### APPENDIX 1 Submission summary with proposed recommendations

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.      | Name  | Address for Service   | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 1                          | Vincent Keating   | Apt. 725 134 Burma Road, Johnsonville, Wellington, NZ ,6035 | No                    |  |  |  |
| Submission Relates to:     | The expansion of the south face of the quarry.            | The expansion of the south face of the quarry.              |                       |  |  |  |
| Support/Oppose:            | Support   |   |                       |  |  |  |
| Submission                 |   |   |                       |  |  |  |
| The submitter states suppo | The submitter states support for option 4 for future use. |   |                       |  |  |  |
| Decision Requested         |   |   |                       |  |  |  |
| Not stated                 | Not stated  |   |                       |  |  |  |
| Recommendation             |   |   |                       |  |  |  |
| Accept                     | Accept  |   |                       |  |  |  |

Disclaimer: This document provides a summary of the decisions requested by persons making submissions on Wellington City Council's Proposed District Plan 83. Whilst every possible care has been taken to provide a true and accurate summary, the information contained in this document is not required by the Resource Management Act 1991 to provide a full account of the submissions received. Accordingly, readers wishing to understand the submissions are advised to refer to the full copy of the original submissions, available upon request or on the Council's website.

Wellington City Council | 1 of 63

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name   | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |
|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| 2  | Rob Suisted  | 16 Kitchener Terrace, Johnsonville, Wellington, NZ 6037        | Yes                   |  |
| Submission Relates to:   | <ul> <li>The rezoning on the southern side of Kiwi Po</li> <li>The standards relating to the quarrying of the</li> </ul>   | oint Quarry from Open Space B to Business 2<br>e expanded site |                       |  |
| Support/Oppose:  | Oppose   |  |                       |  |
| Submission   | 1  |  |                       |  |
| <ul> <li>Impacts of dust from the second second</li></ul> |  | concerns relating to the following:                            |                       |  |
|  | ontain the dust within the quarry boundary<br>dust on the submitter's property and photography o   | equinment  |                       |  |
|  | dust on human health   | equipment  |                       |  |
| o increase in du   | st due to the direct line of sight and prevailing wind   | direction  |                       |  |
| o odour problem  | ns from the abattoir on site   |  |                       |  |
| o the expansion  | of business activity, which is not desirable around  | the new areas of housing development around the site           |                       |  |
|  | ual effects of the new south face of the quarry as the submitter feels it will be an 'eyesore' as the gateway into Wellington City, and will be a visual contradiction the environmental values we hold dear, and are developing, in Wellington. |  |                       |  |
|  |  |  |                       |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No   | Name   | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 2  | Rob Suisted  | 16 Kitchener Terrace, Johnsonville, Wellington, NZ 6037                          | Yes                   |  |  |  |
| Decision Requested   |  | ·  |                       |  |  |  |
| The submitter seeks the  | following decision from Council:   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 1. The submitter oppos   | es the rezoning of the South face from Open Space  | B to Business 2.   |                       |  |  |  |
| 2. If the rezoning is app  | roved the submitter requests that:   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| a. stringent controls are placed on quarrying activities based on stipulated and monitored wind speed. Any activity likely to put particulate matter into the air must be halted at moderate or greater wind speeds. |  |  |                       |  |  |  |
| b. compreh<br>sectors  |  |  |                       |  |  |  |
| c. analysis  | c. analysis of the mineral content of the Kiwi Point airborne dust particles is carried out with particular regard for long term impacts on human health |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Recommendation   |  |  |                       |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Accept in part   |  |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Amendments have been   | proposed to improve mitigation and remediation of v  | visual effects, and to ensure the gateway values of the area are taken into acco | ount.                 |  |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name            | Address for Service        | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|---|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 3   | Geraldine Keith | geraldinekeith52@gmail.com | No                    |  |  |
| Submission Relates to:  | Not stated      |                            |                       |  |  |
| Support/Oppose:   | Oppose          |                            |                       |  |  |
| Submission  |                 |                            |                       |  |  |
| The submitter opposes the future development and expansion of the quarry for the following reasons:<br>The submitter understands that the Council needs aggregate for future development, but suggests that the Council relocate the quarry away from residential houses.<br>The submitter has concerns relating to the vibration effects (blasting) from quarry operation as:<br>• the submitter states that blasting at the quarry causes the submitter's house to shake<br>• there is no prior warning for these 'blasts'<br>• the submitter believes these 'blasts' have cause damage to their property over the years. |                 |                            |                       |  |  |
| Decision Requested  |                 |                            |                       |  |  |
| Not stated  |                 |                            |                       |  |  |
| Recommendation  |                 |                            |                       |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No. | Name   | Address for Service        | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 3                     | Geraldine Keith  | geraldinekeith52@gmail.com | No                    |  |  |  |
| Accept in part        |  |                            |                       |  |  |  |
|                       | ecommendations include a new matter of control to manage noise and vibration from blast activities, and to require future consent applications and operations to be<br>formed by assessments and recommendations from a qualified acoustic engineer to manage these effects. |                            |                       |  |  |  |

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name  | Address for Service | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|---|---|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 4   | Johnathan Austin  | kaliloa@gmail.com   | No                    |  |  |
| Submission Relates to:  | <ul> <li>Rezoning of an area on the southern side of Kiwi Point Quarry from Open Space B to Business 2.</li> <li>Introduction of a new objective that recognises the importance of quarrying aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the city.</li> <li>Introduction of a new controlled activity rule that applies to the rezoned southern face expansion area.</li> </ul> |                     |                       |  |  |
| Support/Oppose:   | Oppose  |                     |                       |  |  |
| Submission  |   |                     |                       |  |  |
| The submitter opposes the proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons: |   |                     |                       |  |  |
| The submitter feels Counci  | The submitter feels Council has not engaged in genuine consultation with local residents on this issue as:  |                     |                       |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name   | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard     |
|--|--|--|---------------------------|
| 4  | Johnathan Austin   | kaliloa@gmail.com  | No                        |
| Councillors express  | ed a pre-determined view in support of the propos  | sal before hearing resident's views.   | ·                         |
| In consideration of  | this issue greater weight has been given to the vie  | ews of those who stand to derive commercial benefit from the proposal                            | and non-ratepayers.       |
| Continuation of quarrying is   | not an appropriate activity for a residential area.  |  |                           |
| Concerns on the impacts of   | quarrying activity on:   |  |                           |
| human health in rel  | ation to the impacts of dust and noise   |  |                           |
| <ul> <li>amenity such as no</li> </ul>   | ise and visual effects   |  |                           |
| <ul> <li>property values (which is a second sec</li></ul> | ere no compensation is proposed)   |  |                           |
| The submitter considers suc<br>environmentally conscious of  |  | n approaches into the city and detracts from Wellington's proposition as                         | s a progressive and       |
|  | ng will have an impact on image and tourism return<br>le additional employment and business opportunit | ns and will negatively impact other existing businesses in the area and ies.                     | prevent the site from     |
|  | no need for ongoing quarrying in a residential are from another location has a small impact on over    | a when alternative supplies of aggregate are available nearby and sug<br>all construction costs. | gests that the additional |
| Decision Requested   |  |  |                           |
| The submitter seeks the foll   | owing decision from Council:   |  |                           |
| Not to proceed with this cha   | inge to the District Plan.   |  |                           |
| Recommendation   |  |  |                           |
|  |  |  |                           |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No. | Name             | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|------------------|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 4                     | Johnathan Austin | kaliloa@gmail.com  | No                    |  |  |  |
| Accept in part        |                  |  |                       |  |  |  |
|                       |                  | ation from blast activities, and to require future consent applications and operat |                       |  |  |  |

informed by assessments and recommendations from a qualified acoustic engineer to manage these effects. Amendments have also been proposed to improve mitigation and remediation of visual effects, and to ensure the gateway values of the area are taken into account.

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name   | Address for Service      | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 5   | Tanuja Patnaik   | tanujapatnaik9@gmail.com | No                    |  |  |
| Submission Relates to:  | lates to: A medium expansion of the south face of the quarry |                          |                       |  |  |
| Support/Oppose:   | Support – medium expansion of the south face of the quarry   |                          |                       |  |  |
| Submission  | Submission   |                          |                       |  |  |
| <ul> <li>The submitter supports the medium expansion of the south face of the quarry for the following reasons:</li> <li>Quarry expansion could be a great source of employment to the local community.</li> <li>Quarry expansion would support our local industries and economy</li> </ul> |  |                          |                       |  |  |
| Decision Requested  |  |                          |                       |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name           | Address for Service      | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |  |
|--|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 5  | Tanuja Patnaik | tanujapatnaik9@gmail.com | No                    |  |  |  |
| The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:<br>The medium expansion option of the south face of the quarry. |                |                          |                       |  |  |  |
| Recommendation   | Recommendation |                          |                       |  |  |  |
| Not accept   |                |                          |                       |  |  |  |

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name                                   | Address for Service | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|---|--|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 6   | Stan Goodman, Goodman Contractors, Ltd | stan@goodmans.nz    | No                    |  |  |
| Submission Relates to:  | Kiwi Point Quarry                      |                     |                       |  |  |
| Support/Oppose:   | Support                                |                     |                       |  |  |
| Submission  |  |                     |                       |  |  |
| The submitter supports the expansion of the quarry for the following reasons: |  |                     |                       |  |  |

As the Wellington area expands, contractors are limited to only three quarries' that have shrinking resources available. The submitter feels it is vital that quarry material is available to contractors in Wellington from all locations in order to keep competitive pricing up and low transport costs.

