
ORDINARY MEETING

OF

COMMUNITY, SPORT AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

AGENDA

Time: 9.15am
Date: Thursday, 8 September 2016
Venue: Committee Room 1
Ground Floor, Council Offices
101 Wakefield Street
Wellington

MEMBERSHIP

Mayor Wade-Brown
Councillor Ahipene-Mercer
Councillor Coughlan
Councillor Eagle (Chair)
Councillor Foster
Councillor Free
Councillor Lee
Councillor Lester
Councillor Marsh
Councillor Pannett
Councillor Peck
Councillor Ritchie
Councillor Sparrow
Councillor Woolf
Councillor Young

Have your say!

You can make a short presentation to the Councillors at this meeting. Please let us know by noon the working day before the meeting. You can do this either by phoning 803-8334, emailing public.participation@wcc.govt.nz or writing to Democratic Services, Wellington City Council, PO Box 2199, Wellington, giving your name, phone number and the issue you would like to talk about.

AREA OF FOCUS

The focus of the Community, Sport and Recreation Committee is to build strong, safe, healthy communities for a better quality of life. It will be responsible for social infrastructure (including social housing), social cohesion, encourage healthy lifestyles, support local community events, protect public safety, and provide a wide range of recreation and sporting facilities for residents and visitors to use and enjoy.

Quorum: 8 members

TABLE OF CONTENTS
8 SEPTEMBER 2016

Business	Page No.
1. Meeting Conduct	5
1.1 Apologies	5
1.2 Conflict of Interest Declarations	5
1.3 Confirmation of Minutes	5
1.4 Public Participation	5
1.5 Items not on the Agenda	5
2. General Business	7
2.1 Te Mahana Strategy Update	7
2.2 Update on Begging	9
2.3 Social and Recreation Fund and Sportsville Partnership Feasibility Fund- August 2016	19
3. Public Excluded	31
3.1 Arlington Site 1 Update	31

1 Meeting Conduct

1.1 Apologies

The Chairperson invites notice from members of apologies, including apologies for lateness and early departure from the meeting, where leave of absence has not previously been granted.

1.2 Conflict of Interest Declarations

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

1.3 Confirmation of Minutes

The minutes of the meeting held on 10 August 2016 will be put to the Community, Sport and Recreation Committee for confirmation.

1.4 Public Participation

A maximum of 60 minutes is set aside for public participation at the commencement of any meeting of the Council or committee that is open to the public. Under Standing Order 3.23.3 a written, oral or electronic application to address the meeting setting forth the subject, is required to be lodged with the Chief Executive by 12.00 noon of the working day prior to the meeting concerned, and subsequently approved by the Chairperson.

1.5 Items not on the Agenda

The Chairperson will give notice of items not on the agenda as follows:

Matters Requiring Urgent Attention as Determined by Resolution of the Community, Sport and Recreation Committee.

1. The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and
2. The reason why discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

Minor Matters relating to the General Business of the Community, Sport and Recreation Committee.

No resolution, decision, or recommendation may be made in respect of the item except to refer it to a subsequent meeting of the Community, Sport and Recreation Committee for further discussion.

2. General Business

TE MAHANA STRATEGY UPDATE

Purpose

1. The Committee will receive an oral briefing about progress on the Te Mahana Strategy.

Summary

2. This report is for information only and no decision making is required.

Recommendation

That the Community, Sport and Recreation Committee:

1. Receive the information.

Background

3. Council endorsed the community driven Te Mahana Strategy in April 2014. The strategy subsequently informed Council's approach and partnership activity on homelessness.
4. The Te Mahana Strategy now has renewed momentum and it is timely for the Committee to receive an oral update.

Discussion

5. There will be an oral briefing covering progress on the Te Mahana Strategy. It will include background information on Te Mahana and cover implementation to date, current plans and future challenges.

Attachments

Nil

Author	Simon Tendeter, Team Leader, Community & City Partnership
Authoriser	Jane Hill, Manager, Community Networks Greg Orchard, Chief Operating Officer

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Engagement and Consultation

There are no engagement matters arising from the oral briefing.

Treaty of Waitangi considerations

Although there are no direct Treaty of Waitangi considerations arising from the oral briefing, the Te Mahana strategy continues to be underpinned by a dynamic combination of international best practice and culturally specific steps for ending homelessness.

Financial implications

There are no financial implications arising from the oral briefing.

