Start date: 09 April 2015 Closed date: 30 April 2015

2015-16 Draft Development Contributions Policy Proposal Summary

Background

The Development Contributions Policy provides Council with a means of recovering the costs of some specific growth infrastructure required for new development, where development results in an increase in demand on the city's infrastructure. The policy is required to be reviewed at least every three years as per the Local Government Act 2002.

Council's Development Contributions Policy was first adopted in 2005, and is generally amended alongside the Council Long-term Plan.

Reasons for proposal

Development contributions are a key component of Council's strategy for funding growth-related capital expenditure.

Reviewing and updating the Development Contributions Policy ensures that the Council's development contribution charges are based on the capital expenditure forecasts and assumptions in the Council's 2015-25 Long-term Plan.

Analysis of Reasonably Practicable Options

The table below contains an analysis of the reasonably practicable options for funding Wellington City Council's growth related capital expenditure:

| Options | Analysis |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Development Contributions | The Council incurs a certain amount of capital expenditure, |
| (Local Government Act 2002) | to provide assets of increased capacity to cater for growth in |
| | the city. As the cause of this expenditure, it is only fair that a |
| | portion of this cost is recovered directly from the |
| | development community through the collection of |
| | development contributions. |
| | While this does create a significant upfront cost for |
| | development, if these costs were not funded by the |
| | development community the main alternative would be to |
| | increase rates by a substantial amount to fund these costs. |
| | Council's view is that this would impose an unfair financial |
| | burden on the ratepayers of the city. |
| Financial Contributions | Financial contributions are similar to development |

| (Resource Management Act | contributions, and are used to fund capital expenditure | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 1991) | where the spending is required to mitigate the | |
| | environmental effects of developments. The financial | |
| | contributions system is open to merits based appeal through | |
| | the Environment Court, which adds cost, time and | |
| | uncertainty for Council. For this reason, Council has chosen | |
| | to use development contributions for majority of cases, | |
| | rather than financial contributions. Financial contributions | |
| | are used for circumstances where the Council's | |
| | Development Contributions Policy does not apply. The | |
| | Council's approach to financial contributions is summarised | |
| | in the draft Development Contributions Policy, but set out in | |
| | detail in the Council's District Plan. | |
| Rates | This option would involve collecting rates from the growth | |
| (either targeted or general | community (targeted rates) or the whole community | |
| rates) | (general rates) over a specific timeframe, to fund the cost of | |
| | growth-related capital expenditure. | |
| | General rates – this would impose the cost on the whole | |
| | community rather than targeting the funding of these costs | |
| | at the growth community which has caused these costs to | |
| | be incurred. | |
| | Targeted rates – this would target funding to areas of | |
| | growth in the city. Charging targeted rates on selected areas | |
| | that generally create the demand may be viewed as not | |
| | being fully consistent. | |
| | | |

Summary of Proposed Amendments to the Policy

The following table outlines the key policy changes that are proposed and the reasons for these changes:

| Area | Proposed change | Reason |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Growth projections | Reflect the forecasted growth | The policy has been updated to |
| and development | assumptions and capital | ensure consistency with the |
| contribution charges | expenditure in the Long-term | Long-term Plan planning |
| | Plan 2015-25 LTP | documents and assumptions. |
| Assessment guidelines | The average space per office | To bring the assumption in line |
| for non-residential | worker assumption has been | with the Government's |
| development | revised from 21m2 to 16m2 | 'Workplace Standards and |
| | per person. This equates to | Guidelines for Office Space' (July |
| | 42m2 per Equivalent | 2014), and for consistency with |
| | Household Unit (EHU), based | modern workplace practice. |
| | on an average number of | |
| | persons per household in | |
| | Wellington of 2.6 (per 2013 | |
| | census). | |

| Green Building | Amendment to the criteria | This change is for practicality |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Remission | under '2.6.5 Green Building | purposes and advice was sought |
| | Remissions', to enable | from the NZGBC. |
| | remission applications within | |
| | 12 months of registering for | |
| | green star certified rating with | |
| | the New Zealand Green | |
| | Building Council (NZGBC). | |
| LGA Amendment Act | Minor updates to ensure | To ensure consistency with the |
| 2014 | compliance with the Local | new legislative requirements |
| | Government Act 2002. | that came into force in 2014. |