennez, and regular. Removal of Infrastructure des not warrant noncomplying activity status.		Accept	<u>N0</u>
Transport / Infrastructure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-PA1			Part 2 / District- Wilde Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-841 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct are and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF-		Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chy, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the foreater Wellington Regioned Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not anoid, comonic and cultural welbeing of policy of Wellington (Tity, and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most infrastructure will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent will manage effects on the values of the SMA of operation, maintennez, and regular. Removal of Infrastructure des not warrant noncomplying activity status.		Accept	No
Transport / Infrastructure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-PA1			Part 2 / District- Wilde Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-841 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct are and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF-		Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chy, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the foreater Wellington Regioned Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not anoid, comonic and cultural welbeing of policy of Wellington (Tity, and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most infrastructure will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent will manage effects on the values of the SMA of operation, maintennez, and regular. Removal of Infrastructure des not warrant noncomplying activity status.		Accept	<u>N0</u>
Transport / Infrastructure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-PA1			Part 2 / District- Wilde Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-841 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct are and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF-		Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Wellington Chy, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the foreater Wellington Regioned Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not anoid, comonic and cultural welbeing of policy of Wellington (Tity, and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most infrastructure will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent will manage effects on the values of the SMA of operation, maintennez, and regular. Removal of Infrastructure des not warrant noncomplying activity status.		Accept	<u>No</u>
/ /infastruct ure Ecosystem 6 and Biodversit y / NF- ECO-R41	Meridian Energy Umited	P5101.58	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-R41 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-R41	Оррозе	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resource in Wellington Chy, and is therefore contrary to or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the forsater Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not net tell reasonably forsesable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will no nabite the social, exonomic and cultural wellenge of peoples Vellengton City; and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most infrastructure will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consert will manage effects on the walkes of the SNA of operation, maintenance and regular. Removal of Intrastructure does not warrant noncomplying activity status. It is also relevant that this activity status has not been retained in the Natural and Built Environments Bill.	Dsaltow	Accept Accept	N0 N0
s and BlodWestr // NF- ECO-R41	Meridian Energy Umited	P5101.58	Part 2 / District- Wilde Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-R41 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-R41 Energy Infrastruct ure and	Оррозе	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resource in Wellington Chi, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regionary Policy Statement and National Policy Statement. For Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; [c] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [c] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [c] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [c] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [c] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [c] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [c] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [c] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [c] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and or the advective will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent will manage effects on the values of the SNA of operation, maintenance and regular. Removal of Intrastructure does not warrant noncomplying activity tatus. It is also relevant that this activity status has not been retained in the Natural and Built Environments Bill. These provisions provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other	Disallow Retain INF-ECO-R41 (Operation, maintenance, repair and removal of existing infrastructure within a significant natural	Accept Accept	<u>No</u>
	Meridian Energy Umited	P5101.58	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport 2 / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem 3 and Biodevesity / INF- ECO-R41 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem 3 and Biodevesity / INF- ECO-R41	Оррозе	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resource in Wellington Chi, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regionary Policy Statement and National Policy Statement. For Urban Development 2020; (c) will not next the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will not able the social, economic and cultural wellising of people Vellengtion (Tig); and [f] is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most inflaritruture will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent numerant noncomplying activity status. It is also relevant that this activity status has not been retained in the Natural and Built Environments Bill. These provisions provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other	Disallow Retain INF-ECO-R41 (Operation, maintenance, repair and removal of existing infrastructure within a significant natural	Accept Accept	<u>No</u>
	Meridian Energy Umited	P5101.58	Part 2 / District- Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-R41 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R41 Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem	Оррозе	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resource in Wellington Chi, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regionary Policy Statement and National Policy Statement. For Urban Development 2020; (c) will not next the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will not able the social, economic and cultural wellising of people Vellengtion (Tig); and [f] is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most inflaritruture will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent numerant noncomplying activity status. It is also relevant that this activity status has not been retained in the Natural and Built Environments Bill. These provisions provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other	Disallow Retain INF-ECO-R41 (Operation, maintenance, repair and removal of existing infrastructure within a significant natural	Accept Accept	<u>No</u>
Accept in part No	Meridian Energy Umited	P5101.58	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-#41 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-#41	Оррозе	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resource in Wellington Chi, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regionary Policy Statement and National Policy Statement. For Urban Development 2020; (c) will not next the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will not able the social, economic and cultural wellising of people Vellengtion (Tig); and [f] is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most inflaritruture will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent numerant noncomplying activity status. It is also relevant that this activity status has not been retained in the Natural and Built Environments Bill. These provisions provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other	Disallow Retain INF-ECO-R41 (Operation, maintenance, repair and removal of existing infrastructure within a significant natural	Accept Accept	No No
Accept in part No	Meridian Energy Umited	P5101.58	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-#41 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-#41	Оррозе	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resource in Wellington Chi, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regionary Policy Statement and National Policy Statement. For Urban Development 2020; (c) will not next the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will not able the social, economic and cultural wellising of people Vellengtion (Tig); and [f] is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most inflaritruture will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent numerant noncomplying activity status. It is also relevant that this activity status has not been retained in the Natural and Built Environments Bill. These provisions provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other	Disallow Retain INF-ECO-R41 (Operation, maintenance, repair and removal of existing infrastructure within a significant natural	Accept Accept	N0 N0
Accept in part No	Meridian Energy Umited	P5101.58	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-#41 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-#41	Оррозе	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resource in Wellington Chi, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regionary Policy Statement and National Policy Statement. For Urban Development 2020; (c) will not next the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will not able the social, economic and cultural wellising of people Vellengtion (Tig); and [f] is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most inflaritruture will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent numerant noncomplying activity status. It is also relevant that this activity status has not been retained in the Natural and Built Environments Bill. These provisions provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other	Disallow Retain INF-ECO-R41 (Operation, maintenance, repair and removal of existing infrastructure within a significant natural	Accept Accept	No No
