

STRATEGY AND POLICY COMMITTEE 18 AUGUST 2011

REPORT 1 (1215/52/IM)

BACKGROUND TO ORAL SUBMISSIONS – 142 TIRANGI ROAD, LYALL BAY – VESTING OF RESERVE LAND AS LEGAL ROAD UNDER SECTION 114 OF THE PUBLIC WORKS ACT 1981

1. Purpose of Report

The purpose of this report is to provide the Strategy and Policy Committee (SPC) with background information to oral submissions which are to be made at the SPC meeting scheduled to be held on 18 August 2011.

No decisions will be made on the day. A report on the proposal to vest the reserve land as road, the written objections, and any new issues raised in the oral submissions, will be prepared for a separate meeting of the Committee scheduled to be held one month later.

2. Executive Summary

The SPC meeting held on 7 April, and the full Council meeting held on 21 April 2011, considered the proposal to vest 7m² of reserve land as road. Vesting this land as road was proposed as a right of way easement over it was about to expire.

One of the recommendations passed at those meetings was that if, following public notification, objections were received, that a further report would be presented to Council to decide whether to uphold those objections or not.

Public notification was carried out from 14 June to 15 July 2011. Notices appeared in the DominionPost on 14 June, and the Cook Straight Times on 15 June 2011. Letters were sent to M Weeber and the Lyall Bay Rongotai Kilbirnie residents association, given their historic objections several years earlier when the easement was first proposed. Three written submissions opposing the current proposal were received.

The purpose of the oral hearing is to enable the submitters to inform the Committee of their objection in person, and enable the Committee to ask the submitters questions.

Refer to appendices 1, 2 and 3 for copies of the written submissions from M Weeber, Y Weeber / K Stephens, and S Singh.

3. Recommendations

Officers recommend that the Strategy and Policy Committee:

- 1. Receive the information.
- 2. Thank the submitters for their submissions and advised that the Committee will consider the matter at its meeting on 15 September 2011.

4. Background and 5. Discussion

Refer to appendix 4 for a copy of the committee report dated 7 April 2011 which contains Background and Discussion comments.

6. Conclusion

Once the oral submissions have been heard and any new matters raised have been considered, the Committee will consider a report on the objections to the proposed vesting of reserve land adjoining 142 Tirangi Road as legal road under section 114 of the Public Works Act 1981.

Contact Officers : Paul Davidson, Property Advisor, Property Projects and Mike Oates, Manager Open Space and Recreation Planning

Supporting Information – Given that this report is for briefing purposes for the oral submissions, no Supporting Information has been attached. Refer to appendix 4 for the Supporting Information that was contained in the previous committee report dated 7 April 2011.

Submission from M Weeber dated 12 July 2011

Mrs M B Weeber 186 Coutts Street Rongotai Wellington 6022 12 July 2011 Paul Davidson Property Services Wellington City Council PO Box 2199 Wellington

· .

Submission objecting to the proposal to declare the Council-owned reserve land on Lyall Parade between Tirangi Road and Kingsford Smith Street to be legal road

I strongly object to the proposed declaration of Wellington City Council to vest land¹ held in recreation reserve situated between 142 Tirangi Road, Lyall Bay and Lyall Parade, as legal road under section 114 of the Public Works Act.

History

I have a long term commitment to Lyall Bay and the Rongotai area. I am a past President of the Kilbirnie, Lyall Bay, Rongotai Progressive Association and a resident of Rongotai for just on fifty years. In these roles I advocated to Wellington City Council, on a number of occasions the need beautify the Lyall Bay foreshore and area of land off Lyall Parade that adjoined the Rongotai industrial area. I made a number of requests to the Wellington City Council to have the Lyall Parade land adjoining the Rongotai industrial area land improved and to stop it being used as a dumping area or place to park Vehicles.

¹ An area of 7sq m, currently held in Computer Freehold Register WN 58B/395, legal description Lot 62 DP 21360.

I made a 3 page submission opposing the easement proposal for the Motel to gain access off Lyall Parade. I also made it clear in a letter on the 2 June 2010 that the present recreation reserve status of the land in front of the Motel should not be made into to legal road. This submission is once again objecting to the proposal to vest the recreation reserve into legal road.

Summary of issues

. . .

The main issues I see with this proposal are:

- This proposal perpetuates the developers failure to adequately submit accurate application plans and the WCC to check them.
- The access into the Motel has reduced the qualities of the recreation reserve as it appears that this is their land, is the Motels property, and no longer public land.
- Wellington City Council (WCC) has no long term vision for the Lyall Parade open space between the road and the Rongotai industrial buildings.
- 4. The precedent of vesting recreation reserve land into road reserve along the coast.
- 5. The lack of recognition of Climate Change impacts and considerations of this proposal.
- 6. It is not the size of the recreational strip that is the important, but what it stands for that is important.

