

Absolutely Positively
Wellington City Council

Me Heke Ki Pōneke

Ordinary Meeting of Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee Ngā Meneti | Minutes

9:30 am Rāpare, 12 Pipiri 2025
9:30 am Thursday, 12 June 2025
Ngake (16.09), Level 16, Tahiwī
113 The Terrace
Pōneke | Wellington



PRESENT

Councillor Abdurahman
Councillor Apanowicz
Councillor Brown (Chair)
Councillor Calvert
Councillor Chung
Deputy Mayor Foon
Councillor Free
Pouiwi Hohaia
Councillor Matthews (Deputy Chair)
Councillor McNulty
Councillor O'Neill
Councillor Pannett
Councillor Randle
Councillor Rogers
Mayor Whanau
Councillor Wi Neera
Councillor Young

TABLE OF CONTENTS

12 JUNE 2025

Business	Page No.
1. Meeting Conduct	4
1.1 Karakia	4
1.2 Apologies	4
1.3 Conflict of Interest Declarations	4
1.4 Confirmation of Minutes	4
1.5 Items not on the Agenda	4
1.6 Public Participation	4
2. General Business	5
2.1 Road-Stopping and Land Exchange: Burma Road, Johnsonville (adjoining Onslow College & Raroa Normal Intermediate School)	5
2.2 Proposed District Plan Tranche 2 Decisions	6
2.3 Decision register updates and upcoming reports	18

1. Meeting Conduct

1.1 Karakia

The Chairperson declared the meeting (hui) open at 9:30am and read the following karakia to open the hui.

**Whakataka te hau ki te uru,
Whakataka te hau ki te tonga.
Kia mākinakina ki uta,
Kia mātaratara ki tai.
E hī ake ana te atākura.
He tio, he huka, he hauhū.
Tihei Mauri Ora!**

Cease oh winds of the west
and of the south
Let the bracing breezes flow,
over the land and the sea.
Let the red-tipped dawn come
with a sharpened edge, a touch of frost,
a promise of a glorious day

1.2 Apologies

Moved Councillor Brown, seconded Councillor Matthews

Resolved

That the Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee:

1. Accept the apologies received from Councillor Apanowicz and Pouiwi Kelly for absence.

Carried

1.3 Conflict of Interest Declarations

No conflicts of interest were declared.

1.4 Confirmation of Minutes

Moved Councillor Brown, seconded Councillor Matthews

Resolved

That the Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee:

1. Approves the minutes of the Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee Meeting held on 8 May 2025, having been circulated, that they be taken as read and confirmed as an accurate record of that meeting.

Carried

1.5 Items not on the Agenda

There were no items not on the agenda.

1.6 Public Participation

1.6.1 Sean McCready and Andy Burgess on behalf of the Commerce Commission New Zealand addressed the hui regarding Wellington Electricity.

(Councillor Young left the hui at 10:07am)
(Councillor Young rejoined the hui at 10:10am)
(Councillor Matthews left the hui at 10:11am)
(Councillor Matthews rejoined the hui at 10:12am)
(Councillor Apanowicz left the hui at 10:12am)
(Councillor Apanowicz rejoined the hui at 10:17am)

2. General Business

2.1 Road-Stopping and Land Exchange: Burma Road, Johnsonville (adjoining Onslow College & Raroa Normal Intermediate School)

Moved Councillor McNulty, seconded Councillor Randle

Resolved

That the Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee:

- 1) Receive the information.
- 2) Recommend to Council that it:
 - a. Declare approximately 183m² (subject to survey) of unformed legal road land along Burma Road, Johnsonville, as not required for a public work and is surplus to Council requirements.
 - b. Agree to dispose of the Land.
 - c. Agree to acquire, in exchange, approximately 78m² of land (subject to survey), and vest it as road pursuant to Section 114 of the Public Works Act.
 - d. Delegate to the Chief Executive Officer the power to conclude all matters in relation to the road stopping and disposal of the Land and the vesting of the new road, including all legislative matters, declaring the road stopped, negotiating the terms of sale or exchange, imposing and reasonable covenants, and anything else necessary.

