

## 2013/14 DRAFT ANNUAL PLAN: KEY ISSUES AND FUNDING REQUESTS

### 1. Purpose of Report

This report provides for decisions on:

- savings options outlined in the draft plan
- new funding outlined in the draft plan
- proposed increases in income outlined in the draft plan
- proposed changes in capital expenditure outlined in the draft plan
- increases to fees and charges outlined in the draft plan
- prominent issues and new funding requests raised during consultation by submitters
- changes to expenditure not originally proposed in the draft plan

This report should be read in conjunction with the report on oral submissions, the report on community feedback and the report on Council's earthquake strengthening programme.

### 2. Recommendations

That the Strategy and Policy Committee:

1. *Receive the information.*
2. *Agree the following savings options - consulted on in the draft Annual Plan - be included in the final 2013/14 Annual Plan for adoption by Council.*

#	<i>Savings</i>	<i>\$000 2013/14 opex</i>
a)	Consistent closing hours at smaller branch libraries (now including Khandallah Library)	(26.5)
b)	Changes to Leisure Card scheme	(75)
c)	Hazardous Trees	(100)
d)	Patent Slip Jetty	(100)
e)	Wellington Zoo Trust	(84)
f)	Positively Wellington Tourism	(140)
	Total	(525.5)

3. *Agree the following proposed increases in income - consulted on in the draft Annual Plan - be included in the final 2013/14 Annual Plan for adoption by Council.*

#	<b>New income</b>	<b>\$000 2013/14 opex</b>	<b>\$000 2013/14 capex</b>
a)	Introduction of Car Parking Charges at Freyberg Pool (incorporating a reduction in projected income)	(60)	20
b)	Change to Operating Model of Crèche at WRAC	(40)	
c)	Permits for taxi's using taxi stands in Wellington	(200)	
	Total	(300)	20

4. *Agree not to proceed with the following savings proposals and increases in income - consulted on in the draft Annual Plan.*

#	<b>Savings</b>	<b>\$000 2013/14 opex</b>	<b>\$000 2013/14 capex</b>
a)	Reduce opening hours at Khandallah Library	(23.4)	
b)	Transfer management of Tawa Recreation Centre to Tawa College	(75)	
c)	Pay and Display Parking –Wellington Botanic Garden	(52.3)	95
	Total	(150.7)	95

5. *Agree the following new funding proposals - consulted on in the draft Annual Plan - be included in the final 2013/14 Annual Plan for adoption by Council.*

#	<b>New funding</b>	<b>\$000 2013/14 opex</b>
a)	Smart Energy Capital	250
b)	Proactive management of Built Heritage Incentive Fund	40
c)	Greening of Taranaki Street	150
d)	Civic Square Review	150
e)	Miramar Peninsula Framework	50
f)	Capital Education Initiative	60
g)	Safer speed limit in the CBD	40
h)	Clyde Quay Marina	205
	Total	945

6. *Agree the following proposed changes in capital expenditure - consulted on in the draft Annual Plan - be included in the final 2013/14 Annual Plan for adoption by Council.*

#	<b>Variances</b>	<b>\$000 2013/14 capex</b>
a)	CX084 - Southern Landfill Improvement	(8,200)
b)	CX510 - Plimmer Bequest Project	358
	Total	(7,842)

7. *Agree the following proposals - consulted on in the draft Annual Plan - be included in the year two of the Waterfront Development Plan<sup>1</sup> on the basis that Wellington Waterfront Limited is able to source new revenue to fund them and that they will not require any increase in the Council loan.*

#	<b>New variances</b>	<b>\$000 2013/14 capex</b>
a)	Modify space at north end of Shed 6 – base for Crocodile Bikes	150
b)	Upgrade children's playground at north end of Frank Kitts Park	1,000
c)	Improve water based recreation at Queens Wharf area	150
	Total	1,300

8. *Agree the following proposed changes in expenditure – not consulted on in the draft Annual Plan - be included in the final 2013/14 Annual Plan for adoption by Council.*

#	<b>New variances</b>	<b>\$000 2013/14 opex</b>	<b>\$000 2013/14 capex</b>
a)	Cycling network	150	150
b)	City Housing	(3,700)	(2,200)
c)	Lyllall Bay Surf Life Saving Club premises	(350)	(300)
d)	CX131 – Wellington Waterfront Development		6,199 <sup>2</sup>
	Total	(3,900)	3,849

<sup>1</sup> Year two of the Waterfront Development Plan is included as Appendix 7

<sup>2</sup> This increase is offset by the timing of receipt of proceeds for the commercial revenue of Overseas Passenger Terminal development.

9. *Agree the following proposed changes in expenditure be included in the final 2013/14 Annual Plan for adoption by Council, subject to the recommendations in Report 5 of this Committee meeting being agreed.*

#	Variance	\$000 2013/14 opex	\$000 2013/14 capex
a)	Earthquake strengthening programme <sup>3</sup>	73	(4,709)

10. *Note that the above changes have impacts on the Council's budgets in subsequent financial years and that these are outlined in appendices 1 to 3.*
11. *Recommend to Council the proposed changes to the Council's Significance Policy, as outlined in appendix 2 (iii), and note that these will be included in the final 2013/14 Annual Plan for adoption by Council.*
12. *Agree the officers' recommendations and responses to prominent matters and other funding requests, raised during the consultation period, as outlined in appendix four.*
13. *Agree the fees and charges schedule attached as appendix five - which includes changes consulted on in the draft Annual Plan – be included in the final 2013/14 Annual Plan for adoption by Council.*
14. *Agree that Council include, within its borrowing profile for 2013/14, provision for the capital expenditure programme to be underspent by \$10 million, and that the resulting operating cost savings of \$300,000, be used to reduce rates for 2013/14.*
15. *Note that submissions that related to operational matters such as maintenance or renewal works have been provided to relevant officers and will be considered alongside existing work programmes.*

### **3. Background**

#### **3.1 Before consultation**

This annual plan is the second year of our 2012-22 Long-Term Plan. Changes made to the Local Government Act in 2010 meant Council's had to set parameters around key financial elements of their 2012-22 long-term plans, as part of a 'financial strategy'. This included targets and limits for average rates rises and borrowings for the ten years of the plan. In response, our Council set its rates target for 2013/14 at the average of the local government inflation index and the consumer price index, which equates to a 2.5% average rates rise. The borrowings target is 105% of our operational expenditure and the limit is 150%.

<sup>3</sup> This is outlined in Report 5 – Earthquake Risk Mitigation – Civic Campus/Other buildings 2013/14 Work plan

However, the actual budgeted expenditure for 2013/14 (and subsequent years) included in the long-term plan was too high to achieve the rates rise target. Council made a commitment to find ways to reduce expenditure or increase income during the first year of the plan to achieve the targets for 2013/14 and 2014/15. This work included officers working with the Financial Sustainability Working Party (made up of the Mayor and five Councillors) to identify options for change.

Consequently, Council adopted an approach to budgeting that saw business units allocated a budget envelope that they had to plan their activities within. Most achieved significant reductions in expenditure through internal efficiencies and savings. In some instances, units had to propose changes to service levels or new / increased charges to achieve their budget envelope. These have been consulted on in the draft 2013/14 Annual Plan. It is noted that these are moderate changes were targeted at areas where the impact of users and our strategic priorities would be minimised. Officers believe that it is not sustainable to continue to make such changes at the margins of our services. Officers would like to engage Councillors and the public in a wider discussion about service levels, and model for the future delivery of some of our services, to ensure that we can continue to meet the changing needs of our citizens in a financially sustainable way.

It is also noted that in some areas of Council's activities (including the water, waste water and stormwater networks) we faced significant costs pressures and so had to increase expenditure rather than make reductions.

At the same time, Council wanted to ensure it continues to invest in activities that contribute to our *Towards Wellington 2040 Smart Capital* vision. For this reason the draft plan also included a number of new initiatives, including the Smart Energy initiative enabling Council to work in partnership to achieve projects that contribute to our environmental goals and funding to progress plans for future investment in projects such as The Greening of Taranaki Street and the Miramar Peninsula Framework.

With the above changes incorporated, we consulted with the community on a draft 2013/14 Annual Plan that incorporated a 2.8% rates rise.

### **3.2 During consultation**

During the consultation period, officers have also continued to do work on how Council can best achieve its long-term plan priorities, particularly in relation to our resilience to natural disasters and growing Wellington's economy. Consequently, officers are also proposing changes to our investment in these areas as part of the 2013/14 Annual Plan.

In relation to the former, Report 5 presented to this Committee meeting, updates Councillors on progress with the earthquake strengthening programme for Council's buildings. It recommends changes to our budgets for this work in 2013/14 and future years based on new information received, which has enabled officers to assess risks and prioritise our investment.

In relation to the latter, officers are proposing a number of actions in response to the economic challenges Wellington faces. Firstly, we are proposing to increase the capability of Council's 'economic growth group' to deliver on our Economic Development Strategy. While the *Destination Wellington* initiative is progressing, officers have identified the need for additional staffing resources to; progress other key aspects of the strategy (including Open for Business), strengthen our relationships with the business sector and progress partnerships. This proposal is part of the Council's wider organisational change programme and is currently being consulted on internally.

In addition to this, it is proposed that Council actively seeks out and progresses key projects that will contribute to diversifying and growing Wellington's economy. Some projects, such as a convention centre and the airport runway extension, have been investigated and discussed previously. Others are emerging from within Council and the community. Council should be proactively looking to advance appropriate projects by working in partnership with others including central government. To attract Council's support, officers believe that a project must be able to demonstrate an economic return on Council's investment by:

- reducing Council's own costs or increasing our income
- repaying Council's investment through becoming financially successful in its own right
- delivering economic benefits to the Wellington economy as a whole so that Council's investment is repaid through growth in the business and wider ratepayer base

To enable such investment, Council needs to develop the mechanisms through which it can readily respond to opportunities as they arise. This includes making financial provisions to enable investment in the right projects and appropriate decision making processes for applying that investment.

In terms of the former, it is noted that there is a clearly established historic trend of the Council budgeting for a full year's capital works programme but then not managing to deliver the full programme. The underspend in 2012/13 is projected to be in excess of \$30 million. Because Council plans on the basis of fully spending the capital budget, this results in operational costs in the areas of interest costs and depreciation being higher than actually needed. This has significantly contributed to the trend of Council's surpluses at year end. In addition planning for the full spend of the capital expenditure programme ties up Council capacity that would otherwise be available if the capital budgets were planned in a more realistic manner.

Officers recommend that in 2013/14, Council again budgets for its full capital works programme, but plans for an under spend of \$10 million within its borrowing profile. The budgeted underspend would create the capacity within Council's financial strategy to be able to respond during the year to priority capital projects should they arise. Planning for an \$10.0 million underspend in the capital programme will result in a reduction in operational costs of

\$300,000. It is recommended that this be applied in the final 2013/14 Annual Plan to reduce rates.

### **3.3 Changes in response to feedback from the community**

During the consultation period, it became clear that some of the proposed reductions in expenditure and new charges were not supported by the community. In addition to this, clear cases have been made for increased expenditure in some areas and other changes. Officers have considered all the feedback received during the consultation period and recommended the changes highlighted in this in this report, for inclusion in the final 2013/14 Annual Plan. In keeping with the approach to this plan, officers have only recommended changes where they will make a key contribution to our *Smart Capital* vision.