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name                                   | Address for Service | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|--|--|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 6  | Stan Goodman, Goodman Contractors, Ltd | stan@goodmans.nz    | No                    |  |  |
| Decision Requested   | Decision Requested                     |                     |                       |  |  |
| The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:<br>The submitter is in favour of the extension to the quarry operation. |  |                     |                       |  |  |
| Recommendation   |  |                     |                       |  |  |
| Accept   |  |                     |                       |  |  |

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name  | Address for Service     | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|------------------------|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 7                      | Anthony Norton  | tony.norton@stuff.co.nz | Yes                   |  |  |
| Submission Relates to: | Rezoning an area on the southern side of Kiwi Point Quarry from Open Space B to Business 2. |                         |                       |  |  |
| Support/Oppose:        | Oppose  |                         |                       |  |  |
| Submission             |   |                         |                       |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name  | Address for Service     | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|---|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 7   | Anthony Norton                                      | tony.norton@stuff.co.nz | Yes                   |  |  |
| The submitter opposes the   | proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry for the foll | lowing reasons:         |                       |  |  |
| The submitter has concerns  | relating to:  |                         |                       |  |  |
| <ul> <li>the ability of the quarry to contain dust and noise impacts within their boundary</li> <li>the ability to maintain land mitigated during the Quarrying process.</li> <li>the vibration effects ('blasting') from quarry operation as it impacts the properties around the site and has potential to cause land instability</li> <li>the potential change in wind flow that could result from the removal of this section of the hillside and impact that this could have on the local area and residents.</li> <li>The submitter argues that the rezoning of the south face from Open Space B to Business 2 is only a short term solution for supplying rock and suggests that a long term replacement for the quarry needs to be determined now, as this proposal has only a 20 year lifespan.</li> <li>The submitter does not feel there are any real benefits for extending the quarry</li> <li>The submitter believes this expansion will not present Wellington in a 'good light' as the 'gateway to our city'</li> </ul> |   |                         |                       |  |  |
| Decision Requested  |   |                         |                       |  |  |
| The submitter seeks the foll  | owing decision from Council:                        |                         |                       |  |  |
| The submitter opposes the rezoning of the south face from Open Space B to Business 2 as per the concerns stated in their submission and that all activity should be stopped.  |   |                         |                       |  |  |
| f rezoning is approved then the submitter requests that:  |   |                         |                       |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name   | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard                  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 7  | Anthony Norton   | tony.norton@stuff.co.nz  | Yes                                    |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Mitigation should start as soon as one area is finished and not delayed till the end of the quarrying process.</li> <li>A mitigation management plan is put in place that details dates, areas to be worked on and when mitigation will be started and completed for each section.</li> <li>That on-going long term monitoring of noise and dust control be implemented.</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accept in part   |  |  |  |  |  |
| informed by assessments a<br>and remediation of visual ef<br>carried out as soon as prac   | nd recommendations from a qualified acoustic en<br>ffects, and to ensure the gateway values of the are | ation from blast activities, and to require future consent applications and operat<br>gineer to manage these effects. Amendments have also been proposed to imp<br>ea are taken into account. Provisions are also included to ensure mitigation an<br>to be included in any future application for resource consent. Effectiveness mo<br>r the quarry management plan. | rove mitigation<br>d rehabilitation ar |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name              | Address for Service   | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 8   | Jan Brydges-Jones | janbrydges@xtra.co.nz | Yes                   |  |  |
| Submission Relates to:  | Not Stated        |                       |                       |  |  |
| Support/Oppose:   | Oppose            |                       |                       |  |  |
| Submission  |                   |                       |                       |  |  |
| <ul> <li>The submitter opposes the specific provisions relating to the rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:</li> <li>Further quarrying is harmful to the environment</li> <li>The impact on the view and overlooking the quarry as further quarrying will spoil the look of the area</li> <li>Concerns of air pollution</li> <li>Concerns relating to the destabilisation of the surrounding area from quarry operation that is impacting the neighbouring houses.</li> <li>The submitter objects to the fact that quarrying is encroaching further onto non-industrial areas and is convinced it is destabilising nearby land, which is not good in an earthquake prone city.</li> </ul> |                   |                       |                       |  |  |
| Decision Requested  |                   |                       |                       |  |  |
| The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:  |                   |                       |                       |  |  |
| That further quarrying to be halted.  |                   |                       |                       |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name              | Address for Service   | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|--|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 8  | Jan Brydges-Jones | janbrydges@xtra.co.nz | Yes                   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>For the council to stop plundering the hillside as not enough research has been done on the effects of the quarrying.</li> <li>The submitter suggests the relocation of the quarry to a site beyond urban areas.</li> </ul> |                   |                       |                       |  |  |
| Recommendation   |                   |                       |                       |  |  |
| Accept in part   |                   |                       |                       |  |  |
| A new matter of control and quarry management plan requirement have been added in relation to the management of slope stability and erosion.   |                   |                       |                       |  |  |

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name   | Address for Service       | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|--|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 9  | Ryan Halverson, Halverson Civil Ltd  | ryan@halversoncivil.co.nz | No                    |  |  |
| Submission Relates to:   | Rezoning of an area on the southern side of Kiwi Point Quarry from Open Space b to Business 2 to allow for future development and expansion of the quarry. |                           |                       |  |  |
| Support/Oppose:  | Support  |                           |                       |  |  |
| Submission   |  |                           |                       |  |  |
| The submitter supports the proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons: |  |                           |                       |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No.       | Name   | Address for Service   | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 9                           | Ryan Halverson, Halverson Civil Ltd                  | ryan@halversoncivil.co.nz   | No                    |  |  |  |
| The submitter argues the n  | eed to ensure future operation of a local quarry, as | s Halverson Civil Ltd could not operate without a large local quarry due to incre | asing traffic.        |  |  |  |
| Decision Requested          |  |   |                       |  |  |  |
| The submitter seeks the fol | lowing decision from Council:                        |   |                       |  |  |  |
| The submitter supports qua  | rry expansion.                                       |   |                       |  |  |  |
|                             |  |   |                       |  |  |  |
| Recommendation              |  |   |                       |  |  |  |
| Accept                      | Accept   |   |                       |  |  |  |

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name   | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard |
|------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 10                     | Kim Irene Tree   | kondatree@xtra.co.nz | Not stated            |
| Submission Relates to: | Rezoning from Open Space B to Business 2 at Kiwi Point Quarry. |                      |                       |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No.                   | Name  | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |  |
|---|---|----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 10                                      | Kim Irene Tree  | kondatree@xtra.co.nz | Not stated            |  |  |  |
| Support/Oppose:                         | Oppose  |                      |                       |  |  |  |
| Submission                              |   |                      |                       |  |  |  |
| The continuation of submitter notes the | submitter notes these blasts often feel like earthquakes.   |                      |                       |  |  |  |
| Decision Requested                      |   |                      |                       |  |  |  |
| The submitter seeks the fol             | The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:  |                      |                       |  |  |  |
| That Council reject this pro            | posal.  |                      |                       |  |  |  |
| Recommendation                          |   |                      |                       |  |  |  |
| Accept in part                          |   |                      |                       |  |  |  |
| informed by assessments a               | Recommendations include a new matter of control to manage noise and vibration from blast activities, and to require future consent applications and operations to be<br>of ormed by assessments and recommendations from a qualified acoustic engineer to manage these effects. Amendments have also been proposed to improve mitigation<br>and remediation of visual effects, and to ensure the gateway values of the area are taken into account. |                      |                       |  |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name                           | Address for Service   | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 11   | Wayne Wright, Woodridge Homes  | wayne@woodridge.co.nz | No                    |  |  |
| Submission Relates to:   | Expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry |                       |                       |  |  |
| Support/Oppose:  | Support                        |                       |                       |  |  |
| Submission   |                                |                       |                       |  |  |
| <ul> <li>The submitter supports the proposed expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:</li> <li>Roads, buildings, houses, etc. cannot be built without quarries</li> <li>It is in keeping with the existing gorges steep exposed banks and batters</li> <li>The location to the three cities it services is perfect</li> <li>The benefit of local jobs.</li> </ul> |                                |                       |                       |  |  |
| Decision Requested   |                                |                       |                       |  |  |
| The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:   |                                |                       |                       |  |  |
| To allow full expansion of the Kiwi Point Quarry.  |                                |                       |                       |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No. | Name                          | Address for Service   | Wishes to be<br>heard |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 11                    | Wayne Wright, Woodridge Homes | wayne@woodridge.co.nz | No                    |
|                       |                               |                       |                       |
|                       |                               |                       |                       |
| Recommendation        |                               |                       |                       |
| Accept                |                               |                       |                       |

