# Climate Adaptation Options Catalogue for Wellington

**Urupare Huringa Āhuarangi** Climate Change Response Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

# **Contents**

# Introduction ..... 3

# The need for adaptation ......4

| What this catalogue covers           | 6  |
|--------------------------------------|----|
| Mātauranga Māori                     | 7  |
| Description of adaptation options    | 8  |
| Categorisation of adaptation options | 9  |
| What is a sponge city?               | 9  |
| Key considerations for all options   | 10 |
| Common characteristics               | 10 |
| Buildings                            | 11 |

| •                            |    |
|------------------------------|----|
| Adaptable buildings          | 11 |
| Dry floodproofing buildings  | 12 |
| Flood pumps                  | 12 |
| Living/green roofs and walls | 13 |
| Permeable fencing            | 14 |
| Raised ground levels         | 14 |
| Rainwater tanks              | 15 |
| Wet floodproofing buildings  | 15 |
| Yard and garden drainage     |    |

# General infrastructure ......17

# Stormwater infrastructure .... 19

| Beach drainage                                            | 19 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Detention and retention tanks                             | 20 |
| Diversion channels                                        | 20 |
| Existing stormwater infrastructure management and upgrade | 21 |
| Overland flowpaths management                             | 21 |
| Pervious surfaces                                         | 22 |
| Pump stations                                             | 22 |
| Underground drainage of slopes                            | 23 |

| Nature-based solutions          | 24 |
|---------------------------------|----|
| Beach modification              | 24 |
| Beach nourishment               | 25 |
| Contour drains                  |    |
| Creeks and streams restoration  | 27 |
| Dry detention basin             |    |
| Dune restoration                |    |
| Living shorelines               |    |
| Rain gardens                    |    |
| Riparian planting               |    |
| Seaweed restoration             |    |
| Swales                          | 31 |
| Terracing                       | 31 |
| Tree pits                       |    |
| Vegetating hills and slopes     |    |
| Wet pond                        |    |
| Wetlands: constructed           |    |
| Wetlands: restored or preserved |    |
|                                 |    |

- -

#### Hard protection/structural approaches ......36 Bunds ..... 36 Groynes..... Retaining walls ..... .. 38 Rockfall barriers, catch areas, rock sheds ...... .38 Sea walls..... 39 Soil nails, and soil and rock anchors ..... 40 Stop banks and levees..... .40

# Strategic and land use

| planning 41                                                                                      |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Building/development standards41                                                                 |
| Pro-active strategic relocation42                                                                |
| Re-active relocation43                                                                           |
| Restricting future development in high-risk areas and/or other activities that increase risk. 44 |
| Spatial and growth/development planning45                                                        |

--

# Introduction

The coastal location of Te Whanganui-a-Tara (Wellington, also known as Pōneke), with its steep hills and cliffs, combined with strong winds and rainfall make it very susceptible to natural hazards. Parts of the city are exposed to climate-related hazards, including flooding, coastal inundation and landslides.

Climate science shows that impacts of climate change are already affecting Wellington, and the projections show impacts will likely worsen over the coming decades and centuries.

Effective adaptation requires flexible long-term planning and implementation of adaptation actions to ensure co-benefits to many sectors and systems.<sup>1</sup> That means in practice, a range of adaptation options are likely to be considered and applied as environmental conditions change that reflect the local circumstances.

This catalogue provides a compendium of about 50 adaptation options to support Wellington City Council and its communities to have conversations on climate change and possible adaptation options to address risks arising from flooding, coastal inundation and landslides. There is a wide array of adaptation options and this catalogue is not an exhaustive list. The intent is to provide an introductory guide to a range of adaptation options for the Wellington context

Other hazards such as earthquakes, tsunamis, liquefaction, wildfire, drought and high winds are not directly included, but interrelationships of options with these hazards are mentioned to ensure a holistic perspective.



1. IPCC AR6 SYR SPM B4

# The need for adaptation

The existence of these climate related natural hazards requires us to take actions to adjust to changing conditions. This need for adaptation has been identified in Wellington's <u>Te Atakura - First</u> to Zero Climate Action Plan. In its <u>Action Area: Adaptation</u>, the plan lays out how we can adapt to climate change, including by coming together as a community to develop adaptation plans.

Adaptation are the actions that help manage, moderate, and cope with the effects of climate change. For example, avoiding building in areas likely to be affected by rising sea levels (<u>Te Atakura First to Zero</u> <u>2023 Update</u>, p4).

Adaptation options are the array of strategies and measures that are available and appropriate for addressing adaptation (IPCC, p. 2898). Actions taken to adapt to a climate related hazard can lead to increased risk of adverse climate related outcomes as an unintended consequence, such as increased greenhouse gas emissions or increased or shifted vulnerability to climate change. This is referred to as **maladaptation** (IPCC, p. 2915).

For more information on how climate change may affect Wellington, please visit Wellington City Council's climate change website.

Several types of adaptation options are available for adapting to climate related hazards. Often, they are classified according to whether they protect against, accommodate, retreat from or avoid climate related hazards (called **PARA framework**). Examples for each of these categories are shown opposite.



Examples for each of the PARA framework categories



We keep the hazard away.

Protect assets from the hazard through structure (eg stop banks, sea walls) or natural-based (reg restored wetlands).







# We live with the hazard.

Staying in place by adapting buildings and other structures to make them more resilient to the hazards. Options include things like raising land levels, managing ground and stormwater.

#### We move away from the hazard.

Moving away from areas where the risk is too high, this can involve proactive relation or reactive relocation (eg property sales or buyouts).

#### Prevent exposure to the hazard.

Minimise exposure by staying away from areas where the risk is too high. Examples of options include things like land zoning (eg high risk coastal hazard areas).

Source: PARA framework, adapted from MfE 'Coastal hazards and climate change guidance' (2024)

The Ministry for the Environment in its '<u>Coastal hazards and</u> <u>climate change guidance' (2024)</u> recommends using a **10-step decision cycle for adaptation** to coastal and other climate-related hazards (see figure opposite). This includes the identification and evaluation of adaptation options (steps 5 and 6) and the use of the dynamic adaptation pathways planning (DAPP) approach.

In practice, a suite of options should be considered that reflect the local circumstances. The recommended dynamic adaptation pathways planning (DAPP) approach does not prescribe one single solution, but leaves future solutions for future decisions. It does so by identifying adaptation pathways made up from different options that might be suitable to adopt in the future once conditions change. Once options and pathways have been identified, it is important to evaluate what is a good adaptation option or pathway. Evaluation criteria might include ability to meet objectives, flexibility to change in the future and avoid maladaptation, feasibility of implementation, costs, ability to meet community values and provide further co-benefits and adverse effects among others.

Partnership with mana whenua and involvement of the local community is integral to the identification and assessment of options.

More information on DAPP as well as evaluation techniques for adaptation options are provided in the Ministry for the Environment's <u>Coastal Hazard and Climate</u> <u>Change Guidance</u>.



# Identification and assessment of options integral to adaptation planning

Effective adaptation requires holistic and flexible long-term planning together with mana whenua and local communities, the integration of western theory as well as mātauranga Māori and implementation of adaptation actions to ensure co-benefits to many sectors and systems.



# What this catalogue covers

# Adaptation options to address flooding, coastal inundation and landslides

This report outlines adaptation options to address potential risks arising from these three natural hazards that can be exacerbated with climate change. These have been identified as the key climate related natural hazards for Wellington based on the best available data. Other hazards such as earthquakes, tsunamis, liquefaction, wildfire, drought and high winds are not directly included, but interrelationships of options with these hazards are mentioned to ensure a holistic perspective.



**Flooding** both from excess overland water flows as a result of extreme rainfall that overwhelm drainage systems and natural waterways (pluvial flooding) and from overtopping/flooding of awa (rivers and streams, also known as fluvial flooding);



**Coastal inundation** (including from sea level rise, combined with storm surges and wave action); and

# Landslides.

# Mātauranga Māori

All options have the potential to be informed by mātauranga Māori (eg in relation to design, suitability, location and/or operation). A detailed assessment of specific options that includes mana i te whenua values and mātauranga is contextual, place-based, and highly variable, and at the discretion of mana i te whenua.

Early and meaningful involvement of mana i te whenua in the identification, assessment and selection of adaptation options is essential.

This report includes only highlevel potential advantages and disadvantages of options from

Wellington City Council

a te ao Māori perspective. For example regarding the potential for impacts on taonga species and wāhi tapu, water flows/mauri enhancement, or the ability of Māori to stay in their kāinga/ ancestral lands.

The report mentions examples led and/or informed by mātaurangaa-whānau/hapū/iwi (ie Māori knowledge) which have also been sourced from iwi and hapū beyond Wellington City Council. In practice, mana whenua would be called upon to inform mātauranga-led options for the Wellington region, which may not be listed in this guide at this time but expect to expand over time.

# Description of adaptation options

Detailed information is given for each adaptation option. This includes both identification of key characteristics in form of icons as well as a more detailed descriptions.

Key characteristics displayed as symbols include:

| PARA classification:                                                                                                                                                          | Protect, Accommodate,<br>Relocate/Retreat, Avoid |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| • <b>Hazard/s addressed</b> : flooding, coastal inundation and/or landslides;                                                                                                 | Hazards addressed:                               |
| <ul> <li>Cost range (over the anticipated design life of the option): \$,\$\$,\$\$;</li> </ul>                                                                                | Cost:<br>\$ \$\$ \$\$\$                          |
| • <b>Timeframe (likely)</b> (ie the anticipated design life of the option): short (0-20 years), medium (20-50 years), or long term (50-100 years);                            | Timeframe:                                       |
| <ul> <li>Consenting requirements (whether it the option<br/>is likely to be subject to resource and/or building<br/>consent): unlikely, possible, likely, n/a; and</li> </ul> | Building and/or resource<br>consent:             |
| Sponge city (whether a solution supports the sponge city concept)                                                                                                             | YES                                              |

Each option is then described and images and examples (local where possible) are provided. Advantages and disadvantages include environmental, economic, technical, socio-cultural, carbon and implementation aspects. The flexibility to respond to future uncertainties and interdependencies with other hazards such as erosion, earthquakes, liquefaction, tsunami, wildfire, and drought is also indicated. In order to avoid maladaptation, this report highlights any potential unintended consequences of an option on other hazards as well as the option's impact on greenhouse gas emissions.



# Categorisation of adaptation options

This report categorises adaptation options into the following six categories:

- **Buildings** includes actions that can be taken at individual property level to adapt to climate related hazards.
- **Infrastructure general** includes actions to increase the resilience of infrastructure (eg energy, telecommunications, transport, waste, social).
- Stormwater infrastructure (also referred to as 'grey infrastructure') refer to humanengineered infrastructure for water resources, such as water and wastewater treatment plants, pipelines, and pumping stations.
- Nature-based solutions (also referred to as 'green infrastructure' or 'soft adaptation') are actions to protect, sustainably manage and restore natural or modified ecosystems that address societal challenges such as climate change effectively and adaptively, simultaneously providing human well-being and biodiversity benefits.
- Structural or hard protection approaches aim to stabilise shorelines, riverbanks or unstable slopes by constructing seawalls, dykes or retaining walls; and
- Strategic planning approaches that aim at avoiding or minimising risk through long term strategic planning of land use, including retreat, through land use and spatial plans.

