

APPENDIX 1 – SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

	Name	Address	Town/Suburb	Summary	Wish to be heard	Support / Oppose	Cond. Support
1	Tristran Campbell	36-8 Leeds Street, Te Aro	Wellington	Need more clean wind power generation	No	Support	
2	Maree Wilson	26 Robieson Street	Wellington	Support. Wind should be harnessed wherever possible to improve our ability to produce clean energy efficiently and effectively	no	Support	
3	Hannah Brendon	5 Hislop Way	Johnsonville	Support	No	Support	
4	Theresa Eddy	15 Meadowcroft Grove	Johnsonville	Oppose. Noise, value of my house being affected adversely, Live within a 10km radius of wind turbines. Landscape will be ruined although neutral on this as the site is not highly visible	No	<b>Oppose</b>	
5	Barrie & Beryl Green	96 Ironside Road	Johnsonville	Support. Concerned about exaggerated claims by Ohariu Preservation society. Not sure about roading impacts, good extension to West Wind	No	Support	
6	Robert Bell	7 Bharat Terrace	Broadmeadows	Support, no one owns the view	No	Support	
7	Ray Chung	59 Kanpur Road	Broadmeadows	Support. Need alternate sources of energy and wind power	?	Support	
8	David Baker	10 Aintree Grove	Churton Park	Support	?	Support	
9	Lance Dickson	30B Cheltenham	Newlands	Support, too much negative publicity	No	Support	
10	Ron Joseph	11 Akaroa Drive	Miramar	Close proximity to houses. Number of turbines should be reduced and move further away from peoples houses, reduce turbine size	No	<b>Oppose</b>	
11	Steven Joseph	9 Percy Dyett Drive	Karori	Too close to houses, size of the turbines, support community, reduce number	No	<b>Oppose</b>	
12	Collin Joseph	20 Trinidad Crescent	Grenada Village	Too close to houses, size of the turbines, support community	No	<b>Oppose</b>	
13	Shelley Gentry	20 Trinidad Crescent	Grenada Village	Too close to houses, size of the turbines, support community	No	<b>Oppose</b>	
14	Peter Thomas	86 Wilkie Crescent	Naenae	Size and number of turbines, too close to houses	No	<b>Oppose</b>	
15	Alan Seay	139 Marine Parade	Eastbourne	Security of supply	No	Support	
16	Matthew Jansen	65 Hector Street	Seatoun	NZ social and economic property relies on reliable supply of electricity, renewable energy is best option, Wellington an excellent location for this, proposal minimizes impacts on locals	Yes	Support	
17	P Morgan	23-381 Adelaide Road	Wellington	Will mitigate climate change, save foreign exchange from oil & gas, help viability of farming	No	Support	
18	Jorn Scherzer	38 Staithes Drive North	Whitby	Supports low emissions electricity sources wind power has no irreversible effects to environment	No	Support	
19	Kevin Brennan	Flat 2, 64B Kinghorne St	Strathmore	Renewable energy, non polluting, local generation for Wellington	No	Support	
20	Shaun Cornelius	90 Mortimer Terrace	Brooklyn	Securing NZ's energy supplies	No	Support	
21	Margaret Harper	12 Marsden Avenue	Karori	Move away from fossil fuel, local supply of electricity,	No	Support	
22	Ivan Clulee	25B St Runans Avenue	Lower Hutt	Support all reasonable wind power projects	No	Support	
23	David Inglis	10 Betty Watt Gr	Upper Hutt	To help silence the whingers who complain every time the price increases but fail to suggest alternatives	No	Support	
24	Simon & Asenati Tololo-Meijn	Po Box 40-151	Upper Hutt	Self sustained in energy without harming the environment.	No	Support	
25	Amber Strong	21 Waikato Street	Island Bay	Renewable energy, self sufficiently in Wgtn, opportunity for income for farmers	No	Support	
26	Katrina Lindsay	24A Lifty Crescent	Island Bay	Generate power close to the area of consumption. Self sufficiency	No	Support	
27	Wayne Cairns	11 Davis Crescent	Upper Hutt	Unsure about whether catchment areas will receive rain to fill up lakes	No	Support	
28	Sidney Reading	Flat 46 7 Duncan Terrace	Kilbirnie	Renewable sources to enhance provision of NZ future power supply	No	Support	
29	Simon White	50A Freyberg St	Lyll Bay	Cleaner greener electricity outweigh any negative effects such as view or noise etc	No	Support	
30	Sara M Williams	8c/57 Boulcott Street	Kelburn	Renewable energy, landowners have the right to determine the use of their land	No	Support	
31	Penny Tregear	75 Jubilee Road	Khandallah	Sustainable energy, environmental mgmt of construction & ongoing maintenance of the site	No	Support	
32	Peter Lapsley	4 Baxter Way	Karori	Self sufficiency, adds to Wgtn reserves, totally green, no emissions	No	Support	
33	Bernard Healy	91A Sar Street	Wadestown	Non-polluting natural renewable resource, farmers benefit financially from project	No	Support	
34	Rachel Mckee	61 Wilton Road	Wilton	Renewable energy, reflects NZ govt. commitment to sustainability, appropriate site	No	Support	
35	John & Marion Owen	25A Latitude Close	Whitby	Renewable resource, Not as noisy as people say	No	Support	
36	Nick Jensen	1 Karaka Street	Muritai	A vote in the 'age group'. Keen on wind energy	No	Support	
37	Ben Campbell-Macdonald	135 Kelburn Parade	Kelburn	Wants to see no increase it's wind power, Makara windy but not attractive aesthetic of the area will be improved by high tech wind farm. Meridian looks to have considered the environmental impact well	No	Support	
38	Marianne Chan	53 Chaytor Street	Karori	Renewable energy supporter, farmers to keep farms economically viable	No	Support	
39	Kate Orange	291 Muntai Road	Eastbourne	Alternative energy. Its' great to generate near demand	No	Support	

40	Patricia A Kane	31 Seaview Terrace	Northland	Reduce fossil fuel, renewable resources	No	Support
41	Sonya Cameron	21A Akatea Street	Berhampore	NZ Needs to do everything it can to reduce climate change	No	Support
42	Albert Warbrick	61 Kiwi Crescent	Tawa	The use of natural resource in Wellington has been a long time coming. Good start for renewable energy. Diversity of power supply needed	No	Support
43	Renze Bijker	13A Stanley Street		Painting them in more interesting colours	No	Support
44	Glen Small	8 Fitzgerald Place	Karori	Good use of natural forces, quiet, no waste materials generated. Sustainable solution to energy demand.	No	Support
45	Donald & Dorothy Smith	1 Dunleith Grove	Silverstream	Environmentally friendly energy solution	No	Support
46	Anne Beatrix Sturman	65 Friend Street	Karori	Supports sustainable energy	No	Support
47	R Mabson	20 Charles Street	Upper Hutt	Clean energy is needed	Yes	Support
48	Ritesh Amand	60 Salford Street	Newlands	Supports sustainable energy, less dependence on fossil fuels	No	Support
49	Matthew Hodgman	167 Cecil Road	Wilton	Sustainable and renewable energy source. Contributes to government and international energy targets. Close to demand.	No	Support
50	Enid Thelning	1-6 Bladen Terrace	Ngaio	Assists NZ in reducing greenhouse gas emissions	Poss/joint	Support
51	Catherine Muldoon	210 Pembroke Road	Northland	Supports renewable energy	No	Support
52	Chris Myatt	77 Johns Sims Drive	Johnsonville	No reason supplied	No	Support
53	Alasdair Shaw	80 Delhi Crescent	Khandallah	No reason supplied	No	Support
54	Bronwyn Nood	10 Manaka Street	Miramar	Supports renewable energy; noise & visual impact are low.	No	Support
55	Jesse Olson	13 Mexted Terrace	Tawa	Renewable energy, reduced dependence on fossil fuels	No	Support
56	Victor Maggi	130 Cheviot Road	Eastbourne	No reason supplied	No	Support
57	Barbara Moses	1 Hathaway Avenue	Karori	Uses a natural power resource, good wind supply	No	Support
58	Manu Ward	93/320 Mansfield Street	Newtown	Uses renewable energy, generally low environmental impact	No	Support
59	Rod Smith	40 Baroda Street	Khandallah	Valuable contribution to energy demand in NZ; wind is a good renewable energy source	No	Support
60	Joan E Kelly	A30 Rita Angus Village, 66 Coutts Street	Kilbirnie	Supports wind farms	No	Support
61	Toby Hoebgen	44 Cheshire Street	Wilton	Supports that the farmers have offered their land to help meet NZ electricity needs. Suitable site, close to the main centre.	No	Support
62	Katherine Mikes	10G Moana Grove	Waiwhetu	Supports renewable energy, contributing to a sustainable city. Minimal damage to the landscape/ecology. Source of income for the farmers.	No	Support
63	Melissa Kung	42 Hay Street	Naenae	Well-planned application with minimal impact on humans or nature. Important to generate energy that doesn't harm the environment.	No	Support
64	Shem Page	35a Durham Street	Porirua	Supports alternative forms of power & a move away from the use of fossil fuels. Close to consumption point.	No	Support
65	Estelle Woodhead	A6 Rita Angus Village, 66 Coutts Street	Kilbirnie	Supports renewable energy	No	Support
66	Gary Turkington	17 Braithwaite Street	Karori	Opposes as they frequently walk in the area - visual pollution and an eyesore on the skyline. There are other ways to promote energy efficiency.	No	<b>Oppose</b>
67	Michelle Turner	7/10 Austin Street	Mt Victoria	Supports use of wind to create power.	Yes	Support
68	Wilfred & Betty Glover	6 Chamberlain Road	Karori	Supports use of wind to create power.	No	Support
69	Nick Wood	11B Kamla Way	Khandallah	Supports renewable energy, proximity to supply, supports the farmers	No	Support
70	Ben King	44 Madras Street	Khandallah	Environmentally friendly, low carbon. NZ needs more power. Better than a dam	No	Support
71	Andrew Gladding	46 Athlone Crescent	Avalon	Supports concept of renewable energy.	No	Support
72	Nicole Monehan & Tim Smith	1/69 Sefton Street	Wadestown	Support the commercial promotion & development of renewable resource	No	Support
73	Craig Martin	5 Cortina Avenue	Johnsonville	No reason supplied	No	Support
74	Stuart Ross Smith	31 Bentinck Avenue	Strathmore Park	Use of wind power. Size & scope of proposal, concern to ensure sustainable energy for Wellington area	No	Support
75	Malcolm MacLeod	4D Earp Street	Johnsonville	The proposal has been well be researched to avoid complaints. Need power from renewable resources.	No	Support
76	Matt Langworthy	70 Croydon Street	Karori	Like to see benefits from renewable resource, supports gain by farmers, structure enhances landscape. Does not support negative feedback in newspapers and would like to see this project go through.	No	Support
77	Steve Treacher	28 Dorking Road	Brooklyn	Supports the application & renewable energy.	No	Support
78	Mary Beth Taylor	165A Katherine Mansfield Drive	Whitemans Valley	1. Local, community initiative 2. Strengthens energy independence 3. External renewable energy base. 4. Very limited environmental impact (clean energy). To preserve rural communities while providing energy (clean) for the wider area & feed back into national grid	No	Support
79	Dr J.E.H Hefford	56 Highbury Road	Kelburn	Increase production of energy without pollution or increased CO2 emissions. Concerns about global warming through fossil fuels.	No	Support
80	Paul Foster	21 Naenae Road	Fairfield	Renewable energy resources	No	Support
81	Heather Jones	153 Townsend Road	Miramar	Concern for replacement of Windmills re: height restrictions and landscape i.e.: concreted and how it will be managed in the long term	Yes	Has concerns
82	G Martin	27 Sunrise Boulevard	Tawa	No reason supplied	No	Support
83	Mr Bryant Young	42 Stanley Street	Berhampore	Local source of generation. Benefit to local regions, aesthetically pleasant to look at than a coal plant chimney, positives all around	No	Support
84	Ingrid Ward	62 Bould Street	Johnsonville	This is a recreational area close to Wellington & Hutt Valley and this project diminishes its value. Meridian should concentrate on encouraging domestic & business power use to cut down use.	No	<b>Oppose</b>

85	John Howarth	49 Todman Street	Brooklyn	Renewable energy. Generated close to where consumed.	No	Support	
86	Hamish Trolove	188 Whites Line East	Waiwhetu	Goes towards meeting NZ's Kyoto commitments. Make use of source close to our end users.	No	Support	
87	Louise Wiggins	18 Brixham Way	Newtown	To help contribute to the govts 90% renewable generation target. To help reduce the need to burn coal for generating electricity.	No	Support	
88	Deborah Rosin	113E South Karori Road	Karori	Increasing energy renewable resources for Wgtn & nz. Project includes an environmental management plan & visual effects & noise will be at a minimum. Contributes to local comm. Min impact on environ.	No	Support	
89	Susan Hawkins	731 Takarau Gorge Rd	Makara	This submitter sold land in Makara to get away from West Wind then heard about Mill Creek next to their new property. Would like certainty on the turbine locations; and more information on aviation lighting. Wants to know what is the "appropriate distance" for a turbine from a home. The turbines will be visually dominant from homes. Concerns about: the natural character of coastal environment, shadow flicker, noise, health (low frequency noise), traffic effects, radio interference, recreational (Te Araroa), states policy statements - Meridian sees Makara/Ohariu Valley as an expendable minority, effects on streams, loss of property values	No	<b>Oppose</b>	
90	Philip Raymond Hawkins	731 Takarau Gorge Rd	Makara	As per above	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>	
91	David C Bull	36 Orissa Crescent	Broadmeadows	Supports the location & extent of the proposal. Utilises renewable energy. The proposed location appears eminently suitable in particular do not expect the development to detract from visual amenity. Should include appropriate roading improvements	No	Support	
92	Chris Molloy	98 Farnham Street	Mornington	We need this to stop catastrophic climate change. Is satisfied that development restrictions adequately contain the impact of this facility.	No	Support	
93	Michael Ross	14 Waipapa Road	Hataitai	Renewable energy in with regional plan & govt policies. Noise conditions - away from built up areas. Visual impact is minimal. Credible company	No	Support	
94	John Burgess	15 Winehester Avenue	Pinehaven	Supports renewable energy.	No	Support	
95	Rex & Marilyn Bartholomew	20 Fisk Street	Fairfield	Full support. For renewable energy. Generation will be close to population centres. Company mitigating as many effects as possible.	No	Support	
96	Dr Tony Jackman	50 Takutai Road South	Pukerua Bay	Dr Jackman is an expert in the renewable energy field and is concerned no work has been done using GIS to map suitable sites. Recommends approval, but that Puketiro should not go ahead. Spaces between the turbines should be seen as just as important as the turbines themselves.	possible joint	Support	Y
97	D.N Humm	112 Seatoun Heights Road	Seatoun	No reason supplied	Yes	Support	
98	Donald Clark	12B Colidge Street	Brooklyn	Build it up quickly, well & build with careful attention to environment. Issues so that we can have green energy. The needs of many outweigh the needs of the few.	No	Support	
99	D J Griffin	289 Muritai Road	Eastbourne	Renewable energy, no emissions. Designed sensitively.	No	Support	
100	James Graham	105B Hamilton Road	Hataitai	Cost effective electricity from nz with minimal environmental costs & no future marginal cost. Wgtn has great wind resource - lets use it! Also appreciates the visual appeal and would visit the site to see them in action.	No	Support	
101	Suresh M Patel	15 Partridge Street	Taita	No impact on environ. Reusable energy, no pollution or undesired impact on lifestyle	No	Support	
102	Mike Camden	54 Maida Vale Road	Roseneath	Wind farm with minimal impact. Climate change & greenhouse threat that the world has faced. Carbon-neutral wind generation is part of the mitigation	No	Support	
103	Chris Trask & Jayne Varley	7 Abbey Way	Whitby	Use of renewable resource generation close to demand, reduces Co2 emissions, a visually striking feature on a bland landscape. Less dependence on fossil fuel	No	Support	
104	Rob Holmes	21A Hadfield Terrace	Kelburn	Generation of energy from renewable energy source, construction of turbines on landscape already modified by long term farming. Dual use of land for generation & farming. Supports the 90% renewable energy target.	No	Support	
105	Amelia Evans	1C/135 Taranaki Street		Support entirely. Renewable energy is desirable	No	Support	
106	Richard Holmes	290B Karori Road	Karori	That it uses an ecologically sound renewable energy source. To support wind farming.	No	Support	
107	Ishwani Singh	18A Wilberforce Street	Miramar	Keeps the clean green image of NZ. Renewable resource seems to have no negative impact on environment. Works in Palmerston North	No	Support	
108	Fleur Watson	5 Surrey Street	Wilton	Minimal adverse effects for residents. Provides renewable energy to power approx. 35,000 homes. To ensure wind farms goes ahead in NZ to keep with customer demand.	No	Support	
109	Graeme Demmocks & Roxanne Sutherland-Valentine	202 Townsend Rd	Seatoun Heights	Using renewable energy source using Wgtn's well known wind is great! Where it's been placed and all factors that have gone into this project.	No	Support	
110	Robert Ashe	293 Muritai Road	Eastbourne	Support wind farms as part of mix sustainable, renewable energy. Meridian are also proposing to destroy large part of wilderness in Mokihinui River by building a damn - this I oppose. Wind turbines are better than hydro dams in pristine wilderness	possible joint	Support	
111	Dorian Gray	21 Coates Street	Tawa	Supports all aspects of this application. Supports renewable resources & Meridian in their long term goals to develop more renewable energy	No	Support	
112	L Jacobs, S Nicolson, J Matthews, F Beekhuir	24 Epuni Street	Aro Valley	The generation of renewable energy source, ability of farmers to support themselves. General support of greater renewable generation.	No	Support	
113	Michelle Apperley	119 Eden Street	Island Bay	Use of abundant wind resource, close to Wgtn demand, sustainable development to meet growing energy demands, help to meet nz's obligations under Kyoto protocol.	No	Support	
114	Juliet Scoble	Flat 5, 11A Fife Lane	Miramar	Opposes replacing farmlands with steel & concrete structures & the destruction of other areas during construction. Also hardships inflicted on local people during construction. Visual pollution of land, killing of birds, noise, health. Doubtful if the proclaimed benefits will out-weigh the disadvantages.	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>	
115	Jessie Thirkell	C5/66 Coutts Street	Kilbirnie	To grant RC to Meridian Energy Ltd	No	Support	
116	Nicolas Preston & Anja Feise-Preston	48 Miles Crescent	Newlands	Local energy generation from a renewable resource. Tangible and visual symbol that we generate energy sustainability.	No	Support	
117	Bert Kinger	96 Totara Park Road	Totara Park	NZ needs to set example and keep things green.	No	Support	
118	Peter Hill	2 Oak Grove	Mt Cook	Non polluting, renewable energy source close to population centre. Minimal visual impact. Wind power meshes well with hydro. Better than fossil fuel burning power station	No	Support	
119	James Renwick	8A, 126 Wakefield Street	Te Aro	All NZ's need vigorous development of wind energy & other renewables in the face of climate change & peak oil. Wgtn is a natural place for such development. The development appears to be well thought out & designed and has the support of the original land owners.	No	Support	
120	Nadean Tawhai	90 Onepu Road	Lyll Bay	Supports the application in its entirety. The wind energy is a great clean/green energy source	No	Support	
121	Marise Mettrick	7 Puriri Street	Miramar	The entire application. Wind energy is vital towards NZ's commitment to renewable energy. Full support of the project with limited conditions	No	Support	
122	Jusbin Blakie	28 Pelorous Street	Paparangi	Sustainable power generation that uses local weather. A north Island generation reducing reliance Cook Strait Cable, minimal impacts on local environment and residents. To support development of clean, green power generation that has less impact on environment than building claims and flooding land with new lakes. Conditions re noise to limit effects on residents	No	Support	