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name                                       | Address for Service     | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |
|--|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 12   | Gareth Knight                              | Garethrknight@gmail.com | No                    |  |
| Submission Relates to:   | Expansion of the quarry to the south side. |                         |                       |  |
| Support/Oppose:  | Oppose                                     |                         |                       |  |
| Submission   |  |                         |                       |  |
| The submitter opposes the proposed expansion to Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons: |  |                         |                       |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name  | Address for Service                           | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 12   | Gareth Knight   | Garethrknight@gmail.com                       | No                    |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>The submitter has concerns relating to:</li> <li>The impact of the expansion on houses on Imran Terrace and the surrounding streets that are situated directly above the proposed area for further extraction</li> <li>The increase in noise from quarry operation</li> <li>Land instability and the long term risk of 'slips' cause by quarry vibrations.</li> </ul> The submitter suggests the relocation of the quarry away from a major housing area. |   |   |                       |  |  |  |
| Decision Requested The submitter seeks the foll  | owing decision from Council:  |   |                       |  |  |  |
| The expansion plans should   | d be refused or at least curtailed to a very minimal  | level until an alternative site can be found. |                       |  |  |  |
| To be informed on what cor   | npensation is available should damage occur to th   | ne properties.                                |                       |  |  |  |
| Recommendation   | Recommendation  |   |                       |  |  |  |
| Accept in part   |   |   |                       |  |  |  |
| informed by assessments a  | ecommendations include a new matter of control to manage noise and vibration from blast activities, and to require future consent applications and operations to be<br>formed by assessments and recommendations from a qualified acoustic engineer to manage these effects. A new matter of control and quarry management plan<br>equirement have been added in relation to the management of slope stability and erosion. |   |                       |  |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name   | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard  |
|---|--|--|------------------------|
| 13  | Carol Mundell  | carolbeannz@gmail.com  | Yes                    |
| Submission Relates to:  | The visual and landscape impacts from the prope                        | osed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry.                                  |                        |
| Support/Oppose:   | Oppose   |  |                        |
| Submission  |  |  |                        |
| The quarry is:<br>• excessively noisy to<br>• excessively dusty v<br>• an 'eyesore' to resi | dents and visitors<br>s regarding an environmental assessment that has |  | ne submitter feels any |
| Decision Requested  |  |  |                        |
| The submitter seeks the fo  | llowing decision from Council:   |  |                        |
| That Council instru   | ct Holcim Ltd to close the quarry once the present                     | site is depleted.  |                        |
| <ul> <li>Holcim's Kiwi Point<br/>beyond its boundar</li> </ul>                              |  | safe engagement and stop its offensive air and noise contamination t | hat regularly exceeds  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.                                 | Name  | Address for Service   | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|---|---|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| 13  | Carol Mundell                                   | carolbeannz@gmail.com   | Yes                   |  |  |
| Recommendation  |   |   |                       |  |  |
| Accept in part  |   |   |                       |  |  |
| informed by assessments a and remediation of visual e | nd recommendations from a qualified acoustic en | ation from blast activities, and to require future consent applications and operations gineer to manage these effects. Amendments have also been proposed to implea are taken into account. Effectiveness monitoring of dust, noise and other material. | rove mitigation       |  |  |

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name   | Address for Service                                   | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|---|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| 14  | Bruce Gordon Perry                               | 512/134 Burma Road, Johnsonville ,Wellington, NZ,6037 | Not stated            |  |  |
| Submission Relates to:  | Option 4 maximum expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry. |   |                       |  |  |
| Support/Oppose:   | Support  |   |                       |  |  |
| Submission  |  |   |                       |  |  |
| The submitter supports the proposed expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons: |  |   |                       |  |  |
| Option 4 for maximum expansion is the best option in the long term                            |  |   |                       |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.        | Name   | Address for Service                                   | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| 14                           | Bruce Gordon Perry   | 512/134 Burma Road, Johnsonville ,Wellington, NZ,6037 | Not stated            |  |  |
| -                            | <ul> <li>The other options 2 and 3 lessen the use of the asset and 'water down' the project, adding costs in the long term</li> <li>The submitter feels option 1 is not a serious option.</li> </ul> |   |                       |  |  |
| Decision Requested           |  |   |                       |  |  |
| The submitter seeks the foll | owing decision from Council:   |   |                       |  |  |
| -                            |  |   |                       |  |  |
| Recommendation               |  |   |                       |  |  |
| Accept                       |  |   |                       |  |  |

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name  | Address for Service      | Wishes to be<br>heard |
|------------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 15                     | Craig Foss, Afrimat Australasia                       | craig.foss@afrimat.co.za | No                    |
| Submission Relates to: | Options regarding the south face of Kiwi Point Quarry |                          |                       |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No.        | Name  | Address for Service      | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |  |
|------------------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 15                           | Craig Foss, Afrimat Australasia   | craig.foss@afrimat.co.za | No                    |  |  |  |
| Support/Oppose:              | Support   |                          |                       |  |  |  |
| Submission                   |   |                          |                       |  |  |  |
| The submitter supports the   | proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry for the fol  | lowing reasons:          |                       |  |  |  |
| It ensures that Wellington h | It ensures that Wellington has access to as much roading and construction material as possible at a reasonable and comfortable transportation distance. |                          |                       |  |  |  |
| Decision Requested           | Decision Requested  |                          |                       |  |  |  |
| The submitter seeks the fol  | lowing decision from Council:   |                          |                       |  |  |  |
| That option 4 is progressed  | That option 4 is progressed.  |                          |                       |  |  |  |
| Recommendation               | Recommendation  |                          |                       |  |  |  |
| Accept                       |   |                          |                       |  |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name   | Address for Service                                       | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |
|---|--|---|-----------------------|--|
| 16  | lan and Beverley Dawe                              | Apt 313/134 Burma Road, Johnsonville, Wellington, NZ,6037 | No                    |  |
| Submission Relates to:  | Support for the plan change.                       |   |                       |  |
| Support/Oppose:   | Support  |   |                       |  |
| Submission  |  |   |                       |  |
| The submitter supports the  | proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry for the fol | lowing reasons:   |                       |  |
| The economics dictate the   | logic of carrying out this plan.                   |   |                       |  |
| Decision Requested  |  |   |                       |  |
| <ul> <li>The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:</li> <li>Supportive of this plan</li> <li>The submitter would like to see replanting of the obsolete areas as promised</li> <li>More effective dust control.</li> </ul> |  |   |                       |  |
| Recommendation  |  |   |                       |  |
| Accept  |  |   |                       |  |

Disclaimer: This document provides a summary of the decisions requested by persons making submissions on Wellington City Council's Proposed District Plan 83. Whilst every wellington City Council | 23 of 63 a full account of the submissions received. Accordingly, readers wishing to understand the submissions are advised to refer to the full copy of the original submissions, available upon request or on the <u>Council's website</u>.

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name   | Address for Service                               | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|---|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| 17  | Simon Roche, Powerco Limited   | Private Bag 2065,New Plymouth, NZ, 4340           | No                    |  |  |
| Submission Relates to:  | Proposed Plan Change 83  |   |                       |  |  |
| Support/Oppose:   | Neutral  |   |                       |  |  |
| Submission  |  |   |                       |  |  |
| The submitter is neutral to t   | he proposed plan change but has concerns regard  | ding the following:                               |                       |  |  |
| The Powerco gas distribution  | on networks in the area of this proposal, in particul  | ar the location of a gas pipe on the quarry site. |                       |  |  |
| The submitter would like to   | ensure the proposal does not affect:   |   |                       |  |  |
| restrict legal access   | <ul> <li>the operation, maintenance and upgrading of the gas network</li> <li>restrict legal access and physical access to the network</li> <li>or cause any damage to the existing intermediate pressure gas pipe or regulator station located on the quarry site.</li> </ul> |   |                       |  |  |
| Decision Requested  |  |   |                       |  |  |
| The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:  |  |   |                       |  |  |
| To make the Council and land owners aware of existing gas assets within the site in case future development, earthworks, or expansion is planned. |  |   |                       |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name   | Address for Service                     | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|---|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| 17  | Simon Roche, Powerco Limited   | Private Bag 2065,New Plymouth, NZ, 4340 | No                    |  |  |
| Prior to any work in close proximity to Powerco's gas pipe, the "Dial Before You Dig" service should be used for work within 2m of the pipeline. Recommendation |  |   |                       |  |  |
| Accept  |  |   |                       |  |  |
| A note to plan users has be   | A note to plan users has been added in the quarry standards to advise of the assets. |   |                       |  |  |

Disclaimer: This document provides a summary of the decisions requested by persons making submissions on Wellington City Council's Proposed District Plan 83. Whilst every wellington City Council | 25 of 63 a full account of the submissions received. Accordingly, readers wishing to understand the submissions are advised to refer to the full copy of the original submissions, available upon request or on the Council's website.

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Further<br>Submission No.            | Name  | Address for Service                                     | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |
|---|---|---|-----------------------|--|
| 1   | Simon Roche, Powerco Limited                        | Private Bag 2065,New Plymouth, NZ, 4340                 | No                    |  |
| Submission Relates to:                      | Proposed Plan Change 83                             |   |                       |  |
| Support/Oppose:                             | Neutral   |   |                       |  |
| Further Submission to Su                    | bmission #24  |   |                       |  |
| The submitter is neutral to t               | he submission.                                      |   |                       |  |
| The submitter would like to                 | ensure they are consulted prior to any alterations  | to the site with close proximity of their gas pipeline. |                       |  |
| Decision Requested                          |   |   |                       |  |
| The submitter seeks the fol                 | lowing decision from Council:                       |   |                       |  |
| Prior to any work in close p                | roximity to Powerco's gas pipe, the submitter is co | onsulted.   |                       |  |
| Recommendation                              |   |   |                       |  |
| Accept in part                              |   |   |                       |  |
| Further Submission to Submission #26        |   |   |                       |  |
| The submitter is neutral to the submission. |   |   |                       |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Further<br>Submission No. | Name  | Address for Service                                     | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 1                                | Simon Roche, Powerco Limited  | Private Bag 2065,New Plymouth, NZ, 4340                 | No                    |  |  |  |
| The submitter would like to      | ensure they are consulted prior to any alterations  | to the site with close proximity of their gas pipeline. |                       |  |  |  |
| Decision Requested               | Decision Requested  |   |                       |  |  |  |
|                                  | The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:<br>Prior to any work in close proximity to Powerco's gas pipe, the submitter is consulted. |   |                       |  |  |  |
| Recommendation                   |   |   |                       |  |  |  |
| Accept in part                   | Accept in part  |   |                       |  |  |  |

