

Tabled Information
reference 161/12P(a)

Oral Submission relating to the Eco-city proposal

28th May 2012

Good morning. My name is Kate Brignall. Thank you for the opportunity to speak about my concerns over changes to the structure and funding of Zealandia through the Eco-city proposal.

I will start by telling you about my involvement in Zealandia, what I see as the philosophy of Zealandia and why I believe that the eco-city proposals are unsuitable.

My involvement...

I'm a consultant physician over from the UK with my husband for a year. We visited Zealandia within the first week of my arrival and both of us became members. I chose to volunteer for a day a week and have the privilege of working with the conservation team, monitoring bellbird activity.

Philosophy...

Zealandia reminds me of the mythological garden of Eden. But unlike Eden, here is the remarkable opportunity to nurture that which humankind has almost destroyed; the endemic plants and birds of New Zealand, by following an amazing 500 year vision. This vision is a vital commitment to future generations not just here in New Zealand but to the world.

But Zealandia also nurtures something else, it nurtures community. It could not function without the dedication of its core staff but also hundreds of volunteers. These passionate volunteers develop a sense of ownership, responsibility and in so doing demonstrate tremendous commitment to this remarkable cause by being key stakeholders in it. Volunteers are vital to Zealandia's work. Both they and the paid staff deserve the confidence of knowing that they are being directed by leaders who are absolutely focused on this vision.

So why change?

Although financial predictions have not lived up to expectations, Zealandia is still thriving in this difficult financial climate. The visitor's centre clearly was a huge investment but has attracted considerable growth in visitor numbers.

Changing Zealandia's structure is likely to be of little financial gain to the council but is at high risk of diluting its vision, diminishing that sense of ownership and goodwill and damaging that which it has been so successful in building since it begun.

I ask you to consider the alternative model of supporting Zealandia in its current model: to be an independent community organization but still working in partnership with the Council and other Wellington attractions.

Thank you for listening.

Dr Kate Brignall

*Tabled Information
Reference 16/12/12(6)*


Friends of Owhiro Stream
Wellington City Council Long Term Plan
Presentation
May 2012

**Where have
all our
streams
gone?**





What we had.....








What we've got left.....

Stormwater network

Remaining Open streams






Taputaranga Marine Reserve



Volunteers

**Are great at planting,
involving the community,
teaching.....**



Stormwater

Sediment
discharge



Stormwater
surge




Pollution



All these challenges come from our urban environment!





Choices



**Ka ora te wai, ka ora te whenua
Ka ora te whenua, ka ora te tangata**

**If the water is healthy, then the land is healthy
If the land is healthy, then the people are healthy**

1.

Tabled Information
reference 161/120(c)



ROYAL PORT NICHOLSON YACHT CLUB

Established 1871

Oral Submission to Wellington City Council

Re Clyde Quay Boat Harbour Master Plan in the Long Term Plan 2012-2022


1. The Royal Port Nicholson Yacht Club presents this Oral Submission to reinforce our Submission to the Draft Long Term Plan of 17 April (attached),
2. The Club endorses the unanimous resolution of Wellington City Council (WCC) recommending the Clyde Quay Boat Harbour Master Plan for inclusion in the Draft Long Term Plan process, and sincerely asks the Council to keep the project in the final Plan as a high priority project that will help maintain Wellington as a place where people want to live.
3. There are three specific matters which the Club asks the Council to address namely:
 - a. The Marina Upgrade - Clyde Quay (Council Code CX342) funding should be moved from Schedule C to Schedule A of the capex programme;
 - Currently the project is subject to review by the Financial Sustainability Working Party before inclusion in the long term plan or future annual plans. We believe the project should proceed without this review.
 - b. The \$208,000 of approved initial funding will be better used to complete the feasibility, detailed design, consenting and costing phase of the project;
 - Currently the draft plan has \$208,000 to be spent on improving the footpath on Oriental Parade and enhancing the existing access points into the area. In the master plan this work is scheduled as a final step not a beginning step. This work does not address the important issue of restoring the boat harbour's function as a primary means of connecting Wellingtonians to Wellington Harbour. For the same amount of money the feasibility, detailed design, consenting and costing phases of the public amenity and access aspects of the overall master plan could be completed. This would ensure that the project continues towards implementation but does not commit the council to implementing the project
 - c. The Financial Sustainability Working Party may usefully direct Council Officers to collaborate with RPNYC in a collateral endeavour to secure grant funding in support of the WCC contribution.
 - The public access and amenity aspects of the master plan overlap with phase one of the Wellington Ocean Sports Centre facilities aspects of the master plan. The Wellington Ocean Sports facilities are community facilities which are eligible for grants from central government and community grant funding agencies. The Financial Sustainability Working Party focus should contemporaneously seek grants from those bodies which could help reduce the contribution required from the Council.

