COUNCIL

MINUTES

WEDNESDAY 28 APRIL 2010

5.30PM – 6.13PM

Council Chamber
First Floor, Town Hall
Wakefield Street
Wellington

PRESENT:
Mayor Prendergast (5.30pm – 6.13pm)
Councillor Ahipene-Mercer (5.30pm – 6.13pm)
Councillor Best (5.30pm – 6.13pm)
Councillor Cook (5.30pm – 6.13pm)
Councillor Coughlan (5.30pm – 6.13pm)
Councillor Foster (5.30pm – 6.13pm)
Councillor Gill (5.30pm – 6.13pm)
Councillor Goulden (5.30pm – 6.13pm)
Councillor McKinnon (5.30pm – 6.13pm)
Councillor Morrison (5.30pm – 6.13pm)
Councillor Pannett (5.30pm – 6.13pm)
Councillor Pepperell (5.30pm – 6.13pm)
Councillor Ritchie (5.35pm – 6.13pm)
Councillor Wade-Brown (5.30pm – 6.13pm)
Councillor Wain (5.30pm – 6.13pm)

DEMOCRATIC SERVICES OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE:
Svea Cunliffe-Steel – City Secretary
Fiona Dunlop – Committee Adviser
Keegan Bartlett – Committee Adviser
NOTED:

There were no apologies.

PRESENTATION OF ABSOLUTELY POSITIVELY WELLINGTONIAN AWARD

Mayor Prendergast presented the APW Award to Christine Pearce, and gave the following speech.

“It is a very great pleasure this evening to be honouring somebody who has made an enormous impact on the cultural landscape of this, New Zealand’s arts and culture capital.

The Absolutely Positive Wellingtonian award recognises many years’ work for the benefit of Wellington, and it is safe to say the work of Christine Pearce more than meets the criteria.

The claim we make to be the arts capital is not an idle boast; nor is it, as some would have it, just a result of our good luck in having national bodies based here.

We have excellence throughout the arts sector. Driving our arts organisations are exceptional teams and individuals of vision and purpose who are committed to bringing the very best in their field to Wellington audiences.

One such individual is Christine. Her decade with the Vector Wellington Orchestra helped raise the artistic profile of Wellington and brought pleasure to thousands of people.

First as an administrator working with then General Manager Roger Lloyd, then in her eight years as GM after Roger, Christine positioned the orchestra as one of New Zealand’s leading artistic organisations – but always maintained its Wellingtonian identity.

Under her tenure the audience soared from 40,000 a year to more than 100,000.

Her partnership with musical director Marc Taddei and his predecessor James Sedares helped establish and maintain the highest musical standards and brought classical music in all its forms to new audiences.

Christine introduced the highly successful Government House open air Summer concerts; the Circus Proms; Baby Pops – get them young! – and
the popular subscription concert series, which has attracted sell-out audiences.

An undoubted highlight of her tenure was the astonishing Concert of Remembrance featuring the Holocaust Requiem, in 2008; another was the free Town Hall centenary concert in 2007.

Both underlined Christine’s artistic vision, management skills and engagement of the local community in the orchestra’s work.

The Vector Wellington Orchestra is the musical spine of much of our theatre and opera, supporting Royal Zealand Ballet, NBR New Zealand Opera, the Orpheus Choir and the Film Festival.

As if looking after the Vector Wellington Orchestra in the pit for the opera wasn’t enough, she was also busy elsewhere in the auditorium, helping her husband Jim with his computerised surtitles system.

But Christine’s work has encompassed far more – Wellington Youth Orchestra; the arts festival; the Massey Conservatorium.

And she somehow found time to raise three accomplished daughters.

Friends and colleagues talk of Christine’s seemingly boundless energy, the ingenuity of her ideas for the orchestra and her commitment to making it the best it could possibly be.

Her skill as a leader has been inspirational, as shown in her management of the potentially difficult relationship with the orchestra’s big brother, the NZSO.

When Christine stood down from the role of General Manager in September, the advertisement for her replacement was a tribute in itself, showing how high she had set the standard for her successor.

“Can you run an organisation so it delivers like a finely tuned instrument?” it said.

They wanted a General Manager who was passionate about music and the arts, and had managerial expertise.

“So you will be a talented leader with proven experience in developing and implementing strategic, marketing and business plans; someone who enjoys exceeding expectations and who can deliver our vision of a strong, vibrant Orchestra.”

Christine was, in other words, always going to be a hard act to follow.
She has not, of course, stopped working. She is still very much involved, for example, in the ballet and the arts festival, pulling together the choirs for the spectacular Mahler concert in February.

This has been quite a week for Christine, who was yesterday invested as a Member of the New Zealand Order of Merit for her services to music.

That was actually an honorary award, as Christine is not a New Zealand citizen – but she is undoubtedly a Citizen of Wellington. And very glad we are of that.

So Christine, this is perhaps not your most high-profile award, but it seems entirely fitting that after receiving an honour from the nation, you receive this one from a grateful city for which you have done so much.

It is now my privilege to present this award to an Absolutely Positive Wellingtonian who has truly exceeded expectations, Christine Pearce.”

(Councillor Ritchie joined the meeting at 5.35pm.)

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES
(1215/11/IM)

Moved Mayor Prendergast, seconded Councillor Wade-Brown the motion that Council approve the minutes of the meetings held on Wednesday 17 March 2010 and Wednesday 24 March 2010 having been circulated, that they be taken as read and confirmed as an accurate record of those meetings.

The substantive motion was put and declared CARRIED.

RESOLVED:

THAT Council:

1. Approve the minutes of the meetings held on Wednesday 17 March 2010 and Wednesday 24 March 2010 having been circulated, that they be taken as read and confirmed as an accurate record of those meetings.
056/10C  PUBLIC PARTICIPATION  
(1215/11/IM)

NOTED:

1. Timothy Carter addressed the meeting prior to presenting a petition from residents of Raroa Road regarding concerns that they have regarding proposed traffic works to be carried out in the area. Mr Carter’s petition to Council requests that “we the undersigned affected residents ask to be consulted before any parking is removed from Raroa Road or Plunkett Street.

2. Carl Gifford and Elizabeth Sayers addressed the meeting prior to presenting a petition to the meeting. Their petition states that “I believe that Wellington City Council should support Carlucci Sculpture Park and Mini Golf.

3. Fredd Marshall addressed the meeting prior to presenting a petition to the meeting. The petition states "Help reduce food costs for local communities. Plant more food bearing plants in public spaces."

057/10C  PETITIONS  
(1215/11/IM)

NOTED:

1. Timothy Carter presented his petition to Council “We the undersigned affected residents ask to be consulted before any parking is removed from Raroa Road or Plunkett Street.