# What is a sponge city?

A concept that has become increasingly popular over the last decade is that of **sponge cities**.



A sponge city essentially soaks in rainwater and retains excess stormwater, then filters and releases the water slowly, much like a sponge, to avoid flooding or runoff in undesirable areas. Sponge city techniques utilise a combination of nature-based solutions (such as rainwater gardens, permeable surfaces) and grey stormwater infrastructure (such as retention ponds). They are intended not only to help with flood mitigation, but also help restore biodiversity and mauri of the environment within an urban context, and increase amenity and wellbeing. The concept of a sponge city is supported by the Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) approach being taken by Wellington City Council.



# Key considerations for all options

# **Common characteristics**

Most of the potential adaptation options described in this report share some common characteristics, including:

- Prevention of loss and damages: options aim to reduce risk to life and/or damage from the hazard to buildings, infrastructure and wāhi tapu, and hence reduce future repair costs from damage.
- Transformational vs adaptive change: options seek to maintain current use of land, such as for housing, including living in papakāinga, infrastructure or recreational purposes (though this does not apply to retreat options).
- Changes over time have thresholds and triggers: options are generally designed to withstand a hazard of a certain size (eg 1 in a 100 year flood, sea level rise up to a certain level) and are prone to failure if this design capacity is exceeded or they are not appropriately maintained.

- **Systems approach**: options are likely to be designed as part of a system of other options, either directly in the location (eg pumps in floodable basements) or over time (eg dune restoration as a measure to buy time before retreating).
- Multi-hazard management: option design incorporates considerations in relation to a wider range of known hazards (eg earthquakes, liquefaction).

These characteristics are not mentioned again in the individual option descriptions, unless they do not apply to a specific option or there is a specific element that is worth highlighting.

# **Adaptation Options**

# Buildings

# **Adaptable buildings**

#### PARA category:

# Accommodate

Hazards addressed:



# Cost:

\$ \$\$ \$\$\$



Building and/or resource consent:



Sponge city:

YES



## Advantages:

•

- Can increase design life, value, saleability and insurability of the building.
  - Potential for increased amenity (eg living right by the water), and recreational opportunities (eg boat access).
- Provides flexibility to adapt to future changes.
- Potential for low embodied and/or operational carbon emissions (eg through reuse/ recycling of materials), or longer lifespan.



A modern floating house

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Potentially increased building costs, depending on design, borne by owner, which might increase inequalities.
- Potentially increased building costs, depending on design, borne by owner, which might increase inequalities.
- Likely to require resource and/ or building consent.
- Potentially reduced access to building during flood (assuming road is not raised).
- Might require further incentives
   (eg rates rebates) or regulations
   (eg district plan rules) for
   widespread uptake.
- Takes time for the housing stock to be renewed.
- Can incentivise further development in exposed areas and hence increase future vulnerability.

- Relocatable house in Mapua.
- <u>Floating village in Amsterdam,</u> <u>Netherlands</u>.
- Pātaka store houses, though mainly used to keep food safe from rats, also had the co-benefit of preventing goods from being flooded.

# Dry floodproofing buildings

A building is sealed to prevent

floodwaters from entering. This can

be done through the installation of

temporary or permanent devices

such as door barriers, watertight

window shields, flood gates and

wall or non-return valves to keep

the water out, as well as the use of

sealants and membranes to reduce

seepage of floodwaters through

with other options (eg pumps to

prevent ponding from rainwater or

walls. Might need to be paired

Removable flood barrier for door. Image

courtesy of Lakeside Flood Solutions

rising groundwater).



**Protect** 

Hazards addressed:



Cost: \$\$\$



**Building and/or** resource consent:



Wellington City Council

# Advantages:

- · Relatively easy to install and maintain.
- Can increase design life, value, saleability and insurability of the building.
- Potentially low embodied carbon emissions (depending on materials used).

## **Disadvantages:**

- Costs borne by owner, which might increase inequality.
- Requires early warning systems • and awareness and appropriate and timely actions by owner.
- Might temporarily impede access to building during flood.
- Potential to increase flood risk to neighbouring properties (eg from flood water being diverted onto their land).
- Flood shields and sealants may not be aesthetically pleasing.
- Might require further incentives (eg rates rebates) or regulations (eg building standards update) for widespread uptake.

## Examples:

Queensland Flood resilient building guidance.

# **PARA category:**

# Accommodate

Hazards addressed:

Cost:

\$\$\$

**Flood pumps** 

out from individual properties or neighbourhood areas, with water pumped to designated pits, stormwater drains, streams, rivers or the coast. Often paired with other options (eg wetproofing of buildings or flood gates). Some communities own mobile pumps that can be transported to flooded areas to help mitigate impacts during flood events.

Pumps that remove flood waters

# Advantages:

Relatively easy to implement and operate, with increasing options for automation (eg pump turns itself on if certain water levels are detected). Provides flexibility to adapt to

future changes.

**Building and/or** resource consent:







 Use of flood pumps during Auckland Anniversary weekend flooding.



Flood pump after flooding

# **Disadvantages:**

- Requires pipe system and land for installation of detention pit or tank where water can be pumped to.
- Costs for installation borne by owner, which might increase inequalities.
- Requires ongoing maintenance and/or upgrades.
- Potential negative impacts on neighbouring properties (eg from flood water being diverted onto their land).
- Potential operational carbon emissions (eg depending on how electricity required for pump operation is generated).



# Living/green roofs and walls

Planting of vegetation or garden

beds on the roofs or along the

walls of private, commercial or

industrial buildings of any size

from an otherwise impervious

area at source and temporarily

are usually connected to the

stormwater systems, so water

release into the stormwater

store and slow down water flows.

Bigger living roofs and living walls

system after heavy rainfall can be

controlled. These can be installed

fitted if structural design allows.

• Can increase design life, value

and saleability of property.

Creation of habitats for flora and fauna, including taonga

species, in otherwise unused

mātauranga and Māori values

for design and plants species.

Provides wider ecosystem

services (eg water filtering,

water storage, nutrient

accumulation. decrease

of surface temperatures,

improved air quality). Increased amenity (including noise reduction), recreational opportunities, and opportunity for cultivation of māra kai/

mahinga kai.

Opportunity to include

Advantages:

urban spaces.

on new buildings or retrospectively

to prevent runoff generation

#### **PARA category:**

Accommodate

Hazards addressed:



Cost: \$ **\$\$** \$\$\$

Timeframe:



Building and/or resource consent:



Sponge city:

YES

 Can act as carbon sink (eg if recycled plastics or zero-plastic aggregates used).

#### Disadvantages:

- Costs for installation and maintenance are higher than for a normal roof and borne by owner, which might increase inequalities.
- Careful structural loading and waterproofing is needed to avoid leakage into building.
- Might require further incentives (eg rates rebates) or regulations (eg district plan rules) for widespread uptake by private entities.
- Requires ongoing maintenance and pest management.

#### Examples:

- <u>Living Wall at Victoria</u> <u>University Wellington.</u>
- <u>Green walls at Westfield</u>
   <u>Newmarket</u>.
- <u>Hundertwasser Wairau Māori</u> <u>Arts Centre</u> in Whāngārei.
- The Wellington <u>Water Sensitive</u> <u>Urban Design Guide</u> provides more information on green walls.



Bus shelter at Te Herenga Waka, Victoria University of Wellington



Roof garden

# **Permeable fencing**

#### **PARA category:**

Accommodate

Hazards addressed:

Cost:

\$

S

**Timeframe:** 

## Advantages:

- Relatively easy to install.
- Provides flexibility to adapt to future changes.

Fences that are permeable and

to allow the natural flow of

built of a water resilient material

flood waters and avoid localised

Permeable fences can be made of

pooling of water on individual

and neighbouring properties.

wires, steel, timber or mesh.

• Emissions are likely to be low, depending on materials used.

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Potential impact on amenity, especially visual intrusions, including on neighbouring properties.
- Building and/or resource consent:



Sponge city:

YES

- Might require further incentives (eg rates rebates) or regulations (eg district plan rules) for widespread uptake.
- Requires ongoing maintenance and potentially repair after events.

#### Examples:

• Fences deemed appropriate to use in flood-prone areas by the North Central Catchment Management Authority (Victoria, NSW) can be found in these <u>Fencing Guidelines for</u> <u>Flood-Prone Areas.</u>

# **Raised ground levels**

## PARA category:

# Accommodate

Hazards addressed:

Cost:

\$\$\$

Timeframe:

M

infrastructure is raised to be above expected future flood levels and/ or potential landslide runout areas. Materials commonly used to raise land include soil, rock or concrete. Land can be raised for individual building or infrastructure when newly built or upgraded, or for whole subdivisions.

Land for buildings and

## Advantages:

- Can increase design life, value, saleability and insurability of the building.
- Requires no extra land.
   Detential amonity banefit
- Potential amenity benefits (eg improved views due to higher elevation).

Building and/or resource consent:





Elevated home

## **Disadvantages:**

- Risk of erosion and potential to increase flood risk to neighbouring properties (eg from flood water being diverted onto their land).
- Costs borne by owner, which might increase inequalities.
- Impacts on amenity values and the 'streetscape', including shading of daylight.
- Potentially reduced access to building during flood (if road is not raised).
- Can incentivise further development in exposed areas which might increase future risk and reduce flexibility to adapt to future changes.
- Potentially high embodied carbon emissions (eg depending on materials and methods used to fill in land).

#### Examples:

• Weka St Nelson.

Picket fence with pickets or palings spaced a minimum of 50mm apart and with a 150 mm clearance off the ground

# **Rainwater tanks**

#### **PARA category:**

Accommodate

Hazards addressed:





#### **Timeframe:**



Building and/or resource consent:



Sponge city:

YES

property designed to collect rainwater runoff from roofs, carparks through gutters, pipes and sometimes pumps. Can be above or below ground. This helps control stormwater runoff and reduce peak flows, reduces flooding and pressure on stormwater systems. Can also prevent stormwater from entering soil and reduce potential for landslides.

A sealed tank serving an individual

#### Advantages:

- Easy to install.
  - Stored grey water can be used for gardens, laundry, and reduce costs for water bills.
  - Improved emergency preparedness (eg drought, fire) through alternative water supply.



A 1000L rainwater tank fixed to a fence Image courtesy of <u>Watersmart</u>

- Can design to minimise visual intrusion (eg tank colours/ buried in ground).
- Provides flexibility to adapt to future changes.

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Requires land space.
- Might require further incentives (eg rates rebates) or regulations (eg district plan rules) for widespread uptake.
- Requires ongoing maintenance.
- Potentially high embodied carbon emissions depending on tank materials.

#### Examples:

- Rainwater tanks are one of the approved solutions for managing stormwater runoff for developments in Wellington.
- Puna Wai Ora, also known as Te Hiku Drought Relief Programme, is an iwi-led drought relief programme in the Far North, with the aim to install rain water tanks for Te Hiku whānau (funded with \$8m million grant from the National Emergency Management Agency in the wake of the 2020 drought).