123	Alistair Wilson Wind Farm Developments (Australia) Ltd	PO Box 10-905	Wellington	Neither supports nor opposes application. Supports the development of wind farms in general.	No	Neutral	
124	Alana Sharratt & Cesar Williams	4/4 Claremont Grove	Mt Victoria	This turbine wind farm will help make Wgtn a sustainable city with rising oil prices & concerns about CO2 emissions it is time to develop alternative energy sources.	No	Support	
125	Elliott Young	192 Breaker Bay Road	Breaker Bay	Renewable energy generation around the capital city. The land owners support the application. We need a sustainable future.	No	Support	
126	Colin Calvert	Apt B 12, 66 Couitts Street	Kilbirnie	Mill Creek site is ideal for wind energy, NZ energy requirements increasing	No	Support	
127	Neil Parry	121 Upland Road	Northland	Supports renewable energy	No	Support	
128	Svetozar Andic	32 Waddington Drive	Naenae	Common sense solution to providing energy, supports ecological aspect	No	Support	
129	Terry & Elaine Woods	60 California Drive	Totara Park	Supports renewable energy	No	Support	
130	Bruce McKinnon	PO Box 24 375	Wellington	Positive energy solution, wants to balance the loud voices of the objectors	No	Support	
131	Natalia McKenzie	10 Signallers Grove	Strathmore Park	Excellent location, add interest to area, promote sustainability.	No	Support	
132	Helen Silverwood	1 Glendale Grove	Churton Park	No reason supplied	No	Support	
133	Margie McKay	34 Wye Street	Island Bay	Looks very elegant, renewable energy	No	Support	
134	Brendon O'Flaherty	3/7 Banksia Grove	Maungaraki	Benefits all stakeholders, no detriment to environment or rural residents. NZ needs long term natural resource driven power to combat all obvious issues.	No	Support	
135	Sonya Bismire	16 Reuben Avenue	Brooklyn	Renewable and sustainable source of energy. Well suited landscape. Include conditions to mitigate environmental impacts.	No	Support	
136	Harold & Pat Hill	47 Mark Avenue	Paparangi	Need this form of renewable energy. Road through to Porirua should only be used for construction/maintenance not as a public road to deter hooning.	No	Support	Y (re road)
137	Jason Markham	3A Mitchell Street	Epuni	Proximity to city where power is used, accessibility. Climate change and power generation. Compliments rural use of the land.	No	Support	
138	Daniel & Clare Ashton	241 Ohiro Road	Brooklyn	Support all aspects. Will generate clean, green, energy .	No	Support	
139	Curtis Antony Nixon	19/493 Adelaide Road	Berhampore	Site of wind farm, mitigating construction traffic by using Spicer forest as an access route. Viable source of generating energy.	No	Support	
140	Anthony Morris	3 Bladon Terrace	Ngaio	All aspects. Wind energy is best way of generating electricity in terms of the impact on environment.	No	Support	
141	Mrs N E Norton	12A Bell Street	Linden, Tawa	Look nice, better than pylons. Good source of power. Hopefully nobody can hear them.	No	Support	
142	Tony Wlather, Chris Byron, Nicole Johnson & Joanne Wallbank	15 Mana View Road	Porirua	Wind is one of the best sources of renewable energy. Supports the entire wind farm.	No	Support	
143	Michael Phetheau	9/2 McFarlane Street	Mt Victoria	Non CO <sup>2</sup> generation. Good place in Wellington for a wind farm.	No	Support	
144	Maire Jean Vanisi	63A Omapere Street	Whitby	Fantastic use of a natural resource, following in the footsteps of the Netherlands.	No	Support	
145	Susan Cockayne & David Robinson	29 Thatcher Crescent	Crofton Downs	All aspects. Important to support efforts to expand renewable energy resources in Wellington region.	No	Support	
146	M Tjisen & D Shelton	6B Ceres Crescent	Johnsonville	The whole project. Important to voice support when there is so much negativity.	No	Support	
147	Kyla Cresswell	192 Breaker Bay Road	Breaker Bay	Supports sustainable wind power generation. Site has little ecological amenity and maximises the natural resource.	No	Support	
148	Stephanie Rowe	11 Ellora Street	Ngaio	All aspects. Pleased to see development of a renewable energy source.	No	Support	
149	Paul Bryan-Tatham	77 Burma Road	Broadmeadows	All aspects. Need for renewable energy.	No	Support	
150	V F Gilliland	61 Tarawera Road	Johnsonville	Demand for electricity is outstripping supply therefore we need this wind farm. Using other resources e.g. Coal would destroy our eco-friendly image.	No	Support	
151	Glenn Wellington	12 Farnham Street	Mornington	All aspects. Development of renewable energy generation. Improve security of electricity supply.	No	Support	
152	Brent Woodhead	1 Angell Street	Johnsonville	A better form of electricity generation. Great site for wind farm (plenty of wind and minimal impact on residents). Importance of renewable energy for NZ	No	Support	
153	Amelia Walker	200 George Street	Stokes Valley	Will provide green energy for the Wellington region and enable local farmers to continue to gain revenue from their land. Meridian are more environmentally friendly	No	Support	
154	Vera Konig	85 Happy Valley Road	Ohiro Valley	Seen wind farms in Germany, great success providing power to many. Could have more advantages to have this. Oldest resident in Happy Valley sees no problem with it.	No	Support	
155	Stephen Whittington	46 Nicholson Road	Khandallah	Site is ideal for wind power; it is a modified landscape where native forest has been converted to farmland as with much of NZ. The wind power project will enable farming use to remain viable and provide renewable power.	No	Support	
156	Philipa Boardman	64 Quebec Street	Kingston	To provide renewable energy to 35,000 homes. Helps support Wgtn's goal of sustainable city. Appropriate scheme for a windy city. Endorses a clean, low emission form of supplying power in the future. Low Noise & visually neutral to residents of Ohariu Valley & Makara with no public access like the Brooklyn one has. Imp. contribution to Govt's 90% renewable energy.	No	Support	
157	D R Smart	Flat 3 30 Rata Street	Lower Hutt	1. The alternate method of generating electricity. 2. Security of electrical energy for the region	No	Support	
158	Brian Gardner	PO Box 5411	Lambton Quay	Renewable energy, should be supported & more projects like this should be allowed to happen	No	Support	
159	Albrecht Steinmetz	100 Britomart Street	Berhampore	Renewable energy, clean energy	No	Support	
160	Alice Clanachan	40B Upland Road	Kelburn	Using a site with limited ecological value, minimising traffic for residents of Ohariu Valley. Support for renewable energy projects.	No	Support	
161	Louise Lennard	49 Bedford Street	Norhtland	Ability for farmers to diversity their farms away from traditional products to form new, cleaner more environmentally friendly energy generation in an area that has been formed & not in a natural state.	No	Support	
162	Russell Steele	19 Rongapai St	Palmerston North	Ideal location in terms of wind, proximity to cables and consumers and on modified, accessible land. Declining fossil fuels increases need for other energy sources and wind farms are more reliable than solar and hydro and can be built with existing technology.	No	Support	
163	Richard Kelly	11 Frobisher St	Island Bay	Need to safeguard energy and our environment. Lets use this ready, free, plentiful supply of energy. Need to act on our environmental credentials.	No	Support	

164	Ann Bell & Dan Mahoney	45 Gurney Rd	Kelson	Local, relatively small, aids local farms, thoughtfully designed. If in a natural disaster, whole vast areas would not be without power as the size of the windfarm makes it local and centralized.	No	Support
165	C.D. Prosser	5 Rainey Grove	Taita	No reason supplied	No	Support
166	Patricia Tetzner	9 Mortimer Tce	Aro Valley	Environmentally friendly energy generation, use of ecologically less relevant site, sediment management. NZ is not using its potential to produce energy through wind farms.	No	Support
167	Paula Wilson	29 Marewa Rd	Hataitai	The entire project. Supports the development of increasing capacity for renewable power generation close to Wellington to reduce reliance on hydro power from South Island.	No	Support
168	Morice Crandall	4/147 Ohiro Rd	Brooklyn	Supports all aspects of proposal. Community initiated project that makes sense environmentally, economically and socially. Project has been well considered and impacts have been mitigated.	No	Support
169	Peter Cowley	2/56 Ira St	Miramar	Renewable energy source, close to where the energy is needed. Uses Wellington's wind to our advantage.	No	Support
170	John Parker	100B Naim St	Mt Cook	Supports sustainable and renewable power generation. Support Meridian Energy's commitment to sustainable energy in Wellington region.	No	Support
171	Geoff Jacobson	24 Glenbrook Grove	Naenae	Supports the use of wind power for energy generation. To help ensure NZ uses renewable energy sources.	No	Support
172	Yoko Obata	20B Arawa Rd	Haitaitai	Supports the use of using Wellington's natural assets and a good step towards making Wellington a sustainable city.	No	Support
173	Angeline Laing	37 Chilka St	Berhampore	Supports clean & renewable energy and attempt to reduce adverse effects in the local environment and residents.	No	Support
174	Yingying Lu	26/152 The Terrace	Wellington Central	Wind turbines are ideal for Wellington region to make most of natural energy source.	No	Support
175	Lionel Klee	35 GlenRd	Kelburn	Wind farm technology as a preferable form of electricity generation in Wellington, control on construction impacts required.	No	Support
176	Derek Drabble	31 Link Road	Newlands	Supports sustainable energy	No	Support
177	M McKee	31 Hector Street	Seatoun	1. Provides energy to 35000 homes. 2. Is set back as far as possible. 3. Will avoid bird strike. 4. All municipalities should provide 10% of power from wind.	Will consider joint	Support
178	Jeremy Warman	29 Wilford Street	Lower Hutt	Will improve clean green image of NZ/Wellington. Will reduce GHG emissions by off-setting other forms of power generation. Benefits outweigh negatives	No	Support
179	Kathryn Paton & Siry Ibrahim	85 Hazelwood Ave	Karori	Supports renewable energy. Meridian has made every effort to minimise negative effects. Benefits wider community.	No	Support
180	Roger Houston	63 Eden Street	Island Bay	More economic	No	Support
181	Scott Garvie	40 Palliser Rd	Roseneath	Reliable energy source close to Wellington with less impacts on climate change than other energy sources	No	Support
182	Janine Manley	543 Ohariu Valley Road	Johnsonville	Concerns about: noise/health impacts on residents living in a quiet rural area; infra-sound, sleep deprivation, low frequency noise & traffic noise. Poor consultation. Visual simulations misleading. Industrial turbines on rural landscape. Size and scale of turbines. Shadow flicker. Impacts on environment - birds, ecology, water for homes. Traffic and earthworks effects. Information is unreliable.	No	<b>Oppose</b>
183	Karen Apperley	70 Rawhiti Rd	Pukerua Bay	Wind turbines could make farms more viable in the longer term.	No	Support
184	Chris Evans	18 Sandalwood Place	Waimairi Beach	Wind generation is a sustainable use of a renewable resource.	No	Support
185	Robert and Denise Best	744 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu	Opposes all aspects of the application.	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>
186	Emma Gordon	55 Collins Ave	Tawa	Good location and size, stringent conditions for noise, using a site that won't affect wildlife, generation of power for around 35,000 homes.	No	Support
187	Vivienne Lewis	19 Huntleigh Park Way	Ngaio	Renewable energy the way of the future	No	Support
188	Virginia Wilson	29C Bould St	Johnsonville	Alternative energy source.	No	Support
189	Roy Kellahan	517 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu	The enhancement and continuation of general farming in Ohariu Valley and clean energy	Yes	Support
190	Katy Congreve	11B Selkirk Way	Karori	Agrees with making Wellington a more sustainable city.	No	Support
191	Apanui Mason	44 Spur Grove	Titahi Bay	The whole project.	No	Support
192	Anne Chantot	34 Mortimer Tce	Aro Valley	No impact on health, environment, landscape. Also a renewable energy source.	No	Support
193	Jodie and Luke Pilkington-Ching	34 Pikarere St	Titahi Bay	Support sustainable energy	No	Support
194	Paul Billingham	37 Versailles St	Karori	Support renewable energy.	No	Support
195	Kieron McGahan	43B Harbour View Road	Northland	Development of previously rugged terrain with minimal impact to the environment and ability to create "green" power.	No	Support
196	Tom Robinson	30 Garfield St	Brooklyn	Local support and renewable energy with minimal environmental impact	No	Support
197	Susy Corney	13A Koromiko Rd	Aro Valley	The entire proposal.	No	Support
198	Paul Baylis	7 Salem Court	Churton Park	Future energy.	No	Support
199	Oliver Poppelwell	63A Fox St	Ngaio	Supports increased use of wind generation	No	Support
200	Richard Morete	3B Hawtrey Tce	Johnsonville	Good, sustainable project	No	Support
201	Clare Wilford	24 Oban St	Wadestown	Should embrace wind power as it's the future.	No	Support
202	Earl Rutherford and Brenda Solan	39A Townsend Rd	Miramar	This form of power generation has the least impact on the environment.	No	Support
203	Gregory Stephens	2/210 Rintoul Street	Berhampore	Support renewable energy near a major city.	No	Support
204	Helen & Jason Paul	154 Maupuia Road	Miramar	Support renewable energy - ideal location	No	Support
205	Veena Kumuri	1c East Grove	Alicetown	Good idea for the residents, and excellent alternative power source	No	Support
206	David Pickering	24 Oban Street	Wadestown	Renewable energy - good option, help reduce fossil fuel emissions	No	Support

207	Rachel Bock	316 Takapu Road	Takapu Valley	Alternative energy source. Will move Wellington towards a sustainable future.	no	Support	
208	Kelvin Wayne Gulliver	4 Bengal Street	Khandallah	Renewable energy source, with only minimal environmental and visual effects. Much needed alternative energy generator.	No	Support	
209	Andrew Mcmenamain	6 Bay Lair Grove	Island Bay	Strongly support the provision off additional generation capacity; and diversification of sources of energy used for generation.	No	Support	
210	Murray Burdan	209 Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu Valley	Support the use of wind energy to meet our needs.	Yes	Support	Y (re proximity)
211	L J Bryant	Huia Farm,	739 Ohariu Valley	Support all aspects of application - especially renewable energy	No	Support	
212	Yvonne Densem	20A Wroxton Terrace		Renewable, sustainable energy	No	Support	
213	Bharat Pancha	32 Moxham Avenue	Hataitai	Make Wellington self sufficient on renewable energy	No	Support	
214	Joshua Reen	34 Patanga Crescent	Thorndon	The generation of renewable energy for Wellington.	No	Support	
215	Johnny Tan	888A High Street	Avalon	This project will provide renewable energy for a lot of homes. Good time to start project, fossil fuel is finite.	No	Support	
216	Geoffrey Charles Robinson	10 Westhill Road	Point Howard	Renewable energy - doesn't think project would be intrusive on the area	No	Support	
217	Phil & Carol Andrews	58 Kiwi Crescent	Tawa	Concerned about anthropogenic climate change on human society, this project helps prevent this and mitigate effect of fossil fuels	No	Support	
218	Gene Kumekawa	5 Norwood Place	Johnsonville	Wind farms not as efficient as portrayed - potential health implications	No	<b>Oppose</b>	
219	David Wutzler	1072 Makara Road	Makara Beach	Turbines should not be sited within 2km of residential dwellings. Noise impact and may have negative effect on tributary streams	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>	
220	Huw Mitchell	39 Awarua Street	Ngaio	Most effective energy source - Wellington ideal location for development	No	Support	
221	John Greaves/Andrew Faulkner,	16 Ngaheke Street	Stokes Valley	Need to bring in initiatives such as this proposal to combat climate change	No	Support	
222	Megan Behlen & Trevor Landers	4 Chelmsford Street	Ngaio	Region needs more renewable energy sources	No	Support	
223	Henry Whittington	46 Nicholson Road	Khandallah	Support renewable energy	No	Support	
224	Nelson Walker	4/26 Marion Street	Wellington	Provides renewable energy for area - also supports access roads	No	Support	
225	Lydia Isobel Wyile Whittington	46 Nicholson Road	Khandallah	Renewable energy - using Wellington's best natural resource. Great asset for Wellington	No	Support	
226	Rebecca Kitteridge	32 Rothsay Road	Ngaio	Environmentally friendly way for Wellington to generate electricity	No	Support	
227	Andrew Neilson	2/17 Johnsonville Road	Johnsonville	Wind farm is a good solution to energy requirements. Temporary roads also a good idea.	No	Support	
228	Gillian Parris	31 Campion Place		Clean green power not using up NZ's resources	No	Support	
229	Jenny McArthur	118A Maide Vale Road	Roseneath	Concerned we aren't doing enough to encourage renewable energy. Supports project and is satisfied ecological impact has been assessed and mitigated	No	Support	
230	Oliver Dickie	17 Fairview Crescent	Kelburn	Project is carefully designed to minimise visual and noise impact. Generation of energy close to the city is ideal.	No	Support	
231	D Gibson	101 Yule Street	Lyllal Bay	Supports renewable energy and project, but has concerns about the number and location of some of the turbines.	No	Support	limit number/location
232	Hamish Hey, Kordia Ltd	P O Box 137 Taupo	Taupo	Supports proposal, but would like condition requiring consent holder to fully mitigate any loss of transmission of signals to households (telecommunications and radio communications)	Yes	Support	with conditions
233	Tan Ming	22 Glenamoy Crescent	Johnsonville	Concerned about noise and health implications. Proposal too close to residential homes	<b>No</b>	<b>Oppose</b>	
234	Peter Ellis & Kay Fisher	25 Northland Road	Northland	Supports the use of Wellington for its high wind capacity. Familiar with area - don't think it will create a detrimental visual effect	No	Support	
235	Kate Hammond	4 Murphy Place	Titahi Bay	Wind farms are essential in the effort to reduce carbon emissions	No	Support	
236	Brian W Davison	38 Windley Street	Porirua	Landowners are happy with the development - should support them to ensure project goes ahead	No	Support	
237	Nicola Jackson	736 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Wind power is the best solution to our energy problems	No	Support	
238	Graham Searle	23B Date Crescent	Halswell	Wind power ideal - landowners have driven project. Meridian has good track record	No	Support	
239	Melanie McKenzie	118c Kelburn Parade		Supports generation of power close to source - supports renewable energy	No	Support	
240	Kim Eros & Thomas Beagle	10/2 Oriental Terrace	Wellington	Support renewable energy - wind farms are an effective way to generate power	No	Support	
241	David Reid	8 Pitoitoi Road	Eastbourne	Wind generated energy has the lowest environmental impact	No	Support	
242	Simon Thomson	511 Ohariu Valley Road	Wellington	Support sustainable energy	No	Support	
243	Allen Goh	995 Ohariu Valley	Wellington	Wind generated energy has the lowest environmental impact - Support sustainable energy	No	Support	
244	Ange Wadsworth	4 Manitoba Place	Kingston	NZ has potential to generate all energy needs through renewable means - in full support	No	Support	
245	Emily Bailey	23 Reuben Avenue	Brooklyn	Does not trust Meridian - they are giving a biased view to consumers	Poss	<b>Oppose</b>	
246	Paul Johnson	6 Sugarloaf Road	Brooklyn	Supports proposal on grounds of renewable energy, location and environmental impact	No	Support	
247	Frank Cui	105A Churton Drive	Churton Park	Green sustainable energy supply with minimal impact on local environment - best use of local resource	No	Support	
248	Mary Byrne	3 Longcroft Terrace	Newlands	Proposal is sustainable and cost effective. Visual impact is interesting, not unattractive.	No	Support	
249	Robert Bates	35 Clark Street	Khandallah	Supports proposal - utilisation of a renewable resource in close proximity to energy demand	No	Support	

250	Anne Bieleski	6 Putnam Street	Wellington	Good use of the land and great to be using renewable energy - visual impact is positive	No	Support
251	Susan Taylor	15/35 Jessie Street	Te Aro	Renewable energy the way of the future	No	Support
252	Eric Nemrava	94 Tannadyce Street	Wellington	Support	No	Support
253	Jeffrey King	153 Dimock Street	Titahi Bay	Building wind farms is a positive step in NZ	No	Support
254	Mark Reese and Joanne Hansen	1 Fancourt Street	Karori	Essential project in order to meet Kyoto protocol obligations - supports renewable energy	No	Support
255	Wesley Noel Sloper	107 Farnham Street	Mornington	Cleaner power will benefit the nation	No	Support
256	Carl Henkel	65 Ira Street	Miramar	Supports project based on renewable energy	No	Support
257	Ivor De Menefy	Apt 510/ 120 Courtenay Place	Wellington	Supports project based on renewable energy	No	Support
258	Wasim Talim	19 Stoke Street	Newtown	Alternative means of energy are required. Project Mill Creek is the solution	No	Support
259	Sara Ashby	21 Connaught Terrace	Brooklyn	Sustainable, quiet	No	Support
260	John Couper	56 Matai Road	Wellington	Supports project	No	Support
261	Esmee McAoley	110 Sutherland Road	Lytell bay	Would rather see wind turbines than pylons	No	Support
262	Francesco Van Eero	35 Asaitu Terrace	Brooklyn	Fantastic way of generating power, likes the look of wind farms	No	Support
263	Alex Gamble	14 Streatham Crescent	Strathmore	Clean, renewable source of energy	No	Support
264	Vikki Robertson	18 Boom Rock Road	Ohariu	Opposes all aspects - noise & health, traffic, roading, construction, environment, landscape, process and application, visual impact local community impact, business, wider community impact, property values, ecological impact, loss of amenity, district plan, national concerns and policy	No	<b>Oppose</b>
265	Jessie Christensen	952 Makara Road	Wellington	Opposed to entire application - I don't want to see them out my window, they are ugly and the noise will annoy me	No	<b>Oppose</b>
266	Amie Christensen	952 Makara Road	Wellington	Opposed, they will be noisy and ugly and my pony will be frightened of them. The earthworks will pollute the estuary and makara stream	No	<b>Oppose</b>
267	Neil Christensen	952 Makara Road	Wellington	Opposed to entire application - construction of turbines too close to houses, visual impact, earthworks, noise, potential health/sleep problems, pollution of the estuary	yes	<b>Oppose</b>
268	Carole Christensen	952 Makara Road	Wellington	Opposed to entire application - construction of turbines too close to houses, visual impact, earthworks, noise, potential health/sleep problems, pollution of the estuary	yes	<b>Oppose</b>
269	John Nesbett	540 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - traffic impact and safety, environmental concerns, impact on landscape, noise and health issues, consultation process, light pollution	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>
270	Alison Craig	540 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - noise and health, consultation process, visual impact, environmental and ecological concerns, property values, traffic impact	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>
271	Richard Leckie	27 Gloucester Street		Renewable power, backup for local grid. Interest in Wgtn and NZ having a more secure electrical supply	No	Support
272	J M Fenaughty (John)	951 Makara Road	Wellington	Opposes application in it's entirety - 77 homes are within 2km of turbines, concerned about potential noise levels, Meridian has refused to guarantee that noise levels at night will not exceed WHO recommendations. Reality is that we do not know true impact until project is complete, and if there will be a cumulative impact from Westwind also. We have already observed significant silting up of the river as a result of West wind construction, comprising birdlife at Makara estuary	?	<b>Oppose</b>
273	Jill Fenaughty	951 Makara Road	Wellington	Opposes entire application - We live in Makara for peace and quiet of a rural countryside. Object to further pollution of the landscape. Numerous alternative sites are available where turbines do not affect wellbeing of inhabitants. Turbines are as close as 650m to some homes, we are all concerned about the noise. We cannot determine impact of noise until turbines are operating at full capacity. If project must go ahead 1st turbines built furthest away from homes and fully assessed first.	No	<b>Oppose</b>
274	Betty Law	Wharekaka, Oxford Street		Opposes all aspects - noise, health, roading, environmental, landscape impacts	No	<b>Oppose</b>
275	Margaret Niven	626 Ohariu Valley Road	Johnsonville	Opposes all aspects - Meridian's process, visual impacts, noise impacts, ecological impacts, traffic issues, health impacts, impact on property amenity and value, environmental, inaccurate energy information, economic miss-information, landscape, lack of national policies	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>
276	Murray Niven	626 Ohariu Valley Road	Johnsonville	Opposes all aspects - Meridian's process, visual impacts, noise impacts, ecological impacts, traffic issues, health impacts, impact on property amenity and value, environmental, inaccurate energy information, economic miss-information, landscape, lack of national policies	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>
277	Raewyn Atkinson	90 Mortimer Terrace	Brooklyn	The project will help Wellington be able to meet its own energy needs from renewable sources	No	Support
278	John Valentine	10/25 Tennyson Street	Wellington	Continuing farming viability, preserving lifestyle & rural character, providing sustainable energy	No	Support
279	Kylie & Scott Collins	8 Transom Close	Whitby	Use of renewable energy, use Wellingtons famous wind, turbines are powerful both practically and aesthetically	No	Support
280	Sally Walker	17 Mander Place	Miramar	Supports whole application - I fully support sustainable fuel; we have destroyed this planet beyond reach. Wellington has always been forward thinking	No	Support
281	Margaret Katz	100 Hill Road	Belmont	One of the lowest impact forms of energy on the environment. We need more solar, hydro and wind power which are sustainable and have low impact on humans and animals. Please go ahead and ensure bird flight and noise for residents are ok.	No	Support
282	Alice & David Gray	26 Hemsely Grove	Stokes Valley	The site is suitable for a wind farm, farmers have agreed to it. We are in favour of windfarms to supplement electricity supply	No/poss joint	Support
283	Richard Read	525 Adelaide Road	Berhampore	Will generate significant quantities of energy from a renewable source close to where the power will be used. It is important to increase the amount of electricity production from renewable sources.	No	Support
284	Janice Staines & John Dousch	31 Durham Street	Aro Valley	Support for renewable energy generation. Support for local generation near demand. Site has limited ecological values. Landowners are pro-active and flexible	No	Support
285	Andrew M C Smith	107 Wilton Road	Wellington	Highly desirable sustainable energy project that needs to proceed. NZ needs to promote sustainable energy sources.	No	Support
286	Graeme Miller	1 Anzac Street	Gore	Increases generating capacity and electricity supply to the greater Wellington region.	No	Support
287	J L Bryant	Huia Farm 739 Ohariu Valley	Wellington	Supports all aspects	No	Support
288	Oriana Mason	44 Spur Grove	Titahi Bay	Great use of resource	No	Support
289	Document did not relate to this application					