Policy and legislative implications

There are no policy or legislative implications arising from the oral briefing.

Risks / legal

There are no risk/legal matters arising from the oral briefing.

Climate Change impact and considerations

There are no climate change considerations arising from the oral briefing.

Communications Plan

There are no communications matters arising from the oral briefing.

Health and Safety Impact considered

There are no health and safety impact considerations for the oral briefing.

UPDATE ON BEGGING

Purpose

1. This report informs Council of the activities which have been carried out and which are planned, to implement the resolutions on begging in Wellington agreed on 13th April 2016 by the Community, Sport and Recreation (CSR) Committee.
2. This report is for information only and no decision making is required.

Summary

3. In April, CSR Committee agreed that begging is a complex and multi-dimensional national issue and it is the aim of Wellington City Council to end begging in Wellington. It was agreed not to introduce a bylaw that banned begging. The April resolutions now form the basis of advocacy, communications and actions on begging carried out by Council.
4. Council is now taking a strong leadership role on street begging. This has resulted nationally in an emerging local government consensus on the issue with other councils joining Wellington in recognising the need for a nationally aligned approach.
5. Alongside its advocacy role, Council is working locally with key agencies on street management and other local initiatives to help manage the impact of begging. This has resulted in a new referral framework, better communications, improved use of data and the development of multi-agency staff training. Council is also developing options for purposeful activities with the 'street community'.
6. Progress on implementing Council's approach to begging is covered in the discussion section of this report.

Recommendation

That the Community, Sport and Recreation Committee:

1. Receive the information.

Background

7. In 2015 Council commissioned Think Place design consultancy to engage those affected by begging to better understand and move towards a stakeholder aligned approach to addressing the issue.
8. The main finding was that begging is a symptom of deep seated social issues and that for sustainable change to occur, a shift in thinking is required from reactive short-term solutions to longer term support.
9. Complex social issues, such as begging, require interventions and support at multiple levels – a quick and easy fix is not likely to be effective. Sustainable change requires an aligned community and multi-agency approach that focuses on underlying issues.
10. In April, CSR Committee agreed that begging is a complex and multi-dimensional national issue and it is the aim of Wellington City Council to end begging in Wellington. It was agreed not to introduce a bylaw that banned begging. The April resolutions now

form the basis of advocacy, communications and actions on begging carried out by Council.

11. Council has taken a two pronged approach to begging. The first prong involves a co-ordinated response to address the underlying systemic issues of begging. This includes strong leadership in advocating with central government and its agencies, actively supporting multi agency and community responses and working with other local authorities to ensure a connected national understanding of issues. The second prong involves working with local partners and the community to progress street management as the preferred approach to dealing with the impact of begging.

Discussion

12. This section discusses how the begging project has progressed since CSR Committee agreed Council's approach. It also discusses future plans.
13. Council is now taking a strong leadership role on street begging. This has resulted nationally in an emerging local government consensus on the issue with other councils joining Wellington in recognising the need for a nationally aligned approach.
14. The Mayor has championed the need for concerted national action to address the underlying causes of which begging is a symptom. Wellington presented the findings from the City's 'exploration into begging' project report at the May meeting of Metro Mayors. This prompted discussion amongst councils on local government's role in championing change at national level. Following this meeting the New Zealand Local Government Association (NZLGA) initiated discussion amongst councils on a joined up national approach to begging. Discussion continued at a subsequent Metro Mayors meeting in August, with consideration of a NZLGA approach to central government on the need for coordinated national actions to address the underlying causes of street begging.
15. There have been parallel discussions amongst council officers. Officers from Auckland, Christchurch, Hamilton and Wellington have agreed on the importance of:
- Recognising that street begging is a complex problem
 - Increasing the public's awareness of the underlying causes that drive begging
 - Advocating for central government to develop a national approach to address the underlying causes of street begging
 - Community development approaches that include outreach work with people who beg to ensure they are connected to services and help
 - Councils supporting NGOs that work with people who street beg
 - Councils facilitating purposeful activity (e.g. in libraries) that provide people who street beg with purposeful things to do and skills and development opportunities.
16. The Council continues to find opportunities to promote Wellington's approach on this issue. A forward plan which identifies future opportunities has been produced with policy team support being provided to support the Council's advocacy and community champion roles. Table 1 outlines key opportunities for the new Council going forward.