# Wet floodproofing buildings

# PARA category:

# Accommodate

Hazards addressed:

Cost:

SM

\$\$

Timeframe:

**Building and/or** 

Sponge city:

YES

resource consent:

flood waters, ie water is allowed to enter and exit the building, encouraging a more natural flow of water and sand, mud or silt. This can be done by lifting the structure above flood levels (eg on poles or stilts) and/or creating floodable basements (also called sacrificial ground floors) using flood damage resistant building materials, installing vents and ensuring service infrastructure is located above flood levels. Mainly implementable for new builds, but some options are available for wet flood proofing of existing buildings (eg lifting existing timber building by putting it on poles).

Buildings that can accommodate



House being retrospectively raised

### Advantages:

- Can increase design life, value, saleability and insurability of the building.
- Requires no extra land.
- Potentially low embodied carbon emissions (eg through reuse/recycling of materials).

## **Disadvantages:**

- Costs borne by owner, which might increase inequalities.
- Potentially reduced access to building during flood.
- Takes time for the building stock to be renewed, ie widespread implementation takes time.
- Might require further incentives (eg rates rebates) or regulations (eg district plan rules) for widespread uptake.
- Can incentivise further development in exposed areas which might increase future risk and reduce flexibility to adapt to future changes.

- Papakāinga Ngātiawatanga concept design for the Kōkōhīnau Papakāinga Trust.
- Flood resilient Brisbane how to improve flood resilience for homeowners.

# Yard and garden drainage

Installation of small scale drainage

drains, trenches, infiltration boxes

stormwater flow, relieving pressure

on the stormwater system, as well

Relatively easy to install.

water storage, nutrient

accumulation).

Provides wider ecosystem

services (eg water filtering,

as local ponding.

Advantages:

and small detention ponds. This reduces the quantity and speed of

solutions in yards and gardens to

divert and/or temporarily store

water, such as swales, surface

#### **PARA category:**

Accommodate

Hazards addressed:



Cost:



Timeframe:



Building and/or resource consent:



Sponge city:

YES

 Emissions are likely to be low, depending on materials used.

• Provides flexibility to adapt to future changes.

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Requires space on property.
- Requires ongoing maintenance.
- Costs borne by owner, which might increase inequalities.
- Nuisance from bugs/mosquitos, and safety issues if not fenced.
- Might require further incentives (eg rates rebates) or regulations (eg district plan rules) for widespread uptake by private entities.



Backyard drainage system



# Adaptation Options

# General infrastructure

# Increase capacity and durability of built infrastructure

### PARA category:

## Accommodate

repairing or replacing infrastructure (energy, telecommunications, transport, waste, social) with increased ability to withstand, accommodate and recover rapidly from disruptions over its design life. This can include using floodable structures, raising infrastructure or vulnerable components (eg plugs) above flood levels, adaptable designs, or use of water resistant materials (eg stainless steel to reduce corrosion).

Designing and building, upgrading,

#### Advantages:

•

- Might increase design life, value and insurability of infrastructure.
- Increases reliability of provision of services, especially during and after events.
- Can attract further development and economic growth in surrounding areas.

#### Disadvantages:



Wairau Road substation Auckland Image courtesy of Transpower

- Significant installation, upgrading and maintenance works.
- Potential impacts on neighbouring properties (eg through diverting of flood waters, visual impacts, noise).
- Can incentivise further development of buildings and infrastructure in exposed areas, potentially increasing future risk.
- Might require additional land and/or change in land use, leading to a loss of current land uses and potentially habitats and species, including taonga species, ecological connectivity and species migration.
- Potentially high embodied emissions through use of heavy machinery and materials such as concrete.

#### Examples:

- <u>Wairau Road substation in</u> <u>Auckland</u>, built in 2013 to withstand a 1 in a 450 year flood, remained fully operational during the Auckland Anniversary floods in 2023 despite 1.5m of water flowing through it.
- RiverLink, Lower Hutt.

Hazards addressed:









Building and/or resource consent:



# Infrastructure operation and management

#### **PARA category:**

Accommodate

for weather and climate impacts (eg improved monitoring, revised works and maintenance schedules, operating rules of plants, timing of earthworks, creation of disaster mitigation plans, or strategic planning for climate impacts).

Management of infrastructure

and building works that account

# Hazards addressed:



Cost:

Timeframe:

**Building and/or** 

resource consent:

S



#### New Zealand Lifelines Council

Aotearoa New Zealand's Critical Infrastructure A National Vulnerability Assessment

> PART B: MAIN REPORT - INFRASTRUCTURE RESILIENCE IN NEW ZEALAND





Aotearoa New Zealand's Critical Infrastructure Part B, Main Report

## Advantages:

- Potentially increases asset/ design life and reliability of provision of services.
- Does not require physical changes to the asset or the environment.
- Provides flexibility to adapt to future change.

## Disadvantages:

- Might require change in how infrastructure is currently being managed and installation of monitoring and early warning systems.
- Potential reduction of access during and after events.

## Examples:

Transpower's resilience plan includes work to understand the network vulnerabilities, service impacts, and acceptable risk levels for a range of credible resilience threats, including natural hazards exacerbated by climate change.



# Adaptation Options

Wellington City Council

# Stormwater infrastructure

# **Beach drainage**

#### PARA category:

### Accommodate

Hazards addressed:

Cost:

\$\$

M

**Building and/or** 

resource consent:

**Timeframe:** 

Perforated pipes are buried in the upper beach of sandy surf beaches (ie beaches exposed to swell waves) to lower the beach ground water table. This increases the ability of water coming up in waves on the "uprush" to drain into the beach sand and reduces wave energy that moves back out on the wave "backwash", which can encourage sand to stay on the beach. This can reduce coastal erosion and in turn could reduce the potential of wave overtopping on the beach that could flood the hinterland.

#### Advantages:

٠

- Pipes are largely buried, so limited visual impact.
- Relatively simple to install.
- Could help maintain natural habitats for coastal flora and fauna.



- Needs to be paired with pumping.
- Only suitable in specific locations (eg sandy beaches with swell waves that are not eroding long-term).
- Functionality can be reduced during high water levels (eg storm surges/king tides).
- Mixed performance in applications elsewhere, maintenance required and uncertain life expectancy.
- Can incentivise further development in exposed areas which might increase future risk and reduce flexibility to adapt to future changes.

- Beach drainage at Lyall Bay.
- Beach drainage on the <u>Quend-</u> <u>Plage beach, France.</u>



Lyall Bay, Wellington

# **Detention and retention tanks**

Detention and retention tanks

temporarily store stormwater from

impervious surfaces during heavy

rainfall/flood events. Tanks can be

usually made of concrete or plastic.

Water can be slowly released into

stormwater systems post event or

stored and used for other purposes

(eg irrigation) which will reduce the

effect of the stormwater quantity/

catchment. Potential pairing with

other options required (eg pumps).

Can be used for irrigation and

reduce costs for water usage.

emergencies (eg drought, fire).

Can function as alternative

water source in case of

Might increase value and

insurability of neighbouring

Provides flexibility to adapt to

properties and can attract

further investment and economic growth in surrounding area.

future change. Low maintenance.

flooding on the downstream

Advantages:

above or below ground and are



Accommodate

Hazards addressed:





**Timeframe:** 



Building and/or resource consent:



Sponge city:

YES

# Disadvantages:

- Significant installation costs.
- Requires space and potentially the repurposing and/or purchase of additional land.
- Likely to require resource and/ or building consent.
- Potential increase in embodied emissions (eg through use of concrete).

## Examples:

- The Wellington City Council <u>Proposed District Plan</u> requires hydraulic neutrality for more intense developments, including through on-site retention or detention tanks.
- The Wellington <u>Water</u> <u>Sensitive Urban Design Guide</u> provides more information on detention tanks.



Underground stormwater tank installed as part of land development

# **Diversion channels**

# PARA category:

# Accommodate

Hazards addressed:

Cost:

**\$\$** 

Timeframe:

Μ

**Building and/or** 

resource consent:

an alternative route for excess water flow to prevent flooding and reduce landslide risk if water is diverted away from landslide prone slopes. Can be large or small scale and permanently or temporarily (eg to divert water flow during construction) installed on private or public properties. Can be paired with vegetation along the margins.

Engineered channels built to offer

#### Advantages:

- Might increase value, saleability, and insurability of neighbouring properties.
  - Can increase amenity (especially if planted), recreational opportunities (eg walkways along the bank). Can attract further investment
  - and economic growth in surrounding area.

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Requires space and potentially the repurposing and/or purchase of additional land.
- Potential loss of habitats for flora and fauna, at installation site as well as at downstream wetlands and flood plains.
- Potential health and safety hazard (eg due to poor water quality of stormwater overflows or lack of fencing).
- Can incentivise further development in exposed areas which might increase future risk and reduce flexibility to adapt to future changes.
- Potential increase in embodied emissions (eg through use of concrete).

#### **Examples:**

Lower Wairarapa Valley
 Development Scheme (LWVDS).



Ruamahanga River diversion channel (to the right of the barrage gates) as part of the LWVDS, diverting water from its direct course into Lake Wairarapa to prevent flooding

# **Existing stormwater infrastructure** management and upgrade

Upgrade and maintain existing

#### **PARA category:**

Accommodate

Hazards addressed:





Timeframe:



**Building and/or** resource consent:



## stormwater infrastructure to be able to accommodate future water levels. for example through changed design standards (eg such as larger pipes for water, wider streams) and the use of nature-based stormwater management techniques (eg vegetated filter strips or gravel trenches adjacent to roads). This decreases the likelihood of overtopping and flooding, and increases the resilience and reliability of the

#### Advantages:

stormwater system.

- Can attract further investment and economic growth in surrounding area.
- May increase amenity values and recreational opportunities. especially if paired with naturebased solutions (eg tree pits).

# **Disadvantages**:

- Significant installation, upgrading and maintenance costs borne by local government. May lead to increase in rates.
- Construction may put temporary strain on stormwater system and lead to overflows, flooding and erosion, and also impact on other infrastructure (eg roads) and private properties as well as access.

- Might require additional land and/or change in land use, leading to a loss of current land uses and potentially habitats and species, including taonga species, ecological connectivity and species migration.
- Can incentivise further development in exposed areas, which might increase future risk.
- Potential increase in • embodied and/or operational emissions (eg through use of concrete, plastic or steel for construction).

## Examples:

- Bridge to Better Nelson.
- Pinehaven Stream Improvements (Upper Hutt) to provide capacity in the stream for a 1 in 25 year return period flood event, including by building of retaining walls in built up areas and widening of stream where there is space.
- Up to date information on Wellington Water projects underway.



Retaining wall and stream divider as part of the Pinehaven Stream Improvement

# **Overland flowpaths management**

The routes taken by water where

there is no engineered drainage

# PARA category:

# Accommodate





Timeframe:



**Building and/or** resource consent:



network or the network. Overland flow path management involves the identification and creation of flow paths (eg within road corridors, the clearing of blockages such as buildings, fences or other structures or leaves or fallen trees), as well as finding space for and widening floodplains to ensure water can flow freely without causing harm until it reaches a drain, river or the sea. Flow paths need to be kept clear to be effective but some flow paths are combined with planting programmes in the surrounding areas.