Accept in part No	Meridian Energy Umited	P5101.58	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-#41 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-#41	Оррозе	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resource in Wellington Chi, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regionary Policy Statement and National Policy Statement. For Urban Development 2020; (c) will not next the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will not able the social, economic and cultural wellising of people Vellengtion (Tig); and [f] is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most inflaritruture will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent numerant noncomplying activity status. It is also relevant that this activity status has not been retained in the Natural and Built Environments Bill. These provisions provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other	Disallow Retain INF-ECO-R41 (Operation, maintenance, repair and removal of existing infrastructure within a significant natural	Accept Accept	<u>No</u>
Accept in part No	Meridian Energy Umited	P5101.58	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-#41 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-#41	Оррозе	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resource in Wellington Chi, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regionary Policy Statement and National Policy Statement. For Urban Development 2020; (c) will not next the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will not able the social, economic and cultural wellising of people Vellengtion (Tig); and [f] is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most inflaritruture will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent numerant noncomplying activity status. It is also relevant that this activity status has not been retained in the Natural and Built Environments Bill. These provisions provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other	Disallow Retain INF-ECO-R41 (Operation, maintenance, repair and removal of existing infrastructure within a significant natural	Accept Accept Accept	No No
Accept in part No	Meridian Energy Umited	P5101.58	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-#41 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-#41	Оррозе	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resource in Wellington Chi, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regionary Policy Statement and National Policy Statement. For Urban Development 2020; (c) will not next the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will not able the social, economic and cultural wellising of people Vellengtion (Tig); and [f] is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most inflaritruture will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent numerant noncomplying activity status. It is also relevant that this activity status has not been retained in the Natural and Built Environments Bill. These provisions provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other	Disallow Retain INF-ECO-R41 (Operation, maintenance, repair and removal of existing infrastructure within a significant natural	Accept Accept	<u>No</u>
Accept in part No	Meridian Energy Umited	P5101.58	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-#41 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-#41	Оррозе	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resource in Wellington Chi, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regionary Policy Statement and National Policy Statement. For Urban Development 2020; (c) will not next the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will not able the social, economic and cultural wellising of people Vellengtion (Tig); and [f] is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most inflaritruture will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent numerant noncomplying activity status. It is also relevant that this activity status has not been retained in the Natural and Built Environments Bill. These provisions provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other	Disallow Retain INF-ECO-R41 (Operation, maintenance, repair and removal of existing infrastructure within a significant natural	Accept Accept Accept	No No
	Meridian Energy Umited	P5101.58	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct ure, and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-#41 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversity / INF- ECO-#41	Оррозе	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resource in Wellington Chi, and is therefore contrany to, or inconsistent with, Pat 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; [b] is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Regionary Policy Statement and National Policy Statement. For Urban Development 2020; (c) will not next the reasonably foreseable needs of future generations; [d] will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; [e] will not able the social, economic and cultural wellising of people Vellengtion (Tig); and [f] is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA. Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The rule is not necessary because, within SMAs, most inflaritruture will require a consent to establish and the terms of the consent numerant noncomplying activity status. It is also relevant that this activity status has not been retained in the Natural and Built Environments Bill. These provisions provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other	Disallow Retain INF-ECO-R41 (Operation, maintenance, repair and removal of existing infrastructure within a significant natural	Accept Accept Accept	<u>No</u>

	T			L			,,
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.67	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Support	Supports the ability to operate, maintain, repair and remove existing infrastructure within a SNA as a permitted activity, subject to standards.	Retain INF-ECO-R41 (Operation, maintenance, repair and removal of existing intrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.		
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem					
		s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R41					
		200-141					
						Accept in part	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird	No 345.67	/Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and	Oppose in	Considers it is unclear why the matters of discretion refer to the standards, as no standards appear to be relevant to the	Amend INF-ECO-R42 (Upgrades to existing infrastructure within a significant natural area):	Recommendations	
Protection Society		Transport	part	activity. Supports the matters of discretion referring back to INF-ECO-P33.	1. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary		
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-		Seeks that ECO-P1 is also referred to. If exemption suggested is accepted, then seeks that the rule become a Non- complying activity status for upgrading existing infrastructure.	Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters in INF-ECO-P33 and <u>ECO-P1</u> ; and		
		ECO-R42			1. The extent and effect of non- compliance with any relevant standard not met as specified in the associated		
					assessment criteria for the infringed standard. Exemption: The significant natural area does not contain any matters identified in Policy 11 of the New		
					Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 where located within the Coastal Environment.		
					Add new rule INF-ECO-R42.2:		
					2. Activity status: Non Complying Where: 1. The significant natural area		
	1						
	1						
	1						
						Accept in part	Yes
Chorus New Zealand	FS25.15	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct	Oppose	Non-complying activity status for upgrading of existing infrastructure in the significant natural areas in the coastal	Disallow		
Limited (Chorus), Spark New Zealand Trading		ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem		environment (where subject to Policy 11 of the NZCPS) is not supported. This may capture areas subject to Policy 11(b) where the policy directive in the NZCPS is avoid significant adverse effects and contemplates some level of impact can be			
Limited (Spark) and		s and Biodiversit y / INF-		considered			
Vodafone New Zealand Limited		ECO-R42					
(Vodafone)							
						Reject	No
Powerco Limited	F\$61.15	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Oppose	Non-complying activity status for upgrading of existing infrastructure in the significant natural areas in the coastal environment (where subject to Policy 11 of the NZCPS) is not supported. This may capture areas subject to Policy 11(b)	Disallow		
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem		where the policy directive in the NZCPS is avoid significant adverse effects and contemplates some level of impact can be			
		s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-P42		considered.			
		200442					
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.36	Part 2 / District- Wide	Oppose	Considers the restricted discretionary status is acceptable for upgrades to existing infrastructure.	Disallow	Reject	No
in nowings chined		Matters / Energy,	-ppose	Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural			
		Infrastruct ure, and		and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater			
		Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem		Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the			
		s and Biodiversit y / INF-		reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of people of Wellington City;			
		ECO-R42		effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of people of Wellington City; and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA.			
						Reject	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	Changes to PDP?
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.59	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct	Oppose	Meridian agrees that reference to Policy ECOP1 is appropriate but opposes the requested non- complying activity status.	Amend / Allow the correction of the reference to ECO-P1.		
		ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem			Disallow the requested exemption and the proposed new non- complying activity rule.		
		s and Biodiversit y / INF-					
		ECO-R42					
						Accept in part	Yes

Waka Kotahi	370.121	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Support	These provisions provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other areas and values.	Retain INF-ECO-R42 (Upgrades to existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.		
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R42					
		ECO-842					
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.68	Energy Infrastruct ure and	Support	Supports the ability to upgrade existing infrastructure as a restricted discretionary activity and construct new	Retain INF-ECO-R42 (Upgrades to existing infrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.	Accept in part	No
		Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem		infrastructure as a discretionary activity within a SNA.			
		s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R42					
						Accept in part	
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.68	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Oppose	Considers this rule should have a non-complying activity status to give effect to S6(c) policy 11 NZCPS.	Amend INF-ECO-R43 (New infrastructure within a significant natural area): 1. Activity status- Discretionary <u>Non</u>_complying	Accept in part	NO
Protection society		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-			1. ACUVICY STATUS: OSCIECIONARY <u>NOT</u> <u>COMPLYINE</u>		
		ECO-R43					
						Reject	No
Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Spark	FS25.16	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Oppose	Non-complying activity status for new infrastructure in significant natural areas is not supported. This may be unavoidable in some circumstances due to functional need and operational need, and does not take into account the	Disallow		
New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark) and		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-		scale of any work and associated effects. It is important that infrastructure has a consent pathway in appropriate circumstances.			
Vodafone New Zealand Limited		ECO-R43					
(Vodafone)							
						Accept	No
Powerco Limited	FS61.16	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Oppose	Non-complying activity status for new infrastructure in significant natural areas is not supported. This may be unavoidable in some circumstances due to functional need and operational need, and does not take into account the	Disallow		
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-		scale of any work and associated effects. It is important that infrastructure has a consent pathway in appropriate circumstances.			
		ECO-P43					
						Accept	No
Submitter Name	No	Sub-part / Chapter /Provision	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	Changes to PDP?
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.37	Part 2 / District- Wide Matters / Energy,	Oppose	Considers the discretionary status is acceptable for new infrastructure. Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural	Disallow		
		Infrastruct ure, and Transport		and physical resources in Wellington City, and is therefore contrary to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA and the Amendment Act; (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater			
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R43		Wellington Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse			
		ECO-R43		effects on the environment; (e) will not enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of people of Wellington City; and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA.			
Mandalan Paris at in 1	F6101 63	Dank D. (Franzisch, St.	0		Biallan	Accept	No
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.60	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem	oppose	Meridian opposes the requested non-complying activity status. The submission does not provide reasoning that supports non-complying activity status in terms of the requirements of s. 32AA of the RMA.	uraliow		
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R43					
		LUU-n43					
						Accept	No

Waka Kotahi	370.122	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R43	Support	These provisions provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other areas and values.	Retain INF-ECC-R43 (New infrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.		
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	408.69	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R43	Support	Supports the ability to upgrade existing infrastructure as a restricted discretionary activity and construct new infrastructure as a discretionary activity within a SNA.	Retain INF-ECO-R43 (New infrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.	Accept	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.116	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R44	Oppose	Considers that, specific to the National Grid, the NESETA manages the trimming, felling random of ergetation and earthworks, with the activity status under the NESETA determined by the provisions in the PDP. The NESETA provides for earthworks and thread of the NESETA determined by the provisions in the PDP. The NESETA provides for earthworks and threads of the NESETA determined by the provisions in the PDP. The NESETA provides for earthworks within an SMA to managed under the NESETA. With respect to vegetation works, under Regulation 14 of the NESETA (science hersetEFT approximation and the Res and the Regulation 15 of the NESETA, which respect to vegetation works, under Regulation 10 of the NESETA, respectively if. In contrast, Transposer to vegetation works, under Regulation 10 of the NESETA, respectively if. In contrast, the NESETA With respect to vegetation works, under Regulation 10 of the NESETA, respectively if. In contrast, the NESETA with respect to vegetation works, under Regulation 10 of the NESETA, respectively if. In contrast, the NESETA With respect to vegetation works, under Regulation 10 of the NESETA, respectively if. In contrast, the NESETA with respect to vegetation works, under Regulation 10 of and works within an SMA to respect to vegetation works, under Regulation 10 of and the NESETA is not the NESETA with the NESETA (Seign 2010). It is noted the standards within INF- LCO SIG do not reflect that her NESETA and therefore Transpower does not support them applying to the National Grid The purpose of the NESETA is not therefore Transpower does not support them applying to the National Grid The purpose of the NESETA is not portical a comprehensive, national Grid The purpose of the NESETA is not portical a comprehensive, national transpower does not support the portical to an and there to original submission for full reason).		Accept in Part	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point						
	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand Limited	No 315.117	/Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R44	Amend	Considers that, specific to the National Grid, the NESTA manages the trimming, felling and removal of vegetation and earthworks, with the activity status under the NESTA determined by the provisions in the PDP. The NESTA provides for earthworks and trimming, felling or remood I and vegetation as permitted activities subject to conditions. Considers that the default activity status of Restricted discretionary under clause 3 does not reflect that provided under regulation 3 dort the NESTA (science NESTA Prevails, Transpower considers clause 1 of BuR R44 is not regulated as the cortrol of earthworks within an SNA is managed under the NESTA. With respect to vegetation works, under Regulation 31 dor the NESTA (science corners is required under Regulation 31 for sa controlled activity) or Regulation 32 dor a restricted discretionary activity) if: A. Twie prohibitis or restricts the works (Reg 30(2)(a)); or A. Twie grobitistic or testricts the works (Reg 30(2)(a)); or B. The vegetation is in a "natural area" (a term defined in NESTA) (Reg 30(2)(b). It is noted the canderds within INF- 2013 do softened that III of the Visio dei a compret transpower does not support terms applying to the Netional Crid Assets. Transpower opports the imposition of rules to manage existing assets, noting those provided in Rule R44 do not reflect the NESTA. Considers the potential is for confusion over plan interpretation and implementation. [Refer to original submission for full reason]	Amend INF-ECO-R44 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid (NG) & Gas Transmission Pipeline Corridor (GTPC) Infrastructure within a significant natural area) by deleting reference to the National Grid from the rule.	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations Accept in Part	Changes to PDP?