Table 1

Leadership Opportunities	Frequency or Next Meeting
Regional Mayoral Forums	Typically every 6 weeks

Regional Chief Executives	Monthly
Metro Sector meetings	Next meeting 28 th October 2016
LGNZ Region: Zone 4 regional meetings	Next meeting 11 th November 2016

17. Council adopted street management as the preferred approach to dealing with the impact of begging. Table 2 outlines progress to date.
18. As a part of Council's street management approach, a multi-agency working group comprising representatives from police, retailers, inner city residents and Te Whakamura met with council officers over several weeks to agree and design a referral framework to deal appropriately with criminal and social issues which will support the overall approach, including how Council can advise the public in relation to begging. The referral framework was agreed, with a shared desire to understand the begging individual's circumstances and connect them to appropriate key workers as needed. The working group will meet again in the future to review the effectiveness of the framework.

Table 2

Street Management Approach Agreed by Committee				Progress Report
Theme	Issue to be addressed	Objectives	Actions	
Engaging with people who beg	Ensure that people who beg are not experiencing any undue harm or discrimination.	To maintain and develop open channels of communication between Council and people who beg. To facilitate engagement with and access to support services where possible.	Local hosts and the street outreach team will continue to engage with people who beg, with increased emphasis on making connections to services. Training for Council staff in relation to interactions with people who beg.	Community services team are working with Street Outreach team to refine what this will now look like, building on the new referral framework (see below). The 'Need a Hand' resource has been updated and will be distributed by Local Hosts to people who beg. Community Services team in partnership with Police and Te Whakamura are beginning to design training for relevant staff teams such as the Contact Centre

				on council policy, approach, underlying causes of begging and the referral framework.
Responding to complaints from the public	No strategy for responding to complaints.	To provide a clear, consistent and fair response to all complaints.	Council to produce clear and consistent messages for use by the communications team, contact centre and all staff having interactions with the public, including retailers and media.	Referral framework designed for clear and consistent messaging from staff. Training on related communications being designed (as above), including relevant resources per unit e.g. guidance notes for Contact Centre staff. Webpage 'Our Stand on Begging' produced and live with clear council communications on council approach.
Managing accessibility on public footway	People who beg and their belongings impeding access on public footways	To keep public footways clear for their primary use.	Advise people who beg of acceptable uses of footways. Escalate and remove items where necessary in accord with relevant legislation.	Footways Management Policy is linked on new Council begging webpage along with notification of upcoming review and public consultation early 2017.
City safety	Public perceptions of safety.	Deal positively with safety perceptions.	Use Council communications and partner with the police to give clear messages on the different approaches to passive and intimidatory begging. Strongly encourage victims	Community Services and Police have worked together on messaging for the Council begging webpage which directs the public to call the Police if they perceive unlawful activity. This messaging will

			and witnesses of intimidatory begging to report this to the police.	be cascaded through training to relevant staff such as the Contact Centre.
Disentangle criminal and social issues.	Lack of suitable mechanisms to distinguish between and aid responses to criminal and social issues.	Develop a framework for the Police, social services and other relevant agencies to deal appropriately with criminal and social issues.	Establish a multi-agency forum to consider this issue.	Community Services established a multi-agency working group which met over several weeks to agree and design a referral framework. The working group also agreed that dialogue with the Bankers Association around money machine protocols may also support disentanglement and public perceptions of safety. First Retail leads this on behalf of the group.
City pride	Negative views on cityscape.	Build pride and community ownership of the city's streets.	Encourage and engage residents, retailers and other businesses to take care of the public space outside their premises.	Council Communications team, Creative Services team and Community Services have held initial discussions on a city pride campaign – planned for next year - which could be linked to council wide place based projects including existing urban enhancement initiatives and community participation. The

				retail sector has also been involved and more detailed planning will take place from October.
Harnessing smart technology	Lack of evidence for good decision making and need to improve mechanisms to provide real-time response.	To provide a mechanism for evidence based planning.	Implement smart technologies developed through the Smart City Living Lab.	Initial discussions have taken place within the multi-agency working group to consider how we build on prototyping and link smart city intelligence to (a) respond quickly and effectively to begging incidents and (b) support policy development.

Purposeful activity for ‘street people’ including those who beg.

