Plan Change 32	
Specific Provision: General Submissions	
Submitter Number:  Submission:  Ridgelines are seen as locations of maximum wind velocity but there is also the funnelling effect of value erected in a suitable valley without any loss of performance? As the wind farm proposals seem descharges? What amount of revenue is envisaged? Have you consider making recordings of an existing Decision Requested:	alley in the Wellington Region. Have wind measurements been taken in Valleys? Could a wind farm stined to be located on City properties will there be a continuing source of revenue from land lease
Not stated.	
Submitter Number: 3 Submission Number: 1 Support/Opp Submission: The Plan Change will benefit all citizens of the Wellington Region and New Zealand. The views of min Decision Requested: Approve Plan Change 32.	
Submitter Number: 7 Submission Number: 1 Support/Opp Submission:  As much use as possible should be made of solar panel heaters. These should be applied to as many buildings and street lighting solar power is just as renewable as wind.  Decision Requested:  Not stated.	••
Submitter Number: 7 Submission Number: 2 Support/Opp Submission:  Use should be made of the extensive wind facilities prevalent in Wellington, providing that all power ge to be 'on-sold' then I object to this proposal.  Decision Requested: Not stated.	
Submitter Number: 26 Submission Number: 1 Support/Opp Submission:  Capturing wind is a future necessity for humanity and Wellington is an ideal location for this. The mach tourist activities and can be easily removed and the land will revert to original condition. The communi Decision Requested:  Not stated.	nines are not unsightly and noise produced is negligible. Wind farms can operate above farming and

wind turbines are usually on ridgelin properly considered prior to applicat	es and these are often used by	solar energy is supported prov	viding they do not si	support in part gnificantly compromise such things as sites and areas of Maori significance. Sites for Applicants should take care in the consultation process to ensure these matters are
<b>Decision Requested:</b> That any provision for renewable ene	ergy facilities consider the issues	of cultural landscapes for the	e Tangata Whenua.	
Submitter Number: 33 Submission: The generation of electricity through Decision Requested: Not stated.	Submission Number: an abundant renewable energy		/Oppose:	support
Submitter Number: 43 Submission: I do not object to windfarms in their of turbines out of range of humanity an Decision Requested: 1. Delete proposed changes in Chap 2. Let Quartz Hill remain a protected	d beautiful land and sea areas. ter 26.			oppose the power of the future and when this happens will the turbines be left to rust? Put
Submitter Number: 74 Submission: Windmills are ugly. Windmills produ Decision Requested: Design streetlights with reflectors so	,			oppose esign street lights with reflectors so all light goes downwards.
Submitter Number: 75 Submission: Council needs to 1. Develop a more sophisticated app 2. Take a more proactive role in the		ed greenhouse gas emissions		support in part
	ncy improvements within existin	g and new facilities. I would		s. This agenda can be advanced by facilitating electricity generation from renewable acil adopt a more visionary approach to low impact cost effective energy services

turbines on Quartz hill will cause a on and off site and inconvenience	number of problems inconve s caused by large scale excav	propriate placement of giniences and dangers for vation work. The windfarm	my family and neighbours. m will have a number of env	oppose  rural and recreational areas. Quartz hill adjoins my property. The building of large wind  I have a number of concerns about the construction phase including the dumping of spoil  vironmental costs to the Makara area including visual impact, indirect visual impact,  purism. In addition the windfarm will have an adverse effect on property values in the area.
Submitter Number: 105 Submission: I support wind farms on all sites we energy everywhere. Decision Requested: Not stated.	Submission Number: here there is sufficient wind to		Support/Oppose: ot visually polluting. I suppo	support rt all solar energy options. The policies encourage energy efficiency and renewable
unsightly. They are intrusive and t public input.  Decision Requested:	he pulse and flickering lights (  nd rules relating to wind energ	and establishment of wir off blade) can cause phy	ysical and psychological illn	oppose  Independent of the control o
Submitter Number: 121 Submission: Renewable energy and windfarms Decision Requested: Adopt Plan Change 32.	Submission Number: are a great idea.	1 5	Support/Oppose:	support
Solar energy should also be prom- Decision Requested:	oted. Council should have way	y projects. A Plan Chan ys of encouraging individ	dual home based solar ener	support of renewable energy projects through t the RMA consent process must be welcomed. gy use. and environmentally friendly features such as solar heating, metered water usage etc.
Submitter Number: 140 Submission: That the Council be guided in resp that time consuming and expensive Decision Requested: Adopt Plan Change 32.		special rules by existing	•	support ed wind farms that are 'on line' in this country, in the strategic areas which they supply, so

Decision Requested:		Support/Oppose: residents. They should be sited in area t in areas such as Makara, Terawhiti a	oppose s not visible or audible to communities.  nd Ohariu.
	gton more efficient and self sufficien ange 33, wind turbines will not be o		support suppor
			support  I international scale. When weighted against environmental degradation created by non lewable energy will make NZ self sufficient for electricity in the future.
	he District Plan to cover the broade		support and the specific planning framework for the assessment of wind energy facilities in the rural wer generation . The benefit to Wellington and the production asset to local landowners should
Submitter Number: 204 Submission: I support Plan Change 32. Decision Requested: Adopt Plan Change 32.	Submission Number:	1 Support/Oppose:	support
amount to a wind farm. If there is a with 'wind energy facilities'.  Decision Requested:	or the term "wind energy facility" how distinction between the terms a defin	nition of 'wind farms' should be provide	amend as'. Presumably a windfarm would be a wind energy facility but a wind energy facility may not d. If there is no distinction then 'wind farms' should be replaced throughout the Plan Change argy facilities; or 2) substitution of the words 'wind energy facilities' wherever there is presently

Submitter Number: 210 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	support					
create long term jobs for the local p	The introduction of the renewable energy chapter in the District Plan is supported. The inclusion of renewable energy development will enhance Wellington's image as a modern positive capital. It will create long term jobs for the local population as well as benefiting the environment. Decentralised renewable energy generation will secure Wellington's powers supply. The high wind resource around Wellington allows wind power generation for a competitive price close to where power is required.								
Amend to include reference to the ex	ccellent wind resource in the \	Wellington region.							
Submitter Number: 211 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	support					
The introduction of a renewable end	sed renewable energy genera	ation will secure Wellin	ngton's power supply which is	will enhance Wellington's image as a modern positive capital, create long term jobs and s crucial as Wellington is located close to a major fault line. The high wind resource					
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Adopt Plan Change 32 with amendm									
Submitter Number: 211 Submission:	Submission Number:	2	Support/Oppose:	amend					
The high wind resource around the	Wellington allows wind power	generation for a com	petitive price close to where	power is required. A clear process is needed to obtain resource consent.					
				within Wellington Region; Creation of a Planning Guide for the development of wind need for balancing wind energy development and desires of the population of the					
Submitter Number: 214 Submission:	Submission Number:	3	Support/Oppose:	oppose					
				at is likely to be allowed by Council. Insufficient recognition has been given to matters of					
Decision Requested: Preserve and protect Quartz Hill/ Maphysical resources.	kara farm and nearby lands fo	or its nationally import	ant values and amenity value	es and quality of the environment and an efficient use and development of natural and					
Submitter Number: 215 Submission:	Submission Number:		Support/Oppose:	oppose					
The public notice and accompanyin national importance in sec 6 RMA. I				t is likely to be allowed by Council. Insufficient recognition has been given to matters of					
Decision Requested:		,							
-	kara farm and nearby lands fo	or its nationally import	ant values and amenity value	es and quality of the environment and an efficient use and development of natural and					

Submitter Number: 248	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
warns of increased detrimental he	ealth conditions resulting from wind farms in cle	ose proximity to populated areas	vithout negatively effecting local residents. There is considerable international research that . Plan change 32 removes a valuable recreational asset from residents of Wellington. Wind ferred renewable energy source. Wind farms are harmful to bird life.
			gauge public opinion, 3)Commission a thorough investigation into using solar power as the st interests of local residents, especially in terms of potential risks to health.
Submitter Number: 281 Submission: I want to see confirmed protection	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	-		
Submitter Number: 326 Submission:	Submission Number: 2	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	thin the Wellington precinct is opposed on the	basis of 1) Visual impact, 2) Pos	sible health effects e.g. noise, flickering blades. 3) Proximity to residential areas and rural
Decision Requested: 1)Future research into alternative	forms of energy, 2) Withdrawal of wind farm pr	oposal.	
Submitter Number: 327 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	support
Decision Requested: Not stated.	trict Plan are supported. The Council has an o	pportunity to demonstrate to its r	atepayers and to all residents that in its own area of jurisdiction it intended to take the lead.
Submitter Number: 328 Submission:	Submission Number: 9	Support/Oppose:	amend
	assessed under the existing area rules which		nge and this arbitrary omission is not sanctioned by the RMA amendments. Any application e necessity for large visible wind farms would be significantly reduced if the plan could
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend to include specific provision	on for domestic scale turbines in all areas.		
Submitter Number: 328 Submission:	Submission Number: 10	Support/Oppose:	amend
new houses replacement hot wat		ould meet its RMA obligations. T	energy consumption goes into hot water and heating. If a bylaw was enacted requiring all the effect of solar panels on domestic roofs is benign compared to wind farms. The Act
Decision Requested:	buses and replacement hot water heaters to ins		

Submitter Number: 334 Submission: I am opposed to a windfarm at Mak Decision Requested: Cancel Plan Change 32.	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose: cally aimed at overcoming the objection	oppose  ns to this site being used for this purpose.			
required.  Decision Requested:	Submission Number: 1 posed Plan Change with respect to renew		amend tion of the fact that development of wind farms in NZ is in the national interests of NZ is ne RMA.			
Council to all Wellington residents of Community Board within the statute consultation with the local community social and economic well being of the does not override all other matters of RMA - matters of national importational propriate use and development.	was misleading. If these rules are supporty time frame. There has been no regarity with respect of those proposed rules, he Makara community or the purposes and wind power stations certainly should ance. Significant areas of Makara/ Teravt. The proposed rules would result in degarded area has significant geological, scierride all other rules is unacceptable.	orted by the public such support could rd given to the Council's statutory duty Insufficient regard has been given to and principles of the RMA. The Council dn't. Unbalanced weight has been place whiti would fall into the above categoric gradation of the landscape. Council appropriate the support of the landscape.	oppose  In by the Council to notify the proposed rules and in addition the material posted by the have been given when it may have been withheld. Material was not given to the Makara to communities under the Local Government Act 2002. There has been a complete lack of the Council's duty under section 5(2) of the RMA to make rules that take into account the ill has given insufficient weight to matters other than section 7 (j) RMA. Renewable energy ced on section 7 (j) RMA. The Council has given no regard to its statutory duty under section as and are therefore of national importance and should be preserved and protected from opears to be deliberately not protecting these areas so that wind power developers will more, recreation, sense of place and community values. The proposed rules are unreasonable			
Submission:  The insertion of a stand alone renewable energy chapter is supported and the Council's advocacy role proposed for itself where regulation cannot be imposed is commended. A new renewable energy chapter will increase certainty for all parties involved in or with an interest in renewable energy development with the District Plans jurisdiction.  Decision Requested:  Adopt Plan Change 32 with amendments suggested in the rest of our submission.						
heat pumps and appropriate passiv <b>Decision Requested:</b>		·	amend ics and domestic wind turbines and other measures such as solar water heating systems,			

Submitter Number: 342 Submission:	Submission Number:	12 S	Support/Oppose:	amend
				resumably a windfarm would be a wind energy facility but a wind energy facility may not there is no distinction then 'wind farms' should be replaced throughout the Plan Change
Decision Requested:  1) Amend to provide a definition of w reference to 'wind farms'.	rind farms to make it clear that	such a facility is an ag	gregation of wind energy fa	acilities; or 2) substitution of the words 'wind energy facilities' wherever there is presently
Submitter Number: 343 Submission: Section 3.10 provides a definition for	Submission Number:		support/Oppose:	amend resumably a windfarm would be a wind energy facility but a wind energy facility may not
				there is no distinction then 'wind farms' should be replaced throughout the Plan Change
<b>Decision Requested:</b> 1) Amend to provide a definition of w reference to 'wind farms'.	rind farms to make it clear that	such a facility is an ag	gregation of wind energy fa	acilities; or 2) substitution of the words 'wind energy facilities' wherever there is presently
Submitter Number: 344 Submission:	Submission Number:	12 S	support/Oppose:	amend
Section 3.10 provides a definition fo				resumably a windfarm would be a wind energy facility but a wind energy facility may not there is no distinction then 'wind farms' should be replaced throughout the Plan Change
<b>Decision Requested:</b> 1) Amend to provide a definition of w reference to 'wind farms'.	rind farms to make it clear that	such a facility is an ag	gregation of wind energy fa	acilities; or 2) substitution of the words 'wind energy facilities' wherever there is presently
Submitter Number: 364 Submission:	Submission Number:	6 S	support/Oppose:	oppose
There is no lawful basis for the prop Council to all Wellington residents we Community Board within the statuto consultation with the local community social and economic well being of the does not override all other matters at 6 RMA - matters of national importational importational importational mappropriate use and development	vas misleading. If these rules a ry time frame. There has been ty with respect of those propose ne Makara community or the p and wind power stations certain nce. Significant areas of Maka . The proposed rules would restrict the area has significant geology	are supported by the pun no regard given to the sed rules. Insufficient reurposes and principles and shouldn't. Unbalance ara/ Terawhiti would fall sult in degradation of the gical, scientific, landsca	ublic such support could hat e Council's statutory duty to egard has been given to the of the RMA. The Council had ced weight has been placed I into the above categories the landscape. Council apport	by the Council to notify the proposed rules and in addition the material posted by the live been given when it may have been withheld. Material was not given to the Makara of communities under the Local Government Act 2002. There has been a complete lack of the Council's duty under section 5(2) of the RMA to make rules that take into account the lass given insufficient weight to matters other than section 7 (j) RMA. Renewable energy don section 7 (j) RMA. The Council has given no regard to its statutory duty under section and are therefore of national importance and should be preserved and protected from lears to be deliberately not protecting these areas so that wind power developers will more ecreation, sense of place and community values. The proposed rules are unreasonable
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	enewable Energy Rules.			

Submitter Number: 405 Submission:	Submission Number:			oppose
The proposal confuses the matter <b>Decision Requested:</b> Rework to clarify the issues of the				and the matter of the efficiency of the end use of energy.  ergy efficiency.
phrase is wrongly used within it. T body. 'Renewable energy' and 'eff reduces the need for energy from	hat is misleading. The two mat iciency of the end use of energ whatever source the two matte ort of minor changes that would	is simply 'renewable energ ters are new subsections by' are separate matters, and are should be far better separate.	gy' yet this is just one of tw (ba & j) of section 7 RMA. nd require different actions parated and identified in th	oppose  we separate matters under the RMA with which the actual proposal deals, and that  The proposal not only misleads through its title but also confuses the matters within its  s and planning provisions, although there is a relationship in that efficiency in end the proposal. The confusion within the proposal and conflicts between it and the RMA are appears the proposal has been developed too quickly without sufficient consultation.
helps achieve the purposes of the incorrect interpretation. The RMA energy" is wrong. The difference bustainability efficient use is clearly	RMA "to promote the sustaina wording carefully makes the m leing that encouragement in the y to be preferred over inefficier al and conflicts between it and	use of energy" has been ble management of natura atter one of efficiency not e former case is given to at use but not necessarily	ignored in various parts of al and physical resources" use; a point which the pro efficiency without itself su over non use. Most if not	oppose  f the proposal. Efficiency in end use of energy results in a lower need for energy and so  By failing to identify "end use" and mixing the two matters the proposal gives an  posal in places fails to follow, e.g. in Policy 25.2.1.1 "encourage the efficient use of  pporting or opposing using energy. From an environmental point of view and  all forms of generation or use have adverse impacts. The construction and  by the sort of minor changes that would be acceptable at this late stage of the process.
renewable energy and in particula straight onto identifying (correctly) recognised environmental benefits and less sustainable. The proposa	r " wind energy facilities" has a that there are adverse effects v s'. The benefit from renewable al does not include any sugges al and conflicts between it and t	d by the use and developn n intrinsic benefit. Where is which have to be consider sources arises in that they tion of policy rule or even	ment of renewable energy' it does acknowledge that t ed. It simply implies that b may be used to reduce the advocacy to that end and	" is largely ignored. The proposal simply assumes that any use and development of the RMA matter is about benefits, it then more or less ignores the point by moving benefits are intrinsic or inevitable in using the phrase 'whilst renewable energy provides the amount of energy generated from sources that are more environmentally unfriendly so does not meet the requirements of dealing with this RMA matter. The confusion and it minor changes that would be acceptable at this stage of the proposal.
Submitter Number: 448 Submission: The proposal should not use biase Change. Failure to do so leads to Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Plan Change	ambiguity and uncertainty.			oppose a euphemism it is comparatively neutral, but it should be used throughout the Plan

Submission:  The inclusion of the following aspects as a way of giving effect to the policy direction in the Regional Policy Statement 1) The inclusion of energy efficiency, conservation and use of renewable energy as a resource management issue for Wellington in the District Plan. 2) Policies to encourage energy efficiency and use of renewable energy and 3) An additional policy framework for assessing applications involving renewable energy and new rules for anemometers and wind energy facilities (in the rural and Open Space B areas).  Decision Requested:  Adopt Plan Change 32 subject to amendments/ changes requested in the rest of our submission.	
Submission:  The energy efficiency provisions of the District Plan have the same failings as those in central government in being based on advisory rather than compulsory practises. There is far more potential to decrease energy consumption in existing homes than in new. Recent Government studies indicate that uninsulated homes result in poor public health. Insulation can be justified by decreased costs to residents e.g. decreased power bills and decreased health bills.  Decision Requested:  Amend to include in by laws or design guides the following 1) all habitable dwellings should at point of sale in Wellington have floor insulation a hot water cylinder wrap and roof insulation installed where practicable. If this requires an inspection by Council building inspectors, so be it. A register of inspected homes should be kept as part of this exercise. 2) All rental properties should meet the above requirement as well.	
Submission:  New homes should be subject to increased energy efficiency. The Australian 5 star energy rating should be mandatory for all new development. This considers factors such as solar gain (insulation and building design). This would control development in areas that have poor sun.  Decision Requested:  Amend provisions to ensure that every new home has either a heat pump or solar water heating.	
Submission:  The focus of the Plan Change is wind. There are at least 3 important means of generation not considered in the plan, which are either economic or will be during the life of the plan. 1) Landfill gas - is already established in NZ and should be considered with upcoming closure of landfill facilities in Wellington. 2) Solar hot water - every new home should have solar hot water. The short payback on solar systems for large users makes this economically justifiable. 3) Tidal power - The UK department of industry has 3 systems being funded from marine current turbines and will be ready for production by 2006. Using Cook Strait currents Wellington has potentially far more energy off shore than on. Although offshore is beyond the Council's remit by having design guidelines and authority over shore facilities the Council can go some way to ensuring that future development is performed reasonably well.  Decision Requested:  Amend to consider alternatives such as landfill gas, solar hot water and tidal power.	_
Submitsion:  The Plan Change states that wind turbines must be placed on elevated locations. Overseas turbines are often found in valleys, on plains, in deserts and out to sea. NZ can look to site wind turbines in such locations if it chooses.  Decision Requested:  Delete all reference to siting of wind turbines on ridgeline and hilltops or other elevated positions.	

Submitter Number: Submission: Put energy - producing Decision Requeste Not stated.	_	Submission Number: ound the hills of Wellington/	1 Makara, and don't wo	Support/Oppose:  orry about the eyesore as we n	support need energy. They are not much of an eyesore anyway.
industrial wind turbine	s. The 130 me nergy and thus <b>d:</b>		onstant moan like whi	ning would create widespread	oppose rea. These majestic hills risk being grotesquely deformed by the installation of giant I noise pollution and destroy a special ecological setting. I understand the need for
Submitter Number: Submission: Why do we not use ou Decision Requeste Decline Chapter 26 Re	d:	Submission Number:  ns as we need. We do not u  gy Rules.	2 see energy correctly.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
occur with major earth There is little that can <b>Decision Requeste</b>	works. Large be done to av <b>d:</b>	buildings or transmission lin oid remedy or mitigate their	nes. There is a case for visual effect.	or arguing that the visual effec	amend re slender elegant structures and do not have as adverse a visual effect as potentially ets are so relatively minor that wind turbines should be specifically allowed on ridgelines.  omic, environmental, local and national benefits.
Submitter Number: Submission: District Plan should tre Decision Requeste Amend to treat all rene	d:		1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: Submission: District plan needs to Decision Requeste Amend to exclude key	d:	Submission Number: atural skylines. es (e.g. Tawatawa ridge) fror	<b>2</b> m possibility of wind f	Support/Oppose: arm development.	oppose

Submitter Number: 622 Submission: This Chapter should be either rejected Decision Requested: Amend to treat all renewable energy	·	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submission: This Chapter should be either rejected Decision Requested: Amend to exclude key natural skyline	Submission Number: 2 ed or replaced. s from possibility of wind farm development.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	Submission Number: 1  couragement towards renewable energy genve in promoting and supporting renewable/s		support location for wind farms and it is vital for the security of the environment and future
being addressed by central governm	ent initiatives. Council should not be seen to	promote one generation technological	support  cy is supported. However Council should not take an active role in these areas as they are ogy ahead of others. The District Plan should remain agnostic as to which generation vironmental effects, irrespective of what type of generation is built, is required.
obligation to lead by example and de	emonstrate sustainable energy policies both i	in generation and utilisation. The	support  e development of a more sustainable energy supply and utilisation. Wellington has an visual impact of wind turbines needs to be compared with other aspects of human activity. forms of infrastructure contribute to our relationship with the City.
	Submission Number: 1 ges to establish a planning framework for as he use of forms of renewable energy" and "s		support ations is supported. As are the advocacy statements which include the words "commitment uilding design principles."

Submitter Number: 631 Submission:	Submission Number:	3	Support/Oppose:	support
the sun, minimisation of shade affect		ere little or no conside	ration has been given to sust	tainable and energy efficient building design principles and aspects such as orientation to
Decision Requested: Amend the District Plan to include sp	pecific measures on how advo	cacy might occur and	how developers that don't in	corporate solar design/ energy efficiency will be penalised.
Submitter Number: 631 Submission:	Submission Number:	4	Support/Oppose:	amend
ensuring new ones are adequate. N				n buildings and dwellings. Retrofitting existing buildings is at least as important as Wellington region.
				the EECA to provide free energy audits and a source of finance for improvements, 3) n of net metering to all rate payers, 5) Undertake a joint venture to ensure security of
Submitter Number: 632 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	support
We support Plan Change 32 and wo	ould prefer that rural rather tha	an urban areas be use	ed for wind energy facilities.	
Decision Requested: Wind turbines should be a discretion	ary activity.			
Submitter Number: 632 Submission:	Submission Number:	2	Support/Oppose:	support
We strongly support renewable energy. Wellington is ideally situated to the establishment of wind farms for electricity generation. Wind turbines are extremely elegant objects. There can be no reasonable aesthetic objection to them If built in significant numbers they are capable of making s significant contribution to our power supply. Wind turbines are not unduly noisy. A further suggestion is to divert some finance to a system of long term interest loans for purposes associated with power saving e.g. insulation of houses and commercial buildings. Reduction in demand could be effective as increasing supply.				
Decision Requested: Not stated.				
Chapter 1 Introdu	uction			
<u> </u>	.3 Working Towards	s Achieving a S	Sustainable Welling	ton
Submitter Number: 147 Submission:	Submission Number:		Support/Oppose:	support
The proposed changes recognise the power is an appropriate use of many				n renewable energy in particular wind. Doing so will benefit all NZ and Wellington. Wind to minimise non visual impacts.
Decision Requested: Adopt Plan Change 32.		,, 3	, 3	

Submitter Number: 321 Submission: The direction that the Council is to Decision Requested: Adopt the proposed new wording	, , ,		upport/Oppose: energy and energy efficien	support cy play in a sustainable future for Wellington City is supported.
Submitter Number: 325 Submission: The direction that the Council is to Decision Requested: Adopt the proposed new wording			upport/Oppose: energy and energy efficien	support cy play in a sustainable future for Wellington City is supported.
Alternative energies refer to those Decision Requested: Amend the final sentence under p generation and use of energy from	e fuels or energy sources that maragraph " efficient resource use no renewable and alternative energy successions."	rgy sources is not referrate ay not be from a renewal er to state: "increasingly rgy sources.	this means more efficient u	amend native energy sources is an important element of a sustainable management strategy. e environmentally sustainable option from a traditional fuel choice e.g. methanol. use of energy, having greater regard to the conservation of energy, and increasing the
Specific Provision:	1.6 Significant Resou	ırce Manageme	nt Issues for Welli	ngton
Submitter Number: 65 Submission: Wind farms are the way forward for Decision Requested: Not stated.	Submission Number: or energy and is certainly renew		upport/Oppose: farms on the ridge lines fro	oppose om west of Colonial Knob to Cape Terawhiti Point.
Submitter Number: 119 Submission: To maintain and enhance natural will override the current District P Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	lan rules		upport/Oppose: e proposed rules. Many suc	oppose ch obvious features occur in the rural environment, and the proposed wind energy rules
Submitter Number: 120 Submission: Add initiatives and rules to provid Decision Requested:  1) Amend Q1 efficient City to state	· ·	o realise the objective.	upport/Oppose: amend last statement to st	amend ate 'effective policies, initiatives and education are needed to reduce resource use.

Submitter Number: 120 Submission: The wording currently lacks referen Decision Requested: Amend S9 energy efficiency to include	Submission Number: 2 ce to advocacy of the concept of energy de advocacy of the concept.	Support/Oppose: / efficiency.	amend	
Decision Requested:	Submission Number: 3 ent on how Council proposes achieving at (9) to state: "to encourage the efficien	,	amend be added. use of energy from renewable sources".	
	Submission Number: 2  ne unique opportunity that Wellington has y of the ridgelines identified in the overland.		support om renewable energy in particular wind. Doing so will benefit all NZ and Wellington. Wind ed to minimise non visual impacts.	
	Submission Number: 3 ne unique opportunity that Wellington ha y of the ridgelines identified in the overla		support om renewable energy in particular wind. Doing so will benefit all NZ and Wellington. Wind ed to minimise non visual impacts.	
	Submission Number: 4 ne unique opportunity that Wellington ha y of the ridgelines identified in the overla		support om renewable energy in particular wind. Doing so will benefit all NZ and Wellington. Wind ed to minimise non visual impacts.	
Submission:  The provision is supported but amendments are sought. The issue identified in S9 is broader than simply energy efficiency.  Decision Requested:  Amend the heading of S9 to state: "energy efficiency and renewable energy".				
Submitter Number: 321 Submission: The direction that the Council is tak Decision Requested: Adopt proposed new wording in 1.6.		Support/Oppose: hat renewable energy and energy effici	support ency play in a sustainable future for Wellington City is supported.	

Submitter Number: 321 Submission: The direction that the Council is tak Decision Requested: Adopt proposed new wording in 1.6.			support ficiency play in a sustainable future for Wellington City is supported.
Submitter Number: 321 Submission: The direction that the Council is tak Decision Requested: Adopt proposed new wording in bull	, , ,	ο, σ.	support ficiency play in a sustainable future for Wellington City is supported.
Submitter Number: 323 Submission: We support the exploration and add Decision Requested: Amend to include "to encourage co		Support/Oppose:  ndments.  ectively generate and consume electricity	amend  and approach self sufficiency.
Submitter Number: 325 Submission: The direction that the Council is tak Decision Requested: Adopt proposed new wording in 1.6.		Support/Oppose: ole that renewable energy and energy ef	support ficiency play in a sustainable future for Wellington City is supported.
Submitter Number: 325 Submission: The direction that the Council is tak Decision Requested: Adopt proposed new wording in 1.6.	, , ,	0, 0,	support ficiency play in a sustainable future for Wellington City is supported.
Submitter Number: 325 Submission: The direction that the Council is tak Decision Requested: Adopt proposed new wording in bull			support ficiency play in a sustainable future for Wellington City is supported.
Alternative energies refer to those to Decision Requested:	uels or energy sources that may no	ot be from a renewable source but offer a	amend  alternative energy sources is an important element of a sustainable management strategy.  more environmentally sustainable option from a traditional fuel choice e.g. methanol.  y use, energy conservation and the generation and use of renewable and alternative energies.

Submitter Number: 329 Submission:	sion Number: 3	Support/Oppose:	amend
Alternative energies refer to those fuels or energies			ernative energy sources is an important element of a sustainable management strategy. ore environmentally sustainable option from a traditional fuel choice e.g. methanol.
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend bullet point nine to state "to encourage the	ne efficient use of energy, the establi	shment of renewable energy g	generation facilities and the use of energy from renewable sources."
Submitter Number: 331 Submiss	sion Number:	Support/Oppose:	support
		ng can be readily applied at the	e domestic level and wind farms can be connected to the grid. Those forms of renewable
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Adopt proposed new wording in 1.6.1 Q1 Efficient	nt City.		
Submitter Number: 341 Submiss Submission:	sion Number: 2	Support/Oppose:	amend
Developing District Plan objectives policies and national energy efficiency and conservation stra	tegy to be met and hence will enable f wind farms creates national, region	e NZ to achieve a sustainable nal and local benefits. The gen	ewable energy will enable the energy efficiency and renewable energy targets set in the energy future - a key government goal. The development and use of energy from eration of electricity from wind energy doesn't emit any greenhouse gases such as carbon ment.
	essing locally available renewable er		my will be crucial to the success of the City as a place to live and do business. Improving ellington to become a sustainable city which has direct links to health and social well being
Submission:	sion Number:	Support/Oppose:	amend
The provision is supported but amendments are <b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the heading of S9 to state: "energy efficients."	-	s broader than simply energy e	ifficiency.
Submitter Number: 343 Submission:	sion Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	amend
The provision is supported but amendments are <b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the heading of S9 to state: "energy efficients."	Ç	s broader than simply energy e	efficiency.
Submitter Number: 344 Submission:	sion Number:	Support/Oppose:	amend
The provision is supported but amendments are <b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the heading of S9 to state: "energy efficies	-	s broader than simply energy e	efficiency.

Submission:			oppose ne matter is "the effects of climate change" not "avoiding climate change". Thus the de it in 1.6.2 S9 Energy Efficiency.
Chapter 3 General Prov	risions		
Specific Provision: 3.10			
Submission:			support om renewable energy in particular wind. Doing so will benefit all NZ and Wellington. Wind d to minimise non visual impacts.
Adopt Plan Change 32.			
Submitter Number: 206 Submission: The RMA now provides a definition of 'renewable	on Number: 2 energy'. For clarity that definition s	Support/Oppose:	amend
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend to include in 3.10 the definition of renewal means energy produced from solar, wind, hydro, g			meaning contained within the resource management Act, namely renewable energy
Submission:	on Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	support
			to link the wind energy facility to the transmission network. The exclusion is appropriate sion lines required by development of wind energy facilities.
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Retain the definition of wind energy facility and en	nsure that transmission lines require	ed to link the wind energy facili	ty to the transmission network are exempt from the definition.
Submission:  The definition attempts to include a ll activities as			support ent would be sought for a 'wind energy facility' rather than having to assess such
applications against individual activity rules. The of <b>Decision Requested:</b> Adopt wind energy facility definition.	definition also provides for both the	establishment and operation of	of wind energy facilities. Therefore the definition is appropriate.

Submitter Number: 340 Submission:	Submission Number:	Support/Oppose:	amend
	ected to a substation or other point pr within the definition as they are an in		ines linking a facility to the transmission network. These internal transmission connections
	transmission connections' between t	the words 'structures' and 'earthworks'	or alternative wording to the same effect.
Submitter Number: 341 Submission:	Submission Number:	Support/Oppose:	amend
Imposing a kilowatt limit on turbines provided for in the Residential Chap		e is not the installed capacity but rathe	r issues such as height, noise and visual effects, which are matters that could be better
Decision Requested:	facility' to remove the kilowatt limit of	n domestic scale turbines.	
Submitter Number: 341 Submission:	Submission Number:	Support/Oppose:	amend
,	equired to clarify what is meant by tha	at term.	
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include the following definition of 'en	ergy efficiency' in definitions 3.10 " e	nergy efficiency - a change to energy u	use that results in an increase in net benefits per unit of energy".
Culturalities Numbers 244	Culturalization Numbers	-1 0	
Submitter Number: 341 Submission:	Submission Number: 5	Support/Oppose:	amend
0,	is required to clarify what is meant by	that term.	
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include the following definition of 'Er	ergy Conservation' in 3.10 definitions	s "Energy conservation - a reduction in	energy use".
Submitter Number: 341	Submission Number:	Support/Oppose:	aupport
Submission:	Submission Number.	Support/Oppose.	support
••	required to clarify what is meant by th	nat term.	
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include the following definition of rer	newable energy in 3.10 definitions "Re	enewable energy - energy produced for	rom solar, wind, hydro, geothermal, biomass, tidal, wave and ocean current sources".
Submitter Number: 342 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	amend
	of 'renewable energy'. For clarity that	t definition should be repeated in the p	lan.
	ion of renewable energy that appears wind, hydro, geothermal, biomass, tio		the meaning contained within the resource management Act, namely renewable energy

Submission:  The RMA now provides a definition of 'renewable energy'. For clarity that definition should be repeated in the plan.  Decision Requested:  Amend to include in 3.10 the definition of renewable energy that appears in the RMA. "Renewable Energy; has the meaning contained within the resource management Act, namely renewable energy means energy produced from solar, wind, hydro, geothermal, biomass, tidal, wave and ocean current sources.
Submitsion:  The RMA now provides a definition of 'renewable energy'. For clarity that definition should be repeated in the plan.  Decision Requested:  Amend to include in 3.10 the definition of renewable energy that appears in the RMA. "Renewable Energy; has the meaning contained within the resource management Act, namely renewable energy means energy produced from solar, wind, hydro, geothermal, biomass, tidal, wave and ocean current sources.
Submission: The distinction on the basis of power generated is useful. As an effect based system it would be better for the definition to make the distinction based on physical size.  Decision Requested:  1) Clarify whether the 5kw output is per turbine or total across turbines located within the property and at what wind speed that output is achieved 2) Clarify that the definition relates to the specified items during construction operation and ultimate removal to restore the original environment as closely as practical.
Submission:  The definition should be amended to ensure underground cables are also identified in the definition. Underground cables linking turbines are an important part of wind energy facilities. The proposed exclusion of transmission lines would clarify that any reference to 'underground cables' only applies to the facility site. The definition should include reference to 'infrastructure'. The reasonably specific list of items in the definition implies that if something is not on the list then the rule will not apply. This could result in minor infrastructure being considered differently to land turbines, substations etc. reference to 'infrastructure' could overcome this problem.  Decision Requested:  Amend the definition of 'wind energy facility' to state: wind energy facility: means the land, buildings, substation, turbines, underground cables, structures, earthquakes, access tracks or roads and other infrastructures associated with the generation of electricity by wind force and the operation of the wind energy facility. It does not include: 1) Domestic scale turbines of less than 5kw. 2) The transmission lines required to link wind energy facilities to the transmission network".
Submitter Number: 626 Submission Number: 2 Support/Oppose: amend Submission: Transmission lines are specifically excluded but not distribution lines. The definition should be clarified.  Decision Requested: Amend the definition of "wind energy facility" to exclude the lines it connects to, whether transmission or distribution in nature.
Chapter 4 Residential Areas  Specific Provision: Policy 4.2.1.4

Submission: I rent a poorly insulated hom Decision Requested:	Submission Number: e in a convenient location. I oppose cil from poor housing. Devise a wa		,	oppose it will be effective.
Submission: The method associated with be included.  Decision Requested:	Submission Number: the policy includes advocacy but la e.g. design rules requiring energy e		•	amend uch as design rules requiring energy efficiency standards and operations to be met should
Submission:	Submission Number: equiring sustainable and energy eff	2 icient building design	Support/Oppose: principles and renewable ene	support rgy sources for heating is supported. These basic principles should be mandatory for all
Submission: The role model and advocace Decision Requested:	Submission Number:  y role the Council proposes for itselvole model and advocacy roles prop	••	Support/Oppose:	support
Submission: Council's role as advocate a investigate its role in future procession Requested:  1) Advocate for the installation buildings in residential areas,	proofing for a sustainable energy su on of domestic photovoltaic power sy	pply for Wellington.  ystems, energy conser	vation through solar hot wate	support  ported. Amendments are sought that will encourage Council to more thoroughly  r systems and other energy efficiency methods, 2) Implement the above on all Council 4) consider the 'solar window' when siting new residential construction i.e. site building
Submission:	Submission Number: to formally acknowledge the role th	5 at renewable energy a	Support/Oppose: and energy efficiency could ma	support ake in the development of a sustainable future for WCC is supported.

Submission:  The policies are essential to achieve meaningful progress in sustainable energy producti energy technology as it is for its innovative cultural life.  Decision Requested:	Support/Oppose: ion. If these policies are vig	support orously enacted the City can become as distinctive and renowned for its sustainable
Adopt Policy 4.2.1.4.		
Submission:	Support/Oppose:	amend
The proposed wording of Policy 4.2.1.4 is supported. It is notable that renewable energy expanded to encompass electricity generation. Greater emphasis should be placed on the placed o		
<b>Decision Requested:</b> 1) Adopt policy 4.2.1.4. 2)Amend method to the policy to state: "Council has through its surenewable energy. With respect to Residential Areas this may be for example in the form use of renewable energy resources for space and water heating and electricity generation to the sun to assist in passive solar heating, cooling and natural lighting. Many of these a advocate to encourage the generation and use of renewable energy and energy efficiency.	n of new residential develop n. Alternatively, it may invol activities may not require a i	ments incorporating sustainable and energy efficient building design principles and the lve more simple energy efficiency design principles such as correct building orientation
Submitter Number: 330 Submission Number: 1 Submission: The inclusion of this policy is supported.	Support/Oppose:	support
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Adopt Policy 4.2.1.4 but amend to strengthen the rules of the District Plan to minimise the installations from functioning effectively.	e possibility of shading by a	djacent structures where such shading will prevent roof mounted solar water heating
Submitter Number: 405 Submission Number: 3 Submission:	Support/Oppose:	amend
In terms of the matter of efficiency of the end use of energy the proposal should go future sharing but cannot regulate where no resource consent is required. However the proposal specified efficiency in use levels are not being incorporated). WCC should advocate for each of the sharing incorporated of the sh	al is about changing the pla	an so it can make resource consents required (e.g. even if no other circumstance than if
Decision Requested:		
Require all new buildings to include specific levels of insulation lighting efficiency and other	er efficiency use methods.	
Chapter 6 Suburban Centres		
Specific Provision: Policy 6.2.1.2		
Submitter Number: 336 Submission Number: 1 Submission:	Support/Oppose:	amend
There is a difference between calling for minimal unwanted side effects and asking for ar	ny unwanted side effects to	be minimal.
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the last sentence of paragraph 2, explanation to state: "to ensure that any unwa	anted side effects of activiti	ies are minimal".