# Advantages:

 Can reduce erosion and landslide risk through reduced stormwater flow speeds.



Overland Flowpath Overlay of the Proposed Wellington District Plan

- If planted, flow paths can create habitats for flora and fauna, including taonga species, provide ecological corridors and enhance amenity and recreational opportunities.
- Provide flexibility to adapt to future changes.
- · Can act as carbon sink if planted.

# **Disadvantages:**

- Might require access to and utilisation of private and public properties, and hence coordination of multiple stakeholders (eg councils. NZTA, hapū/iwi, residents, community groups).
- Potential health hazard if stormwater quality is poor.
- Requires ongoing monitoring and maintenance to keep flow paths clear.
- Potential embodied emissions if concrete and heavy machinery used.

# Examples:

 Overland Flowpath mapping and regulations in the Proposed Wellington District Plan - if a house is located in an Overland Flowpath, it will need to be demonstrated that the floor level of the house is above the flood level and that the Overland Flowpath can still function.

# **Pervious surfaces**

Pervious or permeable surfaces

and porous paving allow water to

pass through to the underlying soil

lavers to assist in the reduction of

runoff and flooding. Two distinct

that stormwater travels through

(eg porous concrete or asphalt)

stormwater travels through the

blocks. Mainly used for low-impact

driveways, parking lots, footpaths,

and permeable paving where

gaps between the impervious

transport infrastructure (eg

Can improve water quality

groundwater recharge.

emissions if appropriate

materials are reused (eg

recycled tyres to make porous paving).

by filtering contaminants out

of stormwater and increases

walkways).

Advantages:

types include porous paving



## Accommodate

Hazards addressed:



Cost:



**Building and/or** resource consent:



Sponge city:

YES

# **Disadvantages:**

- Do not withstand heavy traffic verv well and often have a shorter design life than nonpermeable pavings.
- Potential high embodied and/ or operational emissions (eg through use of concrete, plastic or steel).

#### Examples:

- The Wellington Proposed District Plan requires at least 30% of residential sites to have permeable surface (able to absorb water).
- The Wellington Water Sensitive Urban Design Guide provides more information on permeable/porous paving.

# **Pump stations**

# **PARA category:**

# Accommodate

Hazards addressed:

Cost:

**\$\$** 

Timeframe:

Μ

**Building and/or** 

resource consent:

\$\$\$

Pump stations remove waters from flooded areas and pump it to designated water bodies, tanks or drainage areas. They are permanently installed and can function automatically if coupled with an automatic float switch. They tend to be part of a wider municipal flood defence systems (eg when combined with natural floodplains).

# Advantages:

- Can increase value, saleability and insurability of neighbouring properties.
- Easy operation through automated systems.



Flood water being pumped into river

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Requires ongoing maintenance.
- Needs to be built resiliently to provide reliant services (eg above flood levels).
  - Requires a designated area where water can be pumped to and energy to operate.
- May impact on amenity (eg noise during operation).
- Can incentivise further development in exposed areas which might increase future risk.
- Potential increase in embodied (eg through use of concrete) and operational emissions (eg through use of non-renewable energy).

#### Examples:

- Stormwater Pump Station in Waimakariri District.
- Karehana Park flood relief pump station in Plimmerton.

\$ \$\$

**Timeframe:** 



Low maintenance. Potentially low embodied





Pervious pavement around trees along Wellington's waterfront



# **Underground drainage of slopes**



Accommodate

Hazards addressed:

the slope, soil/rock nails and

# Advantages:

properties.

Low maintenance.

Cost: \$\$



# S M

**Building and/or** resource consent:





• Might increase value, saleability,

and insurability of neighbouring

Underground drainage installed

# **Disadvantages:**

- Lowering of groundwater can be harmful to flora and fauna, including taonga species.
- Potentially challenging installation and maintenance and significant costs, depending on site characteristics and scale.
- · Potential increase in embodied emissions (eg through use of concrete).

## Examples:

• Underground slope drainage as part of Nelson's Rocks Road cliff stabilisation.



Underground slope drainage on Transmission Gully



# Adaptation Options

# Nature-based solutions

# **Beach modification**

## PARA category:

# Protect

Cost:

\$

S

**Timeframe:** 

**Building and/or** 

resource consent:

Hazards addressed:

An option that mainly addresses coastal erosion but can also protect from wave overtopping. It involves the redistribution and regrading of on-site beach sand/material to provide natural protection or change conditions to reduce hazard risk. Does not involve addition from any off-site beach sand or other material.

## Advantages:

٠

- Can maintain natural habitats for flora and fauna such as on dunes, including taonga species, both on the beach and in near coastal environments.
- Can improve connection and access to natural environment, including wähi tapu (eg mahinga kai).
  - Can increase amenity and recreational opportunities (eg wider beach) which can raise value and saleability of nearby property and boost local economy (eg through attraction of tourism).

- Lower cost than beach nourishment.
- Provides flexibility to adapt to future change.

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Requires availability of onsite materials and ongoing beach maintenance (regularly, after storm events).
- Can damage natural habitats for flora and fauna, especially benthic communities that live within the sand.
- Temporary reduction of public access and nuisance from noise during grading.
- Operational emissions due to use of heavy machinery during grading works.

#### **Examples:**

• <u>Owhiro Bay beach grading trial</u> 2021/2022.



Owhiro Bay



# **Beach nourishment**

This option mainly addresses

Beach nourishment involves

deposition of off-site sediment

(normally sand) on the beach,

building a buffer between the sea

and the coastal hinterland. The

sand required can be excavated

from nearby accumulating areas,

through offshore dredging, or

other suitable sources. Beach

nourishment can reduce coastal

erosion and in turn could reduce

the potential of wave overtopping

on the beach that could flood the

Can maintain habitats for

including taonga species.

• Can improve connection and

access to natural environment,

coastal flora and fauna,

including wāhi tapu (eg

Can increase amenity and

recreational opportunities (eg wider beach) which can raise value and saleability of nearby property and boost local economy through attraction of

Provides flexibility to adapt to

mahinga kai).

tourism.

future change.

hinterland.

Advantages:

coastal erosion but can also protect

hinterland from wave overtopping.



Protect

Hazards addressed:



Cost:



Timeframe:



Building and/or resource consent:



# Disadvantages:

- Requires ongoing monitoring, additional renourishments, maintenance and reliable material source.
- Costs can be significant if material imported.
- Potential loss of habitats and species, including taonga species, at both source and deposition sites (eg through burying of marine life and disruption of seafloor areas).
- Imported material could impact the mauri of the beach.
- Temporary reduction of public access and nuisance from noise during renourishment.
- Operational emissions due to use of heavy machinery and transport of materials.

- <u>Proposed beach renourishment</u> <u>at Makara Beach.</u>
- <u>Beach nourishment at</u> <u>Oriental Bay.</u>



Beach nourishment at Oriental Bay



Beach nourishment at Oriental Bay

# **Contour drains**

#### PARA category:

Accommodate

Hazards addressed:



Cost:

\$

S

Timeframe:

Building and/or resource consent: contour of a land area to prevent scouring and erosion that can cause landslides, or to divert water away from landslide prone slopes to minimise rainwater from triggering a landslide. Often used during earthworks and construction but also on a small scale on private land and/or next to non-stabilised driveways.

Temporary excavated channels or

ridges, or a combination of both,

that are constructed across the

## Advantages:

- Relatively easy to implement.
- Reduced downstream sedimentation maintains natural habitats for flora and fauna, including taonga species.
  Provides flexibility to adapt to future change.

# Disadvantages:

- Concentrated flows can cause increased erosion and landslides at downstream areas.
- Can damage natural habitats for flora and fauna, including taonga species, on site and change the natural landscape of an area.
- Requires continuous
   maintenance, especially after
   rain events.
- Potential operational emissions due to use of heavy machinery during drain creation.

### **Examples:**

 Environment Canterbury Regional Council information on <u>contour drains.</u>



Contour drain. Source: ResearchGate



# **Creeks and streams restoration**

#### **PARA category:**

Accommodate

Hazards addressed:





**Timeframe:** 



**Building and/or** resource consent:



Sponge city:

YES



#### Advantages:

- Creation of natural habitats for flora and fauna, including taonga species, enhanced ecological connectivity, improved water quality, cooling effects.
- Can enhance natural character, mauri (eg maintain water flows for important puna (springs) and awa (streams and rivers)) and the connection and access to natural environment. including wāhi tapu (eg mahinga kai).
- Increased amenity, recreational opportunities and mental wellbeing by providing green spaces.

Mātauranga could be used to guide the restoration process (eg the identification of original stream locations and native flora and fauna, design of restoration process).

•

- Initial costs can be mitigated with community support (eg planting days), low costs in the long term (easy maintenance once plantings are established, reduced water treatment costs). Can increase property values in surrounding areas.
- Provides flexibility to adapt to future change.
- Can act as carbon sink.

## **Disadvantages:**

- Slopes cut for streams may experience instability and streams may cause instability of existing slopes.
- Requires space and potentially repurposing of land in urban areas.
- Potentially significant costs to create space (eg purchase land) and physically daylight streams as well as to coordinate multiple stakeholders (eg councils and council-controlled organisations such as Wellington Water, hapū/iwi, Department of Conservation, community groups).

- Potential health hazard if stormwater quality is poor.
- Requires ongoing maintenance and pest management.
- Initially potential increase in embodied emissions due to removal of piped infrastructure and use of heavy machinery.

- Houghton Valley Lifting the Creek.
- Mountains to Sea Wellington.
- Project Twin Streams (Auckland).
- Kia Mouriora te Kaiwharawhara Sanctuary to Sea.



An artist's impression of a daylighted Waitangi Stream on Kent/Cambridge Terrace

Buckley Reserve Kids Play Area, Houghton Valley

# **Dry detention basin**

#### **PARA category:**

Accommodate

Hazards addressed:



before slowly releasing it into the storm water system to reduce peak flood flow rates. Allows for sand, mud, silt and pollutants to settle so they can be removed. Does not usually contain permanent pool of water. Suitable for areas with low groundwater levels and often has vegetated buffer around it.

• Easy to install with almost all

soil types, though subsurface

A constructed basin also known as

dry ponds that detain stormwater

## Advantages:



Timeframe:

M

**Building and/or** 

Sponge city:

YES

resource consent:

Cost:

- drainage may be required for non-porous soils.
   Provides flexibility to adapt to future change.
  - Vegetated buffer can act as carbon sink.

## **Disadvantages:**

- Limited water quality treatment ability.
- Decreased amenity values and recreational opportunities.
   Potential decrease in surrounding land values due to unnatural characteristics of basin.
- Nuisance from bugs/mosquitos and potential health and safety issues around water (especially for children) and if water quality is poor.
- Requires space, which might be difficult and costly to obtain in urban environment.

- Costs for building and maintenance.
- Regular maintenance required to prevent blockages and remove mud, silt and sand.
- Potentially high embodied emissions (eg if concrete is used).

#### Examples:

- <u>Watersquare Benthemplein</u> in Rotterdam, The Netherlands – a basketball court when it's dry, used for stormwater detention when it's wet.
- The Wellington <u>Water</u> <u>Sensitive Urban Design Guide</u> provides more information on depression storage.