19. April's CSR Committee meeting heard that some of the people who beg did so because they lacked positive social engagement opportunities and that there may be merit in Council departments examining how their services and facilities might offer community activities, volunteering opportunities and other practical help.
20. Wellington Libraries have begun surveying the begging and homeless population in order to get a clear picture of what people enjoy and need so they may provide relevant purposeful activity. Community Services are working with the Libraries Service to harness the unique opportunity libraries have as public places which can mitigate social marginalisation. Options being explored to encourage those who beg to access library services include Tailored Book Clubs, Movie Nights, and organising Agent Cards (a type of library card) whereby agencies take responsibility for issuing and returning books to the people they deal with.

Measuring success

21. Measurement of the success of the Council's approach to begging falls into three parts.
22. Firstly, Council is measuring progress on achieving the Council's aim of ending begging in Wellington. From July 2016, the Council's Local Hosts have been taking an average monthly count of begging activity in the central city. This involves recording the number of people begging over the same time and week every month. This information will enable council to track progress towards realising its ambition of ending street begging in Wellington. The figures for July and August 2016 are 34 and 37 individuals respectively.
23. Secondly, Council is using the national bi-annual residents' quality of life survey to gauge the public's concerns about begging. The 2014 Quality of Life survey indicated that a significant number of people in Wellington were likely to view street begging as a 'big problem' (53%) or 'bit of a problem' (22%). Fieldwork for the 2016 survey has

recently been completed. The results are due soon and will provide council with a barometer of the public's views. As little time has elapsed between the Council meeting in April and fieldwork commencing, the 2016 survey results are unlikely to be influenced significantly by the council's approach and actions on begging. The 2016 survey results will provide baseline figures for measuring progress on reducing public concern on begging.

24. Thirdly, council has mechanisms for tracking progress on delivering the street management actions approved by Council in April 2016. This includes target delivery times and a traffic light system to assess progress. At the time of this report all the indicators are either progressing towards completion or completed.
25. The April CSR meeting received a literature review into alternative giving and similar schemes in different cities around the world. CSR Committee considered these as options for Wellington and agreed not to pursue them. Officers were however asked to explore other options for giving such as introducing "kindness meters" as found in Fredericton, Canada. The exploration has not demonstrated that kindness meters or similar schemes would play a significant role in Wellington's aim to end begging. Additional information is available on request from the report author

Options

26. This section is not applicable as this is an information only report.

Next Actions

27. The opportunities for Council to promote Wellington's approach on begging, included in table 1 at paragraph 15 will be regularly reviewed and updated.
28. A multi-agency training package focussed on the new referral framework to begin in October 2016.
29. Further communications tools, including guidance notes, for relevant staff to be available from September 2016.
30. Full implementation of the referral framework to be completed by end of 2016.
31. The average monthly count of begging activity in the central city to continue throughout 2016/17. Progress on implementing street management actions will continue to be assessed and actively managed via a traffic light monitoring system to assess progress. The 2016 national bi-annual residents' quality of life survey findings to be considered by Council officers when the results are available.
32. Targeted activity opportunities open to people who beg will be designed later this year.
33. Public consultation on the Council's Footpath policy to begin in early 2017.
34. Further cross council work with external partners on developing a comprehensive City Pride campaign will continue during 2016/17.
35. Arrangements are being made to include information in the induction materials to all councillors following the Council elections in October. Councillors will subsequently be updated on the begging project via written briefings.

Attachments

Nil

Author	Ange Jones, Advisor City Partnerships
Authoriser	Simon Tendeter, Team Leader, Community & City Partnership Jenny Rains, Community Services Manager Greg Orchard, Chief Operating Officer

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Engagement and Consultation

There was comprehensive community and stakeholder engagement in developing the recommendations agreed by CSR Committee on 13 April 2016. Relevant council officers and external partners have subsequently been involved in implementing the actions agreed in April.

Treaty of Waitangi considerations

This is an information only report covering progress on implementing the recommendations and actions agreed by CSR Committee in April 2016. Over representation of Māori amongst those who beg was considered in the begging project on which those recommendations were based and is a key issue being addressed during implementation.

Financial implications

This is an information only report which has no financial implications. The activities described and associated costs are covered within existing budgets.

Policy and legislative implications

N/A

Risks / legal

N/A

Climate Change impact and considerations

N/A

Communications Plan

A communications plan is being finalised and will be available on request.

Health and Safety Impact considered

Potential health and safety issues associated with the actions covered in this report are deemed to be at a tolerable and reasonable level.