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name  | Address for Service                               | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |
|------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|--|
| 18                     | Brian Stapleton and Kylie McQuellin               | brianstapleton75@hotmail.com<br>kyliemcq@live.com | Yes                   |  |
| Submission Relates to: | Proposed District Plan Change – Kiwi Point Quarry |   |                       |  |
| Support/Oppose: Oppose |   |   |                       |  |
| Submission             |   |   |                       |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name   | Address for Service                               | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|---|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| 18  | Brian Stapleton and Kylie McQuellin  | brianstapleton75@hotmail.com<br>kyliemcq@live.com | Yes                   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Issues relating to the scope</li> <li>The poor timing of overseas, and Chri</li> <li>The range of peopl</li> <li>Issues around the Quarry's</li> <li>Issues relating to the impact</li> <li>The impacts of dus</li> <li>The smoke emission</li> <li>The potential increase</li> <li>Additional light poll</li> <li>The impacts on pro-<br/>Concerns regarding</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>The smoke emissions created from quarry operation</li> <li>The potential increase in noise from quarry operation and vehicles operating in the quarry site</li> <li>Additional light pollution</li> </ul> |   |                       |  |  |
| The lack of an assessment for alternative land use options for the site. The submitter suggests the redevelopment of the quarry site into a business park or a park and ride facility.  |  |   |                       |  |  |
| Concerns relating to the visual impact the expansion will have as it is located off of a main arterial road into Wellington and the impact it will have on tourists and visitors.   |  |   |                       |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name  | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|--|---|--|-----------------------|--|--|
| 18   | Brian Stapleton and Kylie McQuellin   | brianstapleton75@hotmail.com<br>kyliemcq@live.com  | Yes                   |  |  |
| Issues relating to the contractors who received majority of the economic benefits from this quarry.<br>The submitter also provided photographs of the existing conditions on the site and argues that the 'artist impression' of the quarry expansion do not provide the full scope of what the quarry will look like.   |   |  |                       |  |  |
| Decision Requested   | Decision Requested  |  |                       |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:</li> <li>To close Kiwi Point Quarry; OR</li> <li>Carry on at Kiwi Point Quarry until aggregate exhausted,</li> <li>Transfer operations to Horokiwi or new site,</li> <li>Assessment and proposals for alternative uses of the land.</li> </ol>   |   |  |                       |  |  |
| Recommendation   |   |  |                       |  |  |
| Accept in part<br>Recommendations include a new matter of control to manage noise and vibration from blast activities, and to require future consent applications and operations to be<br>informed by assessments and recommendations from a qualified acoustic engineer to manage these effects. Effectiveness monitoring of dust, noise and other management |   |  |                       |  |  |
| measures has been added  | as a requirement for the quarry management plan<br>ptions for alternative business uses of the site in th | <ol> <li>The cleanfill activities anticipated under the proposed controlled activity rule v</li> </ol> | will provide land     |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.       | Name  | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|-----------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 19                          | James Anthony Ginty   | jim@multicivil.co.nz | No                    |  |  |
| Submission Relates to:      | Not stated  |                      |                       |  |  |
| Support/Oppose:             | Support   |                      |                       |  |  |
| Submission                  |   |                      |                       |  |  |
| The submitter supports the  | specific provisions of the District Plan Change 83                              | because:             |                       |  |  |
| The submitter feels Welling | The submitter feels Wellington needs this quarry for future growth of the city. |                      |                       |  |  |
|                             |   |                      |                       |  |  |
| Decision Requested          |   |                      |                       |  |  |
| Not stated                  |   |                      |                       |  |  |
| Recommendation              |   |                      |                       |  |  |
| Accept                      |   |                      |                       |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name  | Address for Service       | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|---|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 20  | Brad and Nicola Young   | hellobradandnic@gmail.com | No                    |  |  |
| Submission Relates to:  | <ol> <li>Rezoning of an area on the southern side of Kiwi Point Quarry from Open Space B to Business 2.</li> <li>Introduction of a new objective that recognises the importance of quarrying aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the city.</li> <li>Introduction of a new controlled activity rule that applies to the rezoned southern face expansion area.</li> </ol> |                           |                       |  |  |
| Support/Oppose:   | Oppose  |                           |                       |  |  |
| Submission  |   |                           |                       |  |  |
| The submitter opposes the rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry and the introduction of a new objective and controlled activity rule for the following reasons: |   |                           |                       |  |  |
| The significant environmental impacts of extending the quarry such as:  |   |                           |                       |  |  |
| <ul><li>reduced vegetation</li><li>the seismic risk to</li></ul>  | loss of indigenous forest vegetation  |                           |                       |  |  |

Concerns relating to the impact on Wellington's gateway experience to visitors and residents traveling into the city.

Concerns relating to the validity of Council's ambition to being a socially and environmentally conscious city.

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| The idea that the proposal is a short term solution that will only provide an additional 10 years of aggregate.<br>The question as to whether or not the costs (destruction of natural habitat, gateway values, and disruption and risk to nearby residents) outweigh the benefits of the present term solution. The submitter suggests the Council should consider longer term options.<br>Issues relating to the initial consultation process, in that it did not consider or properly reflect the submissions from residents located near the quarry and that the property provide and the quarry is biased toward supporting corporate businesses.   | DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name   | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |
|--|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| The question as to whether or not the costs (destruction of natural habitat, gateway values, and disruption and risk to nearby residents) outweigh the benefits of the present term solution. The submitter suggests the Council should consider or properly reflect the submissions from residents located near the quarry and that the proper expansion of the quarry is biased toward supporting corporate businesses. The submitter argues that majority of the 72 submissions received that favoured maximum expansion were from people living outside of Wellington and who have a di interest in the aggregate supply. Concerns regarding whether or not the Councillors have full understanding or consideration of the proposal.  Decision Requested The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council: The submitter opposes: Rezoning an area on the southern side of the quarry site from Open Space B to Business 2. Introducing a new objective that recognises the importance of quarrying aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the council to the council aggregate at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the council to the council to the council aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the council to the council aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the council to the council to the council to the council to the council aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the council to the council aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the council to the coun | 20   | Brad and Nicola Young                                | hellobradandnic@gmail.com  | No                    |  |
| short term solution. The submitter suggests the Council should consider longer term options. Issues relating to the initial consultation process, in that it did not consider or properly reflect the submissions from residents located near the quarry and that the property expansion of the quarry is biased toward supporting corporate businesses. The submitter argues that majority of the 72 submissions received that favoured maximum expansion were from people living outside of Wellington and who have a di interest in the aggregate supply. Concerns regarding whether or not the Councillors have full understanding or consideration of the proposal.  Decision Requested The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council: The submitter opposes: 1. Rezoning an area on the southern side of the quarry site from Open Space B to Business 2 2. Introducing a new objective that recognises the importance of quarrying aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the c  | The idea that the proposal i   | s a short term solution that will only provide an ad | ditional 10 years of aggregate.  |                       |  |
| expansion of the quarry is biased toward supporting corporate businesses. The submitter argues that majority of the 72 submissions received that favoured maximum expansion were from people living outside of Wellington and who have a di interest in the aggregate supply. Concerns regarding whether or not the Councillors have full understanding or consideration of the proposal. Decision Requested The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council: The submitter opposes: 1. Rezoning an area on the southern side of the quarry site from Open Space B to Business 2 2. Introducing a new objective that recognises the importance of quarrying aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the c  |  |  |  | ts of the proposed    |  |
| interest in the aggregate supply. Concerns regarding whether or not the Councillors have full understanding or consideration of the proposal.  Decision Requested The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council: The submitter opposes: 1. Rezoning an area on the southern side of the quarry site from Open Space B to Business 2 2. Introducing a new objective that recognises the importance of quarrying aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the c   |  |  | properly reflect the submissions from residents located near the quarry and that | at the proposed       |  |
| Decision Requested         The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:         The submitter opposes:         1. Rezoning an area on the southern side of the quarry site from Open Space B to Business 2         2. Introducing a new objective that recognises the importance of quarrying aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the c  | The submitter argues that majority of the 72 submissions received that favoured maximum expansion were from people living outside of Wellington and who have a direct nterest in the aggregate supply. |  |  |                       |  |
| The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:<br>The submitter opposes:<br>1. Rezoning an area on the southern side of the quarry site from Open Space B to Business 2<br>2. Introducing a new objective that recognises the importance of quarrying aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the c  | Concerns regarding whether or not the Councillors have full understanding or consideration of the proposal.  |  |  |                       |  |
| The submitter opposes:  1. Rezoning an area on the southern side of the quarry site from Open Space B to Business 2 2. Introducing a new objective that recognises the importance of quarrying aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the c   | Decision Requested   |  |  |                       |  |
| <ol> <li>Rezoning an area on the southern side of the quarry site from Open Space B to Business 2</li> <li>Introducing a new objective that recognises the importance of quarrying aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the c</li> </ol>  | The submitter seeks the fol  | lowing decision from the Council:                    |  |                       |  |
| 2. Introducing a new objective that recognises the importance of quarrying aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the c   | The submitter opposes:   |  |  |                       |  |
|  | 2. Introducing a new object  | ctive that recognises the importance of quarrying a  | aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and developme   | ent of the city       |  |
|  |  |  |  |                       |  |

Disclaimer: This document provides a summary of the decisions requested by persons making submissions on Wellington City Council's Proposed District Plan 83. Whilst every wellington City Council | 32 of 63 a full account of the submissions received. Accordingly, readers wishing to understand the submissions are advised to refer to the full copy of the original submissions, available upon request or on the <u>Council's website</u>.

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name                  | Address for Service       | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 20   | Brad and Nicola Young | hellobradandnic@gmail.com | No                    |  |  |
| Recommendation   |                       |                           |                       |  |  |
| Accept in part   |                       |                           |                       |  |  |
| Recommendations include a new matter of control to manage noise and vibration from blast activities, and to require future consent applications and operations to be informed by assessments and recommendations from a qualified acoustic engineer to manage these effects. Amendments have also been proposed to improve mitigation and remediation of visual effects, and to ensure the gateway values of the area are taken into account. Provisions are also included to ensure mitigation and rehabilitation are carried out as soon as practicable and a comprehensive rehabilitation plan is to be included in any future application for resource consent. Effectiveness monitoring of dust, noise and other management measures has been added as a requirement for the quarry management plan. The quarry management plan requirements, policy explanation, matters of control and restoration plan requirements provide for mitigation of native vegetation loss and long-term ecological enhancement of the site. |                       |                           |                       |  |  |

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name        | Address for Service     | Wishes to be<br>heard |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| 21                     | Laurie Hart | laurie-hart@outlook.com | Yes                   |
| Submission Relates to: | Not stated  |                         |                       |
| Support/Oppose:        | Support     |                         |                       |
| Submission             |             |                         |                       |

Disclaimer: This document provides a summary of the decisions requested by persons making submissions on Wellington City Council's Proposed District Plan 83. Whilst every wellington City Council | 33 of 63 a full account of the submissions received. Accordingly, readers wishing to understand the submissions are advised to refer to the full copy of the original submissions, available upon request or on the Council's website.