290	Sara Pivac Alexander	9a Hewett Way	Ngaio	Supports wind farm and renewable energy	No	Support
291	Elizaeth J Baker	3 Warell Street	Karori	We need clean green renewable generation	No	Support
292	Melanie Seyfort	50 The Crescent	Roseneath	More renewable energy generation in an area with limited ecological significance.	No	Support
293	Anna Baker	33 Kentwood Drive	Newlands	Good use of renewable energy and location, asset to Wgtn and NZ.	No	Support
294	Mary Innes	37 Waitohu Road	York bay	Great need for renewable energy and Wellington is ideal for turbines. I saw turbines used extensively in Europe and they were not noisy or unattractive. Hydro electric is often unreliable and fossil fuels are costly and environmentally damaging.	No	Support
295	Elaine Russell	1 Kokotau Road	RD 2, Carterton	Farming can continue on the land, doubling usage. Renewable energy.	No	Support
296	Walt Boyd	100 Daniell Street	Newtown	Supports everything, most environmentally friendly form of power generation.	No	Support
297	Roger Livingstone	6 Archeron Road	Mana	Opposes Meridian Energy. Does not believe they are telling truth in their public statements, morally objects to the coercive and patronising nature of this. Dishonest and manipulating management.	No	Oppose
298	Donna Smith	27 Revans Street	Miramar	This is an ideal site, we are very windy. World is running out of resources so renewable power is a must.	No	Support
299	Murray Pugh	143 Eskdale Road	Papakowhai	Careful and thoughtful planning that has occurred to minimise adverse effects. Contribution to security of supply to all New Zealanders. I support renewable energy generation.	No	Support
300	Peter Ng	1/48 Hamilton Road	Hataitai	Renewable energy, takes pressure off other generation	No	Support
301	Andrew Bowers	45a Parkvale Road	Karori	NZ needs more sustainable sources of energy, reduce carbon footprint	No	Support
302	Janet C Bruce	283 Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu	Sustain farming and a good use of a renewable energy resource. Concerned that a few Nimbys may affect what is in essence a well thought out project	No	Support
303	L Fin	106 Strathmore Park		We need to support any new project that will help sustain and save the environment.	No	Support
304	Edward Goldberg	100 Weld Street	Wellington	I support wind energy in principle and WCC's policy on renewable energy. This submission is to provide balance to objectors who only consider how they are personally affected without any thought for the problem of climate change.	No	Support
305	David Allo	6 Spicer Place	Tawa	Relatively isolated area, sensible way to generate electricity.	No	Support
306	Yvonne Pugh	143 Eskdale Road	Papakowhai	A new electricity supply system near a major population centre. All New Zealanders should support applications for renewable power.	No	Support
307	Evelyn Miles	4 Port Street	Mount Victoria	Support any company promoting green energy. Thoughtfully designed to minimise local residents concerns	No	Support
308	Vanessa Bruce	283 Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu Valley	making use of Wellington wind and still being able to graze the land around the turbines. Support the project and show that not all the residents on Ohariu Valley dislike it. Even though I will be able to see the turbines I don't consider them to be a problem to my daily living. Support renewable energy.	No	Support
309	Phil Sparks	19 Queen Street	Mount Victoria	A renewable energy project that benefits the Wellington region reduces the need for separate transmission lines because generation is near demand. I support renewable energy initiatives. Wellington is a great source of wind energy.	No	Support
310	Lindy Marr	2/59 Hamilton Road		Best way to harness power in Wellington, will preserve the lifestyle and rural character.	No	Support
311	Stanley Joseph	669 Takarau Gorge Road	Makara	Opposes to the application in its entirety. Concerned about proximity of turbines to residential areas, environmental impact, red lights at tops of turbines.	No	<b>Oppose</b>
312	Neville Beach, Windcorp Ltd	P O Box 13-138	Thorndon	Supports application in full. Details history of area and how project will be beneficial to farmers in the area.	Yes	Support
313	Angela Joseph	669B Takarau Gorge Road	RD 2 Makara	Opposes all aspects - The turbines will be 111 meters high! We will be in the middle of two major wind farms. No turbines this size currently operational in NZ so Meridian is unable to determine actual impact of turbines. Turbines are too close to our property. We will see 22 from our property which will greatly effect our enjoyment. Potential flicker and blade glint	no	<b>Oppose</b>
314	Judith Shearer	388 Makara Road	Wellington	Opposed to application in its entirety - proximity to homes, noise/loss of sleep, damage to significant Makara estuary via run off and silting. Threat to birdlife, huge impact on environment during construction that outweighs benefits of renewable energy.	YES	<b>Oppose</b>
315	Darryn Cooper	669B Takarau Gorge Road	Makara	Opposed to the application in its entirety. Noise aspects, unknown how noisy they will be. Health, potential light flicker and blade glare making going outside out of the question. Visually they will dominate the landscape	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>
316	Derek Roylands	480 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - The whole project will affect the quality of my life. I will suffer visual and noise pollution, increased traffic flow	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>
317	Pamela Joseph	669 Takarau Gorge Road	Wellington	Opposes all aspects - turbines are very large and many are within 2km of our home, if Mill Creek goes ahead we will be living between that and westwind, double noise impact. Our farm will be worth less and the impact on health has taken its toll. The wind farms have taken our lifestyle. 22 turbines will be visible from our property	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>
318	Horrobin Family Trust	180 Main Road	Tawa	Opposed - visual, aural pollution, unacceptable devaluation of land	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>
319	Delwyn Carter-Jarratt	231 Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu Valley	Oppose all aspects - health, roading, landscape, ecology, property values, sociological impact	no	<b>Oppose</b>
320	Richard Jarratt	231 Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposed - noise disturbance, potential health impacts, roading, landscape, amenity, ecological, property values, tourism, business	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>
321	Scott Claridge	72 Spencer Street	Wellington	Opposes - insufficient attention is being applied to the significant effects on the local community during the construction phase. Applicant has not shown interest in working with community to mitigate these effects.	No	<b>Oppose</b>
322	BT O'Brien	82 Sorensens Road	RD 1, Levin	Opposes - consultation with local community has been extremely poor, needs and feedback has not been taken into account. Impact on rural amenity, heavy traffic, construction etc. Impact on health and well being, research indicates adverse effect to health from turbines. Impact on quality of life for residents. Meridian has behaved without any real consideration to the requirements of the RMA.	no	<b>Oppose</b>
323	D Gilbert	82 Sorensens Road	RD1, Levin	Opposed - Meridian has not consulted effectively or in good faith. Research shows potential health impacts from wind turbines. Land amenity permanently impacted. Visual, traffic, land use and value.	no	<b>Oppose</b>
324	C R O'Brien	12 Canberra Place	Redwood	Opposed - permanently change landscape and amenity. Will impact future business activity. Meridian has not consulted in good faith and has been dishonest at times. Noise will be constant and disruptive. Research suggests health concerns/effects. Heavy traffic.	No	<b>Oppose</b>
325	Bruce Niven	626 Ohariu Valley Road	Johnsonville	Opposes all aspects - landscape, noise, health, ecological, roading, property, lack of national policy.	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>

326	Makara Ohariu Community Board		Ohariu	Opposes - not enough information and data in regards to potential impact of all main factors, thus project should not go ahead, lack of consultation, Makara residents did not know to request visual simulations, not enough information to understand noise effects, should not proceed until westwind effects are determined. Construction traffic should be prevented from travelling through Makara and Takarau Gorge Road. There is insufficient detail of the future of the Spicer's Forest access road. Traffic Management Plan does not adequately or practically manage construction traffic should include limitations on hours and days of operation. There are also concerns about sediment run off and the EMP and SEMP.	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>	
327	F Barnett	16 Somes Crescent	Newlands	Opposed - poor consultation process, noise and health concerns.	No	<b>Oppose</b>	
328	Edward Smith	455 Makara Road	Makara	Opposes - noise & visual pollution, exposed transformers, overhead cables and effects on coastal landscape. Also possible oil contamination. Turbines visible from Makara Beach should not be approved. Overhead cables and external transformers should not be approved. Adversely affected residents should be compensated. Has lived in Makara for 52 years & feels the turbines will spoil a "magnificent coastal feature". Meridian doesn't have a right to say whether the turbines are "appropriate" for the area - statement is arrogant. Transformers should be located within the turbines or below ground level. There are simple solutions to engineering problems - e.g. re-design the tower, re-design the transformers, use air-cooled transformers. Consent process biased towards the applicant. A buffer zone between the turbines and residences should be required.	Yes	Oppose	
329	Marag Somerville-Ryan	7 Ingestre Street	Vogelstown	Opposed - poor consultation process, noise and health concerns.	No	<b>Oppose</b>	
330	Rachel Knight	1004 Ohariu Valley	Ohariu	Opposed - visual impact, noise, construction traffic, amenity value of valley.	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>	
331	Paul Callow	1004 Ohariu Valley	Ohariu	Opposed - visual impact, noise, construction traffic, amenity value of valley.	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>	
332	Trish Love	P O Box 13111	Johnsonville	Opposed - poor consultation process, noise and health concerns.	?	Oppose	
333	Jeannine Reid	8 Pitoitoi Road	Days Bay	Wind power very suitable to Wellington, site is appropriate also. NZ needs more renewable energy sources	No	Support	
334	Simon Bannock	8 Krull Street	Vogelstown	Support for renewable energy generation.	No	Support	environmental/social effects
335	Anna Spence	23 McCarthy Grove		Cheap green energy	No	Support	
336	John Francis Jackson	142 Stafford Drive	Ruby Bay	Lower environmental impact than non-sustainable energy production, add value for existing land owners, close proximity to end users	No	Support	
337	Paul Russell	1 Kokotau Road	RD2, Carteron	NZ desperately needs additional generation - particularly renewable. Ideal site	No	Support	
338	David Rubery	42 Lincoln Terrace	Palmerston North	Utilising wind resource, sensible land use. Minimal effect on adjacent landowners. Renewable energy. Efficient transmission to network.			
339	Annette Richards	3/140 Opau Road	Makara	Renewable energy, good use of abundance of Wgtn wind. Advocate of wind power.	No	Support	
340	Even Robertson	P O Box 11486	Wellington	NZ needs renewable energy, good use of wind resource	No	Support	
341	Nigel & Tania Wynn	1 Sheridan Terrace	Wellington	Sustainable on-going source of renewable energy that doesn't create waste. Like the look of turbines.	No	Support	
342	Laura Gallagher	2 Walden Street	Strathmore	Renewable energy. Generated close to where consumed.	No	Support	
343	Morgan Trowland	1/66 Brougham Street	Wellington	Supports all aspects - need renewable energy now from every feasible source	No	Support	
344	SM Thomson & DR Bond	234 Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu Valley	Concern of possible noise and location of front 4 turbines. If noise becomes an issue would like Meridian to pay for double glazed windows.	No	Oppose	
345	Donald Smith	430 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - noise, landscape, potential health effects, traffic, amenity, property value	yes	<b>Oppose</b>	
346	Alistair Cottle	47A Shakespeare Avenue	Upper Hutt	Opposes all aspects - destruction of the landscape, noise and health issues, environmental concerns, traffic impact	No	<b>Oppose</b>	
347	Gillian Smith	430 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu	Opposes all aspects - traffic, noise, potential health effects, landscape, amenity	yes	<b>Oppose</b>	
348	June Best	774 Ohariu Valley Road	Wellington 6037	Opposes all aspects - noise and health, process, visual, property values, ecological, national policy, district plan, landscape, roading, tourism, impact on business	Joint?	<b>Oppose</b>	
349	Brian Watts	71 Woodland Road	Johnsonville	Opposes all aspects - noise and health issues, visual amenity eroded, impact on property values, lack of national policy	No	<b>Oppose</b>	
350	Zena Craig	34 Whitecliffs Drive	RD 4, Pukekohe	Opposes all aspects - visual, landscape, noise.	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>	
351	Suzanne Humphrey	22 Naughton Terrace	Kilbirnie	Supports all aspects - necessary complement to hydro systems, renewable energy	No	Support	
352	Warrington Craig	24 White Cliffs Drive	Pukekohe Road	Opposes all aspects, concern for process and local residents	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>	
353	Barry Doyle	222 Karori Road	Wellington	Opposes - owns a bach, concerned about visual impact of turbines. Concerned with size of turbines. Concerned family lifestyle will be affected.	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>	
354	Ron Beccard	972 Ohariu Valley Road	Wellington	Opposes all aspects - lack of policy, location of turbines, ecological damage, roading, health, misinformation	?	<b>Oppose</b>	
355	Christine Henderson	Lintley No 4 RD, Lumsden 9794	Southland	Opposes all aspects - Mill Creek is against government policy & is more expensive than energy conservation and alternatives. It is in the wrong location and does not provide security of supply. The impacts on landscape will be greater than the SIMS show. 'Landscape' and 'vista' not appropriately defined in the DP. Cumulative effects. Energy efficiency programmes & should be put in place before any further wind farms are approved. Readings attached.	Yes	Oppose	
356	Louise Nesbit	540 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - traffic and safety, environmental concerns, noise and health issues, property values, visual impact, proximity to houses, roading	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>	
357	Andrew Nesbit	540 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - traffic and safety, environmental concerns, noise and health issues, property values, visual impact, proximity to houses, roading	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>	
358	Albert Nesbit	540 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - traffic and safety, environmental concerns, noise and health issues, property values, visual impact, proximity to houses, roading	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>	
359	Fred & Mary Knox	900 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes whole application - effects on amenity, construction traffic, roading impact, disruption to business, noise, effects on property values	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>	
360	Amosa & Janet Tolo	946 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes whole application - roading, dust, noise etc. too close to our house and horse facility. Ecological, noise, health issues.	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>	
361	Sam Mexted	91 Takarau Gorge	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects, noise and health issues, visual amenity eroded. Misleading photo simulations, turbine proximity, size and density, shadow flicker, impact on ridgelines, cumulative effect of being close to Makara wind farm, misleading facts from Meridian	No	<b>Oppose</b>	
362	Michele Mexted	91 Takarau Gorge	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects, noise and health issues, visual amenity eroded. Misleading photo simulations, turbine proximity, size and density, shadow flicker, impact on ridgelines, cumulative effect of being close to Makara wind farm, misleading facts from Meridian	No	<b>Oppose</b>	
363	Royden Mexted	91 Takarau Gorge	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects, noise and health issues, visual amenity eroded. Misleading photo simulations, turbine proximity, size and density, shadow flicker, impact on ridgelines, cumulative effect of being close to Makara wind farm, misleading facts from Meridian	No	<b>Oppose</b>	

364	Terri Anquetil	626 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - noise impacts, health impacts, landscape impacts, roading impacts, environmental and ecological concerns	No	Oppose
365	Peter Niven	626 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - noise impacts, health impacts, landscape impacts, roading impacts, environmental and ecological concerns	No	Oppose
366	Kelly McGuire	204 The parade		Supports application - please go ahead with wind farm.	No	Support
367	Anna Lee Niven	626 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - noise impacts, health impacts, landscape impacts, roading impacts, environmental and ecological concerns	No	Oppose
368	Lee Ann Niven	626 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - noise impacts, health impacts, landscape impacts, roading impacts, environmental and ecological concerns	No	Oppose
369	Rodney Niven	626 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - noise impacts, health impacts, landscape impacts, roading impacts, environmental and ecological concerns	No	Oppose
370	Susan L Hoyle	27 Bulls Run Road	Pauatahanui Road	Opposes whole application - inefficiency and intermittency of wind generations does not warrant the loss of local community/amenity. Local adverse effects outweigh regional and national; benefit.	No	Oppose
371	Aidan Tabor	91 Cecil Road	Wadestown	Supports - good renewable energy source for the region, long term outlook, using local resource well.	No	Support
372	Owen Watson	13 Queen Street	Mt Victoria	Supports - In favour of renewable power	No	Support
373	Erica Hume			Opposes - naming an industrial power generation plant a wind farm, noise/visual pollution, health effects	No	Oppose
374	Raissa Rosenberg	44 Rajkot Terrace	Broadmeadows	Opposes all aspects - noise & health issues, visual amenity eroded, turbine proximity , size and density, shadow flicker, impact on ridgelines, cumulative effect of being close to Makara turbines	No	Oppose
375	Helle Rosenberg	44 Rajkot Terrace	Broadmeadows	Opposes all aspects - noise & health issues, visual amenity eroded, turbine proximity , size and density, shadow flicker, impact on ridgelines, cumulative effect of being close to Makara turbines	No	Oppose
376	Glen Reynolds	38 Argentine Avenue	Miramar	Opposes all aspects - noise & health issues, visual amenity eroded, turbine proximity , size and density, shadow flicker, impact on ridgelines, cumulative effect of being close to Makara turbines	No	Oppose
377	Craig Wagner	4 Braemar Terrace	Johnsonville	Opposes all aspects - noise & health issues, visual amenity eroded, turbine proximity , size and density, shadow flicker, impact on ridgelines, cumulative effect of being close to Makara turbines	No	Oppose
378	Toby Rosenberg	44 Rajkot Terrace	Broadmeadows	Opposes all aspects - noise & health issues, visual amenity eroded, turbine proximity , size and density, shadow flicker, impact on ridgelines, cumulative effect of being close to Makara turbines	No	Oppose
379	Gavin & Liz Bruce	7 Chorley Grove	Churton Park	Supports - are landowners, much family heritage with the region. Windfarm will keep land profitable. All considerations have been taken into account. Great wind resource.	?	Support
380	Greg Best	184 Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu Road	Supports - are landowners, much family heritage with the region. Windfarm will keep land profitable. All considerations have been taken into account. Great wind resource.	?	Support
381	Warren Bryant	736 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Supports - are landowners, much family heritage with the region. Windfarm will keep land profitable. All considerations have been taken into account. Great wind resource.	?	Support
382	Ward Kellahan	78 Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu Valley	Supports - ensures valley stays rural for the next generation. Good for environment and community. Satisfied that horse activity can continue. Good use of wind resource, win-win situation.	Yes	Support
383	Ian Balfour	24c Johns Sims Drive	Broadmeadows	Completely supports project. Supports clean renewable energy.	No	Support
384	Andrew Murray	112 Martin Road	Paraparamu	Supports all aspects, great use of resource, renewable energy	No	Support
385	Loren Balfour	21 Court Road	Tawa	Supports - fully supports renewable energy, project designed to have minimal impact on the Ohariu and Makara areas.	No	Support
386	F E Thomas	1020 Makara Road	Makara	Oppose in its entirety - proposed turbines are too close in proximity to dwellings. Unsure of potential noise impact, not enough information. Political interference resulted in Westwind being approved. Cumulative noise effect of both wind farms. Wind farms visible from Makara beach and walkway. Concerns regarding sediment runoff, ecological impacts. Not convinced that conditions will be set: e.g. Minimum distance from dwellings 2km, independent inspection to monitor runoff, work started without consents to be stopped immediately	No	Oppose
387	L B Thomas	1020 Makara Road	Makara	Totally opposed - close proximity to houses. It is quiet enough to hear the west wind turbines without adding more. Not against wind turbines in general, but why on earth must they be set up so close to homes. Impossible to predict impact until first turbines are up and running	No	Oppose
388	Ralph Jorgensen	405 Makara Road	RD 2 Makara	Opposes all aspects - the site is inappropriate & contravenes Part 2 of the RMA. Contrary to DP & PC 33, as well as Rural Area Design Guide and local community plan. Contrary to RPS and other GW documents. Application misrepresents affects on local community, and does not show that Makara residents are close to site & will be equally affected. Scale of the information provided is too small for residents to assess effects. SIMS misleading & should be provided from all dwellings within 5 km of the site. AEE is inadequate. Application doesn't provide detailed information above excavation, transportation and replacement of very large on-site earthworks required, or exact location of cut & fill sites. The bulk of the site drains directly into the Makara Estuary via the Hawkins Gully Stream - proposed sediment control is inadequate. No specifics in application relating to dust/effects on water supplies. Noise assessment is inadequate. No consultation with Makara residents prior to lodging of application. Cumulative effects with West Wind; will exacerbate effects that have been deemed "significant" by the Environment Court. Need to look at West Wind effects before allowing this consent. Construction traffic. Substantial effects outweigh any benefits.	Yes	Oppose
389	Gordon Marr	2109 Hamilton Road	Haitaitai	Supports - good renewable source of power	No	Support
390	Linda McKenzie	810 Ohariu Valley Road	Wellington	Opposes all aspects - construction effects, traffic, noise and health issues, visual effects, environmental concerns, landscape amenity, lack of national policy/process	Yes	Oppose
391	Anna Fay Mckenzie	123 Wilton Road	Wilton	Opposes all aspects - construction effects, traffic, noise and health issues, visual effects, environmental concerns, landscape amenity, lack of national policy/process	Yes	Oppose
392	Francesca Emily McKenzie	830 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - construction effects, traffic, noise and health issues, visual effects, environmental concerns, landscape amenity, lack of national policy/process	Yes	Oppose
393	Vernon McKenzie	123 Wilton Road	Wilton	Opposes all aspects - construction effects, traffic, noise and health issues, visual effects, environmental concerns, landscape amenity, lack of national policy/process	Yes	Oppose
394	Alistair MacKenzie	830 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - construction effects, traffic, noise and health issues, visual effects, environmental concerns, landscape amenity, lack of national policy/process. Limited benefit to renewable energy goals, concern regarding process and misleading information supplied by applicant. Little thought given to alternative sites and supply routes.		
395	Preserve Pauatahunua Incorporated	805 Moonshine road	Pauatahanui	Opposes all aspects - visual impact of large scale industrial turbines; visually dominant on houses and visible from a distance. Blade flicker. Noise & health effects - sleep deprivation, dizziness, moods, nausea etc. Turbines should not be located where the swish of the blades causes a problem for people. Quotes UK research regarding health/noise effects. Low frequency noise also a concern. Supports Ohariu Valley Preservation Society.	Yes	Oppose
396	Julia Third	128 Lavender Hill	Clapham	Opposes all aspects - poor information provided from Meridian, loss of visible amenity, noise, loss of property value, detrimental health effects, environmental effects	No	Oppose
397	Lindsay Jenkin	51 Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes whole application - wind farm is located too close to residential homes	yes	Oppose
398	John Baker	51 Takarau Gorge Road	Wellington	Opposes whole application - wind farm is located too close to residential homes	yes	Oppose