4. Fundamentally, inclusion of the public access and amenity aspects of the Master Plan into the Long Term Plan is consistent with implementation of the WCC/RPNYC Memorandum of Mutual Understanding by achieving a long overdue maintenance objective, and by accomplishing necessary endeavours to complete and link the waterfront.
5. The Club and Council are already collaborating on three further initiatives which when combined with the public access and amenity work are also consistent with implementation of the Memorandum of Understanding. These initiatives are:
 - a. The Clyde Quay Marina Facilities Business Case. Club and Council Officers are working on a business case for upgrading the Clyde Quay marina facilities and centralising management of both Clyde Quay Boat Harbour and Chaffers Marina in such a way that there is a minimal fiscal impact of Wellington ratepayers
 - b. The Wellington Harbour Festival. Club and Council Officers have been constructively working together to evolve the Wellington Harbour Festival into an annual iconic festival including world class international events on our unique Wellington Harbour Arena.
 - c. Wellington Yachting Strategy Economic Impact Project. Club and Council Officers are working on a project to measure the beneficial economic impact which activities foreshadowed in the Clyde Quay Boat Harbour Master Plan and in the Wellington Yachting Strategy will have on Wellington
6. The following organisations have confirmed submissions in support:
 - Coastguard Boating Education Services
 - Sport New Zealand
 - High Performance Sport New Zealand
 - Sport Wellington
 - Oriental Bay Residents Association
 - The New Zealand Marine Industry Association
 - Yachting New Zealand
 - Wellington Yachting Association
 - Kapiti Boating Club
 - Muritai Yacht Club
 - Worsler Bay Boating Club
 - Paremata Boating Club
 - Te Nui a te Ika Outrigger Canoe Club
 - Yakkity Yak Canoe and Kayak Club
 - Wellington Windsurfing Association
 - Port Nicholson Yachting Trust
 - Wellington Spirit Ltd

- College Sport Wellington


In addition Grow Wellington, New Zealand Trade and Enterprise and New Zealand Major Events signalled that they supported the thrust of our submission but that it was not appropriate for them to submit on a regional authority's annual plan.

7. Furthermore, a great many individuals and members have supported the submission.
8. The significant conclusion is that there is utterly unanimous citizen, city and national support, and simply no opposition from any front, so that the Council is entitled to conclude this is an unquestionable priority for the city.
9. The Club fervently welcomes its close association with the Council, and asks for Council endorsement.



Andrew Morrison
Commodore

28 May 2012



ROYAL PORT NICHOLSON YACHT CLUB

Established 1869

ROYAL PORT NICHOLSON YACHT CLUB (RPNYC)

IN THE MATTER OF CLYDE QUAY BOAT HARBOUR (CQBH) MASTER PLAN


SUBMISSION TO WELLINGTON CITY COUNCIL (WCC) RE DRAFT LONG TERM PLAN 2012/2022

1. **Submission.** The RPNYC respectfully:
 - a. Endorses the Unanimous Resolution of WCC recommending the inclusion of public amenity and access aspects of the CQBH Master Plan in the Draft Long Term Plan 2012/2022.
 - b. Endorses the subsequent inclusion of the CQBH Master Plan as a high priority project that will help maintain Wellington as a place where people want to live in the CAPEX Programme for the Draft Long Term Plan 2012/2022.
 - c. Makes three specific additional recommendations to WCC, namely:
 - i. That the Marine Upgrade – Clyde Quay (council code CX342) funding be moved from Schedule C to Schedule A of the CAPEX Programme.
 - ii. That the \$208,000 of funding in 2012/2013 be used to complete the feasibility, detailed design, consenting and costing phase of the project.
 - iii. That the Financial Sustainability Working Party collaborate with the RPNYC in an endeavour to secure grant funding as a means of reducing the WCC contribution to the project.
2. **General.** RPNYC advises in support:
 - a. RPNYC regards the CQBH Project as a key strategic aspect of Wellington city development, and a necessary ingredient of the "Towards 2040 : Smart Capital" vision and of the "WCC Economic Development, Major Events and Visitor" strategies.
 - b. The "approved" \$208,000 for 2012/13 would be more advantageously used to complete feasibility and detailed design and costings for the project as this will more effectively contribute to the overall goal of connecting Wellingtonians to Wellington Harbour.
 - c. RPNYC reminds WCC that certain aspects of the overall project are eligible for grants from the Department of Internal Affairs and from Community Funding agencies. RPNYC and WCC should collaborate to pursue this aspect together.
 - d. RPNYC endorses the Master Plan, and in our "Club" context reminds WCC that alongside the function of organising sailing programmes and events, the CQBH Restoration Project will see the Club increasingly taking on a significant public amenity role. This will be achieved through the following elements:
 - A Royal Yacht Club providing a comprehensive year round sailing programme.
 - A centre for the delivery of significant ocean sports events for Wellington.
 - A Harbour Sails attraction for public to take sailing excursions on the Wellington harbour.
 - A fine dining restaurant and other amenities.
 - A venue for meetings, seminars and other social engagements.