Failures of the Motel developer and WCC

The Motel has access from Tirangi Road and I believe owns adjoining properties (or did when the easement was granted). The reason for the access off Lyall Parade has occurred due to the double failure of the developer to adequately submit accurate application plans and WCC to check them back in 1998. This situation was not rectified by declining access off Lyall Bay when the mistakes of both parties were acknowledged. Instead WCC granted a 5 year easement over the recreation reserve. To further the failure on both parties WCC is now proposing to vest a part of the recreation reserve as road reserve.

I do not concur with WCC that a long-term arrangement needs to be agreed to, as this will just perpetuate the failures of the past and continue to reduce the amenity of this area.

Reduce of amenity values of recreation reserve through Motel use

It was very enlightening to have the site visit with Wellington City Council in May 2010. It made me once again aware that the Motel is actually built right up to its boundary with the buildings adjoining the narrow recreation strip and remainder of the land being road reserve. The Motel has transformed the amenity values of dune

2

by Lyall Parade in such a way that it would appear now to be their private property. The dune has been cut back and round boulders have been placed on the recreation reserve and road reserve. This is in addition to the sealed road (with boulders and Motel lighting) that crosses from Lyall Parade, across the footpath and road reserve. All these features make it appear that the Motel owns this land when it is fact public land (either road reserve or recreation reserve). This reduces the public access and amenity in this area.

There is no information in the WCC officers report on the amenity values of the site and how the sealed road, boulders and lighting were approved. The present design and materials of the accessway and boulders in front of the Motel are intrusive and out of character for this area. This access has reduced the amenity values of the area as the dune no longer screens the industrial area and Motel from Lyall Parade. The dune environment has been opened up with views towards the rather ugly concrete tilt slab yellow painted Motel. It also reduces parking on Lyall parade during peak recreation use.

This reserve land though small was created to stop the Rongotai industrial area leaking (both visually and physically) onto Lyall Parade. This access point has created a visual break in the sand dune and opened the industrial land and Motel visually onto Lyall Parade.

Lack of a long term vision for the Open Space of Lyall Parade

It is clear that WCC has no long term vision for Lyall Parade and open space, be it road reserve or recreation reserve that adjoins it. The local residents have advocated over many years for positive beautification of this area with a number of positive proposals put forward in the past. The proposals have included forward thinking concepts such as the use of low impact stormwater solutions and moving the road directly next to the buildings and allowing the road to be transformed into a foredune to provide a proper buffer for sea level rise due to climate change. This type of proposal should be seriously considered due to sea level rise and stormwater issues caused by climate change.

Without a clear vision WCC can only undertake adhoc decisions making in Lyall Bay. The proposal of vesting of recreation reserve to road reserve in front of the Motel can only be seen as adhoc decision making. When this recreation reserve was surveyed off there was a clear vision not to have access from and to Lyall Parade from the industrial area. This would have been done for a number of reasons not least would be WCC trying to reduce the visual impact of a industrial area on Lyall Parade which is now a heavily used recreation area.

Precedent Effect

With no long term vision for this area this proposal to vest land from recreation reserve to road reserve creates a precedent effect for further ad hoc recreation

reserve decisions. No recreation reserve land should be changed to road reserve without an appropriate reason. Two failures by Motel developer and WCC to adequately submit accurate application plans and WCC to check them back in 1998 do not provide an appropriate reason to change of a recreation reserve anywhere in Wellington to road reserve. I can see a number of developers in future using the ploy of inaccurate plans to open up recreation reserve land for their exclusive use in future.

Climate change considerations

Any proposal that relates this closely to the coastal environment of Lyall Bay has climate change considerations that need to be considered in this proposal. At present scientists predict that seas will rise somewhere between 0.18m and 1.2m by the end of the century. The Ministry for the Environment advises local bodies to plan for a rise in sea level of at least 0.8m by the year 2090.

The Wellington City Council September 2009 Kilbirnie Town Centre Plan Working Paper on Assessing the implications of sea level rise on Kilbirine town Centre maps out the resilience of the Kilbirnie and Lyall Bay area to sea level rise. It is clear from this report that with a 1m sea level rise and a storm surge of up to 1 metre in Lyall Bay that there will be serious inundation and likely overtopping of Lyall Bay Parade. The real issue is not only the rise in sea level but that fact that there will be no capacity to move stormwater. Already in heavy southerlies sand builds up in stormwater drains and parts of the Lyall Parade and Tirangi Road face flooding.

The sand dunes in front of the Motel should be seen as a positive benefit as they provide additional height and should not be underestimated in sea level rise and storm surge events in the future. However more importantly Wellington City Council should be considering this area for a total planned development incorporating climate change considerations such as sea level rise.

Size is not the issue

WCC appears to believe that because the size of the area is only 7sq m there are no issues to vesting this piece of recreation reserve to road reserve. Size is not the issue. The recreation reserve strip runs from Kingsford Smith Street to Tairangi Road. The 7sq m section will break the recreation strip into two sections therefore further reducing its value. A total vision for this area is required rather than adhoc decision making that breaks up recreation reserves.

