

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83:

*Kiwi Point Quarry*

---

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

**June 11, 2018**

**Disclaimer:** This document provides a summary of the decisions requested by persons making submissions on Wellington City Council's Proposed District Plan 83. Whilst every possible care has been taken to provide a true and accurate summary, the information contained in this document is not required by the Resource Management Act 1991 to provide a full account of the submissions received. Accordingly, readers wishing to understand the submissions are advised to refer to the full copy of the original submissions, available upon request or on the [Council's website](#).

**Absolutely Positively**  
**Wellington City Council**  
*Whānau Heke Ki Pōneke*

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
1	Vincent Keating	Apt. 725 134 Burma Road, Johnsonville, Wellington, NZ ,6035	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	The expansion of the south face of the quarry.		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission</b>			
The submitter states support for option 4 for future use.			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
Not stated			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
2	Rob Suisted	16 Kitchener Terrace, Johnsonville, Wellington, NZ 6037	Yes
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The rezoning on the southern side of Kiwi Point Quarry from Open Space B to Business 2</li><li>• The standards relating to the quarrying of the expanded site</li></ul>		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter opposes the proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry and has concerns relating to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Impacts of dust from the quarry in particular:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ the ability to contain the dust within the quarry boundary</li><li>○ the impacts of dust on the submitter's property and photography equipment</li><li>○ the impacts of dust on human health</li><li>○ increase in dust due to the direct line of sight and prevailing wind direction</li><li>○ odour problems from the abattoir on site</li><li>○ the expansion of business activity, which is not desirable around the new areas of housing development around the site</li><li>○ visual effects of the new south face of the quarry as the submitter feels it will be an 'eyesore' as the gateway into Wellington City, and will be a visual contradiction to the environmental values we hold dear, and are developing, in Wellington.</li></ul></li></ul>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
2	Rob Suisted	16 Kitchener Terrace, Johnsonville, Wellington, NZ 6037	Yes
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
<p>The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The submitter opposes the rezoning of the South face from Open Space B to Business 2.</li><li>2. If the rezoning is approved the submitter requests that:<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. stringent controls are placed on quarrying activities based on stipulated and monitored wind speed. Any activity likely to put particulate matter into the air must be halted at moderate or greater wind speeds.</li><li>b. comprehensive monitoring of airborne particulate matter at the boundary of the quarry should be established, at a minimum of the prevailing wind direction sectors</li><li>c. analysis of the mineral content of the Kiwi Point airborne dust particles is carried out with particular regard for long term impacts on human health</li></ol></li></ol>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
3	Geraldine Keith	geraldinekeith52@gmail.com	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Not stated		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter opposes the future development and expansion of the quarry for the following reasons:</p> <p>The submitter understands that the Council needs aggregate for future development, but suggests that the Council relocate the quarry away from residential houses.</p> <p>The submitter has concerns relating to the vibration effects (blasting) from quarry operation as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• the submitter states that blasting at the quarry causes the submitter's house to shake</li><li>• there is no prior warning for these 'blasts'</li><li>• the submitter believes these 'blasts' have cause damage to their property over the years.</li></ul>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
Not stated			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
4	Johnathan Austin	kaliloa@gmail.com	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rezoning of an area on the southern side of Kiwi Point Quarry from Open Space B to Business 2.</li> <li>Introduction of a new objective that recognises the importance of quarrying aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the city.</li> <li>Introduction of a new controlled activity rule that applies to the rezoned southern face expansion area.</li> </ul>		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter opposes the proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:</p> <p>The submitter feels Council has not engaged in genuine consultation with local residents on this issue as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Councillors expressed a pre-determined view in support of the proposal before hearing resident's views.</li> <li>In consideration of this issue greater weight has been given to the views of those who stand to derive commercial benefit from the proposal and non-ratepayers.</li> </ul> <p>Continuation of quarrying is not an appropriate activity for a residential area.</p> <p>Concerns on the impacts of quarrying activity on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>human health in relation to the impacts of dust and noise</li> <li>amenity such as noise and visual effects</li> <li>property values (where no compensation is proposed)</li> </ul> <p>The submitter considers such industrial activity is an 'eyesore' on the northern approaches into the city and detracts from Wellington's proposition as a progressive and environmentally conscious city.</p>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
4	Johnathan Austin	kaliloa@gmail.com	No
<p>The continuation of quarrying will have an impact on image and tourism returns and will negatively impact other existing businesses in the area and prevent the site from being redeveloped to provide additional employment and business opportunities.</p> <p>The submitter feels there is no need for ongoing quarrying in a residential area when alternative supplies of aggregate are available nearby and suggests that the additional cost of importing aggregate from another location has a small impact on overall construction costs.</p>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
<p>The submitter seeks the following decision from Council: Not to proceed with this change to the District Plan.</p>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
5	Tanuja Patnaik	tanujapatnaik9@gmail.com	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	A medium expansion of the south face of the quarry		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Support – medium expansion of the south face of the quarry		
<b>Submission</b>			
The submitter supports the medium expansion of the south face of the quarry for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Quarry expansion could be a great source of employment to the local community.</li><li>• Quarry expansion would support our local industries and economy</li></ul>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
The submitter seeks the following decision from Council: The medium expansion option of the south face of the quarry.			



# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
6	Stan Goodman, Goodman Contractors, Ltd	stan@goodmans.nz	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Kiwi Point Quarry		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission</b>			
The submitter supports the expansion of the quarry for the following reasons: As the Wellington area expands, contractors are limited to only three quarries' that have shrinking resources available. The submitter feels it is vital that quarry material is available to contractors in Wellington from all locations in order to keep competitive pricing up and low transport costs.			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
The submitter seeks the following decision from Council: The submitter is in favour of the extension to the quarry operation.			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
7	Anthony Norton	tony.norton@stuff.co.nz	Yes
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Rezoning an area on the southern side of Kiwi Point Quarry from Open Space B to Business 2.		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter opposes the proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:</p> <p>The submitter has concerns relating to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the ability of the quarry to contain dust and noise impacts within their boundary</li> <li>the ability to maintain land mitigated during the Quarrying process.</li> <li>the vibration effects ('blasting') from quarry operation as it impacts the properties around the site and has potential to cause land instability</li> <li>the potential change in wind flow that could result from the removal of this section of the hillside and impact that this could have on the local area and residents.</li> </ul> <p>The submitter argues that the rezoning of the south face from Open Space B to Business 2 is only a short term solution for supplying rock and suggests that a long term replacement for the quarry needs to be determined now, as this proposal has only a 20 year lifespan.</p> <p>The submitter does not feel there are any real benefits for extending the quarry</p> <p>The submitter believes this expansion will not present Wellington in a 'good light' as the 'gateway to our city'</p>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
7	Anthony Norton	tony.norton@stuff.co.nz	Yes
<p>The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:</p> <p>The submitter opposes the rezoning of the south face from Open Space B to Business 2 as per the concerns stated in their submission and that all activity should be stopped.</p> <p>If rezoning is approved then the submitter requests that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mitigation should start as soon as one area is finished and not delayed till the end of the quarrying process.</li><li>• A mitigation management plan is put in place that details dates, areas to be worked on and when mitigation will be started and completed for each section.</li><li>• That on-going long term monitoring of noise and dust control be implemented.</li></ul>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
8	Jan Brydges-Jones	janbrydges@xtra.co.nz	Yes
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Not Stated		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter opposes the specific provisions relating to the rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Further quarrying is harmful to the environment</li><li>• The impact on the view and overlooking the quarry as further quarrying will spoil the look of the area</li><li>• Concerns of air pollution</li><li>• Concerns relating to the destabilisation of the surrounding area from quarry operation that is impacting the neighbouring houses.</li><li>• The submitter objects to the fact that quarrying is encroaching further onto non-industrial areas and is convinced it is destabilising nearby land, which is not good in an earthquake prone city.</li></ul>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
<p>The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• That further quarrying to be halted.</li><li>• For the council to stop plundering the hillside as not enough research has been done on the effects of the quarrying.</li><li>• The submitter suggests the relocation of the quarry to a site beyond urban areas.</li></ul>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
9	Ryan Halverson, Halverson Civil Ltd	ryan@halversoncivil.co.nz	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Rezoning of an area on the southern side of Kiwi Point Quarry from Open Space b to Business 2 to allow for future development and expansion of the quarry.		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission</b>			
The submitter supports the proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons: The submitter argues the need to ensure future operation of a local quarry, as Halverson Civil Ltd could not operate without a large local quarry due to increasing traffic.			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
The submitter seeks the following decision from Council: The submitter supports quarry expansion.			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
10	Kim Irene Tree	kandatree@xtra.co.nz	Not stated
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Rezoning from Open Space B to Business 2 at Kiwi Point Quarry.		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission</b>			
The submitter opposes the proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The continuation of the vibration effects from the explosive techniques used for quarry operation, and the impacts this has on the local people and properties. The submitter notes these blasts often feel like earthquakes.</li><li>• The submitter also opposes the potential visual effect from the expansion of the quarry that will be visible from all parts of the neighbourhood.</li></ul>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:  That Council reject this proposal.			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
11	Wayne Wright, Woodridge Homes	wayne@woodridge.co.nz	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter supports the proposed expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Roads, buildings, houses, etc. cannot be built without quarries</li><li>• It is in keeping with the existing gorges steep exposed banks and batters</li><li>• The location to the three cities it services is perfect</li><li>• The benefit of local jobs.</li></ul>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
<p>The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:</p> <p>To allow full expansion of the Kiwi Point Quarry.</p>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
12	Gareth Knight	Garethrnight@gmail.com	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Expansion of the quarry to the south side.		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter opposes the proposed expansion to Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:</p> <p>The submitter has concerns relating to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The impact of the expansion on houses on Imran Terrace and the surrounding streets that are situated directly above the proposed area for further extraction</li><li>• The increase in noise from quarry operation</li><li>• Land instability and the long term risk of 'slips' cause by quarry vibrations.</li></ul> <p>The submitter suggests the relocation of the quarry away from a major housing area.</p>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
<p>The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:</p> <p>The expansion plans should be refused or at least curtailed to a very minimal level until an alternative site can be found.</p> <p>To be informed on what compensation is available should damage occur to the properties.</p>			



# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
13	Carol Mundell	carolbeannz@gmail.com	Yes
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	The visual and landscape impacts from the proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry.		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter opposes the proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:</p> <p>The quarry is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• excessively noisy to the point where residents are terrified of sudden and violent explosions</li><li>• excessively dusty which requires regular washing of homes and cars to remove grit and grime that attracts paint destroying mould</li><li>• an 'eyesore' to residents and visitors</li></ul> <p>The submitter has concerns regarding an environmental assessment that has been promised if the decision to expand the quarry goes ahead as the submitter feels any assessment by Council would have a biased outcome.</p>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
<p>The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• That Council instruct Holcim Ltd to close the quarry once the present site is depleted.</li><li>• Holcim's Kiwi Point Quarry should be made to adhere to the terms of safe engagement and stop its offensive air and noise contamination that regularly exceeds beyond its boundaries.</li></ul>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
14	Bruce Gordon Perry	512/134 Burma Road, Johnsonville ,Wellington, NZ,6037	Not stated
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Option 4 maximum expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry.		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission</b>			
The submitter supports the proposed expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Option 4 for maximum expansion is the best option in the long term</li><li>• The other options 2 and 3 lessen the use of the asset and 'water down' the project, adding costs in the long term</li><li>• The submitter feels option 1 is not a serious option.</li></ul>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry</li><li>• The submitter supports some 'green belt' that is proposed but only if they do not lessen the full use of the asset.</li></ul>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
15	Craig Foss, Afrimat Australasia	craig.foss@afrimat.co.za	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Options regarding the south face of Kiwi Point Quarry		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission</b>			
The submitter supports the proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:  It ensures that Wellington has access to as much roading and construction material as possible at a reasonable and comfortable transportation distance.			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:  That option 4 is progressed.			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
16	Ian and Beverley Dawe	Apt 313/134 Burma Road, Johnsonville, Wellington, NZ,6037	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Support for the plan change.		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission</b>			
The submitter supports the proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:  The economics dictate the logic of carrying out this plan.			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
The submitter seeks the following decision from Council: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Supportive of this plan</li><li>• The submitter would like to see replanting of the obsolete areas as promised</li><li>• More effective dust control.</li></ul>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
17	Simon Roche, Powerco Limited	Private Bag 2065, New Plymouth, NZ, 4340	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Proposed Plan Change 83		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Neutral		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter is neutral to the proposed plan change but has concerns regarding the following:</p> <p>The Powerco gas distribution networks in the area of this proposal, in particular the location of a gas pipe on the quarry site.</p> <p>The submitter would like to ensure the proposal does not affect:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the operation, maintenance and upgrading of the gas network</li> <li>restrict legal access and physical access to the network</li> <li>or cause any damage to the existing intermediate pressure gas pipe or regulator station located on the quarry site.</li> </ul>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
<p>The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:</p> <p>To make the Council and land owners aware of existing gas assets within the site in case future development, earthworks, or expansion is planned.</p> <p>Prior to any work in close proximity to Powerco's gas pipe, the "Dial Before You Dig" service should be used for work within 2m of the pipeline.</p>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
18	Brian Stapleton and Kylie McQuellin	brianstapleton75@hotmail.com kyliemcq@live.com	Yes
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Proposed District Plan Change – Kiwi Point Quarry		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter opposes the proposed rezoning and expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:</p> <p>Issues relating to the scope and breadth of consultation such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The poor timing of the consultation in 2017, as the consultation was conducted at the end of the year which clashes with school summer holidays, travel overseas, and Christmas.</li> <li>The range of people who were consulted included non-WCC residents and people who do not live near the quarry which resulted in skewed feedback.</li> </ul> <p>Issues around the Quarry's proximity to housing, schools, crèches, and local retirement village.</p> <p>Issues relating to the impacts from quarry operation such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The impacts of dust on human health</li> <li>The smoke emissions created from quarry operation</li> <li>The potential increase in noise from quarry operation and vehicles operating in the quarry site</li> <li>Additional light pollution</li> <li>The impacts on property values to homes surrounding the quarry</li> <li>Concerns regarding the unknown impacts from extended 'blasting' and potential land instability and earthquake issues</li> </ul>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
18	Brian Stapleton and Kylie McQuellin	brianstapleton75@hotmail.com kyliemcq@live.com	Yes
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Impacts on daily traffic patterns.</li></ul> <p>Concerns relating to the true costs of transporting aggregate.</p> <p>The lack of an assessment for alternative land use options for the site. The submitter suggests the redevelopment of the quarry site into a business park or a park and ride facility.</p> <p>Concerns relating to the visual impact the expansion will have as it is located off of a main arterial road into Wellington and the impact it will have on tourists and visitors. Issues relating to the contractors who received majority of the economic benefits from this quarry.</p> <p>The submitter also provided photographs of the existing conditions on the site and argues that the 'artist impression' of the quarry expansion do not provide the full scope of what the quarry will look like.</p>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
<p>The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>To close Kiwi Point Quarry; OR</li><li>Carry on at Kiwi Point Quarry until aggregate exhausted,</li><li>Transfer operations to Horokiwi or new site,</li><li>Assessment and proposals for alternative uses of the land.</li></ol>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
19	James Anthony Ginty	jim@multicivil.co.nz	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Not stated		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission</b>			
The submitter supports the specific provisions of the District Plan Change 83 because:  The submitter feels Wellington needs this quarry for future growth of the city.			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
Not stated			



# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
20	Brad and Nicola Young	hellobradandnic@gmail.com	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rezoning of an area on the southern side of Kiwi Point Quarry from Open Space B to Business 2.</li> <li>Introduction of a new objective that recognises the importance of quarrying aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the city.</li> <li>Introduction of a new controlled activity rule that applies to the rezoned southern face expansion area.</li> </ol>		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter opposes the rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry and the introduction of a new objective and controlled activity rule for the following reasons:</p> <p>The significant environmental impacts of extending the quarry such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>loss of indigenous forest vegetation</li> <li>reduced vegetation for birds and wildlife</li> <li>the seismic risk to the surrounding land</li> <li>the discharge of contaminants and air pollution.</li> </ul> <p>Concerns relating to the impact on Wellington's gateway experience to visitors and residents traveling into the city.</p> <p>Concerns relating to the validity of Council's ambition to being a socially and environmentally conscious city.</p> <p>The idea that the proposal is a short term solution that will only provide an additional 10 years of aggregate.</p> <p>The question as to whether or not the costs (destruction of natural habitat, gateway values, and disruption and risk to nearby residents) outweigh the benefits of the proposed short term solution. The submitter suggests the Council should consider longer term options.</p>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
20	Brad and Nicola Young	hellobradandnic@gmail.com	No
<p>Issues relating to the initial consultation process, in that it did not consider or properly reflect the submissions from residents located near the quarry and that the proposed expansion of the quarry is biased toward supporting corporate businesses.</p> <p>The submitter argues that majority of the 72 submissions received that favoured maximum expansion were from people living outside of Wellington and who have a direct interest in the aggregate supply.</p> <p>Concerns regarding whether or not the Councillors have full understanding or consideration of the proposal.</p>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
<p>The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:</p> <p>The submitter opposes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Rezoning an area on the southern side of the quarry site from Open Space B to Business 2</li><li>2. Introducing a new objective that recognises the importance of quarrying aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the city</li><li>3. Introduce a new controlled activity rule (i.e. the resource consent standard) that applies to the rezoned southern face expansion area.</li></ol>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
21	Laurie Hart	laurie-hart@outlook.com	Yes
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Not stated		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission</b>			
The submitter supports the proposed rezoning and expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Wellington needs aggregate for building projects and if it is not sourced from this quarry then it has to be carted which adds extra rates and road wear and tear</li><li>Should utilize the natural resource that is available.</li></ul>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
The submitter seeks the following decision from Council:  That consent be granted.			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
22	Matt Peel	signups@madman.net.nz	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Rezoning of an area on the southern side of Kiwi Point Quarry from Open Space B to Business 2 with regard to Plan Change 83</li><li>Standards relating to quarrying work conducted at Kiwi Point Quarry</li></ul>		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission</b>			
The submitter has concerns regarding the proposed expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The ability to contain dust impacts within the quarry boundary, as their property is already coated with a fine brown dust</li><li>The expansion will be an 'eyesore' to all visitors to Wellington coming down Ngauranga Gorge on State Highway 1</li><li>The continued expansion of the quarry in the future as the original intent to be quarried for a 30 year period has already been permitted to extend</li><li>The fact that new housing has been approved by Council in the surrounding area.</li></ul>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council: <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>If the plan change is approved, ensure that it is not possible to extend beyond the expected 30 years, and ensure that mitigation is completed prior to this time.</li><li>Strongly consider not approving this change, instead selecting a better site that is less of an eyesore for all visitors to Wellington.</li></ol>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
23	Allan Mainwaring	allanmainwaring@yahoo.com	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	All provisions relating to proposed District Plan Change 83.		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission</b>			