Community feedback largely focused on the key proposals of the draft plan – savings options, changes in levels of services and areas of investment. Issues that were raised on the 2013/14 draft annual plan fall into four broad categories:

- i. Responses to the variances proposed in the draft plan.*
  - Recommended changes to the variances, based on community feedback, are outlined in appendix 1
- ii. New funding requests and other prominent issues.*
  - Recommended changes to be made in response to these requests and issues are outlined in appendix 2
  - Requests and issues that officers recommend are not funded or actioned at this time are summarised in appendix 4
- iii. Operational matters within existing levels of service*
  - Some submitters made requests relating to relatively specific or operational type matters that do not affect levels of service. These have been provided to the relevant business units who will review the information and consider any specific requests as part of existing renewal and maintenance programmes; their relative contribution towards Council's overall outcomes; and whether there is sufficient flexibility within the work programmes to accommodate them. In all cases a response will be provided to submitters.
- iv. Matters for further consideration*
  - Other submissions raised issues that require further exploration. These will be considered as part of preparing the 2014-15 Annual Plan or 2015-25 Long-Term Plan. In all cases a response will be provided to submitters.

### **3.4 Waterfront Development Plan**

It is also noted that during the 2012-22 Long Term Plan process, the Council consulted on and agreed a three year plan Waterfront Development Plan, to give greater visibility to Council's future plans for the waterfront.

Three proposed variances to that plan were proposed by Wellington Waterfront Limited and consulted on by Council as part of the draft 2013/14 Annual Plan. Officers recommend that these proposals are agreed to on the basis that Wellington Waterfront Limited is able to source new revenue to fund them and that they will not require any increase in the Council loan.

### **3.5 Achieving the rates rise target**

During the consultation period, officers also worked to identify further ways to achieve the 2.5% rates target. This has been achieved through a number of measures, including

- Restructure savings in Building Compliance and Consents, to improve efficiencies,
- Increased dividends,
- Interest savings created by capital expenditure delays
- Internal council remuneration increase capped to 1.5% in 2013/14,
- Lower than expected rates increases from the Greater Wellington Regional Council, and
- Reflecting the Council decision in 2012 to amend the Council Controlled Organisation appointment policy so that elected members do not receive fees for sitting on Council Controlled Organisation boards and trusts.

A further change relates to the timing of the Council's contribution to the proposed redevelopment of Lyall Bay Surf Life Saving Club premises. This is also outlined in appendix 3.

Sufficient measures have been found to achieve the target rates rise of 2.5% while incorporating the full set of recommendations in this paper, including those changes made as a result of feedback from the community. It is noted that not all these reductions will carry through to subsequent years of the long-term plan. Therefore additional measures will have to be found next financial year to achieve our target rates rise.

Collectively the changes proposed for the 2013/14 Annual Plan have significantly improved the financial sustainability of the Council's current operating model, delivered the target rates rise and enabled modest investment in initiatives that will contribute to Council's strategic direction.

Officers now propose that Council builds on this stable base and looks to invest further in growing Wellington's economy. This is a necessary step to take in response the challenges that the region faces. They will also, in turn, justify and enable future investment in our community infrastructure, as envisaged in our *Towards Wellington 2040: Smart Capital* vision.



#### **4. Conclusion**

The report provides for consideration of variances to the 2012-22 Long-Term Plan, to be reflected in the Council's 2013/14 Annual Plan. Having deliberated on submissions and officers' advice, elected members are now required to balance those views and agree funding decisions for the coming year.

**Contact officers:** *Martin Rodgers, Manager, Research, Consultation and Planning; Martin Read, Manager, Financial Strategy and Planning*

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

**1) Strategic Fit / Strategic Outcome**

*The report enables Council to undertake actions that contribute to its strategic outcomes.*

**2) LTP/Annual Plan reference and long term financial impact**

*The impacts on Council's long-term and annual plans are highlighted in the report.*

**3) Treaty of Waitangi considerations**

*There are no Treaty of Waitangi implications.*

**4) Decision-Making**

*The report requires decision-making in relation to Council's plans and policies.*

**5) Consultation**

**a) General Consultation**

*This report is informed by consultation undertaken on the 2013/14 draft Annual Plan.*

**b) Consultation with Maori**

*Mana whenua were consulted as part of the annual plan process.*

**6) Legal Implications**

*There are no legal implications from this report.*

**7) Consistency with existing policy**

*This report is consistent with current policies*

## **Recommended changes to proposed variances following feedback from the community**

### **i) Reduce opening hours at Khandallah Library**

#### **Background**

Khandallah is the only small library in network open five mornings a week. Officers proposed to reduce hours and only open Khandallah Library from 1.00pm to 5.30pm each weekday. Alternative library services for Khandallah residents would continue to be available at Cummings Park (Ngaio) and Johnsonville libraries. The would achieve savings of \$31,200 per annum (\$23,400 from 1 September 2013)

#### **Officer recommendation**

Officers have noted the strong public feedback opposing this change, including a petition with 723 signatures that was presented to Council. A number of submitters highlighted the key community role that Libraries play and their contribution to the health and vitality of the communities they serve. Officers acknowledge that, together with their role in promoting literacy.

Given this response, officers recommend that the proposal does not proceed.

#### **Contact officers**

John Stears, Libraries and Community Spaces Manager  
Jane Hill, Community Networks  
Derek Fry, Chief Operating Officer

### **ii) Consistent closing hours at smaller branch libraries**

#### **Background**

Officers noted that currently some branch libraries close at 5.30 or 6.00pm four days a week. It was proposed to close Brooklyn, Cummings Park Ngaio, Wadestown and Island Bay at 5.00pm weekdays. Larger sites (Newtown, Karori, Central, Ruth Gotlieb Kilbirnie, Miramar, Johnsonville and Tawa) will continue to close at their usual time. This would achieve savings of \$30,800 per annum (\$22,600 from 1 September 2013).

#### **Officer recommendation**

Officers note that there is significant support for this proposal from both submitters and respondents to the survey.

It was not proposed that Khandallah Library close at 5pm due to the above proposal to reduce its opening hours. However, it is now proposed that Khandallah Library should also close at 5pm to be consistent with the closing hours of the other smaller branch libraries. Each library will still have one late night a week until 8 p.m.

This recommendation will increase the level of savings associated with this proposal to \$36,000 per annum (\$26,500 from 1 September 2013).

#### **Contact officers**

John Stears, Libraries and Community Spaces Manager  
Jane Hill, Community Networks

Derek Fry, Chief Operating Officer

## **iii) Transfer management of Tawa Recreation Centre to Tawa College**

### **Background**

An initial discussion was held with the College where they indicated an “in principle” interest in the proposal. At a subsequent meeting of the College’s board, they decided that they did not wish to explore this operational model.

### **Officer recommendation**

The proposal was withdrawn from the draft annual plan documents for consultation with a note that the savings included in the financial statement would be withdrawn. The proposed saving of \$75,000 was included in the draft annual plan budgets but will not be included in the final budget for 2013/14.

### **Contact officers**

Julian Todd, Manager, Recreation  
Paul Andrews, Manager, Parks, Sport and Recreation  
Derek Fry, Chief Operating Officer

## **iv) Pay and Display Parking – Wellington Botanic Garden**

### **Background**

Officers recommended an enforceable P120 time limit and installing pay and display parking at \$2.00/hr from 10.00am to 4.00pm seven days per week. This would achieve revenue of \$52,300 per annum or \$33,000 per annum after offsetting capital costs over ten years. It is expected that the return on capital costs will take three years.

CAPEX costs for installation are \$95,000 with an estimate of \$45,000 every five years for renewals of plant and signage.

### **Officer recommendation**

It is recommended that this proposal does not proceed. During the consultation process a majority of submitters and survey respondents indicated that they opposed this proposal. Some noted that the costs of implementing the proposal were high in relation to the income it would generate. Officers note that limiting the hours the pay and display parking operates does diminish the potential income from this initiative.

However, officers, in line with recommendations from some submitters, do propose that Council increases its enforcement of the P120 time limit.

### **Contact officers**

David Sole, Manager, Botanic Gardens  
Paul Andrews, Manager, Parks, Sport and Recreation  
Derek Fry, Chief Operating Officer

## **v) Pay and Display Parking – Freyberg Pool**

### **Background**

This proposal seeks to adopt the same charging mechanism for this car park as those used on the CBD side of the pool. That is: \$1.50 per hour between 8am-6pm Monday to Thursday, 8am-8pm Friday and no charge Saturday and Sunday.

This would achieve annual net revenue, after operating costs, in the region of \$72,000 per annum. This is based on each car park generating revenue for an average of three hours for each day it is in operation. Capex costs for the installation of pay and display meters are estimated to be \$20,000. CAPEX funding for these costs have been included in the 2013/14 budgets.

### **Officer recommendation**

Analysis of submissions and survey responses indicates that a higher proportion of people support this proposal than oppose it. However, the owners of the Parade Café oppose the proposal. Also, verbal customer feedback at the facility from casual users, members of the fitness centre and clubs shows they are opposed to the proposal, with fitness and swimming members saying that free parking was a key feature in their decision to take out their membership.

Based on this feedback, officers propose that the members parking area at the rear of the facility be retained as free parking and used as a sales point for swim and gym memberships at the facility. The retention of these parking spaces would reduce the revenue earned by the remainder of the car park to \$60,000 per annum.

### **Contact officers**

Julian Todd, Manager, Recreation

Paul Andrews, Manager, Parks, Sport and Recreation

Derek Fry, Chief Operating Officer

## APPENDIX 2

### Proposals received during the consultation period that are recommended by officers

#### i) Cycling network

##### **Submission request**

A number of submissions have strongly encouraged Council to increase its planned investment in cycling.

##### **Background**

In 2009 and 2011 Council responded to similar requests for additional cycling programmes and increased capital funding to the current levels of \$1.3million p.a. If capital funding was to further increase through this Annual Plan officers are not in a position to convert such funding into effective and efficient work programmes in 2013/14.

*A brief summary of the current Capital works programme including an anticipated \$300,000 carry forward from 2012/13.*

<b>Work Programme</b>	<b>Funding</b>	<b>Status</b>
Completion of the Tawa Valley Pathway	\$800,000	✓
Commencing the next strategic cycling route (Island Bay to CBD) design/consultation/regulatory changes/construction start	\$500,000	
Programme of minor works improvements i.e. <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• More advanced stop boxes</li><li>• More bike stands</li><li>• Identified safety improvements</li><li>• Improved signage</li></ul>	\$300,000	✓
Total Capex programme for 2013/14	<b>\$1,600,000</b>	
Estimated NZTA funding contribution	\$ 850,000	

##### **Officer recommendation**

It is recommended that funding of \$150,000 (Opex – Project C694) be allocated for planning. This funding will be used to adequately consult the interested and affected communities, explore possible improvement options and to develop a programme of works that delivers a “cycling brand” to Wellington.

In addition to increase the Capital budget for cycle network improvements (project CX112) by \$150,000 to allow the undertaking of construction design works for agreed enhancements to cycleways.

Such a works programme, if agreed by Council, does not need to be consistent with NZTA’s requirements and could target the development of a comprehensive cycling

## APPENDIX 2

network that includes recreational scope in addition to the existing focus dedicated on commuting.

