

## **Appendix E**

**Assessment Spreadsheets** 

Scenario 1: Air and water quality weighting of 6										
Specialist Assessment	Option 1 - Do Nothing		Option 2 - Permitted Activity Development		Option 3 - Five Stage Development		Option 4 - Area 2B Maximum Expansion		Workshop Weighting	
R=Raw Score W=Weighted Score	R	W	R	W	R	W	R	W		
Quarry Operations	-3.0	-3.0	-1.0	-1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	1	
Geo-technical	0	0.0	2.5	0.8	3.0	0.9	2.0	0.6	0.3	
Landscape	0	0.0	-2.0	-1.8	-3.0	-2.7	-3.0	-2.7	0.9	
Terrestial Ecology	0	0.0	-1.0	-0.8	-2.0	-1.6	-2.0	-1.6	0.8	
Air Quality	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	
Water Quality	0	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-1.0	-0.6	-1.0	-0.6	0.6	
Aggregate Raw Scores	-3.0		-1.8		-1.0		-1.0			
Raw Score Rank	4	4		3		1		1		
Aggregate Weighted Scores*	-3	.0	-1	3.0	-2	2.0	-1.3			

Weighting Method: To obtain aggregate weighted scores, individual raw scores have been multiplied by the workshop weighting value (with a workshop weighting value of 10 being multiplied by a factor of 1, a workshop weighting value of 9 being multiplied by a factor of 0.9 and so on). Individual weighted scores for each option have then been aggregated to produce final aggregate weighted scores.

2

Note: All scores have been rounded to a single decimal place.

Weighted Score Rank

Scenario 2:	Lands	cape w	veighti	ing of	10				
Specialist Assessment	Option 1 - Do Nothing		Option 2 - Permitted Activity Development		Option 3 - Five Stage Development		Option 4 - Area 2B Maximum Expansion		Workshop Weighting
R=Raw Score W=Weighted Score	R	W	R	W	R	W	R	W	
Quarry Operations	-3.0	-3.0	-1.0	-1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	1
Geo-technical	0	0.0	2.5	0.8	3.0	0.9	2.0	0.6	0.3
Landscape	0	0.0	-2.0	-2.0	-3.0	-3.0	-3.0	-3.0	1
Terrestial Ecology	0	0.0	-1.0	-0.8	-2.0	-1.6	-2.0	-1.6	0.8
Air Quality	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Water Quality	0	0.0	-0.3	-0.1	-1.0	-0.3	-1.0	-0.3	0.3
Aggregate Raw Scores	-3.0		-1.8		-1.0		-1.0		
Raw Score Rank	4		3		1		1		
Aggregate Weighted Scores*	-3	.0	-3	3.1	-2.0		-1.3		

\*Weighting Method: To obtain aggregate weighted scores, individual raw scores have been multiplied by the workshop weighting value (with a workshop weighting value of 10 being multiplied by a factor of 1, a workshop weighting value of 9 being multiplied by a factor of 0.9 and so on). Individual weighted scores for each option have then been aggregated to produce final aggregate weighted scores.

2

1

Note: All scores have been rounded to a single decimal place.

3

Weighted Score Rank

## Scenario 3: Landscape and terrestrial ecology weighting of 10

Specialist Assessment	Option 1 - Do Nothing		Option 2 - Permitted Activity Development		Option 3 - Five Stage Development		Option 4 - Area 2B Maximum Expansion		Workshop Weighting
R=Raw Score W=Weighted Score		W	R	W	R	W	R	W	
Quarry Operations	-3.0	-3.0	-1.0	-1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	1
Geo-technical	0	0.0	2.5	0.8	3.0	0.9	2.0	0.6	0.3
Landscape	0	0.0	-2.0	-2.0	-3.0	-3.0	-3.0	-3.0	1
Terrestial Ecology	0	0.0	-1.0	-1.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	1
Air Quality	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Water Quality	0	0.0	-0.3	-0.1	-1.0	-0.3	-1.0	-0.3	0.3
Aggregate Raw Scores	-3.0		-1.8		-1.0		-1.0		
Raw Score Rank	4		3		1		1		
Aggregate Weighted Scores*	-8	-3.0		-3.3		-2.4		-1.7	
Weighted Score Rank		3	4			2	1		

<sup>\*</sup>Weighting Method: To obtain aggregate weighted scores, individual raw scores have been multiplied by the workshop weighting value (with a workshop weighting value of 10 being multiplied by a factor of 1, a workshop weighting value of 9 being multiplied by a factor of 0.9 and so on). Individual weighted scores for each option have then been aggregated to produce final aggregate weighted scores.