Vegetated dry detention basin

# **Dune restoration**

# PARA category:

# Protect

Cost:

S

\$ \$\$

Timeframe:

Μ

**Building and/or** 

resource consent:

Hazards addressed:

Restoration of dunes such as through earthworks and dune reshaping and of indigenous plants such as spinifex and pingao. These plants catch windblown sands during storm events and trap it to build and maintain the dunes. Dunes can help absorb wind and wave energy, reduce coastal erosion and create a natural buffer between the sea and land, protecting the coastal hinterland from flooding.

# Advantages:

٠

- Can maintain and restore natural habitats for flora and fauna, including taonga species.
  - Can enhance natural character, mauri, and access to the natural environment, including wāhi tapu (eg mahinga kai).
- Mātauranga could be used to guide the restoration process (eg the type of plant, practices for dune preservation such as selective harvesting of plants).
- Provides flexibility to adapt to future change.
- Can act as a carbon sink.

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Can require additional space and might impede on current uses of coastal areas.
- Can be a barrier to beach access and coastal views, and hence decrease amenity, recreational opportunities and property values.
- Requires ongoing maintenance including of access ways to maintain dune health.

## Examples:

 Dune restoration in Lyall Bay and Island Bay.





Lyall Bay dunes

# **Living shorelines**



**Protect** 

combined with other natural materials such as rocks to support plant growth. They can act as natural "glue" for these environments and increase their ability to absorb and dissipate wave energy.

planting days).

little penguin).

coastal areas.

and mauri.

locations).

future change.

Active planting of shorelines

turf fields) to create a barrier

(including rocky coastal sections,

between land and sea. potentially

Costs can be further mitigated

Can maintain and restore

and fauna, including taonga

species (eg banded dotterel,

Can help reduce drought and

temperature fluctuations in

Can enhance natural character

Mātauranga could be used to

guide the restoration process

materials to use and choice of

Can increase amenity and

recreational opportunities.

Provides flexibility to adapt to

Can act as a carbon sink.

(eg the type of plants and other

with community support (eg

natural coastal habitats for flora

#### Advantages:

\$

Hazards addressed:

**Timeframe:** 

Cost:



**Building and/or** resource consent:



Wellington City Council

# **Disadvantages:**

- Takes time for plants to establish.
- Requires ongoing monitoring, maintenance and pest management.
- Can be a barrier to beach access and coastal views, and hence decrease amenity values, recreational opportunities.

## Examples:

 Planting of rocky shore plants and restoration of coastal turf fields on Taputeranga Island, Houghton Bay and around the South Coast.



Newly planted area at Oruaiti Reserve

# **PARA category:**

# Accommodate

Hazards addressed:

**Rain gardens** 



A shallow landscaped depression

## Advantages:

- Relatively low cost to install. Creates natural habitats for flora and fauna, including taonga species.
- Provides wider ecosystem services such as increased water quality, groundwater recharge, air quality filtering and temperature regulation.
- Mātauranga could also be used to guide the construction process (eg the type of plants).
- Can enhance the connection and access to natural environment, including wai.
- Increased amenity, visual • impacts, recreational opportunities and mental wellbeing through green spaces in the city.
- Provides flexibility to adapt to • future changes.
- Can act as a carbon sink.

**Disadvantages:** 

- Installation and maintenance costs to property owners and/ or community.
- Requires space in communal • zones or on private property.
- Requires maintenance and pest/weed management.
- Might require further incentives (eg rates rebates, public education) or regulations (eg district plan rules) for widespread uptake.
- Potential embodied emissions (eg through use of concrete for curbs).

#### Examples:

- Rain gardens in Swan Lane and Garrett Street.
- National War Memorial Park in Buckle Street will incorporate rain gardens to detain stormwater to irrigate the terraced park.
- The Wellington Water Sensitive Urban Design Guide provides more information on rain gardens.



Swan Lane rain garden Image courtesy of JFC Ltd



Sponge city:

S M

Timeframe:

**Building and/or** resource consent:









YES



Cost:

\$\$\$

# **Riparian planting**

#### **PARA category:**

Accommodate

Hazards addressed:



Cost:



**Timeframe:** 



Building and/or resource consent:



Planting and maintenance of native species along the banks of rivers, streams, drains, wetlands, lakes or ponds to stabilise banks, slow down water flows and decrease risk of erosion. Can also help to stabilise toes of slopes and reduce landslide risk where water flows close to steep hills. Can be combined with other riparian stabilisation techniques such as brush mattresses that help stabilise the slope while vegetation grows.

#### Advantages:

- Low costs, can be mitigated with community support (eg planting days).
- Increased amenity and recreational values.
- Creates natural habitats for flora and fauna, including taonga species, on land and in the water. Provides further ecosystem services such as improved water quality.
- Can enhance natural character and mauri.
- Mātauranga can be used to



Restored Kaiharawhara Stream with a healthy riparian plant coverage. Image courtesy of Steve Attwood - Auldwood Birds

guide the planting process (eg the successional planting of different species at different stages of the year, woven brush mattresses to help stabilise riparian margins).

- Relatively easy and low cost to install and maintain.
- Provides flexibility to adapt to future change.
- Can act as carbon sink.

### Disadvantages:

- Costs associated with initial site preparation, planting, maintenance, restoration (eg if plants washed away during floods) and weed/ pest management, but costs can be partly mitigated with community support (eg planting days, maintenance and pest management).
- Requires consent of landowner, potential access issues on private land (could be mitigated by placing covenants on land)
- Takes time for plants to grow and maximise stabilisation effects.

#### Examples:

- Wellington City Council <u>Stream</u> <u>Restoration Planting Guide</u>
- The Wellington <u>Water Sensitive</u> <u>Urban Design Guide</u> provides more information on riparian buffers.

# **Seaweed restoration**

# PARA category:

# Protect

Hazards addressed:



Restoration of seaweeds on

#### Advantages:

- Can maintain and restore
   natural marine habitats for flora and fauna, including taonga species.
  - Provides wider ecosystem services such as water filtering, more stable marine temperatures and control of ocean acidification.
  - Can enhance natural character and mauri.
  - Mātauranga could be used to guide the restoration process (eg the types of seaweeds and choice of location).
  - Can increase amenity and recreational opportunities (eg diving, fishing).
  - Can increase productivity of local fisheries and seaweed production.
  - Provides flexibility to adapt to future change.
  - Can act as carbon sink.

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Can be very difficult to implement properly, requires a lot of knowledge around specific species and where to best locate seaweed beds.
- Takes time for plants to establish.
- Costs for initial planting and ongoing maintenance.
- Requires monitoring, maintenance and pest management
- Can impede current use of the sea.

#### Examples:

- Love Rimu-rimu Regenerating Wellington's Underwater Forests.
- <u>Operation Crayweed</u> Restoring Sydney's Underwater Forests.



Seaweed along the Wellington coastline Image courtesy of Mountains To Sea Wellington

Cost: \$ \$\$ \$\$\$



Building and/or resource consent:



# **Swales**



**Accommodate** 

Hazards addressed:





Timeframe:



**Building and/or** resource consent:



Sponge city:

YES

# **Disadvantages:**

- Costs for installation and maintenance (eg to prevent the accumulation of sand, silt and mud and debris such as leaves that could block drains).
- Requires space, which may be difficult and costly to obtain in highly urbanised areas.
- Changes in rainfall patterns may cause the system to become less effective.

## Examples:

 The Wellington Water Sensitive Urban Design Guide provides more information on swale.

# **PARA category:**

Terracing

# Accommodate

Hazards addressed:



# Advantages:

- Can create and maintain natural habitats for flora and fauna. including taonga species, both on the terraces and downstream (through reduced sedimentation).
  - Terraces can be used for other purposes (eg gardens, buildings and infrastructure).
- future change. Can act as carbon sink if planted.

• Provides flexibility to adapt to

## **Disadvantages:**

- Does not reduce deep seated landslides.
- Requires space and usually needs significant amount of earthworks and access for machinerv.
- Potentially high costs for ٠ creation, depending on size.

- Can damage natural habitats for flora and fauna, including taonga species, on site and change the natural landscape and mauri of an area.
- Requires ongoing maintenance, especially after rain events, and pest management.
- Some embodied emissions due to use of heavy machinery and materials used during terrace creation.

#### Examples:

• Terracing was part of the slope stabilisation package at Transmission Gully.



Terracing on Transmission Gully



Swales at Harbour Quays, Centreport

A landscaped vegetated channel

that helps filter stormwater and

or disposal into the stormwater

enables it to infiltrate into the soil

system. Swales generally work best

on flat land or on gentle slopes that

allow the plants to have more time

to influence the water. Swales can

or constructed with a specified

and selected plants (bio-swale).

Creation of natural habitats for

flora and fauna, and provision

of wider ecosystem benefits,

Mātauranga can be used to

Can act as carbon sink.

guide the planting (eg type of

such as improved water quality.

Advantages:

plants).

either be simple vegetated systems

filter materials, underground drains









**Building and/or** resource consent:



Sponge city:



YES



Cost:

# **Tree pits**



Accommodate

Hazards addressed:



Cost:



**Timeframe:** 



Building and/or resource consent:



Sponge city:

YES

## Pits along sidewalks that trees are planted in with underground stormwater drains that capture water filtered through the pit. Tree pits capture and slow down stormwater runoff from the street and surrounding impermeable areas and temporarily store water before it enters the stormwater

# Advantages:

system.

- Requires very little space and can be incorporated widely across the city.
- Relatively easy and low cost to install and maintain.
- Creation of natural habitats for birds and other fauna in urban spaces, with an opportunity for incorporation of indigenous trees to urban spaces.
- Mātauranga could be used to guide vegetation (eg the types of native trees).
- Provides wider ecosystem services such as increased water quality, groundwater recharge, air quality filtering and temperature regulation.
  - Can enhance te mauri o te taiao.
- Increases amenity values, visual impacts, and mental wellbeing through greening the city scape.
- Can act as carbon sink.

# Disadvantages:

- Flooding can still occur if above design parameters or if not properly maintained (eg mulch can get clogged).
- Requires ongoing maintenance and pest management.
- Might increase fire risk during drought and health hazard during strong winds.

- Tree pits at Pukeahu National War Memorial Park, Waitangi Park.
- <u>Giess den Kiez</u> ('Pour the neighbourhood') – online platform in Berlin, Germany, that allows citizens to coordinate the watering of Berlin's trees using an interactive map.



Tree pit at Pukeahu National War Memorial Park



# **Vegetating hills and slopes**

Hills and slopes that are vegetated

with grass, bush or trees to reduce,

slow down and filter stormwater

runoff, minimise erosion potential

and stabilise slopes. Can be used

on natural or engineered slopes

(eg where cuts have been made to

flora and fauna, including

taonga species, on site and in

downslope environments, as

well as further environmental

water, clean air, temperature

Can enhance natural character

and mauri, especially if native

Mātauranga could be used to

of plants and successional

guide vegetation (eg the types

planting of different species at

different stages of the year).