The following outputs are to be delivered from the above investment:

1. The overall principles and the priority target objectives for further capital investment (over and above that contained in the current LTP) for cycling
2. Complete the study to identify latent cycling demand currently constrained by safety perceptions as well as the potential uptake in new cycling numbers for commuting, utility, recreational and tourism purposes
3. A proposition for an accelerated capital works programme including;
  - All necessary road space regulatory changes to complete the Island Bay to CBD strategic route within two years instead of the currently projected 5 years
  - Parking regulations (traffic resolutions) to achieve full time or peak time dedicated cycling lanes
  - A programme of private property acquisition and appropriate designs to create off-street “residents only” car parking along this route
4. An accelerated investment plan and a capital works programme to address all identified safety improvements on the Hutt Road – CBD corridor and in conjunction with works to be undertaken by NZTA on the State Highway to improve the Petone to Ngauranga cycling/walking corridor
5. An investment and capital works programme to address cycling safety improvements on Middleton Road linking Tawa with Johnsonville
6. An investment and works plan to improve cycling travel along the Miramar peninsula and from Lyall Bay to Owhiro Bay (physical as well as road space use regulatory interventions)
7. A works programme to introduce parking restrictions along the main cycling routes in the central area of the city and to implement engineering modifications as required for making the inner city cycling environment more convenient and safe

It is proposed that if such funding is provided in 2013/14, a workshop be undertaken with Councillors to assist in developing a consensus on the key principles aimed to be adhered to in the development of the forward cycling works programme.

### **Contact officers**

Paul Barker, Safe & Sustainable Transport Manager

Stavros Michael, Manager City Networks

Derek Fry, Chief Operating Officer

### ii) Environmental impact and assessing Significance

#### **Submission request**

Forest and Bird (Wellington Branch) identified that the draft Significance Policy does not explicitly include environmental criteria to assist in identifying the significance of decisions.

#### **Background**

The Significance Policy was adopted by the Council as part of the 2012-22 Long-term Plan. Proposed changes to the Significance Policy within the draft 2013-14 Annual Plan reflect the following changes to the Local Government Act 2002 (The Act).

- Promoting the social, economic, environmental and cultural well-being of communities (the ‘four well-beings’) was removed from the purpose of local government.
- The reference to the four well-beings was deleted from the definition of significance.

The proposed draft amends Criterion 3.3.1 ‘Community Wellbeing’ to reflect this change, and a similar change to the definition of Significance in Section 5 of the Act:

- ...the degree of importance of any issue, proposal, decision, or matter, which concerns or is before a local authority, in terms of its likely impact on, and likely consequences for, —*
- (a) ~~the current and future social, economic, environmental or cultural well-being of the district or region:~~*
  - (b) any persons who are likely to be particularly affected by, or interested in, the issue, proposal, decision, or matter:*
  - (c) the capacity of the local authority to perform its role, and the financial and other costs of doing so*

#### **Officer recommendation**

The following changes (~~striketrough~~ = delete, additions in **bold**) to the proposed draft Significance Policy in the Annual Plan 2013-14 are recommended as a way to address the issue raised by the submitter. The Council would then explicitly include the impact on the environment, culture, economy and people of Wellington City in assessing the significance of its decisions.

#### **3 Procedures for Assessing Significance**

**3.1** *IN GENERAL, THE SIGNIFICANCE OF AN ISSUE LIES SOMEWHERE ON A CONTINUUM FROM LOW TO HIGH. THE COUNCIL HAS IDENTIFIED CRITERIA TO ASSESS THE DEGREE OF SIGNIFICANCE FOR THE FOLLOWING AREAS:*

- ~~Community Wellbeing~~ **Importance to Wellington City**
- Community Interest
- Consistency with Existing Policy and Strategy
- Impact on Council’s capacity and capability



## APPENDIX 2

<b>Criterion</b>	<b>3.3.1 <del>COMMUNITY WELLBEING</del> IMPORTANCE TO WELLINGTON CITY</b> The extent to which the matter under consideration impacts on the <del>communities</del> <b>environment, culture, economy and people</b> of Wellington City, now and in the future (Large impacts would indicate high significance).
------------------	---

### **Contact officers**

Nigel Taptiklis, Policy Advisor

Andrew Stitt, Policy Manager

John Hutchings, Chief Planning Officer

### **Additional recommended changes in expenditure that were not consulted on**

#### **i) City Housing**

##### **Background**

The construction contract for the Berkeley Dallard Upgrade Project was awarded in February 2013. As a result, we now have more certainty over the timing of costs. The Newtown Upgrade Project is now nearing completion and this also has given some certainty over budget requirements for the 2013/14 year. This has resulted in a net reduction in capital expenditure for the 2013/14 and 2014/15 years, as the budget for Berkeley Dallard will incorporate a carried forward amount from the 2012/13 year.

As a result of changes to timing of the Housing Upgrade Programme there is a corresponding change in the recognition of the housing grant. Now that a significant peak in upgrade activity is passing, and changes to operational processes are starting to take effect, occupancy rates are now starting to climb with the result being an increase in rental income.

Over the 2011/12 and 2012/13 financial years a significant amount for upgrade projects has been capitalised. The actual average life that has resulted from this is lower than what has been budgeted for. This change in assumption increases the amount of depreciation that will be charged in the 2013/14 year.

##### **Officer recommendation**

It is recommended that the following budget changes for City Housing

###### a) Capital budget

2013/14 (\$2.2m) - reduction in cost

2014/15 (\$1.1m) - reduction in cost

2015/16 (\$0.1m) - reduction in cost

###### b) Opex budget

2013/14 (\$3.7m) - increase in net income

2014/15 \$1.0m - decrease in net income

2015/16 (\$2.3m) - increase in net income

##### **Contact officers**

Vicki McLaren – Manager, City Housing

Greg Orchard – Director, Property and Housing

#### **ii) Redevelopment of the Lyall Bay Surf Life Club premises**

Members of the Club's executive met with officers during the consultation period for draft Plan. They have agreed that the project is more realistically likely to start in 2014/15 given the delays with the costing work/value engineering. The club plans to make their funding application to the Lottery Grants Board in July and it will be some months before they have a funding decision on that application. They also have a number of other funding agencies/individuals to approach for funding. The engineering changes also require building consent.

## APPENDIX 3

### **Officer recommendation**

It is recommended that Council's contribution of \$350,000 opex and \$300,000 capex be transferred from the 2013/14 budgets to the 2014/15 budget.

### **Contact officers**

Glenn McGovern, Recreation Projects Manager  
Paul Andrews, Manager, Parks, Sport and Recreation  
Derek Fry, Chief Operating Officer

### **Earthquake risk mitigation**

See Report 5 presented at this Committee meeting for full details of this proposal

### **Contact officers**

Neville Brown – Manager, Earthquake Resilience  
Greg Orchard – Director, Property and Housing

**Prominent issues and funding requests, not recommended, to be actioned through a different process, or to be considered further as part of future Council plans**

### **i) Alex Moore Park Redevelopment**

#### **Submission request**

5 submissions were received in total in regards to Alex Moore Park. The Wellington Softball Association submission raised 2 issues:

1. That the proposed perimeter track could impinge on the softball diamond in the middle field.
2. That floodlighting is desirable on the middle field to assist with night softball games.

#### **Background**

During 2013/14 Council plans to develop an artificial sportsfield, a car park and a perimeter track at Alex Moore Park. Funding of \$1.875M has been allocated for the artificial sportsfield and car park in 2013/14. It is proposed that Plimmer Trust funding of \$380k is brought forward from 2015/16 to 2013/14 to enable the perimeter track to be completed at the same time to minimise future interruption to park users – it is expected this will also produce cost efficiencies.

#### **Discussion of submissions**

Officers are very aware of the possible impact of the perimeter track on the softball diamond and have been investigating design solutions. Officers have scheduled a meeting with representatives from the Johnsonville Softball Club and Wellington Softball to discuss this matter.

During the redevelopment of Alex Moore Park a transformer and other infrastructure to potentially facilitate future floodlighting on the middle field is being installed. Presently, funding is not available to install floodlighting on the middle field

#### **Officer recommendation**

It is not recommended that additional funding is provided at this stage to provide for floodlighting on the middle field.

#### **Contact officers**

Glenn McGovern, Recreation Projects Manager  
Paul Andrews, Manager, Parks, Sport and Recreation  
Derek Fry, Chief Operating Officer

### **ii) Provision of Lifeguard Services**

#### **Submission request**

Surf Life Saving New Zealand (SLSNZ) is seeking additional funding to enable the service to be extended at Oriental Bay & Lyall Bay and for a lifeguard service to be introduced at Scorching Bay Monday to Friday during January.

Council presently has a service contract for Regional Lifeguard Services at Lyall Bay and Oriental Bay Beaches Monday to Friday during January/February. It is noted that Surf Life Saving provides volunteer services in weekends.

## APPENDIX 4

The current contract with SLSNZ is for \$40,000 ex GST per annum. SLSNZ is seeking the following funding over the next 3 years to enable the Oriental Bay beach & Lyall Bay service to be extended (from late December to the end of January) and for a service to be provided at Scorching Bay during January:

- 2013-14: \$76,771.34 (+GST)
- 2014-15: \$80,438.35 (+GST)
- 2015-16: \$84,185.45 (+GST)

### **Background**

Drowning is the third highest cause of unintentional death in New Zealand. Since 2002, 17 people have drowned on the greater Wellington coastline. On the Wellington City coastline 215 people have been saved by surf lifeguards, 144 injured have been treated, 14 searches have been conducted and 10,500 people have been removed from danger prior to getting into difficulty.

In 2012/13, SLSNZ was involved in 242 preventative actions at Oriental Bay and Lyall Bay beaches. In addition, 4 rescues and 6 first aids were provided.

In response to these figures Surf Life Saving New Zealand (SLSNZ) developed a Coastal Public Safety Strategy to provide a framework for evidence-based drowning and injury prevention. Essential to this strategy was the instigation of a risk assessment programme (referred to as Coastal Public Safety Assessments) to enable the water safety sector to make informed decisions, based on quality evidence, to ensure high risk coastal locations are identified and resourced accordingly.

### **Officer recommendation**

It is recommended that no additional funding is provided at this stage. Officers propose to look further into the case that SLSNZ has brought before Council to determine whether and where Council should contribute to the service further.

### **Contact officers**

Glenn McGovern, Recreation Projects Manager  
Paul Andrews, Manager, Parks, Sport and Recreation  
Derek Fry, Chief Operating Officer

### **iii) Mason's Lane upgrade**

#### **Submission request**

That the council:

- a) Agree to Civic Assurance removing the existing canopy;
- b) Either at its own expense or sharing the expense with the two adjoining building owners construct an arched canopy similar to the Cable Car Lane canopy extending from The Terrace to Lambton Quay. The floor plates of each building are approximately the same height (photo 13) and the base of the canopy could be fixed to the walls at the level of the second or third floors;
- c) Hide all the unsightly mess in the next section by fitting a false wall and false arched ceiling joined to the canopy suggested in the previous sub-paragraph. This false wall and ceiling would extend from the end of the canopy to the first landing where there is the entrance to Greenock House mentioned above. There would need to be access to the substation and to level 1 of Greenock House where there is also a

## APPENDIX 4

door. I suggest something that has a similar appearance to a London Underground passenger communication tunnel using graffiti resistant materials. As on the Underground the cost of maintenance could be defrayed by selling advertising space. As this thoroughfare has high foot traffic volume that should not be difficult.

d) Repave with consistent materials the entire length of Mason's Lane; the steps included.