SOCIAL AND RECREATION FUND AND SPORTSVILLE PARTNERSHIP FEASIBILITY FUND- AUGUST 2016

Purpose

1. To provide recommendations for allocation of funding through the Social and Recreation Fund for the March 2016 funding round and the Sportsville Partnership Feasibility Fund.

Summary

2. The Council provides grants to assist community groups to undertake projects that meet community needs. Grants are also a mechanism for achieving the Council's objectives and strategic priorities, especially those priorities that rely on community organisations carrying out specific activities.
3. The 2013 review of the grant criteria proposed a move away from generic criteria in favour of specific criteria for each fund. While each pool may share a number of criteria, others would be tailored to suit the particular demands of that community of interest and relevant Council outcomes.

Recommendations

That the Community, Sport and Recreation Committee:

1. Receive the information.
2. Agree to the allocation of funding for the Social and Recreation and Sportsville Feasibility Partnership Funds as follows:

Social and Recreation Fund

	Organisation	Project	Total project cost	Amount requested	Recomm ended	Comments
1	Aro Creative Inc	Halloween; and Community Noticeboard	\$1,455	\$650	\$0	Not aligned closely with priority areas, Council supports local community council who provide a range of community activities in the area.
2	Big Buddy Mentoring Trust	Recruiting mentors	\$171,888	\$16,000	\$5,000	Contribution to mentoring programme connecting male role models with families.

**COMMUNITY, SPORT AND RECREATION
COMMITTEE
8 SEPTEMBER 2016**

Item 2.3

3	Churton Park Community Association Inc	Churton Park Community Association	\$2,750	\$1,500	\$1,500	Operational support for local residents association.
4	Dress for Success Wellington	Dress for Success Wellington	\$65,000	\$15,000	\$5,000	Contribution to programme supporting women into employment
5	Everyone Out Limited	Everyone Out in Otari	\$8,804	\$2,000	\$0	User pays family event, already supported by Council.
6	Footy for All Charitable Trust	Able2 Football Project	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	Working with vulnerable, soccer project, connections with local agencies
7	Highland Park Progressive Assoc. Inc	Residents' Association Operational Funding and 2016/17 Projects	\$2,245	\$1,800	\$1,500	Operational support for local residents association
8	Kilbirnie/Lyal I Bay Community Centre Incorporated	Sound System	\$2,926	\$2,926	\$0	Supported through contract funding, organisation has funds available to purchase equipment.
9	Kiwi Community Assistance Charitable Trust	Warehouse Lease (2016-2017)	\$27,500	\$5,000	\$3,500	Contribution to operational costs of delivery to groups in Wellington City.
10	Lions Club of Johnsonville Inc.	Johnsonville Lions Community Christmas Parade	\$29,250	\$11,000	\$3,000	Contribution to costs of community parade
11	Matu Sako New Zealand Incorporated	Strengthening Myanmar Ethnic Community	\$6,100	\$6,100	\$0	Not closely aligned with priorities, support in place through agencies working across refugee communities.

**COMMUNITY, SPORT AND RECREATION
COMMITTEE
8 SEPTEMBER 2016**

12	Miramar Peninsula Community Trust	Seatoun Village Hall	\$19,735	\$13,735	\$13,000	Support for Seatoun community facility
13	MOSAIC	MOSAIC - Peer Support groups for Male Survivors of Sexual Abuse	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$4,000	Volunteer group supporting survivors of sexual abuse and violence, fit with safe city outcomes.
14	New Zealand Council of Victim Support Groups Inc - Wellington T/A Victim Support	Service Delivery to Victims of crime and trauma	\$58,309	\$12,500	\$9,000	Important partner in local welfare and safety delivery, working with volunteers
15	Pomegranate Kitchen	Pomegranate Kitchen	\$15,950	\$8,800	\$5,000	Close fit with priorities, new social enterprise working with former refugees to develop their own catering/cooking based initiatives.
16	Pride Awards Trust	Pride Awards Ceremony 2016	\$23,465	\$2,000	\$0	Not aligned closely with priority areas and ongoing commitments to youth programmes and regional awards.
17	Royal New Zealand Plunket Society Wellington/Wairarapa Area Inc	Wellington Community Support Coordinator wages	\$31,200	\$6,000	\$0	Not aligned closely with priority areas, Council's ECE policy limits direct support to provision of land/buildings.

