

Introduction

Submission of Proposed District Plan Change 83
Kiwi Point Quarry
Form 5, Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

Privacy Statement

All information included in submissions (including name and contact details) are provided in their entirety to elected members. Your personal information will be used for administration purposes only, including informing you about this proposed Plan Change.

Your name and address for service will be made available to the public, per the requirements of the Resource Management Act,

1991 (RMA). For more information about these requirements please see [Clauses 6- 8a of the RMA](#).

All information collected will be held by Wellington City Council, with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information. For more information about privacy at Wellington City Council see our [privacy statement](#).

Submitter Details

First Name: **Clive**
Last Name: **Brodie**

eMail: **clivebrodie@xtra.co.nz**
Preferred Method of Contact *

Trade competition and adverse effects:

I could I could not

gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission

I am I am not

directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that :

- adversely affects the environment, and
- does not relate to the trade competition or the effects of trade competitions.

Note to person making further submission. A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days of making the further submission to the Council

Wishes to be heard:

Yes

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Correspondence to:

- Submitter
 Agent
 Both

Submission

The specific provisions of proposed District Plan Change 83 that my submission relates to are as follows (give details below)

Comments

Please refer to attached PDF submission letter.

My submission is that ... (You should include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended. You should also state the reasons for your views)

Comments

Please refer to attached PDF submission letter.

I seek the following decision from Council (please give precise details)

Comments

Please refer attached PDF submission letter.

Attached Documents

File
Kiwi point quarry submission C Brodie

Need Help?

Privacy Statement

Submission: WCC Proposed District Plan Change - Kiwi Point Quarry

1. Scope and Breadth of Consultation

The current consultation is strange in that it includes residents of the Kapiti Coast and other non-WCC respondents who are not Wellington City local tax payers and who are not directly affected by the quarry leading to inaccurate sampling and an incorrect conclusion. Consultation should only consist of those living in the immediate area to achieve a fair, realistic and unbiased result.

2. Who is Affected and How?

There are many homes immediately around the quarry site and its proposed extension. There are also several schools and crèches with many young children in the immediate vicinity. There is also a senior citizen care facility with an attached hospital. The potential effect for those in the immediate area are:

- a) Dust and smoke: Dust may cause childhood asthma, aggravate an asthmatic condition that already exists or cause general malaise and ill-health for the elderly and those vulnerable due to depressed immune systems.

So many children at playtime will ingest dust from the increased blasting.

There are also the smoke emissions that we are reassured are clean, but once the output this may not be the case.

- b) Noise: Increased activity and blasting will cause additional noise.
- c) Light pollution: The site is lit up 24/7 already and increasing the size of the operations will increase light pollution.
- d) Sale of property: If the expansion goes ahead may try sell their property in a hurry which may reduce the value of their property and property in the surrounding areas.
- e) Earthquakes: It is unclear whether extended and further erosion of the landscape will have a detrimental effect in the event of an earthquake. This question should be addressed before any expansion can be considered.
- f) Traffic: Additional activity at the quarry will result in even worse traffic in the surrounding areas during peak times.

3. Saving Money on the Transportation of Aggregate

It is WCC's view that they save a great deal of money by having a quarry in the city for transporting aggregate for road building and building. However, no new major roads have

been built in Wellington since the new Arras underpass two-three years ago. The current main road building activity is taking place on Highway 1 heading up to the Kapiti Coast.

4. Wellington, the Coolest Little Capital in the World

An unsightly, noisy quarry that is creating pollution does not provide a great image for the Coolest Little Capital (as evidenced by the images of the quarry provided in the appendix to this submission).

5. Profit does not come back to the citizens of Wellington

The site is owned by WCC but operated by a sub-contractor since 2006, who pays Royalties to WCC. Accordingly the bulk of the profits and revenue is made by the contractor rather than the citizens of Wellington.

6. My submission - Clive Brodie, 5 Hindipur Terrace, Broadmeadows, Wellington

I submit that WCC should not expand the quarry at Kiwi Point and that the Council begin to look at alternative uses for the sight. For example, returning the Kiwi Point Quarry to us as a Business or Industrial Park would encourage long-term business growth with greater income and revenue for the Wellington region.



Clive Brodie
14 May 2018

Appendix: Images of Kiwi Point Quarry Extent from Broadmeadows



Image 1: View of the main Ngauranga Gorge Part of Quarry.



Image 2: The view towards Raroa when significant earthworks and further slurry dump has been created.



Image 3: Evidence of the previous quarrying around the proposed extension of the quarry.