### **Background**

Through the Central City Framework we identified a number of lanes within Wellington city that should be developed. There is a series of links, stairs and lanes, that bridge the vertical gap between the Terrace and Lambton Quay. These will become critical as more buses are using the Terrace and people are looking for better ways to connect to the level of Lambton Quay. Mason's Lane was identified as one of these lanes.

Mason's Lane is a heavily used pedestrian thoroughfare between the northern end of The Terrace and Lambton Quay. Users include Kelburn residents descending Bolton Street and Aurora Terrace en route to the CBD, university students' resident in UniLodge who attend the Pipitea campus, bus passengers who alight at the Lambton Quay bus stop adjacent to Mason's Lane, and office workers at the northern end of The Terrace who visit the CBD during their lunch break.

Mason's Lane is in poor condition. Some funding is available to repave the footpath at the lower level of the lane in association with the removal of the canopy. This has been discussed with the adjacent building owners.

### **Officers recommendation**

We have prioritised the lanes with the most potential for improved pedestrian flows first (Opera House Lane, Eva Street, Leeds Street). Within the Central City Framework, the next group of lanes for redevelopment are Masons Lane, Plimmer Steps, Farmers Lane and Cable Car Lane. On this basis we do not believe that it is appropriate to reallocate funds from within our existing capital expenditure budgets.

Officers have already commenced work with building owners regarding the removal of the canopy. Officers agree with the owners that the removal of the canopy would 'lighten up' the lane and make it more pleasant to be in. Additionally, officers have reached agreement with the building owners that once the canopy is removed that Council would upgrade the surface of the lane from Lambton Quay through to the stairs.

In regards to the overall upgrade of the space officers agree that Mason's Lane should have a comprehensive upgrade. This upgrade would include paving, lighting, resurface and design of the stairwell amongst other things. The upgrade of the lane will require substantial investment. It is estimated that cost to upgrade Mason's Lane would be approximately \$500-\$700k. This is commensurate with the funding allocated for Opera House Lane and Eva/Leeds Streets. Further detailed design would need to be undertaken to give certainty of estimates and identify any risks that have financial implications.

Officers recommend no funding allocation in 2013/14 as the canopy removal (by building owners) and maintenance to re-do paving (funded from existing budgets) will address the immediate issues and therefore further upgrade work would not be a priority.

### **Contact officers**

Julia Forsyth, Manager Urban Design and Heritage  
Warren Ulusele, Manager Urban Development  
John Hutching, Chief Planning Officer

### **iv) Lombard Lane upgrade**

#### **Submission request**

This submission is a request for Council funding for the redevelopment of two public spaces: Denton park and Lombard Lane. This submission intends to integrate the proposed public space with the (private investment) redevelopment of the former Manners Street Post Office site to be undertaken by Cook Strait Properties Limited (CSPL).

#### **Background**

CSPL intends to develop the 1068sqm site. The proposal is for the site to develop 'high street' retail along Victoria Street and boutique retail along Lombard Lane. This will be interspersed with food and beverage tenancies. The building will be limited to a maximum of two storeys.

As part of the proposal CSPL are proposing that Council engage in a 'land swap'. The triangle section of legal road located near the corner of Manners and Victoria Streets is proposed to be transferred for an additional portion of land adjacent to the existing Denton Park. This would be beneficial as it would increase the usability of an already popular, north facing, sheltered pocket park. As part of the private redevelopment CSPL are requesting that Council invests in the public space adjacent in order to get maximum benefits.

#### **Officers recommendation**

Officers support this proposal as it aligns with our vision for the city set out in *Wellington Towards 2040: Smart Capital* and the Central City Framework. This proposal meets an important criteria set out in the Central City Framework which is about partnership between public and private sectors. In this instance the partnership approach to investment has the potential for greater benefits overall. This project would support the ongoing regeneration intended for this area of the city.

The upgrade of the lane and park will require substantial investment. There may be some issues around the services that are located in Lombard Lane and the upgrade required should we undertake work in the area. In the best case scenario officers expect the project budget for the upgrade of Lombard Lane and Denton Park to be in the order of \$1.5 - 2 million. Detailed design work is required to determine what specific funding is required and the financial implications of the issues and risks related to the services.

In our current programme of work we have prioritised the lanes with the most potential for improved pedestrian flows first (Opera House Lane, Eva Street, Leeds Street). Officers do not believe that it is appropriate to reallocate funds from within our existing capital expenditure budgets from other projects to fund this one. Rather, they recommend not to fund it with new capex in 2013/14, but to work on design and costings so that a specific proposal can be considered in the 2014/15 draft Annual Plan.

### **Contact officers**

Julia Forsyth, Manager Urban Design and Heritage  
Warren Ulusele, Manager Urban Development  
John Hutching, Chief Planning Officer

### **v) Makara Peak Mountain Bike Park**

#### **Submission request**

Increase total ongoing Council contribution to \$88,000 per year.

#### **Background**

In the 2012/2013 financial year, the Council increased the budgeted funding for the Makara Peak Mountain Bike Park from \$10,000 to \$34,000. However as a result of this, other community projects have gone unfunded. This has been acceptable for one financial year, but on an ongoing basis this level of funding from existing projects isn't sustainable.

Officers agree that we need to look at the funding agreement with the Supporters. We have discussed this with the group over the last few months and agreed to include this in the memorandum of understanding which we hold with the Supporters as an area to investigate.

#### **Officers recommendation**

We recommend not increasing the total ongoing Council contribution to \$88,000 per year. Currently in the draft 2013/14 financial year we have proposed a total funding contribution of \$45,000. Of this, \$20,000 will come from OPEX and \$25,000 from CAPEX (despite the proposed loss to the CAPEX budget, this area has been prioritised). We believe this will be sufficient for the next year, and allow time to work together with the Supporters on future funding options.

There are also private public partnerships that can be explored, such as concessionaires operating within the park. We are waiting for a report on the economic benefits from Makara Peak and need to get business analyst input into the operations and financial aspects of the park.

We are meeting with the Chair of the Supporters to further discussions around funding options. As a result of these ongoing discussions, we may make recommendations as part of the 2014/15 annual plan.

### **Contact officers**

Myfanwy Emeny, Manager Community Engagement and Reserves  
Paul Andrews, Manager, Parks, Sport and Recreation  
Derek Fry, Chief Operating Officer

### **vi) Walkways (CX435) Capex**

#### **Background**

During the 2012-22 Long Term Plan, upgrade work (new tracks) for CX435 was removed for 2013/14 and beyond. The budget was set at \$182,129 but reduced to \$158,000 as a result of the reduction in unfunded depreciation, as agreed as part of the 2012-22 Long Term Plan.



## APPENDIX 4

At this stage the budget for 2013/14 of \$158,000 will be allocated as follows:

Project management costs \$16,596  
Community and special projects \$34,000  
Skyline Makara Peak / Wrights Hill \$25,000  
Piwakawaka \$50,000  
Southern Walkway Truby King \$32,751

### **Officer recommendation**

That this budget is reassessed in future years as part of the work being undertaken to allocate the unfunded depreciation reduction.

### **Contact officers**

Myfanwy Emeny , Manager Community Engagement and Reserves  
Paul Andrews, Manager, Parks, Sport and Recreation  
Derek Fry, Chief Operating Officer

### **vii) Karori Events Centre**

#### **Submission request**

The Community Hall Trust is asking Council to pledge \$2 million over the next two years towards the Karori Event Centre project. These funds would only be called on once the Trust has raised a further \$1 million through third-party funders. The Council's formal commitment is needed to facilitate further fundraising, and will give confidence throughout the community that the project can be delivered.

Furthermore, the Trust is asking the Council to carefully consider the proposed disposal of the St Johns site, and how both the site and any proceeds could contribute to the future of the Karori Town Centre.

#### **Background**

The Karori Community Hall Trust is leading the campaign to build, equip and manage a purpose-built event centre that will be situated in Karori town centre, alongside the library, the community and youth centres, the recreation centre and the Arts and Crafts Centre.

The Trust contends that Karori has one community hall - St Johns Hall. Two other halls that previously serviced the community were lost in recent years due to being earthquake prone. It states that the St Johns Hall and its facilities are out-dated and is also considered to be too earthquake-prone to warrant investment in any major repair work.

Council has made the land for the proposed event centre available, as long as the project begins by May 2015. The total budget to build and equip the Event Centre is \$4 million. Through an ongoing campaign, the Trust has raised more than \$350,000 from private individuals, public appeals and community grants. These funds have allowed progress plans and preparation.

#### **Officers recommendation**

Officers do not recommend supporting the request for \$2 million towards the capital costs of the Karori Event Centre at this time. In April 2012, Council agreed to extend the lease agreement with the Karori Community Hall Trust in respect of the 'events centre site' to enable the Trust to raise funds for the redevelopment. It also plans to

*This report is officer advice only. Refer to minutes of the meeting for decision.*

## APPENDIX 4

undertake further work in regard to the Karori Town Centre Plan to address outstanding issues, including the future of underutilised sites that Council owns.

Officers recommend that no decision is made on further Council support for this proposal until the Trust has undertaken further fundraising and the Council has undertaken its review of the Town Centre Plan.

### **Contact officers**

Jenny Rains, Community Services Manager  
Jane Hill, Community Networks  
Derek Fry, Chief Operating Officer

### **viii) Increased funding to Orchestra Wellington**

#### **Submission request**

Wellington Regional Orchestra Foundation Inc has requested that Council increased its funding to Orchestra Wellington to be more step with the funding other local authorities in New Zealand metropolitan centres provide to their City Orchestras. The Foundation states that this would require an immediate rise in funding from Council to at least \$192,000 per annum (up from \$80,000 currently). Parity based on audience numbers would look like funding of \$420,000. However, taking into account the financial pressures that Council is experiencing, the Foundation proposes a figure closer to \$250-\$300,000 per annum.

#### **Background**

Orchestra Wellington received annual funding of \$80,000 through a three-year funding contract. It receives the highest level of funding of any arts organisation on such a contract. The contract is due for renewal in 2015/16. This funding is allocated from the Grants pool by Council's Grants Sub-committee.

#### **Officers recommendation**

In recent times, Council has not increased the funding for one entity on a three-year funding through the Cultural Grants pool through the annual plan process. Rather, Council has increased the total Grants pool and this has been allocated through a contestable process by the Grants Subcommittee. This occurred as part of the 2012-22 Long-Term Plan and Orchestra Wellington became a beneficiary of this increase. Officers recommend that any funding increases to cultural entities, on such three-year grant contracts, are made through this process.

Officers note that Orchestra Wellington does make a strong regional contribution and recommend that officers work with the Foundation to submit a proposal for the Regional Amenities Fund.

### **Contact officers**

Jenny Rains, Community Services Manager  
Jane Hill, Community Networks  
Derek Fry, Chief Operating Officer

### **ix) Regulatory measures to support the Government's Smokefree 2025 Goal**

#### **Submission request**

The submission from the Department of Public Health at University of Otago (Wellington) suggests the Council take a regulatory approach, prohibiting smoking in public places via bylaws, and enforcement via fines, for the following areas:

- The Golden Mile, and expanding throughout the CBD after one year if successful
- public beaches, or the central half of the beach
- parks

#### **Background**

In June last year the Council adopted an educational approach to making its sports parks and playgrounds smoke-free. This approach has been effective elsewhere and is consistent with advice from Public Health experts that education not regulation is the preferred approach from councils.

Sports parks and playgrounds were identified as a key area to limit the role-modelling of smoking to children, and therefore reduce the uptake of smoking by young people.