Chapter 6 Suburban Centres
Specific Provision: Policy 6.2.1.3
Submitter Number: 52 Submission Number: 2 Support/Oppose: oppose
I rent a poorly insulated home in a convenient location. I oppose the paragraph (explanation) as I cannot see how it will be effective.
Decision Requested: Investigate the costs to Council from poor housing. Devise a way of charging back these costs in rates.
Submission:  Submission:  Submission Number: 5 Support/Oppose: amend
The method associated with the policy includes advocacy but lacks initiatives to achieve the objective. Initiatives such as design rules requiring energy efficiency standards and operations to be met should be included.
Decision Requested: Amend to include 'initiatives' e.g. design rules requiring energy efficiency standards and operations.
Submitter Number: 321 Submission Number: 6 Support/Oppose: support
Council's role as advocate and role model in encouraging the use of renewable energy and energy efficiency is supported. Amendments are sought that will encourage Council to more thoroughly investigate its role in future proofing for a sustainable energy supply for Wellington.
Decision Requested:  1) Advocate for the installation of domestic photovoltaic power systems, energy conservation through solar hot water systems and other energy efficiency methods, 2) Implement the above on all Council buildings in residential areas, 3) Establish a net metering protocol grid - tied residential photovoltiac power systems, 4) consider the 'solar window' when siting new residential construction I.e. site building to avoid limiting the solar resource available to other buildings.
Submitter Number: 325 Submission Number: 6 Support/Oppose: support
The direction WCC is taking to formally acknowledge the role that renewable energy and energy efficiency could make in the development of a sustainable future for WCC is supported.
Decision Requested: Adopt Policy 6.2.1.3.
Submitter Number: 328 Submission Number: 2 Support/Oppose: support Submission:  The policies are essential to achieve meaningful progress in sustainable energy production. If these policies are vigorously enacted the City can become as distinctive and renowned for its sustainable
energy technology as it is for its innovative cultural life.
Decision Requested: Adopt Policy 6.2.1.3.

Submitter Number: 200 Submission Number: 2					
Submitter Number: 329 Submission Number: 6 Support/Oppose:	amend				
The proposed policy wording is supported. Renewable energy sources for heating have been identified as an imported or sun as well as solar space and water heating. This section also refers to orientation to the sun as an importenergy. Genesis questions whether orientation to the sun is realistic in this 'area' as the Plan Change identifies those correct building orientation can achieve but that it is realistically only an option for new developments.	tant element in becoming more energy efficient and increasing the use of renewable				
Decision Requested:					
1) Adopt Policy 6.2.1.3, 2) Amend method statement to state: "Council has, through its sustainable development stratenewable energy. With respect to Suburban Centres this may be for example in the form of new developments income renewable energy resources for space and water heating and electricity generation. Alternatively for new suburbandas correct building orientation to the sun to assist in passive solar heating, cooling and natural lighting. Many of these responsibility in terms of role model and advocate to encourage the generation and use of the renewable energy and	orporating sustainable and energy efficient building design principles and the use of centre developments it may involve more simple energy efficiency design principles such se activities may not require resource consent, but the Council recognises its				
Submitter Number: 330 Submission Number: 2 Support/Oppose:  Submission:	support				
The inclusion of this policy is supported.  Decision Requested:					
Adopt Policy 6.2.1.3 but amend to strengthen the rules of the District Plan to minimise the possibility of shading by a installations from functioning effectively.	adjacent structures where such shading will prevent roof mounted solar water heating				
Submitter Number: 341 Submission Number: 10 Support/Oppose:	support				
The role model and advocacy role the Council proposes for itself is supported.					
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Adopt Policy 6.2.1.3 and the role model and advocacy roles proposed.					
Submitter Number: 405 Submission Number: 4 Support/Oppose:	amend				
In terms of the matter of efficiency of the end use of energy the proposal should go further than advocacy. It is argustaring but cannot regulate where no resource consent is required. However the proposal is about changing the plaspecified efficiency in use levels are not being incorporated). WCC should advocate for energy pricing where the consensus of the proposal should be proposed in the proposed i	an so it can make resource consents required (e.g. even if no other circumstance than if				
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Require all new buildings to include specific levels of insulation lighting efficiency and other efficiency use methods.					
Trequire all new bullarings to include specific levels of insulation lighting emotinely and other emotines use members.					
Chapter 8 Institutional Precincts					
Specific Provision: Policy 8.2.1.3					
Submitter Number: 52 Submission Number: 3 Support/Oppose:	oppose				
I rent a poorly insulated home in a convenient location. I oppose the paragraph (explanation) as I cannot see how it will be effective.  Decision Requested:					
Investigate the costs to Council from poor housing. Devise a way of charging back these costs in rates.					

substantial local and national ben		wable energy" ignore		oppose that relates to conservation, an area where a proactive Council programme could realise
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 I	Renewable Energy Rules.			
Submission: The method associated with the policy included.  Decision Requested: Amend to include 'initiatives' e.g. decision.	,	ks initiatives to achiev	ŕ	amend sch as design rules requiring energy efficiency standards and operations to be met should
Submitter Number: 123 Submission: Section 8.2 is misleading with instan immediate response to objection Decision Requested: Withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable 8	ves and reference to Chapter 2	I precincts. 8.2.1.3 has	Support/Oppose: s no narrative in its support.	oppose  There is a stated method of advocacy which is not explained in relation to 8.2 but requires
Submitter Number: 325 Submission: Council is encouraged to conside Decision Requested: Amend to provide consideration fo		ving the siting of new		amend g so that they do not limit or block the solar resource available to another building.
Submission: The policies are essential to achie energy technology as it is for its in Decision Requested: Adopt Policy 8.2.1.3.			Support/Oppose: ction. If these policies are vig	support gorously enacted the City can become as distinctive and renowned for its sustainable
wind or sun as well as solar space	e and water heating. This section	purces for heating have on also refers to orient	ation to the sun as an importa	amend  tant option. This definition should be expanded to encompass electricity generation from ant element in becoming more energy efficient and increasing the use of renewable rientation can achieve but that it is realistically only an option for new developments.

## **Decision Requested:**

1) Adopt Policy 8.2.1.3, 2) Amend method statement to state: "Council has, through its sustainable development strategy, made a commitment to encourage energy efficiency and the use of forms of renewable energy. With respect to Institutional Precinct Areas this may be for example in the form of new developments incorporating sustainable and energy efficient building design principles and the use of renewable energy resources for space and water heating and electricity generation. Alternatively new Institutional Precinct developments it may involve more simple energy efficiency design principles such as correct building orientation to the sun to assist in passive solar heating, cooling and natural lighting. Many of these activities may not require resource consent, but the Council recognises its responsibility in terms of role model and advocate to encourage the generation and use of the renewable energy and energy efficiency".

Submitter Number: 330 Submission Number: Submission: The inclusion of this policy is supported.	3 Su	pport/Oppose:	support
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Adopt Policy 8.2.1.3 but amend to strengthen the rules of the Distrinstallations from functioning effectively.	ict Plan to minimise the ր	possibility of shading by ac	djacent structures where such shading will prevent roof mounted solar water heating
Submitter Number: 341 Submission Number: Submission: The role model and advocacy role the Council proposes for itself in Decision Requested: Adopt Policy 8.2.1.3 and the role model and advocacy roles proposed.	is supported.	pport/Oppose:	support
sharing but cannot regulate where no resource consent is required	oposal should go further d. However the proposal C should advocate for er	l is about changing the pla nergy pricing where the co	amend ed that Council can advocate and encourage as well as facilitate through information n so it can make resource consents required (e.g. even if no other circumstance than if st per energy unit increases with increasing use rather than decreases.
Charter 40 Aireant 9 Calf Carr	oo Drooinot		
Chapter 10 Airport & Golf Cour	se Precinct		
Specific Provision: Policy 10.2.1.4			
Submission: Submission Number: Submission: I rent a poorly insulated home in a convenient location. I oppose Decision Requested: Investigate the costs to Council from poor housing. Devise a way	the paragraph (explanati	,	oppose will be effective.
Submitter Number: 120 Submission Number: Submission: The method associated with the policy includes advocacy but lack be included.		apport/Oppose:	amend  ch as design rules requiring energy efficiency standards and operations to be met should
Decision Requested: Amend to include 'initiatives' e.g. design rules requiring energy efficiency.	ciency standards and op	perations.	
Submission:  Submission:  The policies are essential to achieve meaningful progress in susta energy technology as it is for its innovative cultural life.  Decision Requested:  Adopt 10.2.1.4.		n. If these policies are vigo	support prously enacted the City can become as distinctive and renowned for its sustainable

Submission:  The proposed policy wording is supported. wind or sun as well as solar space and watenergy. Genesis Energy recommends that Decision Requested:  1) Adopt Policy 10.2.1.4, 2) Amend method renewable energy. With respect to the Airpo	er heating. This section also refers to ori the wording should be amended to reflect statement to state: "Council has, through that and Golf Course Recreation Precinct	ientation to the sun as an import the benefits that correct build hits sustainable development sthis may be for example in the formula in the	amend  ortant option. This definition should be expanded to encompass electricity generation from trant element in becoming more energy efficient and increasing the use of renewable ling orientation can achieve but that it is realistically only an option for new developments.  strategy, made a commitment to encourage energy efficiency and the use of forms of form of new developments incorporating sustainable and energy efficient building design natively for new Airport and Golf Course Recreation Precinct developments it may involve
more simple energy efficiency design princip	oles such as correct building orientation	to the sun to assist in passive s	solar heating, cooling and natural lighting. Many of these activities may not require be generation and use of the renewable energy and energy efficiency."
	mission Number: 12	Support/Oppose:	support
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Adopt Policy 10.2.1.4 and the role model an			
Submission: In terms of the matter of efficiency of the er sharing but cannot regulate where no resou	urce consent is required. However the pring incorporated). WCC should advocate	roposal is about changing the pee for energy pricing where the c	amend  ued that Council can advocate and encourage as well as facilitate through information lan so it can make resource consents required (e.g. even if no other circumstance than if ost per energy unit increases with increasing use rather than decreases.
Chapter 12 Central A	Area		
<u> </u>	12.2.1.3		
Submitter Number: 52 Sub Submission: I rent a poorly insulated home in a convenie Decision Requested: Investigate the costs to Council from poor he			oppose it will be effective.
Submission:	e reducing the urgency of demand for ne		oppose 9 that relates to conservation, an area where a proactive Council programme could realise

Submitter Number: 120 Submission:	Submission Number:	8 Support/Oppose:	amend
	cy includes advocacy but lacks in	itiatives to achieve the objective. Initiative	s such as design rules requiring energy efficiency standards and operations to be met should
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend to include 'initiatives' e.g. des	ign rules requiring energy efficien	cy standards and operations.	
Submitter Number: 123 Submission:	Submission Number:	2 Support/Oppose:	oppose
This policy is inserted with no rational	alisation for its inclusion.		
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable End	ergy Rules.		
Submitter Number: 321 Submission:	Submission Number:	7 Support/Oppose:	support
Council's role as advocate and role investigate its role in future proofing			supported. Amendments are sought that will encourage Council to more thoroughly
	blish a net metering protocol grid		vater systems and other energy efficiency methods, 2) Implement the above on all Council ms, 4) consider the 'solar window' when siting new residential construction e.g. site building
Submitter Number: 325 Submission:	Submission Number:	8 Support/Oppose:	support
	ate and role model in encouraging	g the use of renewable energy and energy	efficiency is supported.
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Council should install solar water hea	ating and solar electric panels on	all new Council owned buildings, especial	ly community facilities.
Submitter Number: 328 Submission:	Submission Number:	5 Support/Oppose:	support
The policies are essential to achieve energy technology as it is for its inno	e meaningful progress in sustainal ovative cultural life.	ble energy production. If these policies are	e vigorously enacted the City can become as distinctive and renowned for its sustainable
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Adopt Policy 12.2.1.3.			

Submitter Number: 329 Submission:	Submission Number:	9 Support/Oppose	
wind or sun as well as solar space a	nd water heating. This section a	also refers to orientation to the sun as a	an important option. This definition should be expanded to encompass electricity generation from important element in becoming more energy efficient and increasing the use of renewable ect building orientation can achieve but that it is realistically only an option for new developments.
Decision Requested:			
renewable energy. With respect to the renewable energy resources for space correct building orientation to the sun	e Central Area this may be for e e and water heating and electri to assist in passive solar heatin	example in the form of new developmer city generation. Alternatively for new Co	pment strategy, made a commitment to encourage energy efficiency and the use of forms of nts incorporating sustainable and energy efficient building design principles and the use of entral Area developments it may involve more simple energy efficiency design principles such as of these activities may not require resource consent, but the Council recognises its responsibility ergy efficiency".
Submitter Number: 330 Submission: The inclusion of this policy is suppor	Submission Number:	4 Support/Oppose	e: support
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Adopt Policy 12.2.1.3 but amend to s installations from functioning effective		ct Plan to minimise the possibility of sh	ading by adjacent structures where such shading will prevent roof mounted solar water heating
Submitter Number: 341 Submission: The role model and advocacy role th	Submission Number:	Supported	support
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Adopt Policy 12.2.1.3 and the role mo			
Submitter Number: 405 Submission:	Submission Number:	7 Support/Oppose	e: amend
sharing but cannot regulate where n	o resource consent is required.	However the proposal is about changing	It is argued that Council can advocate and encourage as well as facilitate through information ng the plan so it can make resource consents required (e.g. even if no other circumstance than if ere the cost per energy unit increases with increasing use rather than decreases.
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Require all new buildings to include s	pecific levels of insulation lighti	ng efficiency and other efficiency use n	nethods.
Chapter 14 Rural	Area		
Specific Provision: P	olicy 14.2.1.2		

Submission:  New subdivisions of a unique opportunity to create long term sustainable housing developments incorporating renewable energy generation and use, energy efficiency, efficient water use, public transport and cultural benefits e.g. open space. The policy wording should be expanded to encourage the use of renewable energy in any rural subdivision. Energy efficiency should also be specifically referred to in Policy 14.2.1.2.  Decision Requested:  1) Amend wording at 14.2.1.2 to state "encourage the design of any rural subdivision or housing development to use renewable energy technologies where appropriate and to optimise the efficient use of energy and resources. 2) Amend the method to state "subdivision development in the rural area is limited, but where it occurs Council seeks to ensure that the most effective use as made of available land and that houses are correctly oriented to the sun to assist in passive solar heating, cooling and natural lighting. Flexible siting provisions and Design Guides for subdivision are included in the Plan to help achieve this.	
Chapter 14 Rural Area	
Specific Provision: Policy 14.2.1.3	_
Submitter Number: 52 Submission Number: 6 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission: I rent a poorly insulated home in a convenient location. I oppose the paragraph (explanation) as I cannot see how it will be effective.  Decision Requested: Investigate the costs to Council from poor housing. Devise a way of charging back these costs in rates.	
Submission:  All claims to encourage energy efficiency and " the use of renewable energy" ignore the element of Objective S9 that relates to conservation, an area where a proactive Council programme could realise substantial local and national benefits while reducing the urgency of demand for new energy sources.  Decision Requested:  Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	
Submission:  The method associated with the policy includes advocacy but lacks initiatives to achieve the objective. Initiatives such as design rules requiring energy efficiency standards and operations to be met should be included.  Decision Requested:  Amend to include 'initiatives' e.g. design rules requiring energy efficiency standards and operations.	
Submission:  Submission:  This policy is inserted with no rationality for its inclusion. Neither 8.2.1.3 or 12.2.1.3 includes rules as a method although all refer to Chapter 25.  Decision Requested:  Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	

	use of many				support om renewable energy in particular wind. Doing so will benefit all NZ and Wellington. Wind d to minimise non visual impacts.
Submitter Number: Submission: The introduction of a ponew dwellings. Decision Requested: Adopt Policy 14.2.1.3.	, , ,	Submission Number: sustainable and energy effi	3 dicient building design	Support/Oppose: principles and renewable ene	support ergy sources for heating is supported. These basic principles should be mandatory for all
Submitter Number: Submission: I support the inclusion of Decision Requested: Adopt Policy 14.2.1.3.		Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	support
investigate its role in fut Decision Requested: 1) Advocate for the insta buildings in residential ar	ure proofing f : Illation of dom reas, 3) Estab	or a sustainable energy sup estic photovoltaic power sy lish a net metering protoco	oply for Wellington.  stems, energy conse of grid - tied residentia	ervation through solar hot wate	support  poorted. Amendments are sought that will encourage Council to more thoroughly  er systems and other energy efficiency methods, 2) Implement the above on all Council, 4) consider the 'solar window' when siting new residential construction I.e. site building hreats of disconnection from the national grid after 2013.
Submitter Number: Submission: The installation of appro Decision Requested: Adopt Policy 14.2.1.3.	. , .	Submission Number: ed and sized wind turbines	9 in rural areas is supp	Support/Oppose:	support
Submitter Number: Submission: The policies are essenti energy technology as it Decision Requested: Adopt Policy 14.2.1.3.	is for its innov		6 stainable energy proc	Support/Oppose: luction. If these policies are vig	support gorously enacted the City can become as distinctive and renowned for its sustainable

wind or sun as well as solar space energy. Genesis Energy recomme Decision Requested:  1) Adopt Policy 14.2.1.3, 2) Amend renewable energy. With respect to renewable energy resources for space.	e and water heating. This section ends that the wording should be dimethod statement to state: "Country the Rural Area this may be for eace and water heating and elections in passive solar heating, co	urces for heating have a also refers to orienta amended to reflect the ouncil has, through its example in the form of tricity generation. Alteroling and natural lighting and natural lighting are solved.	ation to the sun as an importa e benefits that correct buildir sustainable development str new developments incorpor rnatively for new developme ing. Many of these activities	tant option. This definition should be expanded to encompass electricity generation from ant element in becoming more energy efficient and increasing the use of renewable and orientation can achieve but that it is realistically only an option for new developments.  Tategy, made a commitment to encourage energy efficiency and the use of forms of ating sustainable and energy efficient building design principles and the use of ints it may involve more simple energy efficiency design principles such as correct may not require resource consent, but the Council recognises its responsibility in terms
Submitter Number: 330 Submission: The inclusion of this policy is supposed in the inclusion of this policy is supposed. Adopt Policy 14.2.1.3 but amend to installations from functioning effective.	o strengthen the rules of the Dist		Support/Oppose: the possibility of shading by a	support adjacent structures where such shading will prevent roof mounted solar water heating
Submitter Number: 330 Submission: For solar water heating and passi Decision Requested: Amend to strengthen the rules of the functioning effectively.	-	ading can be a barrier		support  ng opportunities.  such shading will prevent roof mounted solar water heating installations from
Submission: The policy is appropriate within the most suitable part of the City for with the City for	vind energy development and thi	it follows, however the is should be acknowle		support hat accompanying the same policy in all the other 'zones'. The Rural Area is clearly the his policy.
"The City has some of the country developments".  OR alternative wording to the same		•	·	n development lies within the Rural Area. Resource consents will be required for most give effect to the submission.
Submitter Number: 341 Submission: The role model and advocacy role Decision Requested: Adopt Policy 14.2.1.3 and the role	Submission Number:	14 s	Support/Oppose:	support

Submission:  In terms of the matter of efficiency of the end use of energy the proposal should go further than advocacy. It is argued that Co sharing but cannot regulate where no resource consent is required. However the proposal is about changing the plan so it can specified efficiency in use levels are not being incorporated). WCC should advocate for energy pricing where the cost per energy Decision Requested:  Require all new buildings to include specific levels of insulation lighting efficiency and other efficiency use methods.	make resource consents required (e.g. even if no other circumstance than if
Submitter Number: 448 Submission Number: 7 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission:  We are disturbed that this policy is in conflict with Rural Objective 14.2.2 and Policies 14.2.2.1 and 14.2.2.2.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Plan Change 32.	
Chapter 14 Rural Area	
Specific Provision: Policy 14.2.2.2	
Submission:  The proposed policy is inconsistent with the thrust of the proposal. The proposed policy will create conflict in a way that is un consent application.  Decision Requested: Delete Policy 14.2.2.2 accompanying method and explanation.	
Submission:  Submission:  The proposed policy is inconsistent with the thrust of the proposal. The proposed policy will create conflict in a way that is un consent application.  Decision Requested:  Delete Policy 14.2.2.2 accompanying method and explanation.	
Submitter Number: 343 Submission Number: 4 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission:  The proposed policy is inconsistent with the thrust of the proposal. The proposed policy will create conflict in a way that is un consent application.  Decision Requested:  Delete Policy 14.2.2.2 accompanying method and explanation.	

Submission:  The proposed policy is inconsistent with the thrust of the proposal. The proposed policy will create conflict in a way that is undesirable and does not assist in determining the merits of a particular resource consent application.  Decision Requested:  Delete Policy 14.2.2.2 accompanying method and explanation.
Chapter 14 Rural Area
Specific Provision: Policy 14.2.5.2
Submission:  Submission:  Submission:  Submission:  Submission:  Submission:  Submission:  Submission:  Support/Oppose: oppose  The proposed policy is inconsistent with the thrust of the proposal. The proposed policy will create conflict in a way that is undesirable and does not assist in determining the merits of a particular resource consent application.
Decision Requested: Delete Policy 14.2.5.2 accompanying method and explanation.
Submitter Number: 342 Submission Number: 5 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission:  The proposed policy is inconsistent with the thrust of the proposal. The proposed policy will create conflict in a way that is undesirable and does not assist in determining the merits of a particular resource consent application.  Decision Requested:  Delete Policy 14.2.5.2 accompanying method and explanation.
Submission:  The proposed policy is inconsistent with the thrust of the proposal. The proposed policy will create conflict in a way that is undesirable and does not assist in determining the merits of a particular resource consent application.  Decision Requested:  Delete Policy 14.2.5.2 accompanying method and explanation.
Submission:  The proposed policy is inconsistent with the thrust of the proposal. The proposed policy will create conflict in a way that is undesirable and does not assist in determining the merits of a particular resource consent application.  Decision Requested:  Delete Policy 14.2.5.2 accompanying method and explanation.
Chapter 16 Open Space
Specific Provision: Chapter 16 - General Submissions

Submitter Number: 206 Submission Number: 3 Support/Oppose: amend Submission: Policies 4.2.1.1, 6.2.1.3, 8.2.1.3, 10.2.1.4, 12.2.1.3 and 14.2.1.3 are supported. However such a policy should also have been incorporated into section 16.5 Open Space Objectives and Policies. The policies referred to seek to encourage energy efficiency and where appropriate the development and use of renewable energy. Such a policy is equally appropriate for Open Space B, which has specifi been identified as an area appropriate for wind energy facilities. A special rule framework has been established and should be supported by appropriate objectives and policies.	cally
Decision Requested:  1) Amend 16.5 to include a new policy 16.5.1.2 to state: "Encourage energy efficiency and where appropriate the development and use of renewable energy within open space areas. The development use of renewable energy may be particularly appropriate within Open Space B areas". 2) Recognition that methods to implement this new policy will include advocacy and rules and the inclusion of an appropriate explanation to the policy.	and
Submission:  Support/Oppose: amend  S	cally
Decision Requested: 1) Amend 16.5 to include a new Policy 16.5.1.2 to state: "Encourage energy efficiency and where appropriate the development and use of renewable energy within open space areas. The development use of renewable energy may be particularly appropriate within Open Space B areas". 2) Recognition that methods to implement this new Policy will include advocacy and rules and the inclusion of appropriate explanation to the Policy.	and
Submission:  Policies 4.2.1.1, 6.2.1.3, 8.2.1.3, 10.2.1.4, 12.2.1.3 and 14.2.1.3 are supported. However such a policy should also have been incorporated into section 16.5 Open Space Objectives and Policies. The policies referred to seek to encourage energy efficiency and where appropriate the development and use of renewable energy. Such a policy is equally appropriate for Open Space B, which has specific been identified as an area appropriate for wind energy facilities. A special rule framework has been established and should be supported by appropriate objectives and policies.  Decision Requested:  1) Amend 16.5 to include a new Policy 16.5.1.2 to state: "Encourage energy efficiency and where appropriate the development and use of renewable energy within open space areas. The development use of renewable energy may be particularly appropriate within Open Space B areas". 2) Recognition that methods to implement this new policy will include advocacy and rules and the inclusion of	·
Submitter Number:  Submission:  Policies 4.2.1.1, 6.2.1.3, 8.2.1.3, 10.2.1.4, 12.2.1.3 and 14.2.1.3 are supported. However such a policy should also have been incorporated into section 16.5 Open Space Objectives and Policies. The policies referred to seek to encourage energy efficiency and where appropriate the development and use of renewable energy. Such a policy is equally appropriate for Open Space B, which has specific been identified as an area appropriate for wind energy facilities. A special rule framework has been established and should be supported by appropriate objectives and policies.  Decision Requested:  1) Amend 16.5 to include a new Policy 16.5.1.2 to state: "Encourage energy efficiency and where appropriate the development and use of renewable energy may be particularly appropriate within Open Space B areas". 2) Recognition that methods to implement this new policy will include advocacy and rules and the inclusion of	·
Submitter Number:  Submission:  We fully support the existing objectives and policies and it is unacceptable that the proposal would have rules to achieve and implement those overridden by those for renewable energy.  Decision Requested:  Decline and withdraw Plan Change 32.	

Chapter 16 Open Space
Specific Provision: Policy 16.5.1.2
Submission:  The town belt was a land deeded to the people of Wellington via documentation of 1840. To be accurate it should be referred to as the 'Wellington town belt' not the 'inner town belt'.  Decision Requested:  Not stated.
Chapter 16 Open Space
Specific Provision: Policy 16.5.1.3
Submission:  This policy claims to protect and preserve special qualities for future generations without explanation but would appear to be running contrary to previous sections.  Decision Requested:  Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.
Chapter 17 Open Space Rules
Specific Provision: Rule 17.1.2
Submission:  We support the exploration and adoption of Plan Change 32 with amendments.  Decision Requested:  Amend to clearly define temporary activities and uses to include energy activities.
Chapter 23 Utility Rules
Specific Provision: Rule 23.1.9
Submitter Number: 323 Submission Number: 6 Support/Oppose: amend Submission: We support the exploration and adoption of Plan Change 32 with amendments.  Decision Requested: Amend to include a clear definition of "temporary activities and uses", to include energy activities.
Chapter 25 Benewable Energy
Chapter 25 Renewable Energy
Specific Provision: Chapter 25 - General Submissions

	ng how advers	se effects such as noise will		Support/Oppose:  le energy production even in s	oppose similarly elevated positions such as solar farms. It also only consider the visual amenity
Submitter Number: Submission: Section 25 refers to the Decision Requester Decline and withdraw C	d:	·	the benefit to the Gov	Support/Oppose: vernment from Kyoto payback	oppose which could be to the detriment of the local communities.
	e use of many				support m renewable energy in particular wind. Doing so will benefit all NZ and Wellington. Wind to minimise non visual impacts.
Submitter Number: Submission: The intent of the provis Decision Requester Adopt Chapter 25 Rene	d:		2	Support/Oppose:	support
Decision Requested	d:	Submission Number: e District Plan would be supments requested in our other	•	Support/Oppose:	support
this approach does no given to the benefits a Decision Requested	t correspond wrising from rend:	with the approach of the Disternation in the D	trict Plan for other act	ivities e.g. utilities. It is undul	amend e underlying zone. Given the detailed assessment criteria for windfarms we believe that y restrictive where other objectives and policies have been prepared without any weight
those a the underlying		ioi a wind energy lacility is t	o be assessed accord	uning to the objectives and poli	cies and assessment criteria of the renewable energy Chapter and not in conjunction with

Submission: For solar water heating and passive water becision Requested:	Submission Number: 7  ter heating the issue of shading can be a barristrict Plan to minimise the possibility of shading	·	support  ng opportunities.  such shading will prevent roof mounted solar water heating installations from
Submission:	Submission Number: 2 d as it sets out the context for considering the	Support/Oppose: use of renewable energy.	support
Submission:	Submission Number: 1 refer to wind energy developments generally. ces to wind energy developments.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 339 Submission: We oppose any specific and overriding Decision Requested: Amend Chapter 25 by removing all refere	-	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submission:	Submission Number: 1 refer to wind energy developments generally. ces to wind energy developments.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 364 Submission: We oppose any specific and overriding Decision Requested: Amend Chapter 25 by removing all refere		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submission: Wind power is not of such importance the of Chapter 25 that refer to wind energy of Decision Requested:	Submission Number: 2  nat it should override the importance of a recredevelopments generally.		oppose of NZ, or the social and economic welfare of a community. Therefore oppose those parts

Submitter Number: 493 Submission: I oppose any overriding wind energy Decision Requested: Delete all reference to Chapter 26 fr		Support/Oppose:	oppose
maintain, relies on variable winds v  Decision Requested:	Submission Number: 2 c rules governing wind energy over and above which makes it uneconomic. A more balanced to wind energy facilities. 2) Encourage other makes	view should be presented with re	oppose  y. Wind energy counts for less than 1% of our total energy needs, it is costly to install and egard to other forms of renewable energy.
be sought by wind energy compani <b>Decision Requested:</b>	Submission Number: 2  - only for wind. It is misleading to talk about a es and therefore suggest that 'likely' to be use II references to 'necessity' in respect to wind to	d in this context instead.	amend they can be sited in low lying areas. It is acknowledged that it is 'likely' that high places will
	inst the existing base area rules. In fairness to		amend es. Applications of for any form of power generation or major impact business have been tions are best assessed under the base area rules.
business.  Decision Requested:	Submission Number: 1  licy framework provided by the District Plan w  by subject to the amendments sought in the re		support be good for the region and country but could also be paramount to the survival of our
the approach of the District Plan fo consideration given to the benefits <b>Decision Requested:</b>	r other activities (e.g. utilities). This approach i arising from or constraints associated with ren	s unduly restrictive as the object newable wind energy generation.	amend the objectives and policies of the underlying zone. This approach does not correspond with ives and policies of underlying zones have been prepared without any weight or e energy chapter objectives, policies and assessment criteria and not those of the

Decision Requested	d:	Submission Number: es in Chapter 26 override the Chapter 26 override the rul		, ,	support
deal with renewable en  Decision Requested  1) Defer consideration of	nergy issues o d: of Plan Chang	n a case by case basis. Mor	e certainty is require windfarms being pre	d for applicants and those ma	amend es not provide adequate guidance on these issues. It is not sufficient for the Council to aking a submission.  y and Conservation Authority have been finalised. 2) Adopt the guidelines (yet to be
Chapter 25	Renev	wable Energy			
Specific Provis	sion: 2	5.1 Introduction			
Submitter Number: Submission: The introduction does in Decision Requested Decline and withdraw C	d:	Submission Number: ternatives other than wind for newable Energy Rules.	5 or which the Council of	Support/Oppose: can regulate or facilitate.	oppose
provisions which seek would be most efficient energy.  Decision Requested  1) Add to paragraph 2 re	to limit or exc t. Paragraph of d: eferences to s	lude wind power - notably 23 one talks about encouraging	3.4.1.2 bullet point 5 the use of but not ge industrial practises, 2	and 23.4.2.5 bullet point 4. Tl eneration of renewable energ	amend ing Wellington's wind power resource. However Plan Change 33 appears to contain ne use of 'ensuring' seems to exclude wind generators from sites where wind generation y, giving the impression that Wellington City is not serious about promoting renewable aph 2 in relation to Plan Change 33, 3) Amend the introduction to ensure it reflects
	e use of many				support om renewable energy in particular wind. Doing so will benefit all NZ and Wellington. Wind d to minimise non visual impacts.

Submitter Number: 197 Submission Number: 2 Support/Oppose: amend	
The introduction should make specific reference to the very high quality of the wind resource in Wellington. The policy framework provided by the Regional Policy Statement and section 7 of the Reintroduction should also refer to the national policy context e.g. the National Energy Efficiency and Conservation Strategy.	MA. The
Decision Requested:	
Amend the introduction to include reference to national regional and local policies and the RMA context.	
Submitter Number: 206 Submission Number: 6 Support/Oppose: amend	
The first two paragraphs are supported but amendments are sought to include reference to the provisions incorporated into the RMA by the 2004 Amendment Act. The international, national and Government policy framework is provided for along with the NZ Government's attitude to the Kyoto protocol. However relevant provisions of the RMA have been omitted, these should be referred	
Decision Requested:  Amend to include the following after the words "use of energy from renewable sources" at the end of paragraph one 25.1 Introduction.: "in early 2004 the Resource Management Act was amended	l to rofloot
government policy as evidenced by international agreements such as the Kyoto protocol. Section 7 of the resource management Act was augmented by the following matters to which persons exe functions and powers under the act should have particular regard: a)the efficiency of the end use of energy b)the effects of climate change and c)the benefits to be derived from the use and develor renewable energy. Section 2 of the Resource Management Act defines renewable energy as meaning energy produced from solar, wind, hydro, geothermal, biomass, tidal wave and ocean currer sources".	ercising opment of
Submitter Number: 206 Submission Number: 7 Support/Oppose: amend	
The last sentence of the third paragraph records the objectives and policies in all area based Chapters (except open space and conservation sites) provide for the use and development of renewal energy and energy efficiency. The omission of the open space and conservation areas from these objectives and policies is inappropriate. Energy efficiency should be encouraged throughout the of these zones may include locations where energy efficiency measures can be appropriately located. This should be recognised in the objectives and policies. Open Space B areas have been id one of the key areas in which wind facilities may locate therefore the policy framework should support the use and development of renewable energy as that approach is reflected in the rules.	City. Both
Decision Requested:	
Delete from the final sentence of paragraph 3, 25.1 Introduction, the words "(with the exception of open space and conservation sites)".	
Submitter Number: 206 Submission Number: 8 Support/Oppose: amend  The second to lest continue of paragraph 4 does not make second.	
The second to last sentence of paragraph 4 does not make sense.  Decision Requested:	
Delete from second to last sentence in paragraph 4 , 25.1 Introduction, the words "a result of".	
Submitter Number: 206 Submission Number: 10 Support/Oppose: amend	
It is the Council's intention that where an activity is provided for in Chapter 26 the rules of that chapter apply to any application irrespective of the activity status that activity would have had in the zoning. This is made clear in Section 26.1. However the wording of the two final paragraphs in the introduction 25.1 could be amended to clarify that position.	underlying
Decision Requested:	
Amend the last two paragraphs of 25.1 Introduction to state; "Activities that are governed by the rules in Chapter 26 will be considered under those rules alone but assessed against the objectives policies not just of Chapter 25 but also any other relevant objectives and policies in the District Plan including those relating to the specific area in which the activity is to take place. Any other appli relating to or involving renewable energy will be considered under the relevant zoning rules and again assesses against all relevant objectives and policies in the plan, including the objectives and this chapter. If the rules in Chapter 26 apply to an application, they will override the rules within all other chapters of the plan, functioning in a similar way to the plans heritage provisions. However above the objectives and policies will be read in conjunction with those of the underlying zone.	cation policies in

"solar panels" photovoltaic panels? This reference is unclear and <b>Decision Requested:</b>	d amendments are sought.	amend corted. However amendments are proposed based on the following considerations. Are the r hot water systems can result in significant reductions in net power consumed in
amended to include grid tied solar homes.  Decision Requested:	ns such as large scale solar electricity generation	amend  nic and social impacts of increasing energy costs. Large scale solar generation should be shrough 'solar farms', Urban integrated photovoltaic systems, biomass or wave energy will
the importance of wind generation but also that wind farms if not operation of wind generation facilities should be subject to resound to resound the subject to	designed and managed properly have potential to consent.  I comes into force the government will be required third paragraph to state: "The application of renewildings towards the sun to assist passive heating the individual taking action rather than relying on	amend cally identifies wind generation development as likely to be pursued in the future. It notes of generate adverse effects. Therefore genesis energy agrees that the establishment and to produce New Zealand's CO2 emission". 2) Amend first sentence of second wable energy can be in a number of different forms. At the domestic scale there are cooling and natural lighting. This can be achieved through subdivision design, or energy .". 4) Amend paragraph four to delete "into" after "given effect to" in the first sentence graph 5 to state: " wind farm development can occur at a large scale and if not designed
Submission:  Submission:  Totally ungrammatical and thus meaningless.  Decision Requested:  Amend the fourth paragraph of the introduction, replace 'become	Support/Oppose: s' with 'becoming'.	amend
Submission:  Submission:  The only reason put forward in the introduction for the use of ren Council should resist turning down the scope for allowing development on Requested:  Amend 25.1 Introduction to comment on the likely long term scen	pment of renewable energy and should give it mo	amend vever a probably more immediate driver is the inevitability of a short fall in oil supply. The re scope.

Decision Requested:	Submission Number: 2 alleys on plains, in deserts, out to sea - all of references to wind turbines being placed on e		oppose
Decision Requested: Include the following wording at e "Changes made to the Resource	Submission Number: 2  apter and Introduction is supported but improve and of the first paragraph of 25.1 Introduction:  Management Act in March 2004 mean that er when making decisions under the Act".		amend recognise the Resource Management Act. relopment of renewable energy are matters to which the Council must have particular
OR alternative wording that achie	ves the same effect.		
Decision Requested: Include the following paragraph a	t the end of the second paragraph of 25.1:  e Regional Policy Statement, which contains	ů ů	amend recognise the policy provided by the Regional Policy Statement. nergy efficiently and the development and use of renewable energy sources within the
Decision Requested: Replace the words in 25.1 paragram. "There is very good wind resource with the following: "The wind resource within Welling development".	aph 3: e in the Wellington Region, as well as land ca gton City's area is amongst the best in the cou	pacity for wind farm development"	amend recognise the policy provided by the Regional Policy Statement.  ation. There is also adequate land within the City's Rural Area for wind farm
OR alternative wording which ach	ieves the same effect.		