399	Matthew Baker	4/62 Matipo Street	Riccarton	Opposes whole application - wind farm is located too close to residential homes	yes	Oppose
400	Tim Baker	4/62 Matipo Street	Riccarton	Opposes whole application - wind farm is located too close to residential homes	yes	Oppose
401	Caroline Burdan	209 Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes - turbines located far too close to homes, should be minimum 2km away, concerns about health/low frequency noise. Increased traffic concerns. Meridian to conduct 24x7 monitoring of noise of all houses within 3km of any turbine, all data collected to be provided to residents.	No	Oppose
402	Phillip Milward	494 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - loss of quiet and visual enjoyment, noise and health issues, traffic and construction issues, decrease in property value. Meridian is to meet all costs associated to roof/window improvements to circumvent any noise disturbance.	Yes	Oppose
403	Shirley Wilward	494 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - we will be substantially affected by noise from the turbines, in turn leading to health and safety concerns. Concern about birdlife and extra traffic in the valley, visual effect. Turbines will be visible on the ridgeline which is in conflict with council policy on structures on ridgelines in this area. Noise should be covered by provision of triple glazing of all windows and planting of trees to lessen visual impact. Traffic impact needs serious consideration	Yes	oppose
404	Christopher Third	26 Mill Road	Waitahanui	Opposes all aspects - applicant providing incomplete and misleading information, in breach of district plan, change quiet valley into commercial eyesore, excessive and dangerous noise in quiet rural area, strobe effect with setting sun, serious health effects from low level noise which have not been researched, risk of siltation into makara stream, negative effect on valley	No	Oppose
405	Craig Angus	800 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes - opposes road alterations proposed with loss of recreational riding of community. Turbines too close to homes, proven health effects. Big horse area, horses do not mix well with 40 metre trucks. Meridian needs to move turbines further away from homes and find alternative routes for construction traffic.	No	Oppose
406	Robert Barraclough	924 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes erection of turbines close to existing properties; lack of consideration of alternate routes to the site; opening a road through Spicer's Forest; effects of construction traffic in terms of safety. Also increased traffic during and post construction. Visual effects. Lack of effective consultation. Applicant's statements unrealistic / overly optimistic. Also opposes the effects of government policies on the economics of constructing wind farms. Wants application declined, but if approved, conditions should be imposed without exception.	Yes	Oppose
407	Susan Wickison	924 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Concerned about safety of road users and residents using proposed access roads; noise and visual effects of the turbines; construction effects on the peace of the valley & following visual intrusion of industrial turbines. Application doesn't give suitable weight to effects (noise, traffic) on residents and recreational users of the area. If approved turbines should be smaller and further away from residences; with access not from public roads.	No	Oppose
408	John Third	252 Takarau Gorge Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes in full, including: poor & misleading communication by applicant. Visual effects of industrial sized turbines. Out of character with quiet rural area. Visible from every common living space in the dwelling. Strobing effect due to setting sun. Noise radiation - audible & inaudible components. Concerned about sleep disturbance, especially as they will be downwind. Visual & noise effects will reduce the quality of life of the submitter. Potential health effects due to noise & strobing, as well as stress. Cumulative effects / property values. Wind farm will produce a paltry amount of energy in terms of national demand. Predictions about global warming are failing to eventuate, meaning the project isn't necessary. Minimum 3km setback requested.	Yes	Oppose
409	Nicholas Barraclough	924 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Visual effects. Opposes road opening up to allow access to Porirua & construction effects of road works.	No	Oppose
410	Charlotte Barraclough	924 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Visual effects. Opposes road opening up to allow access to Porirua & construction effects of road works. Traffic safety for horse-riding (20km speed limit should be imposed)	No	Oppose
411	Marty Best	571 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes entire application. Meridian hasn't consulted with the community. Excessive adverse effects on the environment including visual, noise & traffic. WCC should request the minister to intervene in processing the application.	No	Oppose
412	Hannah Barraclough	924 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Loss of rural amenity (peace & tranquility of the Valley); loss of recreational opportunities due to construction effects.	No	Oppose
413	Loraine Best	571 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes entire application. Meridian hasn't consulted with the community. Excessive adverse effects on the environment including visual, noise & traffic. Loss of rural amenity/landscape effects. Health and lifestyle effects. Concerned about proposed route for heavy traffic and disruption to residents in the northern end of the Valley during the construction period. Traffic will limit recreational use of the roads for residents and Wellingtonians in general. Traffic will also increase along Ohariu Valley Rd due to contractors' vehicles. Possible effects on water springs. Poor consultation.	No	Oppose
414	Robin Westenra & Pam Crisp	44 Fitzherbert St	Alicetown	Opposes turbine locations, planning process, effects on whole environment including Makara, and permanent loss of environmental values. Media has given false impression as to the effects on Makara residents (i.e. not just Ohariu Valley is affected). Project is an extension of West Wind, and should have been presented as such. Decision on the application should be deferred until more information about effects (social, ecological and economic) can be gained from West Wind. Independent planners should report on the consent. If approved, conditions that guarantee recreational use on public land should be included.	Will consider joint submission	Oppose
415	Stephen Russell	771 Takarau Gorge Rd	Makara	Opposes: traffic disruption, noise, health & safety issues, environmental effects, cumulative effects when combined with West Wind. Poor consultation & total disregard for residents by the applicant and the Councils. Conditions re compulsory noise testing prior to construction commencing if approved.	Yes/joint	Oppose
416	Annette Phillips	335 Takarau Gorge Rd	Ohariu Valley	Turbines too close to houses. G01-G04 should be removed. Expert reports in application not "independent". Should not be approved until West Wind effects have been documented. Loss of rural amenity. Noise, vibrations, visual effects. Will see 27 of the 31 turbines, the closest being 1.5km away. Concerned about health effects. Photo simulations are misleading. Meridian chooses to ignore overseas information about health/noise effects. Meridian is arrogant & has offered no mitigation (eg trees). Turbine noise should be independently monitored. Construction should not be approved until effects of West Wind are documented. 5km set back from houses.	Yes	Oppose
417	Tara Fagan	29 Sunhaven Dr	Newlands	Noise & health impact; concerned for families in the Valley.	No	Oppose
418	Ed & Christy Menzies	57 Rifle Range Rd	Ohariu	Oppose entire application. Concerned about health, traffic & noise.	No	Oppose
419	Mike & Diane Strugnell	805 Moonshine Rd	Pauatahanui	The wind farm will destroy the special characteristics of the valley. Long term effects not justifiable. Concerned about noise, shadow flicker, infrasound, visual effects, loss of amenity.	Yes	Oppose
420	Karin Russell	771 Takarau Gorge Rd	Makara	Opposes entire application - noise, vibration, health	Yes	Oppose
421	Hannah & Keith Small	10 Rifle Range Rd	Ohariu Valley	Lack of consultation/communication; cost to the community; process issues. Concerned about noise & health effects. Landscape/visual effects. Effect on property values. Impact on local businesses & tourism. Concerned about access road through Spicer's Forest, and wants specific information about how this will be used in the long term. No coherent national policy regarding wind energy. Meridian has a poor track record with West Wind.	Yes/joint	Oppose
422	Chris Phillips	335 Takarau Gorge Rd	Ohariu Valley	Too close to homes. Health effects. Loss of rural amenity. Wind energy isn't economical. One of most affected properties & he works from home. Concerned about sound & vibration. Dealing with Meridian is disappointing; visual simulations are misleading. Remove G01-G04 & keep turbines off ridgelines.	Yes	Oppose
423	Francesca Mackenzie	830 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Construction effects, traffic effects, noise & health effects, visual/landscape effects. Lack of national policy.	Yes	Oppose
424	Sherrie Macintyre	21 Takarau Gorge Rd	Ohariu Valley	noise & health impact; construction & traffic effects; visual effects & environmental/ecological effects	Yes	Oppose
425	Shaun Nicolson	21 Takarau Gorge Rd	Ohariu Valley	visual impact; traffic effects; noise & health effects	Yes	Oppose
426	Bruce Macintyre	21 Takarau Gorge Rd	Ohariu Valley	visual impact; noise & vibration; health effects. Construction effects including noise & traffic. Ecological effects.	Yes	Oppose
427	Hans Ho	4B Bandipur Tce	Broadmeadows	Noise & health effects; loss of rural amenity. Sleep deprivation for residents.	No	Oppose
428	David McArthur	85 Houghton Bay Rd	Houghton Bay	Supports use of wind potential but not ability of large companies to install wind farms with no community ability to demand controls on these. Current legislation is undemocratic and unsustainable. Legislation should be revised.	Yes	Oppose

429	Gillian Blythe & Chris Garr	16 Farm Rd	Northland	Supports renewable energy	No	Support
430	Simon Hill	PO Box 31202	Lower Hutt	No reason supplied	No	Support
431	Mr J Stephenson	23 Rifle Range Rd	Ohariu Valley	Supports application. Should not be audible from houses. Progress is important.	No	Support
432	Kevin McAree	80 Takarau Gorge Rd	Ohariu Valley	Supports application & renewable energy. Better use of the land. Only concern is noise.	No	Support
433	W. B. Adams	2-B Thorrington Rd	Cashmere	Supports as it provides more electricity. We cannot afford to waste power!	No	Support
434	Rebecca Gorrie	44 Churton Drive	Churton Park	Supports renewable energy	No	Support
435	Morgan Jackson	736 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Supports renewable energy	No	Support
436	Sheena McGuire	19 Bandipur Tce	Broadmeadows	Supports renewable energy	No	Support
437	Gina Waibl	66 Maida Vale Rd	Roseneath	Supports renewable energy & initiative shown by the landowners. Spicer's access road should be closed after construction.	No	Support
438	Keith Herlihy	28 Heathcote Pl	Palmerston North	Proposal supports current land use, preventing urban sprawl into the coastal area. More energy. Supports sustainable energy.	No	Support
439	David Seddon	111 Maungaraki Rd	Korokoro	Supports renewable energy	No	Support
440	B Adams	2B Thorrington Rd	Cashmere, Chch	Supports application due to need for more electricity.	No	Support
441	Victoria Wynn	1 Sheridan Tce	Johnsonville	Supports renewable energy & the farmers	No	Support
442	Rob Green	4 Mana Place	Palmerston North	Appropriate location, renewable energy	No	Support
443	Natalie Moore	117 Soleares Ave	Mt Pleasant, Chch	Supports renewable energy	No	Support
444	Denise Dorman	32 Herewini St	Titahi Bay	Wind generation preferable to other forms of power. Adds power to the national grid. Grouping of turbines is good.	No	Support
445	Marilyn Joyce	7 Lambley Rd	Christchurch	Supports renewable energy	No	Support
446	Chris Brown	52 Matai Rd	Massey University	Supports renewable energy	No	Support
447	Chris Oolders	68 Tiotio Rd	Seatoun	Supports renewable energy for future generations	No	Support
448	Judith Wilson	67B Halswater Dr	Churton Park	Supports renewable energy and avoiding transmission lines, Churton Park is spoiled by the number of transmission lines, windmills are not unattractive and would suit the landscape, more pylons would be a travesty, yes to windmills no to pylons	No	Support
449	Peter Wilson	67B Halswater Dr	Churton Park	Supports renewable energy, no power transmission lines, not unattractive	No	Support
450	Jude Best	184 Takarau Gorge Rd	Ohariu Valley	Supports renewable energy; only 40 year contract; needs wind farm to continue use of the farm	Will if required	Support
451	Jade O'Brian	10/12 Roberts Lane	RD8, Palmerston North	Supports renewable energy	No	Support
452	Alexandra Saxton	78 Takarau Gorge Rd	Ohariu Valley	Turbines reasonable distance from houses; road through Spicer's Forest will be closed in the long term. Will allow Valley to remain rural.	No	Support
453	Jack Schierhout	7 Deveron Place	Tawa	Supports renewable energy, effects to do with noise, ecology & landscape are ok.	No	Support
454	Ingrid Saxton	131 Cecil Rd	Wadestown	Supports renewable energy; wind power is a good alternative, excellent use of the farm land; ideal location.	No	Support
455	Michael Saxton	131 Cecil Rd	Wadestown	Supports the application, the visual and audio effect of the turbines appear to be minimal, good use of Wellington wind particularly due to the continual pressures on the hydro-power schemes	No	Support
456	Andrew J Allen	9-220 Jackson St	Petone	Supports renewable energy & security of supply for Wellington. Will aid economic well-being of the city, and show Wellington to be an early adopter of climate friendly technologies. Conditions should be included to prevent damage to waterways, historic heritage & Maori sites.	No	Support
457	Ben McEwan	125 Mairangi Rd	Wilton	Supports wind energy	No	Support
458	S. Higgins	PO Box 2065	Palmerston North	Supports renewable energy	No	Support
459	John Easter	21A Helen St	Brooklyn	Location of the turbines, timing of the proposed construction prior to the knowledge of the effects of Westwind being available, premanent loss of environmental values, effects on the occupiers of adjoining properties, the effects on the value of the land and assets of adjoining property owners, failure of the application to identify significant effects, inappropriate location, inadequate mitigation in terms of scale and size of the project, inadequate information, incorrect planning process followed, integral part of Westwind neither project can be considered in isolation, the application makes it clear that the project was considered at the time Westwind was going through the planning process and this should have been considered at the same time, the turbines would dominate the environment of Makara Beach. The Wellington City Council should seek additional information on the proven effects of Project Westwind. The Council's planners report should be prepared by an independent planner without a conflict of interest perhaps someone from Australia or Europe. They should take these issues into account in the choice of hearings Commissioners as well.	Yes	Oppose
460	Connor McSweeney	958 Ohariu Valley Rd	Johnsonville	Health & noise effects; turbines too close to homes; construction traffic including effects on recreational use of the road (for horse riding)	No	Oppose
461	Maureen Fern	9 Scenic Court	Gisborne, Victoria 3437, Australia	Health & noise effects; turbines too close to homes; construction traffic including effects on recreational use of the road (for horse riding). Meridian should explore other access options and using smaller turbines.	No	Oppose
462	Kate McSweeney	958 Ohariu Valley Rd	Johnsonville	Oppose the application. Concerned about health and noise effects; some turbines less than 2000m away from homes, this is too close and a proven health risk. It would be responsible to have a minimum distance to houses enforced. Poor consultation; Meridian has not consulted with the community and only read out answers to questions asked by the community. Meridian's aggressive stance on the open day was shameful. Meridian would have benefited from consulting with the community. Publishing False Material; Meridian mentioned on the pamphlet that the community would be consulted and would be considered in the shaping of the consent. This did not happen. Property Values; properties within 1.2km of the turbines will lose significant value. Insufficient research; little information on the cost/benefit of using alternative routes for construction traffic, both via sea and through Boomrock. No Research Information provided on alternative siting; Meridian have given little alternative siting options for their proposed wind farm such as an offshore farm. Landscape/Visual effects; Jewels in Wellington Crown will be spoilt. WCC needs to say no to massive turbines close to homes and destroying our ridgelines and natural landscape. Environment; Large amounts of concrete into our land will have massive effects on the land. Rural character; Ohariu Valley will turn into an industrial wind farm. Construction Traffic; Won't be able to walk down the road and there won't be a "wonderland" for my children to play in (Spicers Forest). Effect on people; There is no mention of the effects on people in the application. People have a rural lifestyle, close to Wellington city. Put people before policy.	No	Oppose
463	Marie & Graham McPhee	119 Helston Rd	Johnsonville	Turbines have been proven to affect mental & physical health		Oppose
464	Allison Todd	199 Takarau Gorge Rd	Ohariu Valley	Proposal supports current land use, preventing urban sprawl into the coastal area. More energy. Supports sustainable energy.	Possibly	Support