Increased amenity, visual

opportunities and mental

impacts, recreational

Can increase value of

surrounding land and

wellbeing.

co-benefits (eg filtered

regulation).

species used.

#### **PARA category:**

Accommodate

# Hazards addressed:



create roads).

Cost:





**Timeframe:** 



**Building and/or** resource consent:



Sponge city:

YES

# **Disadvantages:**

- May not be enough to prevent landslides under all conditions.
- Costs for initial planting and ongoing weed/pest management, but costs can be mitigated with community support (eg planting days).
- Requires space in communal zones or on private property.
- Requires maintenance and pest/weed management.
- Might increase wildfire risk during drought.

#### Examples:

- Forest in the Heart of Wellington project.
- Auckland's Urban Ngahere (Forest) Strategy.



Forest in the Heart of Wellington project planting in Tawatawa Reserve

## PARA category:

# Accommodate

Hazards addressed:

Cost:

Wet pond

has a permanent pool of water and is used to temporarily collect and store storm water. Water is held in the pond for a time, allowing sand, mud, silt and pollutants to settle so they can be removed. Water is then slowly released into stormwater systems post event to mitigate the size and intensity of flooding downstream or stored and used for other purposes (eg irrigation). Most ponds have some vegetation surrounding them.

A constructed basin or pond that

## Advantages:

- Can create natural habitats for flora and fauna, including taonga species, if planted, and can provide wider ecosystem services (eg improved water quality).
- Mātauranga Māori can help • populate these new spaces with appropriate vegetation and help determine where to place the ponds.
- Can serve as alternative water supply (eg irrigation), especially in times of drought.
- Can be built on steeper slopes ٠ with terraces.
- Provides flexibility to adapt to • future change.
- Can act as carbon sink if vegetated.

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Requires space, which might be difficult and costly to obtain in urban environment.
- Can lead to loss of natural habitats for flora and fauna on site and/or in downstream locations (eg through release of warmer water).
- Medium costs for installation and maintenance, depending on size, scale and complexity, and whether additional land needs to be purchased.
- Nuisance from bugs/mosquitos and potential safety issues around water (especially for children).

#### Examples:

- Aotea Stormwater Pond Porirua.
- The Wellington Water Sensitive Urban Design Guide provides more information on ponds.



Aotea Stormwater Pond Porirua

properties.

- Relatively easy to implement and maintain at a low cost.
- Can act as carbon sinks.





Sponge city:

YES



M

**\$\$** 

**Timeframe:** 

\$\$\$



# Wetlands: constructed

#### **PARA category:**

Accommodate

Hazards addressed:



**\$\$** 

**Timeframe:** 

Μ

**Building and/or** 

Sponge city:

YES

resource consent:

\$\$\$

Cost:

An engineered shallow-water environment that provides treatment and storage of stormwater and/or tidal flows in a series of shallow pools that are planted with riparian or emergent vegetation. Depending on design and storage capacity, can provide protection through buffering of flows during frequent small or more infrequent medium/large rainfall events or coastal inundation that are retained in the wetland and released slowly.



Constructed wetland at Waitangi Park, Wellington



Schematic main of constructed wetland components

#### Advantages:

- Creates habitats for flora and fauna, including taonga species
   Provides wider ecosystem services such as improved water quality on site and in downstream environments by filtering out nutrients, sand, mud, silts and microbes such as E.coli.
- Costs can be mitigated through community involvement (eg for planting, regular maintenance and pest control).
- Low maintenance once established.
- Can enhance natural character and mauri.
- Mātauranga could be used to guide the restoration process (eg the type of plants, the location of wetland).
- Can increase amenity, recreational opportunities and value of surrounding land and properties.
- Can reduce the risk of wildfires.
  Provides flexibility to adapt to future change.
- Can act as a carbon sink.

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Significant installation and maintenance costs, but costs can be partly mitigated with community support (eg planting days, maintenance and pest management).
- Requires a lot of space and time to fully restore to a self-sustaining wetland.
- Might need a sustainable water source during dry spells to maintain the health of the wetland.
- Nuisance from bugs/mosquitos and potential safety issues around water (especially for children).
- Can impede beach and river access and coastal views.
- Potential embodied emissions if concrete features used and due to machinery use during construction.

- Constructed wetland at Waitangi Park.
- Porirua constructed wetland Te Kukuwai ō Toa.
- Manmade <u>kūkūwai at Zealandia</u> <u>Te Māra a Tāne.</u>
- The Wellington <u>Water</u>
   <u>Sensitive Urban Design Guide</u>
   provides more information on
   constructed wetlands.

# Wetlands: restored or preserved

#### PARA category:

Accommodate

Wetlands are permanently or intermittently wet areas that support natural ecosystems that can reduce the impacts of flooding. Preserving existing or restoring former natural wetlands along coasts and rivers with indigenous species reinstates natural water table levels and flows as well as floodplains, allowing them to absorb heavy rain and release water gradually. Wetlands also help stabilise shorelines and riverbanks.









Timeframe:



Building and/or resource consent:



Sponge city:

YES



Restored wetland within Kāpiti's Queen Elizabeth Park

#### Advantages:

- Can restore natural habitats for flora and fauna, including taonga species.
- Provide wider ecosystem services such as improved water quality on site and in downstream environments by filtering out nutrients, sand, mud, silt, and microbes such as E.coli.
- Can enhance natural character, mauri and the connection to natural environment, including wāhi tapu (eg mahinga kai).
- Mātauranga could be used to guide the restoration process (eg where wetlands originally existed, soil health or the appropriate plants to use).
- Can increase amenity, recreational opportunities and mental wellbeing through providing natural open spaces.
- Can increase value of surrounding land and properties.
- Can reduce the risk of wildfires.
- Low maintenance once established, and a very long life span (>100 years).
- Provides flexibility to adapt to future change.
- Can act as carbon sink.

•

## **Disadvantages:**

- Requires a lot of space and time to fully restore to a self-sustaining wetland but costs can be partly mitigated with community support (eg planting days, maintenance and pest management).
- Nuisance from bugs/mosquitos and potential safety issues around water (especially for children).
- Can impede beach and river access and coastal views.

- Waikoko Wetland on Mana Island, Keith Taylor Wetland.
- <u>Pikiraurahi, Te Pā Mahika Kai</u> wetland restoration by Awarua Rūnaka.
- <u>Te Reo o Te Repo: The Voice</u> of the Wetland is a handbook that highlights a range of mahi (work) undertaken by iwi (tribes) and hapū (subtribes) to increase the health and wellbeing of their repo (wetlands).
- <u>Nga-Roto-Ta-Pokapoka Te</u> <u>Hiku o te Ika dune lakes/</u> <u>wetland restoration</u> was an iwiled project aimed at increasing skills and knowledge and improve the lake environments.

# **Adaptation Options**

# Hard protection/ structural approaches

# **Bunds**

#### PARA category:

# Protect

Cost:

Hazards addressed:

Less engineered embankments than stopbanks next to a river, the sea or water diversion channels to protect the hinterland from flooding. Bunds can be built quickly, usually made of local gravel or soils, and involve only minor foundation preparation.

#### Advantages:

- low construction costs.
- Fairly flexible, can be adapted and managed to accommodate for future conditions.
- operational emissions if local materials used.





Timeframe:



**Building and/or** 



- Relatively easy to construct and
- Likely low embodied and





resource consent:



## **Disadvantages:**

- Loss of natural habitats for flora and fauna through construction and by removing materials (eg from riverbed).
- Can decrease natural character. mauri and the connection and access to natural environment, including wāhi tapu (eg mahinga kai).
- Reduced amenity (eg loss of views) and recreational opportunities (eg fishing).
- Can incentivise further development in exposed areas which might increase future risk and reduce flexibility to adapt to future changes.
- Requires regular maintenance.

#### Examples:

 Jellicoe Marsh bund in Christchurch.



Gravel bund on Wellington's South Coast

# **Detached breakwaters** and artificial reefs



Protect

Hazards addressed:



Advantages:

Cost:



**Timeframe:** 



**Building and/or** resource consent:



# Might reduce amenity (eg visual impacts) and recreational opportunities such as by changing surf conditions.

- Can incentivise further development in exposed areas which might increase future risk and reduce flexibility to adapt to future changes.
- Construction materials might be difficult to source.
- Potentially high embodied emissions depending on materials used.

# Examples:

- Wellington Seaview Marina.
- Artificial reef creation as part of building the Ngā Ūranga ki Pito-One (Ngauranga to Petone) section of Te Ara Tupua walking and cycling pathway.

Groynes



# Protect



Hazards addressed:





**Building and/or** resource consent:



designed to trap sediment along the coast and restrict the transfer of sand away from the beach through long shore drift. They are placed at 90 degree angles to the shore and are usually made of wood or concrete. While they are aimed at reducing coastal erosion, groynes could have secondary benefits for coastal flooding such as by reducing potential wave overtopping by building up the beach and potentially providing a buffer to protest sand dunes.

Groynes are physical structures

# Advantages:

•

- Relatively easy to construct and low maintenance.
  - Potential improved amenity and recreational opportunities (eg creation and maintenance of a beach, improved shape of surfing waves).
  - Ecosystems might establish in calmer areas down-drift.

# **Disadvantages:**

- Potential loss of coastal habitats for flora and fauna (eg disruption of seabed may affect kaimoana) and disruption of natural processes.
- Can increase erosion to neighbouring sections of coast.
- Can decrease visual amenity, natural character and mauri.
- May impact recreational opportunities (eg creation of rip currents alongside groynes could be dangerous to swimmers).
- Can incentivise further • development in exposed areas which might increase future risk and reduce flexibility to adapt to future changes.
- · Potentially high embodied carbon if heavy machinery and concrete used.

## Examples:

Omaha Beach.



Grovne in Oriental Bay



wave energy areas.

A structure made of rocks

energy at the coast which

or concrete, built parallel to

the coastline to reduce wave

encourages sediment to build

They can be above or below sea

Can increase recreational

opportunities by building up

beaches and providing low

level, where the latter may be

referred to as artificial reefs.

up and make beaches wider.

Impacts to seabed and kaimoana during and after construction.



# **Retaining walls**

#### **PARA category:**

**Protect** 

Hazards addressed:





\$\$\$

Timeframe:



**Building and/or** resource consent:



reinforced concrete block. concrete, steel or timber, installed to support steep cut or fill slopes by providing structural resistance to movement and draining of groundwater from slope. Deep in ground retaining walls and palisade walls can be used to stabilise landslides without retaining any above ground soil. Living crib walls made of timber, on-site fill material and live branch cuttings can stabilize steep banks and the toe of a slope from minor movements and settlements. Can be small scale

Structures usually made of

## Advantages:

scale.

 Might maintain property/ land values, saleability and insurability.

(eg on individual property) or large

- Requires little space.
- Mātauranga could be used to guide the design and construction process, especially for living crib walls (eg plant selection, weaving techniques).
- Low embodied emissions if local natural materials used.

## **Disadvantages**:

- Costs usually borne by owner, which might increase inequalities.
- Requires monitoring and maintenance over time.

- Risk of damage from earthquakes (especially for older retaining walls).
- High embodied emissions if concrete or similar and/or imported materials used.