Moved Mayor Prendergast, seconded Councillor Pepperell the motion that Council receive the petition.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED.

RESOLVED:

THAT Council:

1. Receive the petition.

2. Carl Gifford and Elizabeth Sayers presented their petition which states "I believe that Wellington City Council should support Carlucci Sculpture Park and Mini Golf."

Moved Mayor Prendergast, seconded Councillor Pepperell the motion that Council receive the petition.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED.
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RESOLVED:

THAT Council:

1. Receive the petition.

3. Fredd Marshall presented his petition which states "Help reduce food costs for local communities. Plant more food bearing plants in public spaces."

   Moved Mayor Prendergast, seconded Councillor Ahipene-Mercer the motion that Council receive the petition and refer it to officers to inform their work.

   The motion was put and declared CARRIED.

RESOLVED:

THAT Council:

1. Receive the petition and refer it to officers to inform their work.

4. Mayor Prendergast advised the petitioners that they would get an officer response to their petitions.

058/10C ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR (1215/11/IM)

NOTED:

There were no announcements.

059/10C CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARATIONS (1215/11/IM)

NOTED:

There were no conflicts of interest declared.
General Business

060/10C DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 74 – TELECOMMUNICATION STRUCTURES
(1215/11/IM) (REPORT 1)

Moved Councillor Foster, seconded Councillor Best the substantive motion.

The substantive motion was put.

Voting for: Mayor Prendergast, Councillors Ahipene-Mercer, Best, Cook, Coughlan, Foster, Gill, Goulden, McKinnon, Morrison, Pannett, Pepperell, Ritchie, Wade-Brown and Wain.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 15:0

The substantive motion was declared CARRIED.

RESOLVED:

THAT Council:

1. Receive the information.

2. Agree to confirm the recommendations of the District Plan Hearing Committee in respect of District Plan Change 74 Telecommunication Structures as set out in Appendices One and Two of the officers report.

3. Note that an appeal may be lodged within 30 working days from the notification of this decision.

061/10C TIMETABLE AND DECISIONS REQUIRED FOR 2010 LOCAL AUTHORITY ELECTIONS
(1215/11/IM) (REPORT 2)

Moved Councillor McKinnon, seconded Councillor Foster the substantive motion as amended (as indicated below by strikethrough and bolding):

Section 4 of the officer’s report to be amended as follows:
4. Discussion

4.2 Voting method

The Wellington City Council has used postal voting as its method of voting since its introduction in 1989. The voter turnout in Wellington City increased significantly with the introduction of postal voting and although the voter turnout at the 2010 elections was down on the previous six elections (all under postal voting) it is generally accepted that the voting numbers would have been even further reduced had the election been held under the ballot box method.

The substantive motion as amended was put.

Voting for: Mayor Prendergast, Councillors Ahipene-Mercer, Best, Cook, Coughlan, Foster, Gill, Goulden, McKinnon, Morrison, Pannett, Pepperell, Ritchie, Wade-Brown and Wain.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 15:0

The substantive motion as amended was declared CARRIED.

RESOLVED:

THAT Council:

1. Receive the information as amended.

2. Agree that the 2010 local authority elections be held by postal vote.

3. Agree that the Electoral Officer may process returned voting documents for the 2010 local authority elections during the three week voting period prior to 12 noon on election day (i.e. from Monday 20 September to Saturday 9 October 2010).

4. Agree that the names of the candidates standing for the Council and its community boards at the 2010 local authority elections be listed in random order on the voting document.

Reports from Committees – Part A – Committee Decisions requiring Council approval.

062/10C STRATEGY AND POLICY COMMITTEE
Meeting of Thursday 15 April 2010

Council – Meeting of Wednesday 28 April 2010
ITEM 073/010P REVIEW OF THE POLICY FOR WELLINGTON CITY COUNCIL’S SOCIAL HOUSING SERVICE
(1215/52/IM) (REPORT 3)

Moved Councillor Best, seconded Councillor Ritchie the substantive motion.

The substantive motion was put.

Voting for: Mayor Prendergast, Councillors Ahipene-Mercer, Best, Cook, Coughlan, Foster, Gill, Goulden, McKinnon, Morrison, Pannett, Pepperell, Ritchie, Wade-Brown and Wain.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 15:0

The substantive motion was declared CARRIED.

RESOLVED:

THAT Council:

1. See Part B.

2. See Part B.

3. See Part B.

4. See Part B.

5. Adopt the policy for Wellington City Council’s social housing service (attached as Appendix 1 to these minutes).

6. See Part B.

ITEM 075/010P PROPOSED LAND ACQUISITION, FORT BUCKLEY – BARNARD STREET, WELLINGTON
(1215/52/IM) (REPORT 5)

Moved Councillor Wade-Brown, seconded Councillor Foster the substantive motion.
The substantive motion was put.

Voting for: Mayor Prendergast, Councillors Ahipene-Mercer, Best, Cook, Coughlan, Foster, Gill, Goulden, McKinnon, Morrison, Pannett, Pepperell, Ritchie, Wade-Brown and Wain.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 15:0

The substantive motion was declared CARRIED.

RESOLVED:

THAT Council:

1. See Part B.

2. (a) Agree to acquire approximately 2290 square metres of land comprising part of the Fort Buckley Rifle Muzzle Loading Fortification, being Lot 2 DP 90893.

(b) Agree to authorise the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate and enter into a contract for the land purchase at a price of $10,000 inclusive of GST.

(c) Instruct officers, following completion of the transfer of Lot 2 DP 90893 to the Council, to give public notice under section 119 of the Reserves Act 1977 of the Council’s intention to resolve to declare Lot 2 DP 90893 to be historic reserve under the Reserves Act 1977 and once the period for submissions and objections had closed, report back to Council to consider any objections, and for a resolution on whether or not to proceed with the proposal to declare Lot 2 DP 90893 to be historic reserve.

(d) Agree to an overspend of up to $14,000 to project CX033 – ‘Property Purchases – Reserves for the land purchase and associated transfer and settlement costs’.

3. ITEM 076/010P ROAD ACQUISITION – SHELLY BAY ROAD
(1215/52/IM) (REPORT 6)

Moved Mayor Prendergast, seconded Councillor Ahipene-Mercer the substantive motion.
The substantive motion was put.

Voting for: Mayor Prendergast, Councillors Ahipene-Mercer, Best, Cook, Coughlan, Foster, Gill, Goulden, McKinnon, Morrison, Pannett, Pepperell, Ritchie, Wade-Brown and Wain.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 15:0

The substantive motion was declared CARRIED.