465	Regional Public Health	Private Bag 31-907	Lower Hutt, Attn: Dr Stephen Palmer	RPH supports renewable energy sources. Wind power has the potential to play an important role in achieving this goal. Are different ways of approaching wind energy development - e.g. smaller scale local wind farm developments? Cohesive & interconnected communities important for health, and benefit through the sharing of resources and increased capacity to respond to challenges that may arise. RPH is concerned about the high level of division of the community in Ohariu Valley. Noise issues during both construction and operation are an issue; suitable recommendations have been made to mitigate the effects of noise. Conditions re noise should be applied. Visibility of turbines increases level of annoyance for people with no vested interest in the wind farm. RPH recognises there may be a range of health effects on residents, has <b>recommended amendments to the noise condition</b> to reduce effects. Review condition regarding NZS:6808 should also be included as this is becoming outdated. <b>Makes a number of recommendations re noise conditions. Recommends a community liaison group condition be included.</b>	Yes	Support	Y
466	R G Hume			Opposes - naming an industrial power generation plant a wind farm, noise/visual pollution, health effects	No	Oppose	
467	This submission is a duplicate. Refer 466.			Opposes - naming an industrial power generation plant a wind farm, noise/visual pollution, health effects	No	Oppose	
468	Peter and Susan Ridley	115 Ohariu Road	Johnsonville	Opposes - contrary to RMA ss7(c) and 7(f), DP, PC33 (policy 14.2.2.2). Contrary to good resource management and to common sense. Application doesn't accurately address visual effects. 11 turbines will be visible from this property. Concerned about blade glint & shadow flicker. No visual assessment re the effects of aviation lights. Cumulative effects with West Wind. PC 33 should protect ridgelines and hilltops. If approved the 11 turbines within their line of sight should be removed.	Yes	Oppose	
469	No Submission				Yes	Oppose	
470	No Submission				Yes	Oppose	
471	Natalie Grenside	15A Dargle Way	Island Bay	Opposes - against the number of windfarms being built around the country, destruction of NZ landscape. Windfarms will not ease peak power pressure. More research needs to be done before more farms are built.	No	Oppose	
472	Ethne Wyndham-Smith	13 Tisdall St	Karori	Opposed - silt run off into Makara Stream, too close to dwellings, landscape already affected by westwind.	No	Oppose	
473	Dan Compton	306 South Makara Road	Karori RD2, Wellington	Opposes all aspects - application does not adequately address the adverse effects of this project to the public and the environment. Traffic/safety, water contamination, community/well being. Problems already being experienced with Westwind, enforcement of conditions are inadequate; Meridian has shown no respect for the community. At minimum Mills Creek should be put on hold until evidence from Westwind can be considered and conditions can be imposed.	Yes	Oppose	
474	Deb Compton	306 South Makara Road	Karori RD2, Wellington	Opposes all aspects - noise, land use, safety, poor communication with community, review needed of issues and true impacts.	Yes	Oppose	
475	Alison Douglas Main Samuelson-Sandvid	879 Makara Road	Karori RD2, Wellington	Opposes application in its entirety - noise, health, visual. Meridians lack of consideration for alternative sites/ concern for residents, no face to face discussion.	Yes	Oppose	
476	Murdina Barrett	17 Becker Way	Karori	Opposes application in its entirety - noise, health, visual. Meridians lack of consideration for alternative sites/ concern for residents, no face to face discussion.	Yes	Oppose	
477	Owen Barrett	17 Becker Way	Karori	Opposes application in its entirety - noise, health, visual. Meridians lack of consideration for alternative sites/ concern for residents, no face to face discussion.	Yes	Oppose	
478	Peter Samuelson-Sandvid	879 Makara Road	Karori RD2, Wellington	Opposes application in its entirety - noise, health, visual. Meridians lack of consideration for alternative sites/ concern for residents, no face to face discussion.	Yes	Oppose	
479	Pauline and Athol Swann	47 Mairangi Road	Wadestown	Opposes all aspects - permanent impact on the environment, destruction of natural landforms. Community will be charges whatever is necessary to make the proposal viable. Wind energy requires back up from other sources. Ecological impact, health hazards	No	Oppose	
480	William Paul Stothart	75 Ngaio Gorge Road	Ngaio	Opposes all aspects - property values, visual/health impacts, plan change 33 issues, roading, size of turbines, destroying a whole community	Yes	Oppose	
481	Monique Gaskin	75 Ngaio Gorge Road	Ngaio	Opposes all aspects - environmental/ecological impact, poor consultation with public and surrounding communities, roading, lack of national policy on health and safety	Yes	Oppose	
482	Helena Samuels	780 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu	Opposes all aspects - environmental impact, end of country life in Ohariu valley, Farmers unable to move stock. Leave Ohariu Valley alone and go and destroy someone else's land	Yes	Oppose	
483	Mark Best	780 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu	Opposes all aspects - roading, environmental concerns, ecological impact, difficulty in moving stock	Yes	Oppose	
484	Joan Prior	31 Bassett Road	Johnsonville	Opposes all 31 turbines, effects on environment, amenity, health and noise effects	No	Oppose	
485	Siobhan Lilley	329 Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu	Opposes all aspects - huge impact on family life, close proximity to turbines, frequency health effects, loss of property value, environmental damage.	Yes	Oppose	
486	Adam Raymond Jervis	445 Makara Road	RD2, Wellington	Opposes whole application, Makara should be kept peaceful. Aunt and uncle live within 2km of proposed turbine.	No	Oppose	
487	Joel Jervis	445 Makara Road	RD2, Wellington	Opposes application - concern regarding biking/ road safety	No	Oppose	
488	Hazel Louise Wright	445 Makara Road	RD2, Wellington	Opposes - turbines in too close proximity to homes, should be at least 2km away	No	Oppose	
489	Kaye Jervis	445 Makara Road	RD2, Wellington	Opposes - loss of amenity; sons unable to ride bikes due to westwind, turbines should at least 2km from dwellings	No	Oppose	
490	Paul Brian Jervis	445 Makara Road	RD2, Wellington	Opposes - loss of amenity, use of land, turbines should at least 2km from dwellings	No	Oppose	
491	Simon Jervis	445 Makara Road	RD2, Wellington	Opposes - loss of amenity, use of land, turbines should at least 2km from dwellings	No	Oppose	
492	Paul Brian Jervis	445 Makara Road	RD2, Wellington	Opposed to application in it's entirety - subjected to noise from turbines, loss of amenity/land use, visual impact	No	Oppose	
493	Doug & Angela Johnson	888 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu	Opposes all aspects - roading and safety concerns, visual impacts, concern about accuracy of photo simulations, noise impact, poor consultation.	No	Oppose	
494	S Caldwell	8 Estuary Street	RD2, Karori	Opposes all aspects - too close to homes, too close to Westwind, noise/visual impacts. These turbines are inappropriate for Wellington as they are too large, Wind power is inappropriate/expensive			
495	Colin Caldwell	8 Estuary Street	RD2, Karori	Opposes complete proposal - NOISE EFFECTS - I live at Makara Beach, cumulative effects from both Mill Creek and Westwind in terms of noise, believes background noise levels would be below 35dBA, no background noise testing has been done as per the westwind conditions, the noise simulations have the noise stopping in the middle of the estuary this is incorrect as the noise would bounce around the valley, no faith in computer simulations, I would be within 1.2km of a turbine overseas data states that you should be 5km away, health effects, sleep disturbance due to lower frequency noise, refers to a article by David McBride a senior lecturer in Occupational Health at Otago Medical School about environmental noise, NZS 6808 1998 is out of date and should be reassessed as the acceptable indoor sound for dwellings is too high, references various scientific articles - VISUAL EFFECTS - massive visual impact for those who live and visit in the area, houses within 5km of the wind farm would be dwarfed by the proposal, , maybe subject to shadow flicker, true scape simulations are a false, the Makara walkway would lose its wild isolated feel, pollution of the Makara Estuary, there have already been three accounts of run-off due to Westwind through the stream, have enclosed photographs of silt in the river, this will affect the white bait fishing in the river, enclosed is a report from GWRC on whitebait in the Makara stream WIND POWER - can not be stored like hydro, given this years winds there has been a decline in the useable winds CONDITIONS - minimum distance to be 4km for any house from the turbines, instant shutdown of noisy turbines, bond for pollution of the estuary, all turbines close to Makara Beach deleted from the consent, bond for removal of the turbines and reinstatement of the site, no construction traffic on Takarau Gorge Road, full background noise testing to be undertaken, full restoration of any TV reception lost ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS - solar heating, wave action, windfarms located away from dwellings	Yes	Oppose	

496	Marieke Hilhorst for Makaracarpas Society Incorporated	1069 Makara Road	Makara Beach	Society is working towards the restoration of the Makara estuary and its environs; therefore we are concerned about land disturbance and earthworks, works in the stream beds of Mill Creek, Ohariu Street, Hawkins Gully and their tributaries, discharge of stormwater from disturbed areas of land. The society is neutral on the proposed windfarm this submission urges the consenting authority to require Meridian to avoid any adverse effects arising from the construction, operation, and maintenance of the proposed windfarm. The significance of the Makara Estuary is recognised in the GWRC RPS and is identified in the WCC Biodiversity Action Plan. Refers to the Policy 1 of the current RPS due to protect Makara Estuary, refers to the draft RPS and policies 33, 35, 36, 40 and 44. <b>Conditions recommended.</b>	?	Neutral	Y
497	Sharyn Hume	213 Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes whole application - visual, noise, infrasound, health, Meridian's consultation/communication, historical significance, reputation of Wgtn, impact on business, inadequate national policy, impact on property value.	Yes	Oppose	
498	Simon Ellingham	213 Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - noise and health issues, unhappy with consultation process, concerned with visual impact, national policy not adequate, landscape effects, roading concerns, impact on business/property values, changes to district plan	Yes	Oppose	
499	Jan Poehls	1012 Makara Road	Makara	Opposed - noise, visual pollution, loss of natural character, coastal environment, loss of amenity, land use	Yes	Oppose	
500	Aaron Poehls	1012 Makara Road	Makara	Opposed - unacceptable visual effect, beach will be surrounded by turbines.	Yes	Opposed	
501	Tim Cudby	1000 Makara Road	Makara	Opposed - individual solar generation units for homes are a better option	Yes	Opposed	
502	Kelly Poehls	1012 Makara Road	Makara	Opposed - unacceptable visual effect, beach will be surrounded by turbines.	Yes	Opposed	
503	Jeff Bowen	1000 Makara Road	Makara	Opposed - windfarm too close to our farm/residence. Noise/vibrations will be disruptive. Nearest turbine is 175m away from our boundary.	Yes	Opposed	
504	Ivan Bowen	1000 Makara Road	Makara	Opposed to application in its entirety - 17 turbines are within 2km of our home, risk from turbine collapse is very real.	Yes	Opposed	
505	Lindsay Eaton	4/100 Lyall Bay Parade	Lyall Bay	Opposes all aspects - questions the need for this farm to be built, not an ideal site, lack of national policy re: windfarms in residential areas. Turbines will remain an alien intrusion on the landscape. Noise pollution and health concerns eg: stress and sleep deprivation. Negative economic impact on property values and business. Personal impact	Yes	Opposed	
506	Peter Johnston & Susan Messman	522 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - have to put up with noise from the turbines, adverse health effects from noise from wind turbines, turbines are visual abomination, Ohariu Valley ruined by roading traffic and construction	Yes	Opposed	
507	Hilary Quemby	PO Box 417	Rotorua	Opposes all aspects - noise, health, environmental concerns, ruined landscape, traffic concerns	No	Support	
508	Kim Bowen	1000 Makara Road	Makara	Opposes application in its entirety - noise/ proximity concerns (17 turbines within 2km of house. Vibrations and blade glint/strobing. Visual impact, siltation of estuary. Health concerns, cumulative impacts of being between two wind stations. Loss of land use and amenity, safety in regards to turbine blades. Turbines should be at least 2km from nearest boundary. Also there are errors in the resource consent application - it states that Smiths Gully is entirely within the project site, this is not true. It flows into our property for approx 500m and Smiths Stream is used by baches for bathing and drinking. Also smith's stream is stated "lies entirely within the project area". Approx 900m of Hawkins Stream flows through our property before it reaches the estuary.	Yes	Opposed	
509	Johnny Bowen	1000 Makara Road	Makara	Totally oppose - NOISE - from westwind has not been proven yet and there is going to be another windfarm, if there is to be no noise why have they not completed Westwind before applying for Mill Creek then residents would see that noise concerns are unwarranted, all noise from the turbines should be contained within the site, this windfarm is only 175m from our boundary a turbine would be only 510m from our house, turbines should be 2km from boundaries because of turbine collapse, there are 17 turbines within 2km of our house site - ENVIRONMENTAL - silting of the estuary, concerned that run off has occurred due to Westwind and does not think that this is acceptable, Makara river is noticeable more silted since Westwind, estuary only on of its kind in Wellington ALTERNATIVES there are alternative power generation such as solar FLICKER AND BLADE GLINT is a concern from our house site, Meridian has stated that shadow flicker will effect the baches in Smiths Bay ERROR IN APPLICATION Meridian states that Smiths Stream, and Hawkins Streams are entirely within the application site, this is not the case as approximately 500m of Smiths Stream and 900m of Hawkins Stream is within our property and we use this stream for our household water supply and stock watering. VIBRATIONS this will be unbearable, does not believe that vibrations would be indiscernible, health effects associated with vibrations. Believes that the wind farm should not be considered until Westwind is completed and turbines should be 2km away from neighbouring boundaries.	Yes	Opposed	
510	Rob Bowen	1000 Makara Road	Makara	Opposed - there are better places for windfarms than near to residences, concern over loss of land use/amenity. Safety concerns	Yes	Opposed	
511	Joan Waters	40 Sheridan Terrace	Johnsonville	Totally opposed, massive turbines will devastate surroundings, noise/visual impact on walkway to gun emplacements	No	Opposed	
512	Rex Waters	19 Manchester Street	Petone	Opposed- project is sited inappropriately, noise/visual impact, impact on visitors, tourism.	No	Opposed	
513	Pauline & Athol Swann	47 Mairangi Road	Wadestown	Opposes all aspects - permanent impact on the environment, destruction of natural landforms. Community will be charges whatever is necessary to make the proposal viable. Wind energy requires back up from other sources. Ecological impact, health hazards	No	Opposed	
514	This submission is a duplicate. Refer 468.						
515	Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority	PO Box 388	Wellington	Supports - As New Zealand economy grows so does its electricity demand, a long term sustainable energy future is required. Government has an ongoing commitment to sustainable energy which is expressed in a number of policy documents. The New Zealand Energy Strategy sets out the governments vision for which is 'a reliable and resilient system delivering New Zealand sustainable, low emissions energy'. New Zealand Energy Efficiency and Conservation Strategy also promotes renewable energy. The Resource Management (Energy and Climate Change) Amendment Act 2004 added climate change and renewable energy as considerations under Section 7. this would help achieve the 90% renewable electricity target. It would also help provide a security of supply. A significant benefit is that the turbiens are relatively close to the area of electricity demand. Wind is a relatively reliable natural resource. There is general public support for renewable energy. The proposal is of national significance and value and will provide national benefits.	Yes	Support	
516	James McQueen	Wellington Backpackers Hostel, Courtney Place	Wellington	Opposes entire application - noise & vibration effects, roading concerns, too close to homes, visual impacts	No	Opposed	
517	Rob Piper	67 Sheridan Terrace	Wellington	Opposes entire application - road issues, too close to homes, noise and health issues, visual impact, windfarms wont solve NZ energy problems	No	Opposed	
518	Chris Hyland	52A Park Road	Belmont	Opposed - visual impact, health issues, too close to homes, loss of amenity, road issues (young children and horses on road)	No	Opposed	
519	Martin Sammut	56 Airlie Road	Plymerton	Opposes application - amenity values, effects of construction traffic, visual impact	No	Opposed	
520	Ervin McSweeney	53 Normandale Road	Normandale	Opposed to placement of the turbines and effect on residents.	No	Opposed	
521	David Bennet	736 Main Road	Makara		Yes	Opposed	
522	This submission is a duplicate. Refer 403.						
523	Robert Mitchell	117 Ohariu Road	Johnsonville	Opposed - damage to aesthetic of valley, deceptive, inaccurate submission from meridian	No	Opposed	
524	Molly Dredge	9c McLellan Street	Tawa	Opposed - concerns over daughters health who resides in Ohariu Valley	No	Opposed	

525	Raylee Harley	Barristers Chambers, PO Box 5241	Wellington	Oppose the application. Adverse effects on the environment and hundreds of people. Traffic, pedestrians and horse traffic will be compromised. The proposal is not in accordance with the relevant objectives, policy and assessment criteria of the Wellington District Plan. Earthworks will remove existing vegetation, alter existing land forms, affect water quality, and affect existing natural features, impact on prominent or visually sensitive situations including hilltops and ridgelines. The building structures and other work are of an inappropriate scale in regard to the local land forms and nature of surrounding development. New buildings/structures sited within Ohariu Valley ought to reflect the scale and form of existing farm houses/buildings. The amenities and quality of the rural environment cannot be maintained/enhanced. The impact of noise, dust, glare, vibration, fumes, electromagnetic effects, discharges and pollutants and the excavation of earth cannot be avoided/remedied/mitigated. Maintenance of a quiet night time rural environment cannot be assured. Site is inappropriately located. Non-rural activity and structures will hinder farming activities. Proposal will generate traffic flows which are significant in the rural context. The amount and type of traffic will exceed the capacity of the roading network and will adversely affect the rural environment. Landscape amenities and ecological values cannot be maintained/enhanced. Alternative sites are not available where the impact on the environment will be insignificant. Structures and earthworks will be visible against the skyline altering it's natural appearance, these cannot be reduced or improved. Earthworks will alter existing landforms and affect water quality. Earthworks will impact on prominent and visually sensitive ridgeline situations.	Yes	Opposed
526	Abby, Matt, Vicky & David Wintzler	1072 Makara Road	Makara	Opposed - combined effects of westwind and mill creek are detrimental to the rural character of the area. Turbines are too close to houses, we do not to be guinea pigs. Construction will interfere with water supplies from Hawkins Gully. Potential detrimental noise and visual effects. Meridian have already bullied the community into westwind, mill creek will be a further insult.	Yes	Opposed
527	Ngati Tama	C/O Bennion Law	PO Box 254 33, Panama Street, Wellington	Oppose the application entirely - A Ngati Tama presence is noted on the coast, but their presence is oddly downplayed. There has been no cultural impact report from Ngati Tama nor have Ngati Tama been consulted. The Waitangi Tribunal report on Wellington claims is very specific about Ngati Tama presence at Ohariu. This proposal will turn the whole of an important customary area of Ngati Tama into an industrial landscape. Consent should be refused.	Yes	Opposed
528	Ngati Tama	18 Toi Street	Otaki Beach, Wellington	Oppose the application entirely - A Ngati Tama presence is noted on the coast, but their presence is oddly downplayed. There has been no cultural impact report from Ngati Tama nor have Ngati Tama been consulted. The Waitangi Tribunal report on Wellington claims is very specific about Ngati Tama presence at Ohariu. This proposal will turn the whole of an important customary area of Ngati Tama into an industrial landscape. Consent should be refused.	Yes	Opposed
529	New Zealand Wind Energy Association	PO Box 553	Wellington	Supports - Wind power can be used to generate competitively priced electricity. Electricity is increasingly recognised as an essential service and a means for people and communities to provide for their wellbeing. Wind energy helps to mitigate the potential impact of climate change as it is a renewable resource. The project sustainable and efficiently uses a significant and important resource. Wind energy complements our existing hydro-generation resources. Wind is an endless renewable resource and provides security of supply and security of price. Wind energy has a high level of public support. Community concerns are readily managed with successful project such as Te Apiti and White Hill. Legislation and policy supports wind energy development. Requests that WCC approve the consent and that NZS 6808:1998 used in its entirety without additional modifications as demonstrated in the Environment Court decision to grant Westwind.	Yes	Support
530	Charlie Smith	335a Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposed - 27 turbines will be visible from my residence, many worldwide health reports document negative health effects from living near these turbines. Deceptive photo simulations from meridian.	No	Opposed
531	Dan Milward	494 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposed - does not support wind farms, as per science publications they are old and obsolete. Mandatory solar panel legislation is a much better alternative.	Yes	Opposed
532	Debbie Moore	38 Lohia Street	Khandallah	Opposed - seen examples of turbines in Californian desert where turbines do not affect animals/people. Mill Creek proposal is too close to residents, great health concerns. No construction to go ahead until westwind is complete.	No	Opposed
533	John Cathie	38 Lohia Street	Khandallah	Opposed - long term serious health issues that should have been considered. Low level frequencies/humming, proven to affect animals and humans. Residents are anxious and angry.	No	Opposed
534	Graeme Hastilow	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	PO Box 50079, Porirua	Submission mainly consistent with cultural impact assessment included in the application. Protection of streams and freshwater environments is a major concern to Ngati Toa. Are concerned about the potential disturbance of sites/areas of cultural importance due to earthworks/sedimentation. Stringent sediment control measures necessary. Concerned about ecological effects on fish species, streams should be protected and enhanced. Ngati Toa, as kaitiaki, are actively involved in the protection of waahi tapu, therefore any activity that put these at risk are unacceptable. There are few such sites in the wind farm site. Ngati Toa supports renewable energy initiatives. Main concern is that customary rights in the area are not adversely affected. Confidential sediment plans and accidental discovery protocol will manage effects.	Yes	Opposed
535	Sebastian Bishop	Ministry Of Economic Development, PO Box 1473	Wellington	Supports the application. Mill Creek will offer national benefits by making use of a viable and renewable energy source. Electricity generation in an environmentally responsible manner that mitigates greenhouse gas emissions. Would contribute to meeting the govts. Energy objectives. NZ needs to respond to climate change and reduce carbon emissions from our energy production and use. Energy systems therefore need to deliver secure, clean energy at affordable prices to support economic development. Govt. has introduced energy related policies and strategies that contribute to a more sustainable energy system; 1. The NZ Energy Strategy 2050 for sustainable low emissions energy system; 2. The NZ Energy Efficiency and Conservation Strategy; 3. Introduction of an Emissions Trading Scheme to address climate change, implemented progressively from 2008 to include all sectors of the economy by 2013; 4. Sustainable development programme of Action 2003; 5. Govt Policy Statement on Electricity Governance; 6. Amendments to section 7 of the RMA; 7. Preparation of a National Policy Statement on Renewable Electricity; 8. Establishment of Electricity Commission 2003. Mill Creek would contribute to all of these. Electricity demand is growing, and Mill Creek would contribute to the generation capacity required to satisfy demand, while reducing NZ's dependence on non-renewable energy sources. There is heavy reliance on hydro-electricity; this dependence needs to be shifted around different energy sources. Wind is intermittent, with wind farms being dotted over the countries geography; it will reduce the disruptions experienced if it is not blowing in one place.	Yes	Support
536	Horst Lachenit	51 Kemp Street	Kilbirnie	Opposed - turbines were put up in Germany and pulled down due to unreliability, expense and low output. Sound, humming and vibration is an issue. Adverse health effects are well documented overseas, but nobody here want to acknowledge this. Move turbines away from homes and people.	No	Opposed
537	Hanni Lachenit	51 Kemp Street	Kilbirnie	Opposed, unproductive and reliable, tidal turbines are a better option. Concerned for grandsons and daughters health. Do any councillors, MPs have to live near these things?	No	Opposed
538	Tara Cooper, Tony Elliot	729 Moonshine Road	Porirua	Opposes application in its entirety - proposed turbines are completely at odds with the landscape, devastating visual impact. Recognition overseas of adverse health effects from turbines, these are too close to residences. Noise, shadow flicker, traffic impact.	No	Opposed
539	Guy Marriage	204/33 Frederick Street	Te Aro	Supports, I support the provision of renewable energy sources, especially in sparsely populated areas.	No	Support
540	NZHPT	PO Box 19173	Wellington	Part of the site has been identified as having a long history of occupation and use by Maori. There are several recorded archaeological sites located to the north and south of Smiths bay, terraces and midden on the summit of the ridge west of Smiths gully and a series of terraces at Pipinui Point. The NZHPT neither supports or opposes the wind farm but does request conditions regarding mitigation in terms of the impacts on the development on archaeological sites.	Yes	Neither
541	Sarah McPhee	781 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - traffic impact during construction, health issues, noise, amenity esp. road use, horse riding. Visual impact, property values, environmental, ecological concerns.	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>
542	Amy McPhee	781 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - traffic impact during construction, health issues, noise, amenity esp. road use, horse riding. Visual impact, property values, environmental, ecological concerns.	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>
543	Scott McPhee	781 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposed to all aspects - heavy traffic, opening road to Porirua, eyesore, construction time concerns, changing the valley lifestyle, noise, amenity loss	Yes	<b>Oppose</b>
544	Stephen McPhee	781 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - road widening, Porirua access being opened, noise problems, vibration issues, negative visual impact. Put application on hold until westwind is completed	Yes	Oppose
545	NO SUBMISSION					
546	Tim Fraser	52 Duthie Street	Karori	Supports, renewable generation good to combat climate change. Wind generation has minimal impact. Renewable energy should be actively promoted and supported.	No	Support
547	Garston Smith	39 Pikarere Street	Titahi Bay	Supports - renewable energy, keeps farming viable, power from natural resource.	No	Support

