## Before the Hearings Commissioners at Wellington City Council

under: the Resource Management Act 1991

in the matter of: an application by Ryman Healthcare Limited for

resource consent to construct, operate and maintain a comprehensive care retirement village at 26 Donald Street and 37 Campbell Street, Karori, Wellington

between: Ryman Healthcare Limited

Applicant

and: Wellington City Council

Consent Authority

Supplementary Statement of **Rebecca Anne Skidmore** on behalf of Ryman Healthcare Limited

Dated: 20 September 2022

Reference: Luke Hinchey (luke.hinchey@chapmantripp.com)
Nicola de Wit (nicola.dewit@chapmantripp.com)



## SUPPLEMENTARY STATEMENT OF REBECCA ANNE SKIDMORE ON BEHALF OF RYMAN HEALTHCARE LIMITED

- 1 My full name is Rebecca Anne Skidmore. My qualifications and experience are set out in my statement of evidence dated 29 August 2022. I repeat the code of conduct statement contained in my statement of evidence.
- The purpose of this supplementary statement of evidence is to respond to questions from the Commissioners regarding:
  - 2.1 The drawing references for the figures provided in statement of evidence; and
  - 2.2 The rating scale in Te Tangi a te Manu: Aotearoa New Zealand Landscape Assessment Guidelines (July 2022) (*Te Tangi a te Manu*).

## **Drawing references**

- 3 The figures contained in my statement of evidence can be found in the drawing set as follows:
  - 3.1 Figure 2 RCA 101
  - 3.2 Figure 3 RCA 16, Section 2.
  - 3.3 Figure 6 RCA 15, Section 2.
  - 3.4 Figure 7 RCA 14, Section 3.
- The cross sections provided in Figures 3, 6 and 7 have been updated in the RCA set of Hearing Drawings and now show the Proposed District Plan height and height in relation to boundary standards.

## Te Tangi a te Manu rating scale

- As noted at paragraph 55 of my statement of evidence, since the Landscape and Visual Assessment was prepared, Tuia Pito Ora NZ Institute of Landscape Architects has released an updated guideline, Te Tangi a te Manu.
- Te Tangi a te Manu introduces a recommended rating scale for assessing the magnitude of landscape and visual effects. The following diagram<sup>1</sup> depicts the relationship between this 7-point rating scale and the RMA classifications of less than minor, minor and more than minor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Te Tangi a te Manu, p151.



- As noted in my evidence, this rating scale differs slightly from the rating scale used in my assessment (and referred to in the Review by Ms Angela McArthur). I note that Te Tangi a te Manu provides a caveat against placing too much weight on using the rating scale in isolation, noting the importance of the substantive assessment.
- 8 As the landscape and visual effects identified in my assessment all fall in the range of low adverse to positive, I do not consider the use of the rating scale in Te Tangi a te Manu would change my overall conclusions about the appropriateness of the Proposed Village.
- I note that Ms McArthur agrees that the use of the rating scale in Te Tangi a te Manu would not change the overall conclusions reached in relation to landscape and visual effects.<sup>2</sup>

Rebecca Anne Skidmore 20 September 2022

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> McArthur summary, paragraph 5.