#### Examples:

• The heritage listed Carlton Gore Road and Retaining Wall is an early civil engineering structure in Wellington designed to improve access between Oriental Bay and Roseneath.



Retaining wall with wall made of timber



Carlton Gore Road and Retaining Wall

# **Rockfall barriers, catch areas, rock sheds**

#### **PARA category:**

Hazards addressed:

\$\$

**Timeframe:** 

M

**Building and/or** 

resource consent:

nossible

Cost:

# Protect

Rockfall barriers, catch areas. and rocks seeds are all passive protection measures that prevent damage to downslope areas from upslope rockfall source areas. They do not prevent rockfall, but mitigate its consequences.

## Advantages:

- · Relatively easy to install and maintain.
- Do not take much space to implement.

#### landslides, only protects development at toe of slope from rockfall hazard.

**Disadvantages:** 

- Requires regular maintenance (to remove accumulated rockfall debris).
- Can decrease amenity (especially visual impacts).

Does not increase stability

of slope against deep seated

## Examples:

 Debris fencing on Moana Avenue, Nelson.



Rockfall barrier



# **Sea walls**

#### PARA category:

Protect

Cost:

\$\$

Timeframe:

Building and/or resource consent:

SM

Hazards addressed:

\$\$\$

Engineered structures along the coastline with the purpose to deflect wave energy and/or protect the coastal hinterland from storm surges and waves. Seawalls are usually made of concrete, boulders or steel, but can also be made of other materials such as wood, aluminium, fiberglass or biodegradable sandbags.



Oriental Bay sea wall today



Ecological kete-like tiles being attached to the new rock embankment at the southern end of Te Whanganui-a-Tara (Wellington Harbour)

#### Advantages:

- Can create new habitats if combined with nature-based elements (eg living sea walls by ecological tiles).
- Can maintain property and land values, resaleability and insurability.
- Does not require much space.

#### **Disadvantages:**

- May worsen risk from other hazards (eg erosion on other parts of coast), and may result in scour fronting the wall.
- Significant construction costs, often paid by local government with costs retrieved from communities through levies or rates increases. Might increase inequality as often unaffordable for poorer areas.
- Loss of coastal habitats for flora and fauna (disruption of seabed may affect kaimoana) and disruption of natural processes.
- Can decrease natural character, mauri and the connection and access to natural environment, including wāhi tapu (eg mahinga kai).
- Can incentivise further development in exposed areas which might increase future risk and reduce flexibility to adapt to future changes.

- Might reduce amenity (eg visual impacts) and recreational opportunities (eg loss of beach due to erosion).
- Might require maintenance and upgrades after events or to adapt to changing conditions.
- Construction materials might be difficult to source.
- Potentially high embodied carbon.

#### Examples:

- <u>Testing of ecological tiles on</u> <u>Wellington seawalls</u> in Lyall Bay and Owhiro Bay.
- Seawalls to protect the
   <u>Ngā Ūranga ki Pito-One</u>
   (Ngauranga to Petone) section
   of Te Ara Tupua walking and
   cycling pathway.



Wellington City Council

# Soil nails, and soil and rock anchors

forces, typically made of steel

stabilise slope.

Advantages:

landscape.

and insurability.

bars grouted into place. Can be

combined with shotcrete to further

Can be designed and installed

over short timeframe and

retrofitted to suit existing

property/land values, saleability

Might maintain existing

#### **PARA category:**

Protect

Hazards addressed:



Cost: **\$\$** 

**Timeframe:** 



**Building and/or** resource consent:



#### Measures to reinforce large steep **Disadvantages:** slopes and counteract destabilising

- High installation costs and can be challenging to install.
- Can incentivise further development in exposed areas which might increase future risk and reduce flexibility to adapt to future changes.
- High embodied emissions if concrete and/or imported materials and heavy machinery used.

## Examples:

- Rocks Road Nelson.
- Ngaio Gorge slope stabilisation • project.



# **PARA category:**

# Protect

Hazards addressed:

Cost:

\$\$

Timeframe:

**Building and/or** 

resource consent:

\$\$\$



## Advantages:

•

- Increased recreational opportunities (eg walkways on top).
  - Can be combined with planting programmes that can incorporate matauranga and enhance biodiversity.

### **Disadvantages:**

- Can increase velocity of water and risk of flooding downstream due to loss of natural flood plains.
- Need to consider potential • impacts on local drainage.
- Might increase inequality as often unaffordable for poorer areas.

- Loss of natural habitats for flora and fauna.
- Can decrease natural character, mauri and the connection and access to natural environment. including wāhi tapu (eg mahinga kai).
- Reduced amenity (eg loss of views) and recreational opportunities (eg fishing).
- Can incentivise further • development in exposed areas which might increase future risk and reduce flexibility to adapt to future changes.

## Examples:

- Hinemaurea ki Mangatuna Marae is protected by flood banks.
- Tangoio Marae looked at a range of adaptation options but found that the only feasible option is to protect the Marae by rebuilding the western stopbank and develop it further at its current location.



Stop banks along Te Awa Kairangi/Hutt River



Slope stabilisation on Lennel Road in Wadestown erosion netting, anchoring and spraying of shotcrete

Wellington City Council

# Adaptation Options

# Strategic and land use planning

# **Building/development standards**

Building and development

and growth strategies are

updated or developed with a

standards and guides, land use plan

rules, bylaws, urban development

#### PARA category:

## Accommodate

Hazards addressed:

Cost:

\$ \$\$

Timeframe:

**Building and/or** 

Sponge city:

resource consent:

SM

N/A

YES

focus on reducing exposure and vulnerability to hazards. This could include matters like setbacks from the coast, rivers or cliffs, minimum ground/floor levels, drainage systems, flood proofing, property level water retention, structural specifications or reduced consent

#### Advantages:

durations.

- Opportunities to incorporate sustainable building practices, including nature-based elements (eg raingardens and green roofs).
- Can increase value, insurability and saleability of new and upgraded houses.
- Can increase design life of new and upgraded developments.
- Provides flexibility to adapt to future change.
- Opportunity to integrate emission considerations into design.

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Impacts not felt until medium term due to delays in implementation.
- Only applies to new houses, significant upgrades and redevelopments but does not reduce risk to existing houses.
- Increased costs to developers and property owners due to need to comply with new provisions, which might increase inequalities.
- Potentially lengthy plan change processes, delayed implementation.

- <u>The Proposed Wellington</u> <u>District Plan</u> requires hydraulic neutrality for more intensive developments (eg through rainwater retention), at least 30% of a residential site to have a permeable surface, and for water sensitive design methods to be incorporated into larger developments (four or more units and non-residential development).
- The Wellington Water Sensitive <u>Urban Design (WSUD) Guide</u> sets out the city's approach to water resource management in urban environments, integrating natural water systems with built form and landscape.

# **Pro-active strategic relocation**

#### **PARA category:**

# **Relocate**/ Retreat

Hazards addressed:





Timeframe:



**Building and/or** resource consent:

N/A

Pro-active relocation planning, zoning and implementation to remove houses, other buildings and infrastructure from areas of high current or future risk. This can be done through public acquisition of high-risk land (eg through voluntary or mandatory buyouts, land swaps, leasebacks, future interests. conservation easements. transferable development rights) and repurposing of original areas (eg re-naturalising them). Ideally includes the identification and/ or provision of low risk land to move to.

#### Advantages:

- Can eliminate risk to life. buildings and infrastructure in high risk areas.
  - Long timeframes allow time for deliberation and planning to occur to ensure beneficial outcomes, including for future generations.
- Opportunity for community to shape new neighbourhoods with access to improved housing, services (health, education) and environmental benefits (eg blue-green infrastructure, reserves, lower emissions).
- Opportunity to re-naturalise origin area to create natural habitats for flora and fauna and enhance mauri.

- Mātauranga could be used to guide the retreat process (eg definition of triggers, signals and thresholds. identification of low risk areas to move to, monitoring of conditions).
- Potential to re-use materials and integrate emission considerations into new developments and create carbon sinks in original area.

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Requires significant upfront investment by government, landowners, businesses, infrastructure providers and potentially other organisations (like insurers and banks).
- Potential loss of cohesion. cultural identity, wāhi tapu, wellbeing, sense of place and belonging.
- Potential to perpetuate impacts of colonisation such as land loss.
- Requires coordination of all those affected with well run planning processes based on meaningful engagement.
- Takes a lot of time.
- Currently lack of national guidance, policy mechanisms, processes, funding and implementation support for the implementation of successful retreat.

- Likely to require plan changes and resource and building consents, together with strengthening of legislation.
- Not flexible once retreat process started (due to investment in infrastructure).

- Project Twin Streams purchased and removed properties to reduce the risk of flood damage and make stream banks more accessible for restoration planting.
- Māori and other Indigenous peoples have rich histories of relocations, utilising their own Indigenous knowledge, local implementation, and adaptability to natural hazards.
- Managed retreat as one strategic area of the Ka Mate Kaainga Tahi, Ka ora Kaainga Rua the Ngaa Rauru Kiitahi climate change strategy.



Relocating a house



Restoration planting

# **Re-active relocation**

Withdrawal, removal or relocation

of people, private and/or public

assets due to immediate danger

or after hazard has occurred (eg

through emergency evacuation,

post-disaster buyouts or post-

buildings often occurs.

enhance mauri.

Christchurch Red Zone

Advantages:

insurance withdrawal buyouts).

Significant damage to assets and

Opportunity to re-naturalise

origin area to create natural

Potential to re-use materials

and integrate emission

considerations into new

developments and create

carbon sinks in original area.

habitats for flora and fauna and

#### PARA category:

Relocate/ Retreat

Hazards addressed:



Cost:



Timeframe:



Building and/or resource consent:

N/A

# Disadvantages:

- Lack of time to plan can lead to potential loss of livelihoods, housing, infrastructure, sovereignty, cultural identity, wāhi tapu, sense of belonging and community.
- May disproportionately affect vulnerable communities and limit ability for just and flexible adaptation response.
- Significant costs for emergency response, temporary housing and rebuilding.
- Potential impacts to papakāinga and connection to place for Māori.
- Contamination from flooded/ destroyed properties and assets.
- May reduce future generations'
   ability to adapt.
- Compressed timeline and
   unstructured retreat process.
- Appropriate locations for resettlement might not be immediately available.
- Requires coordination between multiple stakeholders.
- Potential increase of emissions during emergency response and as limited reuse of materials from damaged houses and infrastructure.

# Examples:

• Christchurch Red Zone.



# Restricting future development in high-risk areas and/or other activities that increase risk

#### PARA category:

## **Avoid**

Hazards addressed:



Cost:

Timeframe:



Building and/or resource consent:

N/A

Identifying areas of high risk and restricting activities that could increase the risk (eg subdivision, intensification, new developments, social infrastructure) under future climate conditions in land use plans (eg through (re)zoning and limiting/prohibiting activities). Can be done by phasing activities out over time as risk increases (eg temporarily allowing movable houses) or all at once (eg following a hazard event).