Conclusion

I strongly object to the proposed declaration of Wellington City Council to vest land held in recreation reserve situated between 142 Tirangi Road, Lyall Bay and Lyall Parade, as legal road under section 114 of the Public Works Act. There is no clear reason for this to happen. The WCC Officers report does not adequately consider

the amenity values, climate change and the precedent effect of this proposal. The area needs a long term plan and not ad hoc decision making such as this proposal.

I wish to make an oral submission.

Signed

6

MB Weeber (Betty)

HB Weeker

Submission from Y Weeber and K Stephens dated 14 July 2011

Yvonne Weeber	Katherine Stephens
143 Queens Drive	9 Cockburn St
Lyall Bay	Kilbirnie
Wellington 6022	Wellington 6022

14 July 2011

Submission on the proposal to declare the Council-owned reserve land on Lyall Parade between Tirangi Road and Kingsford Smith Street to be legal road

We wish to object to the proposed declaration of Wellington City Council (WCC) to vest land¹ held in recreation reserve situated between 142 Tirangi Road, Lyall Bay and Lyall Parade, as legal road under section 114 of the Public Works Act.

History

We are long term residents of the Lyall Bay area. We have played an active role in a number of conservation projects and local groups in the area. These include involvement in the Lyall Bay Coast Care and the Te Te Raekaihau Coast Care groups. We have been involved in making a number of submissions on proposed projects in and around Lyall Bay.

The main issues

The main issues we oppose the proposal are:

- 1. This proposal perpetuates the failures by the developer to submit accurate application plans and the WCC to check them.
- The recreation reserve and road reserve adjoining the Motel already appears to be private property and not public land.
- 3. Wellington City Council (WCC) needs a long term vision for the Lyall Parade that recognises the impact of Climate Change and severe storm events.
- 4. The precedent of vesting recreation reserve land into road reserve along the coast.

¹ An area of 7sq m, currently held in Computer Freehold Register WN 58B/395, legal description Lot 62 DP 21360.

Failures of the Motel developer and WCC

The Motel access off Lyall Parade has occurred due to the failure of the developer to submit accurate application plans and WCC to check them back in 1998. WCC has decided to compound this failure by granting a 5 year easement over the recreation reserve. Prior to construction WCC should have stopped the development and asked the developer to submit alternative plans that did not involve access off Lyall Parade. At the time this was possible as the adjoining land was owned by the Motel developer.

WCC proposal now to vest a part of the recreation reserve as road reserve just further compounds a failure of decision making processes in favour of the Motel. If a long term solution needs to be found it would be better to provide the Motel with a easement over the recreation reserve for a limited duration. This would mean the recreation reserve strip would stay in place and a long term planning solution for this area could be developed.

Reduce of amenity values of recreation reserve through Motel use

The Motel is built right up to its boundary of the narrow recreation reserve strip. The Motel has converted this recreation reserve and the adjoining road reserve to look like private land. This visual privatisation is reinforced by the paved access road off Lyall Parade and the use of on ground lighting on either side of the driveway.

The amenity values of the dune land between Lyall Parade and the Motel are reduced. The untamed character of the dune is cut into allowing unrestricted views onto a mustard coloured concrete tilt slab Motel. The public amenity of the land is substantially reduced due to the Motel landscaping of the recreation reserve. All these features make it appear that the Motel owns this land when it is public land (either road reserve or recreation reserve). This reduces the public access and amenity in this area.

There is no information in the WCC officers report on the amenity values of the site and how the sealed road, boulders and lighting were approved. The present design and materials of the accessway and boulders in front of the Motel are intrusive and out of character for this area. The access has reduced the amenity values of the area as the dune no longer visually screens the industrial area and Motel from Lyall Parade. In addition the access off Lyall Parade reduces the amount of parking for recreation users of Lyall Bay.

This reserve land though small was created to stop the Rongotai industrial area visually and physically intruding onto Lyall Parade. The Motel access point has created a visual break in the sand dune and visually and physically opened the industrial land onto Lyall Parade.

Lack of a long term planning for the Open Space of Lyall Parade that takes into consideration climate change

WCC needs to undertake long term planning for Lyall Parade and the adjoining open space, be it road reserve or recreation reserve. The local residents have advocated over many years for positive improvements to this environment. Lyall Parade has a very high recreation use and needs to be designed and maintained in an appropriate manner.

Consideration should include low impact stormwater solutions and moving the road directly next to the buildings to allow the existing road to be transformed into a foredune. This would form a proper buffer for sea level rise due to climate change. This type of proposal should be seriously considered due to sea level rise and stormwater issues caused by climate change.

Without a clear plan WCC can only undertake unplanned decisions making in Lyall Bay. The proposal of vesting of recreation reserve to road reserve in front of the Motel can only be seen as unplanned decision making.

When this recreation reserve was planned there was a clear agenda not to have access from and to Lyall Parade from the industrial area. This would have been done for a number of reasons not least would be WCC trying to reduce the visual impact of a industrial area on Lyall Parade, now a heavily used recreation area.