Transpower New Zealand	No	/Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-		Considers that, specific to the National Grid, the NESTA manages the trimming, felling and removal of vegetation and earthworks, with the activity status under the NESTA determined by the provisions in the PDP. The NESTA provides for earthworks and triming, felling or removal of any vegetation as permitted activities subject to conditions. Considers that the default activity status of Restricted discretionary under clause 3 does not reflect that provided under regulation 3 of the NESTA. Given the NESTA provents, Transpower condities Gaussian Default Park B41 to retrapedired as the cortrol of acatthworks within an SNA is managed under the NESTA. With respect to vegetation works, under Regulation 30 of the NESTA, resource consents is required under Regulation 31 (for a controlled activity) or Regulation 32 for a restricted discretionary activity) if. A rule prohibits or restricts the works (Reg 30(2)(a)); or B. The vegetation is in "natural area" (a term defined in NESTA) (Reg 30(2)(b). It is noted the standards within INF- ECO-S10 do not reflect that in the NESTA and therefore Transpower does not support them applying to the National Grid The purpose of the NESTA is not provide a comprehense, nationally consister Transwork to existin Tamowork for existing National Grid The purpose of the NESTA is not provide a comprehense, nationally consister Transmork resisting National Grid The purpose of the NESTA is not provide a comprehense, nationally consister Transmork resisting National Grid The purpose the INFEAT is not pertial for computing vegetation and implementation.	Amend INF-ECO-R44 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid (NG) & Gas Transmission Pipeline	Berommendations	Yes No.

			a .	A 11 JE-1941 ADD 1			,
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.70	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R44	Oppose in part	Considers that if this activity is to remain controlled, it should have some parameters around it, for example how close the vegetation removal needs to be to the lines to still come within the controlled rule.	Add additional matters of control to INI-FECO-R44.2 to include additional parameters, e.g. how close vegetation removal can be to the lines to come within the Controlled activity status.		
						Reject	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.71	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Blodversit y / INF- ECO-R44	Oppose in part	INF-ECO-PAG-3. Supports the matters of discretion referring back to INF-ECO-P3, and also seeks that ECO-P1 is referred to Consider rule hould include an exercise take in policy 11 of ACOS. If exemption is suggested is accepted, then seeks that the rule become a Non-complying activity status activities in SNA's with policy 11 matters.	Amend INF-ECO-R44 (Operation, maintenance and repair of existing National Grid (NG) & Gas Transmission Pipeline Corrido (GTPC) Infrastructure within a significant natural area): 3. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. Compliance with the requirements of IMF-ECO-R44.1 or INF-ECO-R44.2 cannot be achieved Matters of discretion are: 1. The extent and effect of non-compliance with any relevant standard not met as specified in the associated assessment criteria for the infringed standard; and 2. The matters in INF-ECO-P33 and ECO-P1. Exemption: The significant standar and adds indis non-matters igleonfiled in Policy 11 of the New. Zealand Coastal Policy Statement, 2010 where located within the Coastal Environment.		
Transpower New Zealand Limited	F529.28	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem sandBiodeversit y / INF- ECO- R44	Oppose	In its submission Transpower seeks deletion of reference to the National Grid within the rule given the NESETA prevails.	Dsallow	Roject	No.
Transpower New Zealand	315.118	Energy Infrastruct ure and	Oppose	Considers that, specific to the National Grid, the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity		Recept and are	110
Limited		Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R45		Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009 (TNESTR ¹) provides prevailing provisions for maintenance, reconductoring, increasing voltage, structure addition reglacement, and removal, for the National Grid, and on this basis, NH-ECO-ABA for existing National Grid structures captured by the NESETA is of limited relevance to Transpower in respect of rule application. It is noted the NESETA provides a Discretionary activity status under Regulations 39 of the NESETA for those activities subject to the NESETA the not otherwise captured under other regulations in the NESETA. The purpose of the NESETA is to provide a comprehensive, nationally consistent framework for existing National Grid Assets. Transpower opposes the imposition of rules to manage existing assets and instead seeks reliance on the NESETA.	entirety.	Accept in Part	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	.05 345.72	Tomitian Energy infrastruct ure and Transpot I infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R45	Support in part	Supports matters of discretion referring to INF-ECD-P36 subject to the submission point made on that policy. Seeks that ECD-F1 also be referred to. If exemption suggested is accepted, then seeks that the rule become a Non-complying activity status for upgrading existing infrastructure.	Amend INF-ECD-R45 [Upgrading of existing National Grid (NG) infrastructure within a significant natural area): 1. ACMIV status. Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters in INF-ECO-P36 and ECO-P1. Exemption. The significant natural area disc not contain any matters i, identified in Policy 11 of the New. Zealand Costat Policy Statement, 2010 where located within the Costat Environment. Add new sub-view. 2. ACMIV status: Kon-tompking Where: 1. The significant natural area. Includes matters identified in Policy, 11 of the New Zealand Costat Policy. Statement 2010 where located within the Costat Environment. Section 88 Information requirements.		

		a . a / a			n. u		
Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS29.29	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO- R45	Oppose	In its submission Transpower seeks deletion of reference to the National Grid within the rule given the NESETA prevails.	Disallow		
						Accept in Part	No
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.73	Energy infrastruct ure and Transport / infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R46	Support in part	Supports the matters of discretion referring back to NF-ECO #29, subject to amendments supplic on that poles, Seek that ECOP1 is and referred to .Should node exemption for activities in SNa to containing any poles 11 matters. Seeks to add subsequent Non-complying activity status rule for activities in breach of this exemption.	Amend INF ECD-846 (Upgrading existing gas transmission pipeline corridor within a significant natural area): 1. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. The infrastructure is located underground, or b. The infrastructure is located within an existing road reserve. Exemption: he significant natural area does not contain any wratters: identified in Policy 11 of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement, 2010 where located within the Coastal Environment. Matters of discretion are: The matters in NN-ECD-898 and ECD-91. Add new sub-rule INF ECD-846.: Addiney sub-rule INF ECD-846.: Addiney sub-rule INF ECD-846.: Addiney sub-rule INF ECD-846.: Addiney sub-rule and Environment.		
