Appendix C: Charles Plimmer Bequest Assessment Criteria

| | Notes | |
|--|-------|-------------|
| STRATEGY & | | |
| LTCCP | | |
| TOTAL SCORE: | | 30% of |
| | /2 | final score |
| Count number of yes's from the criteria listed in this Strategy & LTCCP section No alignment (all no) | Score | |
| Limited alignment (1-3 yes) | 1 | |
| Good alignment (4-7 yes) | 2 | |
| Criteria | | |
| Continue to enhance opportunities for people to take part in sport & other physical activity | No | Yes |
| Continue to focus effort on making the transport network more efficient & to manage demand, in particular by encouraging walking & cycling, & by supporting the city's bus network as a sustainable, affordable way for people to move around the city | No | Yes |
| Develop a strategic framework for the city's parks, so we can continue to affordably provide Wellingtonians with access to opportunities for outdoor recreation & relaxation | No | Yes |
| Continue to support Wellington's economy in areas where we can gain a real competitive advantage, such as tourism, creative industries, & education | No | Yes |
| Continue to provide a high quality urban environment that attracts residents & visitors | No | Yes |
| Maintain the city's reputation as a vibrant, creative & eventful place | No | Yes |
| The project is compatible with Capital Spaces & the relevant reserves management plan | No | Yes |

| COMPLIANCE | Notes | | |
|---|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| COMPLIANCE | | | |
| TOTAL SCORE: | | | 15% of |
| Criteria | /4 Score | | final score |
| Criteria | not | adequate | high fit |
| The project is compatible with the District Plan | compatible | fit | mgn m |
| zoning | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| the project is compatible with any heritage & | | | |
| cultural values identified through the District Plan | not | adequate | |
| or Historic Places Trust listings | compatible | fit | high fit |
| _ | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | Notes | 1 | ۵ |
| RISK | Notes | | |
| IVISIX | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| TOTAL SCORE: | /6 | | 15% of final score |
| Criteria | Score | | illiai score |
| | Score | | |
| Project has long term operating costs (per year) | >100K | 50-100K | <50K |
| | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | | | Not |
| Project is contingent on other Council or external | Yes | Partly | contingent |
| funds | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | | adequate | |
| Project aligns with other infrastructural projects in | | alignme | high |
| the area | not aligned | nt | alignment |
| | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| A COFFORDIL ITAL O | Notes | | |
| ACCESSIBILITY & | | | |
| DEMAND | | | |
| | | | |
| TOTAL SCORE: | | | 20% of |
| | /8 | | final score |
| Criteria | Score | . 1 | |
| The project will be accessible to a wide range of | | wide | wide rence |
| The project will be accessible to a wide range of users for a range of activities | limited | range of uses but | wide range of uses & |
| and a mange of delivation | group of | limited | wide range |
| | people or | range of | of |
| | small area | activities | activities |
| | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| The project will be high profile | love was Cl. | medium | high |
| | low profile | profile | profile |
| | 0 | 1 | 2 high |
| There is identified demand for the project | no demand | some demand | high demand |
| | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | U | 1 | ۵ |
| | l | | |

low

0

high

medium

1

The project will result in tangible community benefits, for example increasing opportunities for activities such as walking, cycling, education

INNOVATION & DESIGN

Notes

| TOTAL SCORE: | | | 20% of |
|---|-------|------------|-------------|
| | /6 | | final score |
| Criteria | Score | | |
| | | limited | highly |
| Innovative project that is new to the city or local | no | innovation | innovative |
| area | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | no | | VOC |
| Uses sustainable & low energy design principles | no | | yes |
| | 0 | | 2 |
| Innovative design/use of site(s) | low | medium | high |
| • | 0 | 1 | 9 |