Any proposal that relates this closely to the coastal environment of Lyall Bay has to consider climate change. The Ministry for the Environment advises local bodies to plan for a rise in sea level of at least 0.8m by the year 2090. And scientists are predicting seas will rise somewhere between 0.18m and 1.2m by the end of the century.

The Wellington City Council September 2009 Kilbirnie Town Centre Plan Working Paper on *Assessing the implications of sea level rise on Kilbirine town Centre* maps out the resilience of the Kilbirnie and Lyall Bay area to sea level rise. From this report a 1m sea level rise and a storm surge of up to 1 metre in Lyall Bay will cause serious inundation and likely over topping of Lyall Bay Parade. In addition there will be limited capacity to move stormwater. Already in heavy southerlies sand builds up in stormwater drains and parts of the Lyall Parade and Tirangi Road face flooding. This corner site of the road and recreation reserve could be used for future stormwater ponding/collection in a totally planned public space area.

The sand dunes in front of the Motel should be seen as a positive benefit as they provide additional height and should not be underestimated in sea level rise and storm surge events in the future. Wellington City Council should be considering this area for a total planned development incorporating climate change considerations such as sea level rise.

Precedent Effect

No recreation reserve land should be changed to road reserve without an appropriate reason. With no long term planning for this area this proposal to vest land from recreation reserve to road reserve creates a precedent effect for further unplanned changes to the recreation reserve. Two failures by Motel developer and WCC to adequately submit accurate application plans and WCC to check them back in 1998 do not provide an appropriate reason to change of a recreation reserve anywhere in Wellington to road reserve. We are concerned that WCC could remove all the recreation reserve land in front of the industrial land on Lyall Parade.

Conclusion

à.

We object to the proposed declaration of Wellington City Council to vest land held in recreation reserve situated between 142 Tirangi Road, Lyall Bay and Lyall Parade, as legal road under section 114 of the Public Works Act. The WCC Officers report does not provide adequate reason to change the status of the land from recreation reserve to road reserve. Long term planning of this area is required before any change is made to this or any other land in the area.

We wish to make an oral submission.

thely .

Yvonne Weeber

Katherine Stephens 14-7.2011

Submission from S Singh dated 17 July 2011

142 TIRANGI ROAD, LYALL BAY – VESTING OF RESERVE LAND AS LEGAL ROAD UNDER SECTION 114 OF THE PUBLIC WORKS ACT 1981

Submission

I am writing to express my opposition to Council's proposal to vest 7m² of recreation reserve situated between 142 Tirangi Road, Lyall Bay, and Lyall Parade, as legal road undersection 114 of the Public Works Act 1981 (PWA).

The proposal takes a short term view of both the present and future needs and values inherent in this reserve – a piece of public land that council is mean to protect and administer in its entirety.

1. The land in question is a recreation reserve and should not be altered or fragmented but protected in its entirety as originally conceived.

It forms a single discrete unit that has the function of being a barrier between the industrial and residential area and Lyall bay. Any alteration of its recreation reserve status should be for reasons applying to the whole reserve for reasons appropriate for such a change. Fragmentation to any degree appears to disregard this original purpose.

2. The reserve has acquired further significance in recent times due to developments in the context of climate change. The rise in sea levels has begun even though it is slight at the moment. However the fact this will increase, and at a rate that we cannot predict or be complacent about, means that the reserve land is vital to hold on to in order to shift the road and beach line as needed in the future.

3. The Coastal Policy Statement directs that the local consequences of climate change effects on coastlines be taken into consideration, ie a long view be taken when making decisions in this context.

4. The reserve has additional merit on ecological grounds as being the last remaining g strip of back dune area on this part of the south coast that has the potential to be rehabilitated. Coastal areas are the most altered part of our landscapes. All existing reserves need additional protection, not less. Council has downplayed the potential significance of this area and ignores its potential contribution to our bio-diversity.

5. Council appears to have no long term plan for this area yet it is demanded by several pressing issues impacting on this unique spot in Wellington and on our south coast:

a. the increasing industrialisation of this area as evident by the presence of a new and growing shopping complex;

b. airport development that is encroaching further into the landscape

 \bigcirc

around it: the lengthening of the runaway, new buildings/hangars being currently built and planned.

c. climate change impacts currently seen in increased storm surges onto beaches, gradual rising of sea levels. Added to this are the long term certain increases in sea levels threatening the use of the coastal areas closes to the beach.

6. The change sought to this portion of the reserve appears to grant a privilege to a private owner at the expense to the community and landscape. It is inappropriate. Is it appropriate for a motel to impact on public land in this manner given the number of issues relevant here?

I would ask that this proposal be refused and a long term plan be proposed instead of this reserve with the above points in mind.

Sunita Singh 142 Sutherland Rd Melrose Wellington.