						Reject	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	345.74	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R46	Oppose in part	Considers the activity should be non-complying.	Amend INF ECD-R46 (Upgrading existing gas transmission pipeline corridor within a significant natural area): 2. Activity statis: Discretionary <u>Kon</u>, <u>complying</u> Where: Compliance with any of the requirements of INF-ECO-R46.1 cannot be achieved.	Beleft	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited	315.119	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R47	Support	Considers the activity status and associated policies provide an appropriate framework in which to manage the National Grid.	Retain NF-ECO-R47 (New National Grid (NG) & Gas Transmission Pipeline Corridor (GTPC) infrastructure within a significant natural area) as notified.		
Royal Forest and Bird	345.75	Energy Infrastruct ure and	Oppose in	Considers this rule should have a non-complying activity status.	Amend INF-ECO-R47 (New National Grid (NG) & Gas Transmission Pipeline Corridor (GTPC) infrastructure within a	Reject	No
Protection Society		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-R47	part		norden (even of the second of	Beleft	No
Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS29.30	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Oppose	Transpower supports the discretionary activity status. The activity status and associated policies provide an appropriate framework in which to manage the National Grid, and a non-complying activity status would not give effect to the NPSET	Disallow		
		ure and Tensport Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO- R47		indinectors in which to manage we national only, and a non-compying activity satus would not give effect to use in set		Accept in Part	No

Fire and Emergency New Zealand	273.42	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Support in	Considers that a new assessment matter should be added in order to ensure that fire risk mitigation is taken into account when assessing applications to trim or remove indigenous vegetation in areas subject to high fire risk.	Amend INF-ECO-S19 (Trimming or removal of indigenous vegetation or trees within a significant natural area), with amendment		
zealariu		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem	part	when assessing applications to unit or remove indigenous vegetation in areas subject to high me risk.	amenument.		
		s and Biodiversit y / INF-					
		ECO-S19					
						Reject	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel Recommendations	Changes to PDP?
	273.43	Energy Infrastruct ure and	Amend	Considers that a new assessment matter should be added in order to ensure that fire risk mitigation is taken into account	Amend INF-ECO-S19 (Trimming or removal of indigenous vegetation or trees within a significant natural area) as		
Zealand		Transport		when assessing applications to trim or remove indigenous vegetation in areas subject to high fire risk.	follows:		
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-			Assessment criteria:		
		ECO-S19			 3. The degree to which the trimming or removal of affected vegetation will provide for the health and safety of		
					people, property, and the environment through the management of fire risk.		
	1						
	1						
						Relect	No
Royal Forest and Bird	345.76	Energy Infrastruct ure and	Oppose in	Considers the width in paragraph 1 should be limited to 2m to accommodate an existing track. Opposes new tracks being	Amend INF-ECO-S19 (Trimming or removal of indigenous vegetation or trees within a significant natural area):	135ptek	
Protection Society	1	Transport	part	a Permitted activity. Replace assessment criteria with that listed under ECO-S1 to give effect to INF-ECO-P33.	1. Trimming or removal of indigenous vegetation or trees within a significant natural area must be limited to 2m		
	1	/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem			within the footprint of existing infrastructure, access tracks or fences to accommodate an existing track-		
	1	s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-S19			Assessment criteria:		
					 Operational of functional needs of immastructure; and The effect of the activity and removal on the identified biodiversity values of the significant natural area and the m 		
					easures taken to avoid, minimise or remedy the		
						Reject	
					to offset biodiversity impacts.		
					 The extent to which the trimming or removal of indigenous vegetation limits the loss, damage or disruption to the ecological processes, functions 		
					ecological processes, runctions		
Transpower New Zealand							No
	FS29.31	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct	Oppose	On the basis INF-ECO-R44 is to be amended so it does not apply to the National Grid, Standard S19 will not be applicable	Disallow / Seeks that submission is disallowed in part in so far as the relief sought is inconsistent with that sought in		No
Limited	FS29.31	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Oppose	On the basis INF-ECO-R44 is to be amended so it does not apply to the National Grid, Standard S19 will not be applicable to the National Grid. If the intent is for S19 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the	Disallow / Seeks that submission is disallowed in part in so far as the relief sought is inconsistent with that sought in Transpover's submission.		No
	FS29.31	ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem	Oppose	to the National Grid. If the intent is for S19 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESETA manages vegetation works for existing National Grid infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to			No
	FS29.31	ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-	Oppose	to the National Grid. If the intent is for \$19 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the			No
	FS29.31	ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem	Oppose	to the National Grid. If the intent is for S19 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESETA manages vegetation works for existing National Grid infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to			No
	FS29.31	ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-	Oppose	to the National Grid. If the intent is for S19 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESETA manages vegetation works for existing National Grid infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to			No
	FS29.31	ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-	Oppose	to the National Grid. If the intent is for S19 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESETA manages vegetation works for existing National Grid infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to			No
	FS29.31	ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-	Oppose	to the National Grid. If the intent is for S19 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESETA manages vegetation works for existing National Grid infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to			No
	F529.31	ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-	Oppose	to the National Grid. If the intent is for S19 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESETA manages vegetation works for existing National Grid infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to			No
	F529.31	ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-	Oppose	to the National Grid. If the intent is for S19 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESETA manages vegetation works for existing National Grid infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to			No
Limited		ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECOS19		to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages expectation works for wisking National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission.	Accept in Part	NG
		ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct	Oppose Oppose	to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages expectation works for existing National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission.	Accept in Part	NG NO
Limited		ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECOS19		to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages expectation works for wisking National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission.	Accept in Part	No No
Limited		ure and Transport Jinfrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / IMF-		to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages expectation works for existing National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission.	Accept in Part	No
Limited		ure and Transport I (Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport I (Infrastruct ure Ecosystem		to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages expectation works for existing National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission.	Accept in Part	No
Limited		ure and Transport Jinfrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / IMF-		to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages expectation works for existing National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission.	Accept in Part	No No
Limited		ure and Transport Jinfrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / IMF-		to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages expectation works for existing National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission.	Accept in Part	NG
Limited		ure and Transport Jinfrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / IMF-		to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages expectation works for existing National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission.	Accept in Part	No No
Limited		ure and Transport Jinfrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / IMF-		to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages expectation works for existing National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission.	Accept in Part	NG
Limited		ure and Transport Jinfrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / IMF-		to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages expectation works for existing National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission.	Accept in Part	No
Limited		ure and Transport Jinfrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / IMF-		to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages expectation works for existing National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission.	Accept in Part	No No
Umited Meridian Energy Limited	F5101.61	ure and Transport / Infrastruct cosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-S19	Oppose	to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages expectation works for wisking National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission. Disallow effects and where relevant the abilit	Accept in Part	NG NG
Limited Meridian Energy Limited	F5101.61	ure and Transport Jinfrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / IMF-		to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages expectation works for existing National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission.		No No Changes to PDP?