The Council agreed that Parks and Gardens develop a plan to progressively implement smoke-free parks in line with its general business. This will enable the Council to evaluate what works for Wellington, and extend a working model in the most cost-effective way.

#### **Officer recommendation:**

The Council needs time to implement and evaluate its current approach. Officer's have not seen evidence to justify a more intrusive and expensive regulatory approach to making outdoor areas smoke-free.

#### **Contact officers**

Nigel Taptiklis, Policy Advisor  
Andrew Stitt, Policy Manager  
John Hutchings, Chief Planning Officer

### **x) Living Wage**

**See appendix 6 – to be circulated**

## Fees and Charges schedule

### Recycling, Waste Minimisation and Disposal

We are proposing to increase our fees for waste disposal.

<b>Recycling, Waste Minimisation &amp; Disposal</b>	<b>Current Fee</b>	<b>Proposed Fee</b>
Landfill levy (per tonne inclusive of recycling levy)	\$110.25	\$118.10
Rubbish Bags (RRP each)	\$2.52	\$2.50

### City Archives

<b>City Archives</b>	<b>Current Fee</b>	<b>Proposed Fee</b>
Residential plan search – first item	\$27.50	\$27.50
Residential plan search – additional items	\$5.50	\$5.50
Commercial plan search – first item	\$38.50	\$38.50
Commercial plan search – additional items	\$7.70	\$7.70
Student plan search – first item	\$7.00	\$7.00
Student plan search – additional items	\$3.00	\$3.00
Historic research fee (per ½ hour)	\$27.50	\$27.50
A0 photocopy	\$8.50	\$8.50
A1/A2 photocopy	\$4.50	\$4.50
A3 photocopy	\$0.80	\$0.80
A4 photocopy	\$0.50	\$0.50
Digital copy of plan	\$2.00	\$2.00
Digital photograph (low res)	\$18.50	\$18.50
Digital photograph (high res)	\$37.50	\$37.50

### Sportsfields

<b>Sportsfields</b>	<b>Current Fee</b>	<b>Proposed Fee</b>
<b>Cricket</b>		
<b>Casual</b>		
Level 1	\$379.00	\$379.00
Level 2	\$251.00	\$251.00
Artificial pitch on concrete base	\$162.00	\$162.00
Artificial pitch on grass base	\$162.00	\$162.00

## APPENDIX 5

<b>Seasonal</b>		
Level 1	\$2,862.00	\$2,862.00
Level 2	\$2,388.00	\$2,388.00
Level 3	\$1,392.00	\$1,392.00
Artificial pitch on concrete base	\$955.00	\$955.00
Artificial pitch on grass base	\$760.00	\$760.00
<b>Rugby, League, Soccer, Aussie Rules</b>		
<b>Casual</b>		
Level 1	\$139.00	\$139.00
Level 2	\$105.00	\$105.00
Level 3	\$81.00	\$81.00
<b>Seasonal</b>		
Level 1	\$1,971.00	\$1,971.00
Level 2	\$1,505.00	\$1,505.00
Level 3	\$1,274.00	\$1,274.00
<b>Softball</b>		
<b>Casual</b>		
Level 1	\$173.00	\$173.00
Level 2	\$122.00	\$122.00
<b>Seasonal</b>		
Level 1	\$725.00	\$725.00
Level 2	\$487.00	\$487.00
<b>Touch, 5-a-side, Ultimate Flying Disk, Gridiron</b>		
<b>Casual</b>		
Level 1	\$182.00	\$182.00
Level 2	\$146.00	\$146.00
<b>Seasonal</b>		
Level 1	\$1,505.00	\$1,505.00
Level 2	\$1,163.00	\$1,163.00
<b>Netball - per Court</b>		
Court per season	\$139.00	\$139.00
Off-season or organised	\$11.00	\$11.00
Casual	\$42.00	\$42.00
<b>Tennis</b>		
Court per season	\$189.00	\$189.00
Off-season or organised	\$18.00	\$18.00
Casual	\$42.00	\$42.00
<b>Cycling</b>		
Casual	\$170.00	\$170.00
Seasonal	\$1,713.00	\$1,713.00
<b>Athletics</b>		
Casual	\$621.00	\$621.00

*This report is officer advice only. Refer to minutes of the meeting for decision.*

## APPENDIX 5

WRFU Speed Trials	\$138.00	\$138.00
Seasonal	\$10,360.00	\$10,360.00
<b>Croquet - One Lawn</b>		
Casual	\$168.00	\$168.00
Seasonal	\$787.00	\$787.00
<b>Training</b>		
<b><i>Ground Only</i></b>		
1 night	\$105.00	\$105.00
1 night (season)	\$376.00	\$376.00
2 nights (season)	\$753.00	\$753.00
3 nights (season)	\$1,128.00	\$1,128.00
4 nights (season)	\$1,505.00	\$1,505.00
5 nights (season)	\$1,880.00	\$1,880.00
<b>Training</b>		
<b><i>Ground and Changing Rooms</i></b>		
1 night	\$189.00	\$189.00
1 night (season)	\$792.00	\$792.00
2 nights (season)	\$1,582.00	\$1,582.00
3 nights (season)	\$2,373.00	\$2,373.00
4 nights (season)	\$3,164.00	\$3,164.00
5 nights (season)	\$3,955.00	\$3,955.00
<b>Elite Parks</b>		
Rugby League Park	\$627.00	\$627.00
Newtown Park	\$627.00	\$627.00
Picnics	\$57.00	\$57.00
<b>Marquees</b>		
Booking Fee (non-refundable)	\$84.00	\$84.00
Marquee up to 50m2	\$502.00	\$502.00
Marquee up to 100m2	\$835.00	\$835.00
Marquee > 100m2	\$1,330.00	\$1,330.00
<b>Add-Ons</b>		
Groundsman - hourly rate (minimum 2 hours)	\$35.00	\$35.00
Toilets Open	\$35.00	\$35.00
Toilets and Changing Rooms Open	\$84.00	\$84.00
Litter collection		

### Synthetic Turf Sports fields

Synthetic Turf Sports Fields	Current Fee	Proposed Fee
<b>Nairnville Synthetic Turf</b>		
Peak (per hour)	\$51.50	\$51.50
Off Peak (per hour)	\$32.00	\$32.00
Junior/College (per hour)	\$25.50	\$25.50

## APPENDIX 5

<b>Full Size Synthetic Turf</b>		
Peak (per hour)	\$75.00	\$75.00
Off Peak (per hour)	\$50.00	\$50.00
Junior/College (per hour)	\$37.50	\$37.50
Winter Weekend Daily Rate	\$750.00	\$750.00
National Hockey Stadium	\$34,485.00	\$34,485.00

**Notes:**

*Charges for events, tournaments and commercial activities are by quotation.*

*Charges for charity events will be charged at the Operation Manager's discretion.*

### Swimming Pools

We are proposing to increase our fees for Swimming Pools.

<b>Pool Fees</b>	<b>Current Fee</b>	<b>Proposed Fee</b>
<b>All Pools Except Khandallah</b>		
Adult Swim	\$ 5.70	\$ 5.90
Child Swim	\$ 3.50	\$ 3.60
Under 5 Swim	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.25
Leisure Card Adult	\$ 2.90	\$ 2.95
Leisure Card Child	\$ 1.70	\$ 1.75
Family Pass 2 adults 3 children	\$ 15.00	\$ 15.45
Adult 12 Swim Concession	\$ 57.00	\$ 59.00
Adult 30 Swim Concession	\$ 142.50	\$ 147.50
Child 12 Swim Concession	\$ 35.00	\$ 36.00
Child 30 Swim Concession	\$ 87.50	\$ 90.00

### Khandallah Pool

Adult Swim	\$ 2.00	\$ 3.00
Child Swim	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.50

### All Pools Except Khandallah

Swim Membership Adult Month	\$ 57.80	\$ 59.50
Swim Membership Adult Yearly	\$ 693.10	\$ 714.00

*This report is officer advice only. Refer to minutes of the meeting for decision.*

## APPENDIX 5

Swim Membership Child Month	\$ 34.60	\$ 35.65
Swim Membership Child Yearly	\$ 415.90	\$ 427.80

### Library Fees

Library	Current Fee	Proposed Fee
Discounted DVDs	\$2.00	\$2.00

### Burials and Cremations

We are proposing to increase our fees for burials and cremations.

Burials and Cremations	Current Fee	Proposed Fee
<b><u>Karori Cemetery</u></b>		
<b>Rose Garden Plots</b>		
Ash Plots (2 interments)	\$918.00	\$946.00
Memorial Plots	\$515.00	\$530.00
Granite Book Memorial	\$357.00	\$368.00
<b>Memorial Plaques</b>		
Bronze Columbarium Wall (135x95)	\$296.00	\$305.00
<b>Niches</b>		
Old Single Niche (Bronze)	\$867.00	\$893.00
Plaque (Name, Date of Death, Age)	\$326.00	\$336.00
New Single Niche (Bronze)	\$1,000.00	\$1,030.00
Plaque (Name, Date of Death, Age)	\$326.00	\$336.00
New Double Niche (Bronze)	\$1,148.00	\$1,182.00
Plaque (Name, Date of Death, Age)	\$413.00	\$425.00
New Single Niche (Granite)	\$938.00	\$966.00
Plaque- Enquire Monumental Mason		
New Double Niche (Granite)	\$1,566.00	\$1,613.00
Plaque- Enquire Monumental Mason	\$209.00	\$215.00



## APPENDIX 5

Soldiers (In Perpetuity) (when available)		
Plaque	\$434.00	\$447.00
Second Inscription	\$230.00	\$237.00
<b>Interment Fees</b>		
Second interment	\$1,148.00	\$1,182.00
Ashes	\$153.00	\$158.00
Niche placement/removal	\$153.00	\$158.00
Vault placement	\$311.00	\$320.00
Indigent	\$204.00	\$210.00
Serviceman/Woman's	\$581.00	\$598.00
Stillborn (unmarked)		
Interment fee	\$87.00	\$90.00
Plot	\$41.00	\$42.00
<b>Extras</b>		
Disinterment	\$1,877.00	\$1,933.00
Ash disinterment	\$255.00	\$263.00
Extra width (per 300mm)	\$189.00	\$195.00
Extra depth (per 300mm)	\$250.00	\$257.00
Breaking concrete floor	\$209.00	\$215.00
Concrete cutting floor	\$260.00	\$268.00
Grave plot probe	\$51.00	\$53.00
Core drilling ash interments (Karori only)	\$230.00	\$237.00
Muslim Boards (adult)	\$173.00	\$178.00
Muslim Boards (child)	\$102.00	\$105.00
Change of deed	\$61.00	\$63.00
Plaque placement/removal	\$82.00	\$84.00
Plaque polishing	\$31.00	\$32.00
Permit fee for monument work	\$82.00	\$84.00
Permit fee for monument work – Karori Rose Gardens	\$51.00	\$53.00
Non-compliance fee for no permit	\$71.00	\$73.00
Outside district fee – casket plot *	\$887.00	\$913.00
Outside district fee – ash plot *	\$418.00	\$430.00
Outside district fee – indigent burial *	\$138.00	\$142.00
Outside district fee – 2nd interment into existing plot *	\$571.00	\$588.00