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name        | Address for Service     | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |
|---|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 21  | Laurie Hart | laurie-hart@outlook.com | Yes                   |  |
| <ul> <li>The submitter supports the proposed rezoning and expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:</li> <li>Wellington needs aggregate for building projects and if it is not sourced from this quarry then it has to be carted which adds extra rates and road wear and tear</li> <li>Should utilize the natural resource that is available.</li> </ul> |             |                         |                       |  |
| Decision Requested  |             |                         |                       |  |
| The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:  |             |                         |                       |  |
| That consent be granted.  |             |                         |                       |  |
| Recommendation  |             |                         |                       |  |
| Accept  |             |                         |                       |  |

| DPC 83 Submission No. | Name      | Address for Service   | Wishes to be<br>heard |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 22                    | Matt Peel | signups@madman.net.nz | No                    |

Disclaimer: This document provides a summary of the decisions requested by persons making submissions on Wellington City Council's Proposed District Plan 83. Whilst every wellington City Council | 34 of 63 a full account of the submissions received. Accordingly, readers wishing to understand the submissions are advised to refer to the full copy of the original submissions, available upon request or on the <u>Council's website</u>.

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name  | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |
|--|---|--|-----------------------|--|
| 22   | Matt Peel   | signups@madman.net.nz  | No                    |  |
| Submission Relates to:   | <ul> <li>Rezoning of an area on the southern side of</li> <li>Standards relating to quarrying work conduct</li> </ul> | Kiwi Point Quarry from Open Space B to Business 2 with regard to Plan Chang<br>ed at Kiwi Point Quarry | e 83                  |  |
| Support/Oppose:  | Oppose  |  |                       |  |
| Submission   |   |  |                       |  |
| <ul> <li>The submitter has concerns regarding the proposed expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:</li> <li>The ability to contain dust impacts within the quarry boundary, as their property is already coated with a fine brown dust</li> <li>The expansion will be an 'eyesore' to all visitors to Wellington coming down Ngauranga Gorge on State Highway 1</li> <li>The continued expansion of the quarry in the future as the original intent to be quarried for a 30 year period has already been permitted to extend</li> <li>The fact that new housing has been approved by Council in the surrounding area.</li> </ul> |   |  |                       |  |
| The submitter eacks the following desision from the Councils   |   |  |                       |  |
| <ol> <li>The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:</li> <li>If the plan change is approved, ensure that it is not possible to extend beyond the expected 30 years, and ensure that mitigation is completed prior to this time.</li> <li>Strongly consider not approving this change, instead selecting a better site that is less of an eyesore for all visitors to Wellington.</li> </ol>  |   |  |                       |  |
Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.     | Name      | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|---------------------------|-----------|--|-----------------------|--|--|
| 22                        | Matt Peel | signups@madman.net.nz  | No                    |  |  |
| Recommendation            |           |  |                       |  |  |
| Accept in part            |           |  |                       |  |  |
| informed by assessments a |           | ation from blast activities, and to require future consent applications and operati<br>gineer to manage these effects. Amendments have also been proposed to implea<br>are taken into account. |                       |  |  |

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name   | Address for Service       | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|--|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 23   | Allan Mainwaring   | allanmainwaring@yahoo.com | No                    |  |  |
| Submission Relates to:   | All provisions relating to proposed District Plan Change 83.   |                           |                       |  |  |
| Support/Oppose:  | Oppose   |                           |                       |  |  |
| Submission   |  |                           |                       |  |  |
| The submitter opposes the proposed expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons: |  |                           |                       |  |  |
| 1. Dust and airborne parti   | 1. Dust and airborne particulates. They create a clear health risk to the community and are already an issue and expanding the quarry will increase this greatly |                           |                       |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name  | Address for Service   | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |
|---|---|---|-----------------------|--|
| 23  | Allan Mainwaring                                | allanmainwaring@yahoo.com   | No                    |  |
| <ol> <li>Poorly conceived land use as the idea of expanding the quarry is picking the easiest possible option, not the best, and a longer term solution should be found that has less impact on the community</li> <li>The negative visual impact of the quarry is significant already and is an issue for both residents and tourists</li> <li>Instability of the slopes surrounding the quarry, as slips have been an increasing problem in Wellington and keeping the quarry's current border slopes stable is a major risk, thus expanding the quarry will increase the impact and risk in the area.</li> </ol> |   |   |                       |  |
| Decision Requested  |   |   |                       |  |
| The submitter seeks the fol   | lowing decision from the Council:               |   |                       |  |
| For the Council to not expa   | nd the Kiwi Point Quarry any further.           |   |                       |  |
| Recommendation  |   |   |                       |  |
| Accept in part  |   |   |                       |  |
| been proposed to improve  |   | n added in relation to the management of slope stability and erosion. Amendme<br>o ensure the gateway values of the area are taken into account. Effectiveness m<br>r the quarry management plan. |                       |  |
| noise and other manageme  | ent measures has been added as a requirement fo | r the quarry management plan.   |                       |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name   | Address for Service   | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |
|---|--|---|-----------------------|--|
| 24  | Clive Brodie   | clivebrodie@xtra.co.nz  | No                    |  |
| Submission Relates to:  | Proposed District Plan Change – Kiwi Point Quarry  |   |                       |  |
| Support/Oppose:   | Oppose   |   |                       |  |
| Submission  |  |   |                       |  |
| Issues relating to the scope<br>affected by the quarry, which<br>Issues around the Quarry's<br>Issues relating to the impace<br>The impacts of dus<br>The smoke emission<br>The potential increas<br>Additional light polle<br>The impacts on pro<br>Concerns regarding<br>before any expansi<br>Impacts on daily tra | th resulted in a biased result.<br>proximity to housing, schools, crèches, and a loca<br>ts from quarry operation to those in the immediate<br>t and smoke on human health<br>ons created from quarry operation, that are said to<br>ase in noise from quarry operation and vehicles op<br>ution<br>perty values to homes surrounding the quarry<br>g the unknown impacts from extended 'blasting' ar<br>on can be considered<br>offic patterns as additional activity from the quarry | A-WCC respondents who are not Wellington City local tax payers and who are n<br>al retirement village.<br>e area such as:<br>be clean but may not be the case<br>perating in the quarry site<br>ad potential land instability and earthquake issues. The submitter feels this shou<br>will result in even worse traffic in the surrounding areas during peak times. |                       |  |
| -   | Concerns relating to the true costs of transporting aggregate and the need to 'save money' by having the quarry in the city.<br>Concerns relating to the visual impact of the expansion and not providing a great image for the 'Coolest Little Capital'. The submitter also provided images of the quarry as  |   |                       |  |

Disclaimer: This document provides a summary of the decisions requested by persons making submissions on Wellington City Council's Proposed District Plan 83. Whilst every wellington City Council | 38 of 63 a full account of the submissions received. Accordingly, readers wishing to understand the submissions are advised to refer to the full copy of the original submissions, available upon request or on the Council's website.

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No.                             | Name  | Address for Service   | Wishes to be<br>heard |
|---|---|---|-----------------------|
| 24  | Clive Brodie                                      | clivebrodie@xtra.co.nz  | No                    |
| evidence.   |   |   |                       |
| Issues relating to the contra                     | actors who received majority of the economic bene | fits from this quarry.  |                       |
| Decision Requested                                |   |   |                       |
| The submitter seeks the fol                       | lowing decision from the Council:                 |   |                       |
|   |   | egin to look at alternative uses for the site. For example, returning the Kiwi Poir<br>th greater income and revenue for the Wellington region.   | nt Quarry to use as   |
| Recommendation                                    |   |   |                       |
| Accept in part                                    |   |   |                       |
| informed by assessments a measures has been added | nd recommendations from a qualified acoustic en   | ation from blast activities, and to require future consent applications and operat<br>gineer to manage these effects. Effectiveness monitoring of dust, noise and otl<br>I. The cleanfill activities anticipated under the proposed controlled activity rule<br>ne long-term. | her management        |

Disclaimer: This document provides a summary of the decisions requested by persons making submissions on Wellington City Council's Proposed District Plan 83. Whilst every wellington City Council | 39 of 63 a full account of the submissions received. Accordingly, readers wishing to understand the submissions are advised to refer to the full copy of the original submissions, available upon request or on the <u>Council's website</u>.