7 April 2011 Committee Report



STRATEGY AND POLICY COMMITTEE 7 APRIL 2011

> REPORT 7 (1215/52/IM)

142 TIRANGI ROAD, LYALL BAY – VESTING OF RESERVE LAND AS LEGAL ROAD UNDER SECTION 114 OF THE PUBLIC WORKS ACT 1981

1. Purpose of Report

This report seeks Council approval to vest $7m^2$ of recreation reserve situated between 142 Tirangi Road, Lyall Bay, and Lyall Parade, as legal road under section 114 of the Public Works Act 1981 (PWA).

Refer to appendix 1 which shows the $7\mathrm{m}^2$ area of reserve land shown coloured yellow.

2. Executive Summary

Building consent was granted by the Council in 1998 for the construction of a new motel on Lyall Parade, Lyall Bay. The consent failed to indicate that authorisation was needed to cross a small area of Council owned recreation reserve.

The recreation reserve is a long thin strip of land situated between the Airport Motel and Lyall Parade and comprises the northern edge of the sand dunes which form a 20 metre wide barrier between buildings and Lyall Parade at this point. Most of the dunes are on 'unformed legal road',

To formalise the situation the Council proposed to grant a right of way easement over the reserve land. Public consultation took place in April 2004. Two objections were received. The Council did not uphold either objection, and in June 2004 passed a resolution to grant the easement in perpetuity, and applied to the Department of Conservation (DOC) for approval. However DOC only approved a five year easement which expires on 18 June 2011.

A permanent long term arrangement needs to be agreed regarding the motel's access.

Given the minimal area of reserve land that the easement is over, it is proposed that rather than granting a new perpetual easement, that the $7m^2$ of reserve land be vested as legal road. The parties who previously objected to the granting of the easement will be given an opportunity to comment on this proposal as public consultation will be undertaken.

3. Recommendations

Officers recommend that the Committee:

- 1. Receive the information.
- 2. Recommend that Council :
 - (a) Agree to the vesting of 7m² of recreation reserve land, as shown in Appendix One, between 142 Tirangi Road and Lyall Parade as Legal Road pursuant to section 114 Public Works Act 1981.
 - (b) Delegate to the Chief Executive the authority to conclude the vesting of the 7m² of recreation reserve between 142 Tirangi Road and Lyall Parade, as shown in Appendix 1, as Legal Road.
- 3. Note that the proposed vesting of the 7m² of Reserve land as Legal Road is conditional on :
 - (a) Public notification of the intention to vest the land as Legal Road in accordance with section 114 of the Public Works Act 1981, and no objections being sustained; and
 - (b) The consent of the Minister of Conservation being obtained in accordance with section 114 of the Public Works Act 1981; and
 - (c) That if objections are received a further report will be presented to Council to decide whether to uphold them or not.

4. Background

A building consent application was received by the Council in 1998 to build a motel on the corner of Tirangi Road, and Lyall Parade, Lyall Bay. The building consent was granted, but it failed to identify the small area of recreation reserve land that is situated between the motel and Lyall Parade. The Council as landowner was required to give approval to cross over that.

The recreation reserve and the unformed legal road in this area are mostly covered in sand dunes.

Objections received from local residents when the motel's driveway was first being formed through the sand dunes, resulted in officers recommending an easement be granted to the motel owner across the $7m^2$ of reserve land.

This matter was considered by the Council in March 2004. It was agreed, subject to the outcome of public notification to grant an easement, and subsequent approval by DOC.

Public notification took place in April 2004 proposing an easement of no fixed term. Written objections were received from Kilbirnie Lyall Bay Rongotai

Progressive Association and Mrs Betty Weeber. The matter was further considered by the District Plan and Reserves Management Subcommittee in June 2004, with Mrs Weeber making an oral submission in support of her objection, but not raising any further issues. The objections were not upheld and the resolution to grant the easement was passed.

An application was made to DOC to grant the easement. DOC did not uphold the objections, but only approved the easement for five years in consideration of the Lyall Bay Integration Plan (LBIP). Both objectors were notified that a five year easement was granted, and that it was expected that the LBIP once finalised would provide a long term solution. The easement was granted, but was not registered until 2006, hence it has an expiry date of 18 June 2011.

When the LBIP did not proceed officers contacted DOC to discuss the possibility of making the easement perpetual. DOC were not opposed to this proposal in principal but as a perpetual easement would be substantially different to a five year easement, they wanted further consultation with the two objectors before it would give their final approval.

There are no plans to proceed with the LBIP and officers believe that the best way to resolve the situation is rather than pusuing a perpetual easement, to vest the $7m^2$ of reserve land as Legal Road. The remaining recreation reserve land on the motel's boundary would remain to prevent further access over the dunes.

The two objectors have been consulted about the new proposal. Their responses are outlined in Discussion 5(1).