Carried

Secretarial note: A division was called for, voting on which was as follows:

For:

Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Mayor Whanau, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Councillor Calvert

Absent:

Pouiwi Kelly

Majority Vote: 16:1

Carried

(Councillor Free left the hui at 10:20am)
(Councillor Free rejoined the hui at 10:21am)

The meeting adjourned at 10:37am and returned at 11:15am with the following members present: Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young.

2.2 Proposed District Plan Tranche 2 Decisions

Moved Mayor Whanau, seconded Councillor Free

That the Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee:

1. **Receive** the information
2. **Receive** the Recommendation Reports and Recommendations on Submissions Reports prepared by the Independent Hearings Panel for the hearing of submissions and further submissions on the Proposed District Plan in relation to Hearing Streams 6 to 11 and the 'Wrap-up Hearing', which are provided at *Attachment 1 (Recommendation Reports and Recommendations on Submissions Reports)*.
3. **Note** that:
 - 3.1 Officers have undertaken a full District Plan Review as directed by the Council on 27 June 2018, resulting in notification of the Proposed District Plan on 18 July 2022;
 - 3.2 Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee made decisions on Tranche 1 Hearings (Intensification Planning Provisions) on 14 March 2024;
 - 3.3 Approved Tranche 2 provisions will be subject to an appeal period that closes 30 working days after notification of the decisions. If no appeals are received, then the provisions are beyond challenge and are deemed operative from the closing of the appeal period. Provisions that are appealed will take longer to resolve;
4. **Resolve** to adopt and approve the Independent Hearings Panel's recommendations in respect of changes to plan provisions, mapping and Recommendations on Submissions per the Panel's Recommendation Reports and appendices at *Attachment 1* (excluding recommendations outlined in recommendation 5 below);
5. **Resolve** to reject the Panel's recommended change regarding restructuring of Table 7 – TR (in Report 9 para 834(f)), as it is not based on a submission point, nor is it a minor change;
6. **Resolve** to publicly notify the decisions on the Proposed District Plan provisions no later than Friday 18 July 2025, and serve that decision on every person who made a submission on the matters covered within the Tranche 2 set of hearings;

Designations

7. **Resolve** to adopt the Independent Hearings Panel's recommendations in respect of Designations and to:
 - 7.1. Notify these recommendations to the relevant Requiring Authority in accordance with sch. 1 cl. 9(1) of the RMA;
 - 7.2. Approve the Wellington City Council designations in accordance with sch. 1 cl. 9(2) of the RMA;
8. **Note** that no recommendation or decision is made in respect of any existing designation that has been included in the Proposed District Plan without modification and on which no submissions are received;

Making Tranche 1 provisions operative

9. **Resolve** to make operative pursuant to Clause 20 of Schedule 1, and Section 83, of the RMA:
- 9.1. Tranche 1 District Plan provisions approved on 14 March 2024 that went through the Part 1 Schedule 1 process and were not appealed; and
 - 9.2. The District Plan provisions amended in Environment Court Consent Orders [2024] NZEnvC 254, [2025] NZEnvC 117, and [2025] NZEnvC 123.

Decision on rezoning submission point

10. **Resolve** to accept the submission from Prime Property Limited and rezone the identified area of approximately 5,940m² of 14 Epic Way, Newlands (LOT 1000 DP 543897) from General Rural Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone for the reasons outlined in the Officers Recommendation Report (Attachment 5 to this report).

Moved Councillor Brown, seconded Councillor Rogers the following amendment

Resolved

- 5.1 **Resolve** to reject The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society submission's decision requested that it is not appropriate for ECO-R1.3 (*The trimming or removal for the upgrade or creation of a new public walking or cycling track within an SNA*) to be a controlled activity [submission point 345.199].
- 5.2 **Resolve** to accept the submissions on decisions requested of Tyers Stream Group [221.50] and Greater Wellington Regional Council [351.157], and accept in part those of the Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society [345.212] and Greater Wellington Regional Council [351.157], in respect of ECO-S4.
- 5.3 **Resolve** that ECO-R1 be amended as set out below:
(black text – Notified text)
(blue text – Consequential amendments for consistency between rules and standards from resolution)
(green text - Panel recommendations)