548	Jo Shepherd	35 Collier Avenue	Karori	Supports electricity generation from renewable sources.	No	Support
549	Gareth Rowe	32 Chartwell Street	Burwood	Supports, I am a proponent of renewable energy generation and support govt's targets.	No	Support
550	Scott Bennett	43c Claridges Road		Supports, believes in renewable energy the benefits for NZ.	No	Support
551	Honor Moss	20 Parklands Drive	Karori	Supports generation of power from renewable sources, good use of wgtm wind.	No	Support
552	Submission a. Lady Anita J Hodgson-Windsor Rios-vanas	414/320 The Terrace	Wellington	Opposes - In regards to heritage and ecological protection of the land. The turbines would disturb residents. Supports Mark Best. Submitted old plans of the area.	Yes	Oppose
552	Submission b. Duncan Turnbull & Shawna Chow	1 Estuary Street	Makara Beach	Too close to homes, too close to West Wind, will ruin the coastal environment. Will result in noise and visual effects. Not suitable for this site. Wind power is not reliable.	Will consider joint submission	Oppose
553	Mark Webber	1011 Makara Rd	RD2, Wellington	Too close to homes, too close to West Wind, will ruin the coastal environment. Will result in noise and visual effects. Not suitable for this site.	Not specified	Oppose
554	G Hawkins	1011 Makara Rd	RD2, Wellington	Too close to homes, too close to West Wind, will ruin the coastal environment. Will result in noise and visual effects. Not suitable for this site.	Not specified	Oppose
555	John Webber	1011 Makara Rd	RD2, Wellington	Too close to homes, too close to West Wind, will ruin the coastal environment. Will result in noise and visual effects. Not suitable for this site.	Not specified	Oppose
556	Quartz Hill Reserve Charitable Trust Incorporated	PO Box 5276	Wellington	Contrary to Part 2 of RMA; s7(i) & 7(j) should not be given priority. Contrary to ODP & PC 32&33. Contrary to rural design guide, Makara Rural Community Plan & Ohariu Community Plan. Also contrary to the NZCPS, the RPS, the RFP, the RCP, the RSP and the RDLP. Also contrary to other documents including PCE "Wind, Power, People, Place". Application contains inaccuracies. Consultation was not appropriate. Effects will include: noise, visual, industrialisation of the rural environment, traffic, dust & sedimentation, effects on recreation & open space, and cumulative effects. Will affect Hawkins Gully, Makara Estuary, Makara Stream and Ohariu Bay. The effects are significant and unavoidable. There are other methods of harnessing renewable energy in Wellington. Meridian has already harnessed Wellington's wind.	Yes	Oppose
557	Peter Huszka	4 Estuary St	Makara	Too close to homes, visually ugly, and pose a health risk to residents. Wind farms should be placed away from communities. Will ruin the iconic landscape.	N	Oppose
558	Ngaire James	572 Ohariu Valley Rd	Johnsonville	Concerned about construction traffic and works on the road. Access to 974 Ohariu Valley Rd (owned by the submitter) will be compromised. Traffic will disturb livestock. Concerned about non-construction traffic using Spicer's access road. Would like Meridian to look at alternative access routes.	N	Oppose
559	Makara Guardians Incorporated	590 Makara Rd	Makara	Contrary to Part 2. Cumulative effects with west wind. One home at Makara Beach will have 4 turbines within 1km of the house. Most of the homes affected by the turbines are in Makara. 35dBA contour shown on noise map not accepted. Pre-construction background noise testing should be undertaken. Project should not be approved until it is proven that the West Wind farm complies in terms of noise. Cumulative visual effects. Effects on residential amenity, natural character and outstanding landscapes. Will only supply small amount of electricity, and intermittently. Will result in grid instability & inefficiency. s7(j) should not be given undue weight. Impacts on noise and amenity significantly outweigh benefits. Will not provide for health and wellbeing. Visible from the Makara walkway which is an outstanding natural landscape that will be significantly adversely affected. The proposal is not sustainable management of natural and physical resources. The proposal would have significant adverse effects on residential locations both visually and noise. Also vibration and aerodynamic modulation which is generated by the turbines. Blade glint and flicker are also concerns. Blade throw and tower collapse can also occur therefore turbines should be no closer than 1km from adjoining property boundaries. Increased fire risk. Health and safety effects. All turbines that are 3km or less from homes have a potential to be a health hazard. All turbines that exceed WHO recommendations are recognised as a health hazard. Construction effects such as erosion, sedimentation, discharge of dust, storm water runoff, traffic noise, interference with domestic water supplies are all concerns. Ecological effects on Hawkins Gully catchment, Makara Estuary, and Makara Stream are all a concern. Bird Strike. The proposal is contrary to Wellington Regional Policy Statement (Objectives 1 - 4 - Landscape and Heritage and Objective 1 -2 Coastal), Wellington Regional Coastal Plan (Objectives 4.1.1 -4.1.10), Wellington City Council District Plan and Plan Change 32 and Plan Change 33, New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement, Makara Rural Community plan and the Ohariu Rural Community Plan. The applicant failed to consult in a meaningful way and has not provided sufficient information. Oppose the suggested conditions as they have been found to be ineffective on Westwind. If the consent is granted we seek further conditions which require a bond to ensure that the land is reinstated after the use, no turbines are to be erected within 3km of a home, no turbine is to be erected within 1km of an adjoining boundary, all turbine noise to be contained within the application site and no subdivision of the development site is to be undertaken during the operation of the wind farm.	Yes	Oppose
560	Ken James	609 Ohariu Valley	Johnsonville	Concerned about impact of road construction on local streams. Sedimentation from Earthworks washing into Ohariu stream used for water supply and swimming. Roadworks and traffic do not address access for house traffic; reduction in access to Bryants sports ground and spicers forest will be detrimental to equestrian activity. Decline use of Porirua/Boom Road, support access from Titahi Bay through Pikarere and Papanui Stations/Mill creek; or restrict width, location and contours of the construction road to eliminate runoff into streams. Restrict truck traffic between 7am and 3pm on Saturday and Sundays.	No	Oppose
561	Sarah Jardine	19 Hinau Road	Hataitai	Usage of rural land that helps to support its community. Greener source of energy generation.	No	Support
562	Malcolm Anslow	1014 Makara Road	Makara	Oppose entire application. Concerned about noise, visual pollution, loss of outstanding natural coastal character. Turbines were designed overseas to be used away from people and significant landscapes. Not suitable for Wellington's hilltops, ridgelines or coastal environments. Wind power is expensive, intermittent, unreliable.		Oppose
563	Ruth Glassey	1067 Makara Beach Road	Makara Beach	Oppose entire application unless baseline data detailing the current status of the ecology of the Makara Estuary. Necessary to establish changes caused to the estuary caused by construction by-products being washed into associated tributaries.	Yes	Oppose
564	Megan Hosking	5/38 Cleveland Street	Brooklyn	Support the idea of reducing our reliance on non-renewable forms of energy generation. Putting windmills into action ASAP.	No	Support
565	Roseanne Hohepa	25 Baltimore Green	Shirley	Support scale of wind farm. Benefits for community, farmers and NZ as a whole. Renewable source of energy.	No	Support
566	NO SUBMISSION					
567	Glen Edwards	467 Makara Road	Makara	Oppose entire application. Spoiling hillsides, tranquil environment and views. Oppose associated traffic hold ups, large trucks, total disregard for local concerns, and destruction to roads from heavy vehicles. Frequent slips from poor engineering. Wind power is not the answer to energy shortages.	No	Oppose
568	Peter Shearer	1081 Makara beach Road	RD2 Makara, Wellington	Oppose entire application. Concerned about associated health and safety issues cause from close proximity turbines (2km) to people's dwellings and coastal walkways. Possibility of unknown cumulative effects on the residents of Makara Beach, Fisherman's bay, Smiths Bay and coastal walkways as a result of noise, shadow flicker and visual pollution. Concerned for the negative effects on flora and fauna around Makara Estuary during and post-construction. If consent is to be granted, Meridian needs to be imposed with carrying out testing at all homes on background noise within 2km of turbines, prior to construction, as well as restricting use of construction traffic on Makara and Takarau Roads.	Yes	Oppose
569	D Edwards	467 Makara Road	RD2 Karori, Wellington	Oppose entire application. Concerned about associated negative effects on the coastline, and views from Makara walkway. Concern for visual pollution, and increased traffic around Makara.	No	Oppose
570	Karori Trust	357 Karori Road	Karori	Oppose entire application. Concerned proposed farm is too close to homes, and existing West wind. Concerned it will ruin coastal environment. Cumulative effects including visual, noise and vibration. Industrialisation of Wellington's nationally important coast. Amenity values of residents negatively affected. Negative effects outweigh benefits of the small amount of energy generated.	Yes	Oppose
571	Gordon Mason	1010 Whitemans Valley Road	RD1, Upper Hutt	Oppose entire application. Intervisability cumulative effects unacceptable. Ugly turbines, inappropriate development for Wellington's coastal hills with turbines designed for offshore use. Coast and hills are an important resource and this is not sustainable management of land. Wind energy is intermittent, unreliable and expensive.	Yes	Oppose
572	Linda Stephens	384 makara Road	Makara	Oppose the entire application. Concerned about increased noise outside residence/business 24hours a day, trucks travelling too fast and forcing cars off the road. Increased dust and dirt caused from large vehicles on dwelling. Associated delays from waiting for trucks. Complete disregard from Meridian for the residents.	No	Oppose

573	Judith Briggs	1063 Makara Road	Makara	Oppose entire application. Concerned about turbines within 2km of houses. Turbines will impact on Makara wetland and associated waterways in relation to earthworks. Proposal will destroy area of residence environmentally by loss of visual amenity, effects on physical/mental health, destruction of habitat and associated wild life in estuary, SOE Meridian	Yes	Oppose
574	Karen Koopu	1063 Makara Road	Makara Beach	Oppose entire application. Concerned about the negative affects on the Mauri (life forces) within; 1. Papatuanuku, Whenua: land, earthworks, roading and construction on the trees, bush, birds, insects, ecosystems; 2. Tangaroa, moana: Ocean, coastal environment, Makara foreshore and seabed including seafood, plants and ecosystems; 3. Nga puna me aura: waterways, rivers, streams, estuary; 4. Rangī: sky, visual pollution, air quality with the cumulative affects of West wind and Mill Creek. Loss of rural star-lit sky with turbines. Proposal offensive to Wairua, Hinengaro and Tinana (spiritual, mental and physical) health and well being from indiscriminate placement of turbines.	Yes	Oppose
575	Margaret S	1085 Makara Road	Makara Beach	Oppose entire application. Mill Creek proposal is too close to homes, West Wind. Will ruin Western Coastline. Concerned about noise, visual pollution, loss of outstanding natural coastal character. Turbines were designed overseas to be used away from people and significant landscapes. Not suitable for Wellington's hilltops, ridgelines or coastal environments. Wind power is expensive, intermittent, and unreliable.	Yes	Oppose
576	April Wallis	751 Takarau Gorge Road	Makara	Oppose entire application. Mill Creek proposal is too close to homes, West Wind. Will ruin Western Coastline. Concerned about noise, visual pollution, loss of outstanding natural coastal character. Turbines were designed overseas to be used away from people and significant landscapes, if bales were to fall off someone could get seriously injured or killed. Not suitable for Wellington's hilltops, ridgelines or coastal environments. Wind power is expensive, intermittent, and unreliable.	Yes	Oppose
577	John Mills	592 Makara Road	RD2 Makara, Wellington	Oppose entire application. Mill Creek proposal is too close to homes, West Wind. Will ruin Western Coastline. Concerned about proximity of turbines to homes, visual and audible impacts on environment, destruction to Makara Valley as a rural amenity. If proposal is approved, no turbines should be allowed within 2000m of houses without written approval of affected parties.	No	Oppose
578	Jennifer Mills	592 Makara Road	RD2 Makara, Wellington	Oppose entire application. Mill Creek proposal is too close to homes, West Wind. Will ruin Western Coastline. Makara and Ohairiu Valley residents' landscape that is only currently affected by power pylons. With West Wind and Mill Creek, valley will be spoiled by turbines, noise and visual pollution.	No	Oppose
579	Mima Vibert	19/42 Main Road	Tawa	Oppose all aspects of application. Concerned about noise, health issues, environmental concerns, landscape amenity, and consultation process.	No	Oppose
580	Merna Beaton	98 Bracken Road	Newlands	Oppose all aspects of application. Concerns for landscape amenity, visual impacts, consultation process.	No	Oppose
581	Beverly Srammers	292 Boom Rock Road	Wellington	Oppose all aspects of the application. Concerns for traffic and construction impacts, environmental concerns, associated noise and health issues.	No	Oppose
582	Rob Vibert	429 Ohariu Valley Road	Wellington	Oppose all aspects of the application. Concerns for the visual impacts, landscape, associated noise, roading issues (horses and children), and environmental impacts.	No	Oppose
583	This submission is a duplicate. Refer to 527.					
584	Owen Family	161 Boom Rock Road	Ohariu	Oppose all aspects of the application. Concerns for noise and health issues, traffic and construction impact, environmental concerns, recreational impact	Yes	Oppose
585	Mark Harris	15 The Mainsail	Whitby	Support the application. Extra energy available to New Zealand through the establishment of Mill Creek. Concerns about the long term infrastructure provision for the country.	No	Support
586	Garth Dibley	6 Helm Place	Wellington	Support the application. Additional power for New Zealand, sustainable source of power, contributes to NZ's renewable generation target. Current generators are near capacity, helps to keep Wellington's clean and green image.	No	Support
587	Richard Norris	31 Nicholson Road	Khandallah	Oppose application. The turbines look terrible and destroy the landscape. Wind energy is not a reliable energy source. Do not believe it's in NZ's best interest.	No	Oppose
588	Sophie Gallagher	108a Austin Street	Wellington	Oppose the application. There is no national policy, there must be a better source of renewable energy (tidal). Wind energy does not stack up to the claims made by applicants. Concerned about possible environmental effects, and the loss of enjoyment people get from the land.	No	Oppose
589	Phil Newport	263 The Parade	Island Bay	Oppose the application. Concerned about the impacts on the environment and ecological areas of rural, coastal Wellington. Wind energy is intermittent and not a reliable source of energy. Concerned for the noise and health effects.. Don't want all of our wind farms in one area.	No	Oppose
590	M Taylor	10 laurent Place	Kingston	Oppose application is its entirety. Approving before seeing the actual impacts that West Wind has. Silting problems are already proven to be a problem to makara estuary and surrounding tributaries. Meridian as a SOE has misused public money to fund a biased campaign. There must be a less open area that a wind farm can be established. There must also be other forms of renewable energy that are more environmentally friendly. Walkways to the South and South-west of Makara will be spoiled by West wind, Mill Creek would mean the northerly view is spoiled similarly. Concerned for the impact on the outer green belt and walkways up to the spicer forest area.	Yes	Oppose
591	Chris	52A Park Road	Belmont	Oppose all aspects of the application. Concerns for the visual impacts, health issues, construction traffic, noise, loss of amenity, loss of natural landscape. Large structures in a small community.	No	Oppose
592	Melissa Bailey	429 Ohariu Valley Road	Johnsonville	Opposes the entire application - Noise and health issues, traffic and construction impacts, environment concerns and landscape amenity	No	Oppose
593	Pete Black	1/27 Ironside Road	Johnsonville	Opposes the entire application -Windmills will affect my horse and her quality of life, visual issues, traffic and noise	Yes	Oppose
594	Leigh Vibert	429 Ohariu Valley Road	Johnsonville	Opposes the entire application -Noise, health (particularly low frequency noise), roading, environmental and ecological and questions if this is the best solution	Yes	Oppose
595	Matthew Vibert	429 Ohariu Valley Road	Johnsonville	Oppose all aspects - Noise, health, environmental concerns, roading, and national policy is this the best solution	No	Oppose
596	Hadleigh Dells	67 Cashmere Avenue	Khandallah	Oppose all aspects - noise, health, impact on the environment and roading	No	Oppose
597	Brigitte Rose Masters	518 Broadway	Strathmore Park	Oppose all aspects - Noise, health, environmental concerns, roading, and national policy is this the best solution	No	Oppose
598	Raymond Worthington	5 Downes Avenue	Wanganui	oppose - Eroded visual amenity, impact on wildlife, streams and waterways, noise and health, vibrations, property values, turbines not in the best interest of the country as don't produce much electricity, concerned about the health and safety of my family who live in the Ohariu Valley	N/A	Oppose
599	Sue Martin	21 Greyfriars Crescent	Tawa	Oppose all aspects - visual impacts, health issues, too close to homes, loss of amenity, road issues	No	Oppose
600	Peter Wehrli	138 Cashmere Avenue	Khandallah	Oppose all aspects - visual impacts, health issues, construction traffic, noise, loss of amenity, loss of natural landscape, big structures in small communities not good	No	Oppose
601	Ray Warnock	3 Solway Place	Papakowhai	Oppose all aspects - visual impacts, health issues, construction traffic, noise, loss of amenity, loss of natural landscape, big structures in small communities not good	No	Oppose
602	Lou Stockman	6 Queens Drive	Kilbirnie	Oppose all aspects - visual impacts, health issues, construction traffic, noise, loss of amenity, loss of natural landscape, big structures in small communities not good	No	Oppose
603	David Johnson	39 Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu Valley	Oppose all aspects - health, visual and noise effects	Yes	Oppose
604	Ian Vibert	429 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Oppose all aspects - believes that he has been misled and poorly consulted in regards to this submission, visual impact, noise/health, environmental, roading, loss of landscape, turbines too close to housing, questions submission in regards to National Policy.	No	Oppose
605	Becci Whitton	176A Wadestown Road	Wadestown	Opposes proximity of turbines to residential areas	No	Oppose
606	Simon Johnson	39 Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu Valley	Oppose all aspects - particularly 'proven' health issues, visual impact and noise.	Joint?	Oppose

607	Jane Johnson	39 Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu Valley	Oppose all aspects - believes that public has been misled in regards to the positioning of turbines i.e. on the coast as opposed to in the valley. Concerns about noise and disruption to the community.	Joint?	Oppose	
608	Sam Johnson	39 Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu Valley	Oppose all aspects - believes that public has been misled in regards to the positioning of turbines i.e. on the coast as opposed to in the valley. Concerns about noise and disruption to the community. Notes that 'Horse Trekking' areas could be destroyed. "This project has been manipulated and no one has been told the truth."	Joint?	Oppose	
609	Makara Country Garage & Store Ltd	382 Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects of the application. - Wellington does not need to industrialise its coast. Notes that Manawatu Wind Farms are inefficient			
610	The Ilam Trust	1073 Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects	No	Oppose	
611	Glenn Hill	1015 Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects. Westwind is not built; Mill Creek is too close to homes, too close to Westwind. Will have adverse affects on the environment.	No	Oppose	
612	Bruce Bowen	394 Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects. Westwind is not built; Mill Creek is too close to homes, too close to Westwind. Will have adverse affects on the environment.	No	Oppose	
613	Max and Linda Simmons	590 Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects - visual impact, impact on residences, and degradation of waterways. Until Westwind is completed and accurately assessed this proposal should not be accepted.	Yes	Oppose	
614	Robert Geange	29/868 Colombo St	Newtown	Supports proposal - focus on renewable energy	No	Support	
615	Andrew E Clifford	28 Chancellor Way	Crofton Downs	Supports proposal - focus on renewable energy, excellent use of Wellingtons wind resource, sustainable energy developments need public support	No	Support	
616	Phillip Harris	13 Miles Cres	Wainuiomata	Opposes all aspects - visual impact, health issues, construction traffic, noise, loss of amenity, loss of natural landscape	No	Oppose	
617	Al Laing	10 Glenamoy Cres	Johnsonville	Opposes all aspects - environmental, noise and health issues, lack of consultation	No	Oppose	
618	Ian Mitchell and Esther Livingston	918 Ohariu Valley Road	Johnsonville	Oppose the application in its entity. If consent is granted, it should be on the following conditions: Application has not assessed the impact of the change on the Ohariu Valley environment. The addition of Mill Creek to West Wind will change the unique and iconic rural environment into a semi-industrialised landscape.	Yes	Oppose	
619	Action For Environment Incorporated	PO Box 10-030	Wellington	Oppose the application in its entity. Proposal does not meet the statutory tests for sustainable management set out in Part II of the RMA. Do not accept the statement that the AEE will not be adverse. Cumulative effects will have significant adverse effects on recreational amenity values; environmental, ecological and aesthetic values; the natural character of the coast environment; and outstanding landscapes. The accumulated carbon deficit outweighs any positive carbon gains. The proposal will only intermittently supply a very small amount of national electricity demand. Requirements for renewable energy should not override environmental concerns. Proposal does not amount to sustainable management of natural/physical resources in terms of sect. 5 RMA. The damaging effects on landscape, ecology and environment of the area are greater than the proposed benefits. Inconsistent with sect 6(a) RMA, does not preserve the natural character of coastal environment. Inconsistent with 6(b) RMA because coastal env. extends to incl. that part of the site seen from the area as an outstanding natural landscape which will be adversely affected. Construction of wind turbines will cause erosion and sediment generated by earthworks, discharges of dust from earthworks causing contaminated air, storm water runoff, air pollution from heavy/excessive traffic noise and fumes, interference with natural water supplies taken from the tributaries of Hawkins Gully. Turbines will adversely affect Makara Estuary, Makara Stream and Hawkins Gully wetland from earthworks, bird strike from turbines, flora and fauna associated with tributary streams. The applicant is contrary to relevant objectives and policies in the Wellington Regional Policy such as Landscape/Heritage objectives 1-4, Coastal objectives 1-2, General objectives 4.1, 1-4, 10. Plan change 32 should be applied to this application. Application is contrary to Wellington City operative district plan objective 14.2.5 and 14.2.2. If proposal is granted, we will be seeking amendments and additions to protect the ecological features and environment from significantly adverse/adverse effects. The applicant must post a bond (of the amount to remove all turbines and bases) with the WCC before commencement. Applicant must remove all turbines and bases and return the land to the same condition as it was before construction when farm ceases to generate electricity.	Yes	Oppose	
620	Michael Warren	509 South Makara Road	Karori	Oppose application. Application should be declined because the proposal does not meet the statutory tests for sustainable management set out in part II of the RMA. The overall economic, environmental and social impacts would be against the objectives of carbon neutrality. Current suggested conditions are inadequate, incomplete, and/or insufficiently detailed to be applied managed and enforced. Nations interest has taken second place to supplying a supposedly renewable energy capacity despite the projects ability to supply limited output, at a high cost including international exchange of overseas funds, a short service lifespan affecting cost recovery. No turbines should be permitted near people's homes as research proves they cause health hazards including noise, vibration and low frequency effects. Construction of all/some of these turbines would adversely affect resident's lives and their environment including the additional nuisance of dust. Regardless of operational noise and other effects, residents will be subjected to constant disruption for the entire period of construction. Same district as West Wind will be affected by construction. Compounding effects on residents, school bus services are unreasonable and another site should be found. Silting in streams and coastal aquatic environments, as evident from West Wind, is unacceptable. Extensive dust and vibration nuisance causing intrusion on protected areas. If approved, stricter and more enforceable conditions are required. The limits of the project boundary should be accurately defined to prevent extensions into private or protected land; and, full removal of all turbines and related equipment and structures at the end of each turbine's service life must be assures.	Yes	Oppose	
621	Janet Warren	509 South Makara Road	Karori	Oppose application. Application should be declined because the proposal does not meet the statutory tests for sustainable management set out in part II of the RMA. The overall economic, environmental and social impacts would be against the objectives of carbon neutrality. Current suggested conditions are inadequate, incomplete, and/or insufficiently detailed to be applied managed and enforced. Nations interest has taken second place to supplying a supposedly renewable energy capacity despite the projects ability to supply limited output, at a high cost including international exchange of overseas funds, a short service lifespan affecting cost recovery. No turbines should be permitted near people's homes as research proves they cause health hazards including noise, vibration and low frequency effects. Construction of all/some of these turbines would adversely affect resident's lives and their environment including the additional nuisance of dust. Regardless of operational noise and other effects, residents will be subjected to constant disruption for the entire period of construction. Same district as West Wind will be affected by construction. Compounding effects on residents, school bus services are unreasonable and another site should be found. Silting in streams and coastal aquatic environments, as evident from West Wind, is unacceptable. Extensive dust and vibration nuisance causing intrusion on protected areas. If approved, stricter and more enforceable conditions are required. The limits of the project boundary should be accurately defined to prevent extensions into private or protected land; and, full removal of all turbines and related equipment and structures at the end of each turbine's service life must be assures.	Yes	Oppose	
622	Deane Carter	588 Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes 100% - ruins coastal beauty, too close to homes, noisy and ugly. There is enough overseas information to know that turbines must not be put near homes	No	Oppose	
623	Briar Carter	588 Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes - ruins coastal area, close to houses/pony clubs/people, unreliable energy, ongoing problems with noise will cause bad publicity with WCC	No	Oppose	
624	Rebecca Wilson	2 Estuary Street	Makara Beach	Oppose the whole application. Height of turbines out of scale. Turbines too close to homes and popular walkways/beaches. Permanent damage to the natural character of landscapes. Total absence of mitigation measures or compensation for affected land owners. Destruction of NZ's wild landscapes to gain carbon credits. Better means of power generation need to be sought. Producing only marginally less carbon emissions to other forms of electricity generation. Concerns regarding noise and vibration from turbines. Information has been withheld and misleading (billboards with unspoilt landscapes and undersized turbines; stopping the Dom. Post from printing articles or letters to the editor which shows flaws in the proposal). Mill Creek has been proposed prior to the commissioning of project West Wind, before the effects of West Wind can be fully evaluated. Makara and Ohariu Valley deserve for the project to be differed.	Yes	Oppose	
625	Lynne Carter	588 Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects - noise, visual impact, Wellington is not an appropriate site for Turbines, destruction of recreational facilities	No	Oppose	
626	Tony Hawkins	413 Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects - Westwind not completed, Westwind is an already inappropriate development, this project is totally avoidable, conditions proposed by meridian will not protect residents	No	Oppose	
627	Paul Brady	27 Tiromoana Rd	Raumati South	Opposes proximity of turbines to residential areas, poor consultation	No	Oppose	