## APPENDIX 5

Outside district fee – ash scatter *	\$41.00	\$42.00
* applies to all plot purchases, where deceased has lived outside Wellington City for the last 5 years or more		
<b><u>Makara Cemetery</u></b>		
<b>Adult Plot (Plaque Lawn)</b>		
Plot	\$908.00	\$935.00
Maintenance fee	\$557.00	\$574.00
Interment fee	\$622.00	\$641.00
Beam fee	\$153.00	\$158.00
Engraved plaque & 1st inscription	\$612.00	\$630.00
2nd inscription	\$230.00	\$237.00
Embossed plaque (for up to 100 letters - \$1/letter thereafter)	\$966.00	\$995.00
<b>Second Interments</b>		
All sections	\$1,148.00	\$1,182.00
<b>Denominational Areas</b>		
Plot	\$1,122.00	\$1,156.00
Maintenance Fee	\$778.00	\$801.00
Interment fee	\$627.00	\$646.00
Beam fee	\$153.00	\$158.00
<b>Natural Burial</b>		
Plot	\$1,250.00	\$1,287.00
Maintenance Fee	\$624.00	\$643.00
Interment fee	\$938.00	\$966.00
<b>Miscellaneous</b>		
Temporary Grave Marker (13mth lease)	\$138.00	\$142.00
Funerals booked after 3:30pm	\$189.00	\$195.00
Public Holiday Fee	\$836.00	\$864.00
Cancellation Fee	\$102.00	\$105.00
<b>Overtime</b>		
Casket Interment w/end	\$627.00	\$646.00
Ash Interment w/end	\$209.00	\$215.00
<b>Ash Plots</b>		
<b>Ash Beam</b>		
Plot	\$286.00	\$295.00
Maintenance	\$158.00	\$163.00

## APPENDIX 5

Beam fee	\$153.00	\$158.00
Interment fee	\$153.00	\$158.00
<b>Ash Circle</b>		
Plot	\$490.00	\$505.00
Maintenance	\$158.00	\$163.00
Interment fee	\$153.00	\$163.00
<b><u>Cremations, Ashes &amp; Chapel Hire</u></b>		
<b>Adult</b>		
Delivery Only	\$685.00	\$685.00
Committal Service (1/2 Hour)	\$838.00	\$838.00
Full Service (1 Hour)	\$894.00	\$894.00
Funerals booked after 3.30pm	\$189.00	\$189.00
Indigent	\$122.00	\$122.00
<b>Children</b>		
1 to 10 years	\$190.00	\$190.00
Birth to 1 year	\$73.00	\$73.00
Stillborn	\$67.00	\$67.00
<b>Chapel Hire:</b>		
Per 1/2 hour	\$189.00	\$195.00
Chapel Hire for Burials & Cremations per 1/2 hour	\$204.00	\$210.00
Chapel hire for cremation elsewhere	\$233.00	\$240.00
<b>Ashes:</b>		
Interment of Ashes	\$153.00	\$158.00
Disinterment of Ashes	\$255.00	\$263.00
Ash Scattering	\$77.00	\$78.00
Ash Scattering Overtime	\$189.00	\$195.00
Niche Placement/Removal	\$153.00	\$158.00
Certificate of Cremation	\$51.00	\$52.00
<b>Miscellaneous:</b>		
Cremation Overtime	\$311.00	\$311.00
Cleaning chapel/ crematorium	\$51.00	\$51.00
Chapel only overtime	\$204.00	\$204.00
Express Ash (Weekend Collection)	\$209.00	\$209.00
Viewing Casket Charge	\$82.00	\$82.00

*This report is officer advice only. Refer to minutes of the meeting for decision.*

## APPENDIX 5

Public Holiday Fee	\$592.00	\$592.00
URNS - wooden ADULT	\$66.00	\$68.00
URNS - wooden INFANT	\$31.00	\$32.00
URNS - plastic	\$10.00	\$10.00
<b>Book Of Remembrance</b>		
2 Lines- name, date of death, age	\$92.00	\$95.00
Per Line - up to 4 lines	\$41.00	\$42.00
Per Line -up to 8 lines	\$82.00	\$84.00

### Public Health Regulations

We are proposing to increase our fees in this area.

Health Licensing and Inspection	Current Fee	Proposed Fee
New food premises (1st yr set up)	\$480.00	\$495.00
New Non Food Premises (1st yr set up)	\$240.00	\$250.00
Change of occupiers fee base fee	\$185.00	\$190.00
Change of occupiers fee base fee – additional over 1 hr	\$125.00	\$130.00
Inspections (per hr) for legal action	\$125.00	\$130.00
Late payment	+10%	+10%
<b>Annual Licence for registered food premises</b>		
Excellent grade	\$180.00-\$595.00	\$185.00 - \$615.00
Very good grade	\$300.00-\$840.00	\$310.00 - \$865.00
Ungraded	\$360.00-\$1,080.00	\$370.00 - \$1,110.00
Ungraded – high risk	\$480.00-\$1,845.00	\$495.00 - \$1,900.00
VIP registration and verification	\$470.00-\$1,795.00	\$485.00 - \$1,850.00
Additional inspections (over 3hrs) per hr	\$125.00	\$130.00
Re-grading of premises (per hr)	\$125.00	\$130.00
<b>Health licence</b>		
Sports clubs (min. food prep)	\$150.00	\$155.00
Unregistered eating houses	\$210.00	\$215.00

## APPENDIX 5

<b>Temporary License</b>		
Temporary/mobile food stalls base fee	\$180.00	\$185.00
Temporary/mobile food stalls base fee- charge over 1hr (per hr)	\$125.00	\$130.00
Fairs: "small"	\$150.00	\$155.00
One day food stall	\$125.00	\$130.00
Fairs "large"	\$360.00	\$370.00
<b>Annual Licence for registered premises</b>		
Animal boarding	\$240.00	\$250.00
Camping grounds	\$240.00	\$250.00
Hairdressers	\$125.00	\$130.00
Mortuaries/Funeral Directors	\$150.00	\$155.00
Offensive Trades	\$300.00	\$310.00
Poultry farm/Piggeries	\$150.00	\$155.00
<b>Annual Licence</b>		
Pools: commercial pools/spas	\$240.00	\$250.00
Saunas only	\$105.00	\$110.00
<b>Health Check</b>		
Building consent for food premises base fee	\$250.00	\$260.00
Per hour fee (over 2hrs)	\$125.00	\$130.00
<b>Annual Licence For Registered Premises</b>	<b>Current Fee</b>	<b>Proposed Fee</b>
<b>Trade Waste</b>		
Trade waste licence fee		
Initial inspection fee	\$185.00	\$190.00
High risk	\$1,845.00	\$1,900.00
Medium risk	\$920.00	\$950.00
Low risk	\$307.50	\$315.00
Minimal risk	\$133.50	\$137.50
Monitoring (lab charges)	Actual costs	
<b>Grease &amp; Grit traps</b>		
Initial application fee	\$185.00	\$190.00
Grease traps	\$133.50	\$137.50
Shared grease trap (per premises)	\$66.75	\$70.00
Grease converter	\$307.50	\$315.00
Grit traps	\$133.50	\$137.50
<b>Collection &amp; Transport of Trade Waste</b>		
Initial application fee	\$155.00	\$160.00
Charge after first hr (per hr)	\$130.00	\$135.00
Annual licence fee	\$185.00	\$190.00

*This report is officer advice only. Refer to minutes of the meeting for decision.*

## APPENDIX 5

<b>Conveyance &amp; Transport of Trade Waste</b>		
Processing fee (per hr of part thereof)	\$130.00	\$135.00
Assessment of building consent including trade waste element	\$130.00	\$135.00
<b>Volume</b>		
Up to 100m <sup>3</sup> /day	\$0.25/m <sup>3</sup>	\$0.26/m <sup>3</sup>
Between 100m <sup>3</sup> /day and 7000m <sup>3</sup> /day	\$0.11/m <sup>3</sup>	\$0.12/m <sup>3</sup>
Above 7000m <sup>3</sup> /day	\$0.80/m <sup>3</sup>	\$0.83/m <sup>3</sup>
<b>Suspended Solids</b>		
Up to 3150kg/day	\$0.27/kg	\$0.28/m <sup>3</sup>
Above 3150kg/day	\$0.61/kg	\$0.63/m <sup>3</sup>
<b>B.O.D</b>		
Up to 1575kg/day	\$0.26/kg	\$0.27/m <sup>3</sup>
Above 1575kg/day	\$0.49/kg	\$0.51/m <sup>3</sup>
	<b>Current Fee</b>	<b>Proposed Fee</b>
<b>Animal Control</b>		
<b>Registration per animal</b>		
Entire	\$165.00	\$170.00
Neutered/spayed (with proof)	\$118.80	\$122.50
Working dogs	\$48.00	\$50.00
Approved responsible owner	\$57.00	\$59.00
Charge for late payments	+50%	50%
Licence for 3 or more dogs	\$33.00	\$34.00
Application for RDO status or change of RDO address	\$57.50	\$59.00
<b>Impounding Fees</b>		
* First per animal	\$102.50	\$106.00
* Subsequent impounding	\$164.00	\$170.00
* Sustenance per day	\$18.00	\$18.50
* Destruction fee	\$34.00	\$35.00
Collection or delivery of dog on behalf of owner	\$28.00	\$29.00
After hours callout for collection of delivery of dog	\$28.00	\$29.00
Replacement of registration tag	\$11.00	\$11.50
Infringements	\$100.00-\$750.00	\$100.00 - \$750.00
Micro-chipping	Actual costs	
<b>Pavement Permissions</b>		
Initial application	\$184.50	\$190.00
Renewal	\$92.50	\$95.00
Special application	\$260.00	\$270.00
Extension of liquor licensing area	\$90.00	\$95.00

*This report is officer advice only. Refer to minutes of the meeting for decision.*

## APPENDIX 5

Central city (per m2)	\$87.00	\$90.00
Suburbs (per m2)	\$56.50	\$58.50
<b>Gambling Permissions</b>		
Initial application & renewal	\$123.00	\$127.00

### **Building Control and Facilitation**

We are proposing to increase our fees for Building Control and Facilitation services.