Submitter Number: Submission:	341	Submission Number:	15	Support/Oppose:	support
		vable energy development for either economically viable in			However other forms of renewable energy such as hydro, geothermal, biomass and solar
<b>Decision Requested</b>	:				
it comes into force it will reach these targets. The energy from renewable s towards the sun controlle photovoltaic (solar electrone that is likely to be gilarge scale photovoltaic,	require New multi facete sources. The ed by subdiv ric) panels in ven great eff biomass or	Zealand to reduce CO2 em d programme includes the r uptake of renewable energ ision design, or controls of e dwellings. Of the different r fect to in the coming years.	issions to 1990 levels attional energy efficier y can be a number of energy efficiency of ne enewable energy option [There is a very good witidal) may become mo	between 2008 - 2012. The N ncy and conservation strategy different forms. At the domes w dwellings through the multions available to the market, a vind resource in the a Welling	y is the Kyoto protocol. This was ratified by the New Zealand Government in 2002. Once lew Zealand government has set in place a policy framework and programme of action to which focuses on energy conservation, energy efficiency and an increase in the use of tic scale there are various passive solar approaches including orientation of buildings unit design guide. Significant energy gains can also be made through solar water or nd from experience in new Zealand and overseas, the indication is that wind energy is the ton region, as well as land capacity for wind farm development. Other options such as a chapter should be amended to address the various resource management issues that
Submitter Number: Submission:	342	Submission Number:	6	Support/Oppose:	amend
The first two paragraphs					ated into the RMA by the 2004 Amendment Act. The international, national and Local ver relevant provisions of the RMA have been omitted, these should be referred to.
government policy as ev functions and powers un	lowing after indenced by inder the act s	nternational agreements suc should have particular regard	ch as the Kyoto protoc d: a)the efficiency of the	col. Section 7 of the resource ne end use of energy b)the eff	25.1 Introduction.: "in early 2004 the Resource Management Act was amended to reflect management Act was augmented by the following matters to which persons exercising fects of climate change and c)the benefits to be derived from the use and development of uced from solar, wind, hydro, geothermal, biomass, tidal wave and ocean current
Submitter Number: Submission:	342	Submission Number:	7	Support/Oppose:	amend
The last sentence of the and energy efficiency. These zones may include	The omission le locations v	of the open space and con where energy efficiency mea	servation areas from t sures can be appropr	hese objectives and policies i iately located. This should be	n space and conservation sites) provide for the use and development of renewable energy is inappropriate. Energy efficiency should be encouraged throughout the City. Both of recognised in the objectives and policies. Open Space B areas have been identified as development of renewable energy as that approach is reflected in the rules.
<b>Decision Requested</b> Delete from the final sen		agraph 3, 25.1 Introduction,	the words "(with the e	exception of open space and o	conservation sites)".
Submitter Number: Submission:	342	Submission Number:	8	Support/Oppose:	amend
	•	graph 4 does not make sen	se.		
Decision Requested				1	
Delete from second to la	st sentence	in paragraph 4 . 25.1 Introd	uction, the words "a re	esult of".	

Submission:  It is the Council's intention that where an activity is provided for in Chapter 26 the rules of that chapter apply to any application irrespective of the activity status that activity would have had in the underlying zoning. This is made clear in Section 26.1. However the wording of the two final paragraphs in the introduction 25.1 could be amended to clarify that position.  Decision Requested:  Amend the last two paragraphs of 25.1 Introduction to state; "Activities that are governed by the rules in Chapter 26 will be considered under those rules alone but assessed against the objectives and policies not just of Chapter 25 but also any other relevant objectives and policies in the District Plan including those relating to the specific area in which the activity is to take place. Any other application relating to or involving renewable energy will be considered under the relevant objectives and policies in the plan, including the objectives and policies in the plan, including the objectives and policies in the Plan's heritage provisions. However as noted above the objectives and policies will be read in conjunction with those of the underlying zone.
Submission:  Submission:  The first two paragraphs are supported but amendments are sought to include reference to the provisions incorporated into the RMA by the 2004 Amendment Act. The international, national and Local Government policy framework is provided for along with the NZ Government's attitude to the Kyoto protocol. However relevant provisions of the RMA have been omitted, these should be referred to.  Decision Requested:  Amend to include the following after the words "use of energy from renewable sources" at the end of paragraph one 25.1 Introduction.: "in early 2004 the Resource Management Act was amended to reflect government policy as evidenced by international agreements such as the Kyoto protocol. Section 7 of the resource management Act was augmented by the following matters to which persons exercising functions and powers under the act should have particular regard: a)the efficiency of the end use of energy b)the effects of climate change and c)the benefits to be derived from the use and development of renewable energy. Section 2 of the Resource Management Act defines renewable energy as meaning energy produced from solar, wind, hydro, geothermal, biomass, tidal wave and ocean current sources".
Submission:  The last sentence of the third paragraph records that objectives and policies in all area based chapters (except open space and conservation sites) provide for the use and development of renewable energy and energy efficiency. The omission of the open space and conservation areas from these objectives and policies is inappropriate. Energy efficiency should be encouraged throughout the City. Both of these zones may include locations where energy efficiency measures can be appropriately located. This should be recognised in the objectives and policies. Open Space B areas have been identified as one of the key areas in which wind facilities may locate therefore the policy framework should support the use and development of renewable energy as that approach is reflected in the rules.  Decision Requested:  Delete from the final sentence of paragraph 3, 25.1 Introduction, the words "(with the exception of open space and conservation sites)".
Submitter Number: 343 Submission Number: 8 Support/Oppose: amend Submission: The second to last sentence of paragraph 4 does not make sense.  Decision Requested: Delete from second to last sentence in paragraph 4 , 25.1 Introduction, the words "a result of".

Submission:  It is the Council's intention that where an activity is provided for in Chapter 26 the rules of that chapter apply to any application irrespective of the activity status that activity would have had in the underlying zoning. This is made clear in Section 26.1. However the wording of the two final paragraphs in the introduction 25.1 could be amended to clarify that position.  Decision Requested:  Amend the last two paragraphs of 25.1 Introduction to state; "Activities that are governed by the rules in Chapter 26 will be considered under those rules alone but assessed against the objectives and policies not just of Chapter 25 but also any other relevant objectives and policies in the District Plan including those relating to the specific area in which the activity is to take place. Any other application relating to or involving renewable energy will be considered under the relevant zoning rules and again assesses against all relevant objectives and policies in the plan, including the objectives and policies in this chapter. If the rules in Chapter 26 apply to an application, they will override the rules within all other chapters of the plan, functioning in a similar way to the plans heritage provisions. However as noted
Submission:  The first two paragraphs are supported but amendments are sought to include reference to the provisions incorporated into the RMA by the 2004 Amendment Act. The international, national and Local Government policy framework is provided for along with the NZ Government's attitude to the Kyoto protocol. However relevant provisions of the RMA have been omitted, these should be referred to.  Decision Requested:  Amend to include the following after the words "use of energy from renewable sources" at the end of paragraph one 25.1 Introduction.: "in early 2004 the Resource Management Act was amended to reflect government policy as evidenced by international agreements such as the Kyoto protocol. Section 7 of the resource management Act was augmented by the following matters to which persons exercising functions and powers under the act should have particular regard: a)the efficiency of the end use of energy produced from solar, wind, hydro, geothermal, biomass, tidal wave and ocean current sources".
Submission:  The last sentence of the third paragraph records that objectives and policies in all area based chapters (except open space and conservation sites) provide for the use and development of renewable energy and energy efficiency. The omission of the open space and conservation areas from these objectives and policies is inappropriate. Energy efficiency should be encouraged throughout the City. Both of these zones may include locations where energy efficiency measures can be appropriately located. This should be recognised in the objectives and policies. Open Space B areas have been identified as one of the key areas in which wind facilities may locate therefore the policy framework should support the use and development of renewable energy as that approach is reflected in the rules.  Decision Requested:  Delete from the final sentence of paragraph 3, 25.1 Introduction, the words "(with the exception of open space and conservation sites)".
Submitter Number: 344 Submission Number: 8 Support/Oppose: amend Submission: The second to last sentence of paragraph 4 does not make sense.  Decision Requested: Delete from second to last sentence in paragraph 4 , 25.1 Introduction, the words "a result of".

Submitter Number: 344 Submission:	Submission Number:	10	Support/Oppose:	amend
				application irrespective of the activity status that activity would have had in the underlying could be amended to clarify that position.
policies not just of Chapter 25 but als relating to or involving renewable end	so any other relevant objectives ergy will be considered under th 6 apply to an application, they v	and policies in the ne relevant zoning ru vill override the rule	District Plan including those rules and again assesses agains within all other chapters of the street of the stree	will be considered under those rules alone but assessed against the objectives and relating to the specific area in which the activity is to take place. Any other application inst all relevant objectives and policies in the plan, including the objectives and policies in the plan, functioning in a similar way to the plans heritage provisions. However as noted
Submitter Number: 364 Submission:	Submission Number:	2	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Overseas turbines are found in valle	eys on plains, in deserts, out to	sea - all of which ca	an be accommodated in NZ.	
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend paragraph 5 to delete all refe	rences to wind turbines being p	laced on elevated l	ocations.	
Submitter Number: 405 Submission:	Submission Number:	11	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	at renewable energy is a matte	r of national importa	ance. That is incorrect the ma	atter appears in section 7 of the RMA not section 6.
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend to correctly interpret the Reso	ource Management Act.			
Submitter Number: 405 Submission:	Submission Number:	12	Support/Oppose:	oppose
The introduction contradicts itself by	verseas, the indication is that w	ind energy is the oi	ne that is likely to be given eff	r panels in dwellings" and the "of the different renewable energy options available to the fect to in the coming years" the later is clearly wrong - solar water heating that utilises
Decision Requested: Not stated.	uny marketod in 142 and 300mo	to perpetuate the o	onordina on the madequate of	occion of report.
Submitter Number: 405 Submission:	Submission Number:	14	Support/Oppose:	oppose
It is unacceptable that the rules of the	nis chapter will override rules wi	thin other chapters	of the District Plan.	
Decision Requested: Not stated.				
Submitter Number: 448 Submission:	Submission Number:	9	Support/Oppose:	oppose
It is unacceptable that "if the rules in	this chapter apply to a proposa	al they will override	rules within those chapters".	
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Plan Change 3	32.			

Submitter Number: Submission:	453	Submission Number:	3	Support/Oppose:	amend		
Decision Requested:		link between national, regi					
the remainder of the second that the region needs to appropriate mechanism the paragraph 25.1 by deleting partnership managed by policy and planning supp	and sentence aim to reduce to implement ng the word ' the Energy E ort for renew	e.2) Add the following to the e energy demand, increase their promotion and consid that' and replacing it with 'a efficiency and Conservation table energy generation who	second paragraph 25 energy efficiency in er eration in through proviso'. 4) Add an addition Authority. This memben appropriate. 5) Ame	i.1 after the first sentence: "the nergy use, manage non renewisions in District Plans". Dele nal sentence to paragraph 2 a ership involves the Council p	lange its greenhouse gas emissions to 1990 levels over the period 2008 - 2012". Delete the Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington region in Chapter 12 "energy" advocates wable sources and develop renewable sources. The policy statement recognises that an ete "this is recognised in". 3) Amend the existing second sentence of the second and 25.1 to state: "additionally the Council participates in the energy wise Council's roactively improving its own and the community's energy efficiency, as well as providing the paragraph of 25.1 to include the following after "wind energy": "biomass and ragraph 4, 25.1.		
Submitter Number: Submission:	493	Submission Number:	3	Support/Oppose:	oppose		
The introduction states valocations if it chooses.	wind turbines	must be placed on elevate	d locations. Overseas	turbines are often found in v	alleys, on plains, in deserts or out at sea. NZ can look to site wind turbines in such		
Decision Requested: Delete from paragraph 5,		the reference to wind turbing	nes in elevated locatio	ns.			
Submitter Number: Submission:	516	Submission Number:	4	Support/Oppose:	oppose		
					eas of good wind flow. Given the massive size of current wind turbines a vast number rsely effect people. S7 (f) of the RMA requires Council to have particular regard to 'people		
Decision Requested: Amend paragraph 5 Cha		oduction to remove the refe	erence to "necessity" ir	n respect to wind turbines an	all references to Chapter 26.		
Submitter Number: Submission:	582	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose		
	Wind turbines should not be sited where they will adversely affect people/communities. S7(f) of the RM requires Council to have particular regard to people and communities.						
Decision Requested: Amend 25.1 Introduction to remove all references to the 'necessity' of constructing wind power stations in high places.							
Submitter Number: Submission:	623	Submission Number:	2	Support/Oppose:	support		
The introduction should RMA, and the national p	make specifi olicy context	c reference to the high qua e.g. the Government's nati	lity of the renewable w onal energy efficiency	rind energy resource in Wellir and conservation strategy.	ngton; the policy framework provided by the Regional Policy Statement; section 7 of the		
Decision Requested: Amend the 25.1 Introduc		e reference to national, reg	ional and local policies	s and the RMA context.			

Submitter Number: 5ubmission: Submission Number: 3 Support/Oppose: amend
In order for Council's references to climate change policy to be correct, they must refer more broadly to greenhouse gases (not just CO2) and more broadly to the range of different options available to New Zealand to meet their targets not just reducing emissions locally. This again underscores the desirability of leaving national issues to be addresses at central government level rather than risk an inconsistent approach across Local Government.
Decision Requested:
1) Delete the third sentence of paragraph 1, 25.1, 2) Insert the following text; "If it comes into force, it would commit New Zealand to reducing its greenhouse gas emissions back to 1990 levels, on average, over the period 2008 -2012, or take responsibility for any emissions above this level if it cannot meet this target. If New Zealand has excess emissions above its 1990 target, we can take responsibility for these emissions in a number of ways, such as purchasing emission units on the international market or using forest sink credits".
Chapter 25 Renewable Energy
Specific Provision: Objective 25.2.1
Submitter Number: 142 Submission Number: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose
The Objective included in 25.2 renewable energy does not adequately provide for consideration of matters raised in Part 2 of the RMA. The discretionary (unrestricted) status for the proposed wind energy facilities can be assessed on a case by case basis. The rules provide an adequate base to address environmental considerations including the avoidance, mitigation and remedying of adverse effects, however this is not reflected in the objectives and policies. Other objectives in the District Plan do accommodate the matters raised in Part 2 of the Act. Consequently the proposed objective appears inconsistent with those objectives, in particular the utilities objectives.
Decision Requested:  Amend 25.2.1 to state: "To provide for efficiency in energy use and the development and use of energy from renewable sources while avoiding, remedying or mitigating the adverse effects on the environment".
Submission:  Submission:  Submission Number: 13 Support/Oppose: amend
The objective provides a positive framework within which applications for wind generation facilities would be assessed.
Decision Requested:  Amend 25.2.1 to state "To encourage efficient energy use, and the development and use of energy from renewable sources".
Chapter 25 Renewable Energy
Specific Provision: Policy 25.2.1.1
Submitter Number: 120 Submission Number: 11 Support/Oppose: amend Submission: Use of energy from a renewable source rather than a non renewable source, does not make it efficient but it does make it sustainable. What is the relevance to paragraph 1, line 3. Rules should be
adopted not just advocacy.  Decision Requested:
Amend to include rules not just advocacy.

		 il working with NZ PVA		support icity retailers and other stakeholders to establish a net metering protocol for grid tied
Decision Requested: Not stated.	present a lack of a national re	gulatory framework for	r net metering is a major bar	rier to uptake of domestic renewable energy.
Submitter Number: 325 Submission: The Council being pro active in sur	Submission Number:		Support/Oppose:	support  ed. The target should be to ensure that Council tenants have healthy and warm but energy
efficient places to live and work.  Decision Requested: Adopt Policy 25.2.1.1.	pperming energy emoterney term		ay zalang o cappoli	
Submitter Number: 328 Submission: The policies are essential to achie energy technology as it is for its interpretable.			Support/Oppose:	support gorously enacted the City can become as distinctive and renowned for its sustainable
Decision Requested: Adopt Policy 25.2.1.1.				
Submitter Number: 329 Submission: The policy provides a positive frammentioned in the policy method an		s for wind generation		amend  The policy title should be expanded to state the use of renewable energy as this is
<b>Decision Requested:</b> 1) Amend 25.2.1.1 to state: "encour	rage the efficient use of energion of dwellings". 3) Amend	y and greater use of re the 6th sentence of th	newable energy". 2) Amend ne method to state "The Cou	the 4th sentence of the method to state "This includes solar water heating and passive ncil also has a role in advocating energy efficient designs, encouraging the use of
Submitter Number: 336 Submission:	Submission Number:		Support/Oppose:	amend
Grammatical error. I.e. and e.g. are <b>Decision Requested:</b> In the 5th sentence of the explanation			ferent things and can convey	y the wrong meaning.
Submitter Number: 341 Submission:	Submission Number:		Support/Oppose:	support
The role model and advocacy role  Decision Requested:  Adopt Policy 25.2.1.1 and the role role role role role role role rol				

sharing but cannot regulate where specified efficiency in use levels are <b>Decision Requested:</b>	no resource consent is required. However the	proposal is about changing the pate for energy pricing where the	amend gued that Council can advocate and encourage as well as facilitate through information plan so it can make resource consents required (e.g. even if no other circumstance than if cost per energy unit increases with increasing use rather than decreases.
Decision Requested:	Submission Number: 4 ne link between national, regional and local po the following sentence: "Council also has a ro	,	amend genergy efficient transport, decisions by individuals such as walking, cycling and the use of
Submission: It is important that the efficient use appropriate.  Decision Requested: Adopt Policy 25.2.1.1.	Submission Number: 5 of energy is promoted at the domestic househ	Support/Oppose: old level as well as on a larger c	support commercial scale and that the use of energy from renewable sources is encouraged where
Chapter 25 Rene	wable Energy		
Specific Provision: F	Policy 25.2.1.2		
	ne. Wind farms need to be of an area of 15 ac ally for this application.		amend design aim must be to find areas of steady windflows of long duration. Excessive wind nes are installed to give an economic level of generation. I think that suitable areas should
Submitter Number: 123 Submission: 25.2.1.2 quotes rules and advocacy Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	Submission Number: 6 y but refers to the RMA which is subject to curenewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: rent change.	oppose

facilities is appropriate in order that the avoidance, mitigation and remed 'environment' most likely to be impact Decision Requested:  Amend 25.2.1.2 to state 'provide for reference in the state in t	he effects of wind energy facilities can be ying of adverse effects. However this is sted on by wind energy facilities, e.g. na	pe assessed on a case by case basis. In not reflected in the objectives and policitural character of the coast, and ecologous adverse impacts of the development	oppose art 2 of the RMA. The discretionary (unrestricted) status for the proposed wind energy The rules provide an adequate base to address environmental considerations including cies. The policy should be expanded to more adequately deal with those components of gical, visual and amenity values.  on the environment (including the natural character of the coast, ecological, historical
also be given to the exceptionally hig <b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend police 25.2.1.2 and supporting	gh quality of the renewable wind resource	ce in Wellington.	support  eed for electricity generation from renewable energy sources. Specific recognition should  n from renewable energy sources and the exceptionally high quality of the renewable
wind resource in Wellington.  Submitter Number: 203 Submission: I support the inclusion of this policy.  Decision Requested: Adopt Policy 25.2.1.2.	Submission Number: 2	Support/Oppose:	support
values and the development of wind <b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the second sentence of the se	energy facilities. econd paragraph of 25.2.1.2 explanation	n to state : " In terms of wind energy fac	amend  ph. In any event, it is an over statement that there is always conflict between amenity  cility development there may be a potential conflict between landscape and amenity be sited on ridgelines, hilltops or other elevated positions".
Submission: The policies are essential to achieve energy technology as it is for its inno Decision Requested: Adopt Policy 25.2.1.2.		Support/Oppose: ergy production. If these policies are vig	support gorously enacted the City can become as distinctive and renowned for its sustainable

values and the development of wind <b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the second sentence of the s	energy facilities.  econd paragraph of 25.2.1.2 explanation to sta	ite: " In terms of wind energy fa	amend  aph. In any event, it is an over statement that there is always conflict between amenity  cility development there may be a potential conflict between landscape and amenity be sited on ridgelines, hilltops or other elevated positions."
values and the development of wind <b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the second sentence of the s	energy facilities. econd paragraph of 25.2.1.2 explanation to sta	ite: " In terms of wind energy fa	amend  aph. In any event, it is an over statement that there is always conflict between amenity  cility development there may be a potential conflict between landscape and amenity be sited on ridgelines, hilltops or other elevated positions".
<b>Decision Requested:</b>	Submission Number: 3  sys on plains in deserts out to sea, all of which of the system		oppose s".
sharing but cannot regulate where n specified efficiency in use levels are <b>Decision Requested:</b>	o resource consent is required. However the p	roposal is about changing the p e for energy pricing where the c	amend  ued that Council can advocate and encourage as well as facilitate through information lan so it can make resource consents required (e.g. even if no other circumstance than if ost per energy unit increases with increasing use rather than decreases.
Decision Requested:	Submission Number: 5 e link between national, regional and local police splanation to state "project and policy frames		amend , which includes a focus on both".
in such locations as it chooses.  Decision Requested:	Submission Number:  s wind turbines must be placed on elevated loc  h 2, explanation the reference to necessity to be		oppose  Iften found in valleys, on plains, in deserts, or out at sea. NZ can look to site wind turbines  other elevated positions.

Submitter Number: 516 Submission: There is no necessity for elevation, used in that context.  Decision Requested: Amend Paragraph 2 of Policy 25.2.1	•	·	,	oppose places will be sought by wind energy companies and have no objection to 'likely' being
Submitter Number: 582 Submission: There is no need for elevation only to Decision Requested: Amend paragraph 2 of Policy 25.2.1.		· ·		oppose rly they can be sited in low lying areas.
technology but has been replaced be location if shifting them, benefits so should be borne by local or central approduction source of electric power <b>Decision Requested:</b>	y another technology that doe ciety as a whole. Roading if re government and not placed or and is vulnerable as far as ele cognition in this policy and ex	es not require the sar equired should not be in the development of ectric power is conce planation from renew	me site. The fact that certain to a charge against a particular wind farms. Power projects stred.	nt. For example, Quartz hill where Makara village has developed around communication technology is located on a particular site does not give them automatic right of continued r project. Costs associated with reports required to quantify impacts (e.g. on wildlife) should be built near load centres to get greatest efficiency. Wellington has no major sonally high quality of the renewable wind resource in Wellington District.
<u> </u>	Chapter 26 - Genera		<b>.</b>	
Submitter Number: 2 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Ru Decision Requested: Withdraw and decline Chapter 26, R		1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	would override all other rules  Renewable Energy Rules	in the District Plan.		oppose and make it special and therefore wind power stations would not be appropriate. The is no reason for having massive turbines strung across the landscape.

	would override all other rules in the Dis		oppose and make it special and therefore wind power stations would not be appropriate. The tis no reason for having massive turbines strung across the landscape.
Submitter Number: 6 Submission: Wind turbines should be out of view. Decision Requested: Withdraw and decline Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose: ere are other places turbines could go	oppose that would be out of sight. I oppose Renewable Energy Rules, Chapter 26.
Submitter Number: 9 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Rule Decision Requested: Withdraw and decline Chapter 26, Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 10 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Ru Decision Requested: Withdraw and decline Chapter 26, Re	•	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 11 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Ru Decision Requested: Withdraw and decline Chapter 26, Re	•	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	nged by industrial wind farms or major		oppose the few remaining places with a true rural setting, untouched coastline and heritage

Submitter Number: 12 Submission: I see no reason why existing rules of Makara is the wrong place to have Decision Requested: Decline Chapter 26 Renewable Energy	an industrial windfarm.	2 s easier to promote a	Support/Oppose: windfarm. Makara is unique f	oppose or its' scenery and tranquil walks. It would be a crime to allow this to be changed.
	would override all other rule: Renewable Energy Rules.	s in the District Plan.		oppose and make it special and therefore wind power stations would not be appropriate. The s no reason for having massive turbines strung across the landscape.
	would override all other rule: Renewable Energy Rules.	in the District Plan.		oppose and make it special and therefore wind power stations would not be appropriate. The s no reason for having massive turbines strung across the landscape.
Submitter Number: 16 Submission: The hills surrounding Makara shoul Decision Requested: Decline Chapter 26 Renewable Ene	, ,,	wind mills. These rul	Support/Oppose: les should not override the ex	oppose isting rules. Makara should be kept as a rural area surrounded by beauty.
	k. There are many areas turb			oppose  compulsory in local or central government policy. Once Quartz Hill becomes a power ominent and loved hilltop. Leave Quartz Hill, the Makara Community, Rural Areas and
	would override all other rule: Renewable Energy Rules.	s in the District Plan.		oppose and make it special and therefore wind power stations would not be appropriate. The s no reason for having massive turbines strung across the landscape.

•	Council should not be swayed by power com	,	oppose ver. Quartz Hill has been targeted for industrialisation. This is totally unacceptable for option.
	ped 30% because of noise and visual pollution		oppose sores in treasured rural areas. Quartz Hill will remain desecrated after Meridian goes away. m turbines should not be there for the local community.
	linting blades. Quartz hill, Makara and all oth		oppose ronment or community. Turbines are unsuitable for our hills and ridgelines because of the nust remain untouched for future generations.
	gelines and hilltops, as well as current noise Renewable Energy Rules.		oppose  The rules override all others in the District Plan and take away protection of special ropriate sites for industrialisation.
	gelines and hilltops, as well as current noise Renewable Energy Rules.		oppose  The rules override all others in the District Plan and take away protection of special ropriate sites for industrialisation.
characteristics of our rural area, ride <b>Decision Requested:</b> 1. Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	gelines and hilltops, as well as current noise		oppose  The rules override all others in the District Plan and take away protection of special ropriate sites for industrialisation.

	ules will override all other rules in the District F		oppose e of the wind power lobby at the direct exclusion of all other renewable energy sources. It
Submitter Number: 27 Submission: It will spoil the landscape and is a notation Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	•	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 28 Submission: The noise from wind farms is intoler the course to pursue.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose: ard the same arguments propose	oppose ed for nuclear plants and all the pro arguments turned out to be spurious. Solar energy is
	the proposed rules to override all other rules in		oppose would like to think that Council members would not be pushed around by power trenewable energy rules but wind facility rules.
Submitter Number: 30 Submission: The proposed rules are wind energy expense of the local community. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	, ,	Support/Oppose:  Studies prove that they are no	oppose isy. They ruin the visual landscape. Wind power should not be encouraged at the
	e.g. site access roads, large cranes, foundatio		oppose fe would be at risk as would the health of local resident's. There will be a considerable

Submitter Number: 34 Submission: The changes being made are of	Submission Number:	1 Ir intentions about how	Support/Oppose: v the changes will impact on p	oppose becopile living locally. Put them somewhere out of the way, not in people's backyards.
Decision Requested: Withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable	Energy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 35 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Withdraw and decline Chapter 20	S Renewable Energy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 36 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
The rules only deal with wind enbecause of damaging noise.  Decision Requested:  Decline and withdraw Chapter 26		ergy. I do not want wi	ind turbines on Quartz/Makara	a Hills. Makara Beach is enjoyed by hundreds of people who will not be able to use it
Submitter Number: 37 Submission: The proposed site for wind turbi expense of the local community Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Ç	1 ould support turbines	Support/Oppose: 5-10 km away from residentia	oppose al dwellings. I support the promotion of wind turbines to generate power but can at the
Submitter Number: 38 Submission: The proposed site for wind turbi expense of the local community	Submission Number:	1 ould support turbines	Support/Oppose: 5-10 km away from residentia	oppose al dwellings. I support the promotion of wind turbines to generate power but not at the
Decision Requested:  Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Renewable Energy Rules.			
				oppose  and make it special and therefore wind power stations would not be appropriate. The son reason for having massive turbines strung across the landscape.
Decision Requested:  1. Decline and withdraw Chapter 2. Do more work to consider a fu	26 Renewable Energy Rules.		<u> </u>	3 Harana and G Harana and Harana

			oppose  ty and make it special and therefore wind power stations would not be appropriate. The at is no reason for having massive turbines strung across the landscape.
Decline and withdraw Chapter 26     Do more work to consider a full rail			
from industrial development such as <b>Decision Requested:</b> 1. The ridgelines/hilltops should cont		nent.	oppose  ntly protected by the District Plan. The hilltops/ridgetops should continue to be protected
Submitter Number: 42 Submission: The proposed wind turbine energy r Decision Requested: Not stated.	Submission Number: 1 ules should not override the rules giving	Support/Oppose: protection to skylines and hilltops. [	oppose Do not turn Makara area into an industrial area.
	Submission Number:  1 for a windfarm. There are many ways to assist the public to purchase such school		oppose elieve there has been any real effort to achieve this. Alternative energy e.g. solar is
	aged at the expense of the Makara com Renewable Energy Rules.		oppose se and the valley. Noise from the turbines would be horrific and they would be an eyesore.
	ollution. I am concerned about the noise		oppose  a. Do not spoil the environment with industrial turbines. Protect the rural character,

Submitter Number: 48 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
The rules should not override all ridgelines and hilltops from visual			allow a wind farm at Makara.	Do not spoil the environment with industrial turbines. Protect the rural character,
Decision Requested:				
Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Renewable Energy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 49 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Wind turbines should not be enco	kara and Wellington City hills. ouraged at the expense of the N	They are overpoweri Nakara community. [	ng and intrusive to my house Do not spoil out rural life.	and the valley. Noise from the turbines would be horrific and they would be an eyesore.
Decision Requested: 1. Decline and withdraw Chapter 2 2. These rules are unsatisfactory				
Submitter Number: 50 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	ed in the capital region. The rul	les need to be rewritt	en so that large scale industri	al areas are not allowed. A wind farm at Makara would threaten to turn Wellington into an
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Renewable Energy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 51 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
These are not renewable energy significant like Quartz Hill.	rules, they are being adopted to	suit private enterpri	se (wind energy suppliers). L	eave Wellington's ' hills in their natural state, especially those that are historically
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Renewable Energy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 53 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	rural hills of Wellington. There	e are more appropriat	te areas that could be used in	stead of Quartz Hill. The rules should not override other rules in the District Plan.
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Renewable Energy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 54 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
The rules should not override all override rules that have been dev				er to erect towering structures in scenic, recreational and rural surroundings. The rules
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	,	o iii consultation witi	r urar community.	

Submitter Number: 55 Submission: The rules should not override exist boundaries. Decision Requested: 1. Decline Chapter 26 Renewable E 2. That Makara and surroundings rid	nergy Rules.	1 to allow wind farms.	Support/Oppose: The beaches and hilltops of	oppose  Makara allow camping, tramping and fishing unique experiences within Wellington
Submitter Number: 56 Submission: The rules relate only to wind energ Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		1 other rules in the Dis	Support/Oppose: strict Plan. These rules are no	oppose ot acceptable and more work needs to be done.
Submitter Number: 57 Submission:  Do not cover the rural hills of Wellin community or beautiful location.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		1 Wind turbines are in	Support/Oppose: appropriate on Quartz Hill and	oppose If the Makara Hills. Wind power should not be encouraged at the expense of a local
Submitter Number: 58 Submission: Do not cover the rural hills of Wellin community or beautiful location. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		1 Wind turbines are in	Support/Oppose: appropriate on Quartz Hill and	oppose If the Makara Hills. Wind power should not be encouraged at the expense of a local
part of the rural landscape, not an i	ndustrial zone. There may be by promoted by Wellington City	a place for the wind	industry in New Zealand, but	oppose  ty from inefficient, landscape blighting machines, it is helping the environment. Makara is not at the expense of the population or environment. What other alternative strategies for a wind power. Do not create an industry with known noise pollution and corresponding
Submitter Number: 60 Submission: These are not renewable energy rucommunity. The rules should not community. The rules should not community. Not stated.				oppose d Makara Hills. Wind power should not be encouraged at expense of the local es should be paramount.

Submitter Number: 61 Submission: Wind turbines are not suitable for Control Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose: ople living in the area. The area is very	oppose y quiet and nose from the turbines needs to be considered.
Submitter Number: 62 Submission: The rules are targeted too much at Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Recognitions.	Submission Number: 1 wind power. They should include all for enewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 62 Submission: The rules only relate to wind energy pollution. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose: ther places to put wind turbines that w	oppose  ill not impact on a community. Wind turbines damage the environment with noise and visual
	ouartz Hill; or purely for the benefits of B		oppose e noise or visual pollution to the local community; where they will displace protections for the ner down the coast e.g. Terawhiti Hill.
Submitter Number: 64 Submission: These rules only relate to wind ene Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose: ses that will not impact so badly on the	oppose community. They damage the environment with noise and visual pollution.
Submitter Number: 66 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy R Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 67 Submission: These rules only relate to wind ene damage the environment with noise Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R.	e and visual pollution.	Support/Oppose: e many other places to put wind turbin	oppose es that will not impact so badly on a community. Turbines may provide power but they

Submitter Number: 69 Submission: Wind turbines are not suitable for Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 F	·	Support/Oppose to people living in the area. The area	se: oppose a is very quiet and nose from the turbines needs to be considered.
Submitter Number: 70 Submission: We live in a rural environment and significant ridgelines, it will make to Decision Requested: Withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable E	he area industrial. Individual and		se: oppose rride all rural and open space rules. It is not environmentally acceptable to place turbines on
Submitter Number: 71 Submission: Wind turbines are not suitable for Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 F	·	Support/Oppose to people living in the area. The area	a is very quiet and nose from the turbines needs to be considered.
Submitter Number: 72 Submission: I object to the industrialisation of reenvirons. Visual, noise and developments and Requested: Withdraw the proposed Renewable	opment pollution will result.	1 Support/Oppose areas that would be much more suited	ed to renewable energy developments. Many people come to Makara to enjoy the rural and coastal
Submitter Number: 73 Submission: Do not cover the rural hills of Well These rules are unsatisfactory, mo Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 F	ore work needs to be done.	1 Support/Oppose	se: oppose cara Hills. It is not acceptable that the proposed rules override all other rules in the District Plan.
Submitter Number: 76 Submission: These are wind energy facility rule the local community. It is not accessory Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 F	eptable that the proposed rules ov	Support/Oppose o not cover the rural hills of Wellington erride all other rules in the District Plar	n with wind turbines. It is inappropriate to cover Quartz/Makara Hills in turbines at the expense of

	able that the proposed rules override all othe		oppose  nd turbines. It is inappropriate to cover Quartz/Makara Hills in turbines at the expense of
	able that the proposed rules override all othe		oppose  nd turbines. It is inappropriate to cover Quartz/Makara Hills in turbines at the expense of
	able that the proposed rules override all othe		oppose  nd turbines. It is inappropriate to cover Quartz/Makara Hills in turbines at the expense of
	akara. The rules should not override all other		oppose overseas, the move is toward solar power. The rural hills of Wellington should not be a
	lso disturb the beauty of Makara valley. Maka		oppose  Makara beach is a scene of serenity. Please review the installation of these turbines. The be treasured and not disfigured by the incessant hum of turbines.
wind turbines be placed in groups or	n the ridgelines they would detract from the in- ethods of renewable energy should also be fu	spiration experienced here. The	oppose If turbines especially Quartz/Makara hills, which are of outstanding natural beauty. Should be views of people in the Makara community and the Quartz Hill Reserve Charitable Trust lack to the public.

Submitter Number: 82 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
These rules should not override a	all the Rural and Open Space B	Rules or any other ru	ules.	
Decision Requested:				
Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Renewable Energy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 83 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	amend
Wind farms are potentially very v maximum public input into the de		ise nuisance and are	hazardous to bird life. As the	ey are comparatively new to New Zealand it seems highly desirable to encourage
Decision Requested:				
Add a new provision that every ap	plication for resource consent f	or a wind farm will be	publicly notified.	
OR			hPalana (Carlonala and Angelana)	and a second control
Add a provision that every applica	tion for a resource consent for a	a wind farm will be pu	ibliciy notified unless the circu	mstances are exceptional.
Submitter Number: 84 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
I oppose the Renewable Energy	Rules, Chapter 26.			
Decision Requested:				
Withdraw and decline Chapter 26	Renewable Energy Rules.			
·				
Submitter Number: 85 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	re I would have noise all of the t	ime. The visual impa	act on this and other areas wo	uld be terrible. Our property is all we have and these machines would devalue our
retirement investment.				
Decision Requested:				
Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Renewable Energy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 86	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
				ecial and wind power stations will desecrate this area. They should be specifically
excluded from Makara/Quartz Hil	is. The proposed rules need m	ore work to ensure a	reas inappropriate for windpov	ver are excluded.
Decision Requested:				
Withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable	Energy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 87 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
I oppose the rules because of the project will have negative effects		these turbines create	e to this coastline and neighbo	uring properties . The ecology of the coastline will also suffer detrimental effects and the
Decision Requested:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Renewable Energy Rules.			