RESOLVED:

THAT Council:

1. See Part B.

2. (a) Agrees to acquire approximately 734m² of land contained in Part Section 20 Watts Peninsular District marked A on Survey Office Plan 419545 for road pursuant to the Public Works Act 1981.

   (b) Authorises the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate the terms of acquisition and undertake all necessary steps to secure and vest the land in Council as road.

4. ITEM 077/010P ACQUISITION OF LAND FOR ROAD – 130 FRASER AVENUE, JOHNSONVILLE
(1215/52/IM) (REPORT 7)

Moved Mayor Prendergast, seconded Councillor Best the substantive motion.

The substantive motion was put.

Voting for: Mayor Prendergast, Councillors Ahipene-Mercer, Best, Cook, Coughlan, Foster, Gill, Goulden, McKinnon, Morrison, Pannett, Pepperell, Ritchie, Wade-Brown and Wain.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 15:0

The substantive motion was declared CARRIED.
RESOLVED:

THAT Council:

1. See Part B.

2. (a) Agree to acquire 152m² of land at 130 Fraser Avenue for road purposes.

   (b) Authorise the Chief Executive Officer to conclude the final contract for the land purchase, up to $60,000 excluding GST (if any), plus reasonable costs contributing towards the subdivision including survey, legal and valuation costs.

063/10C

STRATEGY AND POLICY COMMITTEE
Meeting of Thursday 22 April 2010
(1215/11/IM) (REPORT 4)

1. ITEM 085/10P NEWLANDS CENTRE PLAN
(1215/52/IM) (REPORT 3)

Moved Councillor Foster, seconded Councillor Best the substantive motion.

The substantive motion was put.

Voting for: Mayor Prendergast, Councillors Ahipene-Mercer, Best, Cook, Coughlan, Foster, Gill, Goulden, McKinnon, Morrison, Pannett, Pepperell, Ritchie, Wade-Brown and Wain.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 15:0

The substantive motion was declared CARRIED.

RESOLVED:

THAT Council:

1. See Part B.

2. See Part B.

3. See Part B.

4. See Part B.
5. See Part B.

6. See Part B.

7. (a) Adopt the Newlands Centre Plan (attached as Appendix 2 to the officer’s report).

(b) Delegate to the Portfolio Leader for Urban Development and the Chief Executive the authority to make any changes to the Plan required as a result of the decisions of Council, prior to its publication.

2.

ITEM 090/10P THORNDON QUAY – PROPOSED PARKING CHANGES
(1215/52/IM) (REPORT 4)

Moved Mayor Prendergast, seconded Councillor McKinnon the substantive motion.

The substantive motion was put.

Voting for: Mayor Prendergast, Councillors Ahipene-Mercer, Best, Cook, Coughlan, Foster, Gill, Goulden, McKinnon, Morrison, Pannett, Pepperell, Ritchie, Wade-Brown and Wain.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 15:0

The substantive motion was declared CARRIED.

RESOLVED:

THAT Council:

1. See Part B.

2. (a) Approves a number of parking changes to give effect to a clearway on the southbound lane of Thorndon Quay as shown in Appendix 6 of the officer’s report.

(b) Approves a change to 19 parking spaces on the southern side of Thorndon Quay at the western end from 90 minute maximum to 10 hour maximum.
1. ITEM 019/10RP ROAD STOPPING AND DISPOSAL – LEGAL ROAD ADJOINING 86 BRACKEN ROAD AND NEWLANDS COLLEGE PAPARANGI
(1215/53/IM) (REPORT 1)

Moved Councillor Gill, seconded Councillor Cook the substantive motion.

The substantive motion was put.

Voting for: Mayor Prendergast, Councillors Ahipene-Mercer, Best, Cook, Coughlan, Foster, Gill, Goulden, McKinnon, Morrison, Pannett, Pepperell, Ritchie, Wade-Brown and Wain.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 15:0

The substantive motion was declared CARRIED.

RESOLVED:

THAT Council:

1. See Part B.

2. (a) Agree that the 793m² area of unformed legal road land adjoining 86 Bracken Road, Paparangi, and the approximately 650m² (both subject to survey) of unformed legal road land on Bracken Road adjoining Newlands College, Paparangi, are not required for a Public Work.

(b) Approve the disposal of the approximately 793m² of unformed legal road land adjoining 86 Bracken Road, Paparangi, to the owner of that property.

(c) Approve the disposal of the approximately 650 m² of unformed legal road land on Bracken Road, adjoining Newlands College, Paparangi, to be marketed publicly

(d) Authorise Council officers to commission a section 40 report from suitably qualified consultants to identify whether both areas of unformed legal road land must be offered back to their former owner(s) or their successor(s), or whether exemptions from offer back applies.

(e) Delegate to the Chief Executive Officer the power to either offer both areas of unformed legal road land back to their former
owner(s) or their successor(s), or to approve the exercise exemptions from offer back under section 40(2), 40(3), or 40(4) (if appropriate)

(f) Authorise Council officers to initiate the road stopping process for both areas of unformed legal road in accordance with Section 342 and the Tenth Schedule of the Local Government Act 1974.

(g) Delegate to the Chief Executive Officer the power to formally approve both road stoppings, and issue the public notice to declare both areas of unformed legal road land stopped as road, subject to all statutory and Council requirements being met with no objections being received.

(h) Delegate to the Chief Executive Office the power to negotiate the terms of sale and enter into a sale and purchase agreement in respect of the unformed legal road land adjoining 86 Bracken Road, either with the former owner(s) or their successor(s), or the owner of 86 Bracken Road, provided any such agreement is conditional upon the road being stopped.

(i) Delegate to the Chief Executive Office the power to negotiate the terms of sale and enter into a sale and purchase agreement in respect of the unformed legal road land adjoining Newlands College, either with the former owner(s) or their successor(s), or the successful purchaser following the land being marketed for sale, provided any such agreement is conditional upon the road being stopped.

Reports from Committee - Part B – Committee decisions for Council to note.

065/10C RECEIPT OF INFORMATION FOR NOTING FROM COMMITTEES
(1215/11/IM)

Moved Mayor Prendergast, seconded Councillor Coughlan, the motion that Council receive the information for noting from the Strategy and Policy Committee meeting of Thursday 15 April 2010 (Report 6), Strategy and Policy Committee meeting of Thursday 22 April 2010 (Report 7) and Regulatory Processes Committee meeting of Wednesday 14 April 2010 (Report 8).
The motion was put.

Voting for: Mayor Prendergast, Councillors Ahipene-Mercer, Best, Cook, Coughlan, Foster, Gill, Goulden, McKinnon, Morrison, Pannett, Pepperell, Ritchie, Wade-Brown and Wain.