Item 2.3

18	SADD AOTEAROA - Students Against Driving Drunk Charitable Trust	National Leaders Workshops	\$14,000	\$8,000	\$0	Not aligned closely with priority areas, hosting national event, Officers will explore options for local partnerships with SADD groups.
19	Sexual Abuse Prevention Network	Sexual Abuse Prevention Network Co- ordinator Wages	\$63,954	\$15,000	\$10,000	Support for preventative education programme working across sector- contributes to safe city outcomes.
20	Shakti Ethnic Women's Support Group (Wellington) Inc.	Salary subsidy for Women's Advocate and Outreach Services costs	\$28,860	\$10,860	\$5,000	Delivers on safe city priorities - working with refugee and migrant women, outreaching to Hutt based operation.
21	Strathmore Park Progressive & Beautifying Association (Inc)	Operational Funding	\$390	\$390	\$390	Operational support for local progressive association
22	Sustainable Coastlines Charitable Trust	Capacity Building / Love Your Coast Wellington 2016	\$36,440	\$8,000	\$0	Supported through Council Our Living City Fund (\$5,000)
23	Te Whare Rokiroki (Maori Women's Refuge)	Social Worker	\$49,842	\$10,217	\$10,000	Delivers on safe city priorities - important local service, supported with rental assistance.
24	The House of Grace Trust	Wellington Staff Salaries	\$109,655	\$12,000	\$0	Not aligned closely with priority areas

25	University of Otago	How children with mobility impairments living in Wellington access and use parks and playgrounds? A PARCS (Parks for Activity and Recreation in the Community Study) project.	\$8,006	\$8,006	\$0	Academic research project, limited direct community benefit.
26	Volunteer Wellington	Volunteer Wellington's First XV Funding Drive	\$75,000	\$5,000	\$0	Existing support through contract funding, the 15/16 Council supported review was to plan for changes in funding streams including from government.
27	Wellington Access Broadcasting Society Inc (Access Radio)	Wellington Access Radio FM frequency launch	\$65,000	\$55,000	\$0	Not aligned closely with priority areas, seeking support for switch to FM output.
28	Wellington After-Care Association Inc.	Amigos Sports	\$5,320	\$5,320	\$0	Not aligned closely with priority areas, seeking support for health outcomes.
29	Wellington Free Yoga Day-umbrella via Off the Mat into World Trust NZ	Wellington Free Yoga Day	\$6,360	\$6,050	\$0	Not aligned closely with priority areas, seeking support for one off free yoga day administration and marketing.

30	Wellington Tennis Club Inc	Introduction to tennis	\$2,400	\$2,400	\$0	Not aligned closely with priority areas, group can support this through trading operation, membership development
31	Wellington Women's Health Collective Inc	Wages for 3 part time staff	\$60,450	\$10,000	\$0	Not aligned closely with priority areas, providing health services and outcomes, supporting with rental assistance.
32	YMCA of Greater Wellington	Raise Up	\$33,358	\$20,000	\$0	Pressure on available funding, Officers can consider later in line with other youth services
		Total	-	\$298,254	\$80,890	

**Sportsville Feasibility
Partnership Fund**

1	Hataitai Community Sports Hub Establishment Group via Netball Wellington Centre	Hataitai Community Sports Hub - needs assessment relating to the development of sports hub	\$16,500	\$16,500	\$16,500	Support for initial development stage of a Hataitai Community Sports Hub through a partnership establishment group with a range of sporting organisations.
		Total		\$16,500	\$16,500	

Background

4. Grants and funding are included in the Annual Plan to provide an appropriate mechanism for the Council to respond to community groups that are undertaking projects that:

- Meet a need identified by the community.
- Align with council's strategic goals and community outcomes.

- Rely to some extent on participation and engagement by community organisations.
5. Organisations and projects are funded through both contracts and contestable grants pools. The contestable pools provide grants that are discretionary, short term and generally project based in nature.
 6. The Sportsville Partnership Feasibility Fund was established in 2016/17 to provide support for projects in their developmental phase and acknowledges that projects may require the development of business cases, planning (e.g. developing constitutions and financial systems) and resource consent studies, and other information. Project should show evidence of community support, collaboration, and building partnerships with other organisations, and demonstrate that there is community need for the facility.
 7. Projects supported through the feasibility funding will contribute to the development of 'Sportsville' approaches which allow for community and sporting groups to share facilities, e.g. changing rooms, fields, administration, social space, meeting rooms etc, which brings economies of scale to the cost of providing and maintaining these facilities
 8. The Social and Recreation Fund supports community organisations for projects that meet the criteria for the fund.
 9. Criteria for both funds are included as Attachment 1.

