Minutes of the meeting of Wednesday 9 September 2020

Venue Ngake – Room 16.09, Tahiwi, 113 The Terrace, Wellington

Members present

Chair	Ella Flavell
Members	Anastasia Reid, Bethany Kaye-Blake, Brad Olsen, Ella Flavell (Chair), Jackson Lacy, John Sibanda, Laura Jackson (Deputy Chair), Neesha Dixon, Raihaan Dalwai, Shelly Liang, Tim Rutherford, Tony Huang
Liaison Councillors	Cr Tamatha Paul, Cr Jill Day
Staff	Meredith Blackler (Chief People and Culture Officer), Sean Johnson (Democracy Advisor)

Minutes

1. Meeting Procedures

4:02 pm

1.1. The meeting opened at 4:02 pm. Apologies were received from the following members:

• Carl, Grace, and Watene – for absence.

<u>Resolved</u> That Youth Council accepts the given apologies.

Ella Flavell (Chair) / Bethany Kaye-Blake

- 1.2. Youth Council members shared what was on top for them this week.
- 1.3. No conflicts of interest were declared.
- 1.4. The minutes of the previous meeting were presented for confirmation.

<u>Resolved</u> That Youth Council accepts the minutes of the previous meeting as a true and correct record.

Ella Flavell (Chair) / Jackson Lacy

CARRIED

CARRIED

- 1.5. Members made the following announcements:
 - Ella announced that she had met with the WCC Engagement team to discuss links between the WCC Social Media team and the Youth Council Comms Group.
 - Ella Congratulated Tony for his performance in Prem A debating where he was awarded Best Speaker and was a member of the winning Onslow College team.

Te Rūnanga Taiohi o te Kaunihera o Pōneke

- Laura thanked the Youth Councillors who worked on the Future of Central Library submission and noted that oral submission would be on 22 September.
- Laura noted that she and Brad had been interviewed by Radio New Zealand on the Draft Spatial Plan and that they were also interested in talking to Youth Council about their Future of Central Library submission. Clip containing interview: <u>https://www.rnz.co.nz/national/programmes/morningreport/audio/2018763554/h</u> eritage-advocates-not-happy-with-proposed-regulation-changes
- Jackson thanked Grace, Shelly, and Laura for coming to the Tawa Community Board meeting on 20 August 2020.
- Tim noted that he, John, and Laura had met with Historic Places Wellington to discuss the groups different views on the Draft Spatial Plan.

2. Items

4:22 pm

2.1. Gambling Venues Policy, presented by Jim Lewis

Jim Lewis from the WCC Policy team presented to the group about the Gambling Venues Policy review, which is currently open for consultation.

Jim noted that Council is a relatively small player in regulating Class 4 (pokie) gambling, but that it does have the power to restrict the location and number of new venues for machines.

The Council is currently consulting on three options for its policy:

- A. Implement a sinking lid to allow no new venues in Wellington. (Council's preferred option)
- B. Reduce the caps on the maximum number of machines by 87. This option will also require the Council to undertake some administrative changes including changes to the zone descriptions to align with the 2019 Representation Review. It also proposes to reinstate the Primary Activity clause, so that machines can only be located in venues with a valid on licence and restricted designation as R18.
- C. No change to the existing policy, or another change that we haven't considered.

Youth Council discussed the options in groups. Following this discussion there was a general agreement that Youth Council supported Option A, subject to a formal submission being drafted.

A working group of Brad, Jackson, Shelly, and Tony was created to work on a submission. The consultation closes on 1 October 2020.

Action points:

• Working Group to write submission.

Cr Day arrived at 4:45 pm.

Cr Paul left at 5:05 pm

Te Rūnanga Taiohi o te Kaunihera o Pōneke

2.2. Planning for Growth, presented by Clare Lundon

Clare Lundon from the WCC Engagement team thanked the group for attending the joint advisory groups workshop on the Draft Spatial Plan.

Youth Councillors split in to two groups to consider two questions regarding the Draft Spatial Plan:

- 1. What types of heritage and character should we preserve?
- 2. How can we implement change in a considered way without people feeling a sense of loss?

The group's answers are attached to the end of these minutes.

A working group of Bethany, Ella, Jackson, Laura, Raihaan, Shelly, Tim, and Tony was created to work on Youth Council's submission on the Draft Spatial Plan. The consultation closes on 5 October 2020.

The meeting closed at 5:35 pm.

To my to aniche The even 15. And character? it more 200 5 5 And 5

way without people feeling How can we implement hange in a considered Sense 1055 Sar N Nor a

Nads to mart instation Waintain cultural + his lovical aspects is lorical significance Oistingwish between his larical / community maintain cesiden hial and residential - not as important lo Whe preserve? character sheuld we types of heritage Not change purch by pash aqtitis un the dry

Hote not in which will be mant Olalitation of the oxamples, 8 hange in a tow can we implem Medium densily progress without hunhouses people feeling 655) considered Anchor projects Gradual removal of restrictions ang e.g. Close transport