Submitter Number: 88 Submission: I do not oppose the use of turbines becision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:  Turbines will destroy the sense	oppose of serenity here. Turbines are noisy and visually intrusive.
Submitter Number: 89 Submission: It is not acceptable that these rules were	Submission Number: 1 would override all other rules in the District Planment and a unique historical asset to Welling		oppose to the landscape of Wellington without further reference to the residents. The Makara n this area.
Submitter Number: 90 Submission: Do not cover the rural hills of Welling activity not a rural activity. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Recognition Requested:		Support/Oppose: ropriate on Quartz / Makara hills	oppose s. There are many more appropriate sites isolated from communities. This is an industrial
Submitter Number: 91 Submission: Do not cover the rural hills, especial Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Rei	Submission Number: 1  ly Quartz/Makara Hills in wind turbines.  newable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 91 Submission: Do not cover the rural hills, especial Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	Submission Number: 1  ly Quartz/Makara Hills in wind turbines.  newable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 92 Submission: Do not cover the beautiful rural hills Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	Submission Number: 1 of Wellington in wind turbines - especially Quantum newable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: artz and Makara Hills.	oppose
Submitter Number: 93 Submission: Do not cover the rural hills, especiall Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Rei	Submission Number:  Ily Quartz/Makara Hills in wind turbines.  newable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose:	oppose

Submitter Number: 94 Submission: Do not cover the rural hills, especial Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Recognition Requested:	Submission Number: 1  ally Quartz/Makara Hills in wind turbines.  enewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 95 Submission: Do not cover the rural hills, especial Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Recognition Requested:	Submission Number: 1  ally Quartz/Makara Hills in wind turbines.  enewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 96 Submission: Do not cover the rural hills, especial Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Received:	Submission Number: 1  ally Quartz/Makara Hills in wind turbines.  enewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	bines are placed well away from people and co		oppose  nd well being of the community and my family should be considered. The proposed rules
Submitter Number: 98 Submission: I do not want to live in an area surro Decision Requested: Withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable En		Support/Oppose:	oppose bines are placed well away from people and communities.
	ruction, building and maintenance phases? Wh		oppose sover the effects of wind turbines including noise infrasound and safety. What will the
Submitter Number: 101 Submission: Do not disturb Quartz hill with wind Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	,	Support/Oppose:	oppose y rules. Wind turbines should not be erected at the expense of Makara community.

	er should not be more important than a local		oppose  nes especially Quartz/Makara hills . It is not acceptable that these rules override all other pe done to make them satisfactory.
Submitter Number: 103 Submission: Do not disturb Quartz hill with wind to Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:  Those are only wind energy facilit	oppose  y rules. Wind turbines should not be erected at the expense of Makara community.
	z hill will ruin the area and lives of people that		oppose  Wind turbines on the hills around Wellington are not appropriate. We should preserve our
Submitter Number: 107 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Rul Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 108 Submission: Wind power is not the answer to futu Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:  I not destroy the scenery. Nuclear	oppose energy is the way to go. Coal fired stations are not very efficient.
Submission: These are not renewable energy rule expense of the local communities.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose: ant Makara and other Wellington hi	oppose illtops close to houses covered in wind turbines. Wind power shouldn't go ahead at the
Submitter Number: 110 Submission: Makara is an inappropriate place for Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	·	Support/Oppose:	oppose the community. Makara valley is Wellington's rural and beach escape.

Submission:			Support/Oppose: ness and the views of the hills o	oppose  of Makara and rugged coastline.
Submission:	Submission Nut is. It is close to Wellington bewable Energy Rules.		Support/Oppose: a great wildness feel about it.	oppose
Submission:	as it is.		Support/Oppose: s. Wind turbines should be whe	oppose ere they don't effect rural residents or communities, or the natural rugged country or
Submission:  These rules are written justrules in the District Plan. V  Decision Requested:		es. Do not cover the rural les important than a local c		oppose  oines especially Quartz/Makara hills . It is not acceptable that these rules override all other be done to make them satisfactory.
Submission:  These rules are written justrules in the District Plan. V  Decision Requested:		es. Do not cover the rural l re important than a local c		oppose  pines especially Quartz/Makara hills . It is not acceptable that these rules override all other be done to make them satisfactory.
Submission:  These rules are written justrules in the District Plan. V  Decision Requested:		es. Do not cover the rural l re important than a local c		oppose  pines especially Quartz/Makara hills . It is not acceptable that these rules override all other be done to make them satisfactory.

Submission: Wind turbines should not be located on C suitable for the volume of traffic the instal		Support/Oppose: will generate noise which is a	oppose t odds with the image for Karori Golf Course - Naturally golf. Roads in the region are not
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewa	able Energy Rules.		
Submission:	ubmission Number:  I energy facilities. Do not cover the rural hills of	Support/Oppose: of Wellington with wind turbine	oppose s especially Quartz/Makara hills . It is not acceptable that these rules override all other
rules in the District Plan. Wind power sho <b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewa	ould not be more important than a local commable Energy Rules.	nunity. More work needs to be	done to make them satisfactory.
Submitter Number: 122 Submission: Do not cover Quartz/ Makara hills with wi	ubmission Number:	Support/Oppose:	oppose
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewa	able Energy Rules.		
Submission: The impact of low frequency noise on the	ubmission Number: 1  physical and mental well being of people car ori residents. Wind farms are not compatible to		oppose priate to build large scale industrial turbine sites close to a community. The construction stara.
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewa	able Energy Rules.		
Submission:  These are not renewable energy rules bu Wellington. Wind turbines are inappropria	ate on Makara/Quartz hill. Makara is valued fo	or its rural character, scenic be	oppose ass multiple renewable energy options. Do not allow wind turbines on the rural hills of eauty, peace and quiet. The noise will have adverse health impacts. The current rural a house. It is unsatisfactory that these rules would override all other rules in the District
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewa	able Energy Rules.		
Submission: These rules are windpower rules not rene	energy initiatives. Consideration needs to be o		oppose nese rule changes appear to have been developed to help justify the Makara wind farm. nment and resident's lifestyles.

	nhills. Wind power should not be ergy should be fully investigated	multiple renewable e e encouraged at the		oppose  on wind farms. Do not cover the rural hills of Wellington in wind turbines. Wind turbines The protection of the landscape and historic values of this area is paramount. Other
	hills. Wind power should not b	 multiple renewable e		oppose  on wind farms. Do not cover the rural hills of Wellington in wind turbines. Wind turbines The protection of the landscape and historic heritage values of this area should be
Submitter Number: 129 Submission: Do not pollute any hills and ridgeline Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 130 Submission: Do not pollute any hills and ridgeline Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 131 Submission: The proposed rules only include wir Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re			Support/Oppose:	oppose to override the current rural noise rules.
Submitter Number: 132 Submission: Do not pollute the hills and ridgeline Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		1	Support/Oppose:	oppose

Submitter Number: 133 Submission: Wind turbines are an eye sore at Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	nd can be extremely noisy.	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
man built development. The Ma community. Wind power is noisy character would be a sad indictr <b>Decision Requested:</b>	osed rule should override the proxers area needs to be protected area area to be protected area therefore the current rural renert on Wellington City. Wind tu	These rules are not noise rules should no rbines can be placed	renewable energy rules but wit be overridden. The Makara a in more appropriate areas.	Oppose  I in the District Plan. These hill tops and ridgetops should continue to be protected from and facility rules. Wind power should not be encouraged at the expense of the local area is special and is not renewable. To have wind turbines destroying the natural rural are rural character of the Makara area and Quartz Hill.
	use the establishment of wind tu kara into an industrial wasteland rules that would protect this spe	d. Wind farms have the	neir place in the overall power	oppose  que for its raw beauty and is virtually untouched. 106 metre high wind turbines with their system but not at the expense of the local community. Wind turbines are an eye sore.
amounts of energy. Evidence from	site for wind turbines. Wind turbion overseas has shown the hugbiggest forms of pollution. My particular to the properties of the pollution of the properties of the propertie	e negative impact res	sulting from the thoughtless po	oppose  ple. Solar power has been poorly considered in New Zealand, yet is able to produce vast sitioning of wind turbines. Placing wind turbines in Makara will be irreplaceable. Noise se. We chose a quiet suburb to reduce discomfort from low frequency noises.
	ady in place to protect the count ive to low frequency vibration.			oppose  ch a populated area Makara is unique. Renewable energy rules should not take al for irreversible damage to the visual beauty and peacefulness of the area. I am almost

	sely affected. Wind power she quiet rural environment of M	Do not cover the rural puld not be encourage	ed at the expense of the local	oppose rbines. Wind turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/Makara hills. The health and well being I community. It is not acceptable that these proposed rules should override all other rules
Submitter Number: 141 Submission: These rules facilitate construction of well beyond residential properties at Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	nd the coast where bird life is	imity to residential dw	Support/Oppose: ellings . Noise, property deva	oppose aluation and visual impact will adversely impact on residents. Wind farms have their place
, ,	not close to communities or ru	lan and this is wrong		oppose r wind energy facilities. Wind turbines are ugly and scar the landscape. Wind farms Ferawhiti station would be more appropriate.
valued and visible ridgelines and hinew rules.  Decision Requested:	lltops. They would override cu	priate on Wellington's rrent noise rules perta	aining to the rural area. There	oppose  osed rules will override all others in the District Plan including any protection of highly e are other areas where turbines will be out of sight. More work needs to be done on these ions must be considered e.g. solar power mandatory in all new buildings.
hills at expense of community desir	e and the need for a regional nanaged. It should not permit al importance S6 RMA or to a	proposed Plan Change park in Wellington. The wind power developm	e public notice was misleadir	oppose  le other rules in the District Plan. Wind power should not be developed on Quartz/ Makara ng as to the nature of the wind energy development. It does not require the effects of ses not provide for buffers from adjoining public land. Insufficient recognition and provision
Submitter Number: 148 Submission: Do not cover the rural hills of Wellir expense of the local community. The Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Reference in the control of the control	e noise will spoil people's enjo	rbines are inappropria	Support/Oppose: ate on Quartz/Makara hills. Ti	oppose here are other windy places in Wellington. Wind power should not be encouraged at

expense of the local condition <b>Decision Requeste</b>	ommunity. Th <b>d:</b>	Submission Number: gton in wind turbines. Wind e noise will spoil people's er			oppose There are other windy places in Wellington. Wind power should not be encouraged at
the sake of energy. Or Decision Requeste	ur school will s <b>d:</b>	Submission Number: on the cities rural hills and of suffer greatly from the noise.		Support/Oppose: s not acceptable. There are ot	oppose ner places other than Makara to site wind turbines. Communities should not be ruined for
expense of the local the Decision Requester	ne community <b>d:</b>	Submission Number: gton in wind turbines. Wind The noise will spoil people enewable Energy Rules.			oppose There are other windy places in Wellington. Wind power should not be encouraged at
expense of the local condition <b>Decision Requeste</b>	ommunity. Th <b>d:</b>	Submission Number: gton in wind turbines. Wind e noise will spoil people's er			oppose There are other windy places in Wellington. Wind power should not be encouraged at
expense of the local condition <b>Decision Requeste</b>	ommunity. Th <b>d:</b>	Submission Number: gton in wind turbines. Wind e noise will spoil people's er			oppose There are other windy places in Wellington. Wind power should not be encouraged at
Submitter Number: Submission: I oppose the Renewab Decision Requeste Decline and withdraw (	d:	Submission Number: les, Chapter 26. enewable Energy Rules.	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose

Submitter Number: 155 Submission: Wind turbines are not right on the ru Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	Submission Number:  ural hills of Wellington. They will spoil the ruenewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose:  ural character and peace and quie	oppose t.	
Submitter Number: 156 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Re Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose	
Submitter Number: 157 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Ru Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose	
Submitter Number: 158 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Ru Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose	
Submitter Number: 159 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Re Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose	
Submitter Number: 160 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Re Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose	
Submitter Number: 161 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Ru Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose	

Submitter Number: 162 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose		
I live in Makara for a rural lifestyle co	onveniently located to urban amenities. ewable. The current District Plan recogn		egatively impact my current quality of life. The area has special rural character and protection must continue.		
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	newable Energy Rules.				
Submitter Number: 163 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose		
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Ref		o not cover Wellington's rural hills in v	wind turbines especially Quartz hill and the Makara area.		
Submitter Number: 164 Submission: Wind power kills birds and turbines at Decision Requested:	Submission Number: 1 and clutters up the skylines.	Support/Oppose:	oppose		
Abandon Chapter 26.					
Submitter Number: 165 Submission: Wind power kills birds and turbines at Decision Requested: Abandon Chapter 26.	Submission Number: 1 and clutters up the skylines.	Support/Oppose:	oppose		
Submitter Number: 166 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose		
Those rules appear to address wind energy rules only. What about other forms of energy? Why are other forms of energy not covered by the proposed change?  Decision Requested:  Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.					
Submitter Number: 167 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Rul Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26, Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose		
		Summant/Own s = =			
Submission:  Makara hilltops are not an appropria  Decision Requested:  Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	Submission Number:  1  Ite location for wind turbines. The Deser	Support/Oppose: t Rd area would be more suitable.	oppose		

	versely affected. Wind power should	Support/Oppose: not cover the rural hills of Wellington in w	oppose  vind turbines. Wind turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/ e local community.	Makara hills. The health and well being
Submitter Number: 170 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	•	1 Support/Oppose:	oppose	
Submitter Number: 171 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26		1 Support/Oppose:	oppose	
Submitter Number: 172 Submission: Do not put 130 metre high wind t Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Submission Number: urbines on Wellington's rural hills. Renewable Energy Rules.	1 Support/Oppose:	oppose	
Submitter Number: 173 Submission: I value the rural character and the Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26		Support/Oppose:	oppose otection of the rural character of the Makara area.	
Submitter Number: 174 Submission: These are wind energy facility rul Decision Requested: These rules are unsatisfactory and		Support/Oppose: artz, Makara and Ohariu hills. More work	oppose k needs to be done.	
Submitter Number: 175 Submission: Stop the creep of industry into the Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26		Support/Oppose:  factor. Wind turbines are ugly and out o	oppose of character and results in pollution of the landscape.	

Submission:  The proposed rules only support wind en has rules to protect and enhance the ru do not exceed those permitted in the cur sited in areas well away from household development. Wind power should not be Decision Requested:  1) Fully consider a wide range of renewal	ral area. The rules should not override all oth rrent rural rules and that noise is contained was. The rural character and peace and tranquise at the expense of the community.	er rules e.g. noise protection of ithin the boundary of the site. N Ility of Makara is valued. The p edraft renewable energy rules	oppose  ave to provide for wind farms that may adversely effect the environment when it already of rural character, protection of skylines/ridgelines. The rules should ensure noise levels Wind turbines can adversely affect people living close by. Wind turbines should only be proposed Plan Change will compromise the landscape currently protected from industrial to ensure wind turbines cannot be sited close to people, houses, schools and cannot be unity's and interested parties.
Submission:	·	Support/Oppose: es away from the public, a wind	oppose If farm would be detrimental to the scenery. The Desert Road would be a better location.
Submission:	, ,	Support/Oppose: covered in noisy, visually pollu	oppose ting turbines within sight and sound of residents.
Submission: Windmills are a noise and visual pollutar	e where it is quiet and peaceful. Solar power		oppose  They have found out that windfarms are uneconomic, noisy and a blot on the
Submission:	submission Number: 1 se problems for the residents and visitors. We rable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: e take our annual holiday here	oppose and think it is very beautiful.
Submitter Number: 183 S Submission: Wind turbines are inappropriate on Quar Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renew		Support/Oppose:	oppose

Submitter Number: 184 Submission: These are not renewable energy re Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	·	Support/Oppose: region should be considered. Quartz and M	oppose akara hills are picturesque and would not be suitable. These rules are unsatisfactory.		
Submitter Number: 185 Submission: These are not renewable energy re Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	•	Support/Oppose: egion should be considered. Quartz and M	oppose akara hills are picturesque and would not be suitable. These rules are unsatisfactory.		
Submitter Number: 186 Submission: Consideration of proposals for wind Decision Requested: Adopt rules that provide for wind turns and the submission of the		Support/Oppose: s a discretionary activity (unrestricted) is su d) activities.	support pported.		
Submission:  This Chapter has a limited focus on wind farms. Other forms of renewable energy and energy efficiency need to be studied to ensure a full and proper comparison of all relevant factors. The whole exercise seems to be geared to Meridian by relating it to a wind farm on land it owns at Quartz Hill. It would be a retrograde step to get specific rules embodied now in the District Plan relating only to wind farms. It is dangerous and unacceptable for the rules to override all other rules in the District Plan.  Decision Requested:  Withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.					
	s would override all other rules in the Renewable Energy Rules.	he District Plan. Wellington is windy, but th	oppose ity and make it special and therefore wind power stations would not be appropriate. The last is no reason for having massive turbines strung across the landscape.		
Submitter Number: 190 Submission: These rules should not override all protected. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose: y other rules. They should not override the	oppose protection of the skylines. Makara is a highly valued landscape and should continue to be		

Submitter Number: Submission: These rules should not Decision Requested Decline and withdraw Cl	:	Submission Number: ne Rural and Open Space B newable Energy Rules.	rules or any other ru	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: Submission: I support the provision f Decision Requested Adopt Plan Change 32.		Submission Number: anemometers and for wind	1 energy facilities in ru	Support/Oppose: ral areas.	support
	reational ame	enities. The preservation of			oppose  open spaces around cities are undesirable locations for energy generation because of the ortance. Windfarms should not be made discretionary activities.
Submitter Number: Submission: These are not renewab Decision Requested Decline and withdraw Cl	:		1 nergy facilities. I obje	Support/Oppose: ct to the installation of 'industr	oppose ial' wind turbines on Quartz /Makara hills.
	/ellington is b	eautiful and picturesque so			oppose eople aware of energy savings by not wasting electricity will offset the expected output of windy - make it the sunshine city with solar power.
sites, 2) Creation of a p identification of areas the Decision Requested	lanning guidenat are used f	e for the development of win for recreational purposes an	d farms including sou d should exclude win	und distance to dwellings over nd turbine sites, 4) Need for ba	amend  ent for wind energy generation which should include 1) identification of possible wind farm all turbine height, turbine spacing and development and maintenance of access roads, 3) I lancing wind energy development and desires of the population.  In guide for wind turbines (developed with the assistance of wind energy experts).

Submitter Number: 213 Submission: I value the rural character, scenery a Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Rei	·	Support/Oppose: kara area needs to be protected from	oppose n wind power stations . Wind power is inappropriate for this area.
Submission: We oppose Chapter 26 as they appl	Submission Number: 1  y to Quartz hill including associated landments should not be encouraged at the		oppose of appropriate. The proposed rules override other rules in the District Plan and that is s for a regional park, and the protection of natural features and landscape and historic
protected because of its important ru	ugged coastal landscape and recreation her rules in the District Plan. Wind powe	al potential. Wind power facilities on	oppose butstanding landscape of the coastal area from Makara beach to Owhiro Bay should be the Quartz hill or the coastal landscape from Makara beach to Owhiro Bay is not aged at the expense of the community aspirations for the regional park.
Submission: Wind turbines are not essential on W Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Rei	Submission Number: 1 Vellington's hills, The hilltops and ridgelinewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: nes are valued. Alternatives have no	oppose t been looked at.
Submitter Number: 217 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Rul Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Rul Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Rel		Support/Oppose:	oppose

Submission: Wind power is a good idea in Decision Requested:	Submission Number: appropriate places. City hills are in 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	1 appropriate. Makara	Support/Oppose: is an important recreation are	oppose ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submission:	Submission Number: other suitable areas which should be	1 e exploited before an	Support/Oppose: y consideration is given to the	oppose e Quartz Hill site.
Submission:	Submission Number: sfactory for those living within 1km or 26 Renewable Energy Rule.	1 of the site. There show	Support/Oppose:  uld be a subsidy/incentive to	oppose have solar energy.
Submission: The hills of Wellington are no hilltops/ ridgetops.  Decision Requested:	Submission Number:  It a suitable site for a big wind power  T 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	1 station. It is not acc	Support/Oppose: eptable that these rules over	oppose ride all other rules. The hills need to be protected, do not encourage development on
Submission:  Don't cover Wellington's hills  Decision Requested:	Submission Number: in wind turbines. Makara is a wond r 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	1 erful recreation area.	Support/Oppose: Wind turbines would be a blo	oppose of on the beautiful landscape.
Submission:  Makara is not a suitable place  Decision Requested:	Submission Number: e for wind power. This is a special a	1 area of Wellington.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Decision Requested:		<b>1</b> appropriate. Makara	Support/Oppose: is an important recreation are	oppose ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.

	Submission Number: rules in the District Plan and end	Support/Oppose: courage developers to target Wellington's	oppose s hills. These rules are not acceptable and wind turbines are inappropriate on Wellington's hills.
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	newable Energy Rules.		
Submitter Number: 227 Submission: Wind turbines will spoil the rural area Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 228 Submission: The rules have been created to help Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose: middle of a vibrant community. The noise	oppose and visual pollution are not recognised by the rules. Makara as a special place.
	and amenity values. Wind power	Support/Oppose:  or renewable options. Do not cover the rur should not be encouraged at expense of	oppose ral hills of Wellington with turbines. Turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/ Makara hills. They will f the local community.
	and amenity values. Wind power	Support/Oppose: er renewable options. Do not cover the rur should not be encouraged at expense of	oppose ral hills of Wellington with turbines. Turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/ Makara hills. They will f the local community.
	and amenity values. Wind power	Support/Oppose: er renewable options. Do not cover the rur should not be encouraged at expense of	oppose ral hills of Wellington with turbines. Turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/ Makara hills. They will f the local community.

Submitter Number: 232 Submission: These are not renewable energy ru adversely effect the rural character Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	and amenity values. Wind pov			oppose s of Wellington with turbines. Turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/ Makara hills. They will ocal community.
Submitter Number: 233 Submission: These are not renewable energy ru adversely effect the rural character Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	and amenity values. Wind pov			oppose s of Wellington with turbines. Turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/ Makara hills. They will ocal community.
Submitter Number: 234 Submission: These are not renewable energy ru adversely effect the rural character Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	and amenity values. Wind pov			oppose s of Wellington with turbines. Turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/ Makara hills. They will ocal community.
Submitter Number: 235 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Ru Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Ro		1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 236 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Ru Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 237 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Ru Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	•	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 238 Submission: Do not use any part of Wellington for Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	·	1 ly ugly, imposing and	Support/Oppose:	oppose vindmill proposal will be a disaster both physically and visually.

Submitter Number: Submission: Do not use any part of V Decision Requested: Withdraw Chapter 26 Re	:	·	1 lly distressing and no	Support/Oppose:  oisy. Solar power is an obviou	oppose s alternative.
	al character a	nd amenity values. Wind po		Support/Oppose: ons. Do not cover the rural hill accouraged at expense of the le	oppose s of Wellington with turbines. Turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/ Makara hills. They will ocal community.
	al character a	nd amenity values. Wind po		Support/Oppose: ons. Do not cover the rural hill accouraged at expense of the lo	oppose s of Wellington with turbines. Turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/ Makara hills. They will ocal community.
	al character a	nd amenity values. Wind po		Support/Oppose: ons. Do not cover the rural hill accouraged at expense of the le	oppose s of Wellington with turbines. Turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/ Makara hills. They will ocal community.
Submitter Number: Submission: These are basically rule should stay rural to be e Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Ch	enjoyed by all :	, it should be preserved. Wir	posed removal of the	Support/Oppose: e protection currently given to e located in more inaccessible	oppose  Quartz hill this Chapter opens the way for industrial development of Quartz hill. The area e areas where they can be sited away from residents and without spoiling the landscape.
	al character a	nd amenity values. Wind po		Support/Oppose: ons. Do not cover the rural hill accouraged at expense of the le	oppose s of Wellington with turbines. Turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/ Makara hills. They will ocal community.

	uartz hill/ Makara are inappropriate for wind turl		oppose e landscapes or adversely impact on communities. The rules give no protection against
	and amenity values. Wind power should not be		oppose Is of Wellington with turbines. Turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/ Makara hills. They will ocal community.
Submitter Number: 247 Submission: Wind turbines should not be located Decision Requested: Cancel Chapter 26, Renewable Ener		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 249 Submission: Any change that encourages wind fa Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	Submission Number: 2  arm development in our community will challenge enewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: ge our viability as an early childle	oppose nood educational facility for our community.
	ally excluded from Makara/ Quartz hill.	Support/Oppose:	oppose veen Owhiro and Titahi bay. Wind turbines will destroy the natural beauty and quiet nature
	more appropriate sites. The rural nature of the		oppose y more noise in the rural area. I value the peacefulness and non urban nature of the coast.

Submitter Number: 252 Submission: The rules should not override other Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose: ot enough evidence of environmental sa	oppose fety.
Submitter Number: 253 Submission: No area in Wellington is suitable for Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		1 Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 254 Submission: The rules are biased towards wind Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:  uld not be located within hearing distance	oppose e of a residential area/ dwelling.
Submitter Number: 255 Submission: It is not acceptable that these rules These rules are not satisfactory. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:  District Plan. Do not cover the rural hills	oppose s of Wellington in wind turbines. Wind turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/ Makara hills.
	loise from the turbines will make it u		oppose  nd turbines. Wind power shouldn't be supported at expense of the local community. Makara
Submitter Number: 257 Submission:	Submission Number:	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 258 Submission: Wind turbines are inappropriate on Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	Submission Number:  Quartz hill or anywhere close to houenewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose:	oppose

Submitter Number: Submission: These are not renewabl and Makara beach will be Decision Requested. Decline and withdraw Ch	be ruined. N	oise from the turbines will m	1 ules. Makara/ Quart nake it unattractive.	Support/Oppose: z hill are inappropriate for wind	oppose If turbines. Wind power shouldn't be supported at expense of the local community. Makara
Submitter Number: Submission: I don't want Makara hills Decision Requested Decline and withdraw Ch	:		1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: Submission: Rural hills are inapproprious Decision Requested Decline and Withdraw Cl	:	Submission Number: ation/ landscape values shown enewable Energy Rules.	1 uld be maintained.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: Submission: Do not cover Wellington Decision Requested Decline and withdraw Ch	:		1 an eyesore. I suppor	Support/Oppose: t solar initiatives. Why should	oppose these rules override all other rules.
Submitter Number: Submission: It is not acceptable that These rules are not sati Decision Requested Decline and withdraw Ch	sfactory.		1 es in the District Pla	Support/Oppose:  n. Do not cover the rural hills o	oppose of Wellington in wind turbines. Wind turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/ Makara hills.
Submitter Number: Submission: Rural hills are inappropr Decision Requested: Decline and Withdraw Cl	:	Submission Number: ation/ landscape values shown	1 uld be maintained.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: Submission: Wind power is inapprop Decision Requested Decline and withdraw Ch	:		1	Support/Oppose:	oppose

Submitter Number: Submission: I oppose the Renewable Decision Requested: Withdraw and decline Ch			1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Decision Requested:	ŀ	Submission Number: lude other energy options.	) Inform other forms of	Support/Oppose:  of potentially renewable energy	oppose y sources e.g. solar, wave and water.
Submitter Number: Submission: I oppose the Renewable Decision Requested: Withdraw and decline Ch	1		1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: Submission: Wind power is a good ide Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Cha			1 nappropriate. Makara	Support/Oppose: a is an important recreation are	oppose ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
<b>Decision Requested:</b>	:	Submission Number: lude other energy options. Renewable Energy Rules, 2	) Inform other forms of	Support/Oppose:  of potentially renewable energy	oppose y sources e.g. solar, wave and water.
Submitter Number: Submission: Makara should not be sp Decision Requested: Withdraw Chapter 26 Rer	:		place to raise a famil	Support/Oppose: y and wind power will ruin this	oppose wonderful place.
Submitter Number: Submission: Wind power is a good ide Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Cha			1 nappropriate. Makara	Support/Oppose:	oppose ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.

Submitter Number: 272 Submission: Wind turbines are not suitable for the Decision Requested:	Submission Number: 1 ne hills of Wellington. There are no other renew	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	enewable Energy Rules.		
Submitter Number: 273 Submission: The rules will bring visual pollution	Submission Number: 1 to Makara. There will be a loss of amenity and	Support/Oppose:	oppose adequate for massive trucks required to bring turbines.
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	•		
Submitter Number: 274 Submission:	Submission Number:	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Council should leave well alone and <b>Decision Requested:</b> Do not proceed with the proposed re		ric and an important asset for the	e City. Windpower will ruin this wonderful place.
Submitter Number: 275 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		kara is an important recreation at	rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 276 Submission:	Submission Number:	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Wind power is a good idea in appro <b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		kara is an important recreation a	rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 277 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re			
Submitter Number: 278 Submission:	Submission Number:	Support/Oppose:	oppose
It is not a good idea to put wind turk <b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	bines on Wellington's rural hills. Makara is a sp enewable Energy Rules.	ecial area.	

Submitter Number: 279 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in ap Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26		Support/Oppose:  e. Makara is an important recreation	oppose area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 280 Submission: Wellington's hills are not approp Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26		Support/Oppose: override all other rules. Makara hills a	oppose are an important recreation area and should not be industrialised.
Submitter Number: 282 Submission: I support wind energy but oppos Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26		Support/Oppose: artz/Makara hills. Appropriate siting v	oppose would be in the Wairarapa where there is no people and plenty of wind.
Submitter Number: 283 Submission: Wind power is inappropriate on Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Ç	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	ds of capturing renewable energy.	Support/Oppose: cover Wellington's hills especially M	oppose akara/ Quartz hill in turbines. Landscape and heritage values of Makara need protecting.
Submitter Number: 285 Submission: Wellington is not an appropriate Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Submission Number: 1 site for wind power. The hills and coast are Renewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: e important for the City. Loss to high f	oppose for the amount of power generated.
Submitter Number: 286 Submission: Rural hills are inappropriate - rec Decision Requested: Decline and Withdraw Chapter 20	Submission Number: 1 creation/ landscape values should be maint 6 Renewable Energy Rule.	Support/Oppose:	oppose

Submitter Number: 287 Submission: Do not industrialise Makara with machine Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	Submission Number: 1 assive wind turbines. This rural/ country/ farmi	Support/Oppose:  ng community should stay as it i	oppose is. What about other energy sources?
Submitter Number: 288 Submission: These are only 'wind energy facility Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	Submission Number: 1  v rules'. Wellington's hills should not be made of the enewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose:  one big power station. Visual imp	oppose pact will result in a loss to the City.
Submitter Number: 289 Submission: No area in Wellington is suitable fo Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 290 Submission: It is not a good idea to put wind turn Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	Submission Number: 1 bines on Wellington's rural hills. Makara is a seenewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: pecial area.	oppose
Submitter Number: 291 Submission: It is not acceptable that these rules These rules are not satisfactory. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose: Plan. Do not cover the rural hills	oppose of Wellington in wind turbines. Wind turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/ Makara hills.
Submitter Number: 292 Submission: Wind turbines are not acceptable ovalues and character. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose: ules would encourage developer	oppose rs to apply for consents. The hills of Wellington should be protected to maintain amenity
	Noise from the turbines will make it unattractive		oppose d turbines. Wind power shouldn't be supported at expense of the local community. Makara

Submitter Number: Submission: They will be an eyesore to Decision Requested: Withdraw Chapter 26 Renew		1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submission: I oppose the Renewable Education Requested:	Submission Number: nergy Rules, Chapter 26. ter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	_1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submission: It is not a good idea to put Decision Requested:	Submission Number: wind turbines on Wellington's rural hi ter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	1 lls. Makara is a special	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submission: Renewable energy is the b Decision Requested:	Submission Number: est option, but in an area less valuab apter 26 Renewable Energy Rules, 2			oppose
Submission: It is not a good idea to put Decision Requested:	Submission Number: wind turbines on Wellington's rural hiter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submission: Wind power is a good idea  Decision Requested:	Submission Number: in appropriate places. City hills are inter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	1 nappropriate. Makara	Support/Oppose: is an important recreation are	oppose ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submission: Wind power is a good idea  Decision Requested:	Submission Number: in appropriate places. City hills are inter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	1 nappropriate. Makara	Support/Oppose: is an important recreation are	oppose ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.

Submitter Number: Submission: Wind power is a good id Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Ch	:	,	1 nappropriate. Makara	Support/Oppose: a is an important recreation are	oppose ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: Submission: It is not a good idea to p Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Ch	:	Submission Number: ines on Wellington's rural h newable Energy Rules.	1 Ills. Makara is a spec	Support/Oppose: ial area.	oppose
Submitter Number: Submission: It is not a good idea to p Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Ch	:	Submission Number: ines on Wellington's rural h	1 sills. Makara is a spec	Support/Oppose: ial area.	oppose
Submitter Number: Submission: It is not a good idea to p Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Ch	:	Submission Number: ines on Wellington's rural h newable Energy Rules.	1 Ills. Makara is a spec	Support/Oppose: ial area.	oppose
Submitter Number: Submission: It is not a good idea to p Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Ch	:	Submission Number: ines on Wellington's rural h newable Energy Rules.	1 sills. Makara is a spec	Support/Oppose: ial area.	oppose
Submitter Number: Submission: Wind power is a good id Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Ch	:		1 nappropriate. Makara	Support/Oppose: a is an important recreation are	oppose ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: Submission: Rural hills are inappropr Decision Requested: Decline and Withdraw Cl	:	Submission Number: tion/ landscape values shorenewable Energy Rules.	1 uld be maintained.	Support/Oppose:	oppose

Submitter Number: 308 Submission: I came to live in Makara to live in p Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 309 Submission: Wellington's hills are not appropria Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	·	Support/Oppose: erride all other rules. Makara hills are	oppose e an important recreation area and should not be industrialised.
Submitter Number: 310 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appropriate Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose:  Makara is an important recreation an	oppose rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 311 Submission: It is not acceptable that these rules These rules are not satisfactory. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose: ct Plan. Do not cover the rural hills o	oppose of Wellington in wind turbines. Wind turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/ Makara hills.
Submitter Number: 312 Submission: I support wind power but oppose the should be investigated. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose: belong on Wellington's hills. Ensure	oppose e turbines do not affect people/ communities. Alternatives such as solar/ methane gas
Submitter Number: 313 Submission: I support wind power but oppose the should be investigated. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose: belong on Wellington's hills. Ensure	oppose e turbines do not affect people/ communities. Alternatives such as solar/ methane gas
Submitter Number: 314 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy R Decision Requested: Withdraw and decline Chapter 26. F		Support/Oppose:	oppose

Submitter Number: 315 Submission: I oppose Chapter 26. Decision Requested: 1) Decline and withdraw Chapter 26		Support/Oppose:  k to include other forms of renewable en	oppose ergy.
it allows Meridian to progress.  Decision Requested:	ms of renewable energy and is there Energy Rules, 2) Establish a 'fair' pla	-	oppose gton City Council is hand in hand with Meridian Energy. By removing Quartz hill from overlay es of all energy sources with emphasis on energy conservation, 3) Remove Quartz/ Makara/
Submitter Number: 317 Submission: There is nothing relating to any other Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Ru  Decision Requested: Withdraw and decline Chapter 26, R	les, Chapter 26.	1 Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 319 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Ru Decision Requested: Withdraw and decline Chapter 26, R	les, Chapter 26.	1 Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 320 Submission: I oppose the Renewable Energy Ru Decision Requested: Withdraw and decline Chapter 26, R		1 Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 324 Submission: I choose to live in Makara because Decision Requested: Withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable En		Support/Oppose:	oppose

Submitter Number: 331 Submission:	Submission Number: 3	Support/Oppose:	support
	rted. The inclusion of NZS 6808:1998 (Acoust		nsents will be considered. The use of requirements and an assessment of any application irement of sound from wind turbine generators) ensures that any issues raised by other
Submitter Number: 332 Submission: The noise of the turbines could dam incompatible with the native bush at Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	nd birds of the region.	Support/Oppose: e area proposed for the wind fare	oppose m should be developed as a national park and recreational area. Wind turbines are
not require that they make rules for provision in the rural plan. The rules <b>Decision Requested:</b> 1) Amend renewable energy rules to	renewable energy Lip service has been paid to so do not recognise that the placement of wind particular form of renewable cts of such facilities. 4) Amend to ensure facilities.	o any form of consultation on the power can have adverse effects energy. 2) Remove any precede	oppose  cil can short circuit existing processes for proposed wind power installation. The RMA does use rules and no weight has been given to those impacted by these changes or the existing on human and local inhabitants.  Ince of the renewable energy rules over the rural and District Plan rules. 3) amend to reas with no local inhabitants (the exact distance to be determined by facility type and
	rate without disrupting the community. These r		oppose  ximity to the community, the effect on landscape, ecology and wildlife. There are other forts are being made regarding other energy sources. Makara has a wildness which many
to protect rural character and ameni	ity and impose reasonable limits on developme deridian) should not be allowed to control the d	ent. Rule 26.1 will negate the pro	oppose ovision will be applied to the total detriment of people and communities who rely on them ovisions of the rural area. The wind energy rules will allow the destruction of rural character e economic/ recreational detriment of the Makara community

Decision Requested:	Submission Number on Quartz Hill. Other renewable energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: need to be looked at.	oppose
Decision Requested:	Submission Number a in appropriate places. City hills are oter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: ra is an important recreation a	oppose  rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
<b>Decision Requested:</b>	Submission Number a in appropriate places. City hills are oter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: ra is an important recreation a	oppose  rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Decision Requested:	Submission Number a in appropriate places. City hills are oter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: ra is an important recreation a	oppose  rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Decision Requested:	Submission Number a in appropriate places. City hills are oter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: ra is an important recreation a	oppose  rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Decision Requested:	360 Submission Number a in appropriate places. City hills are oter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: ra is an important recreation a	oppose rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
<b>Decision Requested:</b>	361 Submission Numbe a in appropriate places. City hills are oter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: ra is an important recreation a	oppose  rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.