Scenario 4:	Geote	chnica	ıl weig	hting	of 8				
Specialist Assessment	Option 1 - Do Nothing		Option 2 - Permitted Activity Development		Option 3 - Five Stage Development		Option 4 - Area 2B Maximum Expansion		Workshop Weighting
R=Raw Score W=Weighted Score	R	W	R	W	R	W	R	W	
Quarry Operations	-3.0	-3.0	-1.0	-1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	1
Geo-technical	0	0.0	2.5	2.0	3.0	2.4	2.0	1.6	0.8
Landscape	0	0.0	-2.0	-1.8	-3.0	-2.7	-3.0	-2.7	0.9
Terrestial Ecology	0	0.0	-1.0	-0.8	-2.0	-1.6	-2.0	-1.6	0.8
Air Quality	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Water Quality	0	0.0	-0.3	-0.1	-1.0	-0.3	-1.0	-0.3	0.3
Aggregate Raw Scores	-3.0		-1.8		-1.0		-1.0		
Raw Score Rank	4		3		1		1		
Aggregate Weighted Scores	-3	3.0	-1	7	-0.2		0.0		
Weighted Score Rank		4	3			2	1		

<sup>\*</sup>Weighting Method: To obtain aggregate weighted scores, individual raw scores have been multiplied by the workshop weighting value (with a workshop weighting value of 10 being multiplied by a factor of 1, a workshop weighting value of 9 being multiplied by a factor of 0.9 and so on). Individual weighted scores for each option have then been aggregated to produce final aggregate weighted scores.

Scenario 5:	Maxin	num w	eighti	ngs					
Specialist Assessment	Option 1 - Do Nothing		Option 2 - Permitted Activity Development		Option 3 - Five Stage Development		Option 4 - Area 2B Maximum Expansion		Workshop Weighting
R=Raw Score W=Weighted Score	R	W	R	W	R	W	R	W	
Quarry Operations	-3.0	-3.0	-1.0	-1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	1
Geo-technical	0	0.0	2.5	2.0	3.0	2.4	2.0	1.6	0.8
Landscape	0	0.0	-2.0	-2.0	-3.0	-3.0	-3.0	-3.0	1
Terrestial Ecology	0	0.0	-1.0	-1.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	1
Air Quality	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6
Water Quality	0	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-1.0	-0.6	-1.0	-0.6	0.6
Aggregate Raw Scores	-3.0		-1.8		-1.0		-1.0		
Raw Score Rank	4		3		1		1		
Aggregate Weighted Scores	-3.0		-2	-2.2		-1.2		-1.0	
Weighted Score Rank	4	1	3		2		1		

<sup>\*</sup>Weighting Method: To obtain aggregate weighted scores, individual raw scores have been multiplied by the workshop weighting value (with a workshop weighting value of 10 being multiplied by a factor of 1, a workshop weighting value of 9 being multiplied by a factor of 0.9 and so on). Individual weighted scores for each option have then been aggregated to produce final aggregate weighted scores.

Scenario 6:	Quarr	y Oper	rations	s 5					
Specialist Assessment	Option 1 - Do Nothing		Option 2 - Permitted Activity Development		Option 3 - Five Stage Development		Option 4 - Area 2B Maximum Expansion		Workshop Weighting
R=Raw Score W=Weighted Score	R	W	R	W	R	W	R	W	
Quarry Operations	-3.0	-1.5	-1.0	-0.5	2.0	1.0	3.0	1.5	0.5
Geo-technical	0	0.0	2.5	0.8	3.0	0.9	2.0	0.6	0.3
Landscape	0	0.0	-2.0	-1.8	-3.0	-2.7	-3.0	-2.7	0.9
Terrestial Ecology	0	0.0	-1.0	-0.8	-2.0	-1.6	-2.0	-1.6	0.8
Air Quality	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Water Quality	0	0.0	-0.3	-0.1	-1.0	-0.3	-1.0	-0.3	0.3
Aggregate Raw Scores	-3.0		-1.8		-1.0		-1.0		
Raw Score Rank	4		3		1		1		
Aggregate Weighted Scores	-1	5	-2	2.4	-2.7		-2.5		
Weighted Score Rank	:	1	2		4		3		

\*Weighting Method: To obtain aggregate weighted scores, individual raw scores have been multiplied by the workshop weighting value (with a workshop weighting value of 10 being multiplied by a factor of 0.9 and so on). Individual weighted scores for each option have then been aggregated to produce final aggregate weighted scores.