<b>Building Consent Fees</b>	<b>Current Fee</b>	<b>Proposed Fee</b>
<b>Small Works fees</b>		
Drainage/plumbing (value to \$2000)	\$290.00	\$299.00
Free standing fireplace	\$192.50	\$198.50
In-built fireplace	\$405.50	\$418.00
Additional inspection fee	Replaced	\$0.00
Additional inspection charge (per hr)	\$154.00	\$159.00
<b>Customer Services</b>		\$0.00
Pre-application meetings: consent officer / expert / compliance officer (2 hours total officer time free, then a charge per hour thereafter).	\$154.00	\$159.00
Monthly report of Issued Building Consents	\$73.00	\$75.50
Official information requests (property): Disbursements – 1st 20 A4 sheets free – 20c per additional sheet	See text	See text
Administration Fee (refunds/cancellations)	\$97.50	\$100.00
Time extension initial fee (0.5 hr admin, 0.5 hr inspector). Any time spent over this initial time will be charged at the relevant hourly rate	\$122.00	\$129.50
Time extension – additional inspectors time, hourly rate	\$154.00	\$159.00
Administration fee (other) – hourly rate	\$97.50	\$100.00
Restricted building work check (per Licensed Building Practitioner)	\$48.75	\$50.00
<b>Lodging fee</b>		\$0.00
Lodging Fee for building consents	\$97.50	\$100.00
Code Compliance Certificate (for category 1 applications)	\$97.50	\$100.00
Code Compliance Certificate (for category 2 applications)	\$97.50	\$100.00

*This report is officer advice only. Refer to minutes of the meeting for decision.*

## APPENDIX 5

Code Compliance Certificate (for category 3 applications)	\$122.00	\$126.00
<b>PIM (if lodged with building consent)</b>		
PIM only – single resident dwelling including accessory buildings	\$385.00	\$397.00
PIM only – other	\$462.00	\$476.00
<b>Building Consent Fees</b>	<b>Current Fee</b>	<b>Proposed Fee</b>
<b>Plan Check Fees</b>		
<\$10,000 (Category 1)	\$346.50	\$357.00
<\$10,000 (Category 2)	\$539.00	\$555.00
<\$10,000 (Category 3)	\$693.00	\$714.00
\$10,001 - \$20,000 (Category 1)	\$770.00	\$793.00
\$10,001 - \$20,000 (Category 2)	\$770.00	\$793.00
\$10,001 - \$20,000 (Category 3)	\$770.00	\$793.00
\$20,001 - \$100,000 (Category 1)	\$847.00	\$872.50
\$20,001 - \$100,000 (Category 2)	\$847.00	\$872.50
\$20,001 - \$100,000 (Category 3)	\$847.00	\$872.50
\$100,001 - \$500,000 (Category 1)	\$924.00	\$952.00
\$100,001 - \$500,000 (Category 2)	\$1,386.00	\$1,428.00
\$100,001 - \$500,000 (Category 3)	\$1,386.00	\$1,428.00
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000 (Category 1)	\$2,156.00	\$2,221.00
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000 (Category 2)	\$2,464.00	\$2,538.00
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000 (Category 3)	\$2,772.00	\$2,855.00
\$1,000,001 + (Category 1)	N/A	0
\$1,000,001 + (Category 2)	\$2,849.00	\$2,935.00
\$1,000,001 + (Category 3)	\$2,849.00	\$2,935.00
For each \$500K or part thereof over \$1,000,000	\$731.50	\$753.50
Consent Suspend Fee (To review additional information. Charged per additional hour of office reassessment time)	\$154.00	\$159.00
<b>Plan Check for National Multi-use approval fees (NMUA)</b>		
Building Consent Fee, for applications using a NMUA (approved by Dept. of Building & Housing). Initial fee of 3 hours, then hourly rate and charges apply after this.	\$462.00	\$476.00



## APPENDIX 5

<b>Plan Check for Fast Track Consents</b>		
Fast Track – consents only – issued within 10 days (criteria applies, and applications will only be accepted on a case by case basis) Applies to all fees related to approval of consent.	2 x consent approval charges	2 x consent approval charges
Fast Track – consents only – issued within 5 days (criteria applies, and applications will only be accepted on a case by case basis). Applies to all fees related to approval of consent.	3 x consent approval charges	3 x consent approval charges
<b>Building Certificate (pre-requisite for liquor licence application)</b>		
Where application for building certificate received with application for town planning certificate	\$154.00	\$159.00
Where application received independently	\$250.00	\$257.50
Additional charge per hour for processing Building Certificate. Where processing times exceeds 1 hr.	\$154.00	\$159.00
<b>Building Consent Fees</b>	<b>Current Fee</b>	<b>Proposed Fee</b>
<b>Certificates of Acceptance</b>		
Lodgement fee	\$97.50	\$100.00
If the certificate is NOT for work carried out under urgency (or other special circumstances) a surcharge applies to all COA fees. Fees include plan check and initial fee for inspections. Additional inspections charged at \$154 per hour.	50.00%	50.00%
<\$10,000 (Category 1)	\$654.50	\$674.50
<\$10,000 (Category 2)	\$847.00	\$872.50
<\$10,000 (Category 3)	\$1,001.00	\$1,031.50
\$10,001 - \$20,000 (Category 1)	\$1,078.00	\$1,110.50
\$10,001 - \$20,000 (Category 2)	\$1,078.00	\$1,110.50

*This report is officer advice only. Refer to minutes of the meeting for decision.*

## APPENDIX 5

\$10,001 - \$20,000 (Category 3)	\$1,078.00	\$1,110.50
\$20,001 - \$100,000 (Category 1)	\$1,463.00	\$1,507.00
\$20,001 - \$100,000 (Category 2)	\$1,463.00	\$1,507.00
\$20,001 - \$100,000 (Category 3)	\$1,463.00	\$1,507.00
\$100,001 - \$500,000 (Category 1)	\$1,540.00	\$1,586.50
\$100,001 - \$500,000 (Category 2)	\$2,002.00	\$2,062.00
\$100,001 - \$500,000 (Category 3)	\$2,002.00	\$2,062.00
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000 (Category 1)	\$2,772.00	\$2,855.00
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000 (Category 2)	\$3,080.00	\$3,172.50
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000 (Category 3)	\$3,388.00	\$3,490.00
\$1,000,001 + (Category 1)	N/A	N/A
\$1,000,001 + (Category 2)	\$3,465.00	\$3,569.00
\$1,000,001 + (Category 3)	\$3,465.00	\$3,569.00
For each \$500k or part thereof over \$1,000,001	\$731.50	\$753.50
Inspections over initial fee at hourly rate	\$154.00	\$159.00
<b>S77 Fees (building over two or more allotments)</b>		
Processing time per hour	\$154.00	\$159.00
<b>Amended Plan</b>		
Lodging fee	\$73.00	\$75.00
Initial fee (includes 1 hour processing time)	\$154.00	\$159.00
Processing time over 1 hour	\$154.00	\$159.00
<b>Certificate of Public Use</b>		
Lodging fee	\$73.00	\$75.00
Initial fee (includes 1 hr processing time)	\$154.00	\$159.00
Process time over 1 hour	\$154.00	\$159.00
<b>Compliance Schedule / Building Warrant of Fitness</b>		
New compliance schedule (linked with Building Consent).	\$231.00	\$238.00

## APPENDIX 5

This is the minimum charge (based on one hour of processing), additional charges will apply for time taken over this, at \$159 per hour for additional hours	\$154.00	\$159.00
Alterations & amendments to compliance schedule (linked to Building Consent or application for amendment to CS Form 11) will be charged on a time taken basis. At \$159 per hour of officer time	\$154.00	\$159.00
Additional charge per hour for new compliance schedule (linked with Building Consent)	\$154.00	\$159.00
Minor compliance schedule amendment – change of owner/agent, minor change to draft CS	\$38.50	\$40.00
IQP Registration Fee (New & Renewal)	\$154.00	\$159.00
Additional charge for each new competency registered	\$73.00	\$75.00
Building Warrant of Fitness - Annual Certificate. This is the base charge for 1 specified system. Additional charges will apply for time over 0.5 hours	\$77.00	\$79.50
Building Warrant of Fitness - Annual Certificate.  This is the base charge for 2 - 10 specified systems. Additional charges will apply for time taken over 1 hour	\$154.00	\$159.00
Building Warrant of Fitness - Annual Certificate. This is the base charge for 11+ specified systems. Additional charges will apply for time taken over 1.5 hours	\$231.00	\$238.00
Building Warrant of Fitness Inspection (per hour)	\$154.00	\$159.00
<b>Fire Service</b>		
Fire Service Review admin	\$73.00	\$75.50

## APPENDIX 5

<b>LIM and Information Services</b>		
LIM : Residential	\$314.00	\$323.50
Fast Track residential LIMs	\$471.00	\$485.00
LIM: Commercial Base Fee	\$733.00	\$755.00
LIM : Commercial per hour after 10 hrs	\$97.50	\$100.00
Property Reports: Building Consents	\$146.50	\$151.00
Property Reports: Resource Management	Replaced	
Property Reports: Public Drainage	Replaced	
Property Report: Multi-residential 3 - 8 unit property	\$214.00	\$220.50
Property Report: Multi-residential 8+ unit property	\$227.00	\$234.00
Building Consent printout (site specific)	\$26.00	\$27.00
Certificate of Title	\$25.00	\$26.00
	<b>Current Fee</b>	<b>Proposed Fee</b>
<b>Building Consent Fees</b>		
<b>Structural Fee Deposits &amp; Additional Charges</b>		
Structural fee for checking elements of specific design on projects comprising structural works, supported by a Producer Statement from a Chartered professional engineer		
Deposit for Category 1 structural work (on Plan reviews)	\$275.00	\$283.50
Deposit for Category 2 structural work (on Plan reviews)	\$375.00	\$386.50
Deposit for Category 3 structural work (on Plan Reviews)	\$685.00	\$706.00
Deposit for Category 1 structural work (for Amended Plans)	\$310.00	\$319.50
Deposit for Category 2 structural work (for Amended Plans)	\$310.00	\$319.50
Deposit for Category 3 structural work (for Amended Plans)	\$410.00	\$422.50
Hourly Charge for Engineers (including internal overheads), over and above deposit	\$276.25	\$285.00

*This report is officer advice only. Refer to minutes of the meeting for decision.*

## APPENDIX 5

Hourly charge for Contract Management, over and above deposit	\$131.75	\$136.00
Deposit for all categories for structural checking not supported by a Producer Statement from a Chartered professional engineer	\$685.00	\$706.00
<b>Swimming Pool</b>		
Pool fencing inspection per hour. Fees charged on actual time spent.	\$154.00	\$159.00
Exemptions: Base fees (including 5.5 hours of processing time)	\$847.00	\$872.50
Exemptions: processing costs after the initial 5.5 hours	\$154.00	\$159.00
<b>Building Inspections</b>		
Hourly charge: Deposit based on estimate of inspections required. Charges on basis of actual time.	\$154.00	\$159.00
Engineering inspections (not covered by a Producers Statement), including fire, engineering, structural engineering for unusual proposal, specific design	Actual costs plus \$97.50	Actual costs plus \$100.00
<b>Special Activity &amp; Monitoring</b>		
Hourly charge for officer time considering proposals and monitoring compliance	\$154.00	\$159.00
<b>Development, Control and Facilitation</b>		
<b>RMA Checking Service of Building Consents</b>		
Initial Fee for the assessment of building consent against the District Plan or for compliance with resource consent conditions.	Replaced	
Initial Fee for the assessment of building consent against the District Plan or for compliance with resource consent conditions. (Charge for first hour, then additional charges apply per hour of processing time above this)	\$150.00	\$150.00
Additional hours (per hour):	\$150.00	\$150.00
Outline Plan Waiver	\$300.00	\$300.00

## APPENDIX 5

Road Encroachment Fees		
	Proposed encroachment rental rate (per m <sup>2</sup> ) for groups of suburbs (excl GST)	
Ohariu*	<b>Group 1: \$4.50</b>	<b>Group 1: \$4.58</b>
Makara*		
Glenside*		
Grenada North*		
Makara Beach		
Tawa	<b>Group 2: \$8.10</b>	<b>Group 2: \$8.25</b>
Ngauranga		
Grenada Village		
Crofton Downs		
Broadmeadows		
Newlands		
Mornington		
Woodridge		
Paparangi		
Owhiro Bay		
Churton Park		
Johnsonville		
Kingston		
Ngaio		
Wilton		
Strathmore Park		
Karori		
Houghton Bay		
Vogeltown		
Southgate		
Moa Point		
Melrose		
Rongotai	<b>Group 4: \$13.50</b>	<b>Group 4: \$13.74</b>
Island Bay		
Miramar		
Maupuia		
Brooklyn		
Highbury		
Khandallah		
Breaker Bay		
Aro Valley		
Northland		
Wadestown		
Kilbirnie	<b>Group 5: \$18.00</b>	<b>Group 5:</b>

*This report is officer advice only. Refer to minutes of the meeting for decision.*

## APPENDIX 5

Lyall Bay		<b>\$18.32</b>
Kaiwharawhara		
Hataitai		
Berhampore		
Karaka Bays	<b>Group 6: \$22.50</b>	<b>Group 6: \$22.91</b>
Newtown		
Kelburn		
Roseneath	<b>Group 7: \$27.00</b>	<b>Group 7: \$25.49</b>
Seatoun		
Mt Cook		
Pipitea		
Te Aro		
Wellington Central		
Thorndon		
Mt Victoria		
Oriental Bay		

\* Average value of land per square metre is likely to be somewhat distorted in these suburbs due to some very large sections and/or lifestyle blocks. Similarly it is possible that in some suburbs average land values are somewhat distorted because of a prevalence of small sections and dwellings occupying a high proportion of land area.