Submitter Number: 362 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appro Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose: ara is an important recreation an	oppose rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 363 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appro Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose: ara is an important recreation an	oppose rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
to protect rural character and amer	oity and impose reasonable limits on developme Meridian) should not be allowed to control the di	nt. Rule 26.1 will negate the pro	oppose  ovision will be applied to the total detriment of people and communities who rely on them ovisions of the rural area. The wind energy rules will allow the destruction of rural character e economic/ recreational detriment of the Makara community.
Submitter Number: 365 Submission: The proposed rules should not ove Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	Submission Number: 1  rride all other rules in the District Plan.  enewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 366 Submission: Avoid reducing natural areas frequencesion Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose: areas e.g. Terawhiti and Baring	oppose g Head are accessible for the construction of turbines.
Submitter Number: 367 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appro Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose: ara is an important recreation a	oppose rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 368 Submission: Need to look for better options like Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	Submission Number:  1 solar power and currents. Protect our area from	Support/Oppose:  n man made industrial sites.	oppose

Submitter Number: 369 Submission: Do not cover the rural hills of Wellin Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		1 Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 370 Submission: I chose to live in the country to enjocan see them. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose: ifestyle. Wind turbines are noisy and offen	oppose sive. Wind turbines will make Makara and industrial wasteland. Put turbines where no one
Submitter Number: 371 Submission: These are no renewable energy rule environmental cost, visual pollution Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	, noise pollution and infrasound ris		oppose s in the District Plan. A wind farm at Quartz Hill can not be justified because of the
Submitter Number: 372 Submission: Do not ruin and pollute the beautifu Decision Requested: Withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable En		Support/Oppose: ndmills. The WCC has no right to make ch	oppose langes to bring about what they want.
Submitter Number: 373 Submission: Council plans should not be able to Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose riate for Makara.
Submitter Number: 374 Submission:	Submission Number: ity's rural hills and town belt. Maka	Support/Oppose:	oppose turbines. Wind power should not be allowed to override amenity values and concerns of the
Submitter Number: 375 Submission: It creates a possibility for Council to Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose

Submitter Number: 376 Submission: There is only one place we can get area they should be specifically exc Decision Requested: Withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable En	luded from Makara/ Quartz hill.	Support/Oppose: om Owhiro Bay to Titahi Bay - that is M	oppose lakara beach, the area is special and not renewable	e. Wind power stations will devastate this
other rules in the District Plan. Wind	I power should not be encouraged ramount. Other methods of renew		oppose ind turbines. Wind turbines are inappropriate on Qu v and aspirations of Quartz Hill Charitable Trust to d d.	
Submitter Number: 378 Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are inappecision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose	
other rules in the District Plan. Wind	I power should not be encouraged ramount. Other methods of renew		oppose ind turbines. Wind turbines are inappropriate on Qu and aspirations of Quartz Hill Charitable Trust to o d.	
rules would override all other rules i Wellington's rural areas are protecte <b>Decision Requested:</b>	n the District Plan and override an ed from inappropriately sited devel	y protection of the special characteristi opments.	oppose te on Wellington's hilltops. Wellington's hills define tics of the rural area; the ridgelines/ hilltops; noise re- tider full range of renewable energy options.	
Submitter Number: 381 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appro Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:  ppriate. Makara is an important recreat	oppose tion area. Solar and other renewable options shou	ld be investigated.

Submitter Number: 382 Submission: Inappropriate. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 383 Submission: Do not cover the hills of Wellingtor Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	Submission Number:  1 n in wind turbines. Wind turbines are inappropriate. Renewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: te on Quartz/ Makara hills. This	oppose is a backwards step.
Submitter Number: 384 Submission: These are not renewable energy reduction Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 385 Submission: The proposal rules would override Decision Requested: Withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable E	Submission Number: 1 all other District Plan rules - this is not acceptabenergy Rules.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
other rules in the District Plan. Win	nd power should not be encouraged at the expen aramount. Other methods of renewable energy	ise of the local community and	oppose rbines. Wind turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/ Makara hills. The rules will override all aspirations of Quartz Hill Charitable Trust to create a public park. Protection of heritage
Submitter Number: 388 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appropersion Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose: ara is an important recreation a	oppose rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
	rules should ensure the protection of the rural of		oppose ection of the rural character of Makara. The Council should consider the views of the local Terawhiti/ Ohariu areas.

Submitter Number: 390 Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are inated to be provide the submission of the rural hills of Wellington are inated to be provided to be pr		1 Support/Oppo	se: oppose	
Submitter Number: 391 Submission: Makara has an unspoilt and natura from windmills. Property values an Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	d saleability would be affected.	1 Support/Oppo in history especially Quartz hill. It is		r to expect residents to put up with sight and noise
Submitter Number: 391 Submission: Windmills should not be in Makara Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		2 Support/Oppo	se: oppose	
Submitter Number: 392 Submission: I like to ride my mountain bike arou Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	,,	Support/Oppo		
Decision Requested:			storic significance. Terawhiti ridge and valley are onsider a full range of renewable energy options.	a possible site.
Submitter Number: 394 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appr Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Opporopriate. Makara is an important rec	reation area. Solar and other renewable options	s should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 395 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appr Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Opporopriate. Makara is an important red	rse: oppose creation area. Solar and other renewable options	s should be investigated.

Submitter Number: 396 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	priate places. City hills are ina	appropriate. Makara	is an important recreation are	ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	enewable Energy Rules			
	onable Energy (taleof			
Submitter Number: 397 Submission:	Submission Number:	<u>1</u>	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	priate places. City hills are ina	appropriate. Makara	is an important recreation are	ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	enewable Energy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 398 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
		rses will be scared of	f the sight and sound of the tu	urbines. The turbines will ruin the rural character and rural area. Put the turbines where no
Decision Requested: Decline Chapter 26 Renewable Energy	gy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 399 Submission:	Submission Number:	1 Makara	Support/Oppose:	oppose ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Decision Requested:		арргорпасе. Ічакага	is an important recreation are	ea. Solal and other renewable options should be investigated.
Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	enewable Energy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 400 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	n the District Plan and overrid	le any protection of t		Vellington's hilltops. Wellington's hills define the City and make it special. The proposed he rural area; the ridgelines/ hilltops; noise rules. The rules need more work to ensure
<b>Decision Requested:</b> 1) Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Renewable Energy Rules. 2)	more work be done	by Council to fully consider fu	Il range of renewable energy options.
Submitter Number: 401 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	priate places. City hills are ina	appropriate. Makara	is an important recreation are	ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	newable Energy Rules.			

Submitter Number: 402	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submission:		J	
•		, , , ,	s on Makara Road. The role of the school may decline due to these proposed rules. Makara Makara Road i.e. before 9.00 am and 3.00pm (school start and finish).
Decision Requested:			
Decline Chapter 26 Renewable Ener	gy Rules.		
Submitter Number: 403 Submission: Keep hilltops rural and uncluttered.	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Decision Requested:			
Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	enewable Energy Rules.		
Submitter Number: 404 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
-	priate places. City hills are inappropria	ate. Makara is an important recreation	area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	newable Energy Rules		
	niewabie Energy Raico.		
Submitter Number: 406 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
•	ect birds and animal life. They are an	eyesore for visitors and residents. Sub	osidise solar energy panels and use natural light.
<b>Decision Requested:</b> 1) Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Renewable Energy Rules, 2) More wo	ork be done by Council to fully conside	r a full range of renewable energy options.
	,	d	3 07 1
Submitter Number: 407 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	areas still unspoilt. This is a little bit of	f paradise close to the City. It is access	sible to all people. Solar energy is the only environmentally sensible option.
Decision Requested:	·		
1) Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Renewable Energy Rules. 2) Do more	e work to fully consider a full range of r	enewable energy options.
Submitter Number: 408 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Wind power is a good idea but there	e are other more suitable sites in NZ. \	Wellington's hills/ coasts are not appro	priate sites as they are valued recreational areas.
Decision Requested:	nowahla Energy Pulca		
Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	enewable Energy Rules.		
Submitter Number: 409 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	priate places. City hills are inappropria	ate. Makara is an important recreation	area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	newable Energy Rules.		

Submitter Number: 410 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in approximate approximate and withdraw Chapter 26 February 2015	stigated.		oppose om people. Makara is an important recreation area for the City. Solar and other
Submission: Wind power is a good idea in approper Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 F			oppose  Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 411 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appropersion Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 F			oppose  Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 411 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in apprenewable options should be invested: Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 F	stigated.		oppose om people. Makara is an important recreation area for the City. Solar and other
Submitter Number: 412 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appr Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 F			oppose  Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 412 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in approximate approximate and withdraw Chapter 26 F	stigated.		oppose om people. Makara is an important recreation area for the City. Solar and other

Submitter Number: 413 Submission: Makara has an unspoilt and natura from windmills. Property values an Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	d saleability would be affected.	Support/Oppose:  ory especially Quartz hill. It is not an a	oppose appropriate site for windmills. It is not fair to expect residents to put up with sight and noise
Submitter Number: 413 Submission: Windmills should not be in Makara Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	Submission Number: 2 , Makara is a special area.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 414 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appro Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose:  e. Makara is an important recreation a	oppose area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 415 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appro Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose:   a. Makara is an important recreation a	oppose area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 416 Submission: Do not allow wind turbines on the Clocal community.  Decision Requested: Withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable E		Support/Oppose: unique and not a place for industrial to	oppose urbines. Wind power should not be allowed to override amenity values and concerns of the
Submitter Number: 417 Submission: Visual pollution. Makara is scenic a Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	Submission Number: 1 and has the atmosphere of a fishing village enewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: ge of yesterday.	oppose
Submitter Number: 418 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appro Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose:  e. Makara is an important recreation a	oppose area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.

Submitter Number: 419 Submission: These are not renewable energy rul other rules in the District Plan. Wind values of Makara hills should be pa Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	d power should not be encoura ramount. Other methods of re	aged at the expense	of the local community and as	oppose  oines. Wind turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/ Makara hills. The rules will override all spirations of Quartz Hill Charitable Trust to create a public park. Protection of heritage
Submitter Number: 420 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appro Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		ppropriate. Makara	Support/Oppose: is an important recreation are	oppose ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 421 Submission: It is very inefficient producing 40% hill and Makara. Choose unpopulate Decision Requested: Withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable En	ed areas.	1 reasonable to proce	Support/Oppose: eed. Solar energy is preferred	oppose  Do not destroy the Makara area. The visual effect and noise are inappropriate for Quartz
Submitter Number: 422 Submission: Do not put wind turbines on hills of Decision Requested: Withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable En		visually intrusive. Th	Support/Oppose: ere could be health problems	oppose s.
Submission: Do not put wind turbines on hills of Decision Requested: Withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable En		1 visually intrusive. Th	Support/Oppose: ere could be health problems	oppose s.
Submitter Number: 423 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appro Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		ppropriate. Makara	Support/Oppose: is an important recreation are	oppose ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 424 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appro Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		1 ppropriate. Makara	Support/Oppose: is an important recreation are	oppose ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.

Submitter Number: 425 Submission: Put turbines in appropriate place Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Submission Number:  es, not near houses or recreationa  Renewable Energy Rules.		Support/Oppose: hould be protected. Avid nois	oppose se and visual pollution.
	and saleability would be affected.		Support/Oppose:	oppose propriate site for windmills. It is not fair to expect residents to put up with sight and noise
Submitter Number: 426 Submission: Windmills should not be in Maka Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26		2	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 427 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in ap Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26			Support/Oppose: s an important recreation are	oppose ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 428 Submission: Quartz hill is an inappropriate loc Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26			Support/Oppose: here is extensive land to the	oppose south e.g. Terawhiti which would be more appropriate.
Submitter Number: 429 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in ap Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26			Support/Oppose: s an important recreation are	oppose ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 430 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in ap Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26			Support/Oppose: s an important recreation are	oppose ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.

Submitter Number: 431 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Wind power is a good idea in appro Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		te. Makara is an important recreatior	n area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 432 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in approper Decision Requested:	Submission Number: 1 opriate places. City hills are inappropria	Support/Oppose: te. Makara is an important recreation	oppose a area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	Renewable Energy Rules.		
Submitter Number: 433 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
		te. Makara is an important recreation	n area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 434 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		te. Makara is an important recreation	a area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 435 Submission: Not appropriate on Wellington's run Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 436 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose  done to give locals comfort that a) there will be no adverse noise impacts b) there will be no
adverse visual impacts or c) There  Decision Requested:  Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	e is no risk to birds.	nasound. Not enough work has been	done to give locals conflort that a) there will be no adverse hoise impacts b) there will be no
Submitter Number: 437 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Wind power is a good idea in appro Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		te. Iviakara is an important recreation	n area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.

Submitter Number: 439 Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are inap	Submission Number: 1 propriate for wind turbines.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	newable Energy Rules.		
Submitter Number: 440 Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are inap Decision Requested:		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re Submitter Number: 441		Support/Opposes	
Submission: Those changes cannot be made with	hout full community consultation and by ove d. Makara beach and recreation area is plea		oppose rural character of Makara should remain unspoilt and be protected. The Makara/ Quartz/ ot want to hear the noises of turbines.
Submitter Number: 442 Submission: This is the only place to get access are many other sites that would not Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	affect a community and way of life.	Support/Oppose: s special. Wind power stations wil	oppose I devastate the area. The landscape should be protected. Bird life will be reduced. There
Submitter Number: 443 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Additional to going for solar cities,	we should learn from them.
Submitter Number: 444 Submission: Makara has a unspoiled and natural saleability will be eroded.	Submission Number: 1 character and is steeped in history especial	Support/Oppose:	oppose riate site for a wind farm as noise and visual pollution will result. Property values and
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	newable Energy Rules.		
Decision Requested:	Submission Number: 1  priate places. City hills are inappropriate. Management of the present of	Support/Oppose:  akara is an important recreation a	oppose area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.

acceptable.	447 Is of Wellingt	Submission Number:	s. These are not rene	Support/Oppose: ewable energy rules they are v	oppose wind energy facility rules. The proposed rules would override all other rules - this is not
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Cha	apter 26 Ren	ewable Energy Rules.			
	nere there are	e no people or important ru			oppose be needed to generate any significant power. Put turbines in other areas of Wellington
Submitter Number: Submission: It will spoil the environme Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Cha		Submission Number: and take away from the beewable Energy Rules.	auty of the area.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: Submission: It will spoil the environme Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Cha		Submission Number:  and take away the beauty ewable Energy Rules.	of the area.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: Submission: These are wind energy ru horses/ bird life/ coast. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Cha	·	·	1 ater? Wind/ industrial	Support/Oppose: sites are not efficient and the	oppose re are other options. If we must have turbines site them in places that are not near people/
Submitter Number: Submission: Wind power is a good ide Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Cha			1 nappropriate. Makara	Support/Oppose: a is an important recreation are	oppose ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: Submission: There are many other via Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Cha	·		1 een mentioned. Austr	Support/Oppose: ralia is going for solar cities, w	oppose re should learn from them.

Submitter Number: 455 Submission: Do not cover the rural hills of Wel acceptable.	Submission Number:		Support/Oppose: able energy rules they are w	oppose ind energy facility rules. The proposed rules would override all other rules - this is not
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 I	Renewable Energy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 456 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in app Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26			Support/Oppose: an important recreation area	oppose  a. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 457 Submission: Wind power may be good but turb Do not reduce the rural area to an Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	industrial site, there are other si	/ay from people/ recre		oppose not belong on Wellington's hills, they will adversely effect the environment (noise/ visual). e energy sources e.g. solar.
Submitter Number: 458 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in app Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26			Support/Oppose: an important recreation area	oppose  a. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 459 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in app Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26			Support/Oppose: an important recreation are:	oppose  a. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 460 Submission: Do not cover the hills of Wellingto Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 leads	Submission Number:		Support/Oppose: Quartz/ Makara hills. This is	oppose s a backwards step.
Submitter Number: 461 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in app Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 leads of the submitted in the submitted			Support/Oppose: an important recreation are:	oppose  a. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.

Submitter Number: Submission: The rural hills of Welling Decision Requested Decline and withdraw Ch	:	Submission Number: opropriate for wind turbines. enewable Energy Rules.	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: Submission: Wind power is a good ic Decision Requested Decline and withdraw Ch	:		1 es in NZ. Wellington	Support/Oppose: 's hills/ coasts are not appropri	oppose ate sites as they are valued recreational areas.
Submitter Number: Submission: The rural hills of Welling Decision Requested Decline and withdraw Ch	:	Submission Number: opropriate for wind turbines. enewable Energy Rules.	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: Submission: The rural hills of Welling Decision Requested Decline and withdraw Ch	:	Submission Number: opropriate for wind turbines. enewable Energy Rules.	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: Submission: Wind mills on rural hills Decision Requested Decline and withdraw Ch	:		1 nes are inappropriat	Support/Oppose: e on Quartz/ Makara hills. They	oppose / will spoil the natural area and quietness.
Submitter Number: Submission: Wind power is a good ic Decision Requested Decline and withdraw Ch	:	, ,	1 nappropriate. Maka	Support/Oppose: ra is an important recreation ar	oppose ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: Submission: It is inappropriate to have Decision Requested Decline and withdraw Ch	:	Submission Number: as near to houses and commenewable Energy Rules.	1 nunities, they spoil th	Support/Oppose: ne landscape.	oppose

Submitter Number: 470 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in approper Decision Requested:	Submission Number:  opriate places. City hills are inapprop	Support/Oppose: riate. Makara is an important recreation	oppose n area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	enewable Energy Rules.		
Submitter Number: 471 Submission: These are not renewable energy ru		Support/Oppose:	oppose to metropolitan cities and recreational areas.
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	tenewable Energy Rules.		
Submitter Number: 472 Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are ina	Submission Number: appropriate for wind turbines.	1 Support/Oppose:	oppose
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	lenewable Energy Rules.		
Submitter Number: 473 Submission:	Submission Number:	1 Support/Oppose:	oppose
These are not renewable energy ru <b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	•	nes. Wind turbines should not be close	to metropolitan cities and recreational areas.
Submitter Number: 474 Submission:		1 Support/Oppose:	oppose
Makara has an unspoilt and natura from windmills. Property values an <b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	d saleability would be affected.	history especially Quartz hill. It is not an	appropriate site for windmills. It is not fair to expect residents to put up with sight and noise
Submitter Number: 474 Submission: Windmills should not be in Makara		2 Support/Oppose:	oppose
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R			
Submitter Number: 475 Submission:	Submission Number:	1 Support/Oppose:	oppose
Do not cover Wellington's hills with <b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		c City, a huge industrial site will deter vi	sitors.

Submitter Number: Submission: Do not cover the hills of Wellin Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter	gton in wind turbines. Wind turbines			oppose a backwards step.
Submitter Number: 478 Submission: Do not cover the hills of Wellin Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter	gton in wind turbines. Wind turbines			oppose a backwards step.
Submitter Number: Submission: Wind towers should not be site Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter	ed in view of residential areas. Solar		pport/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 480 Submission: There are many other viable of Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter in the submitted in the subm	ptions that do not seem to have been			oppose should learn from them.
Submitter Number: Submission: Wind power is a good idea in a Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter is				oppose  . Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 48, Submission: Wind turbines on Wellington's Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter	hills can be avoided. They will ruin V			oppose ellington is a scenic City, don't ruin it.
Submitter Number: 48: Submission: I oppose Renewable Energy R Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter	Lule Chapter 26.	1 Su	pport/Oppose:	oppose

fiscal environmental impacts, health <b>Decision Requested:</b>	i issues, bird state, best practise re		n a lack of consultation. A lack of analysis on the following matters: efficiency, viability, social, radar migratory and native species. Alternatives have not been sufficiently assessed.
Submitter Number: 485 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appropagation Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose: priate. Makara is an important recreati	oppose tion area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 486 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appropriate appropriate and withdraw Chapter 26 Research		Support/Oppose: priate. Makara is an important recreati	oppose tion area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 487 Submission: I oppose Renewable Energy Rule C Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	·	1 Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 488 Submission: Do not cover the hills of Wellington Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose: e inappropriate on Quartz/ Makara hills.	
Submitter Number: 489 Submission: Wind turbines are inappropriate on Opecision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	·	Support/Oppose:	•
Submitter Number: 490 Submission: They will ruin Quartz hill and Makara onto the community. Makara is beau Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	utiful, do not pollute with wind turbi	Support/Oppose: atted coastal areas where these monstrones.	oppose rosities can be sited. It is not acceptable to put turbines on these hills. Do not push wind power

Submitter Number: 492 Submission: There is only one place we can get area they should be specifically exception Requested: Withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable En	cluded from Makara/ Quartz hill.	Support/Oppose: whiro Bay to Titahi Bay - that is Makara	oppose a beach, the area is special and not renewable. Wind power stations will devastate this
Submitter Number: 494 Submission: Wind turbines will cause visual and Plan Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	·	Support/Oppose: are more appropriate places away from	oppose rural communities. It is unacceptable that these rules override the other rules in the District
Submitter Number: 495 Submission: The new winds are too pro wind pounpopulated areas Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose: ara viable makes you wonder how bias	oppose sed Council is. How will the windmills survive an earthquake. I am not against windpower in
Submitter Number: 496 Submission: These are not renewable energy ru Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	Submission Number: 1 lles but wind energy facility rules. Wind to enewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: urbines on Quartz hill are not a good ic	oppose lea because they are ugly and noisy.
Submission: Council seems to be changing the Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	·	Support/Oppose: e more readily accepted. A financial ar	oppose nalysis and profit calculation is provided in the original submission.
Submitter Number: 498 Submission: The Renewable Energy Rules shoutraffic on narrow windy roads, visual energy. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Recognitions.	al impact, this rule change will have deva	Support/Oppose: rules or any other rules. Concerns includes astating effects on peaceful tranquil and	oppose lude increased noise levels, impact on wildlife and domestic animals, increase of industrial d beautiful places. Those rules refer only to wind energy and not other forms of renewable

<u>.</u>		_			
Submitter Number: 499 Submission:	Submission Number:	1 Support/Oppose:	: oppose		
The proposed rules should not ov	verride the current protection rules. V	Vhere else can you travel from mounta	ains to the sea and see mother nature surrounding you.		
Decision Requested:					
1) Decline and withdraw Chapter 2	26 Renewable Energy Rules. 2) Mor	e analysis required of alternative rener	wable energy sources. 3) More consultation with the community.		
Submitter Number: 500 Submission: I oppose the renewable energy ru	Submission Number:	1 Support/Oppose	: oppose		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	iles.				
Decision Requested:  1) Decline and withdraw Chanter:	26 Renewable Energy Rules 2) Incl	ide other forms of notential renewable	e energy sources e.g. solar, wave/ water.		
T) Beomie and Withdraw Chapter A	To Renewable Energy Raice. 2) more	ado otrior formo or potentiar foriewable	, only sources e.g. solar, wave, water.		
Submitter Number: 501 Submission:	Submission Number:	1 Support/Oppose:	: oppose		
There is no reason to make spec			energy. Wind energy counts for less than 1% of our total energy needs, it is costly to install and with regard to other forms of renewable energy.		
Decision Requested:		·	•		
Withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable	Energy Rules.				
Submitter Number: 502	Submission Number:	1 Support/Oppose	: oppose		
Submission:		<b>—</b>			
The rural hills of Wellington are in	appropriate for wind turbines.				
Decision Requested:  Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Penewahla Energy Pules				
Decline and Withdraw Chapter 20	Treflewable Effergy Trules.				
Submitter Number: 503 Submission:	Submission Number:	1 Support/Oppose:	: oppose		
The rural hills of Wellington are in	nappropriate for wind turbines.				
Decision Requested:					
Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Renewable Energy Rules.				
Submitter Number: 504	Submission Number:	1 Support/Oppose	: oppose		
		es. This will lead to the destruction of	Wellington's beautiful landscape. There is a place for wind energy but not where it destroys		
lifestyle, natural beauty and touris	<b>3</b> 111.				
Decision Requested:  1) Decline Chapter 26 Renewable	Energy Rules 2) Undertake a true	study with all impacts taken into accou	unt .		
1) Decline Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules. 2) Undertake a true study with all impacts taken into account.					
Submitter Number: 505 Submission:	Submission Number:	1 Support/Oppose:	••		
These rules specifically favour wi Wellington's outstanding natural	ind turbines which are currently being beauty. There is a place for turbines	g promoted for the vested interest of s but not on Wellington's hills.	pecific parties. Anyone with serious intentions would not allow the potential for desecration of		
Decision Requested:	,	<b>3</b>			
-	Energy Rules. 2) Examine other so	urces of energy.			

Submission:  These are not renewable energy rules rules would override all other rules in t	he District Plan and override any protection		oppose  Wellington's hilltops. Wellington's hills define the City and make it special. The proposed the rural area; the ridgelines/ hilltops; noise rules. The rules need more work to ensure
Decision Requested:	from inappropriately sited developments. enewable Energy Rules. 2) more work be do	ne by Council to fully consider f	ull range of renewable energy options.
Submitter Number: 507 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
rules would override all other rules in t			Wellington's hilltops. Wellington's hills define the City and make it special. The proposed the rural area; the ridgelines/ hilltops; noise rules. The rules need more work to ensure
<u>•</u>	enewable Energy Rules. 2) more work be do	ne by Council to fully consider f	ull range of renewable energy options.
Submitter Number: 508 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
These are not renewable energy rules rules would override all other rules in t			Wellington's hilltops. Wellington's hills define the City and make it special. The proposed the rural area; the ridgelines/ hilltops; noise rules. The rules need more work to ensure
<b>Decision Requested:</b> 1) Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	enewable Energy Rules. 2) more work be do	ne by Council to fully consider f	ull range of renewable energy options.
	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Rene			
Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
I oppose Renewable Energy Rule Cha	apter 26.		
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Rene	ewable Energy Rules.		
Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Rene		ikara milis. Quanz mili is a signin	cant regional/ national landform - do not destroy it with wind turbines.

Submitter Number: 512 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
These are not renewable energy rule alternatives. Energy saving must be <b>Decision Requested:</b>				giving preference to these structures when no balanced view has been given on other cial scenic areas close to residents.
Withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Ene	ergy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 513 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
These are not renewable energy rule rules would override all other rules in Wellington's rural areas are protected.	the District Plan and override	any protection of the		ellington's hilltops. Wellington's hills define the City and make it special. The proposed e rural area; the ridgelines/ hilltops; noise rules. The rules need more work to ensure
<b>Decision Requested:</b> 1) Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 F	Renewable Energy Rules. 2) m	ore work be done by	Council to fully consider full	range of renewable energy options.
Submitter Number: 514 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
These are not renewable energy rule	the District Plan and override	any protection of the		ellington's hilltops. Wellington's hills define the City and make it special. The proposed e rural area; the ridgelines/ hilltops; noise rules. The rules need more work to ensure
Decision Requested: 1) Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 F		·	Council to fully consider full	range of renewable energy options.
Submitter Number: 515 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
There are no other renewable energy around beautiful recreational areas.	y mentioned but wind, which se	eems biased. What a	about solar/ wave/ current po	wer. Other options need looking at. Wind power is inefficient. Do not put turbines in and
Decision Requested: 1) Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 F	Renewable Energy Rules. 2) De	o more work to fully	consider a full range of renev	wable energy options.
Submitter Number: 516 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
The noise standard NZS:1998 (Acou The wind turbine noise standard was in the rural environment. The current	written for energy companies District Plan noise requirementain the noise within the prope	and is designed to p nts provides that the erty boundary - the po	provide for the known and exp noise levels that are permitte pint of compliance is measure	significantly different from the rural area noise standard as set out in the District Plan. pected noise that wind turbines will make rather than the reasonable noise that is usually ed must be contained within the property that produces the noise. By contrast the wind ed at the existing houses on surrounding properties. The people that have had turbines tally fatiguing and causes stress.
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Rer	newable Energy Rules.			

Submission:	ubmission Number: 3	Support/Oppose:	oppose
amenity and impose reasonable limits or	n development. Rule 26.1 will negate the constructed throughout the rural are specific energy rules.	ne provisions of the rural area rules	should be able to rely on those rules that recognise and protect the rural character and s that were achieved through four years of community consultation. Chapter 26 amounts to in NZ obtained consent without opposition by using existing District Plan rules and
	ubmission Number:	Support/Oppose:	opposo
Submission:	ubinission Number.	Support/Oppose.	oppose
These are not renewable energy rules by wind turbines are not intruding on rural control of the second seco			see the rural hills of Wellington covered in wind turbines. In the Manawatu placement of
Decision Requested:	9		
Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renew	able Energy Rules.		
Submitter Number: 518 Submission:	ubmission Number:	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	District Plan and override any protect	ion of the special characteristics of	Wellington's hilltops. Wellington's hills define the City and make it special. The proposed f the rural area; the ridgelines/ hilltops; noise rules. The rules need more work to ensure
Decision Requested: 1) Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Rend	ewable Energy Rules. 2) more work be	done by Council to fully consider t	full range of renewable energy options.
Submitter Number: 519 S	ubmission Number:	Support/Oppose:	oppose
These are not renewable energy rules. T	District Plan and override any protect	ion of the special characteristics of	Wellington's hilltops. Wellington's hills define the City and make it special. The proposed f the rural area; the ridgelines/ hilltops; noise rules. The rules need more work to ensure
Decision Requested:			
1) Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Ren	ewable Energy Rules. 2) more work be	done by Council to fully consider t	full range of renewable energy options.
1) Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Rend	ewable Energy Rules. 2) more work be ubmission Number:	done by Council to fully consider to Support/Oppose:	full range of renewable energy options.  oppose
1) Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Rend Submitter Number: 521 S Submission: NZ should be looking/ investigating all all	ubmission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	

Submitter Number: 522 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Makara is a scenic and attractive be reduced. I am concerned for the			ea surrounded by industrial tu	urbines. The rural character and peace and quiet of Makara is valued. Property values will
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Renewable Energy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 523 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
I am not opposed to wind power need to be investigated.	or alternative energy but am opp	oosed to Chapter 26	as it applies to Quartz Hill. M	lakara is an important recreational and historic area. Solar and other renewable options
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Renewable Energy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 524 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
		property boundary. I	do not oppose alternative end	ergy sources but do not consider it necessary to locate them in populated areas. Makara is
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Renewable Energy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 525 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	rules but wind energy facility rul	es. It is not acceptab	le that these rules will overric	de all other rules. Windpower should not be encouraged at expense of the local
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Renewable Energy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 527 Submission:	Submission Number:	_1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
I oppose any rules permitting the	installation of wind turbines on	Quartz/ Makara hills.		
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Renewable Energy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 528 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Wellington is a special place. The <b>Decision Requested:</b>	ere are many options for renewa	able energy e.g. solar	/ water. Don't put turbines ne	ar people/ City/ parks they are ugly and inefficient.
Decline and withdraw Chapter 26	Renewable Energy Rules.			

Submission: These are wind facility rule Decision Requested:	Submission Number: s what about solar/ wave power/ curr ter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.		upport/Oppose: destroyed much overseas b	oppose peauty.
Submission: Other options need to be lo Decision Requested:	Submission Number:  booked at not just wind. Do not put wind ter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.		upport/Oppose:  Id Wellington's recreational	oppose areas they are eyesores. Lets go solar/ water.
Submission: Look at other options not ju Decision Requested:	Submission Number: ust wind. Protect our recreational area sapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules. 2	as. Turbines are ugly nois		oppose them near City people or recreation areas. Parks and beaches are very special.
Submission: Wind power is a good idea  Decision Requested:	Submission Number: in appropriate places. City hills are inter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.		upport/Oppose: an important recreation area	oppose  a. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submission: Do not cover the cities recorded:  Decision Requested:	Submission Number: reational areas with industrial sites. Puter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.		upport/Oppose:	oppose
Submission: Do not cover the hills of Wo Decision Requested:	Submission Number: ellington in wind turbines. Wind turbineter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.		upport/Oppose: Quartz/ Makara hills. This is	oppose s a backwards step.
Submission: Wind power is a good idea  Decision Requested:	Submission Number: in appropriate places. City hills are inter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.		upport/Oppose: an important recreation area	oppose  a. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.

Submitter Number: 536 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appropersion Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose: ara is an important recreation a	oppose area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 537 Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are ina Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 538 Submission: Should be sited where less intrusive Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 539 Submission: I value the tranquil rural area withon Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	Submission Number: 1 out noise and turbines on the ridgelines. tenewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 540 Submission: Property values will decrease, I values of Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	Submission Number: 1  lue my peace and quiet. Visual and noise polluti tenewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: on in a tranquil area. 106 metre	oppose es high turbines are monstrous eyesores.
Submitter Number: 541 Submission: These rules will help turbines come Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	Submission Number: 1 e to Makara. I do not want wind turbines in Maka	Support/Oppose: ara, they are ugly and will mear	oppose  n more trucks/ traffic and noise.
Submitter Number: 542 Submission: The rules will favour wind farms, the users around Makara. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	·	Support/Oppose: and Quartz hill. There are other	oppose sites around Wellington that would not interfere with lifestyles of families and recreational

Submitter Number: 543 Submission: The hills of Wellington are not appropublic. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:  an important recreation area for t	oppose he City. The landscape is valued. We need to retain this area for the enjoyment of the
Submitter Number: 544 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appropriate and withdraw Chapter 26 Reference		Support/Oppose: akara is an important recreation a	oppose  urea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 545 Submission: Inappropriate. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 546 Submission: These rules are not inclusive of alte Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	Submission Number: 1  rnative forms of renewable energy except with the energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: ind turbines and would unfairly ov	oppose erride the existing District Plan rules.
Submitter Number: 547 Submission: Makara property values will decreas Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	Submission Number: 1 se and there will be an increase in traffic. The enewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: e height of the turbines will be offe	oppose ensive in a beautiful rural country setting.
Submitter Number: 548 Submission: There is no need for these structure Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	Submission Number: 1 s to be visual on our ridgelines when there a enewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: are other sites which wont effect a	oppose nyone.
Submitter Number: 549 Submission: Encourage solar options and put tur Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose

Submission: Do not put turbines around the Well  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose: e energy rules they are only wind rules.	oppose What about solar and water currents?
Submitter Number: 551 Submission: Makara has an unspoilt and natural from windmills. Property values and Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	saleability would be affected.	Support/Oppose: story especially Quartz hill. It is not an ap	oppose oppopriate site for windmills. It is not fair to expect residents to put up with sight and noise
Submitter Number: 551 Submission: Windmills should not be in Makara, Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	·	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 552 Submission: Renewable energy - yes! These rule industrial sites in and around the Ci Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	ty's recreational areas.	Support/Oppose: /hat about other options? Turbines are o	oppose egradation and inefficient. Solar or water/ current power is not mentioned. Don't put huge
	c are not affected. The rules are unsati		oppose priate for Makara and override our rural area rules. Wind turbines should be sited in areas
Submitter Number: 554 Submission:	Submission Number: 1 aging householders to invest in solar e	Support/Oppose: energy and power saving measures. Incl	oppose ude requirements for energy efficient design and incentives for solar heating in construction

Submitter Number: 555 Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are inappecision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 556 Submission: When WCC has agreed and support Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose known to be a problem with bird strike. They are noisy and ruin a site.
Submitter Number: 557 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appro Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose: riate. Makara is an important recreation	oppose area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 558 Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are inappecision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	ppropriate for wind turbines.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 559 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appro Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	priate places. City hills are inappropr	Support/Oppose: iate. Makara is an important recreation	oppose area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
	t be placed in appropriate places. Ci igated. Solar initiatives on homes wo	Support/Oppose:  ty hills are inappropriate they need to be build result in a lot less power generation	oppose sited well away from people. Makara is an important recreation area. Solar and other being required.
Submitter Number: 560 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appro Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose: riate. Makara is an important recreation	oppose area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.

Submitter Number: 560 Submission: Wind power is a good idea but must renewable options should be investion. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Recognition.	gated. Solar initiatives on homes	Support/Oppose:  City hills are inappropriate they need to would result in a lot less power generation	oppose  be sited well away from people. Makara is an important recreation area. Solar and other ion being required.	
Submitter Number: 561 Submission: Wind power should not be encourage Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	,	Support/Oppose:	oppose	
Submitter Number: 562 Submission: Wind power should not be encourage Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	•	Support/Oppose:  nmunities. More work needs to be done	oppose ne. These rules are unsatisfactory and override all other rules in the District Plan.	
Submitter Number: 563 Submission: Quartz hill should be preserved for a Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:  a conservation. Use Terawhiti station for	oppose for a wind farm.	
Submitter Number: 564 Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are inap Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		1 Support/Oppose:	oppose	
Submitter Number: 565 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appropriate appropriate and withdraw Chapter 26 Research		Support/Oppose: priate. Makara is an important recreation	oppose ion area. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.	
Submitter Number: 566 Submission: Makara has an unspoilt and natural from windmills. Property values and Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	saleability would be affected.	Support/Oppose:  n history especially Quartz hill. It is not a	oppose an appropriate site for windmills. It is not fair to expect residents to put up with sight and noise	÷

Submitter Number: 566 Submission: Windmills should not be in Makara, Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 567 Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are inappecision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 568 Submission: It only covers wind power not other Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	Submission Number: 1 options.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 569 Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are inappecision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 570 Submission: Wind turbines should be placed in a Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	Submission Number: 1  areas that are not recreation areas or rural areas enewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: s with a community (Makara).	oppose
Submitter Number: 571 Submission: What about solar - not ugly turbines Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 572 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appro Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:  ara is an important recreation ar	oppose ea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.

Submitter Number: Submission: Not appropriate on Welli Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Ch	:		1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	ld be invest	igated. Solar initiatives on ho		Support/Oppose: e inappropriate they need to be s t in a lot less power generation b	oppose ited well away from people. Makara is an important recreation area. Solar and other eing required.
Submitter Number: Submission: Wind power is a good id Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Ch	:		1 nappropriate. Ma	Support/Oppose: kara is an important recreation a	oppose rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submission: Do not cover the rural hi Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Ch	:	•	1 in wind turbines.	Support/Oppose:  There are many other places in	oppose  NZ which are more remote and just as windy.
	les have tot	al disregard for our commun		Support/Oppose: sist wind turbine companies. Qua	oppose rtz hill/ Makara beach/ Terawhiti Coast are not appropriate places. Put them where no one
Submitter Number: Submission: They have only included Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Ch	:		1 eason that they s	Support/Oppose: hould be changed from what curr	oppose rently is required by the District Plan.
Submitter Number: Submission: Wind turbines should no Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Ch	:	,	1 lenty of areas awa	Support/Oppose: ay from communities. The people	oppose of Makara feel very strongly that this will devastate the lovely quiet area.