# Advantages:

- Can increase value, insurability and saleability of land and houses in low risk areas.
  - Costs for plan development and changes usually within normal council budgets.
- Opportunity to enhance environment and te mauri o te taiao (eg by rezoning land as open space or conservation land).
- Mātauranga could be used to guide the planning process (eg identification of areas and sites of cultural significance/wāhi tapu at risk and appropriate responses).
- Increase health and wellbeing through new recreational opportunities.
- Provides flexibility to adapt to future change.

 Reduction in emissions through avoidance of new developments and opportunity to integrate emission considerations into design.

# **Disadvantages:**

- Often long planning processes with hearings and legal challenges.
- Impacts not felt until medium term as it takes time for the building stock to renew.
- Might lead to loss of insurability, saleability and property values in high risk areas, potentially increasing inequalities.

# Examples:

 <u>The Wellington District Plan</u> places restrictions on building in high-risk areas (eg restricts the building of new sensitive activities, such as schools, hospitals or residential housing), or requires special design such as higher floor levels.



Flooding and coastal inundation overlays in the 2024 District Plan

# Spatial and growth/ development planning

PARA category:

# identify areas of current and future hazard risk as well as areas free of risk that will be suitable for future development, among other things such as **Avoid** community and transport hubs. Planning for Growth Mayor's Message Vol. 1: Context Vol. 2: Key Influences Home Hazards addressed: 9 Legend Cost: Indicative Cost of Development in Hazard Risk Areas **\$\$** Highly resilient development required High development cost **Timeframe:** Medium development cost Low development cost Public Open Spaces **Building and/or** resource consent: N/A

Spatial and growth development plans set out where and how the city

seeks to develop in the medium term. Hazard information is used to

Wellington Spatial Plan map displaying indicative cost of development in hazard risk areas

#### Advantages:

- Takes an integrated, all hazards approach – combined with a broad focus on community aspirations and needs.
- Can direct development and activities away from areas at risk and/or identify appropriate actions to manage their future
- use dependent on the level of risk (eg modern building design).
- Can lead to increased and less costly development as well as higher house and land values and insurability in low risk areas.
- Community can provide input and shape the future of their city, with future generations in mind.
- Can help facilitate retreat discussions.
- Opportunity to enhance environment and te mauri o te taiao (eg by rezoning land as open space or conservation land).
- Mātauranga could be used to guide the planning process (eg identification of areas and sites of cultural significance/wāhi tapu at risk and areas of high/ low risk).
- Can enable living in papakāinga, and encourage cultural practices such as, tikanga and mātauranga Māori.
- Possibility to integrate emission considerations into new developments and city design (eg 15 minute city).

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Costs for spatial plan development and implementation.
- Might lead to decrease in land and house values and insurability in high risk areas.
- Might change the amenity and character of a city.
- Takes time to develop and implement spatial plan.
- Requires coordination of multiple stakeholders (including government agencies, business, local residents, iwi).
- Requires visionary political leadership and/or legislative direction.

- Our City Tomorrow: Spatial <u>Plan for Wellington City</u> aims to ensure that new communities are built in less vulnerable locations and new buildings are safe and built with risk in mind.
- 3 Waters Assessment to support spatial plan development.
- Maketu Climate Change Adaptation Plan He Toka Tu Moana Mo Maketu, includes as one of the actions Maketu spatial mapping and planning to show what Maketu might look like in 30-50 years time, in relation to climate change.

| Adaptation<br>Option                                      | PARA<br>Category | Hazard/s<br>Addressed                       | Cost<br>Range | Timeframe            | Consent<br>Requirement | Sponge<br>City |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Buildings                                                 |                  |                                             |               |                      |                        |                |
| Adaptable buildings                                       | Accommodate      | Flooding, Coastal Inundation                | \$\$          | Medium term          | Likely                 | Yes            |
| Dry floodproofing buildings                               | Protect          | Flooding, Coastal Inundation                | \$-\$\$       | Short term           | Possible               | No             |
| Flood pumps                                               | Accommodate      | Flooding, Coastal Inundation                | \$-\$\$       | Short term           | Likely                 | No             |
| Living/green roofs and walls                              | Accommodate      | Flooding                                    | \$\$          | Short to medium term | Likely                 | Yes            |
| Permeable fencing                                         | Accommodate      | Flooding                                    | \$            | Short term           | Possible               | Yes            |
| Raised ground levels                                      | Accommodate      | Flooding, Coastal Inundation,<br>Landslides | \$-\$\$       | Medium term          | Likely                 | No             |
| Rainwater tanks                                           | Accommodate      | Flooding, Landslides                        | \$            | Short term           | Possible               | Yes            |
| Wet floodproofing buildings                               | Accommodate      | Flooding, Coastal Inundation                | \$\$          | Short to medium term | Likely                 | Yes            |
| Yard and garden drainage                                  | Accommodate      | Flooding                                    | \$-\$\$       | Short to medium term | Possible               | Yes            |
| Infrastructure general                                    |                  |                                             |               |                      |                        |                |
| Increase capacity and durability of built infrastructure  | Accommodate      | Flooding, Coastal Inundation,<br>Landslides | \$\$\$        | Short to medium term | Likely                 | No             |
| Infrastructure operation<br>and management                | Accommodate      | Flooding, Coastal Inundation,<br>Landslides | \$\$          | Short term           | Unlikely               | No             |
| Stormwater infrastructure                                 |                  |                                             |               |                      |                        |                |
| Beach drainage                                            | Accommodate      | Coastal Inundation, Flooding                | \$\$          | Medium term          | Likely                 | No             |
| Detention and retention tanks                             | Accommodate      | Flooding                                    | \$\$          | Medium term          | Likely                 | Yes            |
| Diversion channels                                        | Accommodate      | Flooding, Landslides                        | \$\$          | Short to medium term | Likely                 | No             |
| Existing stormwater infrastructure management and upgrade | Accommodate      | Flooding                                    | \$\$\$        | Short to medium term | Likely                 | No             |
| Overland flowpaths management                             | Accommodate      | Flooding, Landslides                        | \$\$          | Short to medium term | Possible               | No             |
| Pervious surfaces                                         | Accommodate      | Flooding                                    | \$-\$\$       | Short term           | Possible               | Yes            |
| Pump stations                                             | Accommodate      | Flooding, Coastal Inundation                | \$\$-\$\$\$   | Short to medium term | Likely                 | No             |
| Underground drainage of slopes                            | Accommodate      | Landslides                                  | \$\$          | Short to medium term | Possible               | No             |
| Nature-based solutions                                    |                  |                                             |               |                      |                        |                |
| Beach grading/scraping/push ups                           | Protect          | Coastal Inundation                          | \$            | Short term           | Likely                 | No             |
| Beach nourishment                                         | Protect          | Coastal Inundation                          | \$\$          | Short term           | Likely                 | No             |
| Contour drains                                            | Accommodate      | Flooding, Landslides                        | \$            | Short term           | Possible               | No             |
| Creeks and streams restoration                            | Accommodate      | Flooding, Landslides                        | \$\$          | Medium to long term  | Likely                 | Yes            |
| Dry detention basin                                       | Accommodate      | Flooding                                    | \$\$          | Medium term          | Likely                 | Yes            |
| Dune restoration                                          | Protect          | Coastal Inundation                          | \$-\$\$       | Short to medium term | Likely                 | No             |
| Living shorelines                                         | Protect          | Coastal Inundation                          | \$            | Medium term          | Likely                 | No             |
| Rain gardens                                              | Accommodate      | Flooding                                    | \$-\$\$       | Short to medium term | Likely                 | Yes            |
| Riparian planting                                         | Accommodate      | Flooding, Landslides                        | \$-\$\$       | Medium term          | Unlikely               | No             |
| Seaweed restoration                                       | Protect          | Coastal Inundation,<br>Landslides           | \$\$          | Medium term          | Possible               | No             |

| Adaptation<br>Option                                 | PARA<br>Category     | Hazard/s<br>Addressed                       | Cost<br>Range | Timeframe            | Consent<br>Requirement | Sponge<br>City |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Swales                                               | Accommodate          | Flooding                                    | \$-\$\$       | Short to medium term | Likely                 | Yes            |
| Terracing                                            | Accommodate          | Landslides, Flooding                        | \$\$          | Medium term          | Possible               | Yes            |
| Tree pits                                            | Accommodate          | Flooding                                    | \$-\$\$       | Short to medium term | Possible               | Yes            |
| Vegetating hills and slopes                          | Accommodate          | Flooding, Landslides                        | \$-\$\$       | Short to medium term | Unlikely               | Yes            |
| Wet pond                                             | Accommodate          | Flooding                                    | \$\$-\$\$\$   | Medium term          | Likely                 | Yes            |
| Wetlands: constructed                                | Accommodate          | Flooding, Coastal Inundation                | \$\$-\$\$\$   | Medium to long term  | Likely                 | Yes            |
| Wetlands: restored or preserved                      | Accommodate          | Flooding, Coastal Inundation                | \$\$          | Long term            | Possible               | Yes            |
| Hard protection/<br>structural approaches            |                      |                                             |               |                      |                        |                |
| Bunds                                                | Protect              | Flooding, Coastal Inundation                | \$-\$\$       | Short term           | Possible               | No             |
| Detached breakwaters<br>and artificial reefs         | Protect              | Coastal Inundation                          | \$\$-\$\$\$   | Short to medium term | Likely                 | No             |
| Groynes                                              | Protect              | Coastal Inundation                          | \$\$          | Short to medium term | Likely                 | No             |
| Retaining walls                                      | Protect              | Landslides                                  | \$-\$\$\$     | Medium term          | Likely                 | No             |
| Rockfall barriers                                    | Protect              | Landslides                                  | \$\$          | Medium term          | Possible               | No             |
| Sea walls                                            | Protect              | Coastal Inundation                          | \$\$-\$\$\$   | Short to medium term | Likely                 | No             |
| Soil nails and soil and rock anchors                 | Protect              | Landslides                                  | \$\$          | Short to medium term | Possible               | No             |
| Stop banks and levees                                | Protect              | Flooding, Coastal Inundation                | \$\$-\$\$\$   | Medium to long term  | Likely                 | No             |
| Strategic and land use planning                      |                      |                                             |               |                      |                        |                |
| Building/development standards                       | Accommodate          | Flooding, Coastal Inundation,<br>Landslides | \$-\$\$       | Short to medium term | n/a                    | Yes            |
| Pro-active strategic relocation                      | Relocate/<br>Retreat | Flooding, Coastal Inundation,<br>Landslides | \$\$\$        | Medium to long term  | n/a                    | No             |
| Re-active relocation                                 | Relocate/<br>Retreat | Flooding, Coastal Inundation,<br>Landslides | \$\$\$        | Short to medium term | n/a                    | No             |
| Restricting future development<br>in high-risk areas | Avoid                | Flooding, Coastal Inundation,<br>Landslides | \$\$          | Medium to long term  | n/a                    | No             |
| Spatial and growth/<br>development planning          | Avoid                | Flooding, Coastal Inundation,<br>Landslides | \$\$          | Medium to long term  | n/a                    | No             |

Climate Change Response <u>ClimateAction@wcc.govt.nz</u> wellington.govt.nz

**Urupare Huringa Āhuarangi** Climate Change Response Absolutely Positively **Wellington** City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke