

WELLINGTON CITY DISTRICT PLAN – DPC77

Submission form on publicly notified Proposed District Plan Change 77

Curtis Street Business Area

FORM 5 Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

SUBMISSIONS CAN BE

Posted to	District Plan Team Wellington City Council PO Box 2199 Wellington 6011	 25 FEB 2013
Delivered to	Ground floor reception Civic Square/101 Wakefield Street Wellington	 RECEIVED
Faxed to	801 3165 (if you fax your submission, please post or deliver a copy to one of the above addresses) Please use additional sheets if necessary.	
Emailed to	district.plan@wcc.govt.nz	

We need to receive your submission by 5pm, Monday 11 March 2013.

YOUR NAME AND CONTACT DETAILS

Full name Alison McEwen

Full address 4 Paisley Tce, Karori, Wellington 6012

Address for service of person making submission

Email almcewan@xtra.co.nz	Phone 027 2012 113	Fax
---------------------------	--------------------	-----

TRADE COMPETITION AND ADVERSE EFFECTS (select appropriate)

I could / could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

I am / am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

- (a) adversely affects the environment, and
- (b) does not relate to the trade competition or the effects of trade competitions.

Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

THE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS OF PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 77 THAT MY SUBMISSION RELATES TO ARE AS FOLLOWS (Please continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)

My submission relates to the proposal to rezone the current Outer Residential + Open Space zones at 55-85 Curtis St to allow businesses + commercial activities to be built there.

MY SUBMISSION IS THAT

(You should include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended. You should also state the reasons for your views. Please continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary.)

I oppose the plan to change the designation of the Curtis St land. This site is within a recreational + residential area, where business + retail development would be out of keeping with the character of the wider area. I particularly oppose the plan as it will damage (probably destroy) a significant part of the ecological corridor + buffer zone between Zealandia + Otori-Nilton bush. It is extremely disappointing
(cont).

WE SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION FROM THE COUNCIL (Please give precise details.)

Please retain the site as an Outer Residential + Open Space Zone.

PLEASE INDICATE BY TICKING THE RELEVANT BOX WHETHER YOU WISH TO BE HEARD IN SUPPORT OF YOUR SUBMISSION I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submissions. I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submissions.**JOINT SUBMISSIONS** If others make a similar submission, please tick this box if you will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.**IF YOU HAVE USED EXTRA SHEETS FOR THIS SUBMISSION PLEASE ATTACH THEM TO THIS FORM AND INDICATE BELOW** Yes, I have attached extra sheets. (1) No, I have not attached extra sheets.**SIGNATURE OF SUBMITTER***(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)**A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.*

Signature

Date

21/2/13

Personal information is used for the administration of the submission process and will be made public. All information collected will be held by Wellington City Council, with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information.

cont...

that the Council considers re-zoning an area close to important ecological (& tourist) resources such as Zealandia, particularly given the large amount of rate-payers money that has gone into these areas.

I also oppose the plan to change the zone because of the potential for significant adverse impacts on the local community surrounding the site. These may include light & noise pollution, dust, increased traffic volumes & increased parking difficulties. I would encourage the Council to enhance the recreational opportunities in the area rather than develop yet another retail/business area.