Discussion- Social and Recreation Fund

10. This is the first of two funding rounds for 2016-17 with 32 applications are requesting a total of \$298,254.
11. In assessing applications to the Social and Recreation Fund against the funding criteria Officers have prioritised projects which can show evidence based need and have positive social impact on:
 - Vulnerable groups, including; refugees, street communities and place based programmes
 - Community and neighbourhood resilience
 - Wellington working towards being a UNICEF child and youth friendly city
 - Community safety initiatives
12. Officers recommend the Committee, Sports and Recreation Committee support projects with 15 grants totalling \$80,890.

Discussion- Sportsville Feasibility Partnership Fund

13. The fund supports community organisations for projects that meet the criteria for the fund.
14. The Hataitai Community Sports Hub Establishment Group (HCSHG) via Netball Wellington Central Inc. is seeking \$16,500 from the Sportsville Partnership Feasibility Fund to undertake a needs assessment to better understand the needs and opportunities for a sports hub centred at Hataitai Park.
15. The HCSHEG consists of the following sport clubs/organisations:
 - Netball Wellington Centre Inc.
 - Wellington Softball Association

- Royals Softball Club
 - St Georges Softball Club
 - Wellington Football Club
 - Port Nicholson & Pōneke Cycling Club
 - Badminton Wellington Inc.
 - Harbour City GymSports
16. Officers have been engaging with the above organisations and other community and sports groups over the last three years. Hataitai Park has been identified as a priority sports hub site in 'Our Capital Spaces – An Open Spaces and Recreation Framework for Wellington 2013-23'
17. The 'Sportsville Partnership Feasibility Fund' has a budget of \$40,000 available in the 2016/17 year. Officers recommend that funding of \$16,500 is granted to the Netball Wellington Centre Inc. on behalf of the Hataitai Community Sports Hub Establishment Group.

Contact Officers

Jenny Rains, Community Services Manager

Mark Farrar, Senior Advisor Funding and Relationships

Glenn McGovern, Sports & Club Partnership Leader (Sportsville Partnership Feasibility Fund)

Attachments

Attachment 1. Social and Recreation and Sportsville Feasibility Funds-
Criteria

Page 28

Author	Mark Farrar, Team Leader Funding and Relationships
Authoriser	Jenny Rains, Community Services Manager Greg Orchard, Chief Operating Officer

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Consultation and Engagement

N/A

Treaty of Waitangi considerations

Applications that could have implications for Maori are referred to Council's Treaty Relations Office for recommendations. For each of these grant funds there are specific criteria and questions relating to Maori, for the Social and Recreation Fund applicants are asked to describe how their project serves to assist Maori potential.

Financial implications

The Long Term Plan makes provision for community grants in several places - 2.1.6 - Community environmental initiatives, 3.1.4 - Grants and creative workforce, 4.1.4 – Arts and Cultural grants, and 5.2.4 - Grants (Social and Recreation). The Social and Recreation Fund comes under project C668.

Policy and legislative implications

Council funds have been created to assist community initiatives in line with Council strategy. Council Officers engage and consult widely with a range of groups and organisations before funding applications are made and throughout the assessment process.

Risks / legal

N/A

Climate Change impact and considerations

N/A

Health and Safety Impact considered

Projects and organisations seeking support are responsible for Health and safety

Social and Recreation Fund and Sportville Partnership Feasibility Fund

Social and Recreation Fund- Criteria

Your project makes a positive contribution to achieving the Council's Strategic outcomes:

Towards 2040: Smart Capital strategy

- **People Centred City:** Contributes to healthy, vibrant, affordable and resilient communities, with a strong sense of identity and 'place' expressed through urban form, openness and accessibility.
- **Connected City:** Supports a city with easy physical and virtual access to regional, national and global networks.
- **Eco-City:** Allows the city to proactively respond to environmental challenges and seize opportunities to grow the green economy.
- **Dynamic Central City:** Supports a central city of creativity, exploration and innovation, helping Wellington to offer the lifestyle, entertainment and amenity of a much bigger city.

Long Term Plan 2012-22 priorities:

- An inclusive place where talent wants to live
- A resilient city
- A well managed city
- Annual Plan priorities for the relevant year.