Voting against: Nil.

Majority Vote: 15:0

RESOLVED:

THAT Council:

1. Receive the information for noting from the Strategy and Policy Committee meeting of Thursday 15 April 2010 (Report 6), Strategy and Policy Committee meeting of Thursday 22 April 2010 (Report 7) and Regulatory Processes Committee meeting of Wednesday 14 April 2010 (Report 8).

STRATEGY AND POLICY COMMITTEE
Meeting of Thursday 15 April 2010
(1215/53/IM) (REPORT 6)

1. ITEM 071/010P DRAFT 2010/11 WATERFRONT DEVELOPMENT PLAN
(1215/52/IM) (REPORT 1)

THAT the Strategy and Policy Committee:

1. Receives the information.

2. Approve the draft 2010/11 Waterfront Development Plan, attached as Appendix 1 of the officer’s report, as amended, for public consultation.

3. Delegate to the Chief Executive and the Portfolio Leader for Urban Development the authority to approve any minor editing amendments required to the draft Plan before it is published for consultation.

4. Note that officers will report back to the Strategy and Policy Committee on 23 June 2010 on the outcomes of the consultation process.

5. Note that Wellington Waterfront Limited has addressed concerns over the proposed Kumutoto toilets regarding the design feedback, and the signage and condition of existing facilities however it was unable to reduce the construction budget below $400,000.
6. Approve the proposed Kumutoto toilets to be constructed in 2010/11 at a cost of no more than $375,000.

2. **ITEM 072/010P QUEENS WHARF PRECINCT – DEVELOPMENT OF A MASTER PLAN**  
   (1215/52/IM) (REPORT 2)

   THAT the Strategy and Policy Committee:
   
   1. Receive the information.
   
   2. Note that Wellington Waterfront Limited is in the process of developing a master plan for the Queens Wharf precinct.
   
   3. Receives a report back which will be scheduled before the end of 2010.

3. **ITEM 073/010P REVIEW OF THE POLICY FOR WELLINGTON CITY COUNCIL’S SOCIAL HOUSING SERVICE**  
   (1215/52/IM) (REPORT 3)

   THAT the Strategy and Policy Committee:
   
   1. Receive the information.
   
   2. Note that the draft policy for Wellington City Council’s social housing service was publicly consulted on from 8 December 2009 to 26 February 2010.
   
   3. Note that 26 written submissions were received and ten oral submissions were heard on Thursday 18 March 2010.
   
   4. Note that the Housing Upgrade Programme will continue to reassess the configuration of each housing complex when it is being upgraded taking into account the demand for single person accommodation in that area.
   
   5. See Part A.
   
   6. Delegate to the Chief Executive and Social Portfolio Leader the authority to approve minor editorial changes and to give effect to any changes agreed by the Committee, prior to the policy being referred to Council for approval.

4. **ITEM 074/010P REVIEW OF THREE YEAR FUNDING CONTRACTS**  
   (1215/52/IM) (REPORT 4)

   THAT the Strategy and Policy Committee:
   
   1. Receive the information.
2. **Note that the proposed funding model for community centres is subject to consultation and decision through the Draft Annual Plan.**

3. **Agree, subject to the annual plan process to fund the three-year grant contracts as below:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community Centre Contracts</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aro Valley Community Centre</td>
<td>$40,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooklyn Community Association</td>
<td>$38,464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooklyn Community Resource Centre</td>
<td>$25,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Churton Park</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hataitai Collective &amp; Community House</td>
<td>$37,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnsonville Community Centre</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karori Community Centre</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khandallah Cornerstone Resource Centre</td>
<td>$35,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kilbirnie/Lyall Bay Community Centre</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Crossways Community Trust</td>
<td>$36,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newtown Community and Cultural Centre Trust</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newtown Community &amp; Cultural Centre</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newtown Playground Hall</td>
<td>$31,041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northland Memorial Community Centre</td>
<td>$31,871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strathmore Community Base</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strathmore Park Community Base</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miramar Community Centre (via Strathmore)</td>
<td>$42,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thistle Hall</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Contracts</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age Concern Wellington Inc</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Language Partners Wellington Incorporated</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe Arts Trust</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katherine Mansfield Birthplace Soc.</td>
<td>$68,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mokai Kainga Maori Centre Charitable Trust</td>
<td>$42,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellington Activity Centre</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellington Boys and Girls Institute Inc</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellington People's Centre Inc</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZEAL (Under Body &amp; Soul Trust)</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Contracts</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenge 2000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newtown Festival</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wesley Community Action (Wesley Wellington Mission Inc.)</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The People's Times</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlimited Potential ICT Professionals Network Incorporated</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellington ICT</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*$35,713 will be available for Churton Park once the facility is built.*

Council – Meeting of Wednesday 28 April 2010
Note: the unallocated $35,713 will be available for allocation if required across community centres after the Draft Annual Plan consultation on the funding model on community centres is completed.

5. **ITEM 075/010P PROPOSED LAND ACQUISITION, FORT BUCKLEY – BARNARD STREET, WELLINGTON**
   (1215/52/IM) (REPORT 5)

   THAT the Strategy and Policy Committee:
   
   1. Receive the information.
   
   2. See Part A.

6. **ITEM 076/010P ROAD ACQUISITION – SHELLY BAY ROAD**
   (1215/52/IM) (REPORT 6)

   THAT the Strategy and Policy Committee:
   
   1. Receive the information.
   
   2. See Part A.

7. **ITEM 077/010P ACQUISITION OF LAND FOR ROAD – 130 FRASER AVENUE, JOHNSONVILLE**
   (1215/52/IM) (REPORT 7)

   THAT the Strategy and Policy Committee:
   
   1. Receive the information.
   
   2. See Part A.

8. **ITEM 078/010P FORWARD PROGRAMME**
   (1215/52/IM) (REPORT 8)

   THAT the Strategy and Policy Committee:
   
   1. Receive the information.
   
   2. Agree the Forward Programme, attached as Appendix One of the officer’s report.
   
   3. Agree to the inclusion of the following additional papers in the Forward Programme:
   
   (a) 1 June – Community Facilities Review
   
   (b) 23 June – Regional Passenger Transport Plan
   
   (c) To be scheduled – Strategic Framework for Reserve Land Acquisition
   
   (d) To be scheduled – Otari Wilt’s Bush Landscape Plan report back
   
   (e) To be scheduled – Clyde Quay Boat harbour redevelopment.
STRATEGY AND POLICY COMMITTEE
Meeting of Thursday 22 April 2010
(1215/53/IM) (REPORT 7)

1. ITEM 084/10P DECOMMISSIONED TARANAKI STREET HERITAGE
MEN'S TOILETS
(1215/52/IM) (REPORT 6)

THAT the Strategy and Policy Committee:

1. Receives the information.

2. Notes that Officers will be seeking expressions of interest to develop the decommissioned Taranaki Street toilet building.