All Zones	<p>34.Activity status: Controlled</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a.The trimming or removal for the upgrade or creation of a new public walking or cycling track and any ancillary structures undertaken by the Department of Conservation, a Regional or Territorial Authority Wellington Regional Council or Wellington City Council, or their approved contractors and in accordance with ECO-S4; or</p> <p>a. Compliance with ECO-R1.1.a.vii. cannot be achieved.</p> <p><u>Section 88 RMA information requirements for applications:</u></p> <p>Applications for activities within an identified significant natural area must provide, in addition to the standard information requirements, an ecological assessment in accordance with APP15:</p>
-----------	---

	<p>1. Identifying the indigenous biodiversity values and potential impacts from the proposal; and</p> <p>2. Demonstrating that effects management hierarchy at ECO-P2 ECO-P5 has been applied.</p>
All zones	<p>4. Activity status: Discretionary</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. _____</p> <p>Compliance with the requirements of ECO-R1.1, ECO-R1.2, ECO-R1.3 or ECO-R1.4 is not <u>achieved</u>.</p> <p>Section 88 information requirements for applications: Applications for a resource consent under this rule must contain an ecological assessment in accordance with APP15 – Ecological Assessment.</p>

5.4 Resolve that ECO-S4 be worded as:

ECO-S4	Vegetation removal associated with upgrading of existing and creation of new public walking and cycling tracks and associated buildings and structures	
	<p>Vegetation removal must:</p> <p>1. Not be greater than 2.5m in width to accommodate the track and associated track structures; and</p> <p>2. Not be greater than 5m² in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures.</p>	<p>Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed:</p> <p>1. The extent to which the trimming, pruning or removal of indigenous vegetation limits the loss, damage or disruption to the ecological processes, functions and integrity of the significant natural area; and</p> <p>2. The effect of the vegetation trimming, pruning or removal on the identified biodiversity values.</p>

Relevant submissions

Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society :[Proposed District Plan Submission 345](#)
[Forest and Bird Protection Society](#)

Greater Wellington Regional Council: [Proposed District Plan Submission 351](#)
[Greater Wellington Regional Council](#)

Tyers Stream group: [Proposed district plan submission 221 Tyers Stream Group](#)
Section 42A report

[Section 42A report](#) see pages 163-179 and pages 194-196.

Hearing Footage

Tyers Stream Group [Proposed District Plan Hearing Stream 11, Day 4 - 12](#)
[September 2024 at 2:10:00 – 2:21:00](#)

Greater Wellington Regional Council [Proposed District Plan Hearing Stream 11,](#)
[Day 3 - 11 September 2024 at 2:03:00 – 2:36:00](#)

Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society [Proposed District Plan Hearing Stream](#)
[11, Day 3 - 11 September 2024 at 2:36:00 – 3:20:00](#)

Carried

Secretarial note: A division was called for, voting on which was as follows:

For:

Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Mayor Whanau, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Councillor Pannett

Absent:

Pouiwi Kelly

Majority Vote: 16:1

Carried

(Councillor Wi Neera left the hui at 11:44am)
(Councillor Wi Neera rejoined the hui at 11:46am)
(Councillor Apanowicz left the hui at 12:10pm)
(Councillor Apanowicz rejoined the hui at 12:12pm)

Moved Councillor Matthews, seconded Councillor O'Neill the following amendment

Resolved

Designations

7. **Resolve**, in relation to designation 'WIAL1 Wellington Airport Obstacle Limitation Surfaces (OLS)', to amend the IHP recommendation so that the requiring authority, Wellington International Airport Limited, modify the OLS designation to:
 - 7.1 exclude areas that are adequately shielded by terrain from the mapped OLS; and
 - 7.2 increase the Inner Horizontal Surface and Conical Surface penetration height allowance from 8 m to 11m

- ~~7.8.~~ **Resolve** to adopt the Independent Hearings Panel's **remaining** recommendations in respect of Designations. ~~and for designations to:~~
 - ~~7.1 Notify these recommendations to the relevant Requiring Authority in accordance with sch. 1 cl. 9(1) of the RMA;~~
 - ~~7.2 Approve the Wellington City Council designations in accordance with sch. 1 cl. 9(2) of the RMA;~~
 - 8.1 Resolve, in respect of Designations, to:
 - 8.1.1 Notify recommendations to the relevant Requiring Authority in accordance with sch. 1 cl. 9(1) of the RMA;
 - 8.1.2 Approve the Wellington City Council designations in accordance with sch. 1 cl. 9(2) of the RMA;