- The establishment of the Wellington Ocean Sports Centre as mechanism for getting more Wellingtonians to be active recreational participants on our harbour (this project is already underway and is a partnership between WCC, Sport New Zealand and RPNYC)
- e. RPNYC points out that a further component of the CQBH Restoration Project is the upgrading of marina facilities within the boat harbour and that this is being addressed through a separate business case designed to have no fiscal impact on rate payers in line with WCC's recreation policy for Marinas. The harbour is now over 100 years old and in that time has had very little upgrading. The business case will see the functionality of the boat harbour greatly improved for the recreational sport of yachting along with other ocean sports. The capacity of the boat harbour will be increased by 33%. This will be achieved through the following elements:
- Removal of mooring blocks from harbour floor.
 - Selective dredging to increase depth in key areas.
 - Installation of rock anchor fore and aft mooring systems.
 - Installation of surge protection system.
 - Installation of walk on marina berths along the eastern and western breakwaters

As part of the marina upgrade business case WCC and RPNYC will explore the economies of scale that could be achieved through the centralization of management of the Clyde Quay and Chaffers Marinas under the auspices of the club.

- f. The entire project reflects a substantive implementation of the Clyde Quay Boat Harbor master plan aspects of 2006 Memorandum of Understanding concluded between RPNYC and WCC and provides a foundation for the successful implementation of the Major Events aspects of the same Memorandum of Understanding.



Andrew Morrison
Commodore

Authorised by: Andrew Morrison, Commodore on behalf of Royal Port Nicholson Yacht Club

Address: 103 Oriental Parade, Wellington


Date: 17 April 2012

**“When you can’t spend,
you plan”**


Presentation to Wellington City Council

28 May 2012

Wellington Brand



Wellington City




1960's - 1970's

Wellington Brand

Wellington City

CITY CENTRE

WATERFRONT



Arts & Culture

TOP TOWN!

Cultural Capital

**ABSOLUTELY
POSITIVELY
WELLINGTON**


Events Capital

Events

Massive Building Programme


1980's & 1990's

Wellington Brand




ABSOLUTELY
POSITIVELY
WELLINGTON

Wellington City




2000 - 2010

Wellington Brand




Wellington City




2012 - 2040

TE PAPA



WHAREWAKA



WATERFRONT FRAMEWORK



The 80/20 way of funding Arts & Culture Experiences

Our main brand strengths earn their keep through visitation, queues - audiences and attendance. Please:

- Restore the link between waterfront development and city brand.
- Plan a tale of two cities, right & left brain, commerce & culture separated by Jervois Quay, both enjoying the intensity precincts bring.
- Plan a 30 year programme, funded as resources allow, creating:

"A waterfront as a home for our leading arts and culture institutions, one concentrated precinct with a visitor focus.

Cluster around this cultural core, event and performance spaces, weather protection and some commercial activity that relates directly to our strengths of arts, culture, events and innovation, building a capital city experience"

"When you can't spend, you plan"

Tabled Information
reference 161/12P(e)

ORAL SUBMISSION

Kia ora koutou,

My name is Pam Fuller and I am a foundation member of Zealandia / the Karori Sanctuary Experience. I have worked both as a volunteer and part-time staff member at various times from 1993 to the present and make this submission as a member, volunteer and rate-payer.

Thank you and acknowledgement - I should like to begin by thanking the Council for the opportunity to make a submission and to speak to you today.