3. Authorises Officers to conduct public consultation under section 138 of the Local Government Act 2002 in the event that any proposed development seeks to incorporate any of the land surrounding the building.

4. Notes that Officers will report back to the Committee following consultation.

2. ITEM 085/10P NEWLANDS CENTRE PLAN
(1215/52/IM) (REPORT 3)

THAT the Strategy and Policy Committee:

1. Receive the information.

2. Note that the draft Newlands Centre Plan was approved by the Committee in October 2009 and a total of 61 comments were received during the consultation period over November and December 2009.

3. Agree that funding implications for the proposed McMillan Court car park and public space improvements (Action Plan, Table 2 in the officer’s report) be included for consideration as part of the 2011/12 Annual Plan prioritisation process.

4. Note that any funding implications (including the application of development contributions) for other proposed projects (Action Plan, Table 3 in the officer’s report) will be included as appropriate for consideration as part of future Annual Plan/LTCCP prioritisation processes.

5. Agree that any funds from the sale of Council-owned land within Newlands Centre, including land associated with the McMillan Court road-stopping proposal, be reinvested into the Newlands Centre revitalisation actions.
6. Delegate to the Portfolio Leader for Urban Development and the Chief Executive the authority to make any changes to the Plan, required as a result of decisions of this Committee, prior to the Plan being referred to Council.

7. See Part A

3. ITEM 090/10P THORNDON QUAY – PROPOSED PARKING CHANGES
(1215/52/IM) (REPORT 4)

THAT the Strategy and Policy Committee:

1. Receive the information.

2. See Part A.

4. ITEM 091/10P PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE BUILDING ACT 2004
(1215/52/IM) (REPORT 5)

THAT the Strategy and Policy Committee:

1. Receives the information.

2. Agree to the submission to the Department of Building and Housing on the proposed changes to the Building Act 2004, as amended, attached as appendix one of the officer’s report.

3. Note that the closing date for this submission is 23 April 2010.

4. Delegate to the Chief Executive the authority to approve minor editorial changes and to give effect to any changes agreed by the Committee, prior to the submission being sent to the Department of Building and Housing.

5. Note that Wellington City Council will be contributing to a Wellington Region Building Consent Authorities Group (consisting of the eight BCA’s in the region) joint response to the Department of Building of Housing.

6. Delegate to officers the ability to sign off the regional BCA submission, on the condition that the regional submission does not materially depart from the Council’s own submission.

REGULATORY PROCESSES COMMITTEE
Meeting of Wednesday 14 April 2010
(1215/53/IM) (REPORT 8)
1. **ITEM 019/10RP ROAD STOPPING AND DISPOSAL – LEGAL ROAD ADJOINING 86 BRACKEN ROAD AND NEWLANDS COLLEGE PAPARANGI**  
   (1215/53/IM) (REPORT 1)  
   
   THAT the Regulatory Processes Committee:  
   
   1. Receive the information.  
   2. See Part A.  

2. **ITEM 025/10RP DOG CONTROL ACT 1996 – OBJECTION TO OFFICER’S MENACING CLASSIFICATION DECISION**  
   (1215/53/IM) (REPORT 2)  
   
   THAT the Regulatory Processes Committee:  
   
   1. Receive the information.  
   2. Agree to uphold the menacing dog classification that was imposed under section 33A(1)(b)(i) of the Dog Control Act 1996.  

066/10C **QUESTIONS**  
(1215/11/IM)  

**NOTED:**  

There were no questions.  

The meeting concluded at 6.13pm.  

Confirmed:  

Chair

/ /
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A Policy for Wellington City Council’s
SOCIAL HOUSING SERVICE

APRIL 2010
1. **Introduction**

Wellington City Council is committed to the provision of social housing at below market rents for those households who experience barriers to accessing appropriate housing.

Wellington City Council will work in partnership with the Government to deliver on this commitment. The Council signed a Deed of Grant with the Crown in 2007 which outlines a programme of funding to upgrade the Council’s housing stock in exchange for an on-going commitment from the Council to provide social housing at a good standard for at least 30 years. The rents will both be affordable for tenants on low incomes as well as being at a sufficient level to generate enough revenue to maintain the homes to a good standard for modern living.

In light of the requirements in the Deed of Grant, the Council agreed to review its policies around social housing. This Social Housing Policy focuses on how we allocate homes to potential tenants, how we set rents and how we manage the tenancies.

The policy provides:

- the Council’s vision for social housing – including purpose, objectives and principles
- the context for social housing in Wellington and its key challenges
- the principles in action – how the Council will implement those principles.

2. **Our vision**

Wellington City Council’s vision is for Wellington to be a vibrant, internationally competitive and affordable city. Our community have told us their aspirations for the city which are outlined in the Long-Term Council Community Plan 2009-19. These include social services, especially health and housing, being affordable, available and accessible to all Wellingtonians.

The Council has responded to this aspiration within the Social and Recreation strategic area which aims to build strong, safe and healthy communities. The Council’s ambition is for all Wellingtonians to have access to accommodation that is fit for purpose. One of the Council’s roles in contributing to this aim is to provide some social housing for people who otherwise have barriers to accessing appropriate and affordable accommodation.

**Purpose**

The purpose of the Council’s housing provision is to provide social housing for a minimum of 30 years to Wellington residents.
Objectives for social housing

The Wellington City Council aims to provide:

- appropriate and affordable housing to low-income households who otherwise have barriers to accessing housing
- safe and secure housing to a good standard
- communities where people feel safe, have a sense of belonging and are proud to call home
- support for Council tenants to improve their quality of life and well-being and to contribute to and benefit from living in Wellington

To achieve these objectives, the following principles will guide our decision-making and the way we work.

Principles

- Work in partnership to improve the lives of tenants
- Ensure the housing portfolio is financially sustainable into the future and affordable for tenants
- Respond to demand for social housing equitably and efficiently
- Commitment to resilient and cohesive communities
- Provide a high quality service to tenants

3. Context

Wellington City Council is the largest social housing provider in the city with 2,352 units. This represents 3.4% of all the residential dwellings and 8.3% of all rental dwellings in Wellington. This means the Council is a major player in the city’s housing market. Housing New Zealand Corporation (HNZC) is the other major provider of social housing in Wellington with 1896 dwellings. A further 104 units are provided by non-profit community based initiatives focusing on targeted accommodation for people with mental health disabilities, emergency and respite.