5. Discussion

The section of recreation reserve between Tirangi Road and Kingsford Smith Street was established as an historic planning tool to prevent access from Lyall Parade. The whole area including the road reserves is a backdune formed naturally over time dominated by marram grass. It is of limited ecological value due to separation by Lyall Parade from the foredunes. Its main values are landscape and amenity providing a backdrop to Lyall Parade. Due to the narrowness of the recreation reserve it has low if any use for recreational purposes.

It is unlikely that vesting the small area of land will impact negatively on any reserve users.

5.1 Consultation and Engagement

Officers met on site in April 2010 with the two parties who had objected to the original easement, Mrs Weeber and a representative of Kilbirnie Lyall Bay Rongotai Progressive Association.

At the meeting officers marked out the exact area of the easement. This was to ensure that all parties understood what was reserve land, and what was road reserve.

Appendix 2 is a photo of the area showing the reserve land that the easement is over in relation to the motel's driveway.

Following the meeting Mrs Weeber wrote to Council and confirmed her previous objection against any easement, or reserve revocation, and the representative for Kilbirnie Lyall Bay Rongotai Progressive Association, emailed confirming that their association supported a temporary extension of the easement, i.e. for another five years.

Appendix 3 contains a copy of Mrs Weeber's letter of 2 June 2010, and copy of her original objection made in 2004.

Appendix 4 contains a copy of the 19 May 2010 email from Kilbirnie Lyall Bay Rongotai Progressive Association, and their email of 24 May 2004 which lists the grounds for their original objection.

DOC have been contacted, and the proposal to vest the $7m^2$ of land as legal road presented to them. Their response is that while the view of the delegate of the Minister of Conservation had not been sought, it was thought that the proposal would be seen as having merit when application was made.

5.2 Financial Considerations

Costs related to the proposed vesting as legal road would be shared by the motel owner and the Council. Given the circumstances, the share that the motel will be responsible for is proposed to be capped at \$1,500 excl GST.

The costs incurred by the Council would come out of Parks and Gardens budget.

No further survey costs are expected as the $7m^2$ of land was defined when the original easement was created.

5.3 Climate Change Impacts and Considerations

There are no significant climate change impacts or considerations to be considered.

5.4 Long-Term Council Community Plan Considerations

There are no significant Long-Term Council Community Plan considerations.

5.5 Next Steps

Should the proposal to vest the $7m^2$ of land as legal road under section 114 of the PWA be approved, then the next steps would be –

- Consult with Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira Inc (Port Nicholson Block Settlement Trust have already indicated support for the proposal)
- Publicly notify the proposal

- If objections are received, a further report to Council will be presented along with hearings (if required) to decide whether they should be upheld or not¹
- If objections are not upheld the Council will require final approval from the Minister of Conservation.
- Apply to Land Information New Zealand to vest the land as legal road.

6. Conclusion

It is recommended that the Strategy and Policy Committee recommend to Council that the $7m^2$ of recreation reserve land situated between 142 Tirangi Road, Lyall Bay, and Lyall Parade, be vested as legal road under section 114 PWA, to provide a long term solution to the motel's access.

Contact Officers : Paul Davidson, Property Advisor, Property Projects and Mike Oates, Manager Open Space and Rec Planning

¹ If further objections are received, and upheld by Council, alternative action to deal with the motels access situation would need to be explored.

Supporting Information

1)Strategic Fit / Strategic Outcome

The proposal is not recommending that a public asset be sold, and it will remain in public ownership if the recommendations contained in this report are approved.

2) LTCCP/Annual Plan reference and long term financial impact The proposal does not result in any LTCCP or long term financial impact.

3) Treaty of Waitangi considerations

Lyall Bay is noted as being of medium significance in the heritage listing of the District Plan.

4) Decision-Making

The report reflects the views and preferences of those with an interest in this matter who have been consulted with.

5) Consultation

a)General Consultation

All consultation required to date has been carried out as described in the report.

b) Consultation with Maori

The Port Nicholson Block Settlement Trust have been consulted. They support the proposal outlined in this report.

Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira Inc have yet to be consulted.

6) Legal Implications

The Council's lawyers have been consulted during the development of this report.

7) Consistency with existing policy

This report relates to a matter which is a one off issue hence not part of any policy.

Appendix 1



Appendix 2



Appendix 3

Mrs M.B. Weeher 186 Coutts Street Rongotai Wellington 6022 2 June 2010

RECEIVED operty Servi 0 3 JUN 2010 WCC

Re: Lyall Parade Recreation Reserve Easement

Dear Paul Davidson, Thank you for organising the site visit, and correspondence on the issue of the easement over the Recreation Reserve on Lyall Parade. I believe that there should be no long term easement for the passage of motor vehicles over this recreation reserve to gain access into the Motel. And there definitley should not be any removal of the recreation reserves status of this land. My previous objection to the present easement still stands. This letter should be read in conjunction with my remember objection (attached). previous objection (attached).