I should also like to acknowledge the significant financial contribution WCC has made to the project over the years as a key funder and your stated commitment to the survival of the project.

The Project - Who and what is Zealandia?

- Zealandia is first and foremost a conservation, research and education project with the primary aim of restoring the eco-system of the old Karori Reservoir valley as a means of telling the New Zealand conservation story. A story which we tell through our visitor leaflets, signage, displays, tours, talks and the Exhibition.
- Secondly its a business whose core task is to generate the funds necessary for the restoration work to happen and the story to be told. Funds raised include admissions, member fees, donations, pro bono contributions, sponsorships, events and tours.
- Thirdly it is a grass-roots, community organisation with a large membership and volunteer work force working in partnership with the staff and trust to help with these key tasks, the restoration of the valley, fundraising and storytelling.

The people:

People are the lifeblood of the sanctuary and its successes would not have been achieved without the support and commitment of staff, members, volunteers, service groups, pro bono and other private, professional and commercial supporters.

- The input of volunteers has been so great that it would be true to say that the sanctuary was built on their backs, from caring for the lifeboat population of weka from Kapiti, carting possum carcasses during the eradication, assisting in the office with banking and mail-outs.
- In the valley volunteers have undertaken, among other things, bird feeding, track maintenance and species work to the extent that the Trust now has a number of self-managing volunteer teams such as those who run the monitoring programme for kaka and hihi.
- Volunteers have also assisted with research activities such as kiwi counting in the summer months and the recent kiwi audit and monitoring programme.
- On the visitor front a team of 130 guides, hosts and skippers spend time during the week and on weekends welcoming, guiding and assisting visitors with their nature experience through tours and talks both formal and impromptu.

- In the course of 2011/12 tourist season all day-time and cruise ship tours were guided by volunteers ensuring that 100% of the income raised was available to the Sanctuary.
- The flow-on affect of this involvement means that volunteers, members and supporters have a strong sense of ownership of the sanctuary and a commitment, not only to ensure it's survival but that all visitors get to enjoy and appreciate it as much as them – a passion that money can't buy and which could well be compromised should WCC persist with it's proposal to impose a CCO structure on the Trust.
- Additional are the people who have visited and had their lives touched by what they have seen, heard or learnt, from the schoolchildren with their parents and teachers to those who've never been in the bush before, people who come for an afternoon stroll, those who don't know who or what we are and are amazed by what they see and conservationists who have come from near and far to see what we've done and be inspired to emulate the Trust's work in their own backyard.

In the words of the Maori proverb,
 Hūtia ti rito o te harakeke
 Kei hea te kōmako e kō?
 Kī mai ki a au?
 He aha te mea nui o te ao?
 Māku e ki atu; He tāngata, He tāngata, He tāngata.

If you were to pluck out the centre of the flax bush, where would the bellbird sing?
 If you were to ask me "What is the most important thing in the world?"
 I would reply, "That it is people, people, people."

Partnership & Option Number Five / an Alternative Model

WCC along with DOC, VUW, GW, and the Tenth's Trust have from the beginning been considered strategic partners of the Trust.

In this capacity WCC has become a major funder, contributing an eighth of the operational funding since 1995, excluding the loan for the Visitor Centre and associated works or a quarter of the funding if the loan is included.

As a strategic partner and major funder I would respectfully ask that WCC in the spirit of that partnership agree to continue to

- Work with KST as an independent organisation.
- Assist with funding in the short term.
- Discuss and negotiate issues and concerns around accountability.

The Eco-City Proposals: I have rejected Options One - Four on the basis that

- All require the Trust to become a Council Controlled Organisation with the imposition of a WCC one-size-fits-all bureaucratic entity which takes no account of the special character of the Trust.
- That as a ratepayer there is a significant cost, in one case greater than that being asked for with no evidence the proposed savings can be realised.
- There is a high risk that such a move would compromise the support KST currently enjoys from volunteers, members, service groups, pro bono and other supporters.

- The differences between Zealandia and proposed partners in options Two, Three and Four, I believe, are greater than the similarities.

Option Four - Eco-City Proposal – I have rejected option four / the eco city proposal for the reasons given above but at the same time I support the idea of bringing together groups with a shared focus to liaise, share information and discuss issues in common but, in my opinion, the list doesn't go far enough given the other conservation and nature destinations in the Wellington area such as Marine Education, the Marine Reserve, Makara Peak cycle park, the city Walkways, Matiu/Somes (DOC) and GW's nature destinations such as Battle Hill, Rimutaka Forest Park and East Harbour Parks to mention just a few.