The Council’s housing stock is predominantly comprised of bedsits and one bedroom units (71.3%). HNZC however provides mostly two and three bedroom accommodation (78.8%). Consequently the Council houses mostly single people and couples without children. About 20% of the Council’s stock houses children which amounts to about 900 children overall. After the housing upgrade is complete in 20 years, it is expected the number of bedsits and one bedroom units will decrease slightly, however they will still comprise a significant portion of the stock.

Wellington City Council in partnership with Housing New Zealand are implementing a 20 year programme to upgrade all of the Council’s housing
stock to a good condition. After this programme is complete there will be significantly less bedsits but many more one and two bedroom units and a few more three and four bedroom units. The number of units overall will decrease slightly, but the stock will better reflect modern living standards.

See Appendix A for more information about the social housing stock, demographics and housing need in Wellington.

4. Challenges we face

In providing social housing, Wellington City Council faces the following challenges:

- **Affordability**: Less people are owning their own homes in Wellington because house prices are becoming increasingly unaffordable. At the same time the population is growing and most of the growth in the Wellington region will occur in Central Wellington. Therefore the majority of growth will be in the rental market.

- **Increasing numbers of households in housing need** – In Wellington the main causes of need are affordability, sustainability of tenancies in the private sector and accessibility barriers tied to discrimination. This growth is primarily expected in over 45s and one parent and one person households.

- **Limited type of stock** – While Wellington City Council’s ambition is to house all households in need, the reality is that the Council’s stock is predominantly made up of bedsits and one bedroom units (71.3%). This limits the types of households the Council can assist with their housing needs.

- **Aging population** – While Wellington has a relatively young age profile, the number of older people is expected to increase. It is likely there will be increasing numbers of older people experiencing difficulty accessing housing.

5. Working in partnership

There are many agencies with a role that contributes to affordable housing in Wellington and to support Council tenants. Wellington City Council does not wish to duplicate services but rather, work in partnership with other agencies. Working in partnership is critical in assisting those who need help, building strong and safe communities and improving the well-being of tenants.

Wellington City Council will foster and promote partnerships with the following organisations and individuals in order to achieve its objectives around social housing:

- **Housing New Zealand Corporation** – provides 1896 social housing units in Wellington and has a strategic role in the provision of social housing. Many of the households in housing need that cannot be helped
by the Council will be suitable for Housing New Zealand assistance because of the different types of stock available.

- **Other social housing providers** – other non-profit organisations specialise in providing housing for those with high support needs and emergencies.

- **Work and Income New Zealand** – over 80% of the Council’s tenants receive benefits from the Government. The Council will work with WINZ to ensure tenants receive all of the benefits they are entitled to.

- **Support services** – The Council houses some tenants with various support needs. The Council will make sure people who require assistance and support are connected with the relevant agencies and government departments.

- **Wellington Housing Forum** – the purpose of the forum is to bring organisations together to improve housing situations for people with unmet housing needs in Wellington. The forum is made up of a mixture of groups representing a variety of interests relating to social housing in the Wellington City area.

- **Community Action** – a grant funded programme which aims to address the social needs of tenant communities by encouraging and developing a sense of place and enhancing community and individual wellbeing.

- **Tenants** the Wellington Housing Association of Tenants (WHAT) has a formal agreement with the Council to be the joint voice of tenants. The Council will also consult directly with tenants on matters that are relevant to them.

**6. Financially sustainable and affordable**

Wellington City Council is committed to providing approximately 2,300 social housing units that are both affordable for tenants as well as safe, secure and to a good standard. The Council is funding an upgrade of all of its housing stock to meet this standard with a grant from the Government. After this upgrade work is complete, the Council will continue to invest in the stock to maintain it at a good standard. This on-going upgrade work will be funded from income generated from rents which will be ring fenced for the purpose of funding the housing portfolio. This means the Council needs to generate enough income from rents to sustain all of the homes to a good standard.
Rent setting

Rents will be set at 70% of market rent and will be reviewed annually. This means the Council’s social housing will remain affordable for tenants as well as enabling the Council to continue to provide social housing in a reasonable condition at current levels for at least 30 years.

Rent relief provisions

Affordable rents are a critical feature of social housing. As a safety net, the Council uses the following mechanisms to ensure rents remain affordable:

- Following the annual rent review, there is a maximum rent increase of $20 per week for a single tenant and $30 for two or more adult tenants. These caps stay in place until the next rent review
- Affordable rent limit - consideration of a rent reduction for any tenant whose rent exceeds 35% of net household income (not including the disability allowance) after tax and after Accommodation Supplement entitlement has been received
- No rent increases for tenants over 80 years of age

In effect all tenants will either be paying 70% of the market value of their property or 35% of their income, whichever is lower.

The Council will adjust the maximum rent increases in line with inflation and average incomes every three years. The income of most Council tenants increases each year at the rate of inflation. Carrying out these reviews every three years means that the caps do not lag to any great extent (particularly while inflation is low).

7. Responding to demand

Wellington City Council is not able to house all households who require social housing because the stock is limited. The social housing portfolio is for people who face barriers in accessing housing in the private sector, particularly on the basis of affordability. Consequently, the following households are eligible:

- Have an income of less than 60% of the Wellington median income
- Have less than $35,000 worth of assets if aged under 50
- Have less than $50,000 worth of assets if aged over 50.

These thresholds will be reviewed every three years in line with inflation to reflect the changing incomes of tenants. Raising the income and asset thresholds will make more people eligible for social housing. Therefore, these reviews need to take into account the impact on demand for social housing given the limited stock available.

Also, for legal reasons, the Council will only consider applicants where the primary tenant:
• is at least 18 years of age
• has permanent resident status or has lodged an application for such status

All eligible applicants will undergo a needs assessment to determine their relative housing need. The following factors are considered in this assessment:

• current tenancy or living arrangement – does the applicant have a short, fixed term, long term or no tenancy? Is their current home affordable?
• adequacy of current housing – is the applicant living in a home in poor physical condition or one that doesn’t meet their needs?
• suitability of current housing – is the applicant’s house size big enough for the number of occupants?
• accessibility – can the applicant access housing in the private market in the face of discrimination?
• location - is the applicant able to access social infrastructure such as community centres, shopping centres, transport links, health and education services?
• special housing needs – does the applicant have any special needs including physical disability, homelessness, substance abuse, chronic illness and domestic violence?