628	This submission is a duplicate. Refer to 540.						
629	Bryan Lilley	329 Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu Valley	Oppose application for the following reasons; noise impact on residents and subsequent health issues, sleep deprivation, infrasound, information provided by meridian is unrealistic/unreliable, construction noise, roading and traffic noise, low frequency noise, turbines will be located near culturally sensitive land, process has had; poor consultation, incomplete information, photo simulations misleading, time delays in provision of information, omissions of critical information, provision of misleading information, relationships. Visual impacts including; intrusive machinery on rural landscape, visual amenity eroded, individual property visual impact, size and scale turbines, shadow flicker. Property values affected by reduction in saleability due to visual, noise and health impacts, as well as a reduction in property value. Environmental/Ecological impacts on birds, fish/aquatic, sedimentation, plants, streams and waterways (volumes of water required for the project). Lack of national policy on health and safety/placement of windfarms near communities. Outdated wind turbine noise standard NZS6808. Lack of integrated energy strategy for NZ. Wind power is expensive to build, end users do not receive benefits, and volatile nature requires a back up. District plan change 32 and 33 issues. Subdivision regulations. Impacts on the landscape include turbine location impacts on most homes in Ohariu, photo's misrepresent impact, no assessment of visual impact from specific properties, density of turbines on the proposed wind farm, proximity to west wind, alternative placement/site for turbines not exposed, impact on landscape and ridgelines. Implications for roading, traffic volumes/management, amenity loss (walking, horse riding, cycling), dust, noise, access roads, lack of consideration of alternative routes, access way to Porirua, weekend amenity, delays to flow of traffic, disposal of excess earth works. No assessment on the impact of future tourism or individual residents' businesses.	Yes	Oppose	
630	Pauline St Clare	411 Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects - Makara residents are already badly affected by traffic/noise from westwind project, another big project would be terrible	No	Oppose	
631	Steven Allerby	92 Leeward Drv	Whitby	Opposes all aspects - noise, impact on landscape, general health issues, traffic during construction, lack of honest communication surrounding the project, research suggests that advertised benefits from windfarms are not 100% accurate	Yes	Oppose	
632	Colin Crimwood	2 Estuary Street	Makara Beach	Oppose application in its entirety. Concerned about noise, visual pollution, loss of outstanding natural character coastal environment. Huge turbines designed for off shore, not hilltops/ridgelines/coastal environments. Wind power is expensive, intermittent, and unreliable. Concerned with both Mill Creek and West Wind cumulative effects. Concerned for sediment run off to Makara Estuary. Concerned about the misleading information provided by Meridian	Yes	Oppose	
633	Elizabeth Yeats	14A Makererua St	Ngaio	Opposes all aspects - noise, traffic, environmental impacts, landscape	No	Oppose	
634	Dianne Coutts	1000 Makara Beach Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects - disrespect of privacy, landscape impact, impact on wildlife, noise	No	Oppose	
635	George Coutts	1000 Makara Beach Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects - disrespect of privacy, landscape impact, impact on wildlife, noise	No	Oppose	
636	David Pritchard	15A Mackinroe Grove		Opposes all aspects - visual impact, health issues, construction traffic, noise, loss of amenity, loss of natural landscape	No	Oppose	
637	Barry Armes	76 Seddon St	Waikanae	Opposes all aspects - visual impact, health issues, construction traffic, noise, loss of amenity, loss of natural landscape	No	Oppose	
638	Greg Wood	198 Tararua Rd	Levin	Opposes all aspects - visual impact, health issues, construction traffic, noise, loss of amenity, loss of natural landscape	No	Oppose	
639	Isaac Matthews	52 Ruahine St	Wellington	Opposes all aspects - visual impact, health issues, construction traffic, noise, loss of amenity, loss of natural landscape	No	Oppose	
640	Nico Verhoeven	42 Tamar St	Island Bay	Opposes all aspects - visual impact, health issues, construction traffic, noise, loss of amenity, loss of natural landscape	No	Oppose	
641	Ian Verhoeven	42 Tamar St	Island Bay	Opposes all aspects - visual impact, health issues, construction traffic, noise, loss of amenity, loss of natural landscape	No	Oppose	
642	Stephen Hearewa	79 Pikarere St	Titahi Bay	Opposes all aspects - visual impact, health issues, construction traffic, noise, loss of amenity, loss of natural landscape	No	Oppose	
643	Mike Knewstubb	13A Coleman St	Paraparaumu	Opposes all aspects - visual impact, health issues, construction traffic, noise, loss of amenity, loss of natural landscape	No	Oppose	
644	Michael Howarth	30 Normamby St	Newtown	Opposes all aspects - visual impact, health issues, construction traffic, noise, loss of amenity, loss of natural landscape	No	Oppose	
645	Alex Bennett	32 Revans St		Opposes all aspects - visual impact, health issues, construction traffic, noise, loss of amenity, loss of natural landscape	No	Oppose	
646	Lola May	803 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - leisure activities affected, unsure how farm will look once complete, noise, health, how environment will cope	Yes	Oppose	
647	Katherine May	803 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects, mainly: roading (safety, traffic issues etc), national policy, noise and health, visual impacts. Concerned about disruption to rural family life, area being spoiled for future generations etc. Wait and see until Westwind is fully completed and assessed, proceed with caution.	Yes	Oppose	
648	Lennox May	803 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects, mainly: roading (safety, traffic issues etc), national policy, noise and health, visual impacts. Concerned about disruption to rural family life, area being spoiled for future generations etc. Wait and see until Westwind is fully completed and assessed, proceed with caution.	Yes	Oppose	
649	Peter May	803 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects, mainly: roading (safety, traffic issues etc), national policy, noise and health, visual impacts. Concerned about disruption to rural family life, area being spoiled for future generations etc. Wait and see until Westwind is fully completed and assessed, proceed with caution.	Yes	Oppose	
650	Nikki and Paul Plummer	876 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Oppose the application in its entirety. Proposal has been poorly worked through by Meridian. Unique environment will be lost forever. Ridgelines and skylines destroyed. Community health concerns. Misleading photo montages. Traffic noise and stream pollution. No consideration to alternative access routes. Poor consultation process from Meridian.	Yes	Oppose	
651	Tony Richardson	22 Pembroke St	Carterton	Supports - visual, economic effects. Reduction of reliance on non renewable energy sources	No	Support	
652	Ohariu Preservation Society Incorporated	583 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Oppose all aspects of application. Info inadequately assessed and evaluated. Turbines located within 3km of homes / exceed WHO standards have potential to be seriously harmful to human health. Doesn't accept the accuracy of the proposed 35dBA noise contour provided by the applicant states insufficient testing has been undertaken. Concerns for adverse effects on amenity values including noise (tonality), vibration and visual effects. landscape and visual affects including the proposal failing to preserve the natural character of coastal environment, the size and scale of proposed turbines impacting on landscape and undeveloped skylines. Photo's from applicant are misleading. Loss of recreational values (walkways, local beaches), aviation lighting will introduce industrial element to the night landscape. Concerned about cumulative effects with West Wind on the coastal environment from South Coast of Wellington City to Fisherman's Bay. Exacerbation of noise and vibration from project West Wind in conjunction with Mill Creek. Loss of ecological values of streams and Makara Estuary. Applicant has underestimated loss of amenity that will occur to user of Ohariu Valley Road from increased traffic flows. Adverse effects on social and cultural values of the community. Applicant failed to meet appropriate standards of genuine consultation. Proposal is contrary to the NZ Coastal Policy Statement as it does not conserve it. Contrary to objectives and policies of Wellington Regional Policy Statement (particularly landscape, heritage and coastal matters). Contrary to provisions of the Regional Coastal Plan including objectives 4.1.1-1.1.10. Proposal undermines integrity of District Plan initiatives to protect skylines (ridges and hilltops) (plan change 33). Proposal is contrary to relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (particularly those that address the maintenance and enhancement of amenity values and rural character, maintenance and enhancement of natural features and qualities of the coastal environment). Proposal is inconsistent with the Makara Ohariu Community Boards Community Plan. Provide little if any benefit to the applicant's hydro-electricity storage capacity. Negative effects outweigh any benefits.	Yes	Oppose	
653	Rachel McSweeny	958 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - health and noise, turbines should be at least 2km from homes. Loss of amenity, Spicers Forest. Traffic concerns	No	Oppose	
654	Ash and Anita Bhasin	519 Ohariu Valley Rd	Johnsonville	Supports - use of abundant wind supply, shift towards renewable energy sources	No	Support	
655	Deborah Nguon	120 Moorefield Rd		Opposes - health and noise concerns, turbines too close to houses and too large	No	Oppose	
656	Peta Walker	415 Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects, noise will be problem, cumulative effect from westwind, unacceptable visual pollution, not sustainable management of Wellington's landscape. Turbines must be built 3km away from homes.	No	Oppose	

657	Peter Walker	415 Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects, noise will be problem, cumulative effect from westwind, unacceptable visual pollution, not sustainable management of Wellington's landscape. Turbines must be built 3km away from homes.	No	Oppose
658	Chris Beccard	972 Ohariu Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - too close to homes, lack of knowledge of detrimental health effects from wind farms, plenty of other uninhabited land in NZ	No	Oppose
659	Des St Clare	411 Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects - westwind has already made Makara more noisy, new proposal would significantly affect residents even more	Yes	Oppose
660	Alistair Jamieson	16 The Crowsnest	Whitby	Opposes visual/noise issues, misleading information supplied: misleading photo simulations, information of actual performance of running fields. Impact on landscape	No	Oppose
661	Ingrid Renner	407 Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes - westwind is not complete, impact is still unknown, this proposal should not go ahead until people who have been affected by westwind can air their grievances	No	Oppose
662	Hans Renner	407 Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes - westwind is not complete, impact is still unknown, this proposal should not go ahead until people who have been affected by westwind can air their grievances	No	Oppose
663	Andrew McSweeney	958 Ohariu Valley Rd	Johnsonville	Opposes all aspects - esp. the lack of fair consultation that was promised, impact on health, roading construction, impact and rationale does not benefit New Zealand	No	Oppose
664	Michael A Horsley	416 Makara rd	Makara	Opposes whole proposal	No	Oppose
665	Jennifer Horsley	416 Makara rd	Makara	Opposes whole proposal	No	Oppose
666	Daryl Russell	1079 Makara Beach Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects - westwind has already made Makara more noisy, new proposal would significantly affect residents even more . Understands and appreciate that energy is green, although not worth the expense of an entire community	No	Oppose
667	Paul Zdrahal	PO Box 17024	Karori	Opposed to application in its entirety - enormous turbines are being erected too close to dwellings, should be at least 2km away from any dwelling	No	Oppose
668	Paul Searne	198 Melston Road	Newlands	Opposes all aspects - breach of district plan in terms of construction guidelines, pollution of streams, birdlife affected, recreational space ruined, noise, and potential adverse health effects for humans and animals, insufficient info on certain aspects.	No	Oppose
669	Heather Joy Giles	5 Indus St	Khandallah	Opposes all aspects - noise, destruction of amenity value, visual pollution, health affects, environmental	No	Oppose
670	Richard David Third	7 Miriona Grv	Paekakariki	Opposes all aspects - poor process, limited information. Appalling visual effects in a peaceful valley. Detrimental health effects	No	Oppose
671	Alice Shaw Buchanan	7 Miriona Grv	Paekakariki	Opposes all aspects - poor process, limited information. Appalling visual effects in a peaceful valley. Detrimental health effects	No	Oppose
672	Phillipa Mary Third	252 Takarau Gorge Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - 'gravely' concerned about health implications. Unacceptable destruction of amenity, in breach of district plan	Yes	Oppose
673	Gilliam Mary Jazz Andrews	198 Helston Rd	Paparangi	Opposes all aspects - poor consultation, loss of amenities, breach of district plan, noise, welfare of horses, health problems	Joint?	Oppose
674	Jason Higgan	84 Ayton Drv	Whitby	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
675	Sharleen Higgan	31B Fitzpatrick St	Newlands	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
676	Jeanette Higgan	1 Truscott Ave	Johnsonville	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
677	Daniel Polglase	52 Bassett Rd	Johnsonville	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
678	Colin Brian Niva	3 Alder Place	Newlands	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
679	Richard Peakman	9 Dunmail Way	Newlands	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
680	John A Giles	5A Robert St	Newlands	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
681	Christine Hay	22 Peck St	Taita	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
682	Tina Rameka	9 Dunmail Way	Newlands	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
683	Shanti Govind	173 Westchester Drv	Churton Park	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
684	Maurie Nelson	101 Stewart Drv	Johnsonville	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
685	Gemma Stevenson	8 Wilmshurst Place	Tawa	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
686	Malcolm Curson	80 Fraser Ave	Johnsonville	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
687	Dr Viv Harris	365 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposed - roading, noise & health, visual, environmental/ecological, landscape.	Joint?	Oppose
688	Brenda O'Leary	PO Box 17024	Karori	Opposes all aspects - the project being undertaken at Makara has already had significant impact on amenity, Ohariu Valley shouldn't have to share the same fate	Joint?	Oppose
689	Jay Mitchell	31B Fitzpatrick St	Newlands	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
690	Leanne K Niven	626 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
691	Barry Simonsen	11D Ironside Road	Johnsonville	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
692	Jean Thelma Higgan	11D Ironside Road	Johnsonville	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
693	Hayley Niven	3 Alder Place	Newlands	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
694	Sara Niven	3 Alder Place	Newlands	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
695	Kirsty Niven	24b MacDonald Cres	Te Aro	Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
696	Kelly Polglase	52 Bassett Rd		Opposes all aspects - visual, noise, health	No	Oppose
697	Claire McSweeney	958 Ohariu Valley Rd	Johnsonville	Opposes all aspects - traffic, noise, health esp.	No	Oppose
698	Jim & Marie Brady	1 Iti Grv	Waikanae	Opposes all aspects - daughter and Son in Law chose Ohariu Valley as a good place to raise a family, all compromised by this proposal	No	Oppose
699	Stephen & Ruth Morris	34 Takarau Gorge Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - noise & health, visual, environment, roading, tourism, property value, lack of consultation: residents were told the closest turbines would be 2km away, not true	Joint?	Oppose
700	Frank Stubbe	23 Sutherland Cres	Melrose	Supports in full - renewable energy generation	No	Support
701	Joy Butler	60 Rifle Range Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - environmental, visual, increased traffic, increased noise, health issues	Yes	Oppose

702	Paul Stothart	60 Rifle Range Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - environmental, visual, increased traffic, increased noise, health issues	Yes	Oppose
703	Denise Stothart	60 Rifle Range Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - environmental, visual, increased traffic, increased noise, health issues	Yes	Oppose
704	RJ and CP Callaghan	20 Takarau Gorge Road	Ohariu Valley	Oppose the application. Concerned about low vibration noises affecting post op. of brain tumour and likely stimulation of the other advancing its growth. Visual effects from our home will be affected by turbines. Ridgelines and hilltops previously protected will be ruined forever. Roading system can't sustain any more traffic. Horse riders, cyclists and walkers will be put at risk from using the road, as well as losing the enjoyment from untouched country side. Wait to see the effect of West Wind before West Wind is considered. Operation should be to the legal noise control that is stated. No extra traffic allowed on Ohariu Valley Road. No other wind farms allowed in Ohariu Valley.	Yes	Oppose
705	Susanna McSweeney	9 Te Anau Ave		Opposes all aspects - noise, health, visual amenity	No	Oppose
706	Anne Conder	20 Rifle Range Rd	Ohariu Valley	Oppose all aspects of the application. Concerned about the loss of lifestyle from construction of an industrial size wind farm 2.4km from our home. Concerned about intrusive noise in rural setting, loss of visual amenity (25 turbines shown as visible from our property). There has been no assessment/consideration of our business (B&B/Homestay) which attracts tourists to the area. Wind energy is not reliable.	Yes	Oppose
707	L. Wills	24 Royal St	Berhampore	Opposed - Meridian has shown blatant disregard to the community, 'farical' consultation process, misled the community. Health and noise concerns, turbines as close as 1km from homes, land amenity affected	No	Oppose
708	Rodney Cardno	555 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - roading/traffic, visual impact/shadow flicker, health issues, noise, environmental/birdlife	Joint?	Oppose
709	Charlotte McSweeney	958 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - loss of spicers forest, traffic	No	Oppose
710	Sonya Cornwall	4/39B Mills St	Lower Hutt	Supports - renewable energy	No	Support
711	Nicholas Rabillard	98a Te Anau Road	Hataitai	Supports - sustainable supply of energy with minimal environmental impact, Meridian is a proven performer	No	Support
712	Georgina Preslm	23 Ngaio Rd	Kelburn	Supports - renewable energy close to the place where it will be used	No	Support
713	Lynne McKay	936 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes - turbines too close to homes, impact on tourism/horse riding	No	Oppose
714	Tony & Helen Keen	598 Ohariu Valley Rd	Johnsonville	Opposes all aspects -noise, health, visual intrusion, ecological impact, impact on landscape, roading, impact on business, amenity loss	Yes	Oppose
715	Arnold Blades	1091 Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects - westwind is not built Mill Creek is too close to homes, will ruin the rest of Wellingtons coastal environment	No	Oppose
716	James Bartley Patterson	31 South Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects - destruction of amenity and home values, inappropriate proximity of site to homes	No	Oppose
717	Treena Patterson	31 South Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects - destruction of amenity and home values, inappropriate proximity of site to homes	No	Oppose
718	Amber Young	83 Wilton Rd	Wilton	Opposes all aspects - noise, health, traffic, environmental	Yes	Oppose
719	Rebecca Keighley	19 Mosquito Place	Wigram	Fully Supports - renewable energy, supports gov'ts targets, environmental impact is minimised, NZ needs more renewable generation, Meridian are experts in this field	No	Support
720	Christine Grace	410 Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects - visual/environmental impacts, silting etc. to Makara estuary. Westwind must be completed and assessed before WCC can make a decision on Mill Creek	Yes	Oppose
721	Jennifer Nolan	16A Rutland Way	Wadestown	Supports - need to wind to complement hydro, renewable energy	No	Support
722	Mark France	4/17 Adelaide Rd	Mt Cook	Supports - will supply renewable energy to Wellington, good use of Wellingtons high wind levels, Wellington needs to make tough decisions for a sustainable future	No	Support
723	Lynsey Blacklock	1/20 Standen St	Karori	Opposes all aspects - Makara and surrounding area have national and historic importance, wind energy is too intermittent and unreliable, negative visual impact	Yes	Oppose
724	Brittany Wallis	751 Takarau Gorge Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects - ridiculous money making ploy from the government at the expense of a whole community, wind power is expensive and intermittent, noise, visual pollution, westwind is not complete	No	Oppose
725	Annie Coughlan	106 Takarau Gorge Rd	Makara	Supports - enables farmers to keep going, use of Wellingtons wind, good use of land	No	Support
726	Tony Milner	106 Takarau Gorge Rd	Makara	Supports - enables farmers to keep going, use of Wellingtons wind, good use of land, ecologically friendly	No	Support
727	Colin Wallis	751 Takarau Gorge Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects - impacts form noise and health issues arising, irresponsible sustainable management, birdlife/estuary affected. Adverse visual effects. Proposal should be rejected and not reconsidered until westwind is complete and tested compliant with conditions. If proposal is approved turbines S04, S05, F13, F14, K03 not permitted to be built, and no construction traffic is to use Takarau Gorge Rd.	Yes	Oppose
728	Carol Wallis	751 Takarau Gorge Rd	Makara	Oppose whole application. Concerned about the cumulative affects of two win farms so close together (710m apart). West Wind needs to be completed first to see the effects of one. Meridian should have put in one resource consent for both farms. Lack of consultation with the public on the closeness of the "farms". Concerns for health, noise, environment and community impact.	Yes	Oppose
729	Rachel Penfold	60 South Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects - Westwind has not even been built, effects are already bad. Mill Creek is far too close to Westwind too close to many homes. Negative impact form noise, visual pollution, impacts on rural lifestyle. Negatives far outweigh benefits.	No	Oppose
730	Antony Penfold	60 South Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects - Westwind has not even been built, effects are already bad. Mill Creek is far too close to Westwind too close to many homes. Negative impact form noise, visual pollution, impacts on rural lifestyle. Negatives far outweigh benefits.	No	Oppose
731	Margaret O'Leary	498 Main Rd	Makara	Opposes all aspects - road widening has already caused slips near my home	No	Oppose
732	Michela Keren Turnbull and Gary Peter Wellbelove	1076 Makara Rd	Makara	Oppose all aspects - not an appropriate development so close to where people live. Chose to live at Makara to raise children, peace and view ruined by turbines, sleep and mental health degradation	No	Oppose
733						
734	William Gill	373 South Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes whole proposal - increased traffic, roading, noise, landscape alteration, excessive earthworks, proximity to dwellings, lack of enforceable conditions, environmental	Yes	Oppose
735	Maryanne Gill	373 South Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes whole proposal - incorrect information and dishonestly from Meridian regarding impact of windfarms. Unfair cost to parties to protect their own properties. Wishes to give further information at hearing. Lack of follow through in consultation process.	Yes	Oppose
736	John Carroll	7A Stormont Place	Kingston	Supports - sustainable energy solution, wholly reversible. Concerned that short sighted views will lead to poorly developed and unsustainable energy resource.	No	Support
737	Blair Taylor	PO Box 26077	Newlands	Opposes - unreliable source of power, visual pollution, noise, misleading stats from power company	No	Oppose
738	Mark Pilbrow	25 Randwick Road	Northland	Opposes - environmental impact, against national policy, wind is unreliable, could be health/noise issues	No	Oppose
739	Andrew Carrington	40 The Crescent		Opposes - impact on landscape, environment. Unreliable energy production	No	Oppose
740	Richard McRae	26 Mantell St	Seatoun	Opposes - impact on the environment, visual impact, generates unreliable power	No	Oppose