Limited Meridian Energy Limited Submitter Name Greater Welington	F5101.61	ure and Transport / Infrastruct cosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-S19	Oppose	to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages equation works for sisting National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission. Disallow Disallow Pecifications Requested Seeks to amend wording to remove	Accept in Part	No No Changes to PDP?
Limited Meridian Energy Limited Submitter Name	FS101.61 Sub No / Point No	ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECO-S19 Sub-part / Chapter //roxidion Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Oppose	to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages equation works for existing National Grid Industructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues. Considers that the subject matter of the requested amendments is addressed already by the wording of the standard as notified. The requested amendments are unnecessary.	Transpower's submission. Disallow Disallow effects and where relevant the abilit Decisions Requested Seeks to amend wording to remove 'domtfort before' spanfant	Accept in Part	No No Changet to PDP?
Limited Meridian Energy Limited Meridian Energy Limited Submitter Name Greater Welington	FS101.61 Sub No / Point No	ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECOS19 Sub-part / Chapter / Provision Ecosystem Sub-part / Chapter / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem	Oppose	Is the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages equation works for sisting National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission. Disallow Disallow Pecifications Requested Seeks to amend wording to remove	Accept in Part	No No Changes to PDP?
Limited Meridian Energy Limited Submitter Name Greater Welington	FS101.61 Sub No / Point No	ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-S19 Sub-part / Chapter //monitorian Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem S and Biodiversity y / INF-	Oppose	to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages equation works for existing National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission. Disallow Disallow effects and where relevant the abilit Decisions Requested Seeks to amend wording to remove 'domtfort before' spanfant	Accept in Part	No No Changes to PDP?
Limited Meridian Energy Limited Meridian Energy Limited Submitter Name Greater Welington	FS101.61 Sub No / Point No	ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity / INF- ECOS19 Sub-part / Chapter / Provision Ecosystem Sub-part / Chapter / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem	Oppose	Is the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages equation works for sisting National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission. Disallow Disallow effects and where relevant the abilit Decisions Requested Seeks to amend wording to remove 'domtfort before' spanfant	Accept in Part	No No Changes to PDP?
Limited Meridian Energy Limited Meridian Energy Limited Submitter Name Greater Welington	FS101.61 Sub No / Point No	ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-S19 Sub-part / Chapter //monitorian Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem S and Biodiversity y / INF-	Oppose	to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages equation works for existing National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission. Disallow Disallow effects and where relevant the abilit Decisions Requested Seeks to amend wording to remove 'domtfort before' spanfant	Accept in Part	No No Changes to PDP?
Limited Meridian Energy Limited Meridian Energy Limited Submitter Name Greater Welington	FS101.61 Sub No / Point No	ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-S19 Sub-part / Chapter //monitorian Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem S and Biodiversity y / INF-	Oppose	to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages equation works for existing National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission. Disallow Disallow effects and where relevant the abilit Decisions Requested Seeks to amend wording to remove 'domtfort before' spanfant	Accept in Part	No No Changes to PDP?
Limited Meridian Energy Limited Submitter Name Greater Welington	FS101.61 Sub No / Point No	ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-S19 Sub-part / Chapter //monitorian Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem S and Biodiversity y / INF-	Oppose	to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages equation works for existing National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission. Disallow Disallow effects and where relevant the abilit Decisions Requested Seeks to amend wording to remove 'domtfort before' spanfant	Accept in Part	No No Changes to PDP?
Limited Meridian Energy Limited Meridian Energy Limited Submitter Name Greater Welington	FS101.61 Sub No / Point No	ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-S19 Sub-part / Chapter //monitorian Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem S and Biodiversity y / INF-	Oppose	to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages equation works for existing National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission. Disallow Disallow effects and where relevant the abilit Decisions Requested Seeks to amend wording to remove 'domtfort before' spanfant	Accept in Part	No No Changes to PDP?
Limited Meridian Energy Limited Sübmitter Näme Greater Wälington	FS101.61 Sub No / Point No	ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECOS19 Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversity y / INF- ECO-S19 Sub-part / Chapter //monitorian Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem S and Biodiversity y / INF-	Oppose	to the National Grid. If the intent is for 519 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as the NESTA manages equation works for existing National Grid Infrastructure and the provision of a standard to apply to the National Grid adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission. Disallow Disallow effects and where relevant the abilit Decisions Requested Seeks to amend wording to remove 'domtfort before' spanfant	Accept in Part	No No Changes to PDP?

Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.62	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-519	Oppose	Consider that the values of concern should be those that have been dentified as the reason for identification of the area as significant. Broadening the consideration to all values, as proposed by the submission, will create uncertainty and potential unwarranted costs for applicants for consents.	Disallow / Disallow the requested deletion of 'identifiled'.		