The assessment tool determines the level of needs of all applicants who are then placed on the housing register and are assigned a relative priority. When a housing unit becomes available, housing officers determine which household’s needs are best met by that house in terms of its size, location, physical layout and proximity to support services. The housing officers consider the following factors when determining whether a home meets the needs of a particular applicant:

• household characteristics (number of people, children, older people)
• social support considerations
• special housing needs (location, access)
• tenant preferences

This means applicants are offered the best possible dwelling to meet their needs. Housing officers attempt to house the highest priority applicants first, but sometimes the available housing is not suitable for these applicants. In those cases, the homes are offered to lower priority applicants. Consideration will be given to the particular community. For example, older people often want to live in quiet neighbourhoods without families with young children nearby. Decisions are made on a case by case basis taking into account the specific needs of each potential tenant and community. The Council will not house applicants if they are a risk to themselves or to others.
Wellington City Council’s housing stock is limited in type and location. Most of the dwellings are bedsits and one bedroom. Consequently most of the current tenants are single people living alone and couples without children. Housing New Zealand Corporation on the other hand has mostly two and three bedroom dwellings, as well as lower income and asset thresholds and cheaper rents. This means that Housing New Zealand Corporation is better able to assist larger households with different housing needs. These factors limit the type of households the Council can assist with their housing.

Given these constraints, Wellington City Council is best suited to house single people and small families particularly from the following groups:

- **The fit elderly:** Those able to maintain an independent lifestyle at the time of application
- **Refugees and migrants:** Recently arrived either through the quota or asylum seekers accepted by the immigration service as refugees and people entering the country under the humanitarian or family reunification categories
- **Low level psychiatric:** Those people able to maintain an independent lifestyle with minimum supervision and support
- **Multiple disadvantaged:** Households with a number of problems that make them vulnerable in the housing market
- **People with physical disabilities:** People with specific accommodation needs arising from a disability

The Wellington City Council recognises that applicants often have preferences as to where they wish to live. The Council aims to satisfy these preferences, however the availability of suitable stock will determine the extent to which those preferences can be met.

### 8. Resilient and cohesive communities

Resilient and cohesive communities are places where those who live there feel safe and secure and contribute to and benefit from a rich and varied life. Resilience requires stability in the community. While the Council’s social housing is not intended as a permanent solution to the problems many people experience accessing housing, the Council recognises that it is in the best interest of the community if some tenants are living in our homes long-term.

**Moving on from social housing**

Wellington City Council’s goal is to create communities that are safe and secure and contribute to a rich and varied life for those who live there. The Council’s social housing is intended for those who cannot afford a home in the private housing market. Many tenants will stay in our homes long-term. The average tenancy is 6.6 years with many tenants staying only one to two years and others staying twenty plus years. This level of turnover allows stability in many
communities as well as ensuring enough dwellings become available for new tenants.

When tenants’ circumstances change and their income or assets go above the Council’s thresholds, they will no longer be eligible for Council housing. It is the tenants’ obligation to advise the Council if their circumstances change. Each year the Council will ask tenants to provide information about their income and asset levels. When tenants are earning above the threshold, they will be given one year to find alternative accommodation. During this time, the tenant’s circumstances may well change again. In these cases where a change means a tenant is once again below the income and asset thresholds, they will once again become eligible and will not be required to leave their home.

During this one year period rent will be increased as follows:

- to 90 per cent of market value for those exceeding the income and/or asset thresholds by up to and including 20 per cent
- to 100 per cent of market value for those exceeding the income and/or asset thresholds by more than 20 per cent.

After this period tenants will be given 90 days notice to vacate their dwelling.

Each year the Council will review the income thresholds to ensure they remain at 60% of median income (using census data when it becomes available every five years and inflation every other year). Those tenants that have been assessed as earning above the old income threshold will be reassessed against the new threshold. Some tenants will become eligible once again for social housing and will not have to leave their home.

The Council will help tenants to find alternative accommodation by facilitating access to services that will provide tenants with support to identify advice and options around future steps.

Up to 5 per cent of the Council’s housing portfolio may be made available at market rental to allow for the provisions outlined above.

9. **Providing a high quality service to tenants**

Wellington City Council is committed to delivering its housing services in a way that:

- treats all customers fairly and equally
- treats all information given to us confidentially
- deals with enquiries quickly
- involves tenants in making decisions about how their place is managed
- recognise and involve the expertise of community and government agencies.

Tenancy management deals with three areas:
• **Administration of tenancy agreements** – includes the management and termination of tenancies within the provisions of the Residential Tenancies Act 1986, tasks and activities associated with processing applications, establishing a tenancy agreement and ensuring the conditions of the tenancy agreement are adhered to. The latter includes such activities as maintenance, ensuring the rent is paid, annual inspections and resolving disputes.

• **Tenant support** – refers to meeting the needs of individual tenants, both in terms of housing need and other support needs.

• **Community development** – is concerned with addressing the social needs of tenant communities by encouraging and developing a sense of place and enhancing community and individual well-being.

To support these aspirations, Wellington City Council has implemented the Housing Plus and Community Action programmes.

**Housing Plus**

Housing Plus aims to develop tenancy management by:

- increasing the presence of tenancy managers onsite
- developing effective communications between tenancy managers and tenants
- fostering agency partnerships
- providing case management for high risk/needs tenancies.

**Community Action**

Community Action goals have been identified that will provide opportunities for tenants to:

- improve their physical environment and develop pride in where they live
- create and participate in a wide range of activities and initiatives
- increase their sense of safety and wellbeing
- meet others and participate in community celebrations
- develop leadership skills
- access to social and recreational programmes
- develop creative expression through a wide range of artistic endeavours
- build confidence, self-reliance and a positive sense of identity
- access primary health and wellness services and information
- contribute to the regeneration of communities

Council – Meeting of Wednesday 28 April 2010
• access training and employment opportunities.

**Tenant Representation**

Wellington City Council recognises that it is critical that all tenants are able to contribute to and participate in decision making that effects them.

The Wellington Housing Association of Tenants (WHAT) which was established to:

• represent tenants in Council housing;
• be recognised by the Council as the formal joint voice of the tenants to the Council;
• negotiate with the Council on behalf of tenants on matters of concern;
• promote communication among tenant groups;
• support the work of tenant groups whose aims are compatible with WHAT’s aims;
• work towards the tenants having control of their housing; and
• promote processes which would allow all tenants to have a say in decision making.