The small shape and size of the easement land (as discussed in your letter of the 30 April 2010) is not the issue. Reserve strips of this nature are normally small and narrow. This recreation reserve area though small in area makes a line or positive break between the industrial area and recreational areas of Lyall Parade and the beach area. The creation of the vehicle easement to the motels has made it impossible for the council and local community to undertake any amenity improvements of this area

Its sets a precedent of other future easements being granted along the Lyall Parade reserve strips through to adjoining properties.

The landscape treatment of the vehicular easement is not in keeping with the surrounding area. It appears larger and wider than just one lane of traffic. Cars park on the side of the paved easement strip at night. Native plants have not been used in the planting and the rounded river boulders are not in keeping with the natural coastal landscape of the area. The Motel owners are not removing rubbish or maintaining it in a satisfactory manner.

There have been a numbers of errors of judgement in the past by the council and the motel owners regarding this easement and building. I do not wish the council to approve of any proposal to extend the life of this easement or remove the recreation reserve status.

Yours sincerely

mB Vector

Mrs M. B. Weeber

CC: Stephen Moore, Leonie Gill, Ray Ahipene-Mercer, Rob Goulden.

SUBMISSION ON:

1,2

The easement to the owners of 138 Tirangi Road, Lyali Bay across the recreation reserve off Lyali Bay Parade (described as lot 62 DP 21360, Certificate of Title WN58B/395)

This submission is in objection to the casement and any subsequent easements of this type on this title.

Introduction

The Reserves Act 1977 has three main functions. These are:

- To provide for the preservation and management, for the benefit and enjoyment of the public, areas of New Zealand with some special feature or value. The reserves around
- the road reserve and foreshore of Lyall Bay are special areas if not rather neglected.
 To ensure the preservation of landscapes and other features both rare and commonplace. This recreation reserve area though small in area makes a line or positive break between what is a rather unattractive industrial area (now called the Rongotai suburban centre) and Lyall Parade and the beach area. The creation of this reserve is clear, to stop the industrial area leaking into the Lyall Bay and the Parade
- area both physically and visually.
 To ensure as far as practicable, the preservation of access for the public to coastline and to encourage the protection and preservation of the natural character of these areas. Though this is a sadly neglected area of recreation reserve it should be able to help define the natural character of Lyall Bay and the surrounding coastal environment.

I have been asking for years for these areas of Lyall Parade to be used in a more positive way for picnicing and beach recreational uses.

Reserves Act 1977

This easement is being sort on a recreation reserve. Having read section 17 of the Reserves Act 1977 I believe that this reserve meets this classification as its purpose is for the recreation and physical welfare and enjoyment of the public and the protection of the natural environment. The emphasis is on the retention of open spaces. While allowing free public access it has also allowed misuse of this area and the surrounding road reserve as a dumping area. This reserve has never been administered appropriately to allow for the reserve meet section 17 (2) e "Those qualities of the reserve which contribute to the pleasantness, harmony and cohesion of the natural environment and to the better use and enjoyment of the reserve shall be conserved"

I believe that this easement will further reduce the qualities of the reserve in question and further decrease the pleasantness, harmony and cohesion of these small strips of land. This easement will break up the recreation reserve and road reserve, damage the environment and make the area more hazardous for pedestrians and users of the reserve and surrounding area.

Past history of this Lyall Parade reserves

I have written numerous letters in relation to this area and in particular to this site and accessway. I attach the letters relevant to this to this submission. However I have sent the council other numerous letters and submissions which have included my concerns and proposal for upgrading these small but important and vital reserves of Lyall Bay for the past thirty years.

Past history of this easement and development site

In my opinion the council has made an error in judgement by granting the vehicle access permit over the recreation reserve in 1999. This vehicle access approval from Lyall Parade in my opinion is illegal due to the Recreation Reserve that it must cross. Even though this is only Approximately 7 square metes Wellington City Council should have been aware of this and not granted this vehicle access permit.

A further error of council judgement was made in the granting of a building consent using the illegal (in my opinion) vehicle access from Lyall Parade, when legal vehicle access could have been designed and constructed off Tirangi Road. The council should have double checked all dealings with this developer on this site when it queried the building consent in regard to compliance to the District Plan as the development initially appeared residential in nature. It is clear to me that this developer is unclear of due process, district plans and statutes such as the reserves act and the council should have made the requirements of these acts and statutes apparent to him right from the initial vehicle access permit.

In 2003 Wellington City Council came and talked to the progressive association as these errors in council judgement in granting vehicle access and building consent with access off Lyall Parade had not been recognised until the buildings was nearly completed. The Council and the developer made a case of urgency so that the motels could open as soon possible. However at this time only a verbal recognition of the proposal for vehicle access off Lyall Parade was made and no formal approval could be granted due to the reserves act. What was suggested was this land of 7 square metres be added to adjoining reserves and the whole area between Tirangi Road and Kingsford Smith Street as well as the other two pieces of reserve be 'landscaped' with appropriate planting and other facilities such as seating, picnic tables, paving and even the possibility of a play area. Nothing in this area seems to have been done to this area or the Motels. The road reserve and area directly around the Motels still remains in an appalling state.