Wellington City Council	FS112.6	Part 2	Support	Support the proposal from GWRC to remove 'identified' before 'significant biodiversity values'. We agree with them that	Alow	Reject	No
Environmental Reference Group		/Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y /INF- ECO- S19		the assessment required to identify the scope of effects may identify additional values, and this should be part of the consideration of effects at the time consent is applied for.		Accept	Yes
Greater Wellington Regional Council	351.96	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-S19	Amend	Vegetation trimming standards and rules should be amended so that they also apply to both indigenous and non- indigenous vegetation. This would make the clear that all vegetation loads for more purposes. Any non-indigenous justices specified for restoration or other purposes. Any non-indigenous justice with SNAs that are not pet plants may provide significant habitat for indigenous biodiversity such as birds, bats and lizards. This understanding is recognised in section s(c) of the Act which directs the protection of the "significant habitats of indigenous fauna" not the significant indigenous habitats of indigenous fauna.	Seeks to amend standard (where relevant) to change "indigenous vegetation" to 'vegetation".	Accept	Yes
Wellington Electricity Lines Limited	355.47	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Blodiversit y / INF- ECO-S19	Support	Supports INF-ECO-S19 for its sappriations to protect and enhance the Ciryl SNA. Notwithstanding this support, it is considered appropriate on enable the continued safe and efficient operation of electricity lines though the provisions of the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003 or the Telecommunications Act 2001.	Retain NF-ECO-519 (Trimming or removal of indigenous vegetation or trees within a significant natural area) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Waka Kotahi	370.123	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-S19	Support	These provisions provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other areas and values.	Retain NF-ECO-519 (Trimming or removal of indigenous vegetation or trees within a significant natural area) as notified.		
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Accept in part Independent Hearings Panel	No Changes to PDP?
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	No 408.70	Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodversit y / INF- ECO-S19	Amend	Supports a standard for trimming or removing indigenous vegetation within a SNA. However, KiwiRai request the limit for this be increased to 5m within the footprint of existing infrastructure. KiwiRail typically clear vegetation within 5m of railway tracks as part of outine control monitoring the troughout the country. This is the optimum clearance distance to ensure the rail network can operate safely and efficiently.	Amend IM-ECO-S19 (Trimming or removal of indigenous vegetation or trees within a significant natural area) as follows: 1. Trimming or removal of indigenous vegetation or trees within a significant natural area must be limited to <u>5m</u> 2m within the footprint of existing infrastructure, access tracks or fences.	Recommendations Reject	NO
Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark) and Vodafone New Zealand Limited (Vodafone)	99.51	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-520	Oppose	INF-ECO 30 should be amended to at least provide a nominal allowance for other infrastructure that may require some localised earthworks in significant natural areas (e.g. for maintenance and upgrading). The provision provides for 50m 30 earthworks per transmission line support structure as a permitted activity and is otherwise consistent with the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity) Transmission Line Activities) Regulations 2009. The Auckland Unitary Plan for example provides for 5m3 of earthworks in significant natural areas for infrastructure works.	Amend UNFECD-S20 (Earthworks within a significant natural area) as follows: 1. Earthworks within a significant natural area must not exceed: a More than 50m3 per transmission line support structure; or <u>b. More than 5m3 for other. Infrastructure; or</u> <u>b. doer than 5m3 for other. Infrastructure; or</u> <u>b. doer than 5m3 for other. Infrastructure; or</u> <u>b. doer than 5m3 for other. Infrastructure; or</u>	Accept in part	Yes

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited (WELL)	F527.2	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct tecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-520	Support	WELL support this submission point to the extent that a permitted earthworks quantum should be provided for infrastructure located whilin SNAs. Similar to the submitter, WELL own and operate line infrastructure of such infrastructure and functional need, traverse SNAs. So as to enable the continued operation and maintenance of such infrastructure, a permitted activity rational for so individuing activities is considered appropriate in the PDP. WELL note that a SOM quantum has been sought for transmission line support structures; however, a Sm3 volume for pole structures is also supported as per the submission point.	Allow	Accest in Part	Yes
Powerco Limited	127.33	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-520	Oppose	Considers that while this is consistent with the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Line Activities) Regulations 2009, there should be at least a nominal allowance for other infrastructure that may require some localised earthworks in significant natural areas (e.g. for mathemacan and upgrading). The Auckland Unitary Plan for example provides for 5m3 of earthworks in significant natural areas for infrastructure works.	Amend standard INF-ECO-S20 [Earthworks within a significant natural area) as follows: 1. Earthworks within a significant natural area must not exceed: a. More than Sign per transmission line support structure; or b. More than 5m3 for other_infrastructure; or bc_t00m3 per access twate	Accept in part	100 Ver
Wellington Electricity Lines Limited (WELL)	FS27.14	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Cosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-520	Support	WELL support this submission point to the extent that a permitted earthworks quantum should be provided for infrastructure located within SNAs. Similar to the submitter, WELL own and operate linear infrastructure that, through functional need; traverse or are located within SNAs. So are to enable the continued operation and maintenance of such infrastructure, a permitted activity standard for soil disturbing activities is considered appropriate in the PDP. WELL note that a SOM3 quantum has been sought for transmission in support structures; however, a Sm3 volume for pole structures is also supported as per the submission point.	Allow	Accept in part	Yes
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested		Changes to PDP?