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name  | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard    |  |
|---|---|--|--------------------------|--|
| 25  | Thejananda Wijeweera  | twijeweera@gk.net.nz   | No                       |  |
| Submission Relates to:  | <ol> <li>The entirety of the proposals in District Plan Change 83:</li> <li>Rezone and area on the southern side of the quarry site from Open Space B to Business 2</li> <li>Introduce a new objective that recognises the importance of quarrying aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide future growth and development of the city.</li> <li>Introduce a new controlled activity rule that applies to the rezoned southern face expansion area.</li> <li>Introduce a range of standards relating to the quarrying of the expanded site, and make changes to a range of explanatory text and a number of consequential changes including changes to Planning Maps 22 and 23.</li> </ol> |  |                          |  |
| Support/Oppose:   | Oppose  |  |                          |  |
| Submission  |   |  |                          |  |
| The submitter has concern   | s with the proposed expansion which will extend th  | e quarry operations for the following reasons:   |                          |  |
| The vibrations/effects from   | Quarry operations as:   |  |                          |  |
| <ul><li>hill, that border the</li><li>the risks are ampli</li></ul> | ets in the new areas will undermine and degrade so<br>proposed expansion<br>fied significantly given the fact that Wellington is pr<br>ost prominent/well documented earthquake fault lin   | •  | cated directly above the |  |
|   |   | e bearers to promote this plan without first examining the risks professionant by professionals) and take all necessary steps to mitigate such risks | illy (i.e. a             |  |
|   |   |  |                          |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name   | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|
| 25   | Thejananda Wijeweera                             | twijeweera@gk.net.nz   | No                    |  |  |
| Decision Requested   |  |  |                       |  |  |
| The Submitter seeks the fol  | llowing decision from the Council:               |  |                       |  |  |
| Take note of their strong ob   | jections enumerated above - including the strong | warning on the additional risks created to the neighbouring houses/structures. |                       |  |  |
| Not to carry on recklessly without first examining the risks that affects the submitter due to this project and taking appropriate actions to mitigate them. |  |  |                       |  |  |
| Recommendation   | Recommendation                                   |  |                       |  |  |
| Accept in part   |  |  |                       |  |  |
| A new matter of control and  | l quarry management plan requirement have been   | added in relation to the management of slope stability and erosion.            |                       |  |  |

| DPC 83 Submission No. | Name          | Address for Service        | Wishes to be<br>heard |
|-----------------------|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| 26                    | Sarah Pennell | sarahjanepennell@gmail.com | No                    |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name   | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard   |
|---|--|--|---|
| 26 Sarah Pennell sarahjanepennell@gmail.com   |  | sarahjanepennell@gmail.com   | No  |
| Submission Relates to:  | Plates to: Opposition for the expansion and for the Council to consider closing down and redeveloping Kiwi Point Quarry.   |  |   |
| Support/Oppose:   | Oppose   |  |   |
| Submission  |  |  |   |
| <ul> <li>Concerns relating to Negative e activity, in         <ul> <li>The increase The submit</li> <li>Concerns regardin immediate vicinity increased quarry a</li> <li>Concerns relating to The submitter quest language used seet</li> <li>The submitter indic could not submit.</li> <li>The current consult</li> <li>The submitter feels</li> <li>The submitter feels</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | nvironmental impacts. The quarry already general<br>addition to light pollution from around the clock ex<br>se in the risk of landslides and seismic damage fro<br>tter believes an increase in quarry activity will have<br>g the Ngauranga water reservoir which is already<br>of the proposed expansion and questions if there i<br>ctivity.<br>to the consultation and engagement of this propos<br>stions Kiwi Point and WCC's honesty with the way<br>ms biased to expanding the quarry.<br>tates the timing of the original consultation at the e<br>tation also includes non-Wellington City ratepayer<br>as if this process is a formality and that the expan | es, schools, and businesses in the surrounding area such as:<br>tes noise and dust pollution and an extension would increase these from vehicle<br>cavation<br>om blasting and excavation<br>e an adverse impact on those in the vicinity and pose a health risk.<br>at risk from earthquakes and seismic activity. The submitter notes the reservoir<br>s consideration or contingency for damage and/or pollution to this water supply<br>al.<br>the proposal has been presented as the environmental impacts seem to be dow<br>end of last year (2017) was not ideal as many people were occupied with Christr<br>s and non-WCC respondents which feels inappropriate at this stage of the proc<br>psion of Kiwi Point is a foregone conclusion<br>purpose as it has been in business for nearly 100 years and has already been e | r is in the<br>from blasting and<br>wnplayed and the<br>mas/holidays and<br>sess. |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.                                       | Name   | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard   |
|---|--|--|-------------------------|
| 26  | Sarah Pennell  | sarahjanepennell@gmail.com   | No                      |
|   | rstands future plans for development in Wellington<br>nd it is time to restore it.                   | n and the need for aggregate but believes enough damage has been d   | lone to the land around |
| Decision Requested  |  |  |                         |
| The submitter seeks the foll                                | owing decision from the Council:   |  |                         |
| The submitter opposes prop                                  | oosed District Plan Change 83.   |  |                         |
|   | Ũ  |  |                         |
| community or botanic garde                                  | t closure and redevelopment of the site should no  | w be considered. With re-planting there is enough space to have it use<br>potential to have retail or business development in the lower basin cur<br>or mountain biking tracks for example). |                         |
| community or botanic garde<br>buildings, while the surround | t closure and redevelopment of the site should no<br>ens, housing and business developments. There's | potential to have retail or business development in the lower basin cur  |                         |
| community or botanic garde                                  | t closure and redevelopment of the site should no<br>ens, housing and business developments. There's | potential to have retail or business development in the lower basin cur  |                         |

Disclaimer: This document provides a summary of the decisions requested by persons making submissions on Wellington City Council's Proposed District Plan 83. Whilst every wellington City Council | 43 of 63 a full account of the submissions received. Accordingly, readers wishing to understand the submissions are advised to refer to the full copy of the original submissions, available upon request or on the <u>Council's website</u>.

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| Name   | Address for Service   | Wishes to be<br>heard   |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Brian Bouzaid  | brian.bouzaid@lafargeholcim.com   | No  |  |  |  |
| Proposal to rezone the land to the south of Kiwi F           | Point Quarry for quarrying and clean filling.   |   |  |  |  |
| Support  |   |   |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |
| proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry because:              |   |   |  |  |  |
| quarries as shown in prior Plan Change 25 (whic              | h the submitter also supported).  |   |  |  |  |
|  |   | າ and this needs to be  |  |  |  |
| City, its rate-payers and greater region will pay m          | nore for their infrastructural costs.   |   |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |
| The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council: |   |   |  |  |  |
| To approve the Plan Change in full as detailed.              |   |   |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |
|  | Proposal to rezone the land to the south of Kiwi F<br>Support<br>proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry because:<br>quarries as shown in prior Plan Change 25 (which<br>of Plan Change 25, WCC was the first Council in<br>ng to look beyond this to where the aggregates of<br>City, its rate-payers and greater region will pay m<br>owing decision from the Council: | Proposal to rezone the land to the south of Kiwi Point Quarry for quarrying and clean filling. Support Proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry because: quarries as shown in prior Plan Change 25 (which the submitter also supported). of Plan Change 25, WCC was the first Council in NZ to recognise aggregates are strategic to the city and regions growth ng to look beyond this to where the aggregates of the future will come from. City, its rate-payers and greater region will pay more for their infrastructural costs. wing decision from the Council: |  |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No. | Name           | Address for Service             | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 27                    | Brian Bouzaid  | brian.bouzaid@lafargeholcim.com | No                    |  |  |
| Recommendation        | Recommendation |                                 |                       |  |  |
| Accept                |                |                                 |                       |  |  |

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name  | Address for Service                                | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|--|---|--|-----------------------|--|--|
| 28   | Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC)  | PO Box 11646, Manners Street ,Wellington, NZ, 6142 | Yes                   |  |  |
| Submission Relates to:   | Proposed District Plan Change 83 - Kiwi Point Quarry Extension  |  |                       |  |  |
| Support/Oppose:  | Support with conditions   |  |                       |  |  |
| Submission   |   |  |                       |  |  |
| The submitter conditionally                                      | The submitter conditionally supports Proposed District Plan Change 83 - Kiwi Point Quarry Extension and seeks further consideration of some particular matters. |  |                       |  |  |
| The submitter supports the Plan Change for its consistency with: |   |  |                       |  |  |
|  |   |  |                       |  |  |

Disclaimer: This document provides a summary of the decisions requested by persons making submissions on Wellington City Council's Proposed District Plan 83. Whilst every wellington City Council | 45 of 63 a full account of the submissions received. Accordingly, readers wishing to understand the submissions are advised to refer to the full copy of the original submissions, available upon request or on the <u>Council's website</u>.

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.                            | Name   | Address for Service   | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|--|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| 28   | Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC)   | PO Box 11646, Manners Street ,Wellington, NZ, 6142  | Yes                   |  |  |
| The National Policy Sta                          | nsport Plan 2015 (RLTP)<br>tement for Freshwater Management 2014 (NPS-F<br>Resources Plan (PNRP)   | M)  |                       |  |  |
| The submitter also supports                      | the Plan Change because:   |   |                       |  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Of the requirement to have a Quarry Management Plan (QMP) (guided by RPS Policies)</li> <li>The submitter recognises that aggregates in the Wellington region are in short supply.</li> </ul> |   |                       |  |  |
| The submitter is particularly Wellington Region. | interested in how this plan change will support ar   | id contribute to achieving the sustainable management of natural and physical   | resources in the      |  |  |
| The submitter has concerns                       | regarding the assessment of biodiversity values  | such as:  |                       |  |  |
|  |  | ddition to the comments by Wildlands in the Assessment of Environmental Effe<br>tails on how the identified mitigation sites would be remediated and monitored. | cts (AEE).            |  |  |
|  | regarding ecological mitigation as the Plan Chan<br>ed mitigation is only twice as large as the affected   | ge does not currently meet the requirements for mitigation stated in the AEE (o area.   | n page 16) as the     |  |  |
| Decision Requested                               |  |   |                       |  |  |
| The submitter seeks the foll                     | owing decision from the Council:   |   |                       |  |  |
| Relief sought:                                   |  |   |                       |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name  | Address for Service   | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|---|---|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| 28  | Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC)  | PO Box 11646, Manners Street ,Wellington, NZ, 6142  | Yes                   |  |  |
| The submitter requests that   | he submitter requests that their support for the Plan Change is noted where given and amendments are made where sought. |   |                       |  |  |
| The submitter requests that   | t, prior to WCC making a decision on the Plan cha   | nge:  |                       |  |  |
| 1. Surveys of freshwater fis  | 1. Surveys of freshwater fish and reptile fauna are completed   |   |                       |  |  |
| 2. Further assessments be   | undertaken to identify adequate mitigation options  |   |                       |  |  |
| 3. Further information is provided on the operational and post operational phase impacts, as well as what monitoring regime will be followed once remediation of this area is completed |   |   |                       |  |  |
| 4. The proposed site for mi   | tigation be increased to at least three times the siz   | e of the affected area, and   |                       |  |  |
| 5. The relevant district plan   | maps and new text in the QMP method are updat   | ed to reflect this.   |                       |  |  |
| Recommendation  |   |   |                       |  |  |
| Accept in part  |   |   |                       |  |  |
|   | ve been carried out since notification. The quarry r<br>itigation of native vegetation loss and long-term ec            | nanagement plan requirements, policy explanation, matters of control and rest<br>cological enhancement of the site. | pration plan          |  |  |

Disclaimer: This document provides a summary of the decisions requested by persons making submissions on Wellington City Council's Proposed District Plan 83. Whilst every wellington City Council | 47 of 63 a full account of the submissions received. Accordingly, readers wishing to understand the submissions are advised to refer to the full copy of the original submissions, available upon request or on the <u>Council's website</u>.