The Makhan coasy Quatra Itili is a spectacular and rugged area. Offers a huge amount of recreational activities close to Wellington. Other options should be considered e.g. solar energy which have no adverses effects on the environment.  Decision Requested:  Submitter Number:  \$\frac{580}{\text{Submission}}\$  Submission:  1	Submitter Number: 579 Submission:	Submission Number:	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number:   580   Submission Number:   1   Support/Oppose:   Oppose			huge amount of recreational activities	s close to Wellington. Other options should be considered e.g. solar energy which have no
Submission: These rules are of use and visit of related. I am not opposed to wind energy but I believe other energy sources should be explored e.g. solar sites should not be near housing.  Decision Requested: 1) Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules. 2) Explore other options and sites.  Submission: 1		enewable Energy Rules.		
These rules are only wind related. I am not opposed to wind energy but I believe other energy sources should be explored e.g. solar sites should not be near housing.  Decision Requested:  1		Submission Number:	Support/Oppose:	oppose
1) Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules. 2) Explore other options and sites.  Submitter Number: 581 Submission: 1 support/Oppose: oppose  Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rule Submission:	These rules are only wind related.	I am not opposed to wind energy but I	believe other energy sources should	be explored e.g. solar sites should not be near housing.
Submission: Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submission: There has been no public consultation on these rules. By providing that other base area rules are overridden it will be ridiculously easy for wind farm applications to obtain consent Rule 26.1 is strongly opposed. These rules are dictatorial and shameful. They will cause the destruction of the rural amenity and the values we hold dear. It will result in a loss of business to Makara Country Store and Garages which will adversely impact on the rural community and amenity.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submission: To put turbines in Wellington would be totally inappropriate. Do not degrade our landscape look at solar options. Put these eyesores away from cities and homes.  Decision Requested:  Submission Number:  Submission:  Submission Number:  1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission:  It is a threat to wildlife and birds in Wellington there will be a problem with noise pollution. Other areas are more appropriate.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submission:  1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission:  The rural hills of Wellington are inappropriate for wind turbines.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.		Renewable Energy Rules. 2) Explore	e other options and sites.	
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submitter Number: 582 Submission Number: 3 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission: There has been no public consultation on these rules. By providing that other base area rules are overridden it will be ridiculously easy for wind farm applications to obtain consent Rule 26.1 is strongly opposed. These rules are dictatorial and shameful. They will cause the destruction of the rural amenity and the values we hold dear. It will result in a loss of business to Makara Country Store and Garages which will adversely impact on the rural community and amenity.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submitsion:  To put turbines in Wellington would be totally inappropriate. Do not degrade our landscape look at solar options. Put these eyesores away from cities and homes.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submitter Number: 586 Submission Number: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission:  It is a threat to wildlife and birds in Wellington there will be a problem with noise pollution. Other areas are more appropriate.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submitter Number: 587 Submission Number: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission:  The rural hills of Wellington are inappropriate for wind turbines.  Decision Requested:	Submission:		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 582 Submission Number: 3 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission:  There has been no public consultation on these rules. By providing that other base area rules are overridden it will be ridiculously easy for wind farm applications to obtain consent Rule 26.1 is strongly opposed. These rules are dictatorial and shameful. They will cause the destruction of the rural amenity and the values we hold dear. It will result in a loss of business to Makara Country Store and Garages which will adversely impact on the rural community and amenity.  Decision Requested:  Submitter Number: 583 Submission Number: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission:  To put turbines in Wellington would be totally inappropriate. Do not degrade our landscape look at solar options. Put these eyesores away from cities and homes.  Decision Requested:  Submitter Number: 586 Submission Number: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission:  It is a threat to wildlife and birds in Wellington there will be a problem with noise pollution. Other areas are more appropriate.  Decision Requested:  Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submitter Number: 587 Submission Number: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose	Decision Requested:			
There has been no public consultation on these rules. By providing that other base area rules are overridden it will be ridiculously easy for wind farm applications to obtain consent Rule 26.1 is strongly opposed. These rules are dictatorial and shameful. They will cause the destruction of the rural amenity and the values we hold dear. It will result in a loss of business to Makara Country Store and Garages which will adversely impact on the rural community and amenity.  Decision Requested:  Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submission:  To put turbines in Wellington would be totally inappropriate. Do not degrade our landscape look at solar options. Put these eyesores away from cities and homes.  Decision Requested:  Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submission:  Support/Oppose: oppose Submission:  It is a threat to wildlife and birds in Wellington there will be a problem with noise pollution. Other areas are more appropriate.  Decision Requested:  Decision Requested:  Decision Requested:  Submission Number: 587 Submission Number: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission:  The rural hills of Wellington are inappropriate for wind turbines.  Decision Requested:	Submitter Number: 582	<u>.</u>	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submister Number: 583 Submission Number: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission: To put turbines in Wellington would be totally inappropriate. Do not degrade our landscape look at solar options. Put these eyesores away from cities and homes.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submitter Number: 586 Submission Number: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission: It is a threat to wildlife and birds in Wellington there will be a problem with noise pollution. Other areas are more appropriate.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submitter Number: 587 Submission Number: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose	There has been no public consultate opposed. These rules are dictatorial	al and shameful. They will cause the c	other base area rules are overridden it lestruction of the rural amenity and the	t will be ridiculously easy for wind farm applications to obtain consent Rule 26.1 is strongly evalues we hold dear. It will result in a loss of business to Makara Country Store and Garages
Submission: To put turbines in Wellington would be totally inappropriate. Do not degrade our landscape look at solar options. Put these eyesores away from cities and homes.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submitter Number: 586 Submission Number: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission: It is a threat to wildlife and birds in Wellington there will be a problem with noise pollution. Other areas are more appropriate.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submitter Number: 587 Submission Number: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose  Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are inappropriate for wind turbines.  Decision Requested:	<u>•</u>	enewable Energy Rules.		
To put turbines in Wellington would be totally inappropriate. Do not degrade our landscape look at solar options. Put these eyesores away from cities and homes.  Decision Requested:  Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submitter Number: 586 Submission Number: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission:  It is a threat to wildlife and birds in Wellington there will be a problem with noise pollution. Other areas are more appropriate.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submission: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Oppose Submission: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Oppose Submission: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Oppose Oppose Submission: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Oppose Submission: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Oppose Submission: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Oppose Oppose Submission: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Oppose Oppose Oppose Oppose Submission: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Op		Submission Number:	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submitter Number: 586 Submission Number: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission:  It is a threat to wildlife and birds in Wellington there will be a problem with noise pollution. Other areas are more appropriate.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submitter Number: 587 Submission Number: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are inappropriate for wind turbines.  Decision Requested:	To put turbines in Wellington would	d be totally inappropriate. Do not degra	ade our landscape look at solar option	s. Put these eyesores away from cities and homes.
Submission: It is a threat to wildlife and birds in Wellington there will be a problem with noise pollution. Other areas are more appropriate.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submitter Number: 587 Submission Number: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are inappropriate for wind turbines.  Decision Requested:		enewable Energy Rules.		
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submitter Number: 587 Submission Number: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are inappropriate for wind turbines.  Decision Requested:		Submission Number:	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.  Submitter Number: 587 Submission Number: 1 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are inappropriate for wind turbines.  Decision Requested:		Wellington there will be a problem wit	h noise pollution. Other areas are mor	e appropriate.
Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are inappropriate for wind turbines.  Decision Requested:	•	enewable Energy Rules.		
Decision Requested:	Submission:		Support/Oppose:	oppose
	Decision Requested:		withdraw Chanter 26 Renewable Ene	ray Rules

Submission:		Support/Oppose: ra is an important recreation ar	oppose rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submission:		Support/Oppose: ons. We have a huge amount o	oppose of coal on the west coast of the south island that is not being utilised. Solar power would be
Submission:		Support/Oppose: g overseas information on land	oppose  Iscape/ environment degradation. Do not put industrial wind power sites near houses /
Submission:	elopment in appropriate places.	Support/Oppose: rgy options. Solar is not even n	oppose nentioned. Australia is planning solar cities. There are also wave/ current options to be
Submitter Number: 592 Submission: Windmills should not be in Makara, Ma Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Rene		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 593 Submission: Wind turbines will ruin the rural area. Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Rene	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 594 Submission: Do not cover Quartz hill in turbines it w Decision Requested: Do not allow windmills in Quartz hill/ Ma	•	Support/Oppose:	oppose

Submitter Number: 595 Submission: Do not cover the City's hills in indu Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	Submission Number: 1 strial sites/ turbines. What about solar power? tenewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 596 Submission: Need to look at other options not ju Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 597 Submission: Wellington/ Makara has beautiful h Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	·	Support/Oppose: ara/ Quartz Hill are special and uni	oppose ique recreational areas. There are other options e.g. solar.
Submitter Number: 598 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appropersion Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose: akara is an important recreation a	oppose rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 599 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in apprediction Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose: akara is an important recreation a	oppose rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 600 Submission: Do not ruin the Wellington hills/ lar Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	Submission Number: 1  ndscape ruined by wind turbines, to the detrim tenewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: ent of community usage.	oppose
Submitter Number: 601 Submission: What about solar options? These at Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose:	oppose

Submitter Number: 602 Submission: Quartz hill should be retained in the Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	Submission Number: 1 e current form for future generations to enjoy Renewable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose:  y/ treasure.	oppose
Submitter Number: 603 Submission: Wind popular is becoming unpopu Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	Submission Number: 1 lar overseas. The Wellington hills should be	Support/Oppose: protected from a technology that c	oppose ould be on a decline.
Submitter Number: 604 Submission:	Submission Number: 1 stakes, and not do this in the wrong places.	Support/Oppose: There are other choices solar is go	oppose od.
Submitter Number: 605 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appr Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose:  Makara is an important recreation a	oppose rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 606 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appr Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose:  Makara is an important recreation a	oppose rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submitter Number: 607 Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are ina Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 608 Submission: Wind power is a good idea in appr Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose:  Makara is an important recreation a	oppose  rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.

Submitter Number: 609 Submission: We should learn from overseas mission Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	Submission Number: 1 rakes, and not do this in the wrong places. Then newable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: re are other choices solar is god	oppose od.
Submitter Number: 610 Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are inap Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 611 Submission: I support wind power but it must be Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	Submission Number: 1 carefully sited. Massive wind turbines on rural has newable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose: nills off Wellington is inappropria	oppose ate. This is an important recreation area.
Submitter Number: 612 Submission: These are only wind power rules - I Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	Submission Number: 1 do not support wind power on Wellington's hills newable Energy Rules.	Support/Oppose:  There are alternatives the Con	oppose uncil has not considered.
Submission: Wind power is a good idea in approprecision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re		Support/Oppose: ara is an important recreation an	oppose rea. Solar and other renewable options should be investigated.
Submission: I visit Makara for recreation. They sl Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	Submission Number: 1  nould be sited in a different location. The noise/	Support/Oppose:  visual pollution is unacceptable	oppose e in this area.
	verride all other rules in the District Plan. This		oppose  . Wind turbines are inappropriate on Quartz/ Makara hill. There are many other wind should not be encouraged at expense of the local community. More work need to be done

	vind power stations. The rules need more w		oppose with as much wind as Makara. The hilltops/ ridgetops should continue to be protected from kara area.
Submitter Number: 617 Submission: The rural hills of Wellington are ina Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 618 Submission: Do not cover the hill of Makara/ We favour of wind turbines. What about Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 R	t solar?	Support/Oppose: ther places. The noise would drive	oppose me crazy. There has not been enough research into the pros/cons. The rules are biased in
Submitter Number: 621 Submission: Chapter 26 should not override res Decision Requested: Amend to ensure Chapter 26 does in	Submission Number: 3 t of District Plan. not override the rest of the District Plan.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 622 Submission: Chapter 26 should not override res Decision Requested: Amend to ensure Chapter 26 does in	Submission Number: 3 t of District Plan. not override the rest of the District Plan.	Support/Oppose:	oppose
The rules are just wind energy facil	ity rules. The use of wind power is inapproposed should be dealt with as such. We oppose a	priate because of the high environm	oppose  e. However the proposed rules do not address all options of renewable energy e.g. solar nental cost. Council needs to conduct open and detailed consultation with the community. he rural area or on hilltops, especially Quartz Hill.

Submission:  Submission:  Submission:  Submission:  Support/Oppose: oppose  Submission:  They are designed to facilitate the construction of wind power stations which are incompatible with the values of Quartz hill peneplain. They would take precedence over other rules in the District Plan. Permanent protection of Quartz hill/ Makara farm is required for its geomorphologic, ecological and historic heritage and public access values.  Decision Requested:  Withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	
Submission:  Submission:  Wind power generation is crude and uneconomical because of the noise and vibrations that will destroy the surroundings. The skyline of Wellington and Quartz hill will be destroyed. It is too close to peo and may pose health risks. Wind farms belong away from civilisation.  Decision Requested:  Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	pple
Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules	
Specific Provision: Rule 26.1.1	
Submitser Number: 7 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission: Wind energy rules override other rules including rural and open space even though Council vows to maintain the rural character of areas such as Makara.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	
Submission:  This statement overrides all existing safeguards and sets a dangerous precedent. The lack of explanation would fail all tests of reasonableness as it fails to identify reasons or application of overriding arbased rules.  Decision Requested:  Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	rea
Submission:  The proposed changes recognise the unique opportunity that Wellington has to supply most of its energy needs from renewable energy in particular wind. Doing so will benefit all NZ and Wellington. The discretionary unrestricted classification ensures appropriate consideration of the possible negative effects of wind development. Wind power is an appropriate use of many of the ridgelines identified in the overlay providing developments are designed to minimise non visual impacts.  Decision Requested:  Adopt Plan Change 32.	

Submission:  The rules in the rural area heard.  Decision Requested:	Submission Number:  and Open Space B should not be over		pport/Oppose: Consideration of relevant	oppose area based rules and the effect on these should be made when a wind farm application is
Submission:  The rules in the rural area heard.  Decision Requested:	Submission Number:  and Open Space B should not be ove		pport/Oppose: onsideration of relevant a	oppose rea based rules and the effect on these should be made when a wind farm application is
Submission: The proposed provisions the Decision Requested:	Submission Number: nat provide for the rules of the renewa	Land Chapter to ove		support erlying zone are supported.
Submission: 26.1 is supported, but ame Decision Requested:			pport/Oppose: area based rules (includin	amend  g rules relating to ridgelines and hilltops) do not apply to that proposal".
Submission:	Submission Number: noise assessment methodology appli		pport/Oppose: erators is slightly different	support to that normally adopted for other noise sources.
Submission:	Submission Number:		pport/Oppose:  ble energy rules do apply.	support
Submission: 26.1 is supported, but ame Decision Requested:	-		pport/Oppose: area based rules (including	amend g rules relating to ridgelines and hilltops) do not apply to that proposal".

Submitter Number: 343 Submission: 26.1 is supported, but amendments Decision Requested:	•			amend
Submitter Number: 344 Submission:	Submission Number:			rules relating to ridgelines and hilltops) do not apply to that proposal".  amend
26.1 is supported, but amendments  Decision Requested:  Amend 26.1.1 to read: "Where the re	·	proposal the relevant ar	ea based rules (including	rules relating to ridgelines and hilltops) do not apply to that proposal".
Submitter Number: 405 Submission:	Submission Number:			oppose
t is unacceptable that the rules of the Decision Requested: Not stated.	ils chapter will overfide rules wit	nin other chapters of the	e District plan.	
		nd the expectations of th	e community that are esta	oppose ablished by the existing zoning. The provision of the renewable energy should be a equal status in the decision making process.
Decision Requested: Delete rule 26.1.1.				
		nd the expectations of th	e community that are esta	oppose ablished by the existing zoning. The provision of renewable energy should be a equal status in the decision making process.
Submitter Number: Submission: This is unacceptable.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Plan Change	Submission Number:	10 Sup	pport/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 493 Submission: 26.1 will be applied to the detrimen been put in place to protect the rura Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Reference 26	al character and amenity.			oppose g rules to protect their area and reasonably limit development where such rules have

Chapter 26 Rene	ewable Energy Rules	<del></del>	
Specific Provision:	Rule 26.2.1		
Submitter Number: 147 Submission:	Submission Number: 10	Support/Oppose:	support
discretionary unrestricted classific		the possible negative effects of wi	s from renewable energy in particular wind. Doing so will benefit all NZ and Wellington. The nd development. Wind power is an appropriate use of many of the ridgelines identified in the
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Adopt Plan Change 32.			
Submitter Number: 192 Submission:	Submission Number: 1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
The majority of anemometers use <b>Decision Requested:</b>	d by NZ windfarms are in place for less that	n 12 months are 10 metres high a	nd unobtrusive.
-	porary (up to 12 months), 10 metre high ane	emometers to be a permitted activity	ty.
Submitter Number: 206 Submission:	Submission Number: 13	Support/Oppose:	amend
assessment criteria rather than a	list of matters to be considered. Such a list	could be equally applied to a conf	ritten is very close to being a controlled activity. The assessment criteria are not proper trolled activity rule, with Council retaining control over those matters. A number of the criteria ents i.e. it maybe difficult to obtain reliable data from alternative siting.
Notification - The written approval control is reserved - In determining any effects of the anemometer car mast. Anemometers are erected to collected over a number of season provided for as a controlled activity	of affected persons will not be necessary. No the conditions to be imposed, if any, Coun to be mitigated; a) Alternative design of the so to obtain information on wind flows at a particle to get an understanding of how the wind it	Notice of applications need not be a cil will have regard to the following supporting structure; b) Alternative cular location. Typically this is done resource varies over time. In most cilitate testing in those areas, as the	or the purpose of measuring wind in the up to areas are a controlled activity. Non served on affected persons and applications need not be notified. Matters in relation to which matters:26.2.1.1 The visual and amenity effects of the anemometer and the extent to which colour of finish selection. 26.2.1.2 the duration of the activity. 26.2.1.3 The height of the e as part of the initial research phase for a wind energy facility. Information is usually cases the structures are a temporary activity of one - three years duration. They are ey have been identified by the Council as being most likely for the development of wind
Submitter Number: 323 Submission: Anemometers are temporary and	Submission Number: 1 should therefore be permitted activities.	Support/Oppose:	amend
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend 26.2.1 to make the placem	ent of anemometers a permitted activity.		
Submitter Number: 323 Submission: We support the exploration and and Decision Requested:	Submission Number:  3 doption of Plan Change 32 with amendmen		amend

		amend d on affected parities, it therefore appears more appropriate for these activities to have
controlled rather than discretionary (restricted) activity status. That way Council is una <b>Decision Requested:</b> 1) Adopt non notification clause. 2) Amend discretionary activity (restricted) status of a		sent application but can impose conditions. tivity status, the matters of control should be a) Siting and design, b) Duration, c) Height.
Submitter Number: 340 Submission Number: 7 Submission:	Support/Oppose:	support
The specific rule relating to Anemometers as a type of land use activity is generally su structures" referred to are usually a range of masts but as worded may inadvertently a assessment criteria lead to confusion. "Mast" has a clear meaning and applies wheth	allow for a range of buildings.	The terms "support structure" in the rule and "supporting structure" and mast in the
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the words in brackets "including associated support structures" to avoid support	ort structures inadvertently allo	wing for a range of buildings.
Submitter Number: 340 Submission Number: 8 Submission:	Support/Oppose:	amend
The inclusion of "siting" as a matter of discretion and "alternative siting" as an assessive resource. It is more appropriate to address effects through the duration of consents, a		There is no flexibility in siting anemometer masts to genuinely test the quality of a wind ting to assess visual and amenity effects.
Decision Requested:  1. Delete from 26.2.1.1 "siting and".  2. Delete from 26.2.1.4 the first bullet point  OR alternative wording to the same effect of such further or consequential relief as ma	ay be necessary to give effect	to the submission
Submitter Number: 341 Submission Number: 16 Submission:	Support/Oppose:	amend
nature are temporary structures and their effects on the environment no more that mir	nor. Therefore the installation	this part of the process from the wind farm criterion is supported. Anemometers by their of anemometers should be a controlled activity in the rural and Open Space B areas. ering them as controlled activities. Making anemometers controlled activities will provide
Decision Requested: Amend 26.2 to be a controlled activity. Retain the assessment criteria.		

Submission:  Submission:  Anemometers should be provided for in rural and Open Space B areas as controlled activities. The rule as written is assessment criteria rather than a list of matters to be considered. Such a list could be equally applied to a controlled specified are unreasonable in that they attempt to reserve control over matters that are technological requirements	ed activity rule, with Council retaining control over those matters. A number of the criteria
Decision Requested:  Amend Section 26.2 to state: "26.2.1 Anemometers (including associated support structures) established for the pur Non Notification - The written approval of affected persons will not be necessary. Notice of applications need not be which control is reserved - In determining the conditions to be imposed, if any, Council will have regard to the following which any effects of the anemometer can be mitigated; a) Alternative design of the supporting structure; b) Alternative the mast. Anemometers are erected to obtain information on wind flows at a particular location. Typically this is done collected over a number of seasons to get an understanding of how the wind resource varies over time. In most case provided for as a controlled activity in the rural and Open space B areas to facilitate testing in those areas, as they he energy facilities. In other areas Anemometers are to be assessed under the relevant utility rules".	e served on affected persons and applications need not be notified. Matters in relation to ring matters:26.2.1.1 The visual and amenity effects of the anemometer and the extent to live colour of finish selection. 26.2.1.2 the duration of the activity. 26.2.1.3 The height of e as part of the initial research phase for a wind energy facility. Information is usually see the structures are a temporary activity of one - three years duration. They are
Submitter Number: 343 Submission Number: 13 Support/Oppose:  Submission:  Anemometers should be provided for in rural and Open Space B areas as controlled activities. The rule as written is assessment criteria rather than a list of matters to be considered. Such a list could be equally applied to a controlled specified are unreasonable in that they attempt to reserve control over matters that are technological requirements	ed activity rule, with Council retaining control over those matters. A number of the criteria
Decision Requested:  Amend Section 26.2 to state: "26.2.1 Anemometers (including associated support structures) established for the pur Non Notification - The written approval of affected persons will not be necessary. Notice of applications need not be which control is reserved - In determining the conditions to be imposed, if any, Council will have regard to the following which any effects of the anemometer can be mitigated; a) Alternative design of the supporting structure; b) Alternative the mast. Anemometers are erected to obtain information on wind flows at a particular location. Typically this is done collected over a number of seasons to get an understanding of how the wind resource varies over time. In most case provided for as a controlled activity in the rural and Open space B areas to facilitate testing in those areas, as they he energy facilities. In other areas Anemometers are to be assessed under the relevant utility rules".	rpose of measuring wind in the Rural and Open Space B areas are a controlled activity. e served on affected persons and applications need not be notified. Matters in relation to ring matters:26.2.1.1 The visual and amenity effects of the anemometer and the extent to rive colour of finish selection. 26.2.1.2 the duration of the activity. 26.2.1.3 The height of e as part of the initial research phase for a wind energy facility. Information is usually sees the structures are a temporary activity of one - three years duration. They are

Submission:

Anemometers should be provided for in rural and Open Space B areas as controlled activities. The rule as written is very close to being a controlled activity. The assessment criteria are not proper assessment criteria rather than a list of matters to be considered. Such a list could be equally applied to a controlled activity rule, with Council retaining control over those matters. A number of the criteria specified are unreasonable in that they attempt to reserve control over matters that are technological requirements i.e. it maybe difficult to obtain reliable data from alternative siting.

amend

Support/Oppose:

## **Decision Requested:**

Submitter Number:

344

Submission Number:

13

Amend Section 26.2 to state: "26.2.1 Anemometers (including associated support structures) established for the purpose of measuring wind in the Rural and Open Space B areas are a controlled activity. Non Notification - The written approval of affected persons will not be necessary. Notice of applications need not be served on affected persons and applications need not be notified. Matters in relation to which control is reserved - In determining the conditions to be imposed, if any, Council will have regard to the following matters:26.2.1.1 The visual and amenity effects of the anemometer and the extent to which any effects of the anemometer can be mitigated; a) Alternative design of the supporting structure; b) Alternative colour of finish selection. 26.2.1.2 the duration of the activity. 26.2.1.3 The height of the mast. Anemometers are erected to obtain information on wind flows at a particular location. Typically this is done as part of the initial research phase for a wind energy facility. Information is usually collected over a number of seasons to get an understanding of how the wind resource varies over time. In most cases the structures are a temporary activity of one - three years duration. They are provided for as a controlled activity in the rural and Open space B areas to facilitate testing in those areas, as they have been identified by the Council as being most likely for the development of wind energy facilities. In other areas Anemometers are to be assessed under the relevant utility rules".

dependant on this relationship.  Decision Requested:	Submission Number: 16 estricted to anemometers whose measurement and be treated as utilities, 2) Anemometers in		oppose in renewable energy research, yet the justification for this special treatment is surely ated as utilities.
Chapter 26 Renew	vable Energy Rules		
Specific Provision: Ru	ıle 26.3.1		
Submitter Number: 119 Submission: The rule considers only wind energy a	Submission Number: 8 and provides no indication of whether other re	Support/Oppose:	oppose  uld be treated in the same manner. There is no explanation of 1) central government
	of carbon credit trading and project cost justif		conomic aspects and not social environmental costs.
			oppose  Plans and environmental rules is removed and wind turbines and infrastructure can be built this is totally unreasonable and fails ethical considerations.
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Ren		,	
Submitter Number: 147 Submission:	Submission Number: 11	Support/Oppose:	support
The proposed changes recognise the discretionary unrestricted classification			rom renewable energy in particular wind. Doing so will benefit all NZ and Wellington. The I development. Wind power is an appropriate use of many of the ridgelines identified in the
Submitter Number: 192 Submission: The intent of the provisions of 26.3.1 Decision Requested: Adopt Rule 26.3.1.	Submission Number: 3 are supported.	Support/Oppose:	support

Submission Number: 4 s' Bullet point one should include rural 'ch	Support/Oppose: aracter', 'visibility'. Does it mean sc	oppose ale , dominance, size, distance, colour, daytime/night time, local/district scale etc. All of
		oppose t evaluation of all aspects of the noise effects produced by wind farm projects. Details of sion). Note: Full noise Report subsequently submitted and forms part of this submission.
Submission Number:  4  S' Bullet point one should include rural 'ch.  6.  heir current form.	Support/Oppose: aracter', 'visibility'. Does it mean sc	oppose ale , dominance, size, distance, colour, daytime/night time, local/district scale etc.? All of
gnised. It is regionally important that Welli	ington become more self sustaining	oppose negative effects. The only appropriate control is over noise. It is in the national interest that a through environmentally friendly sources of electricity.  the boundary of any residential site, and 2) any consequential amendments required to
		oppose negative effects. The only appropriate control is over noise. It is in the national interest that a through environmentally friendly sources of electricity.
5 t	s' Bullet point one should include rural 'ches.  Deir current form.  Submission Number:  Stic advice sought by the Board, this Rule nearing. (Noise report subsequently submitteir current form.  Submission Number:  Madverse effects on the environment and prised. It is regionally important that Well wind farms a controlled activity, providing  Submission Number:  Submission Number:  1  Submission Number:  1	Submission Number:  Submission Number:  Support/Oppose:  Stic advice sought by the Board, this Rule 26.3.1 does not allow for sufficient nearing. (Noise report subsequently submitted and forms part of this submission Number:  Submission Number:  Support/Oppose:  Wedverse effects on the environment and the positive effects outweigh the rights of the positive effects outweights of the posit

the proposed impact that any proposed are 1) structures may pose an obstruto be considered on a case by case  Decision Requested:	sed wind energy development could uction to the radar signal path along basis as distance from radar elevati er: "The effects of any proposal on t	have on the existing Hawkins Hill rada aircraft routes 2)The rotating blades on on with respect to radar and orientation he operation of the Airways Hawkins H	amend to promote the generation of energy from renewable sources. Concerns do however existlar station or any future radar/ navigational aid sites. The potential problems radar may sufficient turbines could be picked up by primary radar and seen as false targets. The above on of turbines may each have an impact.  Hill radar station and any other relevant navigational sites and facilities and the safe and	uffer
Submitter Number: 323 Submission: We support the exploration and ado Decision Requested:	Submission Number:	Support/Oppose:	amend Suburban Centres.	
Submitter Number: 329 Submission: The matter of aircraft safety is some Decision Requested: Amend to include a new assessment	· ·	]	amend ty.	
local hill therefore tight control on wi this would be a line of 8 - 12 500KW Decision Requested:  (a) Amend 26.3 to include the following which contains many power operator. It is the limit for a 5kW Iskra turbine in the the maximum for the Wellington a Change 33 (Ridgelines and Hilltops clusters should be set. 5) Rules should will prevent future environmental degus USA is often more visible than the turblend with the environment e.g. a corbird strike. Danish manufacturers four minimum technically required (somet	nd farms should be applied. The way turbines on top of Quartz hill, no sign minimum requirements: 1) No hos and co-operatives who live with the standard and stand	y forward is small clusters of medium ins of access roads and a public walking of access roads and a public walking of access roads and a public walking was accessed by the Makara Guardians at Maximum tower sizes should be set. Took to 600kW, which is commercially a manufacturer I know installed turbing a manufacturer I know installed turbing a cluster. 6) Once a cluster is development during development should be tructed during development should be tructed to be the cold shepherds hut below the ridgeline is of locals always opted for tubular to	se by case basis. In Wellington any development will be viewable after a ten minute walk size machines combined with an element of community ownership. A practical application track. Turbines would be located to minimise sighting from the homes of the local resturbine (preferably more). This is the minimum set by the Danish wind turbine association are correct that some homes are 100m from a turbine in Meridian's proposals this is share the correct size is an effective measure of visual intrusion. My personal belief is that 40m should be viable. 3) A maximum cluster size (no. turbines) should be set for various areas in Planna e costs have decreased markedly after only 5 are installed. 4) A maximum distance betward to the number of turbines in the initial application infill development is not allowed (see removed and the land returned to original condition. The scarring of the landscape in the one shown for the Tararua wind farm on the NZWEA website. 7) Service buildings should be tubular. They cost more but are more pleasing aesthetically and in owers even though they reduced their returns. 9) Turbine spacing should be larger than the operation of the provided 2 their returns. Support	on of sidents.  n, meful. ould ween (This he ould reduce he

Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules	
Specific Provision: Rule 26.3.1 Assessment Criteria	
Submitter Number: 193 Submission Number: 2 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission:	
26.3.1.2 does not allow for sufficient evaluation of all aspects of the noise effects produced by wind farm projects. We reserve <b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline the wind energy provisions in their current form.	the right to present future information at the hearings on this matter.
Submitter Number: 193 Submission Number: 3 Support/Oppose: oppose Submission:	
In 26.3.1.3 the word 'amenity' should be changed to 'amenity values' to reflect and accord with the intent of the RMA.  Decision Requested:  1) Decline the wind energy provisions in their current form, or 2) amend the word amenity in 26.3.1.3 to amenity values.	
Submitter Number: 193 Submission Number: 5 Support/Oppose: oppose	
In 26.3.1.8 'landscape features' need to be included alongside 'landforms', there is no reason to include the words 'or if the latter rural area also.  Decision Requested:	and is Open Space B, its' as open space values need to be considered in
1) Decline the wind energy provision in current form, or 2) include 'landscape' features in 26.1.3 after "landforms" and delete the	e words "or if the land it Open Space B its".
Submitter Number: 194 Submission Number: 3 Support/Oppose: oppose	
In 26.3.1.3 the word 'amenity' should be changed to 'amenity values' to reflect and accord with the intent of the RMA.  Decision Requested:  1) Decline the wind energy provisions in their current form, or 2) amend the word amenity in 26.3.1.3 to amenity values.	
Submitter Number: 197 Submission Number: 4 Support/Oppose: support	
The rule is generally supported but amendments are sought to the assessment criteria. It should be recognised that wind gene overwhelming support from local communities as a means of generating electricity. The criteria requiring that major alterations	
Decision Requested: 1) Amend 26.3.1.1 to include reference to regional and local policy and other benefits arising from renewable energy generation remove 26.3.1.8.	n. 2) 26.3.1.3 'adversely impact on' and replace with 'affect'. 3) Amend or
Submitter Number: 206 Submission Number: 14 Support/Oppose: oppose	
Section 26.3.1.13 is opposed. Operational or technical considerations do not relate to effects. The Council should not be atterwhich it is carried out may generate or avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the environment.  Decision Requested:	pting to dictate how an activity is conducted other than where the manner in
Delete 26.3.1.13.	

Submission: Implementing assessment criteria should labeled: Decision Requested:				n to the natural landscape and not a major disruption . The
Submission:	Submission Number: be clear and precise. Implementing	Support/Opp this criteria would in effect lead to an		rbines will be part of the landscape and not a major disruption.
Submission: 26.3.1.2 should be expande Decision Requested: Amend 26.3.1.2 to include: 1 that address the positive and	negative effects of the propagation ress the potential effects of total and	ng the construction period of the propo of sound downwind and upwind. It cou	osal to comply with the requirements uld be based on the example of a pre	of NZS 6803: 1999 Acoustics - construction noise, 2) Provisions diction method given in the informative Appendix D in NZS 6801: tonality in the omitted sound can be made by the method
Submission: There is a potential noise co Decision Requested:	Submission Number:  omponent to traffic/ vehicle movement to traffic associated with traffic component c			strict Plan have been overridden by 26.1.1.
Submission:		Support/Oppont aspect and therefore should be cons		
Submission: The assessment criteria sho Decision Requested:		Support/Opp proposal will make to regional and loc e to central government energy policy	cal policy.	and regional and local policy.

Submitter Number:	329	Submission Number:	19	Support/Oppose:	oppose
managed properly. NZS6 appropriate document an	6808:1998 and technique	coustics. The assessment a available to assess the noi	and measurement of ise effects from a win	sound from wind turbine ger d farm. The use of this stand	be any adverse noise effects from wind turbines if the wind farm is sited, designed and herators has been specifically developed for the assessment of windfarms and is the only dard in 26.3.1.2 is supported. The NZ standard addresses "special audible characteristics" also an impact that should be assessed and managed and the appropriate NZ standard
of the proposal during the	operation a		ct on settlements or		s of NZS 6803: 1999 Acoustics - Construction noise. The actual or potential noise effects and assessed in accordance with requirements NZS 6808: 1998 (Acoustics - The
Submitter Number: Submission:	329	Submission Number:	20	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Wind farms will have vary flicker' should be defined	l as these oc	currences have varying effe	ects according to the		hat wind energy can also produce significant benefits. The terms 'blade glint', 'shadow he term 'blade shadowing' should be removed as the shadow caused by a still turbine can lowing'.
dwellings, including consi	ideration of	ootential 'nuisance' issues i	ncluding a) electroma	agnetic - interference to broa	ding environment. Particular regard will be given to the impact of the development on adcast or other signals, b) Blade glint - resulting from the reflection from the sun from the sun and an observer, generating flickering light.
					oppose  ctors e.g. distance from the wind farm, light and atmospheric conditions and the proportion qualitative assessment of this matter, therefore the requirement to assess the extent to
		rse impact should be remove	, ,	That be realistic to expect a	qualitative assessment of this matter, therefore the requirement to assess the extent to
Decision Requested:  1) Delete first bullet point locations".	of 26.3.1.4,	2) Add new bullet point to s	state "the locations ar	nd distances from which the	development can be viewed and the extent of the wind farm that can be seen from those
Submitter Number: Submission:	329	Submission Number:	22	Support/Oppose:	oppose
assessment criteria. Best sensitivity/fragility of the s	t practise sit site needs to	e management would ensu be ascertained as this influ	re that any impact on uences the ability of a	n 'waterways' is kept to a min an ecosystem to adjust or ch	ne impacts during construction and those during operation, this should be reflected in the immum and this impact is not unique to windfarms and therefore should be removed. The lange. Windfarms can affect birds. However any reference to the impact on birds needs to last and therefore there does not need to be a specific mention of this.
					the proposed wind farm site will be removed or disturbed during construction and er fauna, either migratory species or resident populations on the site.
Submitter Number: Submission: The assessment criteria i	329	Submission Number:	23	Support/Oppose:	support
Decision Requested: Adopt 26.3.1.6.					

Submitter Number: 329 Submission: The assessment criteria is supported Decision Requested: Adopt 26.3.1.7.	Submission Number:	24	Support/Oppose:	support
Submitter Number: 329 Submission: It is appropriate for the extent of rec Decision Requested: Amend 26.3.1.6 by deleting the last		24 ed but reference to m	Support/Oppose: najor alterations to land farms	amend is subjective and influenced by interpretation.
Submitter Number: 329 Submission: The assessment criteria is supported Decision Requested: Adopt 26.3.1.9.	Submission Number:	26	Support/Oppose:	support
Submitter Number: 329 Submission: The assessment criteria is supported Decision Requested: Adopt 26.3.1.10.	Submission Number:	27	Support/Oppose:	support
Submitter Number: 329 Submission: The meaning or basis behind 26.3.  Decision Requested: Amend 26.3.1.11 to state: "The cum and national scale".			Support/Oppose: e developments regarding eco	oppose ological, noise and visual impacts but also the economic and social benefits on a local
Submitter Number: 329 Submission: The assessment criteria is supported: Decision Requested: Adopt 26.3.1.12.	Submission Number:	29	Support/Oppose:	support
Submitter Number: 329 Submission: The assessment criteria is supported: Decision Requested: Adopt 26.3.1.13.	Submission Number:	30	Support/Oppose:	support

Submitter Number: 340 Submission:	Submission Number: 9	Support/Oppose:	amend
meeting energy demands at local I	only benefits arising from wind energy fac evel a and reduction in lines losses. This		gy objectives and targets. Proposals have a range of benefits including security of supply, flected in the criteria.
Decision Requested: Add to Criterion 26.3.1.1 the words:	"and to meeting the national, regional a	nd local need for electricity".	
Submitter Number: 340 Submission:	Submission Number: 10	Support/Oppose:	amend
Criterion 26.3.1.2 includes unnece audible characteristics and distance	ssary wording, in that the specified New e are inappropriate aspects to seek to id		eals comprehensively with effect in the receiving environment and attention to special estandard.
Decision Requested: Delete from criterion 26.3.1.2 the fo	llowing words: "with particular considera	tion of special audible characteristics a	and the proximity to and effect on settlements or dwellings".
Submitter Number: 340 Submission:	Submission Number: 11	Support/Oppose:	amend
Criterion 26.3.1.3 implies that the o	only amenity effect to be considered are a not affected by the specific items identifi		e positive amenity effects associated with wind energy development. The emphasis on ot necessarily all amenity matters.
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend criterion 26.3.1.3 to read: "to and blade shadowing, glinting or flice."		t the amenity of the surrounding enviro	onment including any potential "nuisance" effects including radio frequency interference
Submitter Number: 340 Submission:	Submission Number: 12	Support/Oppose:	amend
			ual effects should also be taken into account. A separate criterion referring to the rongly misinterpreted as not allowing for evaluation of wind energy facilities within such
Decision Requested: Criterion 26.3.1.4.			
2. Delete the second bullet point.	pact on" with "be visible from", in the firs tionship to identified ridgelines and hillto	·	
Submitter Number: 340 Submission:	Submission Number: 13	Support/Oppose:	amend
wind energy development. Geolog effects on geological values will ne	jical values should be addressed in an in	ntegrated and comprehensive manner ion for a discretionary activity. Archae	ly provided for elsewhere in the Plan so it is anomalous to refer to them in the context of rather than following a piecemeal approach. There is no need to insert the criterion as ological values are associated in the Act and elsewhere in the Plan with heritage and
Decision Requested:			
<ol> <li>Delete criterion 26.3.1.6.</li> <li>Add the words "including archaed</li> </ol>	ological sites" after the words "heritage it	ems" in criterion 26.3.1.9.	