Carried

Secretarial Note: Voting was taken in parts, with clauses 7, 7.1, 7.2 and 8, taken separately. All clauses were carried. A division was called for, voting on which was as follows:

Clause 7

For:

Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouivi Hohaia, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Mayor Whanau, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Young

Absent:

Pouivi Kelly

Majority Vote: 12:5

Carried

Clause 7.1

For:

Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouivi Hohaia, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Mayor Whanau, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Absent:

Pouivi Kelly

Majority Vote: 12:5

Carried

Clause 7.2

For:

Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouivi Hohaia, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Mayor Whanau, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Young

Absent:

Pouivi Kelly

Majority Vote: 12:5

Carried

Clause 8

For:

Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Mayor Whanau, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Pannett, Councillor Young

Absent:

Pouiwi Kelly

Majority Vote: 15:2

Carried

Clause 8.1

For:

Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Mayor Whanau, Councillor Wi Neera

Against:

Councillor Brown, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Young

Absent:

Pouiwi Kelly

Majority Vote: 14:3

Carried

(Councillor Matthews left the hui at 12:41pm)

(Councillor Wi Neera left the hui at 12:41pm)

(Councillor Matthews rejoined the hui at 12:43pm)

(Councillor Wi Neera rejoined the hui at 12:44pm)

(Councillor O'Neill left the hui at 12:44pm)

(Councillor O'Neill rejoined the hui at 12:49pm)

The meeting adjourned at 12:55pm and returned at 1:23pm with the following members present: Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young.

(Councillor O'Neill rejoined the hui at 1:24pm)

Moved Councillor McNulty, seconded Councillor Abdurahman the following amendment

Resolved

4. **Resolve** to withdraw the Proposed District Plan provisions in the Transport Chapter that relate to cycling and micromobility parking, as tabled.
11. **Instruct** officers to report back on Council's options to align the regulation of signage with other cities, including election signage, with advice on scope and content of a Bylaw or District Plan Change.
12. **Instruct** officers to report to Council on alternative provisions for minimum cycle parking and cycle parking design for consideration in a future district plan change.

Carried

Attachments

- 1 Councillor McNulty tabled document

Secretarial note: Voting was taken in parts, with all clauses taken separately. All clauses were carried. A division was called for, voting on which was as follows:

Clause 4

For:

Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Pouivi Hohaia, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Mayor Whanau, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Councillor Pannett, Mayor Whanau

Absent:

Pouivi Kelly

Majority Vote: 13:4

Carried

Clause 11

For:

Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouivi Hohaia, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Rogers, Mayor Whanau, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor Randle

Absent:

Pouivi Kelly

Majority Vote: 13:4

Carried

Clause 12

For:

Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Rogers, Mayor Whanau, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Councillor Brown, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle

Absent:

Pouiwi Kelly

Majority Vote: 14:3

Carried

(Councillor Apanowicz left the hui at 1:49pm)

The meeting adjourned at 2:16pm and returned at 2:24pm with the following members present: Mayor Whanau, Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Randle, Councillor Rogers, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young.

(Councillor Apanowicz rejoined the hui at 2:24pm)

Moved Councillor Randle, seconded Councillor Chung the following amendment

Resolved

13. **Direct** officers to add a review of the following Noise Rule to the upcoming District Plan Change for Noise:
Amend [NOISE R7](#), to delete the hours of operation restriction for commercial dog facilities.

14. **Direct** officers to add a review of the following Noise Rule to the upcoming District Plan Change for Noise:
Amend [NOISE R8](#), to permit shooting range activities and firearm noise in the Large Lot Residential Zone (LLRZ) in the same manner as the General Rural Zone (GRZ).

Carried

Secretarial note: Voting was taken in parts, with all clauses taken separately. All clauses were carried. A division was called for, voting on which was as follows:

Clause 13

For:

Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Randle, Councillor Young

Against:

Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouivi Hohaia, Councillor Matthews, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Rogers, Mayor Whanau, Councillor Wi Neera

Absent:

Councillor Apanowicz, Pouivi Kelly

Majority Vote: 8:8

Carried

Secretarial note: The vote being tied, the chairperson applied their casting vote in favour of the motion.