The submitter opposes the proposed expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Dust and airborne particulates. They create a clear health risk to the community and are already an issue and expanding the quarry will increase this greatly</li><li>2. Poorly conceived land use as the idea of expanding the quarry is picking the easiest possible option, not the best, and a longer term solution should be found that has less impact on the community</li><li>3. The negative visual impact of the quarry is significant already and is an issue for both residents and tourists</li><li>4. Instability of the slopes surrounding the quarry, as slips have been an increasing problem in Wellington and keeping the quarry's current border slopes stable is a major risk, thus expanding the quarry will increase the impact and risk in the area.</li></ol>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:			
For the Council to not expand the Kiwi Point Quarry any further.			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
24	Clive Brodie	clivebrodie@xtra.co.nz	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Proposed District Plan Change – Kiwi Point Quarry		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter opposes the proposed rezoning and expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:</p> <p>Issues relating to the scope and breadth of the consultation as it includes non-WCC respondents who are not Wellington City local tax payers and who are not directly affected by the quarry, which resulted in a biased result.</p> <p>Issues around the Quarry's proximity to housing, schools, crèches, and a local retirement village.</p> <p>Issues relating to the impacts from quarry operation to those in the immediate area such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The impacts of dust and smoke on human health</li> <li>• The smoke emissions created from quarry operation, that are said to be clean but may not be the case</li> <li>• The potential increase in noise from quarry operation and vehicles operating in the quarry site</li> <li>• Additional light pollution</li> <li>• The impacts on property values to homes surrounding the quarry</li> <li>• Concerns regarding the unknown impacts from extended 'blasting' and potential land instability and earthquake issues. The submitter feels this should be addressed before any expansion can be considered</li> <li>• Impacts on daily traffic patterns as additional activity from the quarry will result in even worse traffic in the surrounding areas during peak times.</li> </ul> <p>Concerns relating to the true costs of transporting aggregate and the need to 'save money' by having the quarry in the city.</p> <p>Concerns relating to the visual impact of the expansion and not providing a great image for the 'Coolest Little Capital'. The submitter also provided images of the quarry as</p>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
24	Clive Brodie	clivebrodie@xtra.co.nz	No
evidence. Issues relating to the contractors who received majority of the economic benefits from this quarry.			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:  That WCC should not expand the quarry at Kiwi Point and that the Council begin to look at alternative uses for the site. For example, returning the Kiwi Point Quarry to use as a Business or Industrial Park would encourage long-term business growth with greater income and revenue for the Wellington region.			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
25	Thejananda Wijeweera	twijeweera@gk.net.nz	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	<p>The entirety of the proposals in District Plan Change 83:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Rezone and area on the southern side of the quarry site from Open Space B to Business 2</li> <li>2. Introduce a new objective that recognises the importance of quarrying aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide future growth and development of the city.</li> <li>3. Introduce a new controlled activity rule that applies to the rezoned southern face expansion area.</li> <li>4. Introduce a range of standards relating to the quarrying of the expanded site, and make changes to a range of explanatory text and a number of consequential changes including changes to Planning Maps 22 and 23.</li> </ol>		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter has concerns with the proposed expansion which will extend the quarry operations for the following reasons:</p> <p>The vibrations/effects from Quarry operations as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the vibrations/effects in the new areas will undermine and degrade soil structure, formation, stability, and strength inherent to the properties located directly above the hill, that border the proposed expansion</li> <li>• the risks are amplified significantly given the fact that Wellington is prone to earthquakes</li> <li>• the fact that the most prominent/well documented earthquake fault line is located nearby which adds further risks.</li> </ul> <p>The submitter argues it would be reckless for WCC, its Councillors, and office bearers to promote this plan without first examining the risks professionally (i.e. a comprehensive geophysical/structural survey, comprehensive risk assessment by professionals) and take all necessary steps to mitigate such risks</p> <p>If WCC were to move forward with the expansion, the submitter has noted the right to pursue any action legally at any time in the future.</p>			



# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
25	Thejananda Wijeweera	twijeweera@gk.net.nz	No
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
The Submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:  Take note of their strong objections enumerated above - including the strong warning on the additional risks created to the neighbouring houses/structures.  Not to carry on recklessly without first examining the risks that affects the submitter due to this project and taking appropriate actions to mitigate them.			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
26	Sarah Pennell	sarahjanepennell@gmail.com	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Opposition for the expansion and for the Council to consider closing down and redeveloping Kiwi Point Quarry.		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter opposes proposed District Plan Change 83 for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concerns relating to the impacts from expanding the quarry on houses, schools, and businesses in the surrounding area such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Negative environmental impacts. The quarry already generates noise and dust pollution and an extension would increase these from vehicle and blasting activity, in addition to light pollution from around the clock excavation</li> <li>The increase in the risk of landslides and seismic damage from blasting and excavation</li> <li>The submitter believes an increase in quarry activity will have an adverse impact on those in the vicinity and pose a health risk.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Concerns regarding the Ngauranga water reservoir which is already at risk from earthquakes and seismic activity. The submitter notes the reservoir is in the immediate vicinity of the proposed expansion and questions if there is consideration or contingency for damage and/or pollution to this water supply from blasting and increased quarry activity.</li> <li>Concerns relating to the consultation and engagement of this proposal.</li> <li>The submitter questions Kiwi Point and WCC's honesty with the way the proposal has been presented as the environmental impacts seem to be downplayed and the language used seems biased to expanding the quarry.</li> <li>The submitter indicates the timing of the original consultation at the end of last year (2017) was not ideal as many people were occupied with Christmas/holidays and could not submit.</li> <li>The current consultation also includes non-Wellington City ratepayers and non-WCC respondents which feels inappropriate at this stage of the process.</li> <li>The submitter feels as if this process is a formality and that the expansion of Kiwi Point is a foregone conclusion</li> <li>The submitter feels that the quarry has more than served its original purpose as it has been in business for nearly 100 years and has already been extended.</li> <li>Aesthetics and the impression the expanded area will have on tourists entering the city on State Highway 1.</li> </ul>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
26	Sarah Pennell	sarahjanepennell@gmail.com	No
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The submitter understands future plans for development in Wellington and the need for aggregate but believes enough damage has been done to the land around Kiwi Point quarry and it is time to restore it.</li></ul>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
<p>The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:</p> <p>The submitter opposes proposed District Plan Change 83.</p> <p>The submitter proposes that closure and redevelopment of the site should now be considered. With re-planting there is enough space to have it used for parks and recreation, community or botanic gardens, housing and business developments. There's potential to have retail or business development in the lower basin currently occupied by quarry buildings, while the surrounding hills used for housing and recreation (hiking or mountain biking tracks for example).</p>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
27	Brian Bouzaid	brian.bouzaid@lafargeholcim.com	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Proposal to rezone the land to the south of Kiwi Point Quarry for quarrying and clean filling.		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter supports the proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry because:</p> <p>The region needs access to quarries as shown in prior Plan Change 25 (which the submitter also supported).</p> <p>The submitter notes as part of Plan Change 25, WCC was the first Council in NZ to recognise aggregates are strategic to the city and regions growth and this needs to be further supported with planning to look beyond this to where the aggregates of the future will come from.</p> <p>Without quarries Wellington City, its rate-payers and greater region will pay more for their infrastructural costs.</p>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
<p>The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:</p> <p>To approve the Plan Change in full as detailed.</p>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
28	Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC)	PO Box 11646, Manners Street ,Wellington, NZ, 6142	Yes
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Proposed District Plan Change 83 - Kiwi Point Quarry Extension		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Support with conditions		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter conditionally supports Proposed District Plan Change 83 - Kiwi Point Quarry Extension and seeks further consideration of some particular matters.</p> <p>The submitter supports the Plan Change for its consistency with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Wellington Regional Policy Statement 2010 (RPS)</li> <li>• The Regional Public Transport Plan 2014 (RPTP)</li> <li>• The Regional Land Transport Plan 2015 (RLTP)</li> <li>• The National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 (NPS-FM)</li> <li>• The Proposed Natural Resources Plan (PNRP)</li> </ul> <p>The submitter also supports the Plan Change because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Of the requirement to have a Quarry Management Plan (QMP) (guided by RPS Policies)</li> <li>• The submitter recognises that aggregates in the Wellington region are in short supply.</li> </ul> <p>The submitter is particularly interested in how this plan change will support and contribute to achieving the sustainable management of natural and physical resources in the Wellington Region.</p>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
28	Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC)	PO Box 11646, Manners Street ,Wellington, NZ, 6142	Yes
<p>The submitter has concerns regarding the assessment of biodiversity values such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>the lack of full assessment on the biodiversity significance of the site, in addition to the comments by Wildlands in the Assessment of Environmental Effects (AEE).</li><li>details on the operational phase and post-operation phase impacts, or details on how the identified mitigation sites would be remediated and monitored.</li></ul> <p>The submitter has concerns regarding ecological mitigation as the Plan Change does not currently meet the requirements for mitigation stated in the AEE (on page 16) as the site specified for the preferred mitigation is only twice as large as the affected area.</p>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
<p>The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:</p> <p>Relief sought:</p> <p>The submitter requests that their support for the Plan Change is noted where given and amendments are made where sought.</p> <p>The submitter requests that, prior to WCC making a decision on the Plan change:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>Surveys of freshwater fish and reptile fauna are completed</li><li>Further assessments be undertaken to identify adequate mitigation options</li><li>Further information is provided on the operational and post operational phase impacts, as well as what monitoring regime will be followed once remediation of this area is completed</li><li>The proposed site for mitigation be increased to at least three times the size of the affected area, and</li></ol>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
28	Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC)	PO Box 11646, Manners Street ,Wellington, NZ, 6142	Yes
5. The relevant district plan maps and new text in the QMP method are updated to reflect this.			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
29	Silvia Rudzki and John Savage	Silvia.rudzki@icloud.com	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rezoning of the area on the southern side of Kiwi Point Quarry from Open Space B to Business 2</li> <li>Introduction of a new objective that recognises the importance of quarrying aggregate at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth of the city.</li> <li>Introduction of a new controlled activity rule that applies to the rezoned southern face expansion area.</li> </ol>		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter opposes the proposed rezoning of Kiwi Point Quarry and the introduction of a new objective and new controlled activity for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Oppose the reduction of real estate value of homes close to the quarry</li> <li>• Noise, dust, light and air pollution</li> <li>• Loss of indigenous vegetation</li> <li>• Loss of habitation for fauna</li> <li>• Seismic risks</li> <li>• Unappealing gateway for tourists and residents coming to Wellington</li> <li>• Short lifespan of the proposed quarry of 10 years creating excessive damage.</li> </ul>			



# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
29	Silvia Rudzki and John Savage	Silvia.rudzki@icloud.com	No
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:  Oppose points 1,2, and 3:  <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Rezoning an area on the southern side of the quarry site from Open Space B to Business 2.</li><li>2. Introducing a new objective that recognises the importance of quarrying aggregates at Kiwi Point Quarry to provide for the future growth and development of the city.</li><li>3. Introduce a new controlled activity rule (i.e. the resource consent standard) that applies to the rezoned southern face expansion area.</li></ol>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
30	Simon Gatenby, Taylor Preston Ltd	simon.gatenby@tpl.co.nz	Yes
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	The following provisions: 34.1.5, 34.2.3, and 34.6.5.4.1 - Area of quarrying and cleanfill 34.6.5.6.1 - Traffic Movement 34.6.5.3.3 – Blasting		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Not Stated		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter has concerns regarding the proposed District Plan Change for the following reasons:</p> <p>The proposed area of quarrying and cleanfill operations includes Taylor Preston Ltd (TPL) leasehold land, legal access to that land, and TPL Grazing Licence Land. There has been no communication from WCC in regard to the lease land and legal access, and unspecific conversations regarding the Grazing Land.</p> <p>Concerns relating to the traffic movement clause and the safe entry and exit of TPL staff and continued operation of stock and delivery and product despatch.</p> <p>Concerns relating to traffic management at peak production periods as there is an excess of 800 employees and a significant number of truck movements.</p> <p>The submitter also commented on blasting notices and would also like to be included in the list of neighbours to get written (or email) notice of blasting.</p>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
30	Simon Gatenby, Taylor Preston Ltd	simon.gatenby@tpl.co.nz	Yes
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
<p>The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:</p> <p>To have the Proposed District Plan Change amended to reflect the submitters concerns. Specifically: acknowledgement from WCC that if they do want or need parts of the submitters land that an appropriate agreement as to compensation and/or alternative arrangements will be negotiated.</p> <p>The submitter seeks involvement in development of traffic management plans for the quarry and specifically for the interface between TPL and the quarry. TPL needs to approve in writing any traffic management plan or movement that affects or potentially affects the safety of their staff and contractors.</p> <p>Traffic management should also be included in 34.2.3 as a matter of control for WCC.</p> <p>TPL wishes to be notified of consent applications in relation to the quarry.</p> <p>As the closest neighbour the submitter wishes to be aware of possible future consents and their impact on their business.</p>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
31	Dean and Vivienne Soldera	dean.soldera@xtra.co.nz	No
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Rezoning from Open Space B to Business 2.		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter is against the change to the District Plan for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the rezoned area appears to be closer to the residential area and the current area used for blasting</li> <li>future operations are likely to have an increased negative impact on residential structures in terms of shaking and in the risk of concrete or masonry cracking due to the proximity of the blasting</li> <li>concerns of anxiety and fear for people (as a result of blasting nearby)</li> <li>the submitter argues that a distance measure as a means of determining the effects of a blasting programme on surrounding residential areas is not reliable</li> <li>concerns relating to the quarry operators control of the blasting activities, as it is too general and will not achieve the objective of mitigating outcomes of a balanced result between commercial operations and the well-being of residents.</li> </ul>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
<p>The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:</p> <p>A test regime procedure to ensure that blasting in any new area meets the council objectives of ensuring the environmental impacts are satisfactory in terms of impacts on residents.</p>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
32	Onslow Residents Community Association	<a href="mailto:onslowcommunityassn@gmail.com">onslowcommunityassn@gmail.com</a>	Not stated
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Proposed District Plan Change 83 to allow maximum expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry.		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Supports with conditions		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter conditionally supports proposed District Plan Change 83 but has concerns regarding the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The impacts on residents who live in properties adjoining the quarry who will now be impacted by the quarry for an additional 20 years</li> <li>• Increased dirt and dust from quarry operations on nearby residents' properties</li> <li>• Mitigation of dirt and dust promised in the proposed quarry management plan as the submitter worries they will not be sufficient</li> <li>• Intermittent blasting and vibrations from quarrying operations and the resulting property damage</li> <li>• Constant noise of quarrying operations for those that live on Shastri Terrace, Gurhka Crescent, Maldive Street and Irman Terrace.</li> </ul>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
<p>The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:</p> <p>The submitter supports the proposed District Plan changes as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rezone an area on the southern side of the quarry from Open Space B to Business 2.</li> <li>• Introduce a new controlled activity rule that applies to the rezoned southern face expansion area (resource consent standard).</li> <li>• Make a range of other consequential changes to the District Plan including performance standards, explanatory text, and rezoning on Planning Maps 22 and 23.</li> </ul>			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
32	Onslow Residents Community Association	<a href="mailto:onslowcommunityassn@gmail.com">onslowcommunityassn@gmail.com</a>	Not stated