Submitter Number: 340 Submission: The statement "major alteration to a criteria.  Decision Requested: Delete the second sentence that state			, , ,	amend adverse effects on landform are adequately covered in other wording of this and other 3.1.8.
	or else the criterion should be	deleted, as the Rura	al Area Design Guide appears	amend consistent with this document. It either needs to be clarified that this does not include to apply only to subdivisions and residential and accessory buildings and does not apply nerators)".
Submitter Number: 342 Submission: Section 26.3.1.13 is opposed. Opera which it is carried out may generate Decision Requested: Delete 26.3.1.13.				oppose ot be attempting to dictate how an activity is conducted other than where the manner in
Submitter Number: 343 Submission: Section 26.3.1.13 is opposed. Opera which it is carried out may generate Decision Requested: Delete 26.3.1.13.				oppose ot be attempting to dictate how an activity is conducted other than where the manner in
Submitter Number: 344 Submission: Section 26.3.1.13 is opposed. Opera which it is carried out may generate Decision Requested: Delete 26.3.1.13.				oppose ot be attempting to dictate how an activity is conducted other than where the manner in
Submitter Number: 405 Submission: It is insensitive to use the words 'imp Decision Requested: Amend 26.3.1.5 to delete 'likely imp			·	oppose  ffect (as in 26.3.1.3) not 'likely' effects.

Submission: To clarify matters and strengthen the link between national, regional and local policy.  Decision Requested:  Add the assessment criteria 26.3.1.1 the following "and climate change policy objectives including national greenhouse gas emission targets".
Submission:  Past technologies and their resulting development spin offs should not be allowed to inhibit wind farm development. Mobile phone towers might need relocation, the fact that they are on a special site doesn't not give them automatic right of continued location if shifting them benefits society as a whole.  Decision Requested:  Amend 26.3.1.1 to include reference to regional and local policy and the other benefits arising from renewable energy generation.
Submission:  Submission:  The plan currently assumes that wind generation facilities will have an adverse effect on amenity. It should be recognised that wind energy facilities can have significant benefits on amenity e.g. Brooklyn.  Decision Requested:  Amend 26.3.1.3 by deleting "adversely impact on" and replace with "affects".
Submission:  The extent to which vegetation is disrupted, any impacts on waterways ,a and likely impact on birds or other fauna from windfarms is no more than aeroplane roads, rail or City/ urban development. Impartial studies should be done to quantify the wind farm effect in comparison to these other harmful effects on bird life before carte blanche condemnation of the technology is made.  Decision Requested:  Not stated.
Submission:  Submission:  This criterion is somewhat subjective and not practical. Roading if required for wind farm development should not be a charge against a particular project.  Decision Requested:  Amend or Delete 26.3.1.8.
Submission:  Power projects should be built near local centres to get greatest efficiency . Wellington has no major production source of electric power and is therefore vulnerable and insecure.  Decision Requested:  Amend to take into account the wider benefits and "public good" of any project.
Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules  Specific Provision: Rule 26.3.1 Explanation

Submitter Number: 329 Submission Number: 32 Support/Oppose: amend Minor wording change.	
Decision Requested:  Amend the third paragraph of the explanation to state "Wind farms can also impact on the ecology of a site, including the loss or fragmentation of habitat, displacement of wildlife and bird mor collisions. The effects of bird mortality can vary".	tality through
Submitter Number: 340 Submission Number: 16 Support/Oppose: amend  Submission:  The accord and third contained of the accord paragraph in the explanation refer appointed by the accordance of t	acceipted with
The second and third sentences of the second paragraph in the explanation refer specifically to ecological effects. This incorrectly suggests that there may be significant ecological effects as wind energy development. This paragraph lacks balance. The explanation also lacks any wording recognising the benefits of wind energy which has led Council to introduce the rule.  Decision Requested:	Sociated with
<ol> <li>Delete the second and third sentences of the second paragraph of the explanation.</li> <li>Add a new paragraph at the start of the explanation to read "The quality of Wellington's wind energy resources means that wind energy development in the City's rural or open space areas the life of the Plan. The national, regional and local benefits of such developments needs to be considered, along with any actual and potential adverse effects".</li> </ol>	is likely within
Submitter Number: 405 Submission Number: 18 Support/Oppose: oppose	
In the explanation it talks about additional variables such as 'constructability, transmission, environmental factors, wind resource and land tenure' that may restrict the establishment of wind to are commercial effects which influence profitability which should not influence the plan. Although the variable conditions means that a simply defined buffer zone would be inappropriate the factors, wind resource and land tenure' that may restrict the establishment of wind to are commercial effects which influence profitability which should not influence the plan. Although the variable conditions means that a simply defined buffer zone would be inappropriate the factors.	
Decision Requested:  Amend explanation to include reference to the fact that buffer zones between wind energy facilities and other areas/ activities should be required.	
Section 32 Report	
Specific Provision: Section 32	
Submitter Number: 119 Submission Number: 9 Support/Oppose: oppose	
Any notable changes to Section 6 and 7 of the Act currently receiving submissions could influence the S32 report and its recommendations and hence the proposed changes to the plan. It is how the siting of an experimental turbine would be assessed. The report only sites windfarms and fails to consider current views from other countries e.g. Ireland and Denmark where future of windpower is being rejected for its effect on the distribution grid. It fails to take into consideration other forms of generation such as solar and tidal and industrial power saving initiatives included conservation.	development of
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Renewable Energy Rules.	
Submitter Number: 120 Submission: Submission Number: 12 Support/Oppose: amend	
The section 32 report should list Council's sustainable development strategy and sustainability framework as key documents, as there is a strong implied link between the two. The report (3.4 adverse effects from renewable energy generation - However this lacks contents in that such generation will have fewer adverse effects than any other form of power.	) also refers to
Decision Requested:  1) Add reference to Council's Sustainable Development Strategy and Sustainability Framework as documents. 2) Add to section 3.4 that the adverse effects from renewable energy generation than those from other forms of generation.	າ will be fewer

how the siting of an experimental windpower is being rejected for its	turbine would be assessed. The	report only sites win	ndfarms and fails to consider of	oppose  Indicate the proposed changes to the plan. It is not apparent current views from other countries e.g. Ireland and Denmark where future development of eration such as solar and tidal and industrial power saving initiatives including
conservation.  Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 F	Renewable Energy Rules.			
Submitter Number: 193 Submission: Analysis is insufficient in respect of	Submission Number:  June 1  June 2  Ju	6 and energy conservat	Support/Oppose: tion alternatives.	oppose
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline the wind energy provision i	n their current form.			
Submitter Number: 214 Submission: The section 32 analysis is inadequ	Submission Number:	2 roposed Plan Chang	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 F	·	Toposed Flam Chang	<b>с.</b>	
Submitter Number: 215 Submission: The section 32 analysis is inadequestion Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 F	·	2 roposed Plan Chang	Support/Oppose:	oppose
	to achieve the purpose of RMA.			Oppose  bly assessed the benefits and costs; has not adequately or reasonably assessed whether of communities. The Council has been promoting an activity that will have significant
Decision Requested: Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 F	·			
	to achieve the purpose of RMA.			oppose bly assessed the benefits and costs; has not adequately or reasonably assessed whether of communities. The Council has been promoting an activity that will have significant
<b>Decision Requested:</b> Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 F	Renewable Energy Rules.			

Submitter Number: 405 Submission:	Submission Number:		Support/Oppose:	oppose
market from experience in NZ and o				ar panels in dwellings" and the "of the different renewable energy options available to the iffect to in the coming years".
Decision Requested:	·	<b>0,</b>	, ,	
Not stated.				
Submitter Number: 448 Submission:	Submission Number:		Support/Oppose:	oppose
				nce it in the Section 32 report. The section 32 report also suggests a lack of time and issed sources other than wind (e.g. solar, biomass, total, wave and ocean current).
Decision Requested:	n. It does not provide sumder	it reason for the propo	osai to nave so simply dismi	issed sources other than wind (e.g. solar, biomass, total, wave and ocean current).
Decline and withdraw Plan Change 3	32.			
Submitter Number: 493 Submission:	Submission Number:	1	Support/Oppose:	oppose
The nature of the proposed changes NZ's clean, green unspoilt image is	not adversely effected by poo s not adequately or reasonab	orly placed wind power ly assessed whether	r projects. The Council has i	s national importance on power generation, there is also national importance in ensuring not adequately or reasonably considered alternatives; has not adequately or reasonably essary to achieve the purpose of the RMA accordingly the proposed changes are in
Decision Requested:	ation under section 32 of the R	NVIA.		
Decline and withdraw Chapter 26 Re	newable Energy Rules.			
Plan Change 33				
Specific Provision: G	eneral Submissions	s - Plan Chang	je 33	
Submitter Number: 20 Submission:	Submission Number:	2	Support/Oppose:	oppose
It is vital that continued protection st	ays in place to protect rural a	reas and Quartz Hill.	Quartz Hill is a highly visible	and distinctive landform.
Decision Requested: 1. Quartz Hill be included in planning 2. Recognise the historical, geological			rtz Hill to whole of Wellington	n.
Submitter Number: 41 Submission:	Submission Number:		Support/Oppose:	oppose
The ridgelines and hilltops currently Makara area.	protected by the District Plan	are highly valued lan	dscapes and should continu	ue to be protected. The proposed rules need more work to ensure protection for the
<b>Decision Requested:</b> 1. Quartz Hill be included in the plant	ning mans in the protective ov	verlav		
Quartz Hill be given recognition fo     All ridgelines and hilltops in Makar	r its' important historic, geolog	gical, recreations and		evelopment.

Submitter Number: 42 Submission: Do not turn Makara area into an in Decision Requested: 1. Quartz Hill must be included in p. 2. All ridgetops and hilltops in Mak	protective overlay for important	historic/landscape va	Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 45 Submission: Continue to protect the ridgelines Decision Requested: 1. Include Quartz Hill in planning m 2. Quartz Hill be given recognition	aps and protective overlay.	·		oppose landform. Don't change Makara by putting up wind turbines.
Submitter Number: 60 Submission: I do not want the rural hills of Well the aspirations of the Quartz Hill F Decision Requested: 1. Proposed changes to ridgelines 2. Quartz Hill preserved and protect	Reserve Charitable Trust that was and hilltops be declined.	vishes to create an ex	kpansive natural public park ii	
Submitter Number: 187 Submission: The proposed changes to hilltops Decision Requested: Decline the hilltops and Ridgeline p		osed. It would be a s	Support/Oppose: shame to see wind turbines do	oppose otted along the horizon. There are other areas in Wellington they could go.
Submitter Number: 317 Submission: There is nothing relating to any ot Decision Requested: Protect hilltops and ridgelines from	-		Support/Oppose:	oppose
Submitter Number: 333 Submission: The changes to the ridgelines and Decision Requested:  1) Decline the changes to hilltops a				oppose its natural beauty and character and historic, geological, scientific values. and hilltops are protected.

Submitter Number: 387 Submission: The proposed changes to ridgelines Decision Requested:  1) Quartz Hill be included in the plant		posed.	Support/Oppose: given recognition for its impo	oppose ortant values, 3) that the 'management of' the ridgelines be replace with the wording
'protection of the ridgelines'.				
Submitter Number: 467 Submission:	Submission Number:		Support/Oppose:	oppose
I oppose the changes to the ridgelin	es and nilitops protection. I wa	int to see continued p	rotection for nilitops/ riagelin	les in the rural area.
Decision Requested:  1) Quartz Hill be included in the plant Terawhiti currently protected in the D				ric, landscape, geological and recreational values. 3) All hilltops/ ridgetops in Makara/
Submitter Number: 477 Submission:	Submission Number:	1 5	Support/Oppose:	oppose
I oppose the changes to the ridgelin	es and hilltops protection. I wa	ant to see continued p	rotection for hilltops/ ridgelin	nes in the rural area.
Decision Requested: 1) Quartz Hill be included in the plant Terawhiti currently protected in the D				ic, landscape, geological and recreational values. 3) All hilltops/ ridgetops in Makara/
Submitter Number: 526 Submission:	Submission Number:	1 5	Support/Oppose:	oppose
				eek to preserve unique/ undeveloped character of rural environment. Quartz hills should
Decision Requested: 1) Quartz hill remains a protected rid building of wind power equipment.4)				3) no concessions/ exemptions are applied to wind power structures or the siting/
Submitter Number: 619 Submission:	Submission Number:		Support/Oppose:	oppose
The provisions that change the prote	ection to the riagelines and hillt	tops is opposed.		
<b>Decision Requested:</b> 1)Quartz hill be included in the plann hilltops.	ing maps and the protective ov	verlay. 2) Quartz hill b	e given recognition for its in	nportant historic geological, recreational and landscape values, 3) Protect ridgelines and

1 Scott 5 Malvern Road Berwyn Heights Ngauranga Wellington Wish to be Heard	V	Address for Service (if different):
2 Glassey 1067 Makara Beach Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Ruth	Address for Service (if different):
7 Clementine Way Crofton Downs Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Peter	Address for Service (if different):
Barraclough 38c Agra Cres Khandallah Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Pamela	Address for Service (if different):
5 Cheahy 38/305 Evans Bay Parade Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Glenys	Address for Service (if different):
6 Sullivan 8/7 Henry Street Kilbirnie Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Yvette	Address for Service (if different):
7 Hartley-Smith  Unit 10 154 Onepu Road Lyall Bay Wellington  ✓ Wish to be Heard	Roger Anthony	Address for Service (if different):
9 Robbers South Karori Road Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Nicholas	Address for Service (if different):
Hoen 155 Makara Road Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Marianne	Address for Service (if different):
11 Neal 53 Cecil Road Wadestown Wellington	Warwick	Address for Service (if different):

	St. Clare 411 Makara Road Makara Wellington	Pauline Anne	Address for Service (if different):
	☐ Wish to be Heard		
14	Best	Loraine Cherie	Address for Service (if different):
	571 Ohariu Valley Road Johnsonville Wellington	Cnerie	
_	Wish to be Heard		
15	Best PO Box 13633 Wellington	Martin Cowan	Address for Service (if different):
	─ Wish to be Heard		
16	St. Clare 411 Makara Road	Desmond Martin	Address for Service (if different):
	RD2 Wellington 6005		
_	☐ Wish to be Heard		
17	Reeves 35A Milton Street	Paul	Address for Service (if different):
	Upper Hutt		
18		Donald William	Address for Service (if different):
18	Upper Hutt  Wish to be Heard		Address for Service (if different):
18	Upper Hutt  Wish to be Heard  Mackintosh  16 Duthie Street Karori	William	Address for Service (if different):
	Upper Hutt  Wish to be Heard  Mackintosh  16 Duthie Street Karori Wellington	William	Address for Service (if different):  Address for Service (if different):
	Upper Hutt  Wish to be Heard  Mackintosh  16 Duthie Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard  Sneyd 9 Fitzwilliam Terrace Tawa	William Stanley	
19	Wish to be Heard  Mackintosh  16 Duthie Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard  Sneyd 9 Fitzwilliam Terrace Tawa Wellington	William Stanley	
19	Wish to be Heard  Mackintosh  16 Duthie Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard  Sneyd 9 Fitzwilliam Terrace Tawa Wellington  Wish to be Heard  Reeves 35A Milton Street	William Stanley Dr Elizabeth	Address for Service (if different):
19	Wish to be Heard  Mackintosh  16 Duthie Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard  Sneyd 9 Fitzwilliam Terrace Tawa Wellington  Wish to be Heard  Reeves 35A Milton Street Upper Hutt  Wish to be Heard	William Stanley Dr Elizabeth	Address for Service (if different):

22	Fair 329 Karori Road Karori Wellington	Craig	Address for Service (if different):
_	Wish to be Heard		
23	Locke 8C Sierra Way RD1 Upper Hutt	John Leslie	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
24	Kirk 8A Campbell Street Karori	Roy	Address for Service (if different):
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
25	<b>Robbers</b> 155 Makara Road Wellington	Justin	Address for Service (if different):
26	Wish to be Heard  Nicholls 5B/172 Oriental Parade Wellington	Rex	Address for Service (if different):
27	370 South Makara Road RD	William Ellis	Address for Service (if different):
	Wellington 5		
	Wish to be Heard		
28	Stehbens 370 South Makara Road RD Karori Post Office Wellington	Jean Smith	Address for Service (if different):
	☐ Wish to be Heard		
29	Ryan 410 Makara Road Makara Wellington	Luke	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
30	Ryan 410 Makara Road Makara Wellington	Julie	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
31		Pamela Ann	Address for Service (if different):
	☐ Wish to be Heard		

32	Wellington Tenths Trust 11 Pipitea Street Thorndon		Morris Te W Love	Address for Service (if different):
	Wellington			
33	Wish to be Heard  Dixon 22 Kenwyn Terrace Newtown Wellington	Kenneth		Address for Service (if different):
<u> </u>	Wish to be Heard			A.I. ( O : (", 1",)
34	Ransfield 1074 Makara Road Makara Wellington  W Wish to be Heard	Huia		Address for Service (if different):
35	Westerby 70 Holburn Drive Stokes Valley Lower Hutt  Wish to be Heard	Bruce Hector		Address for Service (if different):
36	Waters 40 Sheridan Terrace Johnsonville Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Joan		Address for Service (if different):
37	7 Martin 125 Tirohanga Road Lower Hutt Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Richard		Address for Service (if different):
38	Martin 125 Tirohanga Road Tirohanga Lower Hutt  Wish to be Heard	DJ		Address for Service (if different):
39	Carter 84 Derham Road RD1 Otaki  Wish to be Heard	Graham		Address for Service (if different):
40	Carter  84 Derham Road  RD1  Otaki  Wish to be Heard	Heather		Address for Service (if different):
41	O'Leary  498 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Margaret Patricia		Address for Service (if different):

42	O'Leary 498 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Jack Evan	Address for Service (if different):
43	Thomas 1020 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Lynley	Address for Service (if different):
44	Thomas 1020 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Frank Ernest	Address for Service (if different):
45	Sayer 463 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Colin Robert	Address for Service (if different):
46	Hawkins  1011 Makara Road Makara RD2 Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Geraldine Maria Theresa	Address for Service (if different):
47	Maude 345 South Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Robyn	Address for Service (if different):
48	Maude 345 South Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Kimberly	Address for Service (if different):
49	Sayer 463 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Raewyn Mary	Address for Service (if different):
50	Jorgensen 7A Salisbury Avenue Mt Cook Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Dylan Mark	Address for Service (if different):
51	Hawkins 7A Salisbury Avenue Mt Cook Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Kate Victoria	Address for Service (if different):

52	Cone Unit 20, 2 Dr Taylor Terrace Johnsonville Wellington	James Christopher	Address for Service (if different):
53	Taylor-Offord 10 Friend Street Karori Wellington	Sharon Joan	Address for Service (if different):
54	Wish to be Heard  Shearer  1081 Makara Beach Road RD2  Makara  Wellington  ✓ Wish to be Heard	Peter	Address for Service (if different):
55	St. Clare 72 Totara Park Road Maoribank Upper Hutt  Wish to be Heard	Shane	Address for Service (if different):
56	Samuelson-Sandvid 879 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Peter	Address for Service (if different):
57	Wilkinson 140/8 Opau Road Makara Wellington	Daniel	Address for Service (if different):
58	Hart 8/140 Opau Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Serena Joyce	Address for Service (if different):
59	McCrae 1016 Makara Road Makara Wellington RD2  Wish to be Heard	Martin	Address for Service (if different):
60	Mason 67 Southgate Road Melrose Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Lyle	Address for Service (if different):
61	Caldwell 8 Estuary Street Makara Beach Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Colin	Address for Service (if different):

62	Harding-Shaw 81 Hazelwood Avenue Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	David	Address for Service (if different):
63	Thomas  Atarau Road Blackball RD1	Helen Rosemary	Address for Service (if different):
_	Westland  Wish to be Heard		
64	Thomas 253 South Makara Road Makara Wellington	Amy	Address for Service (if different):
65	Hancox 4 Monowai Road Johnsonville Wellington	Albert & Ivy	Address for Service (if different):
66	Gribbon Baker  1 Pine Terrace Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Michael	Address for Service (if different):
67	Bowen 394 Makara Road Makara RD2 Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Bruce	Address for Service (if different):
68	Murphy  40 South Karori Road Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Donald Raymond	Address for Service (if different):
69	Geard 5 Wesley Road Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Malcolm	Address for Service (if different):
70	Miller 409 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Suzanne	Address for Service (if different):
71	Miller 409 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Hayden	Address for Service (if different):

72	Shearer	Judith	Address for Service (if different):
	388 Makara Road RD Makara		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard	•	Address for Comics (if different).
73	Brenan 37 Durham Street	Jane	Address for Service (if different):
	Aro Valley		
	Wellington		
	A Mich to be Heard		
74	Wish to be Heard  Stanley	Dr T V	Address for Service (if different):
74	11 Thorby Street	DI I V	Address for Gervice (ii dilicicity).
	Kelburn		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
75	Morrison	Robbie	Address for Service (if different):
	47a Hungerford Road		
	Houghton Bay Wellngton 6003		
70	Wish to be Heard	lavdan	Address for Service (if different):
76	Patterson	Jaydan Malcolm	, addices for obtained (ii dilletett).
	210 South Makara Road		
	Makara Wellington		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
77	Patterson 210 South Makara Road	Treena Rose	Address for Service (if different):
	Makara Makara Road		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
78	Patterson	Caleb James	Address for Service (if different):
	210 South Makara Road		
	Makara		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
79	Patterson	Heather Louisa	Address for Service (if different):
	210 South Makara Road		
	Makara		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
80	Patterson	James Bartley	Address for Service (if different):
	210 South Makara Road	•	
	Makara		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
81	Warren	John William	Address for Service (if different):
	17 Gipps Street Karori		
	Wellington		
_	✓ Wish to be Heard		
			Page 170

82	Wooller 3 Elizabeth Avenue Heretaunga	Deborah	Address for Service (if different):
83	Lowe 54 Izard Road Khandallah Wellington	Janice Ellen	Address for Service (if different):
84	Wish to be Heard  Wiffin  8B Beauchamp Street Karori Wellington	Maurice John	Address for Service (if different):
85	Duncan  769 Takarau Gorge Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Peter Stephen	Address for Service (if different):
86	Barry 36 Doncaster Terrace Ascot Park Porirua  Wish to be Heard	Lynnda Ruth	Address for Service (if different):
87	Gillespie  Te Wharangi Farm 769 Takarau Gorge Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Bronwyn & Bryan	Address for Service (if different):
88	Duncan Te Wharangi Farm 769 Takarau Gorge Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Denise Irene	Address for Service (if different):
89	Turnbull 21 G Millward Street Newtown Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Helen Cynthia	Address for Service (if different):
90	Walker 415 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Peter Andrew	Address for Service (if different):
91	Revill  123 Messines Road  Karori  Wellington	Helen	Address for Service (if different):

92	123 Messines Road Karori Wellington	Lucy Jane	Address for Service (if different):
93	Delany 125 Messines Road Karori Wellington	Helen	Address for Service (if different):
94	Wish to be Heard  Sculley 8 Darwin Street Karori Wellington	Sandy	Address for Service (if different):
95	Wish to be Heard  Hindle 68 Chung Road Plimmerton Wellington	Rawiri	Address for Service (if different):
96	Revill 123 Messines Road Karori Wellington	Tom	Address for Service (if different):
97	Christensen 952 Makara Road Makara Wellington	Carole	Address for Service (if different):
98	Christensen 952 Makara Road Makara Wellington	Neil	Address for Service (if different):
99	Wish to be Heard  Nicholson  1059 Makara Road  RD2  Karori	Guy Fortier	Address for Service (if different):
100	Wellington  Wish to be Heard  Lean  PO Box 17-217  Karori  Wellington	Jenni	Address for Service (if different):
101	Wish to be Heard  Joseph 669 Takarau Gorge Road Makara RD2 Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Angela Ellen	Address for Service (if different):

102	Cooper	Darryn Michael	Address for Service (if different):
	669 Takarau Gorge Road		
	Makara RD2		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
103	Joseph	Stanley Fraser	Address for Service (if different):
	669 Takarau Gorge Road		
	RD2 Makara		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		Address (se Osmiss ('f d'ffsess))
104	Malcolm PO Box 147	Jenny	Address for Service (if different):
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
105	Sturman	Ann Beatrice	Address for Service (if different):
	65 Friend Street Karori		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
106	Jenkin	Lindsay and	Address for Service (if different):
	51 Takarau Gorge Road	John Baker	
	Ohariu Valley		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
107	<b>Shearer</b> 11 Kowhai Street	Selina	Address for Service (if different):
	Linden		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
108	Shearer	Charles	Address for Service (if different):
	11 Kowhai Street Linden		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
109	Bowen	John	Address for Service (if different):
	1000 Makara Road Makara		
	RD2		
	Wellington		
110	Wish to be Heard  Bowen	Ivan	Address for Service (if different):
110	1000 Makara Road	17411	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Makara RD2		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard	_	Address for Oard (W.P.C.)
111	Cortes 8 Bann Street	Denyse	Address for Service (if different):
	Island Bay		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		

112	Waters 19 Manchester Street Petone Wellington	Rex Albert		Address for Service (if different):	
113	Cortes 8 Bann Street Island Bay Wellington	John		Address for Service (if different):	
114	Strange 20/8 Girton Terrace Mt Cook Wellington	Paula		Address for Service (if different):	
115	O'Dell 20/8 Girton Terrace Mount Cook Wellington	Jane		Address for Service (if different):	
116	Beedell 185 South Makara Road Makara Wellington	Tracy		Address for Service (if different):	
117	Wish to be Heard  Karori Golf Club  Box 17 006  Wellington		S Crookston	Address for Service (if different):	
118	Tunnicliffe 26 Helen Street Brooklyn Wellington	Philip		Address for Service (if different):	
119	Warren 509 South Makara Road Karori RD2 Wellington	Michael		Address for Service (if different):	
120	Napier 220 Cockayne Road Ngaio Wellington	Angus		Address for Service (if different):	
121	Pyper 25 Bedford Street Wilton Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Joy		Address for Service (if different):	

122	PoehIs 1012 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Jan	Address for Service (if different):
123	Warren 509 South Makara Road Karori RD2 Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Janet	Address for Service (if different):
124	Fletcher 31 Shirley Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Anne Beaton	Address for Service (if different):
125	Penfold 60 South Makara Road Makara RD2 Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Rachel Jayne	Address for Service (if different):
126	Penfold  60 South Makara Road Makara RD2 Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Anthony Brian	Address for Service (if different):
127	Ginty  1 Kenmore Street  Newlands  Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Daniel Kevin	Address for Service (if different):
128	Reid 67 Waikowhai Street Ngaio Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Fiona	Address for Service (if different):
129	Chapman 45 Beauchamp Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Steven Mark	Address for Service (if different):
130	Chapman  45 Beauchamp Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Edwin Santino	Address for Service (if different):
131		Jerome	Address for Service (if different):

132	Chapman 45 Beauchamp Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Pani	Address for Service (if different):
133	Chapman 45 Beauchamp Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Victoria	Address for Service (if different):
134	Sutherland 50 South Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Lesley Jayne	Address for Service (if different):
135	Sutherland 50 South Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Samuel	Address for Service (if different):
136	Baguley  600 Makara Road  Makara  Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Christopher Gordon	Address for Service (if different):
137	Kvalsvig 600 Makara Road Makara Wellington  ✓ Wish to be Heard	Amanda Jane	Address for Service (if different):
138	Turnbull  1076 Makara Road  Makara Beach  Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Michela Keren	Address for Service (if different):
139	Ong 74 Tarawera Road Raroa Wellington 04 9388200  Wish to be Heard	Su-Wuen	Address for Service (if different):
140	Nicholson 15 Wilton Road Wilton Wellington  ✓ Wish to be Heard	DJ	Address for Service (if different):
141	Turner 474B Makara Road RD2 Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Kevin Francis	Address for Service (if different):

142	Department of Conservation PO Box 5086 Wellington		Jeff Flavell	Address for Service (if different):
143	Wish to be Heard  Turner  474B Makara Road  Makara  RD2  Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Jennifer		Address for Service (if different):
144	Wellbelove 15 Lomita Road Johnsonville Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Barry		Address for Service (if different):
145	<u> </u>	Linda Rosemary		Address for Service (if different):
146	Hughes Po Box 2551 Wellington  ✓ Wish to be Heard	Paul		Address for Service (if different):
147	90 Mortimer Terrace Brooklyn Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Shaun Dermot		Address for Service (if different):
148	Butler 203 Cambridge Terrace Lower Hutt Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Alan W		Address for Service (if different):
149	Prusser  18 Chapman Crescent Naenae Lower Hutt  Wish to be Heard	Mary Florence		Address for Service (if different):
150	Bowen 1000 Makara Road Makara RD2 Wellington	Kim		Address for Service (if different):

151	Prossen 18 Chapman Crescent Naenae Wellington	George	Address for Service (if different):
152	Wish to be Heard  Karakassidis  11 Rata Street  Naenae  Lower Hutt  Wish to be Heard	Shirley	Address for Service (if different):
153	Karakassidis 11 Rata Street Naenae Lower Hutt  Wish to be Heard	Alexander	Address for Service (if different):
154	Renner  407 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Christopher Michael	Address for Service (if different):
155	Renner 407 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Ingrid	Address for Service (if different):
156		Rui	Address for Service (if different):
157		Yuki	Address for Service (if different):
158	Warren 20 Hohiria Road Hataitai Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Mary	Address for Service (if different):
159	Warren 20 Hohiria Road Hataitai Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Austen	Address for Service (if different):
160	West 8 Henderson Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Michael	Address for Service (if different):

8 I Ka	<b>/est</b> Henderson Street arori /ellington	Sheila	Address for Service (if different):
Ma W	06 South Makara Road akara 'ellingnton	Deborah Lynne	Address for Service (if different):
163 Co	Wish to be Heard  ompton 06 South Makara Road akara dellington  Wish to be Heard	Daniel Frank	Address for Service (if different):
11 Ka	Thite I South Karori Road arori dellington Wish to be Heard	Julia	Address for Service (if different):
Ka	Thite 7 South Karori Road arori dellington Wish to be Heard	Michelle	Address for Service (if different):
30 Ma	teele D1 South Makara Road akara D2 dellington Wish to be Heard	Margaret	Address for Service (if different):
Kr	oleman ) Calcutta Street nandallah 'ellington	Lauren	Address for Service (if different):
Kr		Warrick	Address for Service (if different):
32 Isl	aldock 2 Arun Crescent land Bay 'ellington  Wish to be Heard	Andre	Address for Service (if different):
Pa	ameron 84a Flightys Road auatahanui orirua Wish to be Heard	Braden	Address for Service (if different):

171	Cameron 234a Flightys Road Pautahanui Porirua	Keana	Address for Service (if different):
_	Wish to be Heard		
172	Cole 3 Wych Elm Close Great Glen Leichester LE8967 England Wish to be Heard	Roger	Address for Service (if different):
173	Daly 35a Waipapa Road Hataitai Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Treena	Address for Service (if different):
174	Boyd  904 Ohariu Valley Road Ohariu Valley Wellington	Maureen & Jack	Address for Service (if different):
175	Lavery 560 Makara Road Makara Wellington	Brian	Address for Service (if different):
176	Wish to be Heard  Simmons 590 Makara Road Makara Wellington	Max	Address for Service (if different):
177	✓ Wish to be Heard  Campbell  25 Kaitangata Crescent Kelson Hutt City	Pamela	Address for Service (if different):
178	Wish to be Heard  Hansen 49 Cottle Park Drive Normandale Lower Hutt	Paul	Address for Service (if different):
179	Wish to be Heard  Hansen  49 Cottle Park Drive  Normandale  Lower Hutt	Marie	Address for Service (if different):
192	Wish to be Heard  Jennings	Mary	Address for Service (if different):
102	25 Elizabeth Drive Huntingdon Cambs England  Wish to be Heard		

183	Jennings 25 Elizabeth Drive Huntingdon Cambs England  Wish to be Heard	Leslie	Address for Service (if different):
184	Vines 21 Willmott Road Bassingbourn NR Royston SG85LW England Wish to be Heard	Sandra	Address for Service (if different):
185	Vines 21 Willmott Road Bassingbourn NR Royston SG85LW England  Wish to be Heard	Craig	Address for Service (if different):
186	Marriage 204/33 Frederick Street Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Guy	Address for Service (if different):
187	Callaghan  20 Takarau Gorge Road Ohariu Valley Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Christine & Bob	Address for Service (if different):
188		Frances	Address for Service (if different):
189	Blades 140 Main Road Titahi Bay Porirua  Wish to be Heard	Sarah Louise	Address for Service (if different):
190	Saunders 28D Bidwell Street Mount Cook Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Kate	Address for Service (if different):
191	Jappinen 11 Richmond Avenue Karori Wellington  ✓ Wish to be Heard	Alison	Address for Service (if different):
192	Freear PO Box 13-952 Christchurch  Wish to be Heard	Chris	Address for Service (if different):

193 Paul Address for Service (if different): Ruth and Christopher Moore PO Box 6436 Te Aro Wellington ✓ Wish to be Heard 194 Makara Ohariu Ruth Paul Address for Service (if different): **Community Board** PO Box 6436 Marion Square Wellington ✓ Wish to be Heard Rachel Address for Service (if different): Denee 195 96 Constable Street Newtown Wellington ✓ Wish to be Heard 196 Kenna Address for Service (if different): **Ferguson** 12F/20 Oriental Terrace Wellington ✓ Wish to be Heard 197 New Zealand Wind Address for Service (if different): **Energy Association** PO Box 553 Wellington ✓ Wish to be Heard McComish Address for Service (if different): **Johanne** 201 9 Reading Street Karori Wellington Wish to be Heard Address for Service (if different): 202 Horsley **Michael** Box 17-230 Karori Wellington Wish to be Heard 203 Ryan Address for Service (if different): Judy 177 Ohiro Road Brooklyn Wellington Wish to be Heard 204 Ball Address for Service (if different): Nigel 10 Corunna Avenue Newtown Wellington Wish to be Heard

205	Steele 301 South Makara Road Makara RD2 Wellington	David		Address for Service (if different):
	✓ Wish to be Heard			
206	Mighty River Power			Address for Service (if different):
200	Ltd   Wish to be Heard			Cowper Campbell Eloise Gibson PO Box 3399 Auckland
207	Holkham Holdings Ltd		B Millage	Address for Service (if different):
207	PO Box 2973 Wellington		2 Millage	Address to Gervice (ii dilicioni).
_	✓ Wish to be Heard			
208	Millage  Apt 2B 163 The Terrace  Wellington	Barbara Beryl St Alan		Address for Service (if different):
_	✓ Wish to be Heard			
209	Airways Corporation of New Zealand			Address for Service (if different):
	PO Box 294 Wellington			Opus International Consultants Limited Cathy Swan PO Box 12-003 Wellington
	Wish to be Heard			
210	PB Power PO Box 668 Wellington		Ralph Rogge	Address for Service (if different):
	☐ Wish to be Heard			
211	Offergeld 61 Hanson Street Newtown Wellington	Britta		Address for Service (if different):
_	Wish to be Heard			
212	Transpower New Zealand			Address for Service (if different):
	Po Box 1021 Wellington			Burton Consultants PO Box 33-817 Takapuna Auckland
=	✓ Wish to be Heard			
213	Pohatu 27 Arun Crescent Island Bay Wellington	Jan		Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard			

	224	Oliver	Gary	Address for Service (if different):
L		38 Tennyson Street Petone		
		Wellington		
Г		Wish to be Heard		Address for Comics (if different).
	225	von Craning 3A Buxton Avenue	Elaine	Address for Service (if different):
L		Karori		
		Wellington		
		Wish to be Heard		
ľ	226	O'Grady	IJ	Address for Service (if different):
	220	1-29A Parkvale Road		(
		Karori		
		Wellington		
		Wish to be Heard		
ſ	227	Allen	Lyn	Address for Service (if different):
		27 Dover Road		
		Wainuiomata Wellington		
		vvoiiiigtori		
	_	Wish to be Heard		
Ī	228		David	Address for Service (if different):
		650 Makara Road Makara		
		Wellington		
-		✓ Wish to be Heard		
	229	Frost 650 Makara Road	Cecilia	Address for Service (if different):
L		Makara Makara		
		Wellington		
		NA/inh to be Heard		
Г	222	Wish to be Heard  Tedder	Mostin	Address for Service (if different):
	230	7 Waitui Cres	Martin	Address for Service (ii different).
ŀ		Lower Hutt		
		Wellington		
		Wish to be Heard		
ľ	231	Isaako	Oli	Address for Service (if different):
		6 Shackleton Grove		
		Stokes Valley Wellington		
		vvoiiiigtori		
	_	Wish to be Heard		
Ī	232	Isaako	Molekio	Address for Service (if different):
ļ		6 Shackleton Grove Stokes Valley		
		Wellington		
		-		
	_	Wish to be Heard		
	233	Milne	Wulfe	Address for Service (if different):
L		25/54 Moxham Avenue Hataitai		
		Wellington		
	_	Wish to be Heard		

234	Frost 6 Merritt Way Newlands Wellington	Bernadette	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
235	Anslow 52 Military Road Northland Wellington	Malcolm	Address for Service (if different):
	✓ Wish to be Heard		
236	Marshall 135 Park Road Belmont Hutt City  ✓ Wish to be Heard	Glen	Address for Service (if different):
237	Anslow 1014 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Tania	Address for Service (if different):
238	Naik 4 Randwick Crescent Lower Hutt	Larry	Address for Service (if different):
239	Wish to be Heard  O'Leary  320 South Karori Road  Karori  Wellington	Brenda	Address for Service (if different):
240	Wish to be Heard  Mills  592 Makara Road  Makara  RD2  Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Jenifer	Address for Service (if different):
241	Wiley 15 Vasanta Avenue Ngaio Wellington  Wish to be Heard	К	Address for Service (if different):
242	Schofield 32 Shirley Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Helen	Address for Service (if different):
243	Tait 7 Stratford Way Wilton Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Carolyn	Address for Service (if different):