Clause 14

For:

Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Councillor McNulty, Councillor Randle, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouivi Hohaia, Councillor Matthews, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Rogers, Mayor Whanau

Absent:

Pouivi Kelly

Majority Vote: 9:8

Carried

Moved Councillor Wi Neera, seconded Councillor O'Neill

Resolved

That the Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee:

1. Agree that, in accordance with standing order 25.2(c), the motion under debate now be put.

Carried

Moved Mayor Whanau, seconded Councillor Free the following amended substantive Resolved

That the Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee:

1. **Receive** the information
2. **Receive** the Recommendation Reports and Recommendations on Submissions Reports prepared by the Independent Hearings Panel for the hearing of submissions and further submissions on the Proposed District Plan in relation to Hearing Streams 6 to 11 and the 'Wrap-up Hearing', which are provided at *Attachment 1 (Recommendation Reports and Recommendations on Submissions Reports)*.
3. **Note** that:
 - 3.1 Officers have undertaken a full District Plan Review as directed by the Council on 27 June 2018, resulting in notification of the Proposed District Plan on 18 July 2022;
 - 3.2 Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee made decisions on Tranche 1 Hearings (Intensification Planning Provisions) on 14 March 2024;
 - 3.3 Approved Tranche 2 provisions will be subject to an appeal period that closes 30 working days after notification of the decisions. If no appeals are received, then the provisions are beyond challenge and are deemed operative from the closing of the appeal period. Provisions that are appealed will take longer to resolve;
4. **Resolve** to withdraw the Proposed District Plan provisions in the Transport Chapter that relate to cycling and micromobility parking, as tabled.
 - 4.1 **Resolve** to adopt and approve the Independent Hearings Panel's recommendations in respect of changes to plan provisions, mapping and Recommendations on Submissions per the Panel's Recommendation Reports and appendices at *Attachment 1* (excluding recommendations outlined in recommendation 5 below);
- ~~5. **Resolve** to reject the Panel's recommended change regarding restructuring of Table 7 — TR (in Report 9 para 834(f)), as it is not based on a submission point, nor is it a minor change;~~
 - 5.1 **Resolve** to reject The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society submission's decision requested that it is not appropriate for ECO-R1.3 (*The trimming or removal for the upgrade or creation of a new public walking or cycling track within an SNA*) to be a controlled activity [submission point 345.199].
 - 5.2 **Resolve** to accept the submissions on decisions requested of Tyers Stream Group [221.50] and Greater Wellington Regional Council [351.157], and accept in part those of the Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society [345.212] and Greater Wellington Regional Council [351.157], in respect of ECO-S4.
 - 5.3 **Resolve** that ECO-R1 be amended as set out below:
 (black text – Notified text)
 (blue text – Consequential amendments for consistency between rules and standards from resolution)
 (green text - Panel recommendations)

All Zones	34.Activity status: Controlled Where:
-----------	---

	<p>a. The trimming or removal for the upgrade or creation of a new public walking or cycling track and any ancillary structures undertaken by the Department of Conservation, a Regional or Territorial Authority Wellington Regional Council or Wellington City Council, or their approved contractors and in accordance with ECO-S4; or</p> <p>a. Compliance with ECO-R1.1.a.vii. cannot be achieved.</p> <p><u>Section 88 RMA information requirements for applications:</u></p> <p>Applications for activities within an identified significant natural area must provide, in addition to the standard information requirements, an ecological assessment in accordance with APP15:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Identifying the indigenous biodiversity values and potential impacts from the proposal; and Demonstrating that effects management hierarchy at ECO-P2 <u>ECO-P5</u> has been applied.
All zones	<p>4. <u>Activity status: Discretionary</u></p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. _____</p> <p>Compliance with the requirements of ECO-R1.1, ECO-R1.2, ECO-R1.3 or <u>ECO-R1.4</u> is not achieved.</p> <p><u>Section 88 information requirements for applications:</u> Applications for a resource consent under this rule must contain an ecological assessment in accordance with APP15 – Ecological Assessment.</p>

5.4 Resolve that ECO-S4 be worded as:

ECO-S4	Vegetation removal associated with upgrading of existing and creation of new public walking and cycling tracks and associated buildings and structures	
	<p>Vegetation removal must:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Not be greater than 2.5m in width to accommodate the track and associated track structures; and Not be greater than 5m² in area to accommodate any ancillary buildings or structures. 	<p>Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The extent to which the trimming, pruning or removal of indigenous vegetation limits the loss, damage or disruption to the ecological processes, functions and integrity of the significant natural area; and The effect of the vegetation trimming, pruning or removal on the identified biodiversity values.