- I would like to suggest that the WCC take the initiative in establishing a liaison group to undertake this work.
- That such an entity facilitate workshops and seminars on marketing, budgeting, fundraising as a means of achieving the synergies and savings outlined in the Eco-City Report.
- That through such a mechanism Wellington's natural attractions work together toward achieving the WCC Eco-city vision and at the same time improve the operations of each without the need for another layer of bureaucracy.

Summary

- As a conservationist and ratepayer I applaud the work WCC does in co-ordinating and supporting the many local conservation initiatives and am happy to see some of my rates being used to provide financial support for Zealandia.
- I support the proposition that WCC continue to fund Zealandia as an independent organisation with an annual operating grant of \$700,000 for at least the next three years and accept that there needs to be a discussion between the two organisations as to how best to resolve the issue of accountability.
- The three arms of the Trust's work, restoration, business and community involvement require careful juggling to ensure the needs and expectations of each are held in balance. I believe the Trust has not only achieved this balance particularly in relation to its members and volunteers but has developed the skills necessary to maintain it and is therefore best placed to continue its governance.
- I do not support options 1-4 and particularly the imposition of a CCO structure on KST for the reasons given.
- I wholeheartedly support option number five / an alternate model, my reasons being that it provides for a fresh start to the discussion ('a back to the drawing board' approach) and the opportunity for WCC and the Trust to sit down and work out how best to meet the needs of each in a partnership relationship.
- Finally, In the event that a new and different governance model is required I see there being three 'bottom lines' to ensure the integrity of Zealandia, one the Management Plan, two the Restoration Plan and three, a Guardians Group which represents members and volunteers and has the power to both appoint a representative to the governance group and veto any changes to the Management or Restoration plans.

Tabled Information
reference 161/12 P(f)



Federation of Wellington Progressive & Residents Associations Incorporated

President: Jim Candiliotis

nppa@paradise.net.nz

Secretary: Tom Law

lawt@clear.net.nz

**Submission to WCC Long Term Plan 2012 - 2022
on Monday, 28 May 2012**

Financial Perspective

Subsequent to an important FWPRA meeting in July 2012, at which the importance of early engagement with the 2012 - 22 LTCCP was identified, FWPRA sought financial assistance to initiate meetings with the communities covered by member Associations. This proposal was rejected.

A delayed discussion subsequently took place with Bruce Robertson, Deputy Auditor General - Local Government on Monday, 10 October 2011 acting on behalf of Lyn Provost.

This was as a consequence of a formal complaint to the Comptroller and Auditor-general related to:

- 1 Consultation of Draft Annual Plans with WCC being a myth;
- 2 Revenue and Finance policy having little relevance to transparency as required by section 14 of the Local Government Act 2002;
- 3 Property, Plant and Equipment statement that Infrastructural Land is valued on a three year basis without reference to "brown-field valuations"; and
- 4 Explanatory Notes: Reconciliation of equity (g) a deemed cost exception which transferred \$3,169,364,000 to retained earnings (presuming convertibility to cash).

Since that time the ASRB is no longer a virtual entity; it is now the XRB with Kevin Simpkins as Chair.

Discussions have taken place with Hon Peter Dunne as Minister of Revenue, and John Shewan of PWC.

Constant referrals have been made to the Office of the Ombudsmen.

FWPRA has obligations under its Constitution to maintain an overview of any policy implications having a potential effect upon residential ratepayers or their 'community of interests'. The internet has become the usual means of communication with members, who in turn communicate with their

members. Federation does not act on behalf of individual members due to possible misrepresentation where known independence is respected.

Prior to Christmas 2011, as the Treasurer of FWPRA, I attended three meetings of the Centre of Accounting Governance and Taxation Research (CAGTR) of Victoria University. The FWPRA Report to the ARM Subcommittee on 6 December was circulated to all those councillors who were not members of ARMS, with the supporting research documentation since 2002.