10. **Review**

This policy will be reviewed every five years. These reviews will need to consider the on-going sustainability of the housing portfolio, affordability for tenants, demand for social housing and satisfaction with the service provided by the Council.
Appendix A: The stock, tenants and housing need in Wellington

The housing stock

Wellington City Council is the largest social housing provider in the city with 2,352 units. This represents 3.4 per cent of all the private dwellings and 8.3 per cent of all rental dwellings in Wellington. This means the Council is a major player in the city’s housing market. Housing New Zealand Corporation (HNZC) is the other major provider of social housing in Wellington with 1,896 dwellings. A further 104 units are provided by non-profit community based initiatives focusing on targeted accommodation for people with mental health disabilities, emergency and respite.

The Council’s housing stock is predominantly comprised of bedsits and one bedroom units (71.3 per cent). HNZC however provides mostly two and three bedroom accommodation (78.8 per cent). The following table shows that the Wellington City Council and HNZC stock compliment each other by ensuring there is a broad range of social housing types available overall.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Bedrooms</th>
<th>Wellington City Council</th>
<th>Housing Corporation New Zealand</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. Dwellings</td>
<td>% of stock</td>
<td>No. Dwellings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedsit</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
<td>933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2352</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>1896</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Following Wellington City Council’s Housing Upgrade Programme (HUP) there will be less bedsits but many more one and two bedroom units and a few more three and four bedroom units. The number of units overall will decrease slightly, but the stock will better cater to the housing needs of Wellington. The move away from bedsits to one bedroom flats reflects changes in modern living standards whereby it is not always considered appropriate to live in a bedsit. The Council will however retain some bedsits because many tenants prefer them (usually because they are cheaper) and they a more space efficient. The upgrade will take 20 years to complete.
### Wellington City Council’s housing type – current and post Housing Upgrade Programme (HUP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing type</th>
<th>No. dwellings</th>
<th>% of stock</th>
<th>Bed spaces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current</td>
<td>Post HUP</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedsit</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>556-643</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 bedroom</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>750-788</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 bedrooms</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>492-439</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 bedrooms</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>261-258</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 bedrooms</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>37-33</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 bedrooms</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 bedrooms</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>2352</td>
<td><strong>2104-2169</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An analysis of the waiting list shows that the demand for Council housing is roughly proportional to the profile of the housing stock – that is, highest demand is for the stock in greatest supply. The following table shows that 70.1% of applicants are seeking bedsit or one bedroom properties which comprise 71.3% of the stock (the higher demand for bedsits is likely to reflect the lower cost of this accommodation). 28.8% of applicants are seeking two or three bedroom dwellings which comprise 27.2% of the stock.

### Analysis of waiting list at 1 July 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Type</th>
<th>Number on waiting list</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Portfolio Profile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. Dwellings</td>
<td>% of stock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedsit</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>62.4%</td>
<td>961 40.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Bedroom</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>714 30.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Bedroom</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>400 17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Bedroom</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>239 10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Bedroom</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>28 1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five Bedroom</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>8 0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six Bedroom</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>2 0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>417</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td><strong>2352</strong> 100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Who currently lives in Council homes?

Wellington City Council has targeted its housing at a number of priority groups. The following table outlines the percentage of housing units occupied by each priority group.
**Household by priority group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>% of stock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fit Elderly</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refugee</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Level Psychiatric</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Disadvantaged</td>
<td>38.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent less than 50% of income</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrant</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Disability</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Priority Group</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The largest group is the multiple disadvantaged at 38%. Refugees and migrants comprise 17.1%.

**Household type by gender**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Type</th>
<th>% Overall</th>
<th>% Female</th>
<th>% Male</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Adult</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>65.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Adults</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>52.7%</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three+ Adults</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>36.1%</td>
<td>63.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Adult + Children</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>80.9%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Adults + Children</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>48.7%</td>
<td>51.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three+ Adults + Children</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>56.6%</td>
<td>43.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nearly two thirds of Council tenants are single adults living alone (65.6%), the majority of whom are male (65.5%). Just over one fifth (21.6%) of dwellings are occupied by adults with children. 80.9% of single adults with children are female.

**Percentage of households by ethnicity of head tenant**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnic group</th>
<th>Percentage of households</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African (other)</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian (other)</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopian</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Eastern</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Island</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakeha/European</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somali</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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There is a diverse range of ethnic groups in our social housing. No one group represents a majority.

The average length of tenancy in the Council’s homes is 6.6 years. Most applicants are housed within 6 months of being placed on the housing register.

**Housing need in Wellington**

**Demographic profile**

Wellington City’s average household income is higher than the New Zealand average. Wellington also has a relatively young age profile however the number of older people is expected to increase.

Most of the population growth in the Wellington region is predicted to occur in Wellington City, although the rate of growth will decrease over time. In Wellington people are tending to own their own homes later in life and overall there is projected to be a decline in the number of people who own their own homes. This means the majority of growth in the number of households will be in rented accommodation rather than owned.

The following graph shows the number of households broken down by composition and any change expected over the next 10 years. It shows that the number of ‘couple without children’ and ‘one-person’ households is expected to increase quite significantly whereas the number of two parent households is predicted to decrease slightly. The number of one parent households is predicted to increase slightly.

**Wellington City household composition**

Source: Statistics New Zealand and DTZ (2007)
**Market trends**
The number of consents issued for new dwellings tells us that most new homes in the Wellington region will be built in Wellington City. Wellington is seeing a higher concentration of multi-unit dwellings and apartments, particularly in the central city.

**Affordability**
There are an estimated 6840 households paying more than 30% of their gross household income on rent in Wellington. The majority of these households are one parent or one person families and earn less than $50,000 per year.

**Special housing need**
Special housing need applies to those who experience more than affordability issues and financial stress. Other factors include adequacy, suitability, accessibility and sustainability. In Wellington the main causes of need are poverty/affordability, sustainability of tenancies in the private sector and accessibility barriers tied to discrimination. Overcrowding and inadequacy in terms of the physical condition of homes tend to be limited to refugee and migrant, people with physical disabilities and Pacific people households.

In Wellington there are estimated to be about 627 households in special housing need. By far the majority of these are beneficiaries. Those with the most pressing special housing need generally have other significant support needs and will gravitate to Wellington City because of the number and range of social support agencies operating in the inner city.

**Total housing need**
Total housing need is an attempt to quantify the total number of households who are in need for a variety of reasons and includes those households who pay more than 30% of their gross income on housing, households living in Housing New Zealand Corporation accommodation, households living in Wellington City Council accommodation who are not receiving the accommodation supplement, those living in emergency and third sector housing (including those on their waiting lists) and the homeless.

The total number of households in housing need is estimated to be 10,188. This level is expected to increase over the next 20 years due to the moderate growth expected in population and the expected growth in house prices (at a much slower rate than in the recent past). Most of this growth will occur in those aged 45 and over and in one parent and one person households.