Relevant submissions

Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society: [Proposed District Plan Submission 345 Forest and Bird Protection Society](#)

Greater Wellington Regional Council: [Proposed District Plan Submission 351 Greater Wellington Regional Council](#)

Tyers Stream group: [Proposed district plan submission 221 Tyers Stream Group](#)

Section 42A report

[Section 42A report](#) see pages 163-179 and pages 194-196.

Hearing Footage

Tyers Stream Group [Proposed District Plan Hearing Stream 11, Day 4 - 12 September 2024 at 2:10:00 – 2:21:00](#)

Greater Wellington Regional Council [Proposed District Plan Hearing Stream 11, Day 3 - 11 September 2024 at 2:03:00 – 2:36:00](#)

Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society [Proposed District Plan Hearing Stream 11, Day 3 - 11 September 2024 at 2:36:00 – 3:20:00](#)

6. **Resolve** to publicly notify the decisions on the Proposed District Plan provisions no later than Friday 18 July 2025, and serve that decision on every person who made a submission on the matters covered within the Tranche 2 set of hearings;

Designations

7. **Resolve**, in relation to designation 'WIAL1 Wellington Airport Obstacle Limitation Surfaces (OLS)', to amend the IHP recommendation so that the requiring authority, Wellington International Airport Limited, modify the OLS designation to:

- 7.1 exclude areas that are adequately shielded by terrain from the mapped OLS; and

- 7.2 increase the Inner Horizontal Surface and Conical Surface penetration height allowance from 8 m to 11m

- ~~7.8.~~ **Resolve** to adopt the Independent Hearings Panel's **remaining** recommendations in respect of Designations. ~~and for designations to:~~

- ~~7.1 Notify these recommendations to the relevant Requiring Authority in accordance with sch. 1 cl. 9(1) of the RMA;~~

- ~~7.2 Approve the Wellington City Council designations in accordance with sch. 1 cl. 9(2) of the RMA;~~

- 8.1. Resolve, in respect of Designations, to:

- 8.1.1 Notify recommendations to the relevant Requiring Authority in accordance with sch. 1 cl. 9(1) of the RMA;

- 8.1.2 Approve the Wellington City Council designations in accordance with sch. 1 cl. 9(2) of the RMA;

- ~~8.8.2~~ **Note** that no recommendation or decision is made in respect of any existing designation that has been included in the Proposed District Plan without modification and on which no submissions are received;

Making Tranche 1 provisions operative

9. **Resolve** to make operative pursuant to Clause 20 of Schedule 1, and Section 83, of the RMA:

- 9.1 Tranche 1 District Plan provisions approved on 14 March 2024 that went through the Part 1 Schedule 1 process and were not appealed; and

- 9.2 The District Plan provisions amended in Environment Court Consent Orders [2024] NZEnvC 254, [2025] NZEnvC 117, and [2025] NZEnvC 123.

Decision on rezoning submission point

10. **Resolve** to accept the submission from Prime Property Limited and rezone the identified area of approximately 5,940m² of 14 Epic Way, Newlands (LOT 1000 DP 543897) from General Rural Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone for the reasons outlined in the Officers Recommendation Report (Attachment 5 to this report).
11. **Instruct** officers to report back on Council's options to align the regulation of signage with other cities, including election signage, with advice on scope and content of a Bylaw or District Plan Change.
12. **Instruct** officers to report to Council on alternative provisions for minimum cycle parking and cycle parking design for consideration in a future district plan change.
13. **Direct** officers to add a review of the following Noise Rule to the upcoming District Plan Change for Noise:
Amend [NOISE R7](#), to delete the hours of operation restriction for commercial dog facilities.
14. **Direct** officers to add a review of the following Noise Rule to the upcoming District Plan Change for Noise:
Amend [NOISE R8](#), to permit shooting range activities and firearm noise in the Large Lot Residential Zone (LLRZ) in the same manner as the General Rural Zone (GRZ).

Carried

Secretarial note: The successful passage of clause 4 has superseded clause 5. This has resulted in clause 5 being struck through as it involves an amendment to a Proposed District Plan provision that has now been withdrawn.

Secretarial note: A division was called for, voting on which was as follows:

For:

Councillor Abdurahman, Councillor Apanowicz, Councillor Brown, Councillor Calvert, Councillor Chung, Deputy Mayor Foon, Councillor Free, Pouiwi Hohaia, Councillor Matthews, Councillor McNulty, Councillor O'Neill, Councillor Pannett, Councillor Rogers, Mayor Whanau, Councillor Wi Neera, Councillor Young

Against:

Councillor Randle

Absent:

Pouiwi Kelly

Majority Vote: 16:1

Carried

(Councillor McNulty left the hui at 2:49pm)

2.3 Decision register updates and upcoming reports

Moved Councillor Brown, seconded Councillor Matthews

Resolved

That the Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee:

- 1) Receive the information.

Carried

The hui concluded at 2:51pm with the reading of the following karakia:

Unuhia, unuhia, unuhia ki te uru tapu nui	Draw on, draw on
Kia wātea, kia māmā, te ngākau, te tinana, te wairua	Draw on the supreme sacredness To clear, to free the heart, the body and the spirit of mankind
I te ara takatū	
Koia rā e Rongo, whakairia ake ki runga	Oh Rongo, above (symbol of peace)
Kia wātea, kia wātea	Let this all be done in unity
Āe rā, kua wātea!	

Authenticated: _____
Chair

Ordinary Meeting of Kōrau Tūāpapa | Environment and Infrastructure Committee

Minute item attachments

9:30 am Thursday, 12 June 2025
Ngake (16.09), Level 16, Tahiwī
113 The Terrace
Pōneke | Wellington

Business

Page No.

2.2 Proposed District Plan Tranche 2 Decisions

1. Councillor McNulty tabled document

2

Attachment X

TR-Introduction: Wellington City Council has adopted a 'Sustainable Transport Hierarchy' which has been published as part of the Council's Parking Policy (2020) and Paneke Pōneke Bike Network Plan 2022, which places walking, cycling and public transport at the top of the hierarchy. Private vehicles are towards the bottom of the hierarchy. This reflects the City's goal of being carbon neutral by 2050, and creating a more sustainable transport system to get there. **The provisions in this Transport chapter support this goal by requiring the provision of cycling and micromobility parking with new development.** This chapter therefore complements the intensification provisions within the zone chapters which seek to provide a more compact urban form close to public transport and the City's walking and cycling network.

On-site transport facilities such as site access, carparking, **and parking for bicycles and other micromobility devices** also need to be designed effectively to ensure people's safety and wellbeing is maintained. This chapter provides specific design requirements for these facilities.

TR-O1	<p>Purpose Land use and development is managed to ensure that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. High trip generating activities do not compromise the safety and effectiveness of the transport network; 2. A range of transport modes are provided for; 3. Reliance on private vehicles is reduced; 4. New development provides appropriate on-site facilities for cycling and micromobility users; and 5. Safe and effective on-site parking, loading, access and manoeuvring is provided.
TR-P3	<p>Enabled activities Enable on-site transport facilities and driveways that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide for the safe and effective use of the site and functioning of the transport network; 2. Meet the reasonable demands of site users; and 3. Promote the uptake and use of pedestrian, cycling, micromobility and public transport modes.
TR-P3	<p>Managed activities Only allow on-site transport facilities and driveways that do not meet standards where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The transport facilities and driveways are effective in meeting the operational needs and functional needs of the activity on the site; 2. The safety and effectiveness of the transport network is not compromised; 3. Public health and safety, including the safety of pedestrians, cyclists and micromobility users travelling through any parking areas, is not compromised; 4. The projected demand for loading spaces or cycling and micromobility parking will be lower than that required in the standards or can be accommodated by public, shared or reciprocal arrangements;

