TO WELLINGTON CITY COUNCIL

SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 77

This is my second submission.

- 1/ I have now read four other submissions.
- 2/ <u>It is clear that the Proposal should be declined on legal procedural</u> grounds.
- 3/ In particular, it is clear that a Committee of the Council prejudiced the matter & its oficers when it initiated Proposed Plan Change 77 by instructing officers that the site should be "Business 2".
- 4/ The material supporting this decision appears to have included an inadequate Section 32 Report which had been part of a previous attempt by the Council to alter the zoning of the site.
- 5/ That attempt had been rejected following Judicial Review proceedings in the High Court.
- 6/ It is significant that the Council resolved to debate the merits (if any) of this Section 32 Report, & of a "Business 2" zoning, after a formal vote to exclude the public from both the debate & the voting on the item.
- 7/ The public were also excluded from hearing the advice given by officers on the matter.
- 8/ It appears that the public were excluded following threats from the property-owner of legal action.
- 9/ This does not justify such an approach by a public body such as the Wellington City Council to the serious business of decision-making on District Plan & other statutory environmental matters.
- 10/ Inevitably, all that followed the Council's Public Excluded "Business 2" resolution was prejudiced.
- 11/ This includes the clearly inadequate instructions given by the Council to those who were employed to write the specialist Reports.
- 12/ I SUBMIT therefore that the whole proposal be rejected immediately.

If necessary, I request to be heard on this submission at an early stage of any proceedings & separately from my first submisssion.

SIGNED MICHAEL GIBSON 7 Putnam Street Northland Wellington 6012 Tel 4757545