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name   | Address for Service   | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|---|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| 29  | Silvia Rudzki and John Savage  | Silvia.rudzki@icloud.com  | No                    |  |  |
| Submission Relates to:  | <ol> <li>Introduction of a new objective that recognise<br/>the city.</li> </ol>   | Kiwi Point Quarry from Open Space B to Business 2<br>as the importance of quarrying aggregate at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the<br>hat applies to the rezoned southern face expansion area. | of future growth of   |  |  |
| Support/Oppose:   | Oppose   |   |                       |  |  |
| Submission  |  |   |                       |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Oppose the reducti</li> <li>Noise, dust, light an</li> <li>Loss of indigenous</li> <li>Loss of habitation f</li> <li>Seismic risks</li> <li>Unappealing gatew</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>The submitter opposes the proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry and the introduction of a new objective and new controlled activity for the following reasons:</li> <li>Oppose the reduction of real estate value of homes close to the quarry</li> <li>Noise, dust, light and air pollution</li> <li>Loss of indigenous vegetation</li> <li>Loss of habitation for fauna</li> </ul> |   |                       |  |  |
| Decision Requested  | ecision Requested  |   |                       |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name   | Address for Service   | Wishes to be<br>heard  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 29  | Silvia Rudzki and John Savage  | Silvia.rudzki@icloud.com  | No   |  |  |  |
| The submitter seeks the foll  | The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Oppose points 1,2, and 3:   | ppose points 1,2, and 3:   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Introducing a new object   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendation  | ecommendation  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Accept in part  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| informed by assessments a<br>and remediation of visual ef<br>carried out as soon as prac-<br>noise and other manageme | nd recommendations from a qualified acoustic en-<br>ffects, and to ensure the gateway values of the are<br>ticable and a comprehensive rehabilitation plan is<br>ant measures has been added as a requirement fo | ation from blast activities, and to require future consent applications and operati<br>gineer to manage these effects. Amendments have also been proposed to implet<br>a are taken into account. Provisions are also included to ensure mitigation and<br>to be included in any future application for resource consent. Effectiveness mo<br>r the quarry management plan. The quarry management plan requirements, pon<br>native vegetation loss and long-term ecological enhancement of the site. | rove mitigation<br>d rehabilitation are<br>nitoring of dust, |  |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.        | Name   | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|
| 30                           | Simon Gatenby, Taylor Preston Ltd  | simon.gatenby@tpl.co.nz  | Yes                   |  |  |
| Submission Relates to:       | The following provisions:<br>34.1.5, 34.2.3, and 34.6.5.4.1 - Area of quarrying and cleanfill<br>34.6.5.6.1 - Traffic Movement<br>34.6.5.3.3 – Blasting  |  |                       |  |  |
| Support/Oppose:              | Not Stated   |  |                       |  |  |
| Submission                   |  |  |                       |  |  |
| The submitter has concerns   | s regarding the proposed District Plan Change for  | the following reasons:   |                       |  |  |
|                              | The proposed area of quarrying and cleanfill operations includes Taylor Preston Ltd (TPL) leasehold land, legal access to that land, and TPL Grazing Licence Land. There has been no communication from WCC in regard to the lease land and legal access, and unspecific conversations regarding the Grazing Land. |  |                       |  |  |
| Concerns relating to the tra | ffic movement clause and the safe entry and exit o   | of TPL staff and continued operation of stock and delivery and product despatc | h.                    |  |  |
| Concerns relating to traffic | Concerns relating to traffic management at peak production periods as there is an excess of 800 employees and a significant number of truck movements.   |  |                       |  |  |
| The submitter also commen    | The submitter also commented on blasting notices and would also like to be included in the list of neighbours to get written (or email) notice of blasting.  |  |                       |  |  |
| Decision Requested           |  |  |                       |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name  | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |
|--|---|--|-----------------------|--|
| 30   | Simon Gatenby, Taylor Preston Ltd                 | simon.gatenby@tpl.co.nz  | Yes                   |  |
| The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:<br>To have the Proposed District Plan Change amended to reflect the submitters concerns. Specifically: acknowledgement from WCC that if they do want or need parts of the submitters land that an appropriate agreement as to compensation and/or alternative arrangements will be negotiated.<br>The submitter seeks involvement in development of traffic management plans for the quarry and specifically for the interface between TPL and the quarry. TPL needs to approve in writing any traffic management plan or movement that affects or potentially affects the safety of their staff and contractors.<br>Traffic management should also be included in 34.2.3 as a matter of control for WCC. |   |  |                       |  |
| As the closest neighbour th  | e submitter wishes to be aware of possible future | consents and their impact on their business.   |                       |  |
| Recommendation   |   |  |                       |  |
| Accept in part   |   |  |                       |  |
|  |   | en amended to expressly take account of the abattoir and community liaison rec<br>e list of parties to be notified of blasting activities in writing under the quarry star |                       |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name   | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |
|---|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| 31  | Dean and Vivienne Soldera  | dean.soldera@xtra.co.nz  | No                    |  |
| Submission Relates to:  | Rezoning from Open Space B to Business 2.  |  |                       |  |
| Support/Oppose:   | Oppose   |  |                       |  |
| Submission  |  |  |                       |  |
| <ul> <li>the rezoned area a</li> <li>future operations at<br/>the proximity of the</li> <li>concerns of anxiety</li> <li>the submitter argue</li> <li>concerns relating to</li> </ul> |  |  |                       |  |
| Decision Requested  |  |  |                       |  |
|   | lowing decision from the Council:<br>ensure that blasting in any new area meets the co | uncil objectives of ensuring the environmental impacts are satisfactory in terms | s of impacts on       |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No. | Name  | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|---|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 31                    | Dean and Vivienne Soldera   | dean.soldera@xtra.co.nz  | No                    |  |  |  |
| Recommendation        |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Accept in part        | Accept in part  |  |                       |  |  |  |
|                       | a new matter of control to manage noise and vibra<br>and recommendations from a qualified acoustic en | ation from blast activities, and to require future consent applications and operati<br>gineer to manage these effects. | ons to be             |  |  |  |

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name   | Address for Service           | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |
|---|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 32  | Onslow Residents Community Association   | onslowcommunityassn@gmail.com | Not stated            |  |
| Submission Relates to:  | Proposed District Plan Change 83 to allow maximum expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry.  |                               |                       |  |
| Support/Oppose:   | Supports with conditions   |                               |                       |  |
| Submission  | Submission   |                               |                       |  |
| The submitter conditionally supports proposed District Plan Change 83 but has concerns regarding the following: |  |                               |                       |  |
|   | <ul> <li>The impacts on residents who live in properties adjoining the quarry who will now be impacted by the quarry for an additional 20 years</li> <li>Increased dirt and dust from quarry operations on nearby residents' properties</li> </ul> |                               |                       |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name  | Address for Service   | Wishes to be<br>heard                       |
|--|---|---|---|
| 32   | Onslow Residents Community Association  | onslowcommunityassn@gmail.com   | Not stated                                  |
| <ul> <li>Intermittent blasting</li> </ul>  | g and vibrations from quarrying operations and the  | ent plan as the submitter worries they will not be sufficient<br>resulting property damage<br>Ferrace, Gurhka Crescent, Maldive Street and Irman Terrace.   |   |
| Decision Requested   |   |   |   |
| The submitter seeks the fol  | lowing decision from the Council:   |   |   |
| The submitter supports the   | proposed District Plan changes as follows:  |   |   |
| <ul><li>Introduce a new contro</li><li>Make a range of other of</li></ul>  |   | b Business 2.<br>Irn face expansion area (resource consent standard).<br>Ig performance standards, explanatory text, and rezoning on Planning Maps  | s 22 and 23.                                |
|  | et out in the District Plan are applied to the Quarry   | Management Plan and are adhered to and rigorously monitored by Counci   | l officiale                                 |
| <ul> <li>dust levels are monitore<br/>consideration in this res</li> </ul>   | spect   | st minimisation are used to reduce the dust nuisance beyond the quarry. Co  | ost should not be a                         |
| <ul> <li>dust levels are monitore consideration in this resonance if dust in residents hom</li> <li>modern methods of bla</li> <li>Council benchmarks the of the property. Any negotiation of the property.</li> </ul> | spect<br>es is more than should be expected for someone t<br>sting working faces must result in minimal vibration | to have to clean up, Council will pay for the dust to be removed/cleaned from<br>n. Small sequenced explosions should be used rather than single large blast<br>ensure that the vibrations and blasting from quarry operations do not negative<br>are fixed at Council cost | ost should not be a<br>m their homes<br>sts |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No.     | Name   | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 32                        | Onslow Residents Community Association   | onslowcommunityassn@gmail.com  | Not stated            |  |  |  |
| a gap of at least 70 m is | a gap of at least 70 m is maintained between residential boundaries and the first batter area of excavation but 100m would be preferred. |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Recommendation            |  |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Accept in part            |  |  |                       |  |  |  |
| informed by assessments a | and recommendations from a qualified acoustic en-<br>as a requirement for the quarry management plan                                     | ation from blast activities, and to require future consent applications and operat<br>gineer to manage these effects. Effectiveness monitoring of dust, noise and oth<br>n. Any proposal to undertake quarry activities in the buffer area will require reso | her management        |  |  |  |