244	Foley 41 Humber Street Island Bay Wellington	Lawrence	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
245	Johnston 144 Campbell Street Karori Wellington	William	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
246	Mills 592 Makara Road RD2 Makara Wellington  ✓ Wish to be Heard	John	Address for Service (if different):
247	Shaw 62 Akaroa Drive Maupuia Wellington	David	Address for Service (if different):
248	Robertson 18 Boom Rock Road Ohariu Valley Wellington	Vikki	Address for Service (if different):
249	Wish to be Heard  Makara Playcentre 650 Makara Road  Makara	C A Frost	Address for Service (if different):
	Wellington 04 4766268  Wish to be Heard		
250	04 4766268  Wish to be Heard  Lacey  1083 Makara Road  Makara Beach  Wellington	Thomas	Address for Service (if different):
	04 4766268  Wish to be Heard  Lacey 1083 Makara Road Makara Beach Wellington  Wish to be Heard  Baker 1083 Makara Road Makara Beach Wellington	Thomas	Address for Service (if different):  Address for Service (if different):
251	Ush to be Heard  Lacey  1083 Makara Road Makara Beach Wellington  Wish to be Heard  Baker  1083 Makara Road Makara Beach Wellington  Wish to be Heard  Lundberg  4 Spenmoor Street Newlands Wellington		
251	04 4766268  Wish to be Heard  Lacey 1083 Makara Road Makara Beach Wellington  Wish to be Heard  Baker 1083 Makara Road Makara Beach Wellington  Wish to be Heard  Lundberg 4 Spenmoor Street Newlands	Suzanne	Address for Service (if different):

254	<b>Fisher</b> 127 Grafton Road Roseneath Wellington	David	Address for Service (if different):
255	Cudby 965 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Vicki	Address for Service (if different):
256	Bowen 965 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Graham	Address for Service (if different):
257	Edmond  88 Elizabeth Street Mt Victoria Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Grace	Address for Service (if different):
258	Walker 415 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Peta	Address for Service (if different):
259	Walker 415 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Geoffrey	Address for Service (if different):
260	Griffen 137 South Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Hannah	Address for Service (if different):
261	Stoddart 88 Volga Street Island Bay Wellington	Warren	Address for Service (if different):
262	Redmond 174 Makara Road RD2 Karori Wellington Wish to be Heard	Donna	Address for Service (if different):
263	Samuelson-Sandvid 879 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Alison	Address for Service (if different):

264	<b>Trathelakis</b> 44 Rata Road Hataitai Wellington	Anna	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
265	McDonald 771 Takara Gorge Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Kasia	Address for Service (if different):
266	Matthews  23a Canterbury Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Makere	Address for Service (if different):
267	Skipper 82a Tinakori Road Thorndon Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Kirsty	Address for Service (if different):
268	Barton 23a Canterbury Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Clifford	Address for Service (if different):
269	Lawton 75 Weld Street Wadestown Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Richard	Address for Service (if different):
270	Curtis 12/6 Elizabeth Street Mt Victoria Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Elana	Address for Service (if different):
271	Riden 14 Selwyn Terrace Thorndon Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Bruce	Address for Service (if different):
272	Fisher 66 Lyall Parade Lyall Bay Wellington  Wish to be Heard	llan	Address for Service (if different):
273	Bowen 457 Makara Road Karori Wellington	John Francis	Address for Service (if different):

274	Gaskin 37 Darwin Street Karori Wellington	Gloria	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
275	Aberhart 57c Todman Street Brooklyn Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Rachel	Address for Service (if different):
276	Norton 2 Runelagh Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Anne	Address for Service (if different):
277	Walker 415 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Michelle	Address for Service (if different):
278	Casey 212 Adelaide Road Newtown Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Rhys	Address for Service (if different):
279	Bloomfield 116 Parkvale Road Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Mary-Therese	Address for Service (if different):
280	Smithard  11 Espin Crescent Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Teresa	Address for Service (if different):
281	Thomas 253 South Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Casey	Address for Service (if different):
282	Straatsburg 21E Maida Vale Road Roseneath Wellington  Wish to be Heard	John	Address for Service (if different):
283	Montgomery 29 The Parade Island Bay Wellington	Laura	Address for Service (if different):

	Willcocks 46 Gordon Road Plimmerton Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Michelle	Address for Service (if different):
285	Morgan-Band 83 Donald Street Wellington	Helen	Address for Service (if different):
286	Cook 9 Lewter Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Anne	Address for Service (if different):
287	Gaskin 380 Makara Road RD2 Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Warren	Address for Service (if different):
288	Chamber c/- Blakey Avenue Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	James	Address for Service (if different):
289	Kelly 5 Taupiri Crescent Titahi Bay Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Catherine	Address for Service (if different):
290	Howard 163 The Parade Island Bay Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Michael	Address for Service (if different):
291	Cudby-Bowen 965 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Sarah	Address for Service (if different):
292	Sathyapala 56 Percy Dyett Drive Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Ajith	Address for Service (if different):
293	Skachill 451 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	lan William	Address for Service (if different):

294	Watts 30 Pharazyn Street Lower Hutt	Michael	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
295	<b>Evans</b> 13 Totara Close Karori Wellington	Richard	Address for Service (if different):
296	Wish to be Heard  Howard  12/48 Fountain Court  Oriental Parade  Wellington	Joanna	Address for Service (if different):
297	Wish to be Heard  Harrison  13 Blakey Avenue  Karori  Wellington	Joyce	Address for Service (if different):
298	Wish to be Heard  Hampshire  Number 4 Flat 9 Akaroa Driv  Maupuia  Wellington		Address for Service (if different):
299	Wish to be Heard  Angus 13 Williamson Way Karori Wellington	Felicity	Address for Service (if different):
300	Wish to be Heard  Nicole 28 Paddington Street Karori Wellington	Philippa	Address for Service (if different):
301	Wish to be Heard  Brown 2 Hurman Street Karori Wellington	Susan	Address for Service (if different):
302	Wish to be Heard  Cassidy-Rait  19 Fettes Crescent Seatoun Wellington	Rebecca	Address for Service (if different):
303	Wilson 4 Hadfield Terrace Kelburn Wellington	Alex	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		

304	Briggs 237 Darlington Road Miramar Wellington	Richy	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
305	Natoli 140 Derwent Street Island Bay Wellington	Darlene	Address for Service (if different):
_	Wish to be Heard		
306	Pfeffer  10 Nottingham Street Karori Wellington	Estelle	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
307	32 Konini Road Hataitai Wellington	Haley	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
308	Thomas 253 South Makara Rd Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Dianne	Address for Service (if different):
309	Robinson 15 Campbell Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Janet	Address for Service (if different):
310	•	Jose	Address for Service (if different):
_	Wish to be Heard		
311	Agnew Flat 1. 27A Monaghan Aven Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	<b>William</b> ue	Address for Service (if different):
312	Bosma 1/55 Cheyton Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Julie	Address for Service (if different):
313		Graeme	Address for Service (if different):

314	<b>Teo</b> 25 Mudie Street Alicetown Lower Hutt	Mrs		Address for Service (if different):
315	Wish to be Heard  Searle Flat B2, Lincoln Court	Paula		Address for Service (if different):
	1 Washington Avenue Brooklyn Wellington  Wish to be Heard			
316	Briggs 1063 Makara Beach Road Makara Beach Wellington	Judith		Address for Service (if different):
	✓ Wish to be Heard			
317	Poole 49 Tireti Road Titahi Bay Wellington	Kim		Address for Service (if different):
318	Paerata 91 View Road	Gina		Address for Service (if different):
	Melrose Wellington			
319	Wish to be Heard  Pakipaki	Natalie		Address for Service (if different):
	21a Vancouver Street Kingston Wellington			
	Wish to be Heard			
320	<b>Teo</b> 25 Mudie Street Alicetown Lower Hutt	Andrew		Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard			
321	New Zealand Photovoltaic Association Inc PO Box 576 Wellington		Ian Shearer	Address for Service (if different):
	─ Wish to be Heard			
322	Regional Public Health		Chris Edmonds	Address for Service (if different):
	Private Bag 31-907 Lower Hutt			
_	✓ Wish to be Heard			
323	Wright 6 Purakau Avenue Wadestown	Richard		Address for Service (if different):
	Wellington			

324	<b>Zeelie</b> 134 South Makara Road	Melissa		Address for Service (if different):
'	Makara Wellington			
_	Wish to be Heard			
325	Sustainable Energy Forum Inc			Address for Service (if different):
	PO Box 11-152 Wellington			
	Wish to be Heard		B.1: B.11 :	
326	Miramar / Maupuia Progressive Association		Robin Boldarin	Address for Service (if different):
	10 Torridon Road Miramar Wellington			
227	Wish to be Heard  Piddington	KW		Address for Service (if different):
321	PO Box 12-592 Thorndon Wellington			,
	✓ Wish to be Heard			
328	Frances Robinson Architects 15 Talavera Terrace		Frances Robinson	Address for Service (if different):
	Lambton Wellington			
_	✓ Wish to be Heard			
329	Genesis Power Limited		Katie Buckley	Address for Service (if different):
	Genesis Energy PO Box 17-188 Greenlane			
	Auckland  Wish to be Heard			
330	Solar Industries Association PO Box 11-595 Wellington			Address for Service (if different):
_	✓ Wish to be Heard			
331	East Harbour Management Services Ltd PO Box 11-595		Brian Cox	Address for Service (if different):
	Wellington			
-	Wish to be Heard	Parry.		Address for Service (if different):
332	<b>Doyle</b> 222 Karori Road Karori	Barry		nadioss for outvice (ii dilleterit).
	Wellington			
_	✓ Wish to be Heard			

333	Burch 35 Kano Street Karori	Judith		Address for Service (if different):
	Wellington  Wish to be Heard			
334	Griffin 7 Sunrise Boulevard Tawa	Joyce		Address for Service (if different):
	Wellington  Wish to be Heard			
335	Wind Farm Group Po Box 58-987 Greenmount Auckland  Wish to be Heard		Alistair Yates	Address for Service (if different):
336	Foster 30 Campbell Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Michael		Address for Service (if different):
337	Dragovich 4 Estuary Street Makara Beach RD2 Wellington  ✓ Wish to be Heard	Gordon		Address for Service (if different):
338	Grace 11 Lochiel Road Khandallah Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Christine		Address for Service (if different):
339	Makara Guardians Incorporated Society 590 Makara Road RD2 Wellington  Wish to be Heard			Address for Service (if different):
340	Meridian Energy Ltd PO Box 2454 Christchurch		Fiona Hill	Address for Service (if different):
341	Energy Efficency and Conservation Authority PO Box 388 Wellington		Selwyn Blackmore	Address for Service (if different):
	✓ Wish to be Heard			

342	Wellington Windfarm Limited		Address for Service (if different):
			Resource Management Research Services Unit 7A 5 Wakefield Street Petone
	✓ Wish to be Heard		
343	Long Gully Station		Address for Service (if different):
	Trust		
			Resource Management Research Services Unit 7A 5 Wakefield Street Petone
	Wish to be Heard		A.I. ( O : (')   (')
344	Shenval Holdings Limited		Address for Service (if different):
			Resource Management Research Services Unit 7A 5 Wakefield Street Petone
	Wish to be Heard	Do do ou	Address for Convince (if different):
345	Bryant	Rodney Arnold	Address for Service (if different):
	67 Creswick Terrace		
	Northland		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
346	Baird	Barbara	Address for Service (if different):
340	PO Box 3252		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
347	Taylor	Peter	Address for Service (if different):
347	41A Woodhouse Avenue		,
•	Karori		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
240	Abbott	Allan	Address for Service (if different):
349	95 Percy Dyett Drive	7.11411	,
•	Karori		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
350	Velvin	Sally	Address for Service (if different):
330	40A Friend Street	·	
•	Karori		
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
360	•	G	Address for Service (if different):
300	21 Makara Road	-	
	Karori		
	Wellington		
_	Wish to be Heard		

361	Frohling 8 Ranelagh Street Karori Wellington	Gunter	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
362	Monk 1065 Makara Road Makara Wellington	Jan	Address for Service (if different):
363	Wish to be Heard Williams PO Box 33-277 Petone	Richard	Address for Service (if different):
364	Jorgensen 405 Makara Road RD2 Wellington	Jennifer	Address for Service (if different):
365	Wish to be Heard  Smyth 7 Rawhiti Terrace Kelburn Wellington	Patricia	Address for Service (if different):
366	Richards 38 Beauchamp Street Karori Wellington	JDL	Address for Service (if different):
367	Wish to be Heard  O'Driscoll  33 Richmond Avenue Karori Wellington	Peter	Address for Service (if different):
368	Wish to be Heard  Hawkins  413 Main Road  Makara  Wellington	Anthony	Address for Service (if different):
369	Wish to be Heard	William	Address for Service (if different):
370	Wish to be Heard  Gill  373 South Makara Road  Makara  Wellington	Maryanne	Address for Service (if different):
_	✓ Wish to be Heard		

David	Address for Service (if different):
Norma	Address for Service (if different):
John	Address for Service (if different):
Jill	Address for Service (if different):
Nathaniel	Address for Service (if different):
Gavin	Address for Service (if different):
Imelda	Address for Service (if different):
Brian	Address for Service (if different):
0	Address for Comics (if different).
Geomrey	Address for Service (if different):
Pamelia	Address for Service (if different):
	Norma  John  Jill  Nathaniel  Gavin  Imelda  Brian  Geoffrey

381	Simmonds 63 Standen Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Ainsley		Address for Service (if different):	
382	Russell 771 Takarau Gorge Road Ohariu Valley Wellington	Stephen		Address for Service (if different):	_
	Wish to be Heard			Address (se Osmiss ('Califfrance)	_
383	Krebs 18 Oriental Terrace Oriental Bay Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Roy		Address for Service (if different):	
384	Gill 373 South Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Nicola		Address for Service (if different):	=
385	Makara Pony Club  320 South Karori Road Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Brenda O'Leary	Brenda O'Leary	Address for Service (if different):	
386	Kirkcaldie 7 Laidlaw Way Karori Wellington	Graeme		Address for Service (if different):	_
387	Russell 771 Takarau Gorge Road Ohariu Valley Wellington	Stephen		Address for Service (if different):	_
388	Wish to be Heard  Merder 4 Lambeth Road Northland Wellington	Alex		Address for Service (if different):	_
389	Zeelie 134 South Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Charmaine		Address for Service (if different):	_
390		Brian		Address for Service (if different):	_

391	Jervis 445 Makara Road RD2 Makara Wellington	Simon	Address for Service (if different):
392	Wish to be Heard  Jervis  445 Makara Road  RD2  Makara  Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Paul	Address for Service (if different):
393	Lowe 20 Victory Avenue Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Mary	Address for Service (if different):
394	Marett 4 Mewburn Rise Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Sally	Address for Service (if different):
395		Nira	Address for Service (if different):
396	Vinjimoore 16 Chamberlain Road Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Raghavan	Address for Service (if different):
397	Heaney 13 Cargill Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Rosemary	Address for Service (if different):
398		Kirstin	Address for Service (if different):
399	Jessep 8B Baxter Way Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Dennis	Address for Service (if different):
400	Young 21 Wyndrum Avenue Waterloo Lower Hutt  Wish to be Heard	Kathy	Address for Service (if different):

401	33 Thatcher Crescent Crofton Downs Wellington	Kevin	Address for Service (if different):
402	Makara Model School 399 Makara Road Makara Wellington		Address for Service (if different):
403	Wish to be Heard  Watts 21 Woodhouse Avenue Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Frederick	Address for Service (if different):
404	Wilford 20 Cheshire Street Wilton Wellington	Nicki	Address for Service (if different):
405	Taylor 10 Laurent Place Kingston Wellington	M G	Address for Service (if different):
406	McCarthy 50 Hathaway Avenue Karori Wellington	Daniel	Address for Service (if different):
407	McCarthy 50 Hathaway Avenue Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Linda	Address for Service (if different):
408	Robinson 23 Buxton Avenue Karori Wellington	Riwa	Address for Service (if different):
409	Zdrahal 320 South Karori Road Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Paul	Address for Service (if different):
410	Lockhart 62 Friend Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Sue	Address for Service (if different):

411	Gilmour 74 Kano Street Karori	Simon	Address for Service (if different):
	Wellington  Wish to be Heard		
412	Stubbersfield 91 Standen Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Darren	Address for Service (if different):
413	Griffin 384 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Debbie	Address for Service (if different):
414	Wilson 9 Awarua Street Ngaio Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Warwick	Address for Service (if different):
415	Wilson 9 Awarua Street Ngaio Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Gudrun	Address for Service (if different):
416	Lake 12 Plumer Street Johnsonville Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Katrina	Address for Service (if different):
417	Shelley 15 Marsden Avenue Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Elizabeth	Address for Service (if different):
418	Saunders 13 Cargill Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Н	Address for Service (if different):
419	Mason 80A Woodhouse Avenue Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Stella	Address for Service (if different):
420	Dyer 40 Victory Avenue Karori Wellington	Rosina	Address for Service (if different):

421	738 Makara Road Makara RD Wellington	Jane Anne	Address for Service (if different):
422	Wish to be Heard  Arnold 738 Makara Road Makara RD Wellington Wish to be Heard	Brian	Address for Service (if different):
423	Griffin 27 Friend Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Julie	Address for Service (if different):
424	Harray 6A Pimble Avenue Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Jayne	Address for Service (if different):
425	Urquhart  113 Thurleigh Grove Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Lynne	Address for Service (if different):
426	Nicholls 73 Percy Dyett Drive Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Diane	Address for Service (if different):
427	Carroll 20 Cheshire Street Wilton Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Dean	Address for Service (if different):
428	Thompson  16 Tisdell Street  Karori  Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Alastair	Address for Service (if different):
429	Randall  18 Campbell Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Mike	Address for Service (if different):
430	Kahaki 187 Orangi Kaupapa Road Northland Wellington	Mateheke	Address for Service (if different):

431 Atkins 269 Karori Ro Karori Wellington		Address for Service (if different):
Faircloth 11 Baroda Str Khandallah Wellington  Wish to be		Address for Service (if different):
433 Coe 58C Godley S Lower Hutt		Address for Service (if different):
434 Stott 413 Main Roa Makara Wellington  Wish to be	<b>Ruth</b> ad	Address for Service (if different):
435 Adrian 1084 Makara Makara Wellington  Wish to be		Address for Service (if different):
436 Bennett 17 Darghan S Glebe Sydney Australia Wish to be		Address for Service (if different):
Roper 2/65 Highbury Kelburn Wellington  Wish to be		Address for Service (if different):
438 West Tawa Developme Partnership	•	Address for Service (if different):  Spencer Holmes Limited PO Box 588 Wellington Attn: Ian Leary
Poehls 50 McKillop S Porirua  Wish to be	<b>Martin</b> treet	Address for Service (if different):
Lefao 16 Waihemo Waitangirua Porirua  Wish to be		Address for Service (if different):

441	Jackson 62 Oakleigh Street Maungaraki Lower Hutt  Wish to be Heard	Trish		Address for Service (if different):	
442	Cook 40 Truro Road Camborne Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Joanne		Address for Service (if different):	
443	Parker 125 Overtoun Terrace Hataitai Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Lance		Address for Service (if different):	
444	Bailey 85 Cheyne Road Tauranga  Wish to be Heard	Spencer		Address for Service (if different):	
445	Konservative Investments Proprietary Ltd			Address for Service (if different):  Spencer Holmes Limited PO Box 588 Wellington Attn: Ian Leary	
446	Forbes 18 Dunns Street Silverstream Wellington	Lisa		Address for Service (if different):	
447	Franklyn 18 Aparima Avenue Miramar Wellington Wish to be Heard	Patricia		Address for Service (if different):	
448	Tararua Tramping Club (Inc.) PO Box 1008 Wellington  Wish to be Heard		Michael Taylor	Address for Service (if different):	
449	Armstrong 10 Whanake Street Titahi Bay Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Victor		Address for Service (if different):	
450	Thomas 354 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Valerie		Address for Service (if different):	

451	Thomas 354 Makara Road Makara Wellington	Ken		Address for Service (if different):	
452	Wish to be Heard  Kavanagh 74 Fairlie Terrace Kelburn Wellington	Jessica		Address for Service (if different):	
453	Wish to be Heard  Greater Wellington Regional Council PO Box 11-646 Wellington		Tami Woods	Address for Service (if different):	
454	Wish to be Heard  Meo 4 Martha Place Chartwell Wellington	Kim		Address for Service (if different):	
455	Wish to be Heard  Meo 4 Martha Place Chartwell Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Tristan		Address for Service (if different):	
456	Stead 73A Holland Street Wainuiomata  Wish to be Heard	Murray		Address for Service (if different):	
457	Gargiulo 2/478 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Timothy		Address for Service (if different):	
458	Volpicelli 2/90 Allington Road Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Tony		Address for Service (if different):	
459	Brown 329 Main Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Fred		Address for Service (if different):	
460	Stephens 478 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Fraser		Address for Service (if different):	

461	Rountree 8 Hildreth St Karori Wellington	B.H	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
462	Thomas 91 Makara Road Karori	Brent	Address for Service (if different):
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
463	Page 2/478 Makara Road	Amanda	Address for Service (if different):
	Makara Wellington		
	☐ Wish to be Heard		
464	Barber 48 Friend Street Karori Wellington	Murray	Address for Service (if different):
	☐ Wish to be Heard		
465	Trotter 14 Wrights Hill Road Karori Wellington	Gillian	Address for Service (if different):
	☐ Wish to be Heard		
466	Goddard 28 Marjory Close Broadmeadows Wellington	Eleanor	Address for Service (if different):
_	Wish to be Heard		
467	Orchard 71 Simla Crescent Khandallah Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Steve	Address for Service (if different):
468	Kettle	John	Address for Service (if different):
	119 Messines Road Karori Wellington		
_	☐ Wish to be Heard		
469	Doyland 10 Lawson Place Mount Victoria Wellington	Cathie	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		A.I. ( O
470	Callisen 6 Clony Avenue Kelburn Wellington	Christian	Address for Service (if different):
	☐ Wish to be Heard		

471	Toms 118 Totara Road Miramar Wellington	Sharon	Address for Service (if different):
	Wellington  Wish to be Heard		
472	Khare-Zodgekar 65 Beauchamp Street Karori Wellington	Swati	Address for Service (if different):
473	Crawford 102 Churton Drive Churton Park Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Mark	Address for Service (if different):
474	Boodee 41 Woodman Drive Tawa Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Richard	Address for Service (if different):
475	Crozier 7A Bays Road Plimmerton Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Lisa	Address for Service (if different):
476	Gurr 46A Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	М	Address for Service (if different):
477	Sutherland 24B Norway Street Aro Valley Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Amanda	Address for Service (if different):
478	Gurr 46A Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Alan	Address for Service (if different):
479	Cairns 38 Lowes Place Masterton Wairarapa  Wish to be Heard	Redvers	Address for Service (if different):
480	Cairns 38 Lowes Place Masterton Wairarapa	Milda	Address for Service (if different):

481 Taylor 41A Woo Karori Wellingto	<b>Liz</b> odhouse Avenue on	Address for Service (if different):
482 Braines 1/380 Ma Makara Wellingto	ain Road	Address for Service (if different):
483 Hart 161 Stok Stokes V Wellingto	on	Address for Service (if different):
484 Purcell 43A Sout Makara Wellingto		Address for Service (if different):
485 Morisor 22 Montg Karori Wellingto	omery Avenue	Address for Service (if different):
486 William 88 South Karori Wellingto	Karori Road on	Address for Service (if different):
Paterso 23 Cocka Ngaio Wellingto	ayne Road on	Address for Service (if different):
488 Golding	nine Avenue	Address for Service (if different):
489 Mannin 101 Maka Makara Wellingto	ara Road	Address for Service (if different):
490 Jurewic 434 Sout Makara Wellingto	h Makara Road	Address for Service (if different):

491	<b>Lewis</b> 67 Awarua Street Ngaio Wellington	David	Address for Service (if different):
	_		
492	Monk 1065 Makara Beach Road Makara Wellington	Paris	Address for Service (if different):
_	Wish to be Heard		
493	Jorgensen 12 Wavell Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Christian	Address for Service (if different):
494	12 Wavell Street Karori Wellington	Bronya	Address for Service (if different):
495	Wellbelove 1076 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Gary	Address for Service (if different):
496	Renner 407 Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Daniel	Address for Service (if different):
497	Renner 215 The Parade Island Bay Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Hans	Address for Service (if different):
498	Hawke 1087 Makara Road Makara RD2 Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Ramon	Address for Service (if different):
499		Karen	Address for Service (if different):
500	Koopu 75 Weld Street Wadestown Wellington	Pauline	Address for Service (if different):

	501	Purdie 5 Rochester Street Wilton Wellington	Bruce	Address for Service (if different):
		_		
	502	Niven 626 Ohariu Valley Road Johnsonville Wellington	Rodney	Address for Service (if different):
		Wish to be Heard		
	503	Niven 626 Ohariu Valley Road Johnsonville Wellington	Margaret	Address for Service (if different):
Γ	504	Wish to be Heard  Mason	Gordon	Address for Service (if different):
L		1010 Whitemans Valley Roa Upper Hutt	d	
Γ		✓ Wish to be Heard  Dreyer	Julie	Address for Service (if different):
		The Serengeti 1010 Whitemans Valley Roa Upper Hutt	d	
Γ	FOC	Wish to be Heard  Dawson	Lance	Address for Service (if different):
	506	1007 Whitemans Valley Roa RD1 Upper Hutt		Address to Colvido (il dillotoni).
		Wish to be Heard		
	507	<b>Dawson</b> 1007 Whitemans Valley Roa RD1 Upper Hutt	<b>Maree</b> d	Address for Service (if different):
-		Wish to be Heard		Address (as Osmics (fed)(fesse))
	508	Evans 92 Ngaio Road Waikanae Kapiti Coast	Lloyd	Address for Service (if different):
Γ	509	Wish to be Heard  Dreyer	Jared	Address for Service (if different):
	309	30 Quadrant Heights Paraparaumu Kapiti Coast  Wish to be Heard	<b></b>	
Γ	510	Stewart	June	Address for Service (if different):
	310	1259 High Street Lower Hutt		
		Wish to be Heard		

511	21 Kipling Street Johnsonville	Victoria	Address for Service (if different):
_	Wellington  Wish to be Heard		
512	Mason 12 Cornford Street	Della & Nathan Frew	Address for Service (if different):
	Karori Wellington		
513	Wish to be Heard  Russell c/- 4 Amritsar Street Khandallah Wellington	Steffan	Address for Service (if different):
514	Wish to be Heard  Russell c/- 4 Amritsar Street Khandallah Wellington	Aliesha	Address for Service (if different):
515	Wish to be Heard  Everingham	Hayler	Address for Service (if different):
	Weir Houes Gladstone Terrace Kelburn Wellington Wish to be Heard		
516	Jorgensen 405 Makara Road RD2 Wellington	Ralph	Address for Service (if different):
517	Wish to be Heard  Swann	Pauline & Athol	Address for Service (if different):
	47 Mairangi Road Wadestown Wellington  Wish to be Heard		
518	Russell 4 Amritsar Street Khandallah Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Grant	Address for Service (if different):
519	Russell 4 Amritsar Street Khandallah Wellington	Kallash	Address for Service (if different):
520	Stanton 4/16 Ross Street Kilbirnie Wellington	К	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		

521	<b>Tamaki</b> 1 Neale Street Matata Whakatane	Lorraine	Address for Service (if different):
_	Wish to be Heard		
522	<b>Denny</b> 465 Makara Road Makara Wellington	Carol	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		Address (see Oserica ('Ad'Massa))
523	Denny 465 Makara Road Makara RD2 Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Т	Address for Service (if different):
524	Clarke 465 Makara Road Makara RD2 Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Cyril	Address for Service (if different):
525	Burke 304A The Esplanade Island Bay Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Rodney	Address for Service (if different):
526	Wutzler  1072 Makara Road Makara Wellington	Carl David Cecil	Address for Service (if different):
527	Wish to be Heard  Horsley 416 Makara Road Makara Wellington	Jennifer	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
528	Lourie 74 Hall Road Atiamuri Rotorua	Aaron	Address for Service (if different):
529	Crossling P O Box 17018 Karori	Anna	Address for Service (if different):
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
530	-	Paul	Address for Service (if different):

531	<b>Sukhalal</b> 102 Aro Street Wellington	Harivadan	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
532	Borgdorff 28 Blakey Avenue Karori Wellington	Dick	Address for Service (if different):
_	Wish to be Heard		
533	Parker 47 McKinley Crescent Brooklyn Wellington	Vynn	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
534	Wright 25/125 Grant Road Thorndon Wellington  ✓ Wish to be Heard	Heather	Address for Service (if different):
535	Proffitt 50 Standen Street Karori Wellington	Wendy	Address for Service (if different):
536	Roberts 9 Lynmouth Avenue Karori Wellington	Graeme	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
537	Nielsen PO Box 17197 Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Kristine	Address for Service (if different):
538	Karaitiana 23 South Karori Road Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Judith	Address for Service (if different):
539	Leaney	John & Brodie	Address for Service (if different):
	31 South Makara Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard		
540	Leaney 31 South Makara Road Makara Wellington	David	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		

541	<b>Delaney</b> 380 Makara Road Makara Wellington	Sacha	Address for Service (if different):
542	Wish to be Heard  Delaney  380 Makara Road  Makara  Wellington	Jeffrey	Address for Service (if different):
543	Taylor 74A Sunshine Avenue Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Chris	Address for Service (if different):
544	Byrne 72A Hazlewood Avenue Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Leonie	Address for Service (if different):
545	Jarvis 120 Sefton Street Wellington	Felicity	Address for Service (if different):
546	Wish to be Heard  Neil 2G 31 Pirie Street Mount Victoria Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Celia	Address for Service (if different):
547	Leaney 31 South Makara Road Makara Wellington	Janette	Address for Service (if different):
548	Hawkins 731 Takarau Gorge Road Ohariu Valley Wellington	Susan	Address for Service (if different):
549	Hawkins 731 Takarau Gorge Road Makara Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Philip	Address for Service (if different):
550	Berry c/- Po Box 38-090 Petone  Wish to be Heard	Linda	Address for Service (if different):

551	<b>Gibson</b> 50 Miro Street Miramar	Karl	Address for Service (if different):
	Wellington		
	Wish to be Heard		
552	McDonald 50A Standen Street Karori Wellington	Caitlin	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
553	Stephens 384 Makara Road Wellington	Linda	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
554	Stubbe 41 Homewood Crescent Karori Wellington	Maria	Address for Service (if different):
_	Wish to be Heard		
555	Karthik 103A Allington Road Karori Wellington	J	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
556	Milner 13 West Road Wellington 5	Helen	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
557	·	Garth	Address for Service (if different):
_	Wish to be Heard		
558	Renata 103 Allington Road Karori Wellington	S	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
559	<b>Direen</b> 6B Joll Street Karori Wellington	Marie	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
560	McDonald 68A Percy Dyett Drive Karori Wellington	Bryan	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		

561	253 South Makara Road Makara Wellington	George	Address for Service (if different):
562	232 Makara Road South Makara Wellington	Joe	Address for Service (if different):
563	Langman  18 Monaghan Avenue Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	David	Address for Service (if different):
564	Russell 771 Takarau Gorge Road Ohariu Valley Wellington	Karin	Address for Service (if different):
565	Cusher 31 Campbell Street Karori Wellington	Maija	Address for Service (if different):
566	Croskie  84A Happy Valley Road Owhiro Bay Wellington	Shelly	Address for Service (if different):
567	Eastall 101 Happy Valley Road Owhiro Bay Wellington	Josh	Address for Service (if different):
568	Wish to be Heard  Gordon  290 South Karori Road  Karori  Wellington	Helen	Address for Service (if different):
569	Russell 771 Takarau Gorge Road Ohariu Valley Wellington	Kirsty	Address for Service (if different):
570	Wish to be Heard  Natoli 140 Derwent Street Island Bay Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Joe	Address for Service (if different):

Karo	Parkvale Road	Tim	Address for Service (if different):
572 Sim 346 Lyall Well	Vish to be Heard  Reon  Queens Drive I Bay Ilington  Vish to be Heard	David	Address for Service (if different):
573 Dor 36 V Tital Well		Kylie	Address for Service (if different):
574 Poh 30 A Kard Well	natu Illington Road	Bill	Address for Service (if different):
575 Ben 1422 Opo	<b>nnett</b> 2 Waiotahiua Beach tiki	Siau	Address for Service (if different):
576 McC 473 Kard Well	Makara Road	Margaret	Address for Service (if different):
577 Fea 703 Mak Well	i <b>rn</b> Makara Road	Mike	Address for Service (if different):
578 Jerv 445 RD2 Mak Well	<b>vis</b> Makara Road ?	Kaye	Address for Service (if different):
579 Patt 19 W Nort Well		Jess	Address for Service (if different):
580 Spe 19 W Nort Well		С	Address for Service (if different):

581	<b>O'Flaherty</b> 253B South Makara Road Makara Wellington	Wendi		Address for Service (if different):
EOO	Wish to be Heard  Makara Country		Gordon Mason	Address for Service (if different):
582	Garage & Store Limited  1010 Whitemans Valley Roa Whitemans Valley Wellington  W Wish to be Heard	ad	Colocilinaceii	Acaress for estives (if amerent).
583	Potter	Allan		Address for Service (if different):
303	14 Selwyn Road Napier			
_	Wish to be Heard			A.I. ( O : ('/ I'' )
585	Diederich 10125 SW 59th Avenue Pinecrest Florida USA  Wish to be Heard	Bernard		Address for Service (if different):
586	Petersen 89 Nottingham Street Karori Wellington	Janine		Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard	A		Address for Comics (if different).
587	Picking 311A Karori Road Karori Wellington	Aaron		Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard			
588	Carter 588 Main Road Makara Wellington	Briar		Address for Service (if different):
589	Carter 588 Makara Road Makara Wellington	James		Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard			
590	Carter 588 Makara Road Makara Wellington	Deane		Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard			
591	Carter 588 Makara Road Makara Wellington	Lynne		Address for Service (if different):
	✓ Wish to be Heard			

592	Allen 27 Dover Road Wainuiomata Wellington	Anthony	Address for Service (if different):
593	Wish to be Heard  Christison  11 Crawford Green	Grace	Address for Service (if different):
	Miramar Wellington		
594	Whitlow 28 Marjory Close Broadmeadows Wellington	Dean	Address for Service (if different):
595	Wish to be Heard	Peter	Address for Service (if different):
	5 Coromandel Street Newtown Wellington		
596	Wish to be Heard  Wagner PO Box 17018  Karori	Deborah	Address for Service (if different):
-	Wellington  Wish to be Heard  Balson	Brenda	Address for Service (if different):
597	30 Wharerangi Road Napier	Dienua	Address for derived (if different).
598	Wish to be Heard  Murdoch  87A Karori Road  Karori  Wellington	Philippa	Address for Service (if different):
599	Wish to be Heard  Houston 4 Collier Avenue Karori Wellington	Annie	Address for Service (if different):
600	Wish to be Heard  Heather  57 Karepa St	Paul	Address for Service (if different):
	Brooklyn Wellington  Wish to be Heard		
601	Gordon 290 South Karori Road Karori Wellington	G	Address for Service (if different):
_	✓ Wish to be Heard		

602	Stockwell 2 Paisley Terrace Karori Wellington	lan	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
603	<b>Duke</b> 74 Sunshine Avenue (Lower Karori Wellington		Address for Service (if different):
_	Wish to be Heard		
604	Askin 295 South Karori Road Karori Wellington	Elizabeth	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
605	King 25 Willcox Crescent Naenae Lower Hutt	M R	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
606	McAllister 78 Creswick Terrace Northland Wellington	Ann	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
607	Faircloth 11 Baroda Street Khandallah Wellington	Dorothy	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
608	Spruce 223 Mansfield Street Newtown Wellington	Stephen	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
609	Taylor PO Box 17018 Karori Wellington	Jason	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
610	Sanders 12 Cargill Street Karori Wellington	Graeme	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		
611	Shaker 37 Kano Street Karori Wellington	Roy	Address for Service (if different):
	Wish to be Heard		

612	<b>McGrail</b> 291 Karori Road Karori	Julie	Address for Service (if different):
	Wellington  Wish to be Heard		
613	Bluck 5/62 South Karori Road Karori Wellington	Andrew	Address for Service (if different):
614	Wish to be Heard  Fellows  22 Nether Green Crescent Johnsonville Wellington	Geoffrey	Address for Service (if different):
615	Fellows 22 Nether Green Crescent Johnsonville Wellington	Benjamin	Address for Service (if different):
616	Wish to be Heard  Briggs 1074 Main Road  Makara Beach  Wellington	Barry	Address for Service (if different):
617	Welsh 27 Firth Terrace Karori Wellington Wish to be Heard	John	Address for Service (if different):
618	Delaney 380 Makara Road Makara RD2 Wellington Wish to be Heard	Deborah	Address for Service (if different):
619	Fejos 5 Dasent Street Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Elizabeth	Address for Service (if different):
620	Trotter Te Kowhai Road RD1 Otaki  Wish to be Heard	Sir Ronald	Address for Service (if different):
621	Island Bay Residents' Association 15 High Street Island Bay Wellington		Address for Service (if different):

622	Southern Environmental Association (Wellington) Inc 260 Mitchell Street Brooklyn Wellington			Address for Service (if different):
	✓ Wish to be Heard			
623	Wellwind Energy Wellwind Energy Itd Unit 21/369 Adelaide Road Newtown Wellington  Wish to be Heard		Simon Prout	Address for Service (if different):
624	Reeve PO Box R 341 Royal Exchange NSW 1225 Australia Wish to be Heard	Karleen		Address for Service (if different):
625	Singh  142 Sutherland Road Melrose Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Sunita and Gavin Dench		Address for Service (if different):
626	Vector Limited P O Box 998882 Newmarket Auckland  ✓ Wish to be Heard		Mark Taylor	Address for Service (if different):
627	Horne 28 Kaihuia St Northland Wellington  ✓ Wish to be Heard	John		Address for Service (if different):
628	Wilton 8 Futuna Close Karori Wellington  Wish to be Heard	Michael		Address for Service (if different):
629	Federation of Wellington Progressive & Res Assoc 19 Beazley Ave Paparangi Wellington  Wish to be Heard		Peter Graham	Address for Service (if different):
630	Wabnitz 269 Dowse Drive Maungaraki Lower Hutt  ✓ Wish to be Heard	Guenter		Address for Service (if different):

	631	Green Party		Paul Bruce	Address for Service (if different):
		272 Ohiro Rd			
		Wellington			
		✓ Wish to be Heard			
		• Wish to be Heard			
(	632	Matthews	Keith and Helen Shaw		Address for Service (if different):
		PO Box 2199			
		Wellington			
		☐ Wish to be Heard			