	<p>5. Safe and effective access for firefighting purposes is provided; and</p> <p>6. There are site and topographical constraints that make compliance unreasonable.</p>	
TR-R1	<p>All activities except for trip generation, site access, on-site cycling and micromobility paths, and on-site vehicle parking and manoeuvring</p> <p>1. Activity status: Permitted</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. Compliance with the following standards is achieved:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. TR-S2; ii. TR-S3; iii. TR-S8; and iv. TR-S9. 	
TR-S2	<p>Micromobility device parking</p> <p>1. Cycling and micromobility parking must be provided in accordance with Table TR-7.</p>	<p>Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The availability of alternative, safe and secure cycling and micromobility parking that meets the needs of the intended users, in a nearby accessible location; 2. Whether parking can be provided and maintained in a jointly-used cycling and micromobility parking area; and 3. Site limitations, configuration of buildings and activities, demonstrated user requirements and operational requirements.
TR-S3	<p>Micromobility parking design</p> <p>1. Where short stay cycling and micromobility parking spaces are required to be provided by TR-S2 they must meet the following minimum specifications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Stands must be sized and spaced to accommodate cycle dimensions of 1200mm high, 1800mm long and 600mm wide; b. Stands must be securely anchored to an immovable object; c. Stands must allow the cycling or micromobility device frame and, in the case of cycles, at least one wheel to be secured; and 	<p>Assessment criteria where the standard is infringed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The safety and effectiveness of the cycling and micromobility parking spaces; 2. Site limitations, configuration of buildings and activities, user requirements and operational requirements; and 3. The safety of pedestrians, cyclists and micromobility users using the road, accessways and walkways.

	<p>d. Cycling and Micromobility parking facilities must be located:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. So they are easily accessible for users, within 20m of the primary entrance; ii. So they do not impede pedestrian thoroughfares including areas used by people whose mobility or vision is restricted; iii. To be clear of vehicle parking or manoeuvring areas; and iv. Short stay cycling and micromobility parking facilities must be available during the activity's hours of operation and must not be impeded by any structure, storage of goods, landscape planting or other use; and <p>2. Where long stay cycling and micromobility parking spaces are required to be provided by TR-S2, they must be located:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. In a covered area where access by the general public is excluded, and at least one wheel is able to be secured. <p>Note: Refer to 'Cycle Parking Planning and Design, Waka Kotahi 2019'.</p>	
--	---	--

Table 7 – TR: Minimum number of on-site cycling and micromobility device parking spaces

Activity	Minimum number of on-site cycling and micromobility device parking spaces Both short stay and long stay must be provided	
	Short stay (visitors)	Long stay (staff*, residents, students)
Residential	1 per 10 residential units	Minimum 1 per residential unit**
Hostels	1 per 10 beds	Minimum 1; 1 per 3 beds

Table 7 – TR: Minimum number of on-site cycling and micromobility device parking spaces

Activity	Minimum number of on-site cycling and micromobility device parking spaces Both short stay and long stay must be provided	
	Short stay (visitors)	Long stay (staff*, residents, students)
<p>Any activity in the following zones:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City Centre • Metropolitan • Local Centre • Neighbourhood • Mixed Use 	Nil	In accordance with the rest of this table
Commercial activity	Minimum 2, 0.05 per 100m ² GFA or as per specific activity below	Minimum 1, 0.1 per 100m ² GFA or as per specific activity below
Entertainment and Hospitality Activity	0.1 per person that the <u>site</u> is designed to accommodate; or as per specific activity below	Minimum 1, 0.1 per staff member* or as per specific activity below
Community facility	0.1 per person that the <u>site</u> is designed to accommodate	Minimum 1, 0.1 per staff member*
Educational facility	As per specific activities below	
Childcare services	Minimum 2	Minimum 1, 0.1 per staff member*
Tertiary education facility	Minimum 2	Minimum 1, 0.1 per student and 0.1 per staff member*
Emergency service facilities	Minimum 2	Minimum 1, 0.1 per staff member*
Healthcare activity	Minimum 2, 1 per 100m ² GFA	Minimum 1, 0.1 per staff member*
Industrial activity	Minimum 2	Minimum 1, 0.1 per 100m ² GFA
Residential	1 per 10 residential units	Minimum 1 per residential unit**

	Hostels	1 per 10 beds	Minimum 1, 1 per 3 beds
<p>* The number of staff members is the maximum number of full or part time staff members on the <u>site</u> at any one time.</p> <p>** A lockable, <u>residential unit</u>-specific storage facility such as a garage or storage locker is an acceptable solution. This may be a communal facility.</p>			