### Parking

	<b>Current Fee</b>	<b>Proposed Fee</b>
Hourly Parking Charge – Fee area 1	Parking fees across the city range from a minimum of \$1.00 (minimum charge) to a maximum of \$4.00 per hour.	Parking fees across the city range from a minimum of \$1.00 (minimum charge) to a maximum of \$4.00 per hour.
Hourly Parking Charge - Fee area 2		
Hourly Parking Charge - Fee area 3		

Further information on the proposed fees and policy changes, including a full copy of the amended policy, is available on request. Please contact the Council on 499 4444 for this information.

**Living Wage** - paper to be circulated.



## **Wellington Waterfront Ltd**

### **Waterfront Development Plan for LTP (2012/13-2014/15) – Year 2**

#### **What's included here**

Our aim is to develop Wellington's inner city waterfront in accordance with the fundamental principles set down in the Wellington Waterfront Framework (2001). The waterfront is not only a working wharf but is also a public recreation destination for locals and visitors to the city. Our role, therefore, is to deliver a work programme that will ensure the waterfront experience continues to be a special combination of activities, history, views and architecture to delight, challenge, entertain and educate everyone. The Waterfront Development Plan outlines the work programme to implement the objectives of the Framework over the next three years.

The Waterfront Development Plan outlines the work programme to implement the objectives of the Framework over a three-year period. The implementation is undertaken by a Council Controlled Organisation; Wellington Waterfront Ltd (The Company). The plan is reviewed on an annual basis. For 2013/14 (Year 2), the timing of commercial proceeds and payments has shifted for two projects: the development of the Overseas Passenger Terminal has been brought forward to reflected expected completion in 2013/14, while the development of North Kumutoto has been delayed and proceeds are now expected in 2014/15. There have also been three new capital projects included in 2013/14 (the details are noted below), for which matching funding has been identified and as such will not require any increase in the Council loan.

#### **Why it's important**

Wellington's waterfront is one of the most easily recognised and frequently photographed parts of our city, and is much changed from the bustling port of old. The waterfront is a special place that welcomes all people to live, work and play in the beautiful and inspiring spaces and architecture that connect our city to the sea and protect our heritage for future generations.

Over recent decades, Wellington City Council, together with many interested Wellingtonians, has developed a vision for the waterfront and its future. In 2001 this vision was laid out in the publication of the Wellington Waterfront Framework. This document sets down the fundamental principles for establishing development work programmes on the waterfront. The phasing of the work has been decided based on the following principles:

- Heritage buildings should be restored and reused as a priority.
- Timing of commercial development will be impacted by market conditions.
- Income should be generated upfront where possible to minimise the impact on ratepayers.
- Public confidence in the waterfront development must be maintained.

The Framework requires transparency and a willingness to engage with the public about how the waterfront is developed. A balance must be set between making good progress on the waterfront and providing the public with sufficient opportunity to be involved. As such, public submissions are sought when detailed or concept designs are proposed.

### **Contribution to community outcomes**

We contribute to the following goals of Towards 2040 Smart Capital:

**People-Centred City:** The waterfront is one of Wellington's premiere destinations for work, recreation and events.

**Connected City:** Wellington's waterfront is acknowledged widely as a gathering point for friends, colleagues and family, and now, with free wi-fi access across the entire space, as a place to connect globally.

**Eco-city:** we are helping develop Wellington as an eco-city by ensuring that all development activity on the waterfront is sustainable and strives for the highest possible environmental ratings.

**Dynamic Central City:** The waterfront contributes to Wellington's downtown area in numerous ways. It provides cultural, recreational, heritage and maritime activity. Opportunities for commercial and residential development add to the changing face of Wellington's central business district. By hosting events such as World of Wearable Arts, Round the Bays and other sports events, and festivals like Home Grown and Diwali, the waterfront is promoting Wellington as a vibrant, creative and multi-cultural place.

### **What we'll provide – our levels of service**

Seven objectives have been set for the waterfront:

- The waterfront is locally and internationally recognised for its design.
- The waterfront is readily accessible to all people.
- The waterfront is and is perceived to be, safe at all times.
- The waterfront is seen as an attractive place that draws Wellingtonians and visitors alike.
- The waterfront successfully caters for a wide range of events and activities.
- Significant heritage buildings are protected on the waterfront.
- Activities on the waterfront are integrated with those on the harbour.

There are many proposed and on-going projects, all with different complexities, and in some cases, the potential to be interrelated. Some work needs to be done sequentially because of physical requirements to maintain the waterfront experience as much as possible during construction or to coincide with neighbouring development activities. There may sometimes be financial implications that justify undertaking one piece of work before another. Further, sufficient flexibility must be built in to respond to good ideas or proposals in a timely manner, should they arise. Under the Wellington Waterfront Framework, Wellington's waterfront is divided into five precincts linked by the waterfront promenade, each with its own distinctive style and personality:

- Waitangi
- Taranaki Street Wharf
- Frank Kitts Park
- Queens Wharf
- Kumutoto

## APPENDIX 7

The following **key projects** are planned for the next two years

**The Promenade:** Development of the promenade as the spine that connects the waterfront is on-going. A particular focus over the next 2 years will be on the North Kumutoto connection from the Meridian building through to Shed 21 and the railway station. We will continue to address the pedestrian/cycling interface through enhanced signage and other improvements undertaken in consultation with various stakeholder groups.

**Wharf pile Maintenance:** The third stage of the waterfront-wide pile repair and refurbishment programme was planned to take place in 2014/15, but has now been aligned with the fourth and final infrastructural upgrade scheduled to be completed in 2017/18.

Projected public space development contribution (\$000):	2013/14	2014/15
	\$0	\$0

**Waitangi Precinct:** The redevelopment of the Overseas Passenger Terminal and public space will be the central activity in this area. Construction began in 2012 and is expected to be completed in 2014. Work will continue on the feasibility of the proposed transition building adjacent to Te Papa. Work on the Overseas Passenger Terminal for 2014/15 includes \$2.5 million of new work on wharf and seawall upgrades. The public space works around the Overseas Passenger Terminal have been brought forward to align with construction work that will now be completed in 2013/14. However, this will be funded by the commercial proceeds also being received earlier than previously set.

Projected public space development contribution (\$000):	2013/14	2014/15
	\$5,290	\$0

**Taranaki Street Wharf Precinct:** This area is essentially complete, issues around the diving platform constructed in 2012/13 will be resolved.

Projected public space development contribution (\$000):	2013/14	2014/15
	\$75	\$0

**Frank Kitts Park Precinct:** WWL will continue to oversee the design development of the whole of Frank Kitts Park and work with the Wellington Chinese Garden society regarding their fundraising initiatives. WWL will secure resource consent for the redevelopment of the park and implement its development in stages. The children's playground, now 15 years old, is in urgent need of upgrade and repair and this will be undertaken in 2013/14.

Projected public space development contribution (\$000):	2013/14	2014/15
	\$1,000	\$0

**Queens Wharf Precinct:** Master planning for this area was completed and presented to the Council in 2011. The Company will continue to work corroboratively with Wellington Venues Limited to complete the temporary convention centre facility and wharf strengthening works within shed 6 for the period of the seismic strengthening of the Town Hall. Provision has been made for the development of a water-based adventure activities area within the Shed 6 Harbour Basin.

## APPENDIX 7

Projected public space development contribution (\$000):	2013/14 \$300	2014/15 \$0
--	------------------	----------------

***Kumutoto Precinct:*** Work will continue on the development opportunities for sites 9 and 10. However, with the delay in commencing development on the site, the timing of upgrading the public space in the north Kumutoto precinct has been budgeted now for 2015/16 with wider works occurring in the subsequent year. This will be subject to change as plans for these sites develop.

Projected public space development contribution (\$000):	2013/14 \$0	2014/15 \$0
--	----------------	----------------

***Other Capital Renewals:*** An ongoing programme of repairs and maintenance, capital expenditure and renewals has been identified in the company's Asset Management Plan.

Projected public space development contribution (\$000):	2013/14 \$392	2014/15 \$909
--	------------------	------------------

### **How we'll measure our performance**

The overall success of the waterfront will be measured by the achievement of the principles and objectives outlined in the Waterfront Framework.

Design outcomes will continue to be monitored by WCC's Technical Advisory Group, an independent provider of design advice for the Council. Drawing on the architecture, landscape architecture and urban design expertise of its members, they ensure that the Framework principles have been applied consistently in all the design of buildings and public space.

The achievement of milestones inline with this three year plan and residents us and perceptions of their experience on the waterfront will also be monitored (see the Council Controlled Organisations section).

Beyond the current Waterfront Development Plan the following activities are proposed:

- Frank Kitts park refurbishment incorporating a Chinese garden – 2016/17 (\$4 million)
- Wharf strengthening programme stage 3 – 2017/18 (\$2.035 million)
- Completion of public space enhancements in north Kumutoto – 2015/16 (\$6 million)
- Ongoing maintenance, renewals and management of leases (\$2.358 million over six years).

### **How we manage our assets that support this activity**

The Company maintains an Asset Management Plan (2011). They comply with all legislation and regulatory requirements, including resource consents. Waterfront assets are maintained in a condition that allows the buildings and public space to meet visitor and stakeholder expectations. The Company actively engages with other commercial operators on waterfront sites to ensure that the issues are resolved quickly and effectively, and that the waterfront remains a safe and welcoming place for everyone.

## APPENDIX 7

### What it will cost

	<b>2013/14</b>	<b>2014/15</b>
<b>Waterfront Operating costs (\$000)</b>	6,085	5,029
<b>Public Space Developments (\$000)</b>	7,057	909
	<b>2013/14</b>	<b>2014/15</b>
<b>Proceeds from Operating receipts &amp; Commercial developments</b>	15,075	7,650
	<b>2013/14</b>	<b>2014/15</b>
<b>Loan Financing Balance</b>		
<b>Wharf repiling (cumulative) (\$000)</b>	5,720	5,720
<b>Public Space (cumulative) (\$000)</b>	14,482	9,409

The table illustrates the importance and impact of revenue from commercial developments in the next two years. If these revenues were delayed then additional interest expense would need to be funded. While the \$22,725 million in proceeds over the next two years is positive, the on-going maintenance and renewals work mean that the loan balance continues to increase with no anticipated offset from commercial proceeds forecast in the out years. The plan now reflects timing changes in the realisation of proceeds from commercial developments. However, the net impact on the loan balance is positive with the cumulative balance on Public Space work in 2014/15 improving from \$9.717m to \$9.409m.