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	No 345.77	Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-520	Oppose in part	Considers that this should be limited to maintenance of existing tracks if it is to be a Permitted activity. Replace assessment criteria with that listed under ECO-S1 to give effect to INF-ECO-P33.	Amed INF-ECO-S20 (Earthworks within a significant natural area): 1. Earthworks within a significant natural area must be limited to maintenance of existing tracks, not-exceed: More than Sding be transmission-line support structure; or Stormstor Totel Performance Science	Recommendations	
	FS29.32		•		2. The effect of the earthworks on		Yes
Limited		Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport J Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECOS20	oppose	On the basis INF-ECO-R44 is to be amended so it does not apply to the National Grid, Standard S20 will not be applicable to the National Grid. If the intent is for S20 to apply to the National Grid Transpower opposes its application as it duplicates the NESETA and adds unnecessary confusion and interpretation issues.	Transpower's submission.	Accept in Part	No
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	FS72.38	Part 2 / District-Wide Matters / Energy, Infrastruct une, and Transport / Infrastruct une Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-S20	Oppose	Considers that it is appropriate to provide for earthworks as a permitted activity subject to standards and this shouldn't be limited to access tracks only. KowRail also seeks the retention of the assessment criteria as proposed which recognises the operational or functional needs of infrastructure. Considers the relief sought should be declined because it a) will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in Weltingtico TLN, and is therdere contrary to, or inconsistent with, Part 2 and other provisions of the RNA and the Amendment Act. (b) is inconsistent with other relevant planning documents, including the Greater Wellington Reignorment; (c) will not Anticonal Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020; (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; (d) will not avoid; remedy or mitigate actual and potential adverse effects on the minorment; (c) will not able the social, encomic and cultural wellening of popular Vellening on Reignorment; (c) will not able the social, encomic and cultural wellening of polyce Vellenington (F), and (f) is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA.	Disallow	Accept in Part	No

Meridian Energy Limited	E\$101.62	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct	Oppose	Considers that the standards are very restrictive, limiting the potential for adverse effects to minor, and ensuring that	Disallow		
Mendian chergy chinted	13101.03	ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem	oppose	considers that the standards are very resolutive, initialing the potential for averse effects to initial, and ensuing that earthworks with the potential for adverse effects more than minor will require consent. The requested amendments are not necessary.	Disanuw		
		s and Biodiversit y / INF-		not necessary.			
		ECO-S20					
						Accept in Part	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?
Greater Wellington	No 351.97	/Provision Energy Infrastruct ure and	Amend	Considers that Policy 24 of the RPS directs councils to protect indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant	Seeks to amend wording to remove	Recommendations	
Regional Council		Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem		indigenous biodiversity values. The 'identified' qualifier limits the consideration of effects to those values identified within the SNA at the time of plan notification. The values of most SNAs have been identified only at a high-level, and often only	'identified' before 'significant biodiversity values' when referring to adverse effects caused by activities or maintenance of biodiversity values.		
		s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-S20		through desktop analysis. The assessment required to identify the scope of effects may identify additional values and this should be part of the consideration of effects at the time consent is applied for.			
				and de part of the consideration of energy at the time consent is appread or .			
						Accept	yes
Meridian Energy Limited	FS101.64	Part 2 / Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Oppose	Considers that the values of concern should be those that have been identified for the SNA as the reason why the area is significant.	Disallow / Disallow the requested deletion of 'identified'.		
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem		angementer.			
		s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-S19					
Wellington City Council	F\$112.7	Part 2	Support	Support the proposal from GWRC to remove 'identified' before 'significant biodiversity values'. Agree with them that the	Allow	Reject	no
Environmental Reference Group		/Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport		assessment required to identify the scope of effects may identify additional values and this should be part of the consideration of effects at the time consent is applied for.			
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y /INF-					
		ECO-					
		S20					
Wellington Electricity Lines	355.48	Energy Infrastruct ure and	Support in	Supports INF-ECO-S20 as it provides stricter earthworks parameters for infrastructure within a demonstrable SNA.	Retain INF-ECO-520 (Earthworks within a significant natural area) with amendment.	accept	yes
Limited		Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem	part	Considers that sub-clause b should be amended so as not to only apply to 'transmission'.	·····		
		s and Biodiversit y / INF-		[Submitter refers to sub-clause b, but requests amendment in sub-clause a]			
		ECO-S20					
						Accept in part	No
Wellington Electricity Lines	355.49	Energy Infrastruct ure and	Amend	Considers that INF-ECO-S20 should be amended so sub-clause a does not only apply to	Amend INF-ECO-S20 (Earthworks within a significant natural area) as follows:	Accept in part	NO
Limited		Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem		'transmission'. [Submitter refers to sub-clause b, but requests amendment in sub-clause a]	 Earthworks within a significant natural area must not exceed: More than 50m3 per-transmission <u>electricity line support structure; or</u> 		
		s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-S20			b. 100m3 per access track.		
						Accept in part	No
Waka Kotahi	370.124	Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport	Support	These provisions provide clear guidance in how to balance different interests where infrastructure overlaps with other areas and values.	Retain INF-ECO-S20 (Earthworks within a significant natural area) as notified.		
		/ Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF-					
		s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-S20					
			a 11			Accept in part	No
Submitter Name	Sub No / Point	Sub-part / Chapter	Position	Summary of Submission	Decisions Requested	Independent Hearings Panel	Changes to PDP?

KiwiRail Holdings Limited		Energy Infrastruct ure and Transport / Infrastruct ure Ecosystem s and Biodiversit y / INF- ECO-S20	Support	Supports a standard for earthworks within a SNA, noting 100m3 per access track is permitted by this standard.	Retain INF-ECG 520 (Earthworks within a significant natural area) as notified.	Accept in part	No
Original Sub Number	Further Submitter Name	Further Sub No / Point No	Position	Summary of Submission			Changes to PDP?
345	David Edmonds	FS22.1	Oppose	In paragraph 6 of their submission, Forest and Bird submit that "residential SNA and the provisions that protect them be reinserted in the Plan". The further submitter agrees with the approach adopted by the Council omitting residential SNAs form the Plan. Reinstatement of SNAs over residentially noned lots would put unnecessary controls over people who for the most part nurture and protect native bush on their properties.	Seeks that Council retain SNAs as shown in the Proposed Plan, subject to fine tunning identified in their original submission (1). Does not want the Council to include any residential lots as part of the SNAs or any vegetation clearance rules in the District Plan.	Accept	No
290	Graeme Doherty	F578.1	Oppose	Support the aspects of the PDP that show Significant Natural Areas and Significant Amenity Landscape on 76 Silverstream Read and 16 Patna Street and therefore I oppose the current outer residential zone for 76 Silverstream Road and 16 Patna Street and oppose the proposed Medium Density Residential Zone for the same locations. The proposal 200 is inconsistent with the Climate Change Response Act and in contradiction to Sections 5, 6 and 7 of the RMA	in its entirety.	Reject	No
455	Paul Blaschke	F\$129.16	Support	Support submission points made by a significant number of individuals and groups that essentially support the same proposition "Reinstate the overlay of all properly delineated SNAs or part SNAs on all relevant residential zoned	Allow	Reject	No