# Introduction

Submission of Proposed District Plan Change 83 Kiwi Point Quarry Form 5, Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

## **Privacy Statement**

All information included in submissions (including name and contact details) are provided in their entirety to elected members. Your personal information will be used for administration purposes only, including informing you about this proposed Plan Change.

Your name and address for service will be made available to the public, per the requirements of the Resource Managament Act,

1991 (RMA). For more information about these requirements please see Clauses 6-8a of the RMA.

All information collected will be held by Wellington City Council, with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information. For more information about privacy at Wellington City Council see our privacy statement.

#### **Submitter Details**

First Name: **Dean**Last Name: **Soldera** 

On behalf of: Dean & Vivienne Soldera

eMail: dean.soldera@xtra.co.nz

Prefered Methd of Contact \*

Trade competition and adverse effects:

C I could G I could not

gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission

Clam Clam not

directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that :

- a. adversely affects the environment, and
- b. does not relate to the trade competition or the effects of trade competitions.

Note to person making further submission. A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days of making the further submission to the Council

Wishes to be heard:

- Yes
- <sup>6</sup> I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Correspondence to:

- Submitter
- Agent
- Both

#### Submission

The specific provisions of proposed District Plan Change 83 that my submission relates to are as follows (give details below)

#### Comments

Rezoning a land area from 'open space' to 'business'.

My submission is that ... (You should include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended. You should also state the reasons for your views)

#### Comments

I am against the variation to the District Plan on the grounds that the re-zoned area appears to be closer to the residential area and the current area used for blasting. Because of this, future operations are likely to have an increased negative impact on residential structures in terms of shaking and, in some cases where concrete or masonry is involved, the risk of cracking. The experiencing of anxiety and fear concerning these effects is very real for the people involved. Actual experience has shown that using a distance measure alone (e.g. 100m. buffer zone) as a means of determining the effects of a blasting programme on surrounding residential areas is absolutely not reliable. At the moment, the requirement for the quarry operator to control the blasting activities in order to ensure the impacts on residential properties is too general and will not necessarily achieve the objective of mitigating outcomes to achieve a balanced result between commercial operations and the well-being of residents.

I seek the following decision from Council (please give precise details)

### Comments

A test regime procedure to ensure that blasting in any new area meets the Council objectives of ensuring the environmental impacts are satisfactory in terms of impacts on residents.

**Attached Documents** 

File

No records to display.

### **Need Help?**

# **Privacy Statement**