The submitter gives conditional support on the basis that:

- noise level standards set out in the District Plan are applied to the Quarry Management Plan and are adhered to and rigorously monitored by Council officials
- dust levels are monitored regularly by council officials and methods of dust minimisation are used to reduce the dust nuisance beyond the quarry. Cost should not be a consideration in this respect
- if dust in residents homes is more than should be expected for someone to have to clean up, Council will pay for the dust to be removed/cleaned from their homes
- modern methods of blasting working faces must result in minimal vibration. Small sequenced explosions should be used rather than single large blasts
- Council benchmarks the homes of residents and does regular checks to ensure that the vibrations and blasting from quarry operations do not negatively impact the safety of the property. Any negative impacts on their homes, such as cracking, are fixed at Council cost
- hours of operation as set out in the Management Plan are strictly adhered to
- residential areas are protected by buffers such as fast growing vegetation
- a gap of at least 70 m is maintained between residential boundaries and the first batter area of excavation but 100m would be preferred.

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
33	Andrea Rivera-Jimenez and Ivan Gashko	11 Birla Terrace, Broadmeadows, Wellington, NZ, 6035	Yes
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Kiwi Point Quarry expansion - DPC 83		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission</b>			
The submitter opposes the quarry expansion for the following reasons:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Concerns regarding health risks not only for residents in the nearby proximity but for the more vulnerable people as the quarry is located very close to several schools and a retirement village. The submitter states any type of additional pollution and noise so close to residents and students will have negative consequences on public health.</li><li>Concerns regarding land instability as the submitter considers it to be a future risk for all residents in the surrounding hills (i.e. Khandallah, Broadmeadows, and Johnsonville neighbourhoods)</li><li>Concerns regarding property owners investment as extra noise and pollution can have a negative impact on the value of surrounding properties</li></ul>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:			
Expect that the Council consider the negative impacts for surrounding residents (specifically health risks), over financial benefits for outsiders.			

# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
34 [LATE]	New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA)	PO Box 5084, Lambton Quay, Wellington, NZ, 6145	Not stated
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	The safe and efficient functioning of State Highway 1 and the potential effect of quarry activities on people using State Highway 1 in this location.		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Not stated - Request for Traffic Analysis		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>Details of Submission:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The submitter requests further traffic analysis on the transport network around Kiwi Point Quarry (i.e. State Highway 1)</li> <li>The submitter notes the importance of the section of State Highway 1 that provides access for Kiwi Point Quarry</li> <li>The submitter has concerns regarding traffic generation from the proposed quarry activities as the proposed plan change lacks a detailed traffic analysis.</li> </ul>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
<p>The submitter seeks clarification on the matter of any additional traffic effects that may be generated from the relocation of quarry activities within the site.</p> <p>The submitter would like to continue dialogue to understand what, if any additional traffic effects may be generated from the relocation of the quarry activities, and would like to see evidence on which the conclusion is drawn for the proposal to have more than minor effects on the transport network.</p>			



# PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 83

## Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

DPC 83 Submission No.	Name	Address for Service	Wishes to be heard
35 [LATE]	Wade To (Allied Concrete)	wade.to@alliedconcrete.co.nz	Yes
<b>Submission Relates to:</b>	Option 4 - Maximum development of the south face.		
<b>Support/Oppose:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission</b>			
<p>The submitter supports the proposed changes to the District Plan at Kiwi Point Quarry for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Not opening up land for an aggregate resource would have an impact on the businesses that the quarry directly and indirectly services</li><li>• Access to aggregates benefits the wider region as a key construction material in both municipal and privately funded projects</li><li>• Reduction in competition through lack of access to quality aggregate resource would most likely result in price escalation and would be passed onto the rate-payer and private sector</li><li>• Any reduction in capacity would result in a reduction to the region's resilience to natural hazard disasters</li><li>• Any future reduction in access would potentially jeopardise employment opportunities</li><li>• A successfully operating quarry provides business support to other key material providers for the construction sector (i.e. roading, water services, and cement distribution businesses)</li><li>• A future proofed city requires access to quality resources.</li></ul>			
<b>Decision Requested</b>			
<p>The submitter seeks the following decision from the Council:</p> <p>Approval of the changes proposed in amendment 83 to the District Plan, specifically the maximum development of the south face outlined in Option 4.</p>			