The developer has got vehicle access off Tirangi Road and also says in public meetings he owns the adjoining land. This development should be accessed off Tirangi Road rather than gaining an easement over a recreation reserve off Lyall Parade. The developer has had enough time over the last years to rectify this access issue in his own land off Tirangi Road and should not have access off Lyall Parade.

Precedent of this easement on similar Recreation Reserve lots in this area

The provision of this easement will form a precedent for similar such easements to appear along this and similar recreation reserve strips along Lyall Parade. This should not happen due to all lots within the Rongotai suburban centre having alternative access off either their own private road of Cochrane Street and the Public Roads of Tirangi Road or Kingsford Street.

I also note that the shuttle taxis and trailers are now being parked up on Road and Recreation Reserve on the corner of Kingsford Smith Street and Lyall Parade where there is no vehicle crossing. This is making a poorly maintained road and recreation reserve look worse, breaking up the kerb area and forming deep ruts in the once vegetative area. The council should be acting on this illegal parking with parking infringement notices.

Summary

This easement is due errors in judgement in my opinion by both the developer and the council.

This submission is in objection to this easement and I wish to be heard

Betty Weeber

Appendix 4

APPENDIX 4

Paul Davidson

 From:
 MOORE STEPHEN, MR [D1023319@nzdf.mil.nz]

 Sent:
 Wednesday, 19 May 2010 4:10 p.m.

 To:
 Paul Davidson

 Subject:
 RE: Unclassified: Airport Motel

Hi.

As the last extension was Five years - we thought that was reasonable as it would ties into WCC planning cycles for the area.

Cheers

From: Paul Davidson [mailto:Paul.Davidson@wcc.govt.nz] Sent: Wednesday, 19 May 2010 3:07 p.m. To: MOORE STEPHEN, MR Subject: RE: Unclassified: Airport Motel

Hi Stephen, Thank you for your comments.

Could you clarify what length of timeframe you are referring to, when you say 'temporary'?

I look forward to your further response.

Regards

Paul Davidson Property Advisor - Property Projects Property Services, Wellington City Council

101 Wakefield St PO Box 2199, Wellington

M 021 227-8139 P (04) 803-8139 F (04) 801-3002

http:/wellington.govt.nz

From: MOORE STEPHEN, MR [mailto:D1023319@nzdf.mil.nz] Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2010 1:21 PM To: Paul Davidson Subject: Unclassified: Airport Motel

Hi,

For your information at our Residents Meeting, the majority of attendee's support and "temporary" extension of the crossing.

Ideally we would like this area beautified with tree plantings etc..

Regards Stephen Moore

The information contained in this Internet Email message is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged information, but not necessarily the official views or opinions of the New Zealand Defence Force. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or

distribute this message or the information in it. If you have received this message in error, please Email or telephone the sender immediately.

From:Stephen MooreTo:Hilary.Harrington@wcc.govt.nzDate:Monday, 24 May 2004 1:38:51 p.m.Subject:Submission re Lyall Motel Bay Motal

To: Hilary Harrington, Open Space Planner WCC Development, P O Box 2199 Wellington.

The Kilbirnie Lyall Bay Rongotai Progressive Association Inc. maintains the same views as it held and expressed in an email post-consultation submission dated 4 July 2003, to the then Council Officer Stephen Lamb.

I re-iterate the view as follows:

The Kilbirnie Lyall Bay Rongotai Progressive Association Inc. opposes the proposal to legitimise the accessway to the motel units (through the reserve strip) being allowed because Council have not included any of the conditions that we demanded in that submission.

Our conditions are as follows:

 That the access be onto Tirangi Road rather than onto Lyall Bay Parade Itself (as per the discussion with Stephen Lamb at the meeting).
 That an appropriate traffic safety audit be carried out for the surrounding roads.

3. That in future, the Association wishes to see a more totally integrated approach to ongoing development and enhancement of Lyall Bay as a whole (perhaps combined with the Lyall Bay/Rongotai Commercial Centre Enhancement Programme), rather than ad hoc developments with no coordination overall.

4. That further dialogue and consultation (and if need be, negotiation) take place between the Association and Council (before Council applies for the resource consent) and if agreement on our conditions are not reached at that stage, the Association reserves the right to object to the proposal.

N.B.: As regards, part 4 above, Stephen Rainbow did telephone our former president Peter Benson more recently (about a week before gazetting the application for a resource consent) - left a voicemail message. Peter Benson phoned back leaving a voice-mail message, but there was no further communication.

Since submitting the original submission, we realise that there may be future plans afoot for the possible openning of direct access to Lyall Bay parade for buildings fronted by the existing road reserve and therefore no decision should be made regarding this application until these other overarching decisions for Lyall Parade development have been made.

Because Council appears to have not listened to any of our argument, we are therefore at this stage opposing this application.

1100 -0 Regards,

Stephen Moore (President)

PS Hard copy to be delivered to Council receiption by COB