The project is Wellington-based and mainly benefits the people of Wellington (exceptions may be made for projects based elsewhere in the region, but which significantly benefit Wellington City residents).

The applicant is a legally constituted community group or organisation

The applicant provides evidence of sound financial management, good employment practice, clear and detailed planning, clear performance measures, and reporting processes.

The applicant outlines how physical accessibility has been built into project development.

The applicant outlines how pricing has been set to ensure access by a wide range of people or by the intended users.

The project should show evidence of community support, collaboration, and building partnerships with other organisations (e.g. social media interest, letters of support from other organisations/leaders).

The applicant must show that the project discernibly improves community wellbeing and adds value to the range of similar types of services in the community.

Māori are often over-represented in many determinants of social deprivation. Outline whether and how the specific needs of Māori have been incorporated into the planning of your project.

Emergent and innovative community projects can be supported through this fund. Applicants that apply under this category will need to demonstrate the transformative nature of the project.

Focus Areas

Build capability and capacity within the community

Priority will be given to projects that:

- strengthen the local community, address local issues, strengthen and contribute to social wellbeing
- Support volunteers and foster skill development and training for the community.

Promote personal and community safety

Priority will be given to projects that:

- Support community activity that enhances Wellington as an International Safe Community
- Support projects that enhance community safety and/or personal safety.

Physically active communities encouraging health and wellbeing

Priority will be given to projects that:

- Target communities of interest, including youth and seniors.
- Support the strategic planning of sports codes

Youth

Priority will be given to projects that:

- Involve young people in the development and delivery of the project
- Help young people gain a better understanding of community, an increased sense of belonging as active citizens and positive contributors to society
- Promote volunteer opportunities for young people.

Community Preparedness

Priority will be given to projects that:

- Strengthen local neighbourhood connectedness in an ongoing manner
- Increase community resilience and emergency preparedness locally

Criteria for Residents and Progressive Association applicants:

The organisation must:

- be registered with Wellington City Council Community Services as a residents/progressive association
- have a committee
- meet at least twice a year and keep minutes of these meetings
- have an active membership of 10 or more, excluding the committee
- keep accurate and detailed accounts
- agree to make their accounts and minutes available to Wellington City Council on request.

When submitting an application Residents and Progressive Associations should give a summary of their current membership, meeting pattern (e.g. monthly) and provide a copy of minutes from recent meetings.

Sportsville Partnership Feasibility Fund Criteria

Projects must be Wellington-based and mainly benefit the people of Wellington City.

The project should show evidence of community support, collaboration, and building partnerships with other organisations, and:

- demonstrate that there is community need for the facility. The feasibility study must include a comprehensive needs assessment
- show alignment with Council service levels and provision (for sport and recreation facilities), and have support from regional and national sporting bodies e.g. Sport Wellington and Sport New Zealand
- demonstrate that the facility is identified as a major sport and recreation hub and is located in Wellington city.

Applicants must demonstrate that there are no existing facilities, or existing facilities are aging, unsustainable (no longer fit for purpose) and in need of replacement, and:

- that the new facility or partnership will improve and rationalise the sporting and recreation facilities in the area and region and generally support outdoor multipurpose sports use. It will improve community involvement and promote health and physical activity within the local and wider community.

Applicants will show evidence that the project can be partly self-funded. There needs to be over 50% funding that is independent from Council's contribution for the design and construction stage (this is a guideline only and not an indication of the amount of funding the Council will provide).

Applicants must be a legally constituted not-for-profit community group, trust or organisation, ie Incorporated Society or Charitable Trust, and financially sound.

Applicants will show evidence of good financial management and organisational practices, eg clear and detailed planning and reporting processes, or (for newly established groups/trust/organisations) evidence to show that processes are in place to support ongoing financial management. This information should be part of a Business Plan.

Applicants cannot be individuals, commercial, or 'for profit' organisations.

These funds will not support retrospective funding applications, debt funding, or operation and/or maintenance costs.

3. Public Excluded

Resolution to Exclude the Public:

THAT the Community, Sport and Recreation Committee :

Pursuant to the provisions of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, exclude the public from the following part of the proceedings of this meeting namely:

General subject of the matter to be considered	Reasons for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution
3.1 Arlington Site 1 Update	7(2)(h) The withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities. 7(2)(i) The withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations).	s48(1)(a) That the public conduct of this item would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under Section 7.