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name                                  | Address for Service                                  | Wishes to be<br>heard |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| 33                     | Andrea Rivera-Jimenez and Ivan Gashko | 11 Birla Terrace, Broadmeadows, Wellington, NZ, 6035 | Yes                   |
| Submission Relates to: | Kiwi Point Quarry expansion - DPC 83  |  |                       |
| Support/Oppose:        | Oppose                                |  |                       |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name  | Address for Service   | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |  |
|---|---|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| 33  | Andrea Rivera-Jimenez and Ivan Gashko   | 11 Birla Terrace, Broadmeadows, Wellington, NZ, 6035  | Yes                   |  |  |
| Submission  |   |   |                       |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Concerns regarding<br/>and a retirement vil<br/>health.</li> <li>Concerns regarding<br/>Johnsonville neight</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>and a retirement village. The submitter states any type of additional pollution and noise so close to residents and students will have negative consequences on public health.</li> <li>Concerns regarding land instability as the submitter considers it to be a future risk for all residents in the surrounding hills (i.e. Khandallah, Broadmeadows, and Johnsonville neighbourhoods)</li> </ul> |   |                       |  |  |
| Decision Requested  |   |   |                       |  |  |
| The submitter seeks the foll  | lowing decision from the Council:   |   |                       |  |  |
| Expect that the Council con   | sider the negative impacts for surrounding resider  | nts (specifically health risks), over financial benefits for outsiders.   |                       |  |  |
| Recommendation  |   |   |                       |  |  |
| Accept in part  |   |   |                       |  |  |
| informed by assessments a   | nd recommendations from a qualified acoustic en   | ation from blast activities, and to require future consent applications and operat<br>gineer to manage these effects. Effectiveness monitoring of dust, noise and oth<br>A new matter of control and quarry management plan requirement have beer | her management        |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.                             | Name                                  | Address for Service                                  | Wishes to be<br>heard |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| 33  | Andrea Rivera-Jimenez and Ivan Gashko | 11 Birla Terrace, Broadmeadows, Wellington, NZ, 6035 | Yes                   |
| to the management of slope stability and erosion. |                                       |  |                       |

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name  | Address for Service                            | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |
|---|---|--|-----------------------|--|
| 34  | New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA)   | PO Box 5084,Lambton Quay ,Wellington, NZ, 6145 | Not stated            |  |
| Submission Relates to:  | The safe and efficient functioning of State Highway 1 and the potential effect of quarry activities on people using State Highway 1 in this location. |  |                       |  |
| Support/Oppose:   | Not stated - Request for Traffic Analysis   |  |                       |  |
| Submission  |   |  |                       |  |
| Details of Submission:  |   |  |                       |  |
| <ul> <li>The submitter requests further traffic analysis on the transport network around Kiwi Point Quarry (i.e. State Highway 1)</li> <li>The submitter notes the importance of the section of State Highway 1 that provides access for Kiwi Point Quarry</li> </ul> |   |  |                       |  |

• The submitter has concerns regarding traffic generation from the proposed quarry activities as the proposed plan change lacks a detailed traffic analysis.

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name                                | Address for Service                            | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| 34  | New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) | PO Box 5084,Lambton Quay ,Wellington, NZ, 6145 | Not stated            |  |
| Decision Requested  |                                     |  |                       |  |
| The submitter seeks clarification on the matter of any additional traffic effects that may be generated from the relocation of quarry activities within the site.<br>The submitter would like to continue dialogue to understand what, if any additional traffic effects may be generated from the relocation of the quarry activities, and would<br>like to see evidence on which the conclusion is drawn for the proposal to have more than minor effects on the transport network. |                                     |  |                       |  |
| Recommendation  |                                     |  |                       |  |
| Accept  |                                     |  |                       |  |
| Additional investigations on potential impacts of the plan change on the safety and efficiency of the state highway have been conducted since notification.   |                                     |  |                       |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.  | Name  | Address for Service  | Wishes to be<br>heard |
|--|---|--|-----------------------|
| 35   | Wade To (Allied Concrete)                         | wade.to@alliedconcrete.co.nz   | Yes                   |
| Submission Relates to:   | Option 4 - Maximum development of the south fa    | ce.  |                       |
| Support/Oppose:  | Support   |  |                       |
| Submission   |   |  |                       |
| <ul> <li>The submitter supports the proposed changes to the District Plan at Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:</li> <li>Not opening up land for an aggregate resource would have an impact on the businesses that the quarry directly and indirectly services</li> <li>Access to aggregates benefits the wider region as a key construction material in both municipal and privately funded projects</li> <li>Reduction in competition through lack of access to quality aggregate resource would most likely result in price escalation and would be passed onto the rate-payer and private sector</li> <li>Any reduction in capacity would result in a reduction to the region's resilience to natural hazard disasters</li> <li>Any future reduction in access would potentially jeopardise employment opportunities</li> <li>A successfully operating quarry provides business support to other key material providers for the construction sector (i.e. roading, water services, and cement distribution businesses)</li> <li>A future proofed city requires access to quality resources.</li> </ul> |   |  |                       |
| Decision Requested   |   |  |                       |
| The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:   |   |  |                       |
| Approval of the changes pro  | oposed in amendment 83 to the District Plan, spec | ifically the maximum development of the south face outlined in Option 4. |                       |

Disclaimer: This document provides a summary of the decisions requested by persons making submissions on Wellington City Council's Proposed District Plan 83. Whilst every wellington City Council | 59 of 63 a full account of the submissions received. Accordingly, readers wishing to understand the submissions are advised to refer to the full copy of the original submissions, available upon request or on the <u>Council's website</u>.

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No. | Name                      | Address for Service          | Wishes to be<br>heard |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 35                    | Wade To (Allied Concrete) | wade.to@alliedconcrete.co.nz | Yes                   |
| Recommendation        |                           |                              |                       |
| Accept                |                           |                              |                       |

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name   | Address for Service | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |
|---|--|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 36  | Angela Kathleen Garty  | akgarty@gmail.com   | No                    |  |
| Submission Relates to:  | <ul> <li>The proposed Plan change in its entirety:</li> <li>Proposed rezoning of southern side of quarry from Open Space B and Business 2</li> <li>Introduction of a new objective and new controlled activity rule</li> <li>New standards relating to the quarry</li> </ul> |                     |                       |  |
| Support/Oppose:   | Oppose   |                     |                       |  |
| Submission  |  |                     |                       |  |
| The submitter opposes the proposed changes to the District Plan at Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:   |  |                     |                       |  |
| • the significant environmental effects that will adversely affect the submitters property as well as the surrounding properties (including schools and a retirement village) |  |                     |                       |  |

the effects on the Wellington 'gateway' experience for residents and visitors along State Highway 1 into Wellington City

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name  | Address for Service   | Wishes to be<br>heard   |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 36  | Angela Kathleen Garty                                       | akgarty@gmail.com   | No  |  |  |
| <ul><li>and irreversible imp</li><li>concerns over the I</li></ul>  | oact<br>oss of the submitter's property along with other pr | g term visual impact if the proposed quarrying activities are not sufficient in man<br>operties on Homebush Road to enjoy views across the valleys to green vegetat |   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Amenity values – the submitter has attached to their submission 3D modelling information of the proposed landform changes that would result if the proposed plan change is approved. The submitter feels the cut into the existing ridgeline is extremely significant as the proposed quarrying activities would not only irreversibly modify the ridgeline but also open up the submitter's views into the existing quarry operations which would bring further adverse effects of dust, noise and wind.</li> <li>Dust and noise – The submitter believes that even with dust and control measures, that the dust and noise from the quarrying operations, particularly in Wellington weather, will still adversely affect their property.</li> <li>Wind – The submitter believes the removal of the ridgeline will remove some of the current shelter that the hill provides from northerly and westerly winds. The submitter's property is already in a very high wind zone with strong and gusty winds that often shake the house. The submitter feels this will be exacerbated by the proposal.</li> <li>Biodiversity – The submitter believes the current Open Space B zoning of the proposed plan change site is the most appropriate zoning in promoting biodiversity in the area. The submitter notes that they currently enjoy native birdlife across the valley, which will be significantly impacted by the loss of vegetation and habitat by the proposed plan change.</li> </ul> |   |   | r irreversibly<br>e and wind.<br>y in Wellington<br>winds. The<br>erbated by the<br>g biodiversity in |  |  |
| Decision Requested  | Decision Requested  |   |   |  |  |
| The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:  |   |   |   |  |  |
| That the Council decline Proposed Plan Change 83 in its entirety.   |   |   |   |  |  |
|   |   |   |   |  |  |

Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

#### Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

| DPC 83 Submission No.   | Name                  | Address for Service | Wishes to be<br>heard |  |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 36  | Angela Kathleen Garty | akgarty@gmail.com   | No                    |  |
| Accept in part  |                       |                     |                       |  |
| Recommendations include a new matter of control to manage noise and vibration from blasting activities, and to require future consent applications and operations to be informed by assessments and recommendations from a qualified acoustic engineer to manage these effects. Amendments have also been proposed to improve mitigation and remediation of visual effects, and to ensure the gateway values of the area are taken into account. Provisions are also included to ensure mitigation and rehabilitation are carried out as soon as practicable and a comprehensive rehabilitation plan is to be included in any future application for resource consent. Effectiveness monitoring of dust, noise and other management measures has been added as a requirement for the quarry management plan. The quarry management plan requirements, policy explanation, matters of control and restoration plan requirements provide for mitigation of native vegetation loss and long-term ecological enhancement of the site. |                       |                     |                       |  |