In the Environment Court at Wellington

I Mua I te Kōti Taiao Te Whanganui-a-Tara Rohe

IN THE MATTER of the Resource Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER an appeal to the Environment Court under

clause 14 of the First Schedule to the Resource

Management Act 1991

BETWEEN Wellington International Airport

Limited

Appellant

AND Wellington City Council

Respondent

SECTION 274 NOTICE BY KĀINGA ORA - HOMES AND COMMUNITIES

13 June 2024

Solicitor:

C E Kirman
Special Counsel
Kāinga Ora - Homes and
Communities PO Box 14594
Central Auckland 1051
E: claire.kirman@kaingaora.govt.nz

TO: THE REGISTRAR ENVIRONMENT COURT AUCKLAND

- 1. Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities (**Kāinga Ora**) wishes to be a party to this proceeding.
- 2. Kāinga Ora made a submission about the subject matter of the proceeding. Kāinga Ora has an interest in this proceeding that is greater than the interest of the general public.
- 3. Kāinga Ora is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- 4. Those parts of the proceedings in which Kāinga Ora is interested, whether it supports or oppose those aspects, and associated reasons, is described in Schedule 1 to this notice. In addition to those specific provisions, Kāinga Ora is interested in all related provisions and in all consequential amendments that might be required or proposed to be made (including to any objectives, policies, or planning maps).
- 5. Kāinga Ora agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the Proceedings.

Dated: 13 June 2024

Dr Claire Kirman Counsel for Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities

Address for service of Kāinga Ora

By email:

claire.kirman@kaingaora.govt.nz

By post:

P.O.BOX 2628, WELLINGTON, 6140

Advice to recipients of copy of notice of interest

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch.

SCHEDULE 1

Provision appealed	Relief sought	Oppose/Su pport	Reasons
SUB-P10	Delete and Replace SUB-P10 as follows: Avoid subdivision within the Inner Air Noise Overlay or Outer Air Noise Overlay where the potential future permitted density of noise sensitive activities will give rise to adverse reverse sensitivity effects on Wellington International Airport.	Oppose	Kāinga Ora is concerned that the proposed changes will result in a significant constraint to development within areas appropriately zoned for noise sensitive activities and where adverse effects can be adequately managed.
	Or in the alternative Delete and Replace as follows: Subdivision within the Air Noise Overlays Discourage subdivision within the Air Noise Overlays unless the reverse sensitivity effects on Wellington International Airport can be appropriately managed.		
New Noise Objective – NOISE-O3	Insert new objective as follows: NOISE-O3: Reverse sensitivity effects on Wellington International Airport Wellington International Airport is protected from reverse sensitivity effects.	Oppose	Kāinga Ora consider this proposed policy ambiguous.
NOISE-P4		Oppose	Kāinga Ora consider the policy within the policy appropriate. The proposed changes make the policy more broad and does not provide for mitigation at an appropriate level.

	Areas, consistent with the anticipated outcomes for each receiving environment.: The relevant acoustic insulation and ventilation standards are NOISE-S4, NOISE-S5 and NOISE-S6.		
NOISE-P7 and NOISE-P8	Insert new policies as follows: NOISE-P7 Management of Activities Sensitive to Aircraft Noise: Within the Air Noise Overlays: 1. Avoid the establishment of new noise sensitive activities within the Open Space, Natural Open Space and General Industrial Zones; 2. Discourage further intensification of noise sensitive activities within all other zones unless the reverse sensitivity effects on Wellington International Airport can be appropriately avoided. NOISE-P8: Acoustic treatment of activities sensitive to aircraft noise Require, as necessary, sound insulation and/or mechanical ventilation within any new buildings or any additions or alterations to existing buildings that contain noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Overlays.	Oppose	The proposed policies are ambiguous and do not strike a balance between the noise emitter and residential activities.