741	Glenn Phillips	28 Strathmore Avenue	Strathmore Park	Opposes - impact on the environment, visual impact, generates unreliable power	No	Oppose
742	Angus Taylor	Whitefield Rd4		Opposes - impact on the environment, visual impact, generates unreliable power	No	Oppose
743	D Spicer	224 Parkes Rd	Upper Hutt	Opposes - lack of consultation with affected parties, no clear economic benefit, visually unattractive, impact on tourism	No	Oppose
744	Zachary Young	24 Moir St	Mt Victoria	Opposes - landscape destruction, environmental impact, eyesore, unreliable energy	No	Oppose
745	Richard Davey	71 Waikowhai St	Ngaio	Opposes - destruction of landscape, visual, environmental impact. Vibration/health, noise	No	Oppose
746	Thomas Robertson	6 Ferry Road	Days Bay	Opposes - visual pollution, wind energy is unreliable	No	Oppose
747	Debra Scott	56 Te Whanga Rd	Levin	Opposes - lack of consultation with the community, research indicates health and safety issues, impact on the landscape, Ohariu Valley is an important part of the Wgtn region.	No	Oppose
748	Steven Dundon	7 Mantell St	Seatoun	Opposes - impact on the environment, visual impact, generates unreliable power	No	Oppose
749	Ben Petro	68 Melbourne Rd	Island Bay	Opposes - objects to tax payer \$\$ being spent on an unreliable source of power	No	Oppose
750	Jeff Dickie	24 Moir St	Mt Victoria	Opposes - aesthetic issues with landscape, noise for close proximity residents, environment destruction	No	Oppose
751	Brett Keno	1 Bracken St	Upper Hutt	Turbines are environmentally destructive, "ecologies exist because dominant wind patterns carry moisture to certain topographic relief's and deposit moisture accordingly"	No	Oppose
752	Matthew Conder	135 Pinehaven Rd	Silverstream	Opposes - hideous outlook and cumulative impact of having too many turbines stacked together, possible noise and health issues	No	Oppose
753	Tim Olphert	162 Wadestown Rd	Wadestown	Opposes - unreliable inconsistent generation, visual and environmental impact	No	Oppose
754	James Redpath	80 Kent Tce	Wellington	Opposes - unreliable inconsistent generation, visual and environmental impact	No	Oppose
755	Lisa Holland	83 Kinghorne St	Strathmore	Opposes - noise, visual, health, doesn't ease peak power	No	Oppose
756						
757	John Bishop	69 Cockayne Rd	Khandallah	Opposes - busier roads, landscape and ecological destruction	No	Oppose
758	Tony Conder	135 Pinehaven Rd	Wellington	Opposes - destruction of the escape that Ohariu Valley provides, visual and landscape issues, noise, ecology	No	Oppose
759	Wayne Emslie	2/102 Allington Rd	Karori	Supports - another renewable energy source, minimal effect on landscape	No	Support
760	Harvey Scott Jones	112 Lincoln St	Ashhurst	Opposes project in its entirety - I live at Ashhurst, 2.8kms from turbines and have to put up with noise disturbance, landscape, health, safety, birdlife/ecology, unreliable power supply.	Yes	Oppose
761	Samuel Sutherland	50 Sth Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes - Mill Creek is too close to Westwind and residential property, wind energy is not the solution to NZ's power problems. Loss of coastal environment	No	Oppose
762	William, Paula, Isabella, Oliver, Lachlan Pennington	11 Rifle Range Road	Ohariu Valley	Oppose application. Concerned about noise effects will be more significant than projected. Health effects from the low frequency noise and vibration are being underestimated with no sincere regard for the local community. Visual landscape assessment has been dismissive of value for the community. Concerned about light pollution to the night sky from red flashing aviation lights. Wellington District Plan and recent Plan Change 33 identify very specific values with the Ohariu Valley (residents are to comply with developing their land) Meridians application has disregarded these values. Visual simulations have been misleading. Applicant made no attempts to mitigate the ongoing visual, noise or community effects of this project. Cumulative effects with West Wind, West Wind needs to be completed before Mill Creek is considered to assess the actual effects. Applicant has made numerous dishonest statements that "Mill Creek" is a project shaped by the Ohariu Valley and Makara communities. Concerned about increased traffic flow. Natural character of Makara Beach will be destroyed.	Yes	Oppose
763	Jenni & Lindsay Horton	415 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - noise and health issues, visual amenity eroded, impact on property values, lack of national policy	Yes	Oppose
764	Jayne Sutherland	50 South Makara Rd	Makara	Opposes - westwind is not complete, wind power is not the answer to NZ's power supply	Yes	Oppose
765	Margot Wrightson	555 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes entire application - loss of national character, landscape and recreation, noise, vibration, social and business lifestyle, process and precedent	Yes	Oppose
766	John Bach	543 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - noise and health, environmental and ecological impacts, landscape degradation, roading and traffic, radio and TV communications, process is incomplete	Yes	Oppose
767	Patricia Searle	614 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - health, noise, visual, environmental and ecological, property value, poor process, traffic impact, aviation hazard	Yes	Oppose
768	James Raymond Hartley	614 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - health, noise, visual, environmental and ecological, property value, poor process, traffic impact, aviation hazard	Yes	Oppose
769	John Knox	555 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - noise impacts in a quiet rural area, subsequent health issues, infrasound, low freq. noise. Size of turbines, property values, streams and waterways, roading safety, changes to district plan	Yes	Oppose
770	Carol Whyte	555 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - noise impacts in a quiet rural area, subsequent health issues, infrasound, low freq. noise. Size of turbines, property values, streams and waterways, roading safety, changes to district plan	Yes	Oppose
771	L Anne Harris	9 Takarau Gorge Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposed - alternative access routes have not been considered, where is the water for construction sourced? Effects of construction, increased traffic. Insufficient information re: Spicers Road, health issues. Interference to streams and wildlife from sedimentation. Noise	Yes	Oppose
772	Katherine Smith	9 Te Anau Ave	Hataitai	Opposes all aspects - noise & health issues, loss of visual amenity	No	Oppose
773	Zara Wylie	476 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - property values, health, traffic, environmental, roading, aviation, noise	No	Oppose
774	Cilla Ash	476 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - health, environment, property values, quality of life, light	No	Oppose
775	Duncan Lord	476 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - health, environment, property values, quality of life, light	No	Oppose
776	Ross Crowe	Te Horo		Opposes all aspects - health, environment, property values, quality of life, light	No	Oppose
777	Jackie Crowe	Te Horo		Opposes all aspects - health, environment, property values, quality of life, light	No	Oppose
778	Heloise Tolo	946 Ohariu Valley Road	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects - traffic, trucks, boy racers, noise issues, land loss, construction time, horse community	Yes	Oppose
779	Maureen & Jack Boyd	904 Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes whole application - introduced to the community with indecent haste and information, effects on farming, effects of construction traffic, roading, noise & vibration, property values, effects on health to humans, livestock, birds, streams	Yes	Oppose

780	Nick Jennings	46 View Rd	Houghton Bay	Oppose the erection of turbines closer than 3km to any existing residence and any site where permission has been granted for building a house as well as any area pre-existing where animals normally graze. Research papers attached (Branco, N. and Alves-Pereira, M. <i>Vibroacoustic Disease</i> ) indicate a real likely hood of people or animals being exposed to the acoustical environment of the turbines having a high possibility of being affected by Vibroacoustic Disease (VAD). Low frequency noise ( <i>Branco, N. and Alves-Pereira, M. Vibroacoustic Disease</i> ) explains that experiments have shown high levels of low frequency noise and vibration can influence the respiratory rate, heart functions, stomach and intestine functions and the function of the central nervous system and increased rate of mitosis. Low frequency noise can spread far distances with low attenuation in the open air and an ability to pass through walls and windows with low attenuation. The sixth paper referred to in <i>Noise and Health, 2004 specifically links low frequency noise and wind turbines to VAD. Additional paper, Microseismic and Infrasound Monitoring of Low Frequency Noise and Vibrations from Windfarms</i> , also relates to the effect of wind turbines and health. The wind farm under consideration will be of the order of between four and a half and five times those recorded in the Styles Eskdalemuir at the same distance (~2km). The effect; while the vibrations as measured are very low, their manifestation inside houses can be significant through the effect of resonance and may also have an effect of the health of humans and animals within the area of that acoustical environment. <i>Human Body Vibration Exposure and its Measurement</i> helps with the understanding of the effect of noise on humans. If the application should be granted, conditions should be adopted prior to the project commencement. 1. Minimum distance of 2km distance from any home for any turbine greater than 2MW, and a greater distance for turbines of greater capacity.	Yes	Oppose
<b>LATE SUBMISSIONS</b>						
781	This submission is a duplicate.	Refer 515.				
782	Transit New Zealand	186-190 Willis St, PO BOX 27477	Wellington	Neutral to the application. Submission refers to the proposed transportation route, in particular, the required access and use of SH1. If the Mill Creek consent is granted, that it be subject to the conditions in order to avoid, remedy and/or mitigate potential adverse effects: that a traffic management plan is prepared prior to the construction of the wind farm and to the satisfaction of Transit NZ; that the traffic management plan includes, but not necessarily limited to the logistics of the proposed transporter route and in particular 1. Lane occupancy of the transporters/pilot vehicles; 2. Hours of operation; 3. Navigation of intersections; and contractor methodology for monitoring of transportation, impact on overtaking and impacts on the pavement surface. The final transporter until designs be submitted to Transit NZ for approval prior to the construction that demonstrate their ability to achieve adequate clearance of SH1 bridges, the ability of the transporter units to negotiate SH1 curves, and the Mungavin off-ramp and the bridge intersection. All of the permits for overweight, and over dimension vehicles using SH1 shall be obtained from Transit NZ prior to construction.	No	Neutral
783	Wellington Regional Chamber of Commerce		Wellington	Support the application. Provides short and long term boost to Wellington economy. Wellington's wind resource would enhance security of electricity supply to Wellington as well as increasing the supply to NZ, especially at a time of potential electricity shortages. It offers a natural advantage for the provisions of an increasing important source of renewable energy. Renewable energy is fundamental to the Governments energy strategy. Would contribute to WCC's carbon neutral vision, and contribute to making Wellington self sufficient in terms of energy. Will provide economic growth for the region as employment opportunities. Will be a useful addition to project West Wind. In support of this proposal, we would expect disruptions to the local community to be minimised and the lifestyle and characteristics of the area to be preserved as much as possible throughout construction and operation.		Support
784	Darren Hoskins	Ohariu Valley Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes all aspects. Traffic/construction impact, noise and health concerns, environmental concerns, landscape, intrusive industrial machinery. Whole community will be affected just for the personal gain of 5 lazy farmers.	Yes	Oppose
785	Hamish Sisson	82 Jubilee Rd	Khandallah	Supports all aspects of the application.	No	Support
786	Jennifer & John Boyd	45 Spencer St	Crofton Downs	Supports, need for renewable energy, allowing rural aspect to continue.	No	Support
787	Ministry of Economic Development	PO BOX 1473	Wellington	Supports the application. Mill Creek will offer national benefits by making use of a viable and renewable energy source. Electricity generation in an environmentally responsible manner that mitigates greenhouse gas emissions. Would contribute to meeting the govts. Energy objectives. NZ needs to respond to climate change and reduce carbon emissions from our energy production and use. Energy systems therefore need to deliver secure, clean energy at affordable prices to support economic development. Govt. has introduced energy related policies and strategies that contribute to a more sustainable energy system; 1. The NZ Energy Strategy 2050 for sustainable low emissions energy system; 2. The NZ Energy Efficiency and Conservation Strategy; 3. Introduction of an Emissions Trading Scheme to address climate change, implemented progressively from 2008 to include all sectors of the economy by 2013; 4. Sustainable development programme of Action 2003; 5. Govt Policy Statement on Electricity Governance; 6. Amendments to section 7 of the RMA; 7. Preparation of a National Policy Statement on Renewable Electricity; 8. Establishment of Electricity Commission 2003. Mill Creek would contribute to all of these. Electricity demand is growing, and Mill Creek would contribute to the generation capacity required to satisfy demand, while reducing NZ's dependence on non-renewable energy sources. There is heavy reliance on hydro-electricity; this dependence needs to be shifted around different energy sources. Wind is intermittent, with wind farms being dotted over the countries geography; it will reduce the disruptions experienced if it is not blowing in one place.		Support
788	This submission is a duplicate	Refer 782.				
789	N/A TO THIS CONSENT	document	related to	application		
790	Mathew Knight	30 Mortimer Tce	Aro Valley	Supports, renewable generation is best solution for meeting NZ's growing demand for electricity	No	Support
791	Janine Crossley	121 Akaroa Drive	Maupia	No reason supplied	No	Support
792	Philip Mladenov	116/103 Thorndon Q	Thorndon	Supports development of renewable energy sources.	No	Support
793	Reena Soma	6 Chilka St	Berhampore	No reason supplied	No	Support
794	Ross Weston	60 Downing St	Crofton Downs	Opposed, need to see more evidence that output is worth the environmental cost. Wait until westwind is completed/tested. Other technologies need to be researched before landscape is damaged.	No	Oppose
795	Nicholas Weis	20 Riffe Range Rd	Ohariu Valley	Opposes - windfarm will significantly reduce natural beauty, impacting tourism. Major noise impact, wildlife/birds also adversely affected. Safety concerns.	?	Oppose
796	Sarah Clinehens	104-2 Rongotai Rd	Kilbirnie	Fully supports, would like NZ to increase sustainable energy production from wind farms.	No	Support
797	Rupert Francis	169 Ohiro Rd	Brooklyn	Supports, renewable energy for a sustainable future	No	Support
798	P A Crisp	3 Forth Place	Porirua	Supports conditionally, renewable energy good, but affected residents should be compensated.	No	Support
799	Peter Webb	12 Somerset Ave	Newtown	Supports wind farming in general, supports green power initiatives. Go ahead with project ASAP, stop letting a few dozen people stop such proposals when the benefit far outweighs.	No	Support
800	Ian K.S Baxter	60 Motuhara Rd	Plimmerton	Support the application. Sustainable form of electricity generation. Good compliment to hydro-electricity. Wind farms do not use non-renewable resources and are completely free of the fuel cycle problem associated with nuclear. Mill Creek will provide local generation of electricity for the Wellington region and will lessen the reliance on imported electricity. The site is a prime location and would be short sighted not to utilise it for this purpose. Maui gas will not be available much longer for electricity generation. In the Wellington region, electricity is already being used in replacement of fossil fuels for public transport reducing the effects of climate change. Mill Creek will contribute to this reduction in carbon emissions.	No	Support
801	Ms Andrea Tangohau	8/4 Akaroa Drive	Maupia	Support the application. Concerned about the way the wind farm has been kept secret. Would like more information to be made public.	No	Support
802	Gregory Kent	10 Atamna Close	Churton Park	Support the application. It is a renewable form of energy. Helps to maintain the viability of farming on marginal land. Consent should be granted on the conditions that turbines are positioned no close the 1000m to any house.	No	Support

803	Graeme Hook	819 Makara Road	Makara	Oppose the application. West Wind is not built. Mill Creek is too close to homes, too close to West Wind. Will ruin Wellington's western coastal environment. No consideration to surrounding streams. Concerned about noise, visual pollution. Turbines designed for offshore use. Wind power is expensive, intermittent and unreliable.	Yes	Oppose	
804	Shane Deagan	154B Newlands Road	Newlands	Oppose application. Concerned about the number of proposed turbines. The height of windmills and the proximity to housing. Health and safety concerns, noise concerns, environmental impact, impact on land value.	No	Oppose	
805	Paul Lang	14 Janet Place	Rotorua	Support whole application. High value efficient, renewable energy. Site maximises benefits, minimal impacts. This is a high productivity site so compared to the other possible locations; the environmental impact per MWH produced is relatively low.	No	Support	
806	Grant Higgins	4 Fairway Grove	Palmerston North	Support the building of more turbines. Better energy generation options. Wellington will be more self sustainable for its energy needs.	No	Support	
807	Deborah Compton	306 South Makara Road	Karori, Wellington	Oppose all aspects of the application. Meridian have not met their obligations stated for West Wind with regard to air contamination (dust from construction, failure to dampen site as required during dry conditions), and with waterways (ongoing issues with sedimentation and runoff). The true extent of the damage is still unknown, and should be considered before granting Mill Creek.	Yes	Oppose	
808	Ronald England	PO Box 27-368	Marion Square, Wellington	Oppose absence of systems to measure use of natural/physical resources; social, economic & cultural well-being, health & safety, and sustainable use of resources for future generations. The capital could be the forefront of a better understanding and relationship to our resources, with benefits to all of us and our resources. WCC should ask for more impartial measurements of the benefits and impacts as well as asking for alternative mixes of energy generation and research into their development and application.	Yes	Oppose	
809	Daniel Compton	306 South Makara Road	Karori Road, Wellington	Oppose all aspects of the application including consents for land use; water and discharge permits. The application does not adequately address the potential contamination (pollution) of water ways (runoff, sediment) and air (dust from construction activities) to remedy the adverse effects on the environment.	Yes	Oppose	
810	Ken Mosely	8 Tiniroa Grove	Silverstream, Wellington	Oppose application in its entirety. Concerned about health risks to people (especially early teenagers), unsuitability of turbines to hilly location, concerned about the future lack of government support for wind turbine affected families (based on experience of Ashhurst Community). Concerned about industrial aspect, noise and vibration over long distances and the effect on people and animals. Adequate measurements have not been taken to see the full effects this will have on the area ( <i>refer to Ashhurst community survey</i> ). Different dwellings will be affected differently, as will people. It is known that the frequency of vibration from wind towers can travel long distances without noticeable attenuation. Low frequency vibrations can often not be heard by people but rather felt by the body and be the cause of sleep disturbance. It can also cause a dwelling to produce higher frequency noises. Most discomfort is typically occurs when the wind is blowing in the same direction as dwellings or people. Concerned about cumulative effects of West Wind with Mill Creek. Noise vibration could be as wide spread as Johnsonville and Tawa given the right wind conditions. Residents will experience significant loss in property values; if houses are affected by noise vibration they will experience continuous deteriorating health. Turbines built off shore are not suitable for NZ conditions. Our wind is variable and can shift in under 1 second. The turbulence experienced on hill tops is also very extreme to the conditions the turbines are built for overseas. This could result in very high maintenance costs. Off shore locations provide more steady wind with less turbulence than our hilltops. Such locations can be found off shore from Auckland. Wind Farms belong as far away from people as possible.	Yes	Oppose	