Meetings at CAGTR attended since then have been on:


Tuesday, 13 March 2012 - "Towards Global Financial Reporting Comparability" - a public lecture by Professor Stephen Zeff (Professor of Accounting, Rice University, Houston, Texas, U.S.A.) . The International Accounting Standards Committee(1973-2000) set the stage for the International Accounting Standards Board, which is now in the post David Tweedie era. How the major turning points and developments during the past decade brought the ASRB to where it is today, and what are the challenges facing the Board and the cause of global comparability of financial reporting. The commentator at this lecture was John Shewan of PWC

Thursday, 10 May 2012 - "Better Local Government - a view from Australia" - a seminar presented by Professor Graham Sansom (Director of Australian Centre of Excellence for Local Government and the Centre for Local Government at the University of Technology, Sydney, Australia). The commentator was Mike Reid (Principal Adviser at Local Government NZ)

Wednesday, 23 May 2012 - Auditing and Assurance for larger registered charities: changes ahead" - a seminar presented by Geoff Connor, Ministry of Economic Development, Carolyn Cordery, NZ Accounting Standards Board, and Neil Cherry, Chair, NZ Audit and Assurance Standards Board.

A notable attendance at the meetings on 13 march and 10 May was Professor Don Trow. I was fortunate in meeting with him socially on the evening of 10 May and we arranged to meet for coffee subsequently. He was particularly interested to learn of my interest Local Government prudential financial management.

Post Script: David Tweedie (mentioned above) delivered a research lecture at the Michael Fowler Centre on 23 July 1985 as the 75th Anniversary Invitation Research lecture of the NZ Society of Accountants. It was titled: A Crusader or a Prisoner of the past.



TREASURER, FWPRA.

Impacts on Aquatic Codes from a shortage in water space and time

- Limited or no ability to grow / develop aquatic sport and clubs threatens their sustainability. Some codes are already beginning to fail, e.g. water-polo
- Without growth the increase in facility costs continue to be carried by a few making aquatic sport expensive and less attractive
- With WRAC at capacity at critical times, i.e. after school. Children as young as 10 & 11 are having to complete their training and practice as late as 8:00pm in the evening. Flipper Ball is suffering due to less than desirable competition times
- A majority of the aquatic sporting codes could increase services if the space was available, e.g. underwater hockey could provide an additional 400 players a week, capital swimming club has a waiting list of young swimmers wanting to progress from learn to swim.
- Securing time to hold competitions is impacting the ability to promote and develop aquatic sports and is placing additional travel costs on Wellington families who now travel to get competition
- Limited availability for competition and/or ability to attract events to Wellington. Leading to a loss in economic value for Wellington and its local businesses. We are losing out to Auckland.
- Limited ability to meet high performance requirements. This is making it difficult to develop and hold onto our top athletes who are leaving Wellington, mainly for Auckland to get the facilities they need.
- Increased conflict between the aquatic codes and recreational users
- Public and recreational swimmers are negatively impacted when aquatic competitions are being held, e.g. the pool was closed to the public for the six days of competition during the national age group swimming champs. On one hand this event brought 650 plus athletes and supporters to the City over the six days, however, 8000 recreational swimmers were negatively impacted.

Tabled Information
reference 161/12P(9)

Waterpolo Results

Year	School Boys			School Girls			Under 16 Boys			Under 16 Girls		
	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd
1992	Wellington College											
1993	Rongotai College			Westlake Girls High			Wellington			Auckland		
1994	Rongotai College			Westlake Girls High			Auckland A			Auckland A		
1995	Rongotai College			Wellington High School			Wellington A			Wellington A		
1996	Rongotai College			Hamilton Girls High			Marist			Marist		
1997	Wellington College			Wellington Girls College			Marist			Wellington A		
1998	Auckland Grammar School			Epsom Girls Grammar			Marist			Marist		
1999	Rangitoto College			Epsom Girls Grammar			Marist			Marist		
2000	Westlake Boys High School			Epsom Girls Grammar			Marist			Marist		
2001	Westlake Boys High School			Epsom Girls Grammar			Marist			Marist		
2002	Westlake Boys High School			Epsom Girls Grammar			Marist			Marist		
2003	Auckland Grammar School			Avondale College			Marist			Marist		
2004	Sacred Heart College (AKL)			Avondale College			Marist			Marist		
2005	Sacred Heart College (AKL)			Avondale College			Marist			Marist		
2006	Rangitoto College			Avondale College			Marist			Marist		
2007	Rangitoto College			Avondale College			Marist			Marist		
2008	Auckland Grammar School			Avondale College			Marist			Marist		
2009	Westlake Boys High School			Avondale College			Marist			Marist		
2010	Sacred Heart College (AKL)			Avondale College			Marist			Marist		
2011	Westlake Boys High School			Avondale College			Marist			Marist		
2012	Rangitoto